

European Society doi:10.1093/europace/euw305

# Mid-regional pro-atrial natriuretic peptide to predict clinical course in heart failure patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy

# Mattia Arrigo<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Quynh A. Truong<sup>5</sup>, Jackie Szymonifka<sup>5</sup>, Mercedes Rivas-Lasarte<sup>1</sup>, Heli Tolppanen<sup>1</sup>, Malha Sadoune<sup>1</sup>, Etienne Gayat<sup>1,2</sup>, Alain Cohen-Solal<sup>1,3</sup>, Frank Ruschitzka<sup>4</sup>, James L. Januzzi Jr<sup>6</sup>, Jagmeet P. Singh<sup>6</sup>, and Alexandre Mebazaa<sup>1,2,7\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INSERM UMR-S 942, Paris, France; <sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, APHP, Saint Louis and Lariboisière University Hospitals, 2, rue Ambroise Paré, Paris 75010, France; <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, APHP, Lariboisière University Hospitals, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>Division of Cardiology, University Heart Center, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>5</sup>Dalio Institute of Cardiovascular Imaging, New York-Presbyterian Hospital and Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Cardiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; and <sup>7</sup>Université Paris Diderot, PRES Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

Received 7 June 2016; accepted after revision 30 August 2016; online publish-ahead-of-print 17 January 2017

Aims	Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) induces reverse cardiac remodelling in heart failure (HF), but many patients receiving CRT remain non-responders. This study assessed the role of amino-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide (MR-proANP), and mid-regional-pro-adrenomedullin (MR-proADM) at the time of device implantation to predict favourable clinical course (CRT response and/or risk of MACE) in HF patients receiving CRT.
Methods and results	A total of 137 HF patients were prospectively included. Blood was drawn from the coronary sinus (CS) at CRT implant- ation, and from a peripheral vein (PV) simultaneously and after 6 months. Clinical CRT response at 6 months and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) at 2 years were assessed. Baseline PV-levels of MR-proANP (202 vs. 318 pmol/L, P = 0.009) and MR-proADM (843 vs. 1112 pmol/L, $P = 0.02$ ) were lower in CRT responders compared with non-re- sponders. At 6 months, CRT responders showed a decrease in MR-proANP levels, compared with an increase in non- responders ( $-32$ vs. $+7$ pmol/L, $P = 0.02$ ). During the same period, NT-proBNP decreased by a similar way in respon- ders and non-responders, while MR-proADM was unchanged in both groups. High baseline MR-proANP, either in PV (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.24–0.71, $P = 0.002$ ) or CS (OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.15–0.70, $P = 0.005$ ) was associated with reduced likelihood of CRT response. Furthermore, PV and CS levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM were all associated with increased risk of 2-year MACE (all $P < 0.01$ ).
Conclusion	Mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide may assist prediction of clinical course in HF patients undergoing CRT im- plantation. Low circulating MR-proANP at the time of device implantation is associated with CRT response and more favourable outcome.
Keywords	Heart failure • Cardiac resynchronization therapy • Response • Biomarker • MR-proANP • MR-proADM • NT-proBNP

# Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a major cause of death and unscheduled hospital admissions in industrialized countries. In eligible patients, cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has been shown to reduce mortality and hospital readmissions and improve functional capacity of selected patients with chronic HF.<sup>1-3</sup> Unfortunately, despite guideline-based patient selection and adjustment of device parameters, at least, one-third of patients receiving CRT remain nonresponders.<sup>4,5</sup> Hence, there is an unmet need for additional criteria to optimize patient selection. Despite intensive research, though high LA size before device implantation was shown to be associated

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +33 1 49 95 80 71. E-mail address: alexandre.mebazaa@aphp.fr

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2017. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

#### What's new?

- Cardiovascular biomarker measurement at the time of device implantation may be helpful to predict favourable clinical course in heart failure (HF) patients receiving cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT).
- Baseline levels of mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide (MR-proANP) was lower in CRT responders compared with non-responders (202 vs. 318 pmol/L, P = 0.009). At 6 months, CRT responders showed a decrease in MR-proANP levels, compared with an increase in non-responders (-32 vs. +7 pmol/L, P = 0.02).
- High baseline MR-proANP was associated with reduced likelihood of CRT response (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.24–0.71, P = 0.002) and increased risk of major adverse cardiovascular events at 2-year follow-up (HR 2.7, 95% CI 1.6–4.4, P < 0.001).
- Mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide may assist prediction of clinical course in HF patients receiving CRT.

with lower CRT response,<sup>6,7</sup> the role of echocardiography-derived parameters for prediction of clinical course after CRT is still disappointing. In this regard, cardiovascular biomarkers might help clinicians in selecting those patients that would effectively benefit from CRT: biomarkers provide the potential advantage of providing a picture of active processes in HF that might influence CRT response, such as active myocardial remodelling. Cardiac resynchronization induces reverse remodelling of the failing heart with improvement of left-ventricular systolic and diastolic function with an improvement in left-sided filling pressures.<sup>8</sup> Natriuretic peptides (NPs) are released by the failing heart in response to mechanical wall stretch and reflect severity of cardiac dysfunction. Among NPs, mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide (MR-proANP) may correlate with left-sided filling pressures better than amino-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and be complementary to NT-proBNP for prognosis.<sup>9–12</sup> Similarly, in HF patients, increased concentrations of the precursor fragment of adrenomedullin (MR-proADM), a peptide expressed in several tissues with vasodilatory, inotropic, and natriuretic properties, have also been shown to provide strong prognostic information with regard to mortality and hospital admission.<sup>10–14</sup> However, data about the prognostic properties of MR-proADM in patients undergoing CRT device implantation are scarce.

The Biomarkers to Predict CRT Response in Patients with HF (BIOCRT) study was designed to investigate the role of cardiovascular biomarkers to predict favourable clinical course of HF patients undergoing CRT implantation.<sup>15</sup> The aim of the present study was to assess the role of NPs (NT-proBNP and MR-proANP) and MR-proADM at the time of device implantation to predict favourable clinical course (CRT response and/or risk of MACE) in HF patients receiving CRT. We hypothesized MR-proANP and MR-proADM may provide unique information regarding CRT response and prognosis in this patient population.

## Methods

#### **Study population**

A total of 137 patients with chronic HF undergoing CRT device implantation at Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA, USA) were prospectively included in the observational BIOCRT study (Clinical-Trials.gov number: NCT01949246) between December 2007 and July 2012. The protocol has been previously described in detail, inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in Supplementary material online, *Table S1.*<sup>15</sup> At the time of device implantation, coronary sinus (CS) blood was drawn through the guiding catheter before delivering the CRT lead. Simultaneously, at 6-month follow-up peripheral venous (PV), blood was drawn from one of the upper extremity veins. All patients underwent CRT implantation and optimization of the CRT device at 1 month. Transthoracic echocardiography was performed at baseline and at 6-month follow-up.

#### **Blood samples storage and analysis**

All samples were immediately centrifuged and stored in microcentrifuge tubes at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until assayed by a standardized way. De-identified specimens were sent to independent core laboratories for analysis. Measurements of concentrations of NT-proBNP were performed using a 1-step sandwich chemiluminescent immunoassay (Dimension Vista Flex, Siemens, Newark, DE, USA) at Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Inc., Newark, DE, USA. Concentrations of MR-proANP and MRproADM were determined using an automated immunoassay technique (B.R.A.H.M.S, Hennigsdorf, Germany) at Lariboisière University Hospital, Paris, France.

#### **Outcome analysis**

Patients returned for regular clinic visits at 1, 3, and 6 months after device implantation and were followed for events during up to 2 years. CRT response was assessed according to the HF Clinical Composite Score.<sup>16</sup> Patients who experienced a favourable change in NYHA functional class or in the global assessment or both, but did not experience any major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) were defined as responders. Major adverse cardiovascular event was defined as the composite endpoint of death, cardiac transplant, left-ventricular assist device (LVAD) implantation, and HF hospitalization at 2 years. An outcome panel consisting of two cardiologists, blinded to the biomarker results, determined the clinical response of each subject based on review of the medical record, with disagreement resolved by consensus with a third cardiologist.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed, after testing for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test, as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median [interquartile range], as appropriate. Nominal variables are expressed as frequency (percentages). Differences between two independent groups were assessed with a *t*-test, Wilcoxon rank sum test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Differences between Wilcoxon-signed rank tests were used to examine the differences between baseline and 6-month biomarker values.

Multivariable regression was used to evaluate the association of biomarkers (logarithmic scale) and CRT response. Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate the association of biomarkers (logarithmic scale) and MACE. Adjustment was performed for a priori selected covariates with strong evidence on CRT response (age, sex, left-ventricular ejection fraction, estimated glomerular filtration rate, left-atrial diameter, right-ventricular systolic pressure, left bundle-branch block, duration of QRS complex) and MACE (age, sex, left-ventricular ejection fraction, estimated glomerular filtration rate). The performances of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM for predicting CRT response and MACE were assessed with calculating the area under the curve (AUC) of receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Net reclassification indices (NTI) were calculated to assess the added predictive performance of MR-proANP or MR-proADM in addition to NT-proBNP.

The null hypothesis was rejected with an adjusted two-sided P-value < 0.05. Analyses were performed with the use of SAS, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

#### **Ethical considerations**

The study was performed in observation of national laws and in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki, and

Overall n = 137         CRT responders n = 82         CRT non-responders n = 55         Pvalue           Patient characteristics         Age, yars         66 [57, 77]         65 [52, 77]         71 [60, 78]         0.21           Male         77%         73%         84%         0.21           BM1, kg/m <sup>2</sup> 27.6 [24.9, 31.9]         280 [24.8, 32.6]         26.4 [25.1, 30.3]         0.17           Diabetes         34%         28%         42%         0.10           Hypertmision         66.5%         62%         71%         0.36           Hypertmipideemia         64%         59%         73%         0.10           History of smoking         54%         40%         55%         0.12           NVHA class         0.34         0.34         0.34         0.34           II         9%         6%         13%         0.34           V         6%         5%         7%         0.66           AcEiAAB         80%         82%         78%         0.66           Jaboratory         7%         80%         0.83         1.33           Duretics         78%         77%         80%         0.83           Laboratory         78%         78% <th colspan="10">I able I Baseline characteristics of study participants</th>	I able I Baseline characteristics of study participants									
Age, vans         66         [52, 7]         7 [60, 78]         0.21           Male         77%         73%         64%         0.21           BHI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> 27.6 [24.9, 31.9]         28.0 [24.8, 32.6]         26.4 [25.1, 30.3]         0.17           Dabetes         34%         28%         42%         0.10           Hypertension         64%         59%         73%         0.10           History of snoking         54%         40%         55%         0.12           NTHA class         6%         13%         0.06           II         9%         6%         13%         0.12           NTHA class         7%         6%         13%         0.12           V         6%         5%         7%         0.66           Melications         7%         6%         13%         0.13           V         6%         8%         87%         0.66           βlockers         8%         44%         87%         0.83           Laboratory         7%         6%         0.83         0.13           Duretis         78%         7%         0.65         1.10         0.20           eGFR         69%		Overall $n = 137$	<b>CRT</b> responders <i>n</i> = 82	<b>CRT</b> non-responders <i>n</i> = 55	P-value					
Age, years         66 [57, 77]         63 [52, 77]         71 [60, 78]         0.21           Male         77%         73%         84%         0.21           Male         77%         73%         84%         0.21           BML kg/m <sup>2</sup> 27.6 [24,9, 319]         280 [248, 32.6]         24.4 [25.1, 30.3]         0.17           Dabetes         34%         28%         42%         0.10           Hypertipidemin         64%         59%         73%         0.10           Hitstory of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           Ischemic cardiomyopathy         46%         65%         0.78         0.12           NYHA class         7%         6%         30%         0.12           I         9%         6%         30%         0.76           V         6%         5%         0.76         0.81           Mill         6%         9%         80%         0.66           J-Blockers         85%         94%         87%         0.81           Adotstorone antagonists         13%         26%         7%         0.66           J-Blockers         85%         94%         7%         0.81         0.31 </td <td>Patient characteristics</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Patient characteristics									
Male         77%         73%         73%         84%         0.21           BML kg/m <sup>2</sup> 27.6 [24.9, 31.9]         28.0 [24.8, 32.6]         26.4 [25.1, 30.3]         0.10           Hypertension         66%         62%         71%         0.36           Hypertension         66%         62%         71%         0.36           Hypertension         66%         52%         73%         0.10           History of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           Ischemic cardiomyopathy         46%         98%         55%         0.12           NTHA class          78%         80%         0.87           III         9%         6%         30%         0.87           V         6%         99%         80%         0.66           Allocktorene antagonists         80%         82%         80%         0.83           Laboratory          78%         97%         80%         0.83           Laboratory          72%         65%         0.45           Gearmeters          1.21 [0.98, 1.55]         1.14 [0.95, 1.45]         1.27 [1.03, 1.81]         0.009           Sinus rhythit	Age, years	66 [57, 77]	65 [52, 77]	71 [60, 78]	0.21					
BHI, kg/m <sup>3</sup> 27.6 [24.9, 31.9]         28.0 [24.8, 32.6]         26.4 [25.1, 30.3]         0.17           Diabetes         34%         28%         42%         0.10           Hypertinition         66%         62%         71%         0.36           Hypertinition         64%         59%         73%         0.10           Hittory of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           Bichemic cardiomyopathy         46%         65%         13%         0.12           NTHA class         0.76         6%         13%         0.12           NTHA class         6%         89%         08%         0.66           Biolocters         6%         89%         0.66         6           Aldosterone antagonists         11%         26%         38%         0.13           Duaretics         7%         26%         38%         0.13           Laboratory	Male	77%	73%	84%	0.21					
Dabetes         34%         28%         42%         0.10           Hypertension         66%         62%         71%         0.36           Hypertpictension         64%         62%         71%         0.10           History of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           Ischemic cardiomyopathy         46%         48%         64%         0.08           NYHA class         7%         0.10         0.11           III         9%         6%         13%         0.10           III         9%         6%         0.85         0.16           NYHA class         85%         87%         80%         0.66           β-Biockers         85%         84%         87%         0.61           Aldosterone antagonists         31%         26%         38%         0.13           Duretics         78%         77%         80%         0.83           Laboratory	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.6 [24.9, 31.9]	28.0 [24.8, 32.6]	26.4 [25.1, 30.3]	0.17					
Hypertension         66%         62%         71%         0.36           Hypertipidaemia         64%         59%         73%         0.10           History of snoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           Ischemic cardiomyopathy         46%         40%         55%         0.12           NTHA class	Diabetes	34%	28%	42%	0.10					
Hyperlipidaemia         64%         59%         73%         0.10           History of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           History of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.02           NYHA class         0.34         0.75%         0.12           II         9%         6%         33%         0.76           III         9%         6%         33%         0.76           Medications         5%         7%         0.66         5%         0.66           β-Blockers         80%         82%         87%         0.81         0.81           Aldosterone antagonists         31%         26%         38%         0.83         0.83           Liborator         122 (0.98, 1.55)         1.14 (0.95, 1.45)         1.27 (1.03, 1.81)         0.02           eGR         61 ± 21         64 ± 21         56 ± 21         0.03           ECC parameters         58         0.45         0.45           Heart rate, bpm         72 ± 12         72 ± 13         72 ± 10         0.95           QRS duration, ms         160 (142, 178)         163 [146, 178]         164 [140, 176]         0.46           LBBB         53 (45,	Hypertension	66%	62%	71%	0.36					
History of smoking         54%         48%         64%         0.08           Ischemic cardiomyopathy         46%         40%         55%         0.12           NYHA class         9%         6%         13%         0.34           III         9%         6%         30%         30%           III         85%         9%         00%         7%         56%           Medications         6%         35%         7%         0.66           AcEi/ARB         80%         82%         7%         0.66           Aldosterone antagonists         31%         26%         38%         0.13           Diuretics         78%         77%         80%         0.83           Laboratory         ccreatinine, mg/dL         1.22 (0.98, 1.55)         1.14 (0.95, 1.45)         1.27 (1.03, 1.81)         0.02           cCreatine, mg/dL         6.21 (0.94, 1.55)         1.27 (1.03, 1.81)         0.02         0.65%           GCFR         6.41 2.1         6.4 ± 2.1         5.6 ± 2.1         0.35           ECC parameters	Hyperlipidaemia	64%	59%	73%	0.10					
Ischemic cardiomyopathy44%40%55%0.12NTHA clas0.34III9%6%13%III85%89%80%IV6%5%7%Medications5%7%666ACEi/ARB85%84%87%0.61ACEi/ARB85%84%87%0.61Aloberone antagonists31%26%38%0.13Diuretics78%7%0800.62Laboratory7%65%0.650.62Creatinine, mg/dL1.22 (0.98, 1.55)1.14 (0.95, 1.45)1.27 (1.03, 1.81)0.02eGFR61 ± 2164 ± 2156 ± 210.35ECG parameters72%65%0.45Heart rate, bpm72 ± 1272 ± 1372 ± 100.95QRS duration, ms160 (142, 178)153 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.66BaB53%62%38%0.009Paced21%24%28%0.83Erboratore, mm11154 [140, 176]0.61LV Greasins, mm12124 [153]0.310.31End-diastole (EDD)54 [48, 58]53 [47, 58]54 [50, 59]0.36LV volumes, mL171 [178, 280]208 [167, 727]225 [193, 301]0.14End-diastole (EDD)57 [128, 205]152 [118, 202]164 [134, 232]0.08LV volumes, mL157 [128, 205]152 [118, 202]164 [134, 232]0.08End-diastole (EDD)57	History of smoking	54%	48%	64%	0.08					
NYHA class0.34II9%6%13%II9%6%13%III85%89%80%N6%5%7%Medications82%7%0.66ACEI/ARB80%82%87%0.66ACEi/ARB80%26%38%0.13Divertics85%84%87%0.61Aldosterone antagonists31%26%38%0.13Divertics7%80%0.830.33Divertics7%65%0.620.83Creatinie, mg/dL1.22 (0.98, 1.55)1.14 (0.95, 1.45)1.27 (1.03, 1.81)0.02eGFR61 ± 2164 ± 2156 ± 210.03ECC parameters7%65%0.45Sinus rhythm69%72%65%0.45QRS duration, ms160 (142, 178)163 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.95QRS duration, ms160 (142, 178)163 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.46LBBB53%62%38%0.031Ect-cardiographic parameters11422%0.830.94LV dumens, mn114158 [140, 176]0.350.36LV dumens, mL117 [178, 280]208 [167, 272]225 [193, 301]0.14End-diastole (EDD)54 [48, 58]53 [47, 58]54 [50, 59]0.36LV dumens, mL157 [128, 205]152 [118, 202]164 [134, 232]0.08LV dumens, mL157 [128, 205]152 [118, 20	lschemic cardiomyopathy	46%	40%	55%	0.12					
II9%6%13%III85%89%80%III65%89%80%V6%5%7%Medications7%0.66β-Blockers80%82%78%0.66β-Blockers85%84%87%0.81Aldosterone antagonists31%26%38%0.13Diuretics7%80%0.830.33Laboratory7%80%0.62Certainine, mg/dL1.22 (0.98, 1.55)1.14 (0.95, 1.45)1.27 (1.03, 1.81)0.02eGFR69%72%65%0.45ECC parameters72%65%0.45Sinus rhythm69%72%65%0.45Heart rate, bpm72 ± 1272 ± 1372 ± 100.95QRS duration, ms160 [142, 178]163 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.46LBBB53%62%38%0.33Echocardiographic parameters111111LVEF, %26 ± 726 ± 724 ± 80.12LV dumes, mm11152 [148, 202]164 [134, 232]0.08LV volumes, mm157 [128, 05]152 [118, 202]164 [134, 232]0.02Ind-diastole (EDV)217 [178, 280]208 [167, 272]225 [193, 301]0.14End-diastole (EDV)217 [178, 280]208 [167, 272]225 [193, 301]0.14Ind-diastole (EDV)217 [178, 280]208 [167, 272]25 [193, 301]0.02Lift-trial diamete	NYHA class				0.34					
III85%89%80%7%V6%5%7%Medications2%7%0.61ACEI/ARB80%82%78%0.66β-Blockers85%84%87%0.81Aldosterone antagonists31%26%38%0.13Diuretics85%84%80%0.73Diuretics122 [0.98, 1.55]1.14 [0.95, 1.45]1.27 [1.03, 1.81]0.02eGFR0.61 ± 2164 ± 2156 ± 210.02eCG parmeters550.450.45ECG parmeters550.450.45Binus rhythm69%72%65%0.45Heart rate, bpm72 ± 1272 ± 1372 ± 100.95QRS duration, ms160 [142, 178]158 [140, 176]0.69LBBB05%0.35%62 ± 722%0.83Echo-ardiographic parameters51154 [140, 176]0.26LV EFK, %0.6 ± 724 ± 80.0090.26Echd-diastole (EDD)62 [57, 67]61 [56, 67]63 [56, 68]0.31LV volumes, mI5151 [40, 172]0.250.36LV volumes, mI52 [178, 203]152 [118, 202]154 [13, 31]0.02Inder-diastole (EDV)157 [128, 205]152 [118, 202]154 [13, 212]0.86LV volumes, mI52 [140, 202]0.64 [134, 232]0.86LV volumes, mI52 [148, 202]154 [140, 49]0.02Inder-diastole (ESV)157 [128, 205] <td>II</td> <td>9%</td> <td>6%</td> <td>13%</td> <td></td>	II	9%	6%	13%						
N         6%         5%         7%           Medications	III	85%	89%	80%						
Medications         ACEi/ARB         00%         62%         76%         0.66 $\beta$ Blockers         85%         84%         87%         0.81           Aldosterone antagonists         31%         26%         38%         0.81           Diuretics         78%         77%         80%         0.83           Laboratory         -         -         65 ± 21         0.02           cFR         0.6 ± 21         4.4 ± 21         5.6 ± 21         0.02           cFR         61 ± 21         4.4 ± 21         5.6 ± 21         0.02           cGFA         69%         72%         65%         0.45           Heart rate, bpm         72 ± 12         72 ± 13         72 ± 10         0.95           QRS duration, ms         160 [142, 178]         163 [146, 178]         158 [140, 176]         0.46           LBB         53%         62%         38%         0.009           Paced         21%         24%         28%         0.83           Etcocaretiographic parameters	IV	6%	5%	7%						
ACEI/ARB         80%         92%         78%         0.66 $\beta$ -Blockers         85%         84%         67%         0.81 $\beta$ -Blockers         85%         84%         67%         0.81           Aldosterone antagonists         31%         26%         38%         0.13           Diuretics         78%         77%         80%         0.83           Laboratory         77%         80%         0.83           Creatinine, mg/dL         1.22 [0.98, 1.55]         1.14 [0.95, 1.45]         1.27 [1.03, 1.81]         0.02 $\alpha$ FR         61 $\pm$ 21         64 $\pm$ 21         56 $\pm$ 21         0.03           ECG parameters         72%         65%         0.45           Heart rate, bpm         72 $\pm$ 12         72 $\pm$ 13         72 $\pm$ 10         0.95           QRS duration, ms         160 [142, 178]         163 [146, 178]         158 [140, 176]         0.46           LBB         53%         62%         38%         0.009           Paced         21%         24 $\pm$ 8         0.12           LVFF,%         26 $\pm$ 7         26 $\pm$ 7         24 $\pm$ 8         0.13           End-diastole (EDD)         54 [48, 58]         53 [47, 58]	Medications									
$\beta$ -Blockers85%84%87%0.81Aldosterone antagonists31%26%38%0.13Diuretics78%77%80%0.83Laboratory0.21Creatinine, mg/dL1.22 [0.98, 1.55]1.14 [0.95, 1.45]1.27 [1.03, 1.81]0.02eGFR61 ± 2164 ± 2156 ± 210.03ECG parameters0.45ECG parameters0.45Hear rate, bpm72 ± 1272 ± 1372 ± 100.95QRS duration, ms160 [142, 178]163 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.46LBB53%62%38%0.009Paced21%21%22%0.83Erbd-diastole (EDD)52 [57, 67]61 [56, 67]63 [58, 68]0.31LV dimensions, mmEnd-diastole (EDD)52 [57, 67]61 [56, 67]63 [58, 68]0.31LV volumes, mLEnd-diastole (EDV)17 [178, 280]208 [167, 272]225 [193, 301]0.14End-diastole (EDV)157 [128, 205]152 [118, 202]164 [134, 232]0.08Lef-atrial diameter, mm45 [41, 49]47 [43, 51]0.020.36Lif-atrial diameter, mm45 [41, 49]47 [43, 51]0.02Mitral regurgitation0.36None/trace22%24%18%Mil	ACEi/ARB	80%	82%	78%	0.66					
Aldosterone antagonists $31\%$ $26\%$ $38\%$ $0.13$ Diuretics $78\%$ $77\%$ $80\%$ $0.83$ Laboratory $T$ $T$ $80\%$ $0.83$ Laboratory $T$ $T$ $80\%$ $0.83$ Creatinine, mg/dL $1.22$ [0.98, 1.55] $1.14$ [0.95, 1.45] $1.27$ [1.03, 1.81] $0.02$ eGFR $61\pm 21$ $6\pm 21$ $0.03$ $0.02$ $0.02$ $0.02$ eGG parameters $T$ $S$ $0.55$ $0.45$ $0.02$ $0.95$ $0.45$ GRS duration, ms $160$ [142, 178] $163$ [146, 178] $158$ [140, 176] $0.46$ BBB $53\%$ $62\%$ $38\%$ $0.009$ $0.009$ Paced $21\%$ $21\%$ $21\%$ $21\%$ $0.12$ $0.12$ LVF f, $26\pm 7$ $26\pm 7$ $24\pm 8$ $0.12$ LV fd imensions, mm $10^{-1}$ $156$ [50) $54$ [48, 58] $53$ [47, 58] $54$ [50, 59] $0.36$ <	$\beta$ -Blockers	85%	84%	87%	0.81					
Diuretics $78\%$ $77\%$ $80\%$ $0.83$ LaboratoryCreatinine, mg/dL $1.22 [0.98, 1.55]$ $1.14 [0.95, 1.45]$ $1.27 [1.03, 1.81]$ $0.02$ eGR $61 \pm 21$ $64 \pm 21$ $66 \pm 21$ $0.02$ eGG parametersStandard Standard Stand	Aldosterone antagonists	31%	26%	38%	0.13					
Laboratory         Creatinine, mg/dL         1.22 [0.98, 1.55]         1.14 [0.95, 1.45]         1.27 [1.03, 1.81]         0.02	Diuretics	78%	77%	80%	0.83					
Creatinine, mg/dL1.22 [0.98, 1.55]1.14 [0.95, 1.45]1.27 [1.03, 1.81]0.02eGFR $61 \pm 21$ $64 \pm 21$ $56 \pm 21$ 0.03ECG parameters </td <td>Laboratory</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Laboratory									
eGFR $61 \pm 21$ $64 \pm 21$ $56 \pm 21$ $0.03$ ECG parametersSinus rhythm $69\%$ $72\%$ $65\%$ $0.45$ Heart rate, bpm $72 \pm 12$ $72 \pm 13$ $72 \pm 10$ $0.95$ QRS duration, ms $160 [142, 178]$ $163 [146, 178]$ $158 [140, 176]$ $0.46$ LBBB $53\%$ $62\%$ $38\%$ $0.009$ Paced $21\%$ $21\%$ $22\%$ $0.12$ Echocardiographic parameters $1\%$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ $0.12$ LVE F, % $26 \pm 7$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ $0.12$ LV dimensions, mm $11\%$ $53 [47, 58]$ $54 [50, 59]$ $0.36$ LV volumes, mL $157 [128, 205]$ $51 [18, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ $0.08$ LV volumes, mL $157 [128, 205]$ $152 [118, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ $0.02$ Mitral regurgitation $22\%$ $24\%$ $8\%$ $0.02$ Mitral regurgitation $22\%$ $24\%$ $8\%$ $0.02$ Mild $34\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ Moderate $33\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ $54\%$	Creatinine, mg/dL	1.22 [0.98, 1.55]	1.14 [0.95, 1.45]	1.27 [1.03, 1.81]	0.02					
ECG parameters         Sinus rhythm         69%         72%         65%         0.45           Heart rate, bpm         72 ± 12         72 ± 13         72 ± 10         0.95           QRS duration, ms         160 [142, 178]         163 [146, 178]         158 [140, 176]         0.46           LBBB         53%         62%         38%         0.009           Paced         21%         21%         22%         0.83           Echocardiographic parameters          12         24 ± 8         0.12           LVEF, %         26 ± 7         26 ± 7         24 ± 8         0.12           LVd dimensions, mm          1         518, 68]         0.31           End-diastole (EDD)         62 [57, 67]         61 [56, 67]         63 [58, 68]         0.31           End-diastole (EDD)         54 [48, 58]         53 [47, 58]         54 [50, 59]         0.36           LV volumes, mL                End-diastole (EDV)         127 [178, 280]         208 [167, 272]         225 [193, 301]         0.14           End-systole (EDV)         157 [128, 205]         152 [118, 202]         164 [134, 232]         0.08           Left-atrial diameter, mm	eGFR	61 <u>+</u> 21	64 ± 21	56 <u>+</u> 21	0.03					
Sinus rhythm69%72%65%0.45Heart rate, bpm $72 \pm 12$ $72 \pm 13$ $72 \pm 10$ 0.95QRS duration, ms160 [142, 178]163 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.46LBBB53%62%38%0.009Paced21%21%22%0.83Echocardiographic parameters21%22%0.83Echocardiographic parameters0.120.12LVEF, %26 $\pm$ 726 $\pm$ 724 $\pm$ 80.12LV dimensions, mm0.31End-diastole (EDD)62 [57, 67]61 [56, 67]63 [58, 68]0.31End-diastole (EDD)54 [48, 58]53 [47, 58]54 [50, 59]0.36LV volumes, mL0.14End-diastole (EDV)217 [178, 280]208 [167, 272]225 [193, 301]0.14End-systole (ESV)157 [128, 205]152 [118, 202]164 [134, 232]0.08Left-atrial diameter, mm45 [41, 49]44 [40, 49]47 [43, 51]0.02Mitral regurgitation24%18%36%32%Mild34%36%32%34%5858Mild34%36%32%34%5858	ECG parameters									
Heart rate, bpm $72 \pm 12$ $72 \pm 13$ $72 \pm 10$ $0.95$ QRS duration, ms $160 [142, 178]$ $163 [146, 178]$ $158 [140, 176]$ $0.46$ LBBB $53\%$ $62\%$ $38\%$ $0.009$ Paced $21\%$ $21\%$ $22\%$ $0.83$ Echocardiographic parameters $UVEF, \%$ $26 \pm 7$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ $0.12$ LV dimensions, mm $End-diastole (EDD)$ $62 [57, 67]$ $61 [56, 67]$ $63 [58, 68]$ $0.31$ End-diastole (EDD) $54 [48, 58]$ $53 [47, 58]$ $54 [50, 59]$ $0.36$ LV volumes, mL $End-diastole (EDV)$ $217 [178, 280]$ $208 [167, 272]$ $225 [193, 301]$ $0.14$ End-diastole (EDV) $157 [128, 205]$ $152 [118, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ $0.08$ Left-atrial diameter, mm $45 [41, 49]$ $44 [40, 49]$ $47 [43, 51]$ $0.02$ Mitral regurgitation $22\%$ $24\%$ $18\%$ $32\%$ Mild $34\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ Severe $11\%$ $8\%$ $16\%$	Sinus rhythm	69%	72%	65%	0.45					
QRS duration, ms160 [142, 178]163 [146, 178]158 [140, 176]0.46LBBB53%62%38%0.009Paced21%21%22%0.83Echocardiographic parameters158 [140, 176]0.83Echocardiographic parameters20%0.83LVEF, % $26 \pm 7$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ 0.12LV dimensions, mm158 [68]0.31End-diastole (EDD) $62 [57, 67]$ $61 [56, 67]$ $63 [58, 68]$ 0.31End-diastole (EDD) $54 [48, 58]$ $53 [47, 58]$ $54 [50, 59]$ 0.36LV volumes, mL $157 [128, 205]$ $152 [118, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ 0.08Left-atrial diameter, mm $45 [41, 49]$ $44 [40, 49]$ $47 [43, 51]$ $0.02$ Mitral regurgitation $36\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ Mild $34\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ Severe $11\%$ $8\%$ $16\%$	Heart rate, bpm	72 ± 12	72 ± 13	72 ± 10	0.95					
LBBB       53%       62%       38%       0.009         Paced       21%       22%       0.83         Echocardiographic parameters $2\%$ $2\%$ $0.2\%$ $0.31$ LVEF, % $26 \pm 7$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ $0.12$ LV dimensions, mm $    -$ End-diastole (EDD) $62 [57, 67]$ $61 [56, 67]$ $63 [58, 68]$ $0.31$ End-diastole (EDD) $54 [48, 58]$ $53 [47, 58]$ $54 [50, 59]$ $0.36$ LV volumes, mL $    -$ End-diastole (EDV) $217 [178, 280]$ $208 [167, 272]$ $225 [193, 301]$ $0.14$ End-diastole (EDV) $157 [128, 205]$ $152 [118, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ $0.08$ Left-atrial diameter, mm $45 [41, 49]$ $44 [40, 49]$ $47 [43, 51]$ $0.02$ Mitral regurgitation $ 0.36$ $32\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ Mid $34\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ $32\%$ $32\%$ Mid $34\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ </td <td>QRS duration, ms</td> <td>160 [142, 178]</td> <td>163 [146, 178]</td> <td>158 [140, 176]</td> <td>0.46</td>	QRS duration, ms	160 [142, 178]	163 [146, 178]	158 [140, 176]	0.46					
Paced21%21%22%0.83Echocardiographic parametersLVEF, % $26 \pm 7$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ 0.12LV dimensions, mmEnd-diastole (EDD) $62 [57, 67]$ $61 [56, 67]$ $63 [58, 68]$ 0.31End-systole (ESD) $54 [48, 58]$ $53 [47, 58]$ $54 [50, 59]$ 0.36LV volumes, mLEnd-diastole (EDV) $217 [178, 280]$ $208 [167, 272]$ $225 [193, 301]$ 0.14End-diastole (EDV) $157 [128, 205]$ $152 [118, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ 0.08Left-atrial diameter, mm $45 [41, 49]$ $44 [40, 49]$ $47 [43, 51]$ 0.02Mitral regurgitation $22\%$ $24\%$ $18\%$ $32\%$ Moderate $33\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$ $34\%$	LBBB	53%	62%	38%	0.009					
Echocardiographic parameters         26 ± 7         26 ± 7         24 ± 8         0.12           LV EF, %         26 ± 7         26 ± 7         24 ± 8         0.12           LV dimensions, mm         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <td< td=""><td>Paced</td><td>21%</td><td>21%</td><td>22%</td><td>0.83</td></td<>	Paced	21%	21%	22%	0.83					
LVEF, % $26 \pm 7$ $26 \pm 7$ $24 \pm 8$ $0.12$ LV dimensions, mmEnd-diastole (EDD) $62 [57, 67]$ $61 [56, 67]$ $63 [58, 68]$ $0.31$ End-diastole (EDD) $54 [48, 58]$ $53 [47, 58]$ $54 [50, 59]$ $0.36$ LV volumes, mLEnd-diastole (EDV) $217 [178, 280]$ $208 [167, 272]$ $225 [193, 301]$ $0.14$ End-diastole (EDV) $217 [178, 280]$ $208 [167, 272]$ $225 [193, 301]$ $0.14$ End-systole (ESV) $157 [128, 205]$ $152 [118, 202]$ $164 [134, 232]$ $0.08$ Left-atrial diameter, mm $45 [41, 49]$ $44 [40, 49]$ $47 [43, 51]$ $0.02$ Mitral regurgitation $0.36$ $0.36$ $0.36$ $0.36$ None/trace $22\%$ $24\%$ $18\%$ Mild $34\%$ $36\%$ $32\%$ Moderate $33\%$ $32\%$ $34\%$	Echocardiographic parameters									
LV dimensions, mm         End-diastole (EDD)       62 [57, 67]       61 [56, 67]       63 [58, 68]       0.31         End-systole (ESD)       54 [48, 58]       53 [47, 58]       54 [50, 59]       0.36         LV volumes, mL       End-diastole (EDV)       217 [178, 280]       208 [167, 272]       225 [193, 301]       0.14         End-systole (ESV)       157 [128, 205]       152 [118, 202]       164 [134, 232]       0.08         Left-atrial diameter, mm       45 [41, 49]       44 [40, 49]       47 [43, 51]       0.02         Mitral regurgitation        0.36         None/trace       22%       24%       18%         Mild       34%       36%       32%       44%         Moderate       33%       32%       34%       58	LVEF, %	$26 \pm 7$	26 <u>+</u> 7	24 <u>+</u> 8	0.12					
End-diastole (EDD)       62 [57, 67]       61 [56, 67]       63 [58, 68]       0.31         End-systole (ESD)       54 [48, 58]       53 [47, 58]       54 [50, 59]       0.36         LV volumes, mL           0.14         End-diastole (EDV)       217 [178, 280]       208 [167, 272]       225 [193, 301]       0.14         End-systole (ESV)       157 [128, 205]       152 [118, 202]       164 [134, 232]       0.08         Left-atrial diameter, mm       45 [41, 49]       44 [40, 49]       47 [43, 51]       0.02         Mitral regurgitation         22%       24%       18%         Mild       34%       36%       32%       34%         Moderate       33%       32%       34%       44%	LV dimensions, mm									
End-systole (ESD)       54 [48, 58]       53 [47, 58]       54 [50, 59]       0.36         LV volumes, mL	End-diastole (EDD)	62 [57, 67]	61 [56, 67]	63 [58, 68]	0.31					
LV volumes, mL       End-diastole (EDV)       217 [178, 280]       208 [167, 272]       225 [193, 301]       0.14         End-systole (ESV)       157 [128, 205]       152 [118, 202]       164 [134, 232]       0.08         Left-atrial diameter, mm       45 [41, 49]       44 [40, 49]       47 [43, 51]       0.02         Mitral regurgitation       0.36       0.36       0.36         None/trace       22%       24%       18%         Mild       34%       36%       32%         Moderate       33%       32%       34%         Severe       11%       8%       16%	End-systole (ESD)	54 [48, 58]	53 [47, 58]	54 [50, 59]	0.36					
End-diastole (EDV)       217 [178, 280]       208 [167, 272]       225 [193, 301]       0.14         End-systole (ESV)       157 [128, 205]       152 [118, 202]       164 [134, 232]       0.08         Left-atrial diameter, mm       45 [41, 49]       44 [40, 49]       47 [43, 51]       0.02         Mitral regurgitation       0.08/       0.08       0.08       0.08         None/trace       22%       24%       18%       0.02         Mild       34%       36%       32%       0.04         Moderate       33%       32%       34%       58%	LV volumes, mL									
End-systole (ESV)       157 [128, 205]       152 [118, 202]       164 [134, 232]       0.08         Left-atrial diameter, mm       45 [41, 49]       44 [40, 49]       47 [43, 51]       0.02         Mitral regurgitation       0.08       0.08       0.08       0.09         None/trace       22%       24%       18%       0.02         Mild       34%       36%       32%       16%         Moderate       33%       32%       34%       59%	End-diastole (EDV)	217 [178, 280]	208 [167, 272]	225 [193, 301]	0.14					
Left-atrial diameter, mm       45 [41, 49]       44 [40, 49]       47 [43, 51]       0.02         Mitral regurgitation       0.36         None/trace       22%       24%       18%         Mild       34%       36%       32%         Moderate       33%       32%       34%         Severe       11%       8%       16%	End-systole (ESV)	157 [128, 205]	152 [118, 202]	164 [134, 232]	0.08					
Mitral regurgitation         0.36           None/trace         22%         24%         18%           Mild         34%         36%         32%           Moderate         33%         32%         34%           Severe         11%         8%         16%	Left-atrial diameter, mm	45 [41, 49]	44 [40, 49]	47 [43, 51]	0.02					
None/trace         22%         24%         18%           Mild         34%         36%         32%           Moderate         33%         32%         34%           Severe         11%         8%         16%	Mitral regurgitation				0.36					
Mild     34%     36%     32%       Moderate     33%     32%     34%       Severe     11%     8%     16%	None/trace	22%	24%	18%						
Moderate         33%         32%         34%           Severe         11%         8%         16%	Mild	34%	36%	32%						
Severe 11% 8% 16%	Moderate	33%	32%	34%						
	Severe	11%	8%	16%						
RV systolic pressure, mmHg         42 [35, 51]         40 [35, 48]         46 [39, 57]         0.02	RV systolic pressure, mmHg	42 [35, 51]	40 [35, 48]	46 [39, 57]	0.02					

ACEi, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LBBB, left bundle-branch block; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left-ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association; RV right ventricular.

was approved by local Ethical Committees. All patients provided written informed consent.

## **Results**

# Patient characteristics and biomarker levels at the time of CRT implantation

Baseline characteristics of the 137 included patients are summarized in *Table 1*. The mean left-ventricular ejection fraction was  $26 \pm 7\%$ and 91% of patients were in NYHA class III or IV. Most patients were in sinus rhythm with a median QRS duration of 160 [142, 178] ms.

Baseline PV and CS levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM are reported in Supplementary material online, *Table* S2. Notably, the median transcardiac gradient (difference between CS and PV) was +237 pg/mL for NT-proBNP, +71 pmol/L for MR-proANP, and -19 pmol/L for MR-proADM.

# Clinical characteristics of cardiac resynchronization therapy responders

A total of 82 patients (60%) were considered CRT responders 6 months after device implantation.

As reported in *Table 1*, CRT responders had similar characteristics as CRT non-responders, at the time of CRT implantation, except for slightly lower creatinine levels, smaller left atria and lower right-ventricular systolic pressures. A CRT device with combined cardioverter-defibrillator (CRT-D) was implanted in 95% of CRT responders and 96% of CRT non-responders, P = 1.0.

At 6-month follow-up, in addition to clinical improvement, CRT responders showed significantly smaller left-ventricular enddiastolic volume (184 [138, 231] vs. 217 [164, 271] mL, P = 0.03), smaller left-ventricular end-systolic volume (117 [77, 154] vs. 154 [107, 203] mL, P = 0.003), smaller left-atrial diameter (43  $\pm$  8 vs. 47  $\pm$  7, P = 0.001) and better systolic function (left-ventricular ejection fraction 37  $\pm$  10 vs. 28  $\pm$  9%, P < 0.001) compared with CRT non-responders.

## **Biomarker levels of cardiac** resynchronization therapy responders

Concerning circulating cardiovascular biomarkers (Supplementary material online, *Table S3*), at the time of CRT implantation, PV concentrations of MR-proANP, and MR-proADM were lower in CRT responders compared with CRT non-responders (202 [112, 348] vs. 318 [238, 506] pmol/L, P = 0.009 and 843 [657, 1129] vs. 1112 [776, 1449] pmol/L, P = 0.02, respectively). Although not reaching statistical significance, a similar trend was observed also for NT-proBNP (1019 [444, 2507] vs. 2227 [736, 3309] pg/mL, P = 0.06).

At 6 months after device implantation, CRT responders showed a decrease in MR-proANP levels (-32 [-89, +5] pmol/L) compared with baseline, while MR-proANP increased (+7 [-49, +123] pmol/L, P = 0.02) in CRT non-responders (*Figure 1*). During the same time period, NT-proBNP decreased by a similar way in CRT responders and CRT non-responders. Concentrations of MR-proADM were unchanged in CRT responders and CRT non-responders and CRT non-responders and CRT non-responders.



**Figure I** Peripheral venous NT-proBNP (A), MR-proANP (B), and MR-proADM (C) values at baseline and at 6 months in CRT responders and CRT non-responders. Median plasma levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM at baseline and 6-month follow-up in CRT responders and CRT non-responders.

## Value of biomarker levels at the time of device implantation for predicting cardiac resynchronization therapy response

The association between biomarker levels at the time of device implantation and CRT response at 6 months is summarized in *Figure 2*. High baseline CS levels of NT-proBNP were associated with

	Unadjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus					_				
0	Age-, sex-, LVEF- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus				-					
proBN	Age-, sex-, eGFR- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus									
NT-p	LA diameter-, RVSP- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus		_	_		_	_			
	LBBB-, QRS-duration- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus				-					
	Unadjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus			-						
Ч	Age-, sex-, LVEF- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus			-						
proAN	Age-, sex-, eGFR- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus			-		-				
MR-	LA diameter-, RVSP- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus	_		-						
	LBBB-, QRS-duration- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus			-		-				
	Unadjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus		_			_				
Σ	Age-, sex-, LVEF- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus		_							
proAD	Age-, sex-, eGFR- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus									
MR-F	LA diameter-, RVSP- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus							-		
	LBBB-, QRS-duration- adjusted	Peripheral vein Coronary sinus			_					 	
			0				1			 2	

**Figure 2** Prognostic value of plasma NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM for CRT response at 6 months. Odds ratio with 95% confidence interval of log-transformed PV and CS levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LAD, left-atrial diameter; LBBB, left bundle-branch block; LVEF, left-ventricular ejection fraction; RVSP, right-ventricular systolic pressure.

reduced likelihood of CRT response in the univariate analysis [odds ratio (OR) 0.61, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.43–0.88, P = 0.009] and after several adjustments for clinical confounders. Peripheral vein levels of NT proBNP were associated with reduced likelihood of CRT response in the univariate analysis (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.53–0.95, P = 0.02) but lost statistical significance after adjustments for clinical confounders.

In contrast, high concentrations of MR-proANP, either in PV (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.24–0.71, P = 0.002) or in CS (OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.15–0.70, P = 0.005) were consistently associated with reduced likelihood of CRT response in the univariate analysis and after adjustment for clinical confounders.

Higher PV concentrations of MR-proADM were also associated with reduced likelihood of CRT response in the univariate

analysis but lost statistical significance after adjustment for clinical confounders.

The areas under the ROC curve of PV levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM for predicting CRT response were 0.58, 0.67, and 0.65, respectively. Mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide was superior to NT-proBNP in this regard (P = 0.01). The combination of MR-proANP and NT-proBNP was superior to NT-proBNP alone for prediction of CRT response [Net reclassification index (NRI) 0.56, 95% CI 0.2–0.9, P = 0.003], while the combination of MR-proADM and NT-proBNP was similar to NT-proBNP alone (NRI 0.24, 95% CI –0.1 to 0.6, P = 0.19).

Notably, the transcardiac gradient of any of the three biomarkers did not provide any prognostic information with regard to CRT response (Supplementary material online, *Table S4*).

## Value of biomarker levels at the time of device implantation for predicting 2-year major adverse cardiovascular event

During a median follow-up of 2 years, there were 35 (25%) patients with MACE, including 14 deaths, 32 HF hospitalizations, 2 LVAD implantations, and 3 heart transplants. Baseline characteristics of patients with and without MACE have been published elsewhere (Arrigo *et al.*, in revision).

As reported in Supplementary material online, *Table S5*, higher concentrations of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM in PV or CS were all associated with increased risk of 2-year MACE. Indeed, PV levels of NT-proBNP [hazard ratio (HR) 1.7, 95% CI 1.3–2.4, P < 0.001], MR-proANP (HR 2.7, 95% CI 1.6–4.4, P < 0.001), and MR-proADM (HR 4.7, 95% CI 2.4–9.5, P < 0.001) were all associated with increased risk of 2-year MACE in the univariate analysis and after adjustment for clinical confounders. Accordingly, the areas under the ROC curve of PV levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM for predicting MACE were similar (0.68, 0.70, and 0.63, respectively, all P > 0.05). The combination of MR-proANP and NT-proBNP or MR-proADM and NT-proBNP was similar to NT-proBNP alone for prediction of MACE (NRI 0.02, 95% CI –0.4 to 0.5, P = 0.94 and NRI –0.09, 95% CI –0.5 to 0.3, P = 0.67, respectively).

Notably, transcardiac gradient of MR-proANP and MR-proADM also provided prognostic information (Supplementary material online, *Table S5*).

## **Baseline mid-regional-pro-atrial** natriuretic peptide and favourable long-term clinical course

Receiver-operating characteristic analysis indicated that optimal cutoff level of baseline PV MR-proANP for its association with both outcomes (CRT response and MACE) was 250 pmol/L. As depicted in *Figure 3*, CRT response in patients with MR-proANP levels <250 pmol/L was 74% compared with 46% in patients with higher



**Figure 3** Cardiac resynchronization therapy response at 6 months and MACE at 2 years according to baseline values of PV MR-proANP levels. CRT response at 6 months (blue) and MACE at 2 years (yellow) in patients with MR-proANP levels <250 and  $\geq 250$  pmol/L.

concentrations (P = 0.003) and MACE was only 10% compared with 39% with MR-proANP higher than 250 pmol/L (P < 0.001).

## Discussion

The current study showed that MR-proANP may assist prediction of clinical course in severe HF patients undergoing CRT implantation. In particular, low circulating MR-proANP at the time of device implantation is associated with high likelihood of CRT response and low risk of MACE.

Cardiac resynchronization therapy improves survival and functional capacity through reverse remodelling of the failing heart via reduction of left-ventricular dimensions and improved leftventricular ejection fraction.<sup>17</sup> However, despite guideline-based patient selection, rates of CRT non-response remain high. In our study, 40% of patients were considered clinical non-responders at 6 months, which is in line with rates reported in the literature.<sup>4,5</sup> Mechanisms of CRT non-response are unknown, but a lack in reverse cardiac remodelling is likely to play a central role. Supporting this assumption, our study confirmed the close relationship between clinical and echocardiographic course after CRT. Indeed, 6 months after device implantation, CRT responders showed smaller leftventricular volumes and higher left-ventricular ejection fraction compared with CRT non-responders, in accordance with previous studies.<sup>5</sup>

Our study suggests that CRT response may be inversely related to the severity of myocardial dysfunction at the time of CRT implantation. Indeed, our present study showed that, at the time of device implantation, CRT responders had lower concentrations of NPs (in particular MR-proANP) compared with CRT nonresponders. In stable HF patients, circulating NPs reflects the severity of myocardial stretch due to ventricular dysfunction.<sup>9</sup> By showing positive transcardiac gradients, our study further supported the cardiac source of NPs. In addition, we showed that CRT responders, despite similar left-ventricular volumes and ejection fraction, had smaller left atria compared with CRT non-responders. The association between smaller atria and CRT response is in line with results of previous studies.<sup>6,7,18,19</sup> Hence, lower NP levels and smaller atria strongly suggest less severe myocardial dysfunction at the time of device implantation in our CRT responders than in CRT nonresponders. According to this statement, we also observed no differences in baseline levels of MR-proADM, according to CRT response. Indeed, MR-proADM has a systemic-rather than cardiac—origin, as suggested by the negative transcardiac gradient seen in our study, and was described as a marker of increased plasma volume and activated sympathetic nervous system in HF.<sup>20</sup> In summary, our study suggests the severity of myocardial dysfunction as an important mechanism of CRT response.

The present study suggests a closer relationship of circulating MR-proANP with clinical course after CRT implantation when compared with NT-proBNP albeit with similar trends in concentration of the latter marker in this small cohort. Indeed, PV levels of MR-proANP decreased in CRT responders and increased in CRT non-responders during the 6 initial months after device implantation, while NT-proBNP decreased in both subgroups. Our results suggest that MR-proANP may better reflect reverse remodelling and improvement in LV systolic and diastolic functions induced by

CRT than NT-proBNP.<sup>8</sup> This is in line with the recent study showing that MR-proANP correlates better with left-ventricular filling pressures than NT-proBNP.<sup>9</sup>

Finally, the present study showed that baseline plasma levels of NT-proBNP, MR-proANP, and MR-proADM were all associated with 2-year MACE: the higher the plasma levels, the higher the risk of MACE. Those data are in line with studies showing NPs and MR-proADM as prognosticators of death in HF patients.<sup>10–14</sup> Our data extend these properties for the assessment of MACE in HF patients with CRT.

### **Clinical implications**

Our study first shows that measurement of MR-proANP may improve selection of CRT patients. Indeed, in patients with criteria of CRT implantation, whether this might be used as a criterion to assist in decision-making for implantation is speculative and more data are needed in this regard. In the light of our data, it is premature to discourage CRT implantation in patients with highly elevated MR-proANP, but clinicians should be aware of increased risk of adverse clinical course in those patients. In addition, MR-proANP measured during the follow-up objectifies CRT response; in those with non-response by clinical or biochemical criteria, interventions to improve response (such as adjustment in device parameters and/ or lead placement) might be undertaken.

#### Limitations

The study has some notable limitations. First, this is a small cohort of selected patients from a single academic tertiary care centre with experienced care and follow-up of CRT patients. This may represent selection bias and limit its generalizability. Due to small number of included patients and events, the ability to perform multivariable adjustments is limited. However, this is the largest cohort of CRT patients for whom CS and PV blood samples for biomarker analysis are available. Larger prospective studies are needed to confirm our results.

# Conclusion

Mid-regional-pro-atrial natriuretic peptide may assist prediction of clinical course in severe HF patients undergoing CRT implantation. In particular, low circulating MR-proANP at the time of device implantation is associated with the favourable outcome.

# Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Europace* online.

### **Funding**

M.A. is recipient of a fellowship of the Collège de Médecine des Hôpitaux de Paris. Q.A.T. was supported by NIH/NHLBI K23HL098370 and L30HL093896. J.S. was supported by NIH/NHLBI K23HL098370.

**Conflict of interest:** Q.A.T. received grant support from St Jude Medical and ACRIN. J.L.J. has received grant support from Roche Diagnostics, Siemens Diagnostics, Singulex, and Prevencio; J.L.J. has received consulting income from Roche Diagnostics, Critical Diagnostics, Sphingotec, Philips, Cardiorentis, Novartis, Amgen, and Boeringer-Ingelheim. J.P.S. has received grant support from St Jude Medical, Medtronic, BostonScientific, SorinGroup, Biotronik, BG Medicine, and Siemens. A.M. received speaker's honoraria from Abbott, Novartis, Orion, Roche and Servier and fee as member of advisory board and/ or steering committee from Cardiorentis, Adrenomed, MyCartis, ZS Pharma and Critical Diagnostics.

## References

- Cleland JGF, Daubert J-C, Erdmann E, Freemantle N, Gras D, Kappenberger L et al. The effect of cardiac resynchronization on morbidity and mortality in heart failure. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1539–49.
- Tang ASL, Wells GA, Talajic M, Arnold MO, Sheldon R, Connolly S et al. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy for mild-to-moderate heart failure. N Engl J Med 2010;363:2385–95.
- Ruschitzka F, Abraham WT, Singh JP, Bax JJ, Borer JS, Brugada J et al. Cardiacresynchronization therapy in heart failure with a narrow QRS complex. N Engl J Med 2013;369:1395–405.
- 4. Brignole M, Auricchio A, Baron-Esquivias G, Bordachar P, Boriani G, Breithardt O-A et al. 2013 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: the Task Force on cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). Europace 2013;15:1070–118.
- Ypenburg C, van Bommel RJ, Borleffs CJW, Bleeker GB, Boersma E, Schalij MJ et al. Long-term prognosis after cardiac resynchronization therapy is related to the extent of left ventricular reverse remodeling at midterm follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:483–90.
- Rossi L, Malagoli A, Piepoli M, Franchi F, Malavasi V, Casali E et al. Indexed maximal left atrial volume predicts response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Int J Cardiol 2013;168:3629–33.
- Kuperstein R, Goldenberg I, Moss AJ, Solomon S, Bourgoun M, Shah A et al. Left atrial volume and the benefit of cardiac resynchronization therapy in the MADIT-CRT trial. *Circ Heart Fail* 2014;7:154–60.
- Doltra A, Bijnens B, Tolosana JM, Gabrielli L, Castel MÁ, Berruezo A et al. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy on left ventricular diastolic function: implications for clinical outcome. J Card Fail 2013;19:795–801.
- Andersen MJ, Ersbøll M, Bro-Jeppesen J, Møller JE, Hassager C, Køber L et al. Relationships between biomarkers and left ventricular filling pressures at rest and during exercise in patients after myocardial infarction. J Card Fail 2014;20: 959-67.
- Maisel A, Mueller C, Nowak R, Peacock WF, Landsberg JW, Ponikowski P et al. Midregion pro-hormone markers for diagnosis and prognosis in acute dyspnea: results from the BACH (Biomarkers in Acute Heart Failure) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55: 2062–76.
- Masson S, Latini R, Carbonieri E, Moretti L, Rossi MG, Ciricugno S et al. The predictive value of stable precursor fragments of vasoactive peptides in patients with chronic heart failure: data from the GISSI-heart failure (GISSI-HF) trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2010;**12**:338–47.
- Shah RV, Truong QA, Gaggin HK, Pfannkuche J, Hartmann O, Januzzi JL. Midregional pro-atrial natriuretic peptide and pro-adrenomedullin testing for the diagnostic and prognostic evaluation of patients with acute dyspnoea. *Eur Heart J* 2012;**33**:2197–205.
- Adlbrecht C, Hülsmann M, Strunk G, Berger R, Mörtl D, Struck J et al. Prognostic value of plasma midregional pro-adrenomedullin and C-terminal-pro-endothelin-1 in chronic heart failure outpatients. Eur J Heart Fail 2009;11:361–6.
- Haehling von S, Filippatos GS, Papassotiriou J, Cicoira M, Jankowska EA, Doehner W et al. Mid-regional pro-adrenomedullin as a novel predictor of mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2010;12:484–91.
- Truong QA, Januzzi JL, Szymonifka J, Thai W-E, Wai B, Lavender Z et al. Coronary sinus biomarker sampling compared to peripheral venous blood for predicting outcomes in patients with severe heart failure undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy: the BIOCRT study. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;**1**:2167–75.
- Packer M. Proposal for a new clinical end point to evaluate the efficacy of drugs and devices in the treatment of chronic heart failure. J Card Fail 2001;7:176–82.
- Abraham WT, Fisher WG, Smith AL, Delurgio DB, Leon AR, Loh E et al. Cardiac resynchronization in chronic heart failure. N Engl J Med 2002;346:1845–53.
- Feneon D, Behaghel A, Bernard A, Fournet M, Mabo P, Daubert J-C et al. Left atrial function, a new predictor of response to cardiac resynchronization therapy? *Heart Rhythm* 2015;**12**:1800–6.
- Kloosterman M, Rienstra M, Mulder BA, Van Gelder IC, Maass AH. Atrial reverse remodelling is associated with outcome of cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Euro*pace 2016;18:1211–9.
- Nishikimi T, Saito Y, Kitamura K, Ishimitsu T, Eto T, Kangawa K et al. Increased plasma levels of adrenomedullin in patients with heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;26: 1424–31.