

# **HHS Public Access**

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2018 January ; 135: 85–87. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2017.11.008.

# Depressive symptoms and glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes participating in the TODAY clinical trial

Dorothy J. Van Buren, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Denise E. Wilfley, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Marsha D. Marcus, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Barbara Anderson, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Natalie Walders Abramson, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Robert Berkowitz, MD<sup>5</sup>, Carolyn levers-Landis, PhD<sup>6</sup>, Paula Trief, PhD<sup>7</sup>, Patrice Yasuda, PhD<sup>8</sup>, and Kathryn Hirst, PhD<sup>9</sup> for the TODAY Study Group

<sup>1</sup>Washington University School of Medicine, USA

<sup>2</sup>University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine

<sup>3</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, USA

<sup>5</sup>University of Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>6</sup>Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, USA

<sup>7</sup>SUNY Upstate Medical University, USA

<sup>8</sup>Children's Hospital Los Angeles, USA

<sup>9</sup>George Washington University Biostatistics Center, USA

# Summary

The relationship between depressive symptoms and glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes was assessed at baseline (n=682), 6, and/or 24 months (n=576). Neither baseline nor persistence of depressive symptoms was significantly associated with maintenance of glycemic control. Nevertheless, depressive symptoms were common, suggesting the importance of repeated screening.

## Keywords

Adolescent; depressive symptoms; type 2 diabetes

Corresponding author: Kathryn Hirst, PhD, George Washington University Biostatistics Center, 6110 Executive Boulevard, Suite 750, Rockville, MD 20852, USA, Office: 301-881-9260, today@bsc.gwu.edu.

Materials developed and used for the TODAY standard diabetes education program and the intensive lifestyle intervention program are available to the public at https://today.bsc.gwu.edu/.

Author conflict of interest disclosure: NWA is a consultant for Daiichi Sankyo. DW is a consultant for Shire Pharmaceuticals. None of the other authors has any conflict to report.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## 1. Introduction

Depression is a mental health disorder often first evidenced in childhood or adolescence [1]. Type 2 diabetes (T2D), once considered an "adult" disease, is increasingly prevalent in this age group [2]. Although depression has been associated with poorer glycemic control in youth with type 1 diabetes [3] and in adults with type 2 diabetes [4], little is known about the course of depressive symptoms or their relationship to glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes. The TODAY (Treatment Options for type 2 Diabetes in Adolescents and Youth) study, the first longitudinal investigation of treatments for youth with type 2 diabetes, provides a unique opportunity to better understand the course of depressive symptoms over time and their relationship to glycemic control in this understudied population.

# 2. Methods

TODAY, a collaborative, multi-center randomized clinical trial (15 clinical centers and a data coordinating center), was funded by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) of the National Institutes of Health. The study design, objectives and primary outcomes have been previously reported [5]. An External Evaluation Committee convened by the NIDDK approved the protocol as did the individual Institutional Review Boards. All participants provided informed consent; minor children confirmed assent per local guidelines. Enrollment was May 2004 – February 2009 (total n=699). Eligible participants were 10–17 years of age, with type 2 diabetes <2 years and a BMI 85<sup>th</sup> percentile at diagnosis. Participants were followed for a minimum of two years.

All participants completed a pre-randomization run-in period to discontinue non-study diabetes treatments, achieve hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) <8% on metformin only, and demonstrate adherence to the study protocol. After successful completion of run-in, participants were randomly assigned to treatment: metformin, metformin plus rosiglitazone, or metformin plus an intensive lifestyle intervention. Medical management occurred every 2 months in year 1 and quarterly thereafter; major outcomes were assessed at baseline, 6, and 24 months. Youth randomized to the metformin plus rosiglitazone arm had longer duration of sustained glycemic control when compared with those randomized to the other two treatment arms [5].

#### 2.1 Assessment of Depressive Symptoms

At baseline, 6 and 24 months, participants 15 years completed the Child Depression Inventory (CDI) [6] and those 16 years completed the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) [7]. These standardized self-report questionnaires assess the presence and severity of depressive symptoms. Clinically significant depressive symptoms were defined as: CDI score 13 [6]; BDI-II score 14 [7].

#### 2.2 Assessment of Glycemic Control

Inadequate glycemic control (treatment failure) was defined as HbA1c 8% over 6 months or sustained metabolic decompensation requiring insulin [3].

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 01.

#### 2.3 Study Sample

Baseline data are reported for 682 of 699 youth (97.6%) randomized into the TODAY trial who completed an assessment of depressive symptoms at baseline (pre-randomization). Longitudinal data are reported for 576 who had measures of glycemic control plus an assessment of depressive symptoms at 6 or 24 months (360 at all 3 visits, 194 at baseline and 6 months only, 22 at baseline and 24 months only) before treatment failure. At baseline, presence of depressive symptoms in the longitudinal analysis sample of 576 was not different from the 106 with baseline data, but excluded from the analysis (14.4% versus 15.1%, respectively, p=0.8541).

#### 2.4 Statistical Analyses

The present study reports on secondary analyses of the TODAY study data. Descriptive statistics (mean ± standard deviation or percentage) were calculated, and ANOVA for continuous variables (HbA1c values) and chi-square test for categorical variables (depressive symptoms) were conducted. The relationship between treatment failure (inadequate glycemic control) and longitudinal depressive symptoms was assessed using time-to-event survival methods (SAS PROC LIFEREG).

# 3. Results and Discussion

At baseline, average age was 13.9 (2.0) years, 65.5% female, 20.2% non-Hispanic White), and 41.3% lived in a household with an annual income <\$25,000. Of the 14.5% reporting the presence of clinically significant depressive symptoms, 73.7% were female versus 64.2% of participants with no symptoms, but this was not significantly different. In the longitudinal analysis sample of 576 participants, 454 (78.8%) never had clinically significant depressive symptoms, 69 (12.0%) had symptoms present at 1 of the 3 visits studied, 44 (7.6%) had symptoms at 2 of the 3 visits, and 9 (1.6%) had symptoms present at all 3 visits. Of the 493 participants negative for depressive symptoms at baseline, 39 (7.9%) subsequently had evidence of clinically significant symptoms at 6 and/or at 24 months.

Survival analysis showed that clinically significant depressive symptoms at baseline did not predict adequacy of glycemic control (p=0.29). The longitudinal analysis sample of 576 was used to examine whether there was a cumulative effect of persistent presence of depressive symptoms during 2 years of follow-up. There was no relationship between treatment failure (inadequate glycemic control) and presence of depressive symptoms at baseline (p=0.46), or as number of visits reporting the presence of clinically significant depressive symptoms increased (p=0.64). Although we did not observe a relationship between clinically significant symptoms of depression and inadequate glycemic control in our youth, the long-term impact of depressive symptoms on comorbidities and disease progression in this cohort are unknown.

Participating in a clinical trial and experiencing the increased contact and intensified attention may have served to mitigate depressive symptoms as well as the impact of symptoms on glycemic control. For example, youth in two of the treatment arms received metformin, and its effect on weight and other aspects of functioning such as sleep and eating

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 01.

Van Buren et al.

could have confounded the relationship between glycemic control and depressive symptoms [8]. Further, although it would have been preferable to base the analysis on a definitive psychiatric diagnosis of depressive disorder resulting from clinical interview, study resources were limited to the more expedient assessment of depressive symptoms using standardized self-report instruments. Finally, diabetes distress (negative mood states and cognitions specific to having a chronic illness such as diabetes), which was not measured, may be more closely associated with glycemic control than the assessment of general depressive symptoms [9,10].

Nevertheless, clinically significant depressive symptoms emerged in 8% of the TODAY cohort who did not report clinically significant symptoms of depression upon entry into the study. These findings lend support to ADA recommendations to assess for depressive symptoms at regular intervals throughout the course of treatment and to refer for management of these symptoms as appropriate [11,12]. Further research examining the relation between depression and glycemic control in community samples of youth with type 2 diabetes is warranted.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was completed with funding from NIDDK and the NIH Office of the Director through grants U01-DK61212, U01-DK61230, U01-DK61239, U01-DK61242, and U01-DK61254; the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR) General Clinical Research Centers Program through grants M01-RR00036 (Washington University School of Medicine); and from the NCRR Clinical and Translational Science Awards through grants UL1-RR024992 (Washington University in St. Louis). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The NIDDK project office was involved in all aspects of the study, including: design and conduct; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; review and approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

The TODAY Study Group thanks the following companies for donations in support of the study's efforts: Becton, Dickinson and Company; Bristol-Myers Squibb; Eli Lilly and Company; GlaxoSmithKline; LifeScan, Inc.; Pfizer; Sanofi Aventis. We also gratefully acknowledge the participation and guidance of the American Indian partners associated with the clinical center located at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, including members of the Absentee Shawnee Tribe, Cherokee Nation, Chickasaw Nation, Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, and Oklahoma City Area Indian Health Service; the opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the respective Tribal and Indian Health Service Institution Review Boards or their members.

#### References

- Merikangas KR, He J, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Study – Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010; 49(10):980–989. [PubMed: 20855043]
- 2. Pinhas-Hamiel O, Zeitler P. Clinical presentation and treatment of type 2 diabetes in children. Pediatr Diabetes. 2007; 8(Suppl 9):16–27. [PubMed: 17991129]
- 3. Hood KK, Huestis S, Maher A, Butler D. Depressive symptoms in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: association with diabetes-specific characteristics. Diabetes Care. 2006; 29:1389–1391. [PubMed: 16732028]
- 4. Lustman P, Clouse RE. Depression in diabetic patients: the relationship between mood and glycemic control. Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications. 2005; 19:113–122. [PubMed: 15745842]
- 5. TODAY Study Group. A clinical trial to maintain glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2012; 366(24):2247–2256. [PubMed: 22540912]
- Kovacs, M. Children's Depression Inventory Manual. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems; 1992.

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 01.

- 7. Beck, AT., Steer, RA. Beck Depression Inventory II. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corp; 1996.
- Fisher L, Glasgow RE, Strycker LA. The relationship between diabetes distress and clinical depression with glycemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33(5): 1034–1036. [PubMed: 20150291]
- Fisher L, Polonsky WH, Hessler DM, Mullan J. When is diabetes distress clinically meaningful? Establishing cut points for the Diabetes Distress Scale. Diabetes Care. 2012; 35:259–264. [PubMed: 22228744]
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2016. Diabetes Care. 2016; 39(Suppl 1):S1–S2. [PubMed: 26696671]
- Dever M. Screening for depression in adolescents with diabetes. J Pediatr Nurs. 2016; 31(1):117– 119. [PubMed: 26657811]