

# World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

*World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2018 January 16; 10(1): 1-55



### MINIREVIEWS

- 1 Confocal endomicroscopy and cyst fluid molecular analysis: Comprehensive evaluation of pancreatic cysts  
*Li F, Malli A, Cruz-Monserrate Z, Conwell DL, Krishna SG*
- 10 Imaging of gall bladder by endoscopic ultrasound  
*Sharma M, Somani P, Sunkara T*

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Retrospective Cohort Study

- 16 New 14-mm diameter Niti-S biliary uncovered metal stent for unresectable distal biliary malignant obstruction  
*Kikuyama M, Shirane N, Kawaguchi S, Terada S, Mukai T, Sugimoto K*

#### Retrospective Study

- 23 Post-endoscopic procedure satisfaction scores: Can we improve?  
*Munjal A, Steinberg JM, Mossaad A, Kallus SJ, Mattar MC, Haddad NG*
- 30 Case series on multimodal endoscopic therapy for gastric antral vascular ectasia, a tertiary center experience  
*Matin T, Naseemuddin M, Shoreibah M, Li P, Kyanam Kabir Baig K, Wilcox CM, Peter S*
- 37 Mediastinal node staging by positron emission tomography-computed tomography and selective endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle aspiration for patients with upper gastrointestinal cancer: Results from a regional centre  
*Harrington C, Smith L, Bisland J, López González E, Jamieson N, Paterson S, Stanley AJ*
- 45 Management of endoscopic biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis: Evaluation of stent-exchange intervals  
*Tohda G, Dochin M*
- #### Prospective Study
- 51 Bacterial presence on flexible endoscopes vs time since disinfection  
*Mallete KI, Pieroni P, Dhalla SS*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, Marcela Kopacova, MD, PhD, Professor, 2<sup>nd</sup> Department of Internal Medicine - Gastroenterology, Charles University Teaching Hospital, Hradec Kralove 500 05, Czech Republic

**AIM AND SCOPE**

*World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* (*World J Gastrointest Endosc*, *WJGE*, online ISSN 1948-5190, DOI: 10.4253) is a peer-reviewed open access (OA) academic journal that aims to guide clinical practice and improve diagnostic and therapeutic skills of clinicians.

*WJGE* covers topics concerning gastroscopy, intestinal endoscopy, colonoscopy, capsule endoscopy, laparoscopy, interventional diagnosis and therapy, as well as advances in technology. Emphasis is placed on the clinical practice of treating gastrointestinal diseases with or under endoscopy.

We encourage authors to submit their manuscripts to *WJGE*. We will give priority to manuscripts that are supported by major national and international foundations and those that are of great clinical significance.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

*World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* is now indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, and PubMed Central.

**EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

**Responsible Assistant Editor:** *Xiang Li*  
**Responsible Electronic Editor:** *Rui-Fang Li*  
**Proofing Editor-in-Chief:** *Lian-Sheng Ma*

**Responsible Science Editor:** *Li-Jun Cui*  
**Proofing Editorial Office Director:** *Xiu-Xia Song*

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**ISSN**

ISSN 1948-5190 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

October 15, 2009

**FREQUENCY**

Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

**Qiang Cai, MD, Professor**, School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States

**Atsushi Imagawa, PhD, Doctor**, Department of Gastroenterology, Imagawa Medical Clinic, Mitoyo 769-1503, Kagawa, Japan

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

All editorial board members resources online at <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/editorialboard.htm>

**EDITORIAL OFFICE**

Xiu-Xia Song, Director  
*World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*  
 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc  
 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
 Telephone: +1-925-2238242  
 Fax: +1-925-2238243  
 E-mail: [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com)  
 Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

**PUBLISHER**

Baishideng Publishing Group Inc  
 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
 Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
 Telephone: +1-925-2238242  
 Fax: +1-925-2238243  
 E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
 Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

January 16, 2018

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2018 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. Articles published by this Open-Access journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license.

**SPECIAL STATEMENT**

All articles published in journals owned by the Baishideng Publishing Group (BPG) represent the views and opinions of their authors, and not the views, opinions or policies of the BPG, except where otherwise explicitly indicated.

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<http://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<http://www.f6publishing.com>

## Retrospective Study

**Management of endoscopic biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis: Evaluation of stent-exchange intervals**

Gen Tohda, Masaki Dochin

Gen Tohda, Masaki Dochin, Department of Gastroenterology, Fukui Kosei Hospital, Fukui 918-8537, Japan

ORCID number: Gen Tohda (0000-0002-1068-443X); Masaki Dochin (0000-0002-2411-5967).

**Author contributions:** Tohda G wrote the manuscript and analyzed the data; Dochin M reviewed the manuscript.

**Institutional review board statement:** This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and was reviewed ethically and approved by the Fukui Kosei Hospital Institutional Review Board.

**Informed consent statement:** All patients involved in this study gave their written informed consent about disclosure of their protected medical information.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** Authors declare no conflict of interest relevant to this article.

**Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Correspondence to:** Gen Tohda, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology, Fukui Kosei Hospital, Shimorokujyo 201, Fukui 918-8537, Japan. [gtoda@koseikaigroup.jp](mailto:gtoda@koseikaigroup.jp)  
Telephone: +81-776-413377  
Fax: +81-776-413372

Received: October 15, 2017

Peer-review started: October 24, 2017

First decision: November 23, 2017

Revised: November 30, 2017

Accepted: December 6, 2017

Article in press: December 7, 2017

Published online: January 16, 2018

**Abstract****AIM**

To evaluate the best management of plastic stents in patients with choledocholithiasis who were unfit for endoscopic stone removal or surgery.

**METHODS**

Between April 2007 and September 2017, 87 patients (median age 83.7 years) with symptomatic choledocholithiasis were treated with insertion of 7-Fr plastic stents because complete endoscopic stone retrieval was difficult, and their general condition was not suitable for surgery. Seventy of these patients agreed to regular stent management and stent exchange was carried out at every 6 mo (Group A,  $n = 35$ ) or every 12 mo (Group B,  $n = 35$ ). The remaining 17 patients did not accept regular stent exchange, and stents were replaced when clinical symptoms appeared (Group C). We evaluated the frequency of biliary complication and stent patency rate during follow-up periods.

**RESULTS**

The patency rate of biliary plastic stents was 91.4% at 6 mo (Group A) and 88.6% at 12 mo (Group B), respectively. Acute cholangitis occurred in 2.9% of Group A patients and in 8.6% of Group B patients. In Group C, median stent patency was 16.3 mo, and stent exchange was carried out in 70.6% of cases because of acute cholangitis or obstructive jaundice. Although a high incidence of acute cholangitis occurred, there was no biliary-related mortality.

**CONCLUSION**

Plastic stent exchange at 12-mo intervals is considered

a safe procedure for patients with choledocholithiasis. Long-term biliary stenting increases biliary complications, but it can be an acceptable option for select patients who are medically unfit for further invasive procedures.

**Key words:** Acute cholangitis; Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; Stent exchange; Plastic stent; Biliary stenting

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Adequate management of plastic stents for choledocholithiasis was evaluated. Stent exchange was carried out at every 6 mo (Group A), every 12 mo (Group B) or on demand (Group C). The stent patency rates were 91.4% for Group A and 88.6% for Group B, respectively. In Group C, median stent patency was 16.3 mo, and stent exchange was required in 70.6% of patients. There was no biliary-related mortality. Although 12 mo is considered a safe interval for plastic stent exchange, long-term biliary stenting can be an acceptable option for selected patients who are medically unfit for further invasive procedures.

Tohda G, Dochin M. Management of endoscopic biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis: Evaluation of stent-exchange intervals. *World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2018; 10(1): 45-50 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v10/i1/45.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v10.i1.45>

## INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy with stone removal is the gold standard for the treatment of choledocholithiasis. In the case of difficult biliary stones, various approaches such as mechanical lithotripsy, electrohydraulic lithotripsy, laser lithotripsy, and extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy have been used for stone extraction<sup>[1]</sup>. Although most common bile duct stones can be treated successfully by conventional endoscopic procedures, in cases where endoscopic stone removal has failed, surgery must be considered as a next step. However, in elderly patients with serious comorbidities and higher surgical risks, plastic stent placement could be an alternative treatment to surgery. In these cases, the principal aim of biliary stenting is to avoid acute cholangitis, which can progress to sepsis.

With the progressive increase in the elderly population, endoscopic biliary stenting is widely used as a safe approach for the management of choledocholithiasis<sup>[2]</sup>. However, there are complications, such as stent occlusion and migration<sup>[3,4]</sup>, after stent implantation. The longer the stents are in place, the more likely stent-related complications such as obstructive jaundice

and acute cholangitis are to happen. According to a previous report<sup>[5]</sup>, the mean complication rate was 22.4% (0%-64%), and the biliary-related mortality rate was 3.5% (0%-21.1%) after plastic stent replacement. Although the optimal time for biliary plastic stent exchange has not yet been established, a standard type of polyethylene stent patency is approximately 3 mo<sup>[6]</sup>. Therefore, 3-6-mo intervals for plastic stent exchange have commonly been recommended. However, it is difficult for elderly patients with numerous comorbidities to follow the recommendation for further biliary stent exchange in such a short period. In the present study, we evaluated the adequate intervals for biliary stent exchange as a treatment for patients with choledocholithiasis.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study design

Only patients with difficulty of complete endoscopic stone retrieval by conventional endoscopic lithotripsy were eligible for participation in this study. These patients had multiple large stones and/or difficult anatomy after abdominal surgery. From April 2008 to September 2017, 87 patients (37 male/50 female; median age 83.7 years) with symptomatic choledocholithiasis who were not suitable for repeated endoscopic lithotripsy and for surgical procedures because of multiple comorbidities were treated with the insertion of 7-Fr biliary plastic stents. Among these, 70 patients received regular stent exchange at every 6 mo (Group A,  $n = 35$ ) or every 12 mo (Group B,  $n = 35$ ). They were divided into odd (Group A) and even numbers (Group B) taken from their medical chart. The remaining 17 patients did not accept the recommendation of regular stent exchange (Group C). In this group, we simply observed their conditions until any biliary-related symptom appeared, and stent exchange was carried out only when the onset of a clinical suspicion of stent blockage (*i.e.*, acute cholangitis or obstructive jaundice). After obtaining ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board of our institution, we conducted a retrospective review of medical records of patients. The main outcomes were the stent patency rate and frequency of stent-related complications, especially acute cholangitis. The diagnosis of all patients was based on symptoms, blood tests and imaging modalities. Acute cholangitis was diagnosed according to The Tokyo Consensus Meeting criteria<sup>[7]</sup>.

### Endoscopic procedure

Before performing ERCP, informed consent was obtained from each patient and/or caregiver. All endoscopic procedures were performed under moderate sedation by giving intravenous injections of midazolam and pethidine hydrochloride. All patients underwent continuous monitoring by electrocardiogram and pulse

oximetry and received 2 L/min of oxygen through a nasal cannula throughout the endoscopic procedure. The straight type of plastic biliary stents (7 Fr diameter, Boston Scientific Japan) were routinely used for biliary drainage. The length of the stent was routinely 7 cm, but it varied depending on the patients' anatomic characteristics. After plastic stent were inserted, all patients and/or their caregivers received oral and written instructions about further biliary stent management.

### Statistical analysis

Various parameters were compared between Group A and Group B. Continuous variables with normal distributions were compared by two-sample *t*-test. Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for the comparison of continuous variables with skewed distributions. The  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical variables as appropriate. *P*-values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the EZR<sup>[8]</sup> (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan, version 1.32), which is a graphical user interface for R (the R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). More precisely, it is a modified version of R commander that was designed to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics.

## RESULTS

In this study, 87 patients with a high surgical risk, for whom it was not possible to completely remove biliary stones using conventional endoscopic lithotripsy, were included. Characteristics of Groups A and B are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two groups in age, sex, frequency of periampullary diverticulum, reasons for endoscopic stone removal failure, and median follow-up period. Stent patency in Groups A and B is shown in Table 2. Plastic stents were changed at scheduled intervals in 91.4% (32 of 35) of patients in Group A and 88.6% (31 of 35) of patients in Group B. In Group A, stents were changed prior to schedule (6 mo) in 3 cases because of stent occlusion (*n* = 1) or migration (*n* = 2), while 4 cases required stent exchange prior to schedule (12 mo) in Group B, due to stent occlusion (*n* = 3) or migration (*n* = 1). Acute cholangitis occurred in 2.9% of patients in Group A and 8.6% of patients in Group B.

Characteristics of Group C (stent exchange on demand) are summarized in Table 3. During the follow-up periods, plastic stent exchange was carried out in 70.6% (12 of 17) of patients in this group because of stent-related biliary complications (Table 4). Indications for stent exchange were acute cholangitis (35.3%, *n* = 6), obstructive jaundice (23.5%, *n* = 4) or liver dysfunction (11.8%, *n* = 2). The median stent exchange interval was 16.3 mo (interquartile range 12.7-21.2 mo).

Sphincterotomy was undergone by 83.9% (73 of 87) of patients before the insertion of the biliary stent. In the remaining patients, sphincterotomy was not carried out because of the presence of a large periampullary diverticulum (*n* = 11) or continuous anticoagulant therapy (*n* = 3). All 10 cases with acute cholangitis in this study improved with antibiotics and prompt biliary stent exchange. Although 1 case of acute cholangitis progressed into septic shock, the patient recovered within 7 d. There was no mortality related to biliary complication.

## DISCUSSION

Endoscopic biliary lithotripsy has been established as a gold standard for the treatment of choledocholithiasis. However, complete stone clearance is not feasible in some cases. Multiple large stones, stone impaction, and difficult anatomy after abdominal surgery are significant predictors for failure of endoscopic lithotripsy. If endoscopic stone removal attempts have failed, surgical procedures such as sphincteroplasty and/or choledochoduodenostomy are required. However, elderly patients with multiple comorbidities tend to be poor candidates for invasive surgery. In these cases, to avoid the onset of biliary complication, especially acute cholangitis, biliary stenting could be an alternative option.

The principal aim of this study is how to manage biliary stents in patients with choledocholithiasis for whom previous endoscopic lithotripsy had failed and who were medically unfit for surgery. According to previous studies<sup>[4,6,9]</sup>, plastic stents should be exchanged within 3-6 mo to prevent later complications, such as acute cholangitis. Di Giorgia *et al.*<sup>[9]</sup> evaluated 78 patients with biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis. They compared two groups as follows: Scheduled stent exchange vs stent exchange on demand. They suggested that the best way to prevent acute cholangitis was to change the plastic stent every 3 mo. Although plastic stent exchange within 3-6 mo is commonly advocated, it is too difficult for elderly patients with numerous comorbidities to undergo an ERCP in such a short period. In the present study, we attempted to define the best intervals for stent exchange for choledocholithiasis and planned plastic stent exchange at every 6 mo (Group A) or every 12 mo (Group B). Stent exchange prior to schedule was required in 8.6% of patients in Group A and 11.4% of patients in Group B. Li *et al.*<sup>[10]</sup> evaluated 50 patients with biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis and reported that stent patency rates were 94% at 6 mo, 79% at 12 mo, and 58% at 24 mo. Slattery *et al.*<sup>[11]</sup> analyzed stent patency rates of 201 patients with choledocholithiasis, and their results were 93.5% at 6 mo and 81.9% at 24 mo. Our results are similar to those of these reports. High stent patency rates at 12 mo in our study suggest that short-term plastic stent exchange is not always necessary.

**Table 1 Characteristics of patients who underwent regular stent exchange, *n* (%)**

	Group A ( <i>n</i> = 35)	Group B ( <i>n</i> = 35)	<i>P</i> value
Stent-exchange schedule	6 mo	12 mo	
Age, yr	82.9 (77-87)	84.4 (76-89)	NS
Sex, male/female	15/20	16/19	NS
Periampullary diverticulum	7 (20.0)	8 (22.9)	NS
Sphincterotomy	30 (85.7)	29 (82.9)	NS
Post-ERCP pancreatitis	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)	NS
Reason for endoscopic stone removal failure			
No. of stones	16 (45.7)	14 (40.0)	NS
Size of stones	17 (48.6)	18 (51.4)	NS
Anatomical difficulty	2 (5.7)	3 (8.6)	NS
Follow-up periods, mo	27.3 (12-40)	26.5 (14-37)	NS

Continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables are expressed as numbers. ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; NS: Not significant.

**Table 2 Stent patency of patients who underwent regular stent exchange, *n* (%)**

	Group A ( <i>n</i> = 35)	Group B ( <i>n</i> = 35)	<i>P</i> value
Stent-exchange schedule	6 mo	12 mo	
Stent patency at scheduled time	32 (91.4)	31 (88.6)	NS
Stent exchange prior to schedule	3 (8.6)	4 (11.4)	NS
Details of stent troubles			
Stent occlusion	1 (2.9)	3 (8.6)	< 0.05
Stent migration	2 (5.7)	1 (2.9)	NS
Acute cholangitis	1 (2.9)	3 (8.6)	< 0.05
Biliary-related mortality	0	0	NA

NS: Not significant; NA: Not available.

**Table 3 Characteristics of patients who underwent stent exchange on demand, *n* (%)**

Group C ( <i>n</i> = 17)	
Age, yr	84.1 (76-90)
Sex, male/female	6/11
Periampullary diverticulum	4 (23.5)
Sphincterotomy	14 (82.3)
Post-ERCP pancreatitis	0
Reasons for endoscopic stone removal failure	
No. of stones	9 (52.9)
Size of stones	6 (35.3)
Anatomical difficulty	2 (11.8)
Reasons for rejecting scheduled stent exchange	
Cardiovascular diseases	4 (23.5)
Stroke sequelae	4 (23.5)
Age factors	3 (17.6)
Dementia	3 (17.6)
Malignancy	3 (17.6)
Follow-up periods, mo	24.8 (14-32)

Continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables are expressed as numbers. ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

Patients were instructed regarding the possible complications of delayed stent replacement and the necessity of regular stent exchange, but some patients or their caregivers did not accept the recommendation. In this study, 17 patients refused regular stent exchange (Group C) because of their serious conditions.

**Table 4 Stent patency of patients who underwent stent exchange on demand, *n* (%)**

Group C ( <i>n</i> = 17)	
Stent-exchange cases	12 (70.6)
Indication for stent exchange	
Acute cholangitis	6 (35.3)
Obstructive jaundice	4 (23.5)
Liver dysfunction	2 (11.8)
Details of stent troubles	
Stent occlusion	10 (58.8)
Stent migration	2 (11.8)
Duration of stent patency	16.3 (12.7-21.2)
Biliary-related mortality	0

Continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range).

High incidence of acute cholangitis (35.3%) was seen in Group C. Sepsis due to acute cholangitis was seen in 23.5% (4 of 17) of patients in Group C, but all cases recovered with prompt stent exchange and antibiotics. There have been several studies regarding long-term biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis<sup>[5,10-13]</sup>. Ang *et al*<sup>[5]</sup> evaluated 83 patients with choledocholithiasis treated with long-term biliary stenting and found biliary complication in 34% of patients and acute cholangitis in 24% of patients. Bergman *et al*<sup>[12]</sup> analyzed 58 patients with choledocholithiasis and permanent biliary stenting; acute cholangitis was seen in 36% of patients, and the mortality rate related to biliary complication

was 16%. Pisello *et al*<sup>[13]</sup> reported on 30 patients with choledocholithiasis and long-term biliary stenting; late complications occurred in 34% of patients, and the mortality rate related to biliary complication was 6.6%. Slattery *et al*<sup>[11]</sup> reported on 201 patients with long-term biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis. According to their report, the frequencies of acute cholangitis (2.9%) and obstructive jaundice (8%) were significantly lower, and median stent patency (59.6 mo) was significantly longer than in other reports. They insisted that their superior stent patency was attributable to adequate sphincterotomy at the initial stent placement and attempts for partial duct clearance in all cases.

In the present study, rates of acute cholangitis in Group A (2.9%) and B (8.6%) were lower than we had estimated. When stents were exchanged at scheduled intervals, sludge occluded the stent lumen or adhered to the stent in 12 cases in Group A and 16 cases in Group B. However, most of these cases showed no signs of biliary obstruction. In these situations, bile duct patency is maintained by the bile drain mechanism around the stent. Moreover, even if the plastic stent becomes occluded, a clogged stent would have the potential to keep common bile duct stones from impacting. In the present study, we used plastic stents with a 7Fr diameter. We believe that stent diameter is not relevant to stent patency if adequate sphincterotomy was carried out. Regarding the migration of plastic stents, it was seen in only 5.7% (5 of 87) of patients. This might be because biliary stones stabilized the plastic stent inside the common bile duct and prevented stent migration.

According to previous studies<sup>[14-17]</sup>, the size of biliary stones decreases after plastic stent placement, and long-term stenting offers the possibility of complete stone elimination. In contrast, it has also been reported that long-standing biliary stents consequentially increase the risk of formation of biliary stones. The sphincter of Oddi functions as a mechanical barrier preventing the regurgitation of duodenal contents into bile duct. Therefore, lost sphincter of Oddi function results in bacterial growth in the bile duct by ascending infection and results in formation of brown pigment stones<sup>[18-20]</sup>. Sohn *et al*<sup>[21]</sup> reported that most cases of acute cholangitis after long-term biliary stenting occurred due to the development of brown pigment biliary stones. They suggested that biliary stents themselves could serve as the nidus for stone formation and development. In the present study, stone clearance was obtained in 5 patients (14.3%) from Group A and in 4 patients (11.4%) from Group B after repeated stent exchange. The mean period for stone clearance was 659 days in Group A and 718 d in Group B. However, significant stone growth also appeared in 2 patients (5.7%) in Group B and 3 patients (17.6%) in Group C (these data are not shown in the table). Our clinical data suggest that biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis could assist in subsequent biliary stone clearance, although it could also be related to stone formation and development, depending on the situation.

In this study, poor surgical candidates who underwent endoscopic biliary stenting showed low frequency of acute cholangitis and superior stent patency at 12 mo after stent implantation. In a progressively aging society, 1 year should be considered as an appropriate interval for plastic stent exchange in the treatment of choledocholithiasis. Although long-term biliary stenting increases the risk of biliary complication, it could also be an acceptable strategy for patients with limitations who are clinically unfit for invasive procedures. In this study, a small sample size may be one of the problems to support our definite conclusion. In addition, our study is retrospective evaluation, so it may be difficult to exclude any bias completely. Superior stent patency rate which are observed in this study may not hold true because of these limitations. Further studies with a large number of patients under prospective design will be required to confirm our results.

## ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

### Research background

In elderly patients with serious comorbidities, endoscopic biliary stenting is widely used as a safe approach for the management of choledocholithiasis. Although short intervals for plastic stent exchange have commonly been recommended to avoid acute cholangitis, it is difficult for elderly patients with numerous comorbidities to accept biliary stent exchange in such a short period. We evaluated the safe interval of endoscopic biliary stent exchange for choledocholithiasis.

### Research motivation

There has been limited data on the outcome of long-term biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis. In order to reduce the unnecessary medical procedures for high-risk patients, the optimal time for biliary stent exchange has to be established.

### Research objectives

The principal aim of this study is an evaluation of the adequate intervals for biliary stent exchange as a treatment for patients with choledocholithiasis. This research will contribute to the management of endoscopic biliary stenting for choledocholithiasis of high-risk patients.

### Research methods

Patients with symptomatic choledocholithiasis were treated with biliary plastic stents because complete endoscopic stone retrieval was difficult. Stent exchange was carried out at every 6 mo or every 12 mo. In the patients who didn't accept the recommendation of regular stent exchange, biliary stents were replaced when clinical symptoms appeared. The authors evaluated the frequency of biliary complication and stent patency rate during follow-up periods.

### Research results

Regarding the stent patency rate, there is no significant difference between the 6 mo stent exchange group and the 12 mo stent exchange group. Although a high incidence of acute cholangitis occurred in the on demand stent exchange group, there was no biliary-related mortality.

### Research conclusion

Although exchanges of plastic stent in short intervals have been recommended to avoid acute cholangitis, this study concluded that 12 mo is considered a safe interval for plastic stent exchange in choledocholithiasis. Long-term biliary stenting longer than 12 mo can also be an acceptable option for selected patients who are medically unfit for further invasive procedures, but we have



to observe these cases carefully because of the high frequency of acute cholangitis.

### Research perspectives

The authors' research findings contribute to the discussion about safe interval for plastic stent exchange in choledocholithiasis. The study design is retrospective and sample size is small, so further clinical trials in a large population under prospective design will be valuable.

## REFERENCES

- Hochberger J**, Tex S, Maiss J, Hahn EG. Management of difficult common bile duct stones. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2003; **13**: 623-634 [PMID: 14986790 DOI: 10.1016/S1052-5157(03)00102-8]
- Tohda G**, Ohtani M, Dochin M. Efficacy and safety of emergency endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography for acute cholangitis in the elderly. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; **22**: 8382-8388 [PMID: 27729744 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i37.8382]
- ASGE Technology Assessment Committee**. Pfau PR, Pleskow DK, Banerjee S, Barth BA, Bhat YM, Desilets DJ, Gottlieb KT, Maple JT, Siddiqui UD, Tokar JL, Wang A, Song LM, Rodriguez SA. Pancreatic and biliary stents. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2013; **77**: 319-327 [PMID: 23410693 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2012.09.026]
- Khashab MA**, Kim K, Hutfless S, Lennon AM, Kalloo AN, Singh VK. Predictors of early stent occlusion among plastic biliary stents. *Dig Dis Sci* 2012; **57**: 2446-2450 [PMID: 22573343 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-012-2178-4]
- Ang TL**, Fock KM, Teo EK, Chua TS, Tan J. An audit of the outcome of long-term biliary stenting in the treatment of common bile duct stones in a general hospital. *J Gastroenterol* 2006; **41**: 765-771 [PMID: 16988765 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-006-1849-3]
- Weickert U**, Venzke T, König J, Janssen J, Remberger K, Greiner L. Why do bilioduodenal plastic stents become occluded? A clinical and pathological investigation on 100 consecutive patients. *Endoscopy* 2001; **33**: 786-790 [PMID: 11558033 DOI: 10.1055/s-2001-16519]
- Wada K**, Takada T, Kawarada Y, Nimura Y, Miura F, Yoshida M, Mayumi T, Strasberg S, Pitt HA, Gadacz TR, Büchler MW, Belghiti J, de Santibanes E, Gouma DJ, Neuhaus H, Dervenis C, Fan ST, Chen MF, Ker CG, Bornman PC, Hilvano SC, Kim SW, Liau KH, Kim MH. Diagnostic criteria and severity assessment of acute cholangitis: Tokyo Guidelines. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg* 2007; **14**: 52-58 [PMID: 17252297]
- Kanda Y**. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZ R' for medical statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013; **48**: 452-458 [PMID: 23208313 DOI: 10.1038/bmt.2012.244]
- Di Giorgio P**, Manes G, Grimaldi E, Schettino M, D'Alessandro A, Di Giorgio A, Giannattasio F. Endoscopic plastic stenting for bile duct stones: stent changing on demand or every 3 months. A prospective comparison study. *Endoscopy* 2013; **45**: 1014-1017 [PMID: 24288221 DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1344556]
- Li KW**, Zhang XW, Ding J, Chen T, Wang J, Shi WJ. A prospective study of the efficacy of endoscopic biliary stenting on common bile duct stones. *J Dig Dis* 2009; **10**: 328-331 [PMID: 19906114 DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-2980.2009.00404.x]
- Slattery E**, Kale V, Anwar W, Courtney G, Aftab AR. Role of long-term biliary stenting in choledocholithiasis. *Dig Endosc* 2013; **25**: 440-443 [PMID: 23808949 DOI: 10.1111/j.1443-1661.2012.01399.x]
- Bergman JJ**, Rauws EA, Tijssen JG, Tytgat GN, Huibregtse K. Biliary endoprotheses in elderly patients with endoscopically irretrievable common bile duct stones: report on 117 patients. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1995; **42**: 195-201 [PMID: 7498682 DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5107(95)70091-9]
- Pisello F**, Geraci G, Li Volsi F, Modica G, Sciumè C. Permanent stenting in "unextractable" common bile duct stones in high risk patients. A prospective randomized study comparing two different stents. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2008; **393**: 857-863 [PMID: 18679709 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-008-0388-1]
- Horiuchi A**, Nakayama Y, Kajiyama M, Kato N, Kamijima T, Graham DY, Tanaka N. Biliary stenting in the management of large or multiple common bile duct stones. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2010; **71**: 1200-1203.e2 [PMID: 20400079 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2009.12.055]
- Fan Z**, Hawes R, Lawrence C, Zhang X, Zhang X, Lv W. Analysis of plastic stents in the treatment of large common bile duct stones in 45 patients. *Dig Endosc* 2011; **23**: 86-90 [PMID: 21198923 DOI: 10.1111/j.1443-1661.2010.01065.x]
- Hong WD**, Zhu QH, Huang QK. Endoscopic sphincterotomy plus endoprotheses in the treatment of large or multiple common bile duct stones. *Dig Endosc* 2011; **23**: 240-243 [PMID: 21699568 DOI: 10.1111/j.1443-1661.2010.01100.x]
- Han J**, Moon JH, Koo HC, Kang JH, Choi JH, Jeong S, Lee DH, Lee MS, Kim HG. Effect of biliary stenting combined with ursodeoxycholic acid and terpene treatment on retained common bile duct stones in elderly patients: a multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; **104**: 2418-2421 [PMID: 19568225 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2009.303]
- Leung JW**, Sung JY, Costerton JW. Bacteriological and electron microscopy examination of brown pigment stones. *J Clin Microbiol* 1989; **27**: 915-921 [PMID: 2745700]
- Speer AG**, Cotton PB, Rode J, Seddon AM, Neal CR, Holton J, Costerton JW. Biliary stent blockage with bacterial biofilm. A light and electron microscopy study. *Ann Intern Med* 1988; **108**: 546-553 [PMID: 2450501 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-108-4-546]
- Moesch C**, Sautereau D, Cessot F, Berry P, Mounier M, Gainant A, Pillegand B. Physicochemical and bacteriological analysis of the contents of occluded biliary endoprotheses. *Hepatology* 1991; **14**: 1142-1146 [PMID: 1959864 DOI: 10.1002/hep.1840140631]
- Sohn SH**, Park JH, Kim KH, Kim TN. Complications and management of forgotten long-term biliary stents. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 622-628 [PMID: 28216968 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i4.622]

**P- Reviewer:** Fior E, Fogli L, Nakajima N, Yan SL **S- Editor:** Ji FF  
**L- Editor:** A **E- Editor:** Li D





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242  
Fax: +1-925-223-8243  
E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

