

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Towards a neurocomputational account of social dysfunction in neurodegenerative disease

## Claire O'Callaghan<sup>1,2</sup> and Michael Hornberger<sup>3</sup>

2 Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

3 Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

Correspondence to: Claire O'Callaghan,

Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EB, UK E-mail: co365@cam.ac.uk

Sir,

We were excited by the recent findings of Melloni and colleagues (2016) showing preserved immediate responses to offers during a social bargaining task in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) and frontal lesion patients. In contrast, deficits emerged at the more complex level of social bargaining requiring successful integration of self and others' preferences. Our study published earlier this year in Brain echoed this dissociation: bvFTD patients retained basic fairness judgements during social bargaining, but failed to adjust their behaviour to accommodate additional information about another's perspective (O'Callaghan et al., 2016). Together these emphasize intact self-preferences with impaired ability to integrate others' perspectives. But how do the observed dissociations in both studies relate to (i) neurocomputational accounts of bargaining behaviour; clinical social and (ii) symptomology?

In neurocomputational accounts of social bargaining independent outcome values are determined for the self and other, then integrated in an overall value signal. Anatomically, the anterior cingulate cortex gyrus—chiefly the subgenual region—is particularly responsive to otheroriented social information when decisions are made that impact another person (Lockwood *et al.*, 2016). Computations here incorporate value and prediction error relating to the outcomes of others' behaviour (Apps *et al.*, 2016). Adjacent regions in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex encode the overall value of a choice incorporating both self and other information (Hutcherson *et al.*, 2015). For adaptive social behaviour the relative weight assigned to self versus other information needs to be flexibly updated according to their uncertainty—i.e. the quality of those estimates (Rushworth and Behrens, 2008; Lee and Seo, 2016). In bvFTD and frontal lesions, information about others' perspectives would be persistently low quality, and hence unreliable. A relative preservation of selfperspective in this context may inevitably bias the overall value signal in favour of self-benefit. This is captured in neurocomputational models where the relative weight assigned to self versus other pay-offs determines the extent to which a choice will be prosocial or selfish (Crockett, 2016).

These neurocomputational accounts, and the experimental data from the two recent studies, offer a first glimpse at a computational basis for the egocentric and socially inconsiderate behaviours common to bvFTD and frontal lesion patients-that is, an overweighting of self-preference in social decision-making will lead to more self-centred behaviours. Identifying changes in the subgenual region, such as atrophy or lesion, seems critical in preparing the patient and their family for the potential evolution of these behavioural changes. In particular in bvFTD where the subgenual region can be affected from the earliest disease stages (Seeley et al., 2008). Identifying the origin of these symptoms not only has implications for diagnosis and prognostication, but also the potential to treat these deficits. Non-pharmacologically, tailored carer/family training can alleviate the distressing nature of these symptoms (O'Connor et al., 2015). Pharmacologically, ongoing efforts in non-clinical fields to link other-regarding social computations to specific neuromodulators (e.g. serotonin)

Advance Access publication December 16, 2016

<sup>1</sup> Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute and Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

<sup>©</sup> The Author (2016). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain. All rights reserved.

For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

(Crockett and Cools, 2015) could have significant implications for therapeutic strategies in these patient groups.

Taken together, the exciting findings by Melloni and colleagues (2016), along with our previous findings and neurocomputational account, have the potential to delineate the complex behavioural symptoms often seen in patients with frontal lobe changes. In turn, this knowledge will pave the way for new therapeutic strategies.

## Funding

C.O. is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Neil Hamilton Fairley Fellowship GNT1091310; M.H. is supported by Alzheimer's Research UK and the Wellcome Trust.

## References

- Apps MA, Rushworth MF, Chang SW. The anterior cingulate gyrus and social cognition: tracking the motivation of others. Neuron 2016; 90: 692–707.
- Crockett M, Cools R. Serotonin and aversive processing in affective and social decision-making. Curr Opin Behav Sci 2015; 5: 64–70.

- Crockett MJ. How formal models can illuminate mechanisms of moral judgment and decision making. Curr Direct Psychol Sci 2016; 25: 85–90.
- Hutcherson CA, Bushong B, Rangel A. A neurocomputational model of altruistic choice and its implications. Neuron 2015; 87: 451–62.
- Lee D, Seo H. Neural basis of strategic decision making. Trends Neurosci 2016; 39: 40–8.
- Lockwood PL, Apps MAJ, Valton V, Viding E, Roiser JP. Neurocomputational mechanisms of prosocial learning and links to empathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2016; 113: 9763–8.
- Melloni M, Billeke P, Baez S, Hesse E, de la Fuente L, Forno G, et al. Your perspective and my benefit: multiple lesion models of self-other integration strategies during social bargaining. Brain 2016; 139: 3022–40.
- O'Callaghan C, Bertoux M, Irish M, Shine JM, Wong S, Spiliopoulos L, et al. Fair play: social norm compliance failures in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia. Brain 2016; 139: 204–16.
- O'Connor CM, Clemson L, Brodaty H, Hodges JR, Piguet O, Gitlin LN, et al. The tailored activities program (TAP) for the management of frontotemporal dementia: a case study. Alzheimers Dement 2015; 11: P837–P8.
- Rushworth MF, Behrens TE. Choice, uncertainty and value in prefrontal and cingulate cortex. Nat Neurosci 2008; 11: 389–97.
- Seeley WW, Crawford R, Rascovsky K, Kramer JH, Weiner M, Miller BL, et al. Frontal paralimbic network atrophy in very mild behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia. Arch Neurol 2008; 65: 2249–55.