

HHS Public Access

Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 September 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2017 September 15; 331: 69–75. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2017.05.020.

Using DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramers to detect Ni²⁺ reactive CD4⁺ T cells in patients with joint replacement failure

Yan Zhang^{2,3}, Yang Wang^{2,3}, Kirsten Anderson^{2,3}, Andrey Novikov, Zikou Liu^{2,3}, Karin Pacheco¹, and Shaodong Dai^{2,3}

¹Department of Medicine, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO80206, USA

²Department of Biomedical Research, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO80206, USA

³Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO 80045

Abstract

T cell mediated hypersensitivity to nickel (Ni²⁺) is one of the most common causes of allergic contact dermatitis. Ni²⁺ sensitization may also contribute to the failure of Ni²⁺ containing joint implants, and revision to non-Ni²⁺ containing hardware can be costly and debilitating. Previously, we identified Ni^{2+} mimotope peptides, which are reactive to a CD4⁺ T cell clone, ANi2.3 (Va.1, V β 17), isolated from a Ni²⁺ hypersensitive patient with contact dermatitis. This T cell is restricted to major histocompatibility complex class II (MHCII) molecule, Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA)-DR52c (DRA, DRB3*0301). However, it is not known if Ni²⁺ induced T cell responses in sensitized joint replacement failure patients are similar to subjects with Ni²⁺ induced contact dermatitis. Here, we generated DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramers, and used them to test if the same Ni²⁺ T cell activation mechanism could be generalized to Ni²⁺ sensitized patients with associated joint implant failure. We confirmed the specificity of these tetramers by staining of ANi2.3 T cell transfectomas. The DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramer detected Ni²⁺ reactive CD4⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) of patients identified as Ni²⁺ sensitized by patch testing and/or a positive Ni²⁺ LPT. When HLA-typed by a DR52 specific antibody, three out of four patients were DR52 positive. In one patient, Ni²⁺ stimulation induced the expansion of Vβ17 positive CD4⁺ T cells from 0.8% to 13.3%. We found that the percentage of DR52 positivity and V β 17 usage in Ni²⁺ sensitized joint failure patients are similar to Ni sensitized skin allergy patients. Ni²⁺ independent mimotope tetramers may be a useful tool to identify the Ni²⁺ reactive CD4⁺ T cells.

Graphical abstract

The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Keywords

metal allergy; HLA; tetramer; joint implants; metal toxicology; CD4⁺ T cells

Introduction

Nickel (Ni²⁺) is one of the most common sensitizers according to the American Academy of Dermatology, and was voted 'Allergen of the Year' in 2008. The prevalence of Ni²⁺ sensitization is estimated to be rising from 15.5% in 2009–10, to 18.5% in 2011–12, and the ATSDR estimates that 10–20% of the US population is sensitized to Ni²⁺. Hypersensitivity to Ni²⁺ is an important factor that can cause joint replacements failure; after such failed implants are replaced with non-Ni²⁺ containing hardware, many of the symptoms are relieved (Pacheco, 2015).

Despite the high prevalence of Ni²⁺ allergy, the specific immune components of the sensitization process are poorly understood. There is strong evidence for specific T cell involvement in the pathogenesis of the disease, with an expansion of $\alpha\beta$ T cells specific for the metal (Sinigaglia *et al.*, 1985; Kapsenberg *et al.*, 1987; Emtestam *et al.*, 1989; Silvennoinen-Kassinen *et al.*, 1991). V β 17 elements in Ni²⁺ specific human T cell receptors dominate in contact dermatitis, and greater frequency of these T cells correlates with the severity of the dermatitis (Vollmer *et al.*, 1997). In a Ni²⁺ reactive CD4⁺ T cell transfectoma-ANi2.3, derived from a subject with Ni²⁺ induced contact dermatitis, MHCII molecule DR52c (DRA*0101, DRB3*0301) interacts with the T cell receptor (TCR) (V α 1, V β 17) in complex with an unknown peptide (Lu *et al.*, 2003). We found several peptide mimotopes that, when bound to DR52c, engaged the TCR and activated ANi2.3 T cells in the absence of Ni²⁺ (Yin *et al.*, 2012). The mimotope p7 lysine was suggested to mimic Ni²⁺ in the natural TCR ligand, and MHCII β chain flexibility in the area around the peptide p7 position forms a common site for cation binding in metal allergies.

Fluorescently labeled MHC-peptide tetramers are powerful tools in the analysis of antigenspecific T cell immune responses (Nepom, 2012). The goal of our research was to use the DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramers to study Ni²⁺ sensitized patients with joint implant failure, and thus provide insight into the Ni²⁺ sensitization process. The subjects in this study were

referred by their orthopedic surgeon for evaluation as to whether sensitization to an implant component had contributed to their joint replacement failure. They were determined to be Ni^{2+} sensitized by both patch testing and blood lymphocyte proliferation test (LPT), and to have a Ni²⁺ containing implant (Pacheco et al., 2013). To date, it has been difficult to study how Ni²⁺ haptens are incorporated into self-peptide and became T cell epitopes for several reasons. First, the binding affinity between TCRs and the peptide-Ni²⁺ ligands are low (Yin et al., 2012). Second, the metal binding sites are commonly solvent exposed and heavily influenced by the media or buffers. In previous baculovirus DR52c - peptide library screening experiments, we showed that the eNH2 group of lysine mimicked the Ni²⁺ ions in the engagement of TCRs with stronger and stable binding (Yin et al., 2012). We speculated that Ni²⁺ mimotopes would circumvent both problems, and could be a valuable tool to study T cells. We expanded Ni²⁺ specific CD4⁺ T cells by stimulating PBMCs with Ni²⁺, and measured the ability of one of our Ni²⁺ specific mimotopes, complexed with the soluble DR52c conjugated with fluorescein, to bind to these Ni²⁺ expanded T cells. At the same time, we assessed the change in percentage of V $\beta 17^+$ CD4⁺ T cells. We found that the DR52c-mimotope tetramer identified in skin Ni²⁺ allergy is also capable of binding T cells in Ni²⁺ sensitized patients with joint implant failure, and the percentage of V β 17⁺ CD4⁺ T cells paralleled tetramer staining.

Material and methods

Subject Selection

Subjects were enrolled in an IRB approved metal allergy study after providing written informed consent. We randomly selected the initial 4 subjects in our study on the basis of a positive Ni^{2+} patch test and/or positive Ni^{2+} LPT, and a poorly functioning Ni^{2+} containing implant from a cohort of 1054 enrolled patients referred for evaluation of possible metal allergy. The mean age was 56.75 years (range 37 – 74), and 3/4 subjects were female. Most (67%) had a positive Ni^{2+} patch test, and all had a positive Ni^{2+} LPT, with a mean peak stimulation index of 61 (positive threshold=5.7), and a range from 12.2 to 138.3. Patients KR, BS, RB and LJ were post-operative patients with the following Ni^{2+} containing implants: two right total knee arthroplasties (TKA), one left total hip arthroplasty, and one Essure implant. Patient MH is a pre-operative patient with positive Ni^{2+} patch test and Ni^{2+} LPT. Potient KG has both negative Ni^{2+} patch test and Ni^{2+} LPT. To compare with previous study on the European allergic contact dermatitis patients (Moulon *et al.*, 1995), only Caucasian subjects were selected.

Cell Lines

The Ni²⁺ reactive CD4⁺ T cell transfectoma bearing Va and V β TCR segments of ANi2.3 T cell, and Ca and C β segments of a mouse TCR, has been described previously (Vollmer et al., 1999).The EBV transformed B cell line, HO301, expresses DRB3*0301 (DR52c), DRA*01012, DRB1*1302, DQA*101021, DQB1*0604, DPA1*01, and DPB1*1601 (Gorski *et al.*, 1989; Lu *et al.*, 2003). The two cell lines are gifts of Dr. John Kappler (National Jewish Health, Denver, CO).

Monoclonal Antibodies

FK7.3.19.1 is a monoclonal antibody (mAb) specific for DR52 (also cross-reactive to DRB1*0301 and DRB1*0302) (Bontrop *et al.*, 1990). Biotin mouse IgG2b κ was from PharMingen (03042C). Tetramer staining used the following MAbs: Streptavidin-R-Phycoerythrin (PE)/Cy7anti-human CD4 antibody (Biolegend, 300511); Streptavidin-Allophycocyanin (APC)/Cy7 anti-human CD8 antibody (Biolegend, 344713); PE anti-human CD8 antibody (for single staining for PE tetramer, Becton Dickinson, 7317); APC anti-human CD8a antibody (for single staining for APC tetramer, eBioscience, 17-0088-41); PB anti-human CD14 antibody (Caltaglab, MHCD1428); BV421 anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 562441); PerCP/Cy5.5anti-human/mouse CD44 antibody (eBioscience, 45-0441); BV605 anti-human CD3 antibody (Biolegend, 327321); HLA typing antibody: BV605 anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 562441); Others: FITC anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 340585); BV421 anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 562441); Others: FITC anti-human CD19 antibody (BC, 562441); Others: FITC anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 562441); Others: FITC anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 340585); BV421 anti-human CD19 antibody (BD, 562441); Others: FITC anti-human CD19 antibody (BC, 562441); Others: FITC anti-human CC019 antibody (BC, 562

Reagents

Purified human Fc receptor binding inhibitor (eBioscience, 45-0441).PE-SA for detecting biotin-Ab in flow cytometry (Molecular Probes, S-866). Phytohemagglutinin-L (PHA-L) solution (500 χ , eBioscience, 00-4977). Ficoll (Ficoll-paqueTM PLUS, GE Healthcare, 17-1440-03). PE (ProZyme, PJRS25) and APC (ProZyme, PJ25S) for making tetramers.

Preparation of soluble DR52c with Ni²⁺ mimotope peptide and Ni²⁺ mimotope peptide-DR52c tetramers

The DR52c α and β chains were cloned into a two-promoter baculovirus transfer vector described previously (Dai *et al.*, 2008). Briefly, the DNA encoding a DR52c-binding Ni²⁺ mimotope peptide followed by a linker (GPSKVATLVPRGSGGGGGS) was inserted into the frame between the signal peptide and the N terminus of the DR52c β chain, such that after expression and signal peptide cleavage, the peptide would occupy the peptide-binding groove of DR52c (Kozono *et al.*, 1994). Three mimotope peptides were chosen to make tetramers: QHIRCNIPKRI (pHIR), QWIRVNIPKRI (pWIR) and QHISINLPKRI (pHIS). The final construction was sequenced and then transfected SF9 insect cells using standard homologous recombination and Baculogold (PharMingen) as the recipient baculovirus DNA. Then the virus was expanded to provide a high-titer stock for large-scale production of DR52c-mimotope peptides.

To prepare protein for the tetramers, 4 liters of Hi-5 insect cells ($\approx 5 \times 10^{5}$ /ml) were infected at a multiplicity of infection of ≈ 5 . After 5 days, the culture supernatant was collected by centrifugation and passed through a 0.2-µm filter. The soluble DR52c-mimotope peptides were isolated from the supernatant by anti-DR α -specific mAb LB3.1 affinity column (American Type Culture Collection). The eluate from the column was concentrated and further purified in a Superdex-200 size-exclusion chromatograph. The homogeneous peak corresponding to a molecular mass of ≈ 60 KD was collected. The proteins were biotinylated with BirA (Avidity, Denver) and incorporated into saturated complexes with PE or APC as described previously (Crawford *et al.*, 1998).

PBMC preparation and cryopreservation

Blood was separated on a Ficoll Hypaque gradient, according to standard methods. In brief, the blood was diluted 1: 1 with PBS (no more than 40 ml) and slowly added onto 10 ml of Ficoll in 50 ml conical tube. The tube was spun at 740 g for 20 minutes. The buffy coat layer (PBMC) was carefully transferred into a new tube by pipette, and an equal volume of PBS was added. The tube was centrifuged at 350 g for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. Ten ml of fresh PBS was added, and 10 μ l of sample was used for cell quantification. The tube was again centrifuged at 350 g for 10 minutes. PBMCs weresuspended in 10% DMSO and 90% FBS at a concentration of 5–10 million cells per ml in 1 ml aliquots. The cells were frozen at –80°C for 2–3 days, and then were transferred into liquid nitrogen until used in experiments.

DR52 typing

The B cells from patients' PBMCs were stained with bio-FK7.3.19.1, followed by binding to PE conjugated Streptavidin. In the flow cytometry experiments, 5 million PBMCs were used for each sample. For single staining samples, 10 thousand PBMCs were used. After PBMCs were thawed, they were washed once with balanced salt solution (BSS). PBMCs were stained with BV605 anti-human CD3 antibody, PerCP anti-human CD14 antibody, BV421 anti-human CD19 antibody, and bio-FK7.3.19.1 or bio-mouse IgG2b at 4°C for 20–30 minutes. Cells were then washed three times with BSS. The cells were stained with PE-SA at 4°C for 20–30 minutes, and washed with BSS three times. Cells were fixed by 1% parafomaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature, then washed twice and analyzed by flow cytometry (CyAn ADP, Beckman Coulter). The data were analyzed by FlowJo v8.8.7.

PBMC T cell stimulation

10 million thawed PBMCs were washed once with BSS, and then cultured in CTM (complete tumor medium, MEM enriched with essential and nonessential amino acids, glutamine, sodium bicarbonate, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 20 μ M 2-mercaptoethanol). For Ni²⁺ activation, 10⁻⁴ M NiSO₄ was added to cells and cultured for 7–8 days before staining and analyzed by flow cytometry. During the 7–8 days, the culture medium with Ni²⁺ was exchanged once. For PHA-L activation, cells were activated by 1xPHA-L for 3 days, followed by another 4–5 days in culture media without PHA-L.

Immunofluorescence analysis for DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramer binding

In the tetramer staining of T transfectoma cells, 1 million cells were stained with tetramers (20 µg/ml) at 37°C for 2 hours. To enhance peptide-MHC tetramer binding, separate samples also included mAb H597 specific to murine C β (Kubo *et al.*, 1989) at 2 µg/ml during the incubation period. Then cells were washed three times and re-suspended in FACs buffer (2% FBS/2 mM EDTA/PBS). The cells were analyzed by CyAn ADP analyzer (Beckman Coulter). In the Ni²⁺ and PHA-L stimulated PBMC groups, the cells were washed with FACs buffer twice. For the control (no activation) group, 10 million cells were thawed and washed once with FACs buffer. Then cells were blocked by PBS with 10% FBS and human Fc block (1:10) at room temperature for 30 minutes. Cells were stained with tetramers (20 µg/ml) at 37°C for 2 hours. Antibodies cocktail were directly added and incubated at 4°C for

another 20–30 minutes. Fluorescent stain 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was added for 5 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed three times and re-suspended in FACS buffer. The cells were analyzed by Aria Fusionsorter (BD Biosciences). The data were analyzed by FlowJo v8.8.7.

Results

DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramers specifically stained ANi2.3 T cell transfectomas

The Ni²⁺ reactive DR52c restricted T cell ANi2.3 is a tool for studying Ni²⁺ hypersensitivity in patients. Previously a series of Ni²⁺ -independent mimotopes were found in baculovirus DR52c-peptide libraries (Yin *et al.*, 2012). We chose the mimotopes that best stimulated ANi2.3 T cell (pHIR, pWIRand pHIS) to make tetramers. The soluble mimotope-DR52c molecules were expressed and purified. A BirA tag was present in the C terminal of the β chain of DR52c. The soluble mimotope-DR52c molecules could be made into biotinylated version of complexes. Tetramers were prepared by ligating PE-streptavidin or APCstreptavidin to the biotinylated peptide-DR52c molecules.

To test the binding and specificity of the covalent mimotope-DR52c complexes, we used ANi2.3 T cell transfectomas as a positive control. These transfectomas responded to autologous antigen-presenting cells pulsed with Ni²⁺ (Vollmer *et al.*, 2000; Lu *et al.*, 2003). The ANi2.3 T cells and control cells were incubated with tetramers linked to pHIR, pHIS, pWIR and pTu peptides, respectively. pTu is an irrelevant peptide that served as a negative control (Fig.1). PE-tetramers with pHIR, pHIS and pWIR peptides and APC-tetramer with pHIR peptide could specifically stain the ANi2.3 T cells but not the control cells (Fig.1A,B,C and D). The anti-mouse C β mAb, H597, enhanced the tetramer staining (Fig. 1E and F).

The DR52 specific mAb FK7.2.19.1 was used to MHC-type the implant failure patients

The ANi2.3 T cell is restricted to DR52c. We wanted to see if DR52c was proportionately represented in our Ni²⁺ hypersensitive patients. First, we tested the antibody specificity in a DR52c expressed B lymphoblastoid cell line, HO301. We used bio-FK7.3.19.1 to stain the HO301 cells and the control cells, then added PE-streptavidin to detect the biotinylated antibody. The staining was analyzed by flow cytometry. The bio-FK7.3.19.1 could specifically stain the HO301 cells but not the control cells. The isotype control bio-mouse IgG2b κ could not stain the HO301 cells (Fig.2A). This demonstrated that bio-FK7.3.19.1 could specifically stain the DR52 on the surface of HO301 cells.

We used the same staining strategy to study DR52 alleles in the B cells from 4 subject PBMCs. The PBMCs were first gated on live lymphocytes, then macrophages and CD3⁺ T cells were excluded. CD19⁺ B cells were analyzed for DR52 allele staining. Typically, positive DR52 staining was observed in over 90% of B cells. Our isotype control (bio-mouse IgG2b κ) does not stain B cells (Fig. 2B). In the 4 selected patients, patient KR, BS and RB are DR52 positive, and patient LJ is DR52 negative.

The DR52c/Ni²⁺ mimotope tetramers detected Ni²⁺ reactive T cells in Ni²⁺ sensitized implant failure subjects

We used the DR52c-pHIR tetramer to detect Ni²⁺ reactive T cells in the PBMCs of Ni²⁺ sensitized patients with implant failure. We stained non-stimulated, and Ni²⁺ or phytohemagglutinin-L (PHA-L) stimulated PBMCs with tetramers, cell antibody markers, and the live cell marker, DAPI. Incubation with PHA-L served as a nonspecific T cell stimulation control, compared to Ni²⁺ stimulation. We expected that T cells stained with DR52c-pHIR tetramer should be V β 17 positive, and we measured TCR V β 17 usage at the same time. To exclude nonspecific binding in one color staining, we used two colors of the tetramer, PE-tetramer and APC-tetramer, in staining. For specific staining, there should be a diagonal in the double positive region. We found that both staining levels were similar, independent of the conjugated fluoresceins.

During the stimulation process, examination by microscope demonstrated that the cells proliferated well in both Ni²⁺ and PHA-L stimulation groups. When analyzed by flow cytometry, the percentage of DR52c-pHIR tetramer positive CD4⁺ T cells increased dramatically after Ni²⁺ stimulation, compared to control non-stimulated cells (Fig.3A and B). In contrast, the control DR52c-pTu tetramer staining of the CD4⁺ T cell population was almost undetectable. In the PHA-L stimulated positive controls, the DR52c-pHIR tetramer staining is much lower than that seen with Ni²⁺ stimulation. PHA-L can induce non-specific proliferation of T cells. CD44 expression is an indicative marker for effector-memory T-cells. As shown in figure 3, in patient KR, the tetramer-positive cells after Ni²⁺ stimulation were CD44 high CD4⁺ and CD44 low CD4⁺ T cells, while the cells after PHA-L stimulation were CD44 low CD4⁺ T cells (Fig.3B and C). It implies that the DR52c-pHIR positive CD4⁺ T cells were Ni²⁺ re-stimulated cells. These results demonstrate that the DR52c-pHIR tetramer can specifically detect Ni²⁺ reactive T cells (Fig.3A, B and C). The percentage of Vβ17 T cells increased in tandem with tetramer staining after Ni²⁺ stimulation, which was not observed in the PHA-L stimulated controls (Fig. 3D, E and F).

We then examined DR52c-pHIR tetramer binding of PBMCs stimulated by Ni²⁺ from 4 Ni²⁺ sensitized patients with implant failure (Fig. 4A). The two-color tetramer staining was similar to one-color staining. Patient KR is HLA-DR52 positive, and demonstrated the greatest DR52c-pHIR tetramer staining, accounting for 1.09% in one color staining (Fig. 3B and Fig. 4A). The percentage of TCR V β 17 staining also increased from <1% up to 13.3% after Ni²⁺ stimulation for this patient, demonstrating a significantly represented proportion of T cells specific to Ni²⁺ expressing this beta chain (Fig. 4B). For the other three patients, tetramer staining and V β 17 percentages remained low (Fig. 4A).

Table 1 summarizes the clinical and research data of the four Ni^{2+} sensitized patients with implant failure that were evaluated with tetramer staining. WW found there is a tendency of correlation between the V β 17 ratio (the ratio of the V β 17⁺ cell percentages in Ni^{2+} -specific versus PHA-L-activated cultures) and the size of the patch test reaction and the Ni^{2+} LPT PSI (peak stimulation index) for all patients. Interestingly, the patient (KR) with the highest percent ratio of V β 17 T cells, highest staining of tetramer also had the largest skin test reaction. In contrast, the one HLA DR52 negative patient also had the lowest Ni^{2+} LPT PSI,

the smallest Ni^{2+} patch test reaction, the lowest percentage ratio of V β 17 T cells, and the lowest tetramer staining, suggesting a different pathway of Ni^{2+} sensitization.

Discussion

Our initial studies of the process of Ni²⁺ sensitization were based on a specific Ni²⁺ reactive human CD4⁺ T cell, ANi2.3, which was isolated from a Ni²⁺ allergic contact dermatitis patient. ANi2.3 T cells are restricted to HLA DR52c, and can be activated by Ni²⁺ mimotope peptides. The structural data showed that ANi2.3 T cells recognize an invariant lysine at the p7 position of mimotopes in the absence of Ni²⁺ (Yin *et al.*, 2012), though the exact Ni²⁺ associated self-peptides remain undefined. Little is known about how Ni²⁺ ions are presented to pathologic T cells present in joint failure due to Ni²⁺ sensitization. One of our main questions is whether Ni²⁺ reactive T cells share the same Ni²⁺ presenting selfpeptides in both skin and implant hypersensitivity, indicating that Ni²⁺ hypersensitivity is either an organ specific or systemic disease. Here, we used tetramers of identified Ni²⁺ mimotope peptides linked to DR52c to study T cell responses in subjects with implant failure due to Ni²⁺ hypersensitivity, and compared their results to a Ni²⁺ specific clone from a patient with contact dermatitis due to Ni²⁺ hypersensitivity.

We were able to detect similarities between Ni^{2+} induced contact dermatitis and Ni^{2+} induced joint failure. Patient KR, who had the strongest Ni^{2+} patch test reaction, also had the highest percentage of T cell V β 17 usage. In patient with Ni^{2+} induced contact dermatitis, the dominance of TCR V β 17 usage is related to the severity of disease (Vollmer *et al.*, 1997). The other three patients with a small Ni^{2+} patch test reaction also had a much lower percentage of TCR V β 17 usage. This may be not surprising, as Ni^{2+} reactive CD4⁺ T cells from peripheral blood could have a very broad range of frequency, depending on prior antigen exposure, exposure history, and timing of sensitization.

We used a DR52 specific mAbFK-7.3.19.1 to type the patients. HLADR52 has three suballeles: DR52a, DR52b, and DR52c (Dai *et al.*, 2008). In fact, the three DR52 alleles are closely related, as they derive from a common precursor gene through a gene conversion or other type of recombination event (Gorski and Mach, 1986; Gorski *et al.*, 1989). Their sequence data suggest that DR52c was derived from a recombination between DR52a and DR52b (Gorski *et al.*, 1989). It is possible that our DR52c-pHIR tetramer could also crossreact with Ni²⁺ specific T cells from DR52a or DR52b positive patients. The similarity of certain peptide-binding pockets between DR52c, DR52b, and DR52a, may explain why certain autoimmune diseases are associated with two of the three alleles; depending on the relative use of particular binding sites, a given peptide might bind to more than one of these DR52 allelic forms (Dai *et al.*, 2008).

In our small sample of four patients with implant failure due to Ni^{2+} sensitization, the combined DR52 frequency is 75% (Fig 2B). This is similar to the frequency of HLA DR52 in a study of Ni^{2+} allergy in contact dermatitis, where the combined DR52 frequency was 66.7% (Vollmer *et al.*, 1997). Interestingly, it is much higher than the frequency of HLA DR52 in the general Caucasian population, where it is 38.7% (www.allelefrequencies.net)

In another example of the immune specificity of metal sensitization, Beryllium (Be²⁺) hypersensitivity are linked to a Glu 69 present inDP2 beta chain (Dai *et al.*, 2010). We showed that the Be²⁺ ion was buried in the acidic pocket between MHC and self-peptides (Clayton *et al.*, 2014), which limits the alleles of Be²⁺ presenting MHC molecules. In contrast, Ni²⁺ presentation is far more promiscuous, since Ni²⁺ ions are coordinated on top of MHC molecules and self-peptides (Yin *et al.*, 2012). As long as the amino acids on the face of MHC and self-peptides satisfy the Ni²⁺ coordination chemistry, quite diverse MHCs and peptides could present Ni²⁺ to T cells. Vollmeret al. showed that DR52c was not the only MHC restriction element for Ni²⁺ reactive CD4⁺ T cells (Vollmer *et al.*, 1999).

In summary, we have demonstrated that the DR52c-pHIR tetramer specific to one Ni²⁺ clone was able to also detect Ni²⁺ specific CD4⁺ T cells in other sensitized patients. These tetramers can provide a powerful tool to study T cells from Ni²⁺ allergic patients, and could potentially develop into a clinically useful biomarker. Using this patient population, we plan to study the exact peptides associated with Ni²⁺ reactive TCRs by isolating tetramer-positive CD4⁺ T cells, and determining TCR usage using single cell sequencing.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Kappler/Marrack lab for their generous help and sharing of reagents, and Shirley Sobus of the Flow Cytometry Facility at National Jewish Health. This work was supported by a grant from NIEHS R01-ES025797. Y.W. was supported by NIH grant F32-AI074491. K.A. was supported by NIH grant T32-AR007534.

References

- Bontrop RE, Elferink DG, Otting N, Jonker M, de Vries RR. Major histocompatibility complex class II-restricted antigen presentation across a species barrier: conservation of restriction determinants in evolution. J Exp Med. 1990; 172:53–59. [PubMed: 1694228]
- Bowerman NA, Falta MT, Mack DG, Wehrmann F, Crawford F, Mroz MM, Maier LA, Kappler JW, Fontenot AP. Identification of multiple public TCR repertoires in chronic beryllium disease. J Immunol. 2014; 192:4571–4580. [PubMed: 24719461]
- Clayton GM, Wang Y, Crawford F, Novikov A, Wimberly BT, Kieft JS, Falta MT, Bowerman NA, Marrack P, Fontenot AP, Dai S, Kappler JW. Structural basis of chronic beryllium disease: linking allergic hypersensitivity and autoimmunity. Cell. 2014; 158:132–142. [PubMed: 24995984]
- Crawford F, Kozono H, White J, Marrack P, Kappler J. Detection of antigen-specific T cells with multivalent soluble class II MHC covalent peptide complexes. Immunity. 1998; 8:675–682. [PubMed: 9655481]
- Dai S, Crawford F, Marrack P, Kappler JW. The structure of HLA-DR52c: comparison to other HLA-DRB3 alleles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:11893–11897. [PubMed: 18697946]
- Dai S, Murphy GA, Crawford F, Mack DG, Falta MT, Marrack P, Kappler JW, Fontenot AP. Crystal structure of HLA-DP2 and implications for chronic beryllium disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:7425–7430. [PubMed: 20356827]
- Emtestam L, Carlsson B, Marcusson JA, Wallin J, Moller E. Specificity of HLA restricting elements for human nickel reactive T cell clones. Tissue Antigens. 1989; 33:531–541. [PubMed: 2477914]
- Gorski J, Irle C, Mickelson EM, Sheehy MJ, Termijtelen A, Ucla C, Mach B. Correlation of structure with T cell responses of the three members of the HLA-DRw52 allelic series. J Exp Med. 1989; 170:1027–1032. [PubMed: 2788702]
- Gorski J, Mach B. Polymorphism of human Ia antigens: gene conversion between two DR beta loci results in a new HLA-D/DR specificity. Nature. 1986; 322:67–70. [PubMed: 3459965]
- Kapsenberg ML, Res P, Bos JD, Schootemijer A, Teunissen MB, Van Schooten W. Nickel-specific T lymphocyte clones derived from allergic nickel-contact dermatitis lesions in man: heterogeneity

based on requirement of dendritic antigen-presenting cell subsets. Eur J Immunol. 1987; 17:861–865. [PubMed: 3496226]

- Kozono H, White J, Clements J, Marrack P, Kappler J. Production of soluble MHC class II proteins with covalently bound single peptides. Nature. 1994; 369:151–154. [PubMed: 8177320]
- Kubo RT, Born W, Kappler JW, Marrack P, Pigeon M. Characterization of a monoclonal antibody which detects all murine alpha beta T cell receptors. J Immunol. 1989; 142:2736–2742. [PubMed: 2467936]
- Lu L, Vollmer J, Moulon C, Weltzien HU, Marrack P, Kappler J. Components of the ligand for a Ni++ reactive human T cell clone. J Exp Med. 2003; 197:567–574. [PubMed: 12615898]
- Molins H, Michelet L, Lanquar V, Agorio A, Giraudat J, Roach T, Krieger-Liszkay A, Thomine S. Mutants impaired in vacuolar metal mobilization identify chloroplasts as a target for cadmium hypersensitivity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant, Cell & Environment. 2013; 36:804–817.
- Moulon C, Vollmer J, Weltzien HU. Characterization of processing requirements and metal crossreactivities in T cell clones from patients with allergic contact dermatitis to nickel. Eur J Immunol. 1995; 25:3308–3315. [PubMed: 8566016]

Nepom GT. MHC class II tetramers. J Immunol. 2012; 188:2477-2482. [PubMed: 22389204]

- Pacheco K, Barker L, Maier L, Erb S, Sills M, Knight V. Development of a validated blood test for nickel sensitization. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013; 132:767–769. [PubMed: 23672782]
- Pacheco K, Mayer A, Erb S, Shirname-More L, Maier LA. High Rates Of Sensitization To Selected Metals and Bone Cement In Joint Replacement Failure Patients and Preoperative Evaluations. J All Clin Immunol. 2014; 133:AB150.
- Pacheco KA. Allergy to Surgical Implants. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2015; 3:683–695. [PubMed: 26362550]
- Silvennoinen-Kassinen S, Poikonen K, Ikaheimo I. Characterization of nickel-specific T cell clones. Scand J Immunol. 1991; 33:429–434. [PubMed: 1826795]
- Sinigaglia F, Scheidegger D, Garotta G, Scheper R, Pletscher M, Lanzavecchia A. Isolation and characterization of Ni-specific T cell clones from patients with Ni-contact dermatitis. J Immunol. 1985; 135:3929–3932. [PubMed: 2415590]
- Uchtenhagen H, Rims C, Blahnik G, Chow IT, Kwok WW, Buckner JH, James EA. Efficient ex vivo analysis of CD4+ T-cell responses using combinatorial HLA class II tetramer staining. Nature Communications. 2016; 7:12614.
- Vollmer J, Fritz M, Dormoy A, Weltzien HU, Moulon C. Dominance of the BV17 element in nickelspecific human T cell receptors relates to severity of contact sensitivity. Eur J Immunol. 1997; 27:1865–1874. [PubMed: 9295020]
- Vollmer J, Weltzien HU, Dormoy A, Pistoor F, Moulon C. Functional expression and analysis of a human HLA-DQ restricted, nickel-reactive T cell receptor in mouse hybridoma cells. J Invest Dermatol. 1999; 113:175–181. [PubMed: 10469300]
- Vollmer J, Weltzien HU, Gamerdinger K, Lang S, Choleva Y, Moulon C. Antigen contacts by Nireactive TCR: typical alphass chain cooperation versus alpha chain-dominated specificity. Int Immunol. 2000; 12:1723–1731. [PubMed: 11099312]
- Yin L, Crawford F, Marrack P, Kappler JW, Dai S. T-cell receptor (TCR) interaction with peptides that mimic nickel offers insight into nickel contact allergy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012; 109:18517–18522. [PubMed: 23091041]

Highlight

- Nickel hypersensitive patients with implant failure are dominantly DR52 positive.
- The nickel hypersensitive patients with implant failure may have similar TCR beta chain usage of CD4⁺ T cells to that of contact dermatitis.
- The nickel independent mimotope tetramers are a useful tool to identify the nickel reactive CD4⁺ T cells.



Figure 1.

Staining of the Ni specific T transfectoma cell (ANi2.3) with the Ni mimotope tetramers. In A, B and C, ANi2.3 T cells and cell control were separately stained with PE tetramer DR52c-pHIR DR52c-pHIS and DR52c-pWIR; ANi2.3 T cells were also stained with the control tetramer DR52c-pTu. In D, the ANi2.3 T cell and cell control separately were stained with DR52c-pHIR APC tetramer. HAM 597 was also used in A–D. In E and F, ANi2.3 T cells were stained with PE tetramer HIR and control PE tetramer, with or without HAM 597. Tet is tetramer for short.



Figure 2.

The staining of DR52 specific antibody-FK7.3.19.1 in DR52c positive B lymphoblastoid cells (HO301). In A, HO301 cells were stained with biotinylated FK 7.3.19.1 and biotinylated antibody isotype, cell control was stained with biotinylated FK 7.3.19.1.The detecting reagent is PE-streptavidin. In B, PBMC gated B cells of patient MH and patient KG were stained with biotinylated FK 7.3.19.1 and biotinylated antibody isotype (top). The other patients were stained with biotinylated FK 7.3.19.1 (bottom). Patient KR BS and RB are DR52 positive; Patient LJ is DR52 negative.



Figure 3.

The tetramer DR52c-pHIR staining and TCR V β 17 staining in CD4⁺ T cells in PBMC of patient KR. In panel A, B and C, the PBMCs were stained with tetramers and cell markers. The CD4⁺ T cells were gated; the tetramer staining was analyzed. In panel A, B and C, the analysis of CD4⁺ T cells stained with PE-tetramer DR52c-pHIR, PE-tetramer DR52c-pTu and two colors of tetramer-DR52c-pHIR (PE-tetramer DR52c-pHIR and APC-tetramer DR52c-pHIR). In panel A, the PBMCs were not stimulated. In panel B, after stimulation of NiSO₄ of PBMCs, the analysis of CD4⁺ T cells. In panel C, after stimulation of PHA-L of PBMCs, the analysis of CD4⁺ T cells. In panel D, E and F, the PBMCs were stained with cell markers and TCR V β 17, the percentages of TCR V β 17⁺ CD4⁺ T cells in different samples were analyzed. In panel D, E and F, it is the staining of no stimulation of PBMCs, stimulation of NiSO₄ and stimulation of PHA-L of that separately. In each staining, about 1~1.5×10⁵ PBMCs were analyzed on flow cytometry. Tet is tetramer for short.



Figure 4.

The tetramer staining and TCR V β 17 staining in CD4⁺ T cells in four patients. A, Analysis of one color tetramer staining. Tetramer DR52c-pHIR and tetramer DR52c-pTu staining in CD4⁺ T cells of NiSO₄ stimulation of PBMCs and tetramer DR52c-pHIR staining in PHA-L stimulation cultures. B, TCR V β 17 staining in CD4⁺ T cells of NiSO4 and PHA-L stimulated PBMCs. There were three groups of staining and analyzing: patient KR; patient BS and LJ; patient RB.

Table 1

Summary of clinical data and research data

	Patient KR	Patient BS	Patient RB	Patient LJ
Size of patch test	3+	2+	2+	1+
$Ni^{2+}LPT - PSI$	51.2	138.3	127.6	12.2
V β 17 ratio in CD4 T cells ^{<i>a</i>}	2.69	1.20	1.12	0.93
DR52	+	+	+	-
one-color Tet-HIR(%) ^b	1.09	0.11	0.23	0.09

 a The ratio of the percentages of $V\beta17^{+}$ cells in Ni-specific versus PHA-L-activated cultures.

 $^{b}{\rm Percentages}$ of DR52c-pHIR tetramer staining positive cells in CD4⁺ T cells.