



Published in final edited form as:

Mov Disord. 2018 January ; 33(1): 8–9. doi:10.1002/mds.27114.

The Future Burden of Parkinson's Disease

Walter A. Rocca, MD, MPH^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

²Division of Epidemiology, Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Dorsey and colleagues estimated that the number of persons with Parkinson's disease (PD) in the United States was approximately 340,000 in 2005 and projected that number to reach approximately 610,000 in 2030 as a result of population aging.¹ These projections were made under the simple assumption that the age- and sex-specific prevalence would remain stable over 25 years. However, the burden of diseases may be modified over time by human practices, including public health interventions and medicine.² Rossi and colleagues have suggested that the successful implementation of smoking cessation interventions in the United States may lead to an increase in the projected number of persons who will suffer from PD by the year 2040.³ Their revised projections were based on a projected 46.2% increase in the population 50 years old and a 59.8% reduction in the percentage of smokers 50 years old between 2000 and 2040. They also made the fundamental assumption that smoking has a preventive effect on PD. Based on their assumptions, and on the relatively conservative prevalence estimates published by Dorsey et al. for 2005,¹ they projected for 2040 a total of 700,000 patients with PD due to population aging, and an additional 70,000 patients (10% increase) due to the reduced frequency of smoking (total of 770,000 patients). Overall, they projected a 56% increase in the number of patients between 2005 and 2040. Rossi and colleagues argued that these revised projections are important to adequately plan for future cost and care needs related to PD.³

Whether or not smoking has a preventive effect on the risk of PD, the number of patients with PD is likely to increase by the year 2040 above and beyond the simple effect of population aging. There are now 3 studies from 3 continents showing an increase in the risk of PD over time (from the United States, Finland, and Taiwan).^{4–6} However, there are also 3 studies showing a possible decline in risk over time (from the United Kingdom, Taiwan, and the Netherlands).^{7–9} Assuming that all of the 6 studies have adequate methods (no major biases) and comparable study design, these discrepant findings may suggest that the risk factors for PD vary across countries, by sex, and over time. Our study in Olmsted County, Minnesota showed an approximately 50% increase in the incidence of PD in men and women combined over 30 years (1976–2005; relative risk increase). The increase was more sizeable in men age 70 years (approximate relative risk increase of 100%), and there was a significantly higher risk for men born between 1915 and 1924 (birth cohort effect).⁴ If the

*Correspondence to: Dr. Walter A. Rocca, Mayo Clinic, Department of Health Sciences Research, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905; rocca@mayo.edu.

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: Dr. Walter A. Rocca reports no disclosures

increasing trend in the risk of PD observed in our study is confirmed in other US populations, the impact on prevalence projections for the year 2040 may be greater than predicted by Rossi et al.

As recognized by Rossi and colleagues, the association between smoking and reduced risk for PD may be to some degree non-causal.³ Avoidance of smoking initiation early in life or ease of smoking cessation later in life may be markers of a preexisting predisposition to PD (cause-effect inversion).¹⁰ This predisposition may have genetic causes (e.g., inherited genetic variants or epigenetic modifications), intrauterine causes (e.g., infectious, toxic, or dietary exposures), or early developmental causes (e.g., early life infectious, toxic, or dietary exposures). Therefore, other environmental risk factors (e.g., pesticides, infectious agents, and air, water, or soil pollutants) or other behavioral risk factors (e.g., alcohol, coffee, diet, exercise, or head trauma) may be more important.¹¹ Interestingly, most of these environmental or behavioral risk factors have a different frequency or a different effect in men and women.^{12,13}

The birth cohort effect observed in the Olmsted County study may help in establishing the chronology of etiologic exposures. Men born in the decade from 1915 to 1924 experienced an increased risk for PD compared with men born in other birth decades, both before and after. A similar trend was also observed for women, but the analyses did not reach statistical significance.⁴ This birth cohort effect suggests that exposures that took place during intrauterine life or in early childhood (e.g., infectious, toxic, or dietary exposures) may be more important than cigarette smoking during adult life.¹⁴

A group of Finnish investigators tested a different etiologic hypothesis. Instead of focusing on the reduction in smoking over time, they correlated the risk of PD with trends in rural environmental factors, primarily the use of pesticides and the consumption of well water. Because there has been a trend of reduced use of pesticides in agriculture and increased access to clean water (i.e., piped water with contamination control) in Finland, they expected to observe a decline in incidence of PD and a narrowing of the rural-to-urban incidence rate ratio. By contrast, they found an increase in the risk of PD from 1997 to 2014 in both rural and urban regions, and no reduction in the rural-to-urban incidence risk ratio.⁶ They suggested that the effects of rural environmental factors may have a long latency, and many decades may be required before an environmental change will modify the risk of PD. The time frame may be particularly long if the most important environmental exposures take place during intrauterine life or in the first years of life.

In conclusion, I agree with Rossi and colleagues that the projections published by Dorsey and colleagues in 2007 should be revised. However, I think that the increase in the number of patients with PD projected for 2040 may not be due simply to a change in smoking patterns, but rather to a number of more complex environmental and behavioral changes. If the trends observed in Olmsted County for the years 1976 to 2005 continue after 2005, and are confirmed in other US populations, the projected number of persons affected by PD in the United States by 2040 may be much higher than predicted by Rossi et al.³ The number of persons affected by PD in 2040 will depend on changes over time in the age- and sex-specific prevalence of PD (number of patients per 100 population), and on changes in the

demographic structure of the population (population aging due to the increase in life expectancy). In turn, the changes in prevalence percents will depend on changes in incidence rates or risk of PD and on the length of survival of patients after they develop PD. Any change in incidence rates and survival in PD patients or changes in the demographic structure of the population in the coming decades may modify the projections. There is an urgent need for additional studies of the risk and protective factors for PD, that may prompt preventive interventions to reduce the future burden of PD.

Acknowledgments

Funding agencies: Dr. Rocca receives research support from the NIH [AG034676 (Principal investigator), AG052425 (Principal investigator), AG006786 (Co-investigator), AG044170 (Co-director), and AG004875 (Co-investigator)].

References

1. Dorsey ER, Constantinescu R, Thompson JP, et al. Projected number of people with Parkinson disease in the most populous nations, 2005 through 2030. *Neurology*. 2007; 68:384–386. [PubMed: 17082464]
2. Rocca WA. Time, Sex, Gender, History, and Dementia. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2017; 31:76–79. [PubMed: 28169841]
3. Rossi A, Berger K, Chen H, Leslie D, Mailman RB, Huang X. Projection of the prevalence of Parkinson's disease in the coming decades: Revisited. *Mov Disord*. 2017 Epub ahead of print.
4. Savica R, Grossardt BR, Bower JH, Ahlskog JE, Rocca WA. Time Trends in the Incidence of Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Neurol*. 2016; 73:981–989. [PubMed: 27323276]
5. Liu CC, Li CY, Lee PC, Sun Y. Variations in Incidence and Prevalence of Parkinson's Disease in Taiwan: A Population-Based Nationwide Study. *Parkinsons Dis*. 2016; 2016:8756359. [PubMed: 26904358]
6. Isotalo J, Vahlberg T, Kaasinen V. Unchanged long-term rural-to-urban incidence ratio of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2017; 32:474–475. [PubMed: 27862325]
7. Horsfall L, Petersen I, Walters K, Schrag A. Time trends in incidence of Parkinson's disease diagnosis in UK primary care. *J Neurol*. 2013; 260:1351–1357. [PubMed: 23263597]
8. Liu WM, Wu RM, Lin JW, Liu YC, Chang CH, Lin CH. Time trends in the prevalence and incidence of Parkinson's disease in Taiwan: A nationwide, population-based study. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2016; 115:531–538. [PubMed: 26123636]
9. Darweesh SK, Koudstaal PJ, Stricker BH, Hofman A, Ikram MA. Trends in the Incidence of Parkinson Disease in the General Population: The Rotterdam Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2016; 183:1018–1026. [PubMed: 27188952]
10. Ritz B, Lee PC, Lassen CF, Arah OA. Parkinson disease and smoking revisited: ease of quitting is an early sign of the disease. *Neurology*. 2014; 83:1396–1402. [PubMed: 25217056]
11. Darweesh SK, Koudstaal PJ, Ikram MA. Trends in the Incidence of Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Neurol*. 2016; 73:1497.
12. Savica R, Grossardt BR, Bower JH, Ahlskog JE, Rocca WA. Risk factors for Parkinson's disease may differ in men and women: an exploratory study. *Horm Behav*. 2013; 63:308–314. [PubMed: 22687345]
13. Kaasinen V, Vahlberg T, Suominen S. Increasing age-adjusted male-to-female incidence ratio of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2015; 30:286–288. [PubMed: 25477236]
14. Rocca WA, Savica R, Grossardt BR. Trends in the Incidence of Parkinson Disease-Reply. *JAMA Neurol*. 2016; 73:1498–1499. [PubMed: 27749957]