



Published in final edited form as:

J Youth Adolesc. 2017 April ; 46(4): 826–839. doi:10.1007/s10964-016-0619-7.

Gender Differences in Anxiety Trajectories from Middle to Late Adolescence

Christine McCauley Ohannessian, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Stephanie Milan, Ph.D.³, and Anna Vannucci, M.S.¹

¹Center for Behavioral Health, Connecticut Children's Medical Center, 282 Washington Street, Hartford, CT, USA 06106.

²Department of Pediatrics and Psychiatry, University of Connecticut School of Medicine, 263 Farmington Avenue, Farmington, CT, USA 06030.

³Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Connecticut, 406 Babbidge Road, Unit 1020, Storrs, CT, USA 06269.

Abstract

Although developmental trajectories of anxiety symptomatology have begun to be explored, most research has focused on total anxiety symptom scores during childhood and early adolescence, using racially/ethnically homogenous samples. Understanding the heterogeneous courses of anxiety disorder symptoms during middle to late adolescence has the potential to clarify developmental risk models of anxiety and to inform prevention programs. Therefore, this study specifically examined gender differences in developmental trajectories of anxiety disorder symptoms (generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder) from middle to late adolescence in a diverse community sample (N=1,000; 57% female; 65% White), assessed annually over two years. Latent growth curve modeling revealed that girls exhibited a slight linear decrease in generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms,

Correspondence: Christine McCauley Ohannessian, Ph.D., Director, Center for Behavioral Health, Connecticut Children's Medical Center, Associate Professor of Pediatrics and Psychiatry, University of Connecticut School of Medicine, 282 Washington Street, Hartford, CT, USA 06106; Phone: (860) 837-5326; Fax: (860) 836-5342; COhannessian@connecticutchildrens.org.

Author Contributions

CO conceived the study, designed the study, and took the lead in drafting the manuscript; SM performed statistical analysis, drafted portions of the manuscript, and participated in the interpretation of data; AV performed statistical analysis and participated in the interpretation of data and drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Ethical Approval

1. Statement of human rights

The study was approved by the appropriate institutional and/or national research ethics committee and has been conducted in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

2. Statement on the welfare of animals

This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

whereas boys exhibited a stable course. These models suggested that one trajectory was appropriate for panic disorder symptoms in both girls and boys. Growth mixture models indicated the presence of four latent generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectory classes: low increasing, moderate decreasing slightly, high decreasing, and very high decreasing rapidly. Growth mixture models also suggested the presence of five latent social anxiety disorder symptom trajectory classes: a low stable trajectory class and four classes that were qualitatively similar to the latent generalized anxiety disorder trajectories. For both generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms, girls were significantly more likely than boys to be in trajectory classes characterized by moderate or high initial symptoms that subsequently decreased over time. These findings provide novel information regarding the developmental course of anxiety disorder symptoms in adolescents.

Keywords

anxiety; adolescence; development; gender differences; trajectories

Introduction

During adolescence, numerous changes occur within the individual, including pubertal development, increases in cognitive abilities, alterations in emotion processing, and the development of autonomy and identity (Forbes & Dahl, 2010; Smetana, Campione-Barr, & Metzger, 2006; Yurgelun-Todd, 2007). Multiple changes occur in adolescents' contexts as well. As adolescence progresses, adolescents begin to spend less time with their family and more time with their peers (Forbes & Dahl, 2010; Smetana et al., 2006). In addition, the beginning of adolescence is marked by the transition to a larger, more complex school (middle school), quickly followed by a transition to an even larger, more complex school (high school) (Eccles & Roeser, 2011; Waters, Lester, & Cross, 2014). It is important to note that many of the individual and contextual changes during adolescence occur simultaneously, which may make this developmental period especially challenging for some youth.

Given the considerable number of changes that take place during adolescence, within such a small window of time, it is not surprising that the prevalence of psychological problems, including anxiety problems, increases dramatically during adolescence (Davey, Yücel, & Allen, 2008; McLaughlin & King, 2015; Negri & Susman, 2011; Telzer & Fuligni, 2013). In the U.S., approximately one-third of adolescents meet the criteria for at least one anxiety disorder (Maldonado et al., 2013; Merikangas et al., 2010). Many more adolescents experience mild to moderate levels of anxiety - levels that are significant enough to negatively influence daily life (Ohannessian, Lerner, Lerner, & von Eye, 1999). Anxiety at threshold and subthreshold levels during adolescence is a robust predictor of the emergence and/or persistence of anxiety disorders (Copeland, Shanahan, Costello, & Angold, 2009; Ferdinand, Dieleman, Ormel, & Verhulst, 2007) and is associated with greater psychiatric comorbidity, poor academic performance, significant role impairment, and increased health service utilization (Burstein et al., 2012; Kendall et al., 2010; Langley et al., 2014; Merikangas et al., 2010). The profound impact of anxiety on adolescents' adjustment

underscores the need to improve understanding of the developmental course of anxiety symptoms.

Developmental Trajectories

Although anxiety disorders become more prevalent during adolescence, the natural course of anxiety symptoms throughout adolescence remains unclear. Several studies have examined the course of overall anxiety symptoms throughout adolescence (Allan et al., 2014; Crocetti, Klimstra, Keijsers, Hale, & Meeus, 2009; Leadbeater, Thompson, & Gruppuso, 2012; Stapinski, Araya, Heron, Montgomery, & Stallard, 2015; Van Oort, Greaves-Lord, Ormel, Verhulst, & Huizink, 2011). However, focusing on overall anxiety symptomatology may obscure trends in specific types of anxiety disorder symptoms. Indeed, there is a high degree of heterotypic continuity in anxiety symptoms, such that their presentation often changes in an individual throughout development (Copeland et al., 2009). Developmental theories of anxiety suggest that age differences in the predominant expression of anxiety symptoms are linked to normative developmental periods and tasks that youth experience (Weems, 2008; Westenberg, Drewes, Goedhart, Siebelink, & Treffers, 2004). Specifically, these theories hypothesize that the predominant anxiety symptoms across development include: separation anxiety and animal-related fears during early-to-middle childhood, generalized anxiety and death/danger-related fears during late childhood and early adolescence, and social anxiety and performance-related fears during middle-to-late adolescence. Cross-sectional epidemiological data supports these hypotheses. Separation anxiety disorder and specific phobias tend to emerge and predominate during childhood, whereas the initial onset of generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder most often occurs during adolescence (Beesdo-Baum & Knappe, 2012; Burstein et al., 2012). As such, focusing on generalized anxiety disorder, social anxiety disorder, and panic disorder symptom trajectories during adolescence is developmentally appropriate and may yield particularly salient clinical implications.

Several prospective studies have used latent growth curve modeling to examine trajectories of specific anxiety symptoms during adolescence. In a 5-year study of Dutch youth aged between 10 to 12 years at baseline, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms slightly decreased and then leveled off from early to middle adolescence, followed by a slight increase in generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms during middle adolescence and in panic disorder symptoms during late adolescence (Van Oort, Greaves-Lord, Verhulst, Ormel, & Huizink, 2009). In another 5-year study of Dutch adolescents (spanning 11–17 years of age), generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder symptoms decreased over time while social anxiety disorder symptoms were stable (Hale, Raaijmakers, Muris, & Meeus, 2008). A small subset of this sample who were 11–14 years of age at baseline were followed for 8 years (Nelemans et al., 2014). Similar to the initial study (Hale et al., 2008), social anxiety disorder symptoms remained stable over time, whereas generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder symptoms demonstrated initial decreases from early to middle adolescence. However, generalized anxiety disorder symptoms subsequently increased in middle adolescence and then leveled off during late adolescence. In contrast, the decreases in panic disorder symptoms leveled off in middle adolescence and subsequently were followed by a slight increase during late adolescence

(Nelemans et al., 2014). While these initial studies provide important knowledge about the nature of adolescent anxiety symptom trajectories, the homogeneous racial/ethnic composition of these samples (>80% non-Hispanic White, ethnic Dutch) significantly limits the generalizability of these findings to more diverse populations. In a more racially/ethnically diverse U.S. sample of 10–15 year-olds, decreases in generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms were observed over the course of one year (McLaughlin & King, 2015). However, the McLaughlin and King sample was comprised of primarily Hispanic/Latino adolescents from low socioeconomic status neighborhoods. Moreover, the limited follow-up interval only provides information about anxiety trajectories during early-to-middle adolescence.

Heterogeneity in Anxiety Symptom Trajectories

Developmental theories of anxiety also propose that youth fall into discrete subgroups distinguished by their unique course of anxiety symptoms (Weems, 2008). Indeed, studies investigating the developmental course of generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms throughout adolescence have revealed significant variance in growth parameters (Hale et al., 2008; McLaughlin & King, 2015; Nelemans et al., 2014; Van Oort et al., 2009), suggesting that specific anxiety symptoms may follow several heterogeneous trajectories not identified by population-level trends. However, the vast majority of prior work examining such latent heterogeneous trajectories using latent class growth modeling or growth mixture modeling has focused on overall anxiety symptoms during adolescence (Allan et al., 2014; Crocetti et al., 2009; Legerstree et al., 2013; Letcher, Sanson, Smart, & Toumbourou, 2012; Morin et al., 2011) or parent-reported generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms during childhood (Broeren, Muris, Diamantopoulou, & Baker, 2013; Duchesne, Vitaro, Larose, & Tremblay, 2008; Marmorstein et al., 2010). Despite major methodological differences across studies, identified anxiety trajectories consistently have included: 1) *low* initial symptoms that remain stable or decrease slightly over time; 2) *high* initial symptoms that remain stable or increase slightly over time; and 3) moderate-to-high initial symptoms that *decrease* markedly over time.

Findings from these prior studies indicate that there is heterogeneity in the developmental trajectories of anxiety symptoms. However, only one study has examined latent trajectories of generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms using latent class growth analysis in an adolescent sample. In a study conducted by Nelemans and colleagues (2014), a cohort of 11–14 year-olds were followed over an eight-year period. Two generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectories were found. These trajectories were characterized by: 1) low initial symptoms that decreased slightly over time (“Low Decreasing”); and 2) high initial symptoms that remained stable (“High Stable”). Only one panic disorder symptom trajectory was identified, which was characterized by an initial decrease and leveling off of symptoms followed by a slight increase. By contrast, the following three social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories emerged: 1) low initial symptoms that remained stable (“Low Stable”); 2) “High Stable” symptoms; and 3) moderate initial symptoms that remained stable (“Moderate Stable”). While the Nelemans et al. (2014) study provided important initial information about the nature of specific anxiety

symptom trajectories during adolescence, the study was limited in several respects. The vast majority (>80%) of adolescents identified as White, ethnic Dutch. In addition, the study was somewhat underpowered for latent class growth analyses (N = 239). The relatively small sample size required the specification that every latent trajectory class be comprised of at least 5% of the sample. This approach holds utility for ensuring the identification of replicable classes, but it may obscure less prevalent, yet clinically meaningful developmental trajectories. Finally, the application of latent class growth analysis (Nagin, 1999), which constrained the variance in growth parameters across trajectory classes to zero, may bias model specifications (Morin et al., 2011). Growth mixture modeling, by contrast, allows growth parameter invariance assumptions to be tested and modified to fit the nature of the data.

Gender Differences

The developmental course of anxiety symptoms may differ between girls and boys. Adolescent girls consistently have been found to have a higher risk of experiencing anxiety disorders (Kessler et al., 2012; Merikangas et al., 2010) and report higher anxiety symptom levels cross-sectionally (Hale et al., 2008; McLaughlin & King, 2015; Olatunji & Cole, 2009; Stapinski et al., 2015; Van Oort et al., 2009) in comparison to adolescent boys. Although most prospective studies have found no gender differences in the trajectory of overall anxiety symptoms (Crocetti et al., 2009; Leadbeater et al., 2012; Stapinski et al., 2015), the nature of gender differences in specific anxiety symptom trajectories remains unclear. Some studies have found that girls experienced increases in generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms, whereas boys reported a decline in generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms from early to late adolescence (Hale et al., 2008; Nelemans et al., 2014). However, no gender differences in generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories during adolescence have been reported (Hale et al., 2008; McLaughlin & King, 2015; Nelemans et al., 2014; Van Oort et al., 2009). Of note, these studies focused on anxiety trajectories beginning in early adolescence. It is possible that gender differences in anxiety symptom trajectories emerge more consistently later in middle-to-late adolescence. Discrepancies in findings regarding gender differences in specific anxiety symptom trajectories during adolescence may stem from examining one overall symptom course rather than distinct heterogeneous trajectories. To date, no study has evaluated whether latent generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories are gender-specific using the technique of growth mixture modeling in a diverse sample of middle-to-late adolescents.

The Present Study

Although developmental trajectories of anxiety symptomatology have begun to be explored, most research has focused on total anxiety symptom scores, early adolescents, and racially/ethnically homogenous samples. Neglecting to examine the different anxiety symptoms or potential gender differences may mask important developmental differences. Moreover, when anxiety trajectories have been examined, the vast majority of studies have focused on childhood and early adolescence. Understanding the heterogeneous courses of generalized

anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms during middle-to-late adolescence may clarify developmental risk models of anxiety and inform prevention programs. As such, the following research questions were examined in a large, diverse sample of 15–17 year-old adolescents across three years: 1) Do anxiety symptom trajectories differ between girls and boys (i.e., gender differences in growth parameters from latent growth curve models)? and 2) Are there different types of anxiety symptom trajectories in girls versus boys (i.e., gender differences in the number and nature of symptom trajectories as revealed by growth mixture modeling)? Although we expected to observe gender differences, no specific hypotheses could be made based on the equivocal nature of prior findings.

Method

Participants

During the spring of 2007 (Time 1), all 10th and 11th grade students attending seven public high schools in the Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States were eligible and invited to participate in the study. Participants were followed up during the spring of 2008 (Time 2) and the spring of 2009 (Time 3). The sample included 1,000 15–17 year-old girls (57%) and boys (43%). The mean age of the adolescents at Time 1 was 16.10 ($SD = .71$). The majority of the adolescents (65%) were Caucasian; 19% were African American; 11% were Hispanic; and 2% were Asian (the remainder described themselves as “other”). The race/ethnicity composition was fairly reflective of the area from which the sample was drawn (“U.S. Census Bureau,” 2007). Most of the adolescents (60%) lived with their biological mother and their biological father. Ninety-one percent of the adolescents lived with their biological mother, 65% lived with their biological father, 4% lived with a stepmother, 13% lived with a stepfather, 1% lived with an adoptive mother, and 1% lived with an adoptive father. The majority of mothers (96%) and fathers (97%) had graduated from high school. In addition, 35% of mothers and 32% of fathers had completed four years of college, and 9% of mothers and 8% of fathers had attended graduate or medical school, respectively.

Measures

All participants completed a self-report survey that included demographic questions (e.g., age, gender) and a measure of anxiety. The 41-item Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (SCARED; Birmaher, Khetarpal, Cully, Brent, & McKenzie, 1995) was administered to assess anxiety symptoms over the last three months. Prior research has shown that the SCARED possesses good psychometric properties in adolescent samples (Birmaher et al., 1997; Muris, Merckelbach, Ollendick, King, & Bogie, 2002). The response scale for the SCARED ranges from 0 = *not true or hardly ever true* to 2 = *very true or often true*. Responses were summed to generate the SCARED subscales described below.

Generalized anxiety disorder—The generalized anxiety disorder subscale (GAD) includes 9 items. A sample item is “I worry about things working out for me.” The Cronbach alpha coefficient for the GAD subscale ranged from 0.87–0.88 across Time 1 – Time 3.

Panic disorder—The panic disorder (PD) subscale consists of 13 items. A representative item is “When I get frightened, I feel like I am choking.” The Cronbach alpha coefficient for the PD subscale ranged from 0.87–0.88 across Time 1 – Time 3.

Social anxiety disorder—The social anxiety disorder (SAD) subscale includes 7 items. A sample item is “I feel nervous with people I don’t know well.” The Cronbach alpha coefficient for the SAD subscale ranged from 0.84–0.88 across Time 1 – Time 3.

Procedures

The protocol for this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Delaware. Public high schools in Delaware, Maryland, and Pennsylvania that were within approximately 60 miles of the study site were invited to participate in a prospective study of adolescent adjustment (Ohannessian, 2009). Seven high schools participated. During the spring of 2007, 10th and 11th grade students from these high schools, who provided assent and had passive parental consent, were given a self-report survey in school by trained research staff. Seventy-one percent of the eligible students attending the participating schools completed the survey. Most of the students that did not participate did not do so because they were absent on the day of data collection. Only three percent of eligible students present declined participation. On the day of data collection, participants were assured that all data collected were confidential, participation was voluntary, and they could withdraw from the study at any time. In addition, they were told that an active Certificate of Confidentiality from the U.S. government was in place to further protect their privacy. The survey took approximately 40 minutes to complete. After turning in the completed survey, participants were given a free movie pass. All participants were invited to participate again in spring of 2008 (Time 2) and 2009 (Time 3).

Analytic Plan

Bivariate correlations were examined among study variables. Gender differences in anxiety symptom trajectories were tested using structural equation modeling approaches to growth curve modeling and nested model comparisons with AMOS 19 (Byrne, 2013). Potential gender differences in trajectories parameters were tested using nested model comparisons. In this approach, the same model is generated for different groups (girls versus boys) with parameters of interest estimated simultaneously under increasingly restrictive sets of conditions. If a model in which parameters are constrained to be equal provides a significantly worse fit to the data than the unconstrained model, the parameter of interest is not equivalent across groups. In the first model tested, factor loadings were constrained to be equal in a linear pattern, but trajectory estimates (intercept means and variance, slope mean and variance, intercept and slope covariance) were freely estimated. In the second model, factor loadings and trajectory estimates were all constrained to be equal. Models used full-information maximum likelihood (FIML) approaches to estimation, which allows individuals with missing data to be retained and provides the least biased estimates in simulation studies on the effects of missing data (Buhi, Goodson, & Neilands, 2008).

When the slope variance was significant in latent growth curve models, growth mixture modeling was performed to identify latent anxiety symptom trajectories with the full sample

using Mplus 7.4 software (Muthen & Muthen, 1998–2015). Models with between one to six trajectories classes were estimated. Growth parameter invariance was tested across classes by estimating a series of growth mixture models with increasingly lenient constraints. For all models, the slope factor variances did not significantly differ from zero and did not markedly differ across classes. As such, the slope factor variances were constrained to zero to achieve model convergence as recommended by Jung and Wickrama (2008). The intercept factor variances, by contrast, were significantly different from zero in all models. The intercept factor variances were held equal across classes in models examining SAD symptom trajectories. Intercept factor variances were freely estimated across classes in models examining GAD symptom trajectories because they were shown to differ markedly across classes in preliminary models. All models were estimated with 1000 random initial start values and 50 optimizations to avoid solutions that represented local rather than global maxima.

Several indicators of model fit were considered when selecting the best fitting number of latent classes of anxiety symptom trajectories from growth mixture modeling. The Bayesian information criterion (BIC; Schwarz, 1978) and the consistent Akaike information criterion (cAIC; Bozdogan, 1987) were examined to evaluate relative model fit, with lower values indicating a better fitting model. In addition, the Lo-Mendell-Rubin likelihood ratio-based test (LMR-LRT; Lo, Mendell, & Rubin, 2001) and the bootstrapped parametric likelihood ratio test (BLRT; Nylund, Asparouhov, & Muthén, 2007) compared the absolute fit between a k -class model and a $k-1$ class model. Classification accuracy was examined using the entropy value and the average of the posterior probabilities for each participant's most likely trajectory class membership, with higher values closer to 1.0 indicating better classification accuracy (Jung & Wickrama, 2008). The FIML estimation approach was used in growth mixture modeling to handle missing data to preserve the sample size and minimize potential missingness biases (Buhi et al., 2008).

Multinomial logistic regression analyses were conducted within the context of the best-fitting growth mixture model to examine whether gender predicted latent class membership in anxiety symptom trajectories. The MPlus auxiliary option was used to automate the three-step approach to protect the formation of latent trajectory classes from the influence of predictors when conducting the multinomial logistic regression models (Wickrama, Lee, O'Neil, & Lorenz, 2016). The dependent variable was latent trajectory class membership, with the largest trajectory class serving as the reference class as recommended by Muthen and Muthen (1998–2015). Of note, p values $< .05$ were considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 provides correlations, means, and standard deviations for the three anxiety scales across the three time points. As expected, all of the correlations were positive and the majority of correlations were significant. Independent samples t -tests were conducted to examine whether any of the anxiety scales differed by gender. As shown in Table 1, girls reported significantly higher levels of GAD symptoms than boys at all three times of measurement [$t(953) = -7.96, p < .001$ at Time 1, $t(650) = -5.39, p < .001$ at Time 2, and $t(365) = -3.72, p < .001$ at Time 3]. Similarly, girls reported significantly higher levels of PD

symptoms in comparison to boys at all times of measurement [$t(930) = -6.46, p < .001$ at Time 1, $t(640) = -3.89, p < .001$ at Time 2, and $t(433) = -2.51, p < .05$ at Time 3]. The same pattern was found for SAD; however, the gender difference only was significant at Time 1 [$t(965) = -6.12, p < .001$ at Time 1, $t(656) = -1.91, p = .06$ at Time 2, and $t(433) = -1.59, p = .11$ at Time 3].

Latent Growth Curve Models

To first ensure a linear model was appropriate across gender, growth models were estimated separately for girls and boys for each of the three anxiety scales with factor loadings from the slope factor to Time 1 – Time 3 observed anxiety measures set at 0, .5, and 1 (a linear model). This step was done because although polynomial growth models cannot be tested with only three time points, it is possible to model non-uniform growth specific to a given sample (i.e., nonequivalent rate of change from t1–t2 versus t2–t3). Fit indices in all cases indicated that a linear function was appropriate for modeling fit in both genders across the three symptom measures (all CFI > .96, all RMSEA < .05).

Table 2 provides fit statistics for linear models with and without gender equality constraints on symptom trajectory parameters (intercept and slope means, variances, and covariance). As shown, nested model comparisons using chi-square differential tests indicated that forcing gender equality constraints led to a worse fit to the data in all of the anxiety symptom domains.

Table 3 provides intercept and slope parameter estimates by gender. Trajectories based on these estimates are presented in Figure 1. Across the three symptom domains, there were some expected similarities in the pattern of results. First, adolescent girls reported higher initial symptom levels than adolescent boys regardless of the type of anxiety assessed. Second, for both girls and boys, there was significant variance in intercept estimates in all domains, indicating significant, between-person variability in baseline symptom scores. Overall, the magnitude and statistical significance of parameters for boys versus girls suggested some gender specificity in trajectories, as described below.

Girls—For adolescent girls, slope parameter estimates suggested that average GAD, SAD, and PD symptom scores all decreased over the two-year period, although the magnitude of the decline was small. In other words, there was a slight decline in anxiety symptoms for girls across different types of symptoms. The variance estimate for PD slope estimates was nonsignificant, indicating that the very small decline in panic disorder symptomatology for girls overall ($-.62$) was characteristic of the sample; there was not significant variability in how much girls PD symptoms changed during the study period. In contrast, for GAD and SAD symptoms, there was significant variability in slope estimates. In other words, there was between-person variability in how much girls changed in these symptom domains over the two-year period. Thus, while the average decline in GAD and SAD symptoms for girls was small (less than one point), there were some adolescent girls who showed a much greater decrease or increase in symptoms during late adolescence.

Boys—For boys, GAD and PD symptoms did not change over time at the group level as indicated by slope mean estimates that were not significantly different from 0. The slope

variance estimates also were largely nonsignificant, indicating minimal change over time across the sample of boys. Thus, for the majority of boys, the level of GAD and PD symptoms they reported at baseline did not change over the subsequent two-year period. The one exception to this pattern was in SAD symptoms. Again, the estimate of the slope mean was nonsignificant, meaning the average change for boys in SAD symptoms was zero. However, the slope variance estimate was significant, indicating between-person differences in how much boys' SAD symptoms changed over the two-year period. While the average change in SAD symptoms was zero, boys displayed more variability in SAD symptom trajectories than in other symptom domains.

Unconditional Growth Mixture Models

Table 4 provides the fit indices of unconditional growth mixture models examining latent trajectories of GAD and SAD symptoms. Growth mixture modeling was not performed for PD symptoms because the non-significant slope parameter variance for both boys' and girls' trajectories in latent growth curve models suggested that the vast majority of adolescents exhibited a similar change in symptomatology over time.

GAD symptoms—Examination of the fit indices suggested the presence of a four-class solution. The BIC and cAIC were lowest for a four-class solution. In addition, the LMR-LRT and BLRT indicated that a four-class solution provided a superior fit than did a three-class solution ($ps = .001 - .02$). The average posterior probabilities for most likely class membership ranged between 0.79 – 0.88, suggesting good classification accuracy.

As shown in Figure 2, the GAD symptom trajectories identified were: 1) a “Low Increasing” trajectory with low initial GAD symptoms that increased significantly over time (45.5%, $n = 456$); 2) a “Moderate Decreasing Slightly” trajectory with moderate initial GAD symptoms that decreased slightly, yet significantly over time (34.1%, $n = 342$); 3) a “High Decreasing” trajectory with high initial symptoms that decreased significantly over time (10.6%, $n = 107$); and 4) a “Very High Decreasing Rapidly” trajectory with very high initial symptoms that decreased markedly over time (9.8%; $n = 98$). Table 5 presents the mean growth factors for the latent GAD symptom trajectories.

SAD symptoms—Examination of the fit indices suggested the presence of a five-class solution for SAD symptoms. The BIC and cAIC were lowest for a five-class solution. The LMR-LRT and BLRT indicated that a five-class solution provided a superior fit in comparison to a four-class solution ($ps = .001 - .01$). The average posterior probabilities for most likely class membership ranged between 0.80 – 0.90, suggesting excellent classification accuracy.

As shown in Figure 3, the SAD symptom trajectories identified were: 1) a “Low Increasing” trajectory (39.6%, $n = 397$); 2) a “Low Stable” trajectory distinguish by low initial symptoms that did not significantly change over time (21.3%; $n = 214$); 3) a “Moderate Decreasing” trajectory (25.9%; $n = 260$); 4) a “High Decreasing Rapidly” trajectory (10.0%, $n = 100$); and 5) a “Very High Decreasing Rapidly” trajectory (3.1%; $n = 30$). Table 5 presents the mean growth factors for the latent SAD symptom trajectories.

Gender as a Predictor of Latent Anxiety Trajectory Class Membership

Figure 4 depicts the breakdown of adolescent girls and boys in each latent trajectory class for GAD symptoms and SAD symptoms. Multinomial logistic regression analyses revealed that gender was a significant predictor of membership in latent anxiety symptom trajectory classes.

With regard to GAD symptoms, girls had significantly greater odds than boys of being in the “Very High Decreasing Rapidly” trajectory class (OR = 6.36, 95% CI = 2.97, 13.60), the “High Decreasing” trajectory class (OR = 4.62, 95% CI = 2.31, 9.30), and the “Moderate Decreasing Slightly” trajectory class (OR = 2.34, 95% CI = 1.49, 3.67) in comparison to the “Low Increasing” trajectory class ($ps < .001$). With regard to SAD symptoms, girls had significantly greater odds than boys of being in the “Very High Decreasing Rapidly” trajectory class (OR = 4.81, 95% CI = 1.56, 14.73), the “High Decreasing Rapidly” trajectory class (OR = 2.72, 95% CI = 1.51, 4.90), the “Moderate Decreasing” trajectory class (OR = 2.51, 95% CI = 1.60, 3.94), and the “Low Stable” trajectory class (OR = 2.18, 95% CI = 1.28, 3.71) in comparison to the “Low Increasing” trajectory class ($ps = .001 - .006$).

Discussion

Adolescence represents a period of substantial vulnerability for internalizing problems, particularly for girls. As such, the current three-year prospective study sought to examine gender differences in anxiety symptom trajectories during middle-to-late adolescence. With regard to developmental trajectories of overall anxiety symptom levels, girls reported higher initial symptoms and exhibited a slight linear decrease in generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms, whereas boys exhibited a stable course across all symptom types. Findings from latent growth curve models suggested that one trajectory is appropriate for describing the developmental course of panic disorder symptoms in both girls and boys. Results from growth mixture models revealed four generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectories and five social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories, and that girls were more likely than boys to be in trajectory classes distinguished by higher initial anxiety symptoms that subsequently decreased over time. These findings provide novel information regarding the developmental course of generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms in a diverse community sample of middle-to-late adolescent girls and boys, and highlight the utility of applying growth mixture modeling to clarify individual differences in anxiety trajectories.

Adolescent girls reported higher initial generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms than boys in the current study, which is consistent with gender differences found in numerous prior cross-sectional studies (Hale, Raaijmakers, Muris, & Meeus, 2005; Hale et al., 2008; McLaughlin & King, 2015; Muris et al., 2002; Nelemans et al., 2014; Van Oort et al., 2009). Differential genetic predispositions toward anxiety or related biological vulnerability factors (e.g., gonadal hormones, neurophysiological stress reactivity) may make adolescent girls more susceptible to generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms in comparison to boys (McLean & Anderson, 2009). In addition, adolescent girls report more

exposure and sensitivity to interpersonal stressors in comparison to boys, perhaps in part due to the heightened importance that girls place on their social status and peer relationships (Rose & Rudolph, 2006). This increased social stress, as well as girls' greater use of emotion-focused coping strategies, such as rumination (Rood, Roelofs, Bögels, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schouten, 2009) and co-rumination with friends or parents (Rose, 2002; Tompkins, Hockett, Abraibesh, & Witt, 2011), may account for gender differences in anxiety symptoms during adolescence. Of note, despite girls and boys' differing on mean levels of generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms, there also was substantial individual variability in initial anxiety symptom levels among both girls and boys. Future work is needed to evaluate the hypothesized biopsychosocial mechanisms accounting for gender differences in overall levels of anxiety during adolescence, as well as factors that promote elevated symptoms among girls and boys.

At the population-level, latent growth curve models found that generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms decreased from middle to late adolescence among adolescent girls, whereas these anxiety symptoms were stable among boys. These findings are consistent with the majority of prior studies, which have observed either decreasing or stable generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories throughout adolescence (Hale et al., 2008; McLaughlin & King, 2015; Nelemans et al., 2014; Van Oort et al., 2009). Findings from the current study contribute to a small, but equivocal body of literature on gender differences in anxiety symptom trajectories during adolescence (Hale et al., 2008; Nelemans et al., 2014; Van Oort et al., 2009). Of note, this earlier work focused primarily on samples that were racially/ethnically homogenous and that followed youth beginning in early-to-middle adolescence. Findings from the current study provide novel information regarding gender differences in generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories during the middle-to-late adolescent period in a diverse sample.

Of note, the vast majority of adolescents were characterized by low initial panic disorder symptoms that remained stable or changed slightly over time, as there was very little individual variability in the course of panic disorder symptoms in both girls and boys. These findings are consistent with prior work examining latent panic disorder symptom trajectories in adolescents, which identified only one panic disorder symptom trajectory (Nelemans et al., 2014). It is possible that greater inter-individual variability in panic disorder symptoms may not emerge until later in adolescence and emerging adulthood. Indeed, cross-sectional epidemiologic data suggest that full-syndrome panic disorder is relatively rare in adolescence, but its prevalence increases further in adulthood (Beesdo-Baum & Knappe, 2012), which may account for the lack of subgroups characterized by a high stable or increasing panic disorder symptom trajectories.

At a population level, latent growth curve models revealed significant variability in the generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectory slope factor among adolescent girls, but not boys. Consistent with these latent growth curve model findings, growth mixture modeling also found that girls were significantly more likely than boys to be in numerous latent generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectory classes, including those distinguished by very high initial symptoms that decrease rapidly, high initial symptoms that decrease less

markedly over time, and moderate initial symptoms that decrease slightly over time. Although prior research has identified only two latent generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectory classes (vs. four in the current study), findings generally are consistent with this earlier work such that the trajectory class characterized by higher initial generalized anxiety disorder symptoms was predominantly comprised of adolescent girls (69%) (Nelemans et al., 2014). Given that adolescent girls are more prone to elevated generalized anxiety disorder symptoms than boys (Beesdo-Baum & Knappe, 2012), it may be expected that the full spectrum of generalized anxiety disorder symptom severity and subsequent symptom changes would be present among girls. By contrast, most boys in the current study were in a generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectory class characterized by low initial symptoms that increased slightly over time. Taken together, findings suggest that the majority of individual variance in generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectories in boys may already present by middle adolescence, with relatively few adolescent boys entering middle adolescence with high initial symptoms or experiencing decreases in generalized anxiety disorder symptoms after this time.

When examining social anxiety disorder symptoms, significant variability in trajectory slopes within latent growth curve models was observed in both girls and boys, suggesting potentially important individual differences in rates of anxiety symptom change over the two-year follow-up period across both genders. Indeed, growth mixture modeling yielded five latent social anxiety disorder symptom trajectory classes: four that were qualitatively similar to the latent generalized anxiety disorder symptom trajectory classes (i.e., low increasing, moderate decreasing, high decreasing, very high decreasing) and another unique class distinguished by low initial symptoms that remained stable over time. These findings diverged from the only prior study examining latent social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories in adolescents (Nelemans et al., 2014), which identified three trajectory classes (low stable, moderate stable, high stable). However, gender differences were somewhat consistent with this initial study because girls were more likely than boys to be in the very high decreasing, high decreasing, moderate decreasing, and low stable trajectories relative to the low increasing trajectory. Gender disparities were greatest in trajectory classes characterized by moderate or high initial social anxiety disorder symptoms (ranging from 62–79% girls), whereas the vast majority of boys were in both trajectories characterized by low initial symptoms.

In contrast to developmental theories of anxiety (Weems, 2008; Westenberg et al., 2004) and previous empirical studies (Broeren et al., 2013; Duchesne et al., 2008; Marmorstein et al., 2010; Nelemans et al., 2014), there were no latent trajectories characterized by high initial symptoms that remained stable over time for any anxiety symptom dimension. For both generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms, girls were more likely to exhibit higher symptoms that decreased from middle to late adolescence and anxiety symptomatology settling at a lower level. However, most boys entered middle adolescence with low initial generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms that increased slightly or remained stable over time. It is possible that the developmental period captured in the current study accounts for this pattern of findings, as adolescents either experienced no major psychosocial transitions (e.g., starting high school) or entered into emerging adulthood. Although emerging adulthood certainly possesses a number of novel

stressors, this developmental period is also accompanied by positive changes that may ameliorate generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms and account for their decreases in severity, such as increasing autonomy, establishing stable friendships, and solidifying identity development (Adkins, Wang, Dupre, Van den Oord, & Elder, 2009; Arnett, 2015). Given that the influence of environmental (vs. genetic) factors on anxiety has been shown to be greater among girls (Boomsma, Van Beijsterveldt, & Hudziak, 2005; Kendler, Gardner, & Lichtenstein, 2008), it is conceivable that girls may be more sensitive to the beneficial effects of progressing from middle adolescence to late adolescence and emerging adulthood and therefore be more likely to be in higher initial, yet decreasing generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptom trajectories.

Nevertheless, it is notable that one potential “high risk” trajectory was identified for both generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms. This “very high decreasing” trajectory was characterized by very high initial symptoms that decreased over time but remained close to the clinical cut-off scores for generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder, respectively (Birmaher et al., 1995). The vast majority of this trajectory class was comprised of girls for generalized anxiety disorder symptoms (83%) and social anxiety disorder symptoms (79%), whereas the majority of boys exhibited trajectories characterized by low initial symptoms that increased slightly or remained stable. It is possible that these “high risk” subgroups may account for gender differences in the prevalence of generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder in the general adolescent population (Beesdo-Baum & Knappe, 2012; Burstein et al., 2012). Thus, girls who enter middle adolescence with very high generalized anxiety disorder or social anxiety disorder symptoms may be at higher risk for a more severe and protracted developmental course, despite some normative decreases in severity. Established risk factors common to both generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder that may distinguish these vulnerable groups include low socioeconomic status, familial aggregation of anxiety and depression, high behavioral inhibition, emotional hyper-reactivity, biased attentional processing of emotional stimuli, perceived parental rejection, and poor ability to cope with negative emotions such as worry (Beesdo, Knappe, & Pine, 2009; Newman, Llera, Erickson, Przeworski, & Castonguay, 2013). It would be important for prevention programs to target these risk factors during early adolescence to keep generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms at bay as girls progress through middle and late adolescence.

Importantly, the current study provides novel information regarding the developmental course of generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms during a critical developmental period. Additional study strengths include the large, ethnically diverse community sample of adolescent girls and boys, the longitudinal design, and the application of growth mixture modeling to better understand heterogeneity in the developmental course of anxiety symptoms. Indeed, growth mixture modeling allows for evaluating growth parameter invariance across trajectory classes and setting parameters to reflect variance in the data accurately, which may enhance model identification (Morin et al., 2011). The use of the SCARED, which is a self-report questionnaire with excellent psychometric properties (Birmaher et al., 1997; Muris et al., 2002), to assess specific anxiety symptoms improves upon prior work that has focused on overall anxiety scores (Allan et al., 2014; Crocetti et al., 2009; Legerstree et al., 2013; Letcher et al., 2012; Morin et al., 2011)

or has utilized parent-reports of generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder symptoms (Broeren et al., 2013; Duchesne et al., 2008; Marmorstein et al., 2010).

Although the study contributes to the literature, several caveats should be considered. For instance, the reliance on the SCARED, rather than a clinical interview, to assess anxiety symptomatology precludes inferences that may be made about anxiety disorders or clinical populations. In addition, the sample was derived from the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States, and therefore may have limited generalizability to other geographic locations. Finally, only linear anxiety symptom trajectories could be examined because data only were collected at three time points. Importantly, linear models appeared to fit the data very well, but it is possible that quadratic or cubic growth trajectories may have provided further improvements upon model fit. Future studies would benefit from including four or more data collection periods, as well as longer follow up intervals to examine long-term anxiety symptom trajectories.

Conclusion

The findings from this study extend previous work on the developmental course of anxiety disorder symptoms in girls and boys throughout adolescence. Distinct latent developmental trajectories were observed for different anxiety disorder symptoms, emphasizing the importance of examining separate dimensions of anxiety rather than considering anxiety as a general construct. For most adolescents, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder symptoms at low levels represent normal developmental phenomena. Future research is required to examine predictors of increases in overall anxiety disorder symptoms, as well as membership in high-risk latent anxiety symptom trajectories. Such research is crucial for informing developmental risk models of anxiety and novel prevention approaches.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the schools and students who participated in the study. Special thanks go to members of the AAP staff, especially Kaitlin Flannery, Sarosh Khan, Jessica Schulz, Laura Finan, Kelly Cheeseman, Lisa Fong, Alyson Cavanaugh, Sara Bergamo, Ashley Malooly, and Ashley Ings. This study was supported by NIH grant number K01-AA015059.

Biographies

Christine McCauley Ohannessian is an Associate Professor of Pediatrics and Psychiatry at the University of Connecticut School of Medicine. She also is the Director of the Center for Behavioral Health at Connecticut Children's Medical Center. She received her doctorate in Human Development and Family Studies from the Pennsylvania State University. Her research interests focus on the relationship between contexts such as the family and adolescent psychological health and development. She is especially interested in adolescent substance use, internalizing problems, and technology use.

Stephanie Milan is an Associate Professor in the Department of Psychological Sciences at the University of Connecticut. She received her doctorate in Clinical/Quantitative Psychology from Vanderbilt University. Her research interests focus on risk and protective

factors during the transition to early motherhood, the cultural and relational context of adolescent health, and children's developmental trajectories within the context of maternal and family risk.

Anna Vannucci is a Research Associate in the Center for Behavioral Health at the Connecticut Children's Medical Center. She received her Master's of Science in Medical and Clinical Psychology from the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. Her research interests involve understanding how interactions among biology, behavior, and the environment influence the development of disordered eating and related psychiatric and medical comorbidities across childhood and adolescence. She also is interested in evaluating novel interventions that seek to reduce negative affect, disinhibited eating behaviors, and excessive weight gain.

References

- Adkins DE, Wang V, Dupre ME, Van den Oord EJ, Elder GH. Structure and stress: Trajectories of depressive symptoms across adolescence and young adulthood. *Social forces*. 2009; 88(1):31–60.
- Allan NP, Capron DW, Lejuez CW, Reynolds EK, MacPherson L, Schmidt NB. Developmental trajectories of anxiety symptoms in early adolescence: The influence of anxiety sensitivity. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2014; 42(4):589–600. [PubMed: 24062146]
- Arnett, JJ. *Emerging adulthood: The winding road from the late teens through the twenties*. 2nd. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2015.
- Beesdo-Baum K, Knappe S. Developmental epidemiology of anxiety disorders. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*. 2012; 21(3):457–478. [PubMed: 22800989]
- Beesdo K, Knappe S, Pine DS. Anxiety and anxiety disorders in children and adolescents: developmental issues and implications for DSM-V. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*. 2009; 32(3):483–524. [PubMed: 19716988]
- Birmaher B, Khetarpal S, Brent D, Cully M, Balach L, Kaufman J, Neer SM. The screen for child anxiety related emotional disorders (SCARED): Scale construction and psychometric characteristics. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997; 36(4): 545–553. [PubMed: 9100430]
- Birmaher B, Khetarpal S, Cully M, Brent D, McKenzie S. Screen for child anxiety related disorders (SCARED). Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA. 1995
- Boomsma D, Van Beijsterveldt C, Hudziak J. Genetic and environmental influences on anxious/depression during childhood: a study from the Netherlands Twin Register. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*. 2005; 4(8):466–481.
- Bozdogan H. Model selection and Akaike's information criterion (AIC): The general theory and its analytical extensions. *Psychometrika*. 1987; 52(3):345–370.
- Broeren S, Muris P, Diamantopoulou S, Baker JR. The course of childhood anxiety symptoms: developmental trajectories and child-related factors in normal children. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2013; 41(1):81–95. [PubMed: 22836287]
- Buhi ER, Goodson P, Neilands TB. Out of sight, not out of mind: Strategies for handling missing data. *American journal of health behavior*. 2008; 32(1):83–92. [PubMed: 18021036]
- Burstein M, Georgiades K, Lamers F, Swanson SA, Cui L, He J-P, Merikangas KR. Empirically derived subtypes of lifetime anxiety disorders: Developmental and clinical correlates in US Adolescents. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*. 2012; 80(1):102. [PubMed: 22081863]
- Byrne, BM. *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. Routledge: 2013.
- Copeland WE, Shanahan L, Costello EJ, Angold A. Childhood and adolescent psychiatric disorders as predictors of young adult disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2009; 66(7):764–772. [PubMed: 19581568]

- Crocetti E, Klimstra T, Keijsers L, Hale WW, Meeus W. Anxiety trajectories and identity development in adolescence: A five-wave longitudinal study. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2009; 38(6): 839–849. [PubMed: 19636785]
- Davey CG, Yücel M, Allen NB. The emergence of depression in adolescence: Development of the prefrontal cortex and the representation of reward. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2008; 32(1):1–19. [PubMed: 17570526]
- Duchesne S, Vitaro F, Larose S, Tremblay RE. Trajectories of anxiety during elementary-school years and the prediction of high school noncompletion. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2008; 37(9): 1134–1146.
- Eccles JS, Roeser RW. Schools as developmental contexts during adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. 2011; 21(1):225–241.
- Ferdinand RF, Dieleman G, Ormel J, Verhulst FC. Homotypic versus heterotypic continuity of anxiety symptoms in young adolescents: evidence for distinctions between DSM-IV subtypes. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2007; 35(3):325–333. [PubMed: 17226094]
- Forbes EE, Dahl RE. Pubertal development and behavior: hormonal activation of social and motivational tendencies. *Brain and cognition*. 2010; 72(1):66–72. [PubMed: 19942334]
- Hale WW, Raaijmakers Q, Muris P, Meeus W. Psychometric properties of the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) in the general adolescent population. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2005; 44(3):283–290. [PubMed: 15725973]
- Hale WW, Raaijmakers Q, Muris P, Meeus W. Developmental trajectories of adolescent anxiety disorder symptoms: A 5-year prospective community study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2008; 47(5):556–564. [PubMed: 18356762]
- Jung T, Wickrama K. An introduction to latent class growth analysis and growth mixture modeling. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2008; 2(1):302–317.
- Kendall PC, Compton SN, Walkup JT, Birmaher B, Albano AM, Sherrill J, Gosch E. Clinical characteristics of anxiety disordered youth. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2010; 24(3):360–365. [PubMed: 20206470]
- Kendler K, Gardner C, Lichtenstein P. A developmental twin study of symptoms of anxiety and depression: evidence for genetic innovation and attenuation. *Psychological Medicine*. 2008; 38(11):1567–1575. [PubMed: 18578897]
- Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, Georgiades K, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Petukhova M. Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2012; 69(4):372–380. [PubMed: 22147808]
- Langley AK, Falk A, Peris T, Wiley JF, Kendall PC, Ginsburg G, Piacentini J. The child anxiety impact scale: Examining parent- and child-reported impairment in child anxiety disorders. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2014; 43(4):579–591. [PubMed: 23915200]
- Leadbeater B, Thompson K, Gruppuso V. Co-occurring trajectories of symptoms of anxiety, depression, and oppositional defiance from adolescence to young adulthood. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2012; 41(6):719–730. [PubMed: 22742519]
- Legerstee JS, Verhulst FC, Robbers SCC, Ormel J, Oldehinkel AJ, van Oort FVA. Gender-specific developmental trajectories of anxiety during adolescence: Determinants and outcomes. The TRAILS Study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2013; 22(1):26–34.
- Letcher P, Sanson A, Smart D, Toumbourou JW. Precursors and correlates of anxiety trajectories from late childhood to late adolescence. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2012; 41(4):417–432. [PubMed: 22551395]
- Lo Y, Mendell NR, Rubin DB. Testing the number of components in a normal mixture. *Biometrika*. 2001; 88(3):767–778.
- Maldonado L, Huang Y, Chen R, Kasen S, Cohen P, Chen H. Impact of early adolescent anxiety disorders on self-esteem development from adolescence to young adulthood. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2013; 53(2):287–292. [PubMed: 23648133]

- Marmorstein NR, White H, Chung T, Hipwell A, Stouthamer-Loeber M, Loeber R. Associations between first use of substances and change in internalizing symptoms among girls: Differences by symptom trajectory and substance use type. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2010; 39(4):545–558. [PubMed: 20589565]
- McLaughlin KA, King K. Developmental trajectories of anxiety and depression in early adolescence. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2015; 43(2):311–323. [PubMed: 24996791]
- McLean CP, Anderson ER. Brave men and timid women? A review of the gender differences in fear and anxiety. *Clinical psychology review*. 2009; 29(6):496–505. [PubMed: 19541399]
- Merikangas KR, He J-p, Burstein M, Swanson SA, Avenevoli S, Cui L, Swendsen J. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in US adolescents: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication–Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2010; 49(10):980–989. [PubMed: 20855043]
- Morin AJ, Maïano C, Nagengast B, Marsh HW, Morizot J, Janosz M. General growth mixture analysis of adolescents' developmental trajectories of anxiety: the impact of untested invariance assumptions on substantive interpretations. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*. 2011; 18(4):613–648.
- Muris P, Merckelbach H, Ollendick T, King N, Bogie N. Three traditional and three new childhood anxiety questionnaires: Their reliability and validity in a normal adolescent sample. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2002; 40(7):753–772. [PubMed: 12074371]
- Muthen, LK., Muthen, BO. *Mplus User's Guide: Statistical Analysis with Latent Variables*. 7th. Los Angeles, CA: Muthen & Muthen; 1998–2015.
- Nagin DS. Analyzing developmental trajectories: a semiparametric, group-based approach. *Psychological Methods*. 1999; 4(2):139.
- Negriff S, Susman EJ. Pubertal timing, depression, and externalizing problems: A framework, review, and examination of gender differences. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. 2011; 21(3):717–746.
- Nelemans SA, Hale WW, Branje SJ, Raaijmakers QA, Frijns T, van Lier PA, Meeus WH. Heterogeneity in development of adolescent anxiety disorder symptoms in an 8-year longitudinal community study. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2014; 26(01):181–202. [PubMed: 24229471]
- Newman MG, Llera SJ, Erickson TM, Przeworski A, Castonguay LG. Worry and generalized anxiety disorder: a review and theoretical synthesis of evidence on nature, etiology, mechanisms, and treatment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. 2013; 9:275–297.
- Nylund KL, Asparouhov T, Muthén BO. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class analysis and growth mixture modeling: A Monte Carlo simulation study. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*. 2007; 14(4):535–569.
- Ohannessian CM. Does technology use moderate the relationship between parental alcoholism and adolescent alcohol and cigarette use? *Addictive Behaviors*. 2009; 34(6):606–609. [PubMed: 19223123]
- Ohannessian CM, Lerner RM, Lerner JV, von Eye A. Does self-competence predict gender differences in adolescent depression and anxiety? *Journal of Adolescence*. 1999; 22(3):397–411. [PubMed: 10462430]
- Olatunji BO, Cole DA. The longitudinal structure of general and specific anxiety dimensions in children: Testing a latent trait–state–occasion model. *Psychological Assessment*. 2009; 21(3):412. [PubMed: 19719352]
- Rood L, Roelofs J, Bögels SM, Nolen-Hoeksema S, Schouten E. The influence of emotion-focused rumination and distraction on depressive symptoms in non-clinical youth: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2009; 29(7):607–616. [PubMed: 19682781]
- Rose AJ. Co-rumination in the friendships of girls and boys. *Child Development*. 2002; 73(6):1830–1843. [PubMed: 12487497]
- Rose AJ, Rudolph KD. A review of sex differences in peer relationship processes: potential trade-offs for the emotional and behavioral development of girls and boys. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2006; 132(1):98. [PubMed: 16435959]
- Schwarz G. Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics*. 1978; 6(2):461–464.

- Smetana JG, Campione-Barr N, Metzger A. Adolescent development in interpersonal and societal contexts. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2006; 57:255–284.
- Stapinski LA, Araya R, Heron J, Montgomery AA, Stallard P. Peer victimization during adolescence: Concurrent and prospective impact on symptoms of depression and anxiety. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping*. 2015; 28(1):105–120.
- Telzer EH, Fuligni AJ. Positive daily interactions eliminate gender differences in internalizing symptoms among adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2013; 42:1498–1511. [PubMed: 23733435]
- Tompkins TL, Hockett AR, Abraibesh N, Witt JL. A closer look at co-rumination: Gender, coping, peer functioning and internalizing/externalizing problems. *Journal of Adolescence*. 2011; 34(5): 801–811. [PubMed: 21411134]
- U.S. Census Bureau. Factor finders. 2007
- Van Oort F, Greaves-Lord K, Ormel J, Verhulst F, Huizink A. Risk indicators of anxiety throughout adolescence: the TRAILS study. *Depression and Anxiety*. 2011; 28(6):485–494. [PubMed: 21509913]
- Van Oort F, Greaves-Lord K, Verhulst F, Ormel J, Huizink A. The developmental course of anxiety symptoms during adolescence: the TRAILS study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2009; 50(10):1209–1217. [PubMed: 19490305]
- Waters SK, Lester L, Cross D. Transition to secondary school: Expectation versus experience. *Australian Journal of Education*. 2014; 58(2):153–166.
- Weems CF. Developmental trajectories of childhood anxiety: Identifying continuity and change in anxious emotion. *Developmental Review*. 2008; 28(4):488–502.
- Westenberg P, Drewes MJ, Goedhart AW, Siebelink BM, Treffers PD. A developmental analysis of self-reported fears in late childhood through mid-adolescence: social-evaluative fears on the rise? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2004; 45(3):481–495. [PubMed: 15055368]
- Wickrama, K., Lee, TK., O'Neil, CW., Lorenz, FO. *Higher-Order Growth Curves and Mixture Modeling with Mplus*. New York, NY: Routledge; 2016.
- Yurgelun-Todd D. Emotional and cognitive changes during adolescence. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2007; 17(2):251–257. [PubMed: 17383865]




Figure 1. Anxiety Symptom Trajectories in Adolescent Girls and Boys as Revealed by Latent Growth Curve Models




Figure 2. Mean Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) Symptom Trajectories of the Best Fitting, Four-Class Solution from Unconditional Growth Mixture Models
Note: SCARED = Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders. A total score of 8 or above is indicative of probable generalized anxiety disorder (GAD).





Figure 3. Mean Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) Symptom Trajectories of the Best Fitting, Five-Class Solution from Unconditional Growth Mixture Models
Note: SCARED = Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders. A total score of 8 or above is indicative of probable social anxiety disorder (GAD).

A. Generalized Anxiety Disorder Symptom Trajectories



B. Social Anxiety Disorder Symptom Trajectories




Figure 4.
Breakdown of Adolescent Girls and Boys Across Latent Anxiety Symptom Trajectory Classes

Table 1

Correlations, Means, and Standard Deviations among Study Variables

| Variables | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| GAD | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Time 1 | -- | .63*** | .50*** | .59*** | .36*** | .20* | .64*** | .38*** | .24** |
| 2. Time 2 | .59*** | -- | .48*** | .43*** | .65*** | .19* | .39*** | .65*** | .23* |
| 3. Time 3 | .50*** | .54*** | -- | .23** | .08 | .51*** | .27** | .28** | .61*** |
| PD | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Time 1 | .59*** | .38*** | .26*** | -- | .44*** | .32*** | .43*** | .32*** | .17* |
| 5. Time 2 | .38*** | .54*** | .28*** | .57*** | -- | .25** | .23*** | .57*** | .07 |
| 6. Time 3 | .23*** | .29*** | .51*** | .56*** | .50*** | -- | .01 | .10 | .51*** |
| SAD | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Time 1 | .52*** | .32*** | .24*** | .32*** | .19*** | .09 | -- | .58*** | .42*** |
| 8. Time 2 | .36*** | .52*** | .26*** | .27*** | .38*** | .17** | .61*** | -- | .42*** |
| 9. Time 3 | .26*** | .22** | .54*** | .17** | .11 | .33*** | .50*** | .58*** | -- |
| Girl Mean/SD | 6.15/4.29 | 5.61/4.14 | 6.08/4.62 | 4.37/4.70 | 3.96/4.40 | 3.85/4.55 | 4.89/3.38 | 4.24/3.46 | 4.04/3.55 |
| Boy Mean/SD | 4.06/3.82 | 3.87/3.97 | 4.50/4.06 | 2.61/3.67 | 2.64/4.01 | 2.75/4.12 | 3.58/3.28 | 3.72/3.39 | 3.50/3.30 |

Note: GAD = generalized anxiety disorder; PD = panic disorder; SAD = social anxiety disorder; Correlations for boys and girls are presented above and below the diagonal, respectively.

* $p < .05$;

** $p < .01$;

*** $p < .001$.

Table 2
 Results from Nested Model Comparisons Testing for Gender Differences in Anxiety Symptom Trajectory Parameter Estimates

| <i>Model</i> | χ^2 | df | χ^2_{diff} | CFI | RMSEA |
|--|----------|----|-------------------------|-----|-------|
| <i>Generalized Anxiety Disorder Symptoms</i> | | | | | |
| Model 1: Unconstrained | 23.34 | 7 | | .96 | .048 |
| Model 2: Gender equality constraints | 97.80 | 12 | 74.46 df=5, $p<.001$ | .80 | .083 |
| <i>Panic Disorder Symptoms</i> | | | | | |
| Model 1: Unconstrained | 17.07 | 7 | | .97 | .037 |
| Model 2: Gender equality constraints | 87.64 | 12 | 70.57 df=5, $p<.001$ | .76 | .078 |
| <i>Social Anxiety Disorder Symptoms</i> | | | | | |
| Model 1: Unconstrained | 8.91 | 7 | | .99 | .016 |
| Model 2: Gender equality constraints | 45.24 | 12 | 36.33 df=5, $p<.001$ | .92 | .052 |

Note: CFI = Comparative Fit Index; RMSEA = Root Mean Square Error Approximation

Coefficients and Variances for Growth Curve Parameters for Anxiety Symptom Trajectories for Adolescent Girls and Boys

Table 3

| Parameter | Generalized Anxiety Disorder Symptoms | | Panic Disorder Symptoms | | Social Anxiety Disorder Symptoms | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Coefficient | Variance | Coefficient | Variance | Coefficient | Variance |
| Intercept | | | | | | |
| Girls | 6.05 ^{***} | 11.43 ^{**} | 4.35 ^{***} | 12.22 ^{**} | 4.83 ^{**} | 7.04 ^{**} |
| Boys | 3.98 ^{**} | 8.21 ^{**} | 2.59 ^{**} | 4.64 ^{**} | 3.59 ^{**} | 6.35 ^{**} |
| Slope | | | | | | |
| Girls | -0.47 [*] | 6.37 ^{**} | -0.62 [*] | 0.12, ns | -0.98 ^{**} | 2.50 [*] |
| Boys | 0.23, ns | 1.26, ns | 0.28, ns | 0.28, ns | -0.09, ns | 3.03 [*] |

Note: Critical ratio *t*-tests were used to determine whether parameter estimates differed significantly from zero.

* *p*<.05;

** *p*<.01.

Fit Indices for Unconditional Growth Mixture Models Identifying Latent Anxiety Symptom Trajectories in Adolescent Girls and Boys

Table 4

| Latent Classes | Parameters | LL | BIC | cAIC | LMR-LRT (p value) | Entropy |
|--|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| <u>Generalized Anxiety Disorder Symptoms</u> | | | | | | |
| 1 | 5 | -5361.27 | 10756.13 | 10761.13 | -- | 1.00 |
| 2 | 8 | -5206.97 | 10467.67 | 10475.67 | <.001 | 0.79 |
| 3 | 11 | -5150.41 | 10374.71 | 10385.71 | <.001 | 0.74 |
| 4 | 14 | -5134.75 | 10363.55 | 10377.55 | .02 | 0.73 |
| 5 | 17 | -5127.05 | 10368.30 | 10385.30 | .34 | 0.74 |
| 6 | 20 | -5117.04 | 10368.44 | 10388.44 | .17 | 0.74 |
| <u>Social Anxiety Disorder Symptoms</u> | | | | | | |
| 1 | 5 | -4987.45 | 10008.48 | 10013.48 | -- | 1.00 |
| 2 | 8 | -4855.88 | 9765.50 | 9773.50 | <.001 | 0.70 |
| 3 | 11 | -4795.90 | 9665.69 | 9676.69 | <.001 | 0.76 |
| 4 | 14 | -4775.22 | 9644.49 | 9658.49 | .002 | 0.79 |
| 5 | 17 | -4758.65 | 9631.49 | 9648.49 | .01 | 0.76 |
| 6 | 20 | -4749.47 | 9633.29 | 9653.29 | .19 | 0.77 |

Note: BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion; cAIC = Consistent Akaike Information Criterion; LL = Log-likelihood; LMR-LRT = Lo-Mendell-Rubin Likelihood Ratio Test; Lower BIC and cAIC values indicated better model fit. LMR-LRT p values .05 indicated that the k-class solution was a superior fit compared to a k-1 class solution. Entropy provided a measure of classification accuracy, with higher values indicating better accuracy.

The bolded numbers represent the lowest values of each information-based fit index.

Table 5

Mean Growth Factors for Latent Anxiety Symptom Trajectory Classes from Best-Fitting Unconditional Growth Mixture Models

| Latent Trajectory Classes | Intercept Factor | | Linear Slope Factor | |
|--|------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | M (SE) | <i>p</i> value | M (SE) | <i>p</i> value |
| <u>Generalized Anxiety Disorder Symptom Trajectory Classes</u> | | | | |
| Very High Decreasing Rapidly | 14.48 (0.43) | < .001 | -3.61 (0.43) | < .001 |
| High Decreasing | 9.65 (0.71) | < .001 | -1.94 (0.35) | < .001 |
| Moderate Decreasing Slightly | 5.81 (0.37) | < .001 | -0.58 (0.24) | .02 |
| Low Increasing | 1.54 (0.15) | < .001 | 1.62 (0.16) | < .001 |
| <u>Social Anxiety Disorder Symptom Trajectory Classes</u> | | | | |
| Very High Decreasing Rapidly | 12.92 (0.24) | < .001 | -3.22 (0.57) | < .001 |
| High Decreasing Rapidly | 9.72 (0.22) | < .001 | -2.91 (0.31) | < .001 |
| Moderate Decreasing | 6.33 (0.21) | < .001 | -1.04 (0.15) | < .001 |
| Low Stable | 3.77 (0.28) | < .001 | -0.12 (0.22) | .58 |
| Low Increasing | 0.97 (1.26) | < .001 | 1.26 (0.12) | < .001 |