Delineating the trajectory of cognitive recovery from general anesthesia in older adults: design and rationale of the TORIE (Trajectory of Recovery in the Elderly) project

Joshua S. Mincer, MD, PhD[*,+], **Mark G. Baxter, PhD**[†,*,‡], **Patrick J. McCormick, MD, MEng**[*,1], **Mary Sano, PhD**[‖,+], **Arthur E. Schwartz, MD**[*], **Jess W. Brallier, MD**[*], **Heather G. Allore, PhD**[#], **Bradley N. Delman, MD**[§], **Margaret C. Sewell, PhD**[‖], **Prantik Kundu, PhD**[§,‖], **Cheuk Ying Tang, PhD**[§,‖], **Angela Sanchez, BS**[*], and **Stacie G. Deiner, MD, MS**[*,‡,¶]

[*]Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

[†]Department of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

[‡]Department of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

[§]Department of Radiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

[‖]Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

[¶]Department of Neurosurgery, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

[#]Department of Internal Medicine and Biostatistics, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511

[+]James J. Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, NY 10468

Abstract

Background—Mechanistic aspects of cognitive recovery after anesthesia and surgery are not yet well-characterized, but may be vital to distinguishing the contributions of anesthesia and surgery in cognitive complications common in the elderly such as delirium and postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD). This paper describes the aims and methodological approach to the ongoing study, Trajectory of Recovery in the Elderly (TORIE), that focuses on the trajectory of cognitive recovery from general anesthesia.

Corresponding author: Joshua S. Mincer, MD, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1010, New York, NY 10029, Phone: 212-241-7473, FAX: 212-876-4686, joshua.mincer@mountsinai.org.
[1]Present Affiliation: Department of Anesthesiology & Critical Care, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Methods—The study design employs cognitive testing coupled with neuroimaging techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging, diffusion tensor imaging, and arterial spin labeling to characterize cognitive recovery from anesthesia and its biological correlates. Applying these techniques to a cohort of age-specified healthy volunteers 40 to 80 years old, who are exposed to general anesthesia alone, in the absence of surgery, will assess cognitive and functional neural network recovery after anesthesia. Imaging data are acquired prior, during, and immediately after anesthesia, as well as 1 and 7 days after. Detailed cognitive data are captured at the same time points as well as 30 days after anesthesia, and brief cognitive assessments are repeated at 6 and 12 months after anesthesia.

Results—The study is underway. Our primary hypothesis is that older adults may require significantly longer to achieve cognitive recovery, measured by Postoperative Quality of Recovery Scale (PQRS) cognitive domain, than younger adults in the immediate post-anesthesia period, but all will fully recover to baseline levels within 30 days of anesthesia exposure. Imaging data will address systems neuroscience correlates of cognitive recovery from general anesthesia.

Conclusions—The data acquired in this project will have both clinical and theoretical relevance regardless of the outcome by delineating the mechanism behind short term recovery across the adult age lifespan, which will have major implications for our understanding of the effects of anesthetic drugs.

Brain health is a focus and priority of the American Society of Anesthesiology.(1) This year will see the advent of several patient safety and provider education initiatives to decrease postoperative delirium and raise awareness regarding postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD). Although anesthesia is generally considered safe, a number of central nervous system syndromes complicate the perioperative period for older patients.(2,3) It is not well understood whether these syndromes arise from anesthetics, surgery itself, illness, underlying brain frailty, or more likely some combination. Emerging literature has begun to elucidate potential mechanistic relationships between anesthetics and postoperative cognitive syndromes.(4) However, the clinical literature will always have the burden of dealing with concurrent illness and surgery and the preclinical literature will be challenged with proving the external validity of extrapolation from nonhuman systems. Understanding the role of direct and indirect effects of anesthetic drugs in the pathogenesis of POCD and delirium will focus future research. The neurophysiologic and cognitive effects of the anesthesia can be separable from those due to surgery. By studying the former in the absence of the latter, we will gain information vital to prevent and potentially treat postoperative cognitive syndromes, including POCD.

Delirium is a confusional state usually acute in nature, characterized by disorganized thinking, lack of orientation and a fluctuating course.(5) Patients who develop delirium are more difficult and costly to care for, and overall have increased morbidity and mortality.(6,7) POCD, consisting of significant impairment in one or more cognitive domains, is thought to be more persistent than delirium and can occur in up to 25% of elderly patients at 1 week post-surgery and persist in 10% at 3 months.(8,9) Patients who had POCD at both hospital discharge and 3 months after surgery were more likely to die in the first year after surgery. (9) Cognitive dysfunction after non-cardiac surgery was associated with increased mortality,

risk of leaving the labor market prematurely, and dependency on social transfer payments. (10)

The TORIE (Trajectory of Recovery in the Elderly) study is a prospective cohort study designed to examine the effect of general anesthesia on POCD and cognitive recovery in adults across a four-decade age span (40 to 80 years) in the absence of surgery or other systemic illness. Cognitive testing is administered to study participants before and after general anesthesia and we will acquire a variety of neuroimaging data before, after, and critically during anesthesia using three different imaging modalities: functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI),(11) diffusion tensor imaging (DTI),(12) and arterial spin labeling (ASL). (13) We will examine the effect of anesthetics on functional connectivity, white matter organization and cerebral blood flow, respectively. We anticipate that older participants will have baseline differences in their brain consistent with normal healthy aging. We believe that the older participants will show marked differences in imaging measures while under anesthesia and in early recovery. Given that the preponderance of evidence suggests POCD and delirium is due to underlying medical conditions (14) and persistent inflammatory/stress response to surgery, (15,16) in the absence of illness we expect that the oldest participants (70 to 80 years old) will take longer to recover cognitive function after anesthesia than younger participants. However, we expect this delay should not extend beyond several days, and that all participants regardless of age will recover to baseline cognitive performance within 30 days of anesthesia exposure (8,9,17).

Coupling of the neuroimaging data with cognitive testing will provide a window into the alterations in neural networks that occur under anesthesia and the way in which the brain functionally recovers from anesthesia. The complementary analysis of cognitive and imaging data offers the possibility of a functional understanding of the cognitive changes observed in the postoperative period and the mechanism by which they return to baseline. This combination of cognitive testing and neuroimaging at specific timepoints along the peri-anesthetic trajectory will contribute to a more clinically relevant and scientifically informed model of brain recovery from anesthesia.

METHODS

Hypotheses

The primary aim of the TORIE study is to delineate the age-specific trajectory of recovery from general anesthesia in the absence of surgery and illness. The primary hypothesis is that the older adults exposed to general anesthesia alone will achieve complete cognitive recovery, as measured by cognitive testing, though this recovery may take longer than middle-aged adults. We expect that all participants, regardless of age, will recover to baseline cognitive performance within 30 days of anesthesia exposure.

Through the use of neuroimaging, we test two secondary hypotheses. First, we will observe changes in patterns of functional connectivity in the brain under anesthesia, as measured with fMRI, that will reverse post-anesthesia and return to baseline. Second, functional connectivity at baseline and patterns of change under anesthesia will predict clinical

outcomes including aspects of recovery such as duration until cognitive recovery. We expect both of these patterns of relationships to be modulated by age.

Study design overview

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (New York, NY, USA; IRB@mssm.edu, 212-824-8200) and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02275026, Principal Investigators: Joshua Mincer, Mark Baxter, and Mary Sano, registered October 23, 2014). Healthy adult volunteers aged 40 to 80 undergo a battery of cognitive tests and neuroimaging prior to, during (imaging only), and at specific timepoints after exposure to general anesthesia of duration similar to typical surgical procedures (roughly 2 hours). Cognitive testing includes the Postoperative Quality of Recovery Scale (PQRS)(18,19) used for rapid assessment of short-term recovery. The cognitive component of the PQRS is the primary outcome measure. Additional neuropsychological testing covers domains of executive function and attention, episodic memory, language, processing speed, and working memory, as secondary outcomes. Instruments for this testing are the NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery (20) and paper-and-pencil neuropsychological tests: Trail Making Test (TMT, parts A and B) (21), California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT) (22), Logical Memory (23), and Category Fluency (24). These tests yield more detailed, domain-based information over longer periods of time. Details of specific timing of test administration follow.

Participant selection

The target enrollment for this study is 76 volunteers, 19 for each decade (40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and 70–80 years old). Our sample will consist of approximately equal numbers of men and women. Specific inclusion criteria in addition to age are otherwise essentially healthy status (American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status 1 or 2) and no underlying cognitive dysfunction, as determined from baseline cognitive testing before general anesthesia. Specific exclusion criteria ensure safety during anesthesia and MRI, the ability to complete testing at longer-term follow-up, and the absence of pathophysiology that could predispose to POCD such as inflammatory conditions or cerebral microvascular disease. These criteria are listed in Table 1. Recent exposure to general anesthesia (within the last year) is not a specific exclusion criterion, as long as it is not associated with a comorbidity that would require exclusion.

Consent procedures and remuneration

Participants are recruited through local contacts and IRB-approved advertisements in local media. Potential participants are pre-screened by telephone by both research staff and a study anesthesiologist. Documented, informed written consent is obtained by participants signing an IRB-approved informed consent form in-person on the first study day, i.e. the day before anesthesia administration. Participants may withdraw consent at any time. Participants are compensated US$600, a level of reimbursement considered appropriate and without undue influence to participate given the non-trivial time and effort required. The study requires travel to and from the study site, approximately 1 hour of pre-procedure testing on the day prior to anesthesia, about 6 hours on the day of anesthesia, and two subsequent follow-up MRI visits. There are also multiple subsequent follow-up sessions

with our coordinators to complete the neuropsychological tests. Participants are reimbursed for travel or will have travel arranged for them by the study staff.

Testing Protocol and Anesthesia Exposure

The day before anesthesia ("T-1d"), after obtaining informed consent, participants undergo PQRS, cognitive testing (NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery and paper/pencil neuropsychological tests), and a standard preoperative evaluation in-person by an anesthesiologist. On the day of anesthesia ("T0"), they return and undergo an MRI anatomical pre-scan that is reviewed by an on-site CAQ-credentialed neuroradiologist for evidence of intracranial pathology, at which point acute pathology is excluded. Age-appropriate changes, for example mild cortical atrophy, are not grounds for exclusion. However, pathological lesions that are not clinically evident (any mass, evidence of old infarct even without clinical signs, or cerebrovascular disease), or atrophy and/or ventriculomegaly greater than expected for age in the neuroradiologist's judgment, would be grounds for exclusion. For those with no relevant pathology, additional MRI scanning is completed including task-based and resting-state fMRI scans. In preparation for induction of general anesthesia, a 22 gauge IV is placed. Following application of standard ASA monitors and preoxygenation, anesthesia is induced in the MRI suite with propofol 2 mg/kg IV, after which a laryngeal mask airway (LMA) is placed. If the LMA cannot be seated properly, the procedure is aborted. Anesthesia is maintained with inhaled sevoflurane at an age-adjusted depth of 1 minimum alveolar concentration (MAC). A bispectral index (BIS) level of 40–60 is obtained after LMA placement to aid in assessment anesthetic depth during equilibration of inhaled sevoflurane and washout of propofol, and the participant is returned to the MRI bore for scanning. Anesthetic depth is monitored by end tidal sevoflurane concentration during scanning, along with physiological measures. Ventilation is maintained to achieve a target $ETCO_2$ of 30–35 mm Hg. Resting state fMRI, DTI, and ASL scans are obtained over the next 2 hours. During this time, appropriate bolus administration of a pressor such as ephedrine (5 mg IV or 25 mg IM) or phenylephrine (100 μg IV) may be administered by the anesthesiologist to maintain mean arterial blood pressure within 20% of baseline. The participant is then removed from the MRI bore and emerged from anesthesia. The LMA is removed when the participant awakens. Ondansetron (4 mg, IV) is given prior to emergence for antiemetic prophylaxis. No narcotics, benzodiazepines, or muscle relaxants are administered.

Once the participant is adequately emerged (generally within 15 minutes), PQRS is performed ("T+15"). The participant is then returned to the MRI bore for task-based and resting-state scan acquisition, approximately 1 hour after emergence from anesthesia ("T+60"), and then PQRS is repeated. Participants are then brought to the PACU where they are monitored until discharge. The participant returns to the study for follow-up cognitive testing and MRI scanning at 1 day ("T+1d") and 7 days ("T+7d") later, as well as additional cognitive testing at 30 days ("T+30d"). The PQRS is administered on all of these occasions, and additionally via telephone at 3 days ("T+ 3d"), 6 months ("T+6m"), and 1 year ("T+12m") after anesthesia. The study design is summarized in Table 2.

Cognitive testing protocols

The primary outcome assessment tool is the PQRS cognitive domain (18,19,25). This generates a binary outcome (recovered / not recovered) at each timepoint the test is administered after baseline (25). Secondary outcome cognitive assessment tools are the NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery (www.nihtoolbox.org) and a battery of paper-and-pencil neuropsychological tests. There is some overlap between these instruments. The PQRS is focused on the very short term (minutes to days) while the Toolbox and neuropsychological tests are focused on a longer period (days to months). One of the primary criticisms of existing research on POCD has been the lack of a battery of tests that provide a consistent scoring approach.(26) A significant innovation of this study is the use of standardized instruments to assure comparability to future studies.

The NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery is a validated, multidimensional computer-administered set of brief measures assessing cognitive function for ages 3–85. By using multiple constructs of each domain, the NIH Toolbox monitors neurological and behavioral function over time. This facilitates the study of functional changes across the lifespan. This is a new battery for perioperative and anesthetic assessment, and is consistent with the cognitive domains that have been assessed in the past; executive function and attention, episodic memory, language and processing speed. The important advantage of the NIH Toolbox is the utilization of a standard set of measures that can be used as a "common currency" across diverse study designs and settings. The Toolbox has organized existing tests into a coherent package which have been extensively validated. Specific cognitive functions tested in this study (and the corresponding Toolbox test) are listed in Table 3. Additional well-normed paper and pencil neuropsychological tests are administered at the same time points for comparison with the newer NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery tests.(27)

Practice effects are a potential concern with repeated testing on these tasks. The PQRS cognitive domain scoring accounts for a small practice effect between the first and second administrations, with stable performance on repeated administrations after the second (25). The NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery tests have test-retest reliability comparable to benchmark paper and pencil neuropsychological tests (20,27–34) although most of these tests demonstrate practice effects. As our design does not include a comparison group of participants tested on the cognitive tasks at the same time intervals without anesthesia, we are unable to ascertain whether participants that return to baseline or better performance on these tasks might have been expected to perform at higher levels if they had not been exposed to anesthesia. Nevertheless, we are able to compare trajectories of performance in these tasks between participants across the ages of 40 to 80, by design, to determine whether these differ after anesthesia as a function of age.

Neuroimaging

Magnetic resonance images are acquired on a 3T scanner (Skyra, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel receiver coil. Specific neuroimaging modalities employed are summarized in Table 4. Technical details related to these modalities may be found in the Appendix.

fMRI detects localized neural activity in the brain through its signature effect on microvascular hemodynamics, modulating the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) endogenous contrast in MRI. Recent studies support the utility of fMRI to report on the anesthetized state and enable comparison with the awake brain to defined alterations in brain networks associated with the state of general anesthesia.(35–39) DTI and ASL are used as complementary methods to address other structural and functional effects that anesthesia may have on the brain. ASL non-invasively labels the protons of a bolus of blood passing through large arteries, which generates signal as it passes through microvasculature, enabling quantitative estimates of brain regional cerebral blood flow. Clinically, it is useful in defining cerebrovascular disease,(40) motivating its inclusion in the imaging protocols for this study. DTI provides insight into white matter organization in the brain based on directionally weighted MRI imaging to elucidate constrained diffusion of water molecules along white matter pathways. Alterations in white matter organization have been associated with cognitive impairments in normal aging(41) as well as in Alzheimer's disease.(42) Relevant to its use in TORIE, a recent study demonstrated a relationship between presurgical DTI abnormalities and postsurgical delirium.(43)

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics of participants are summarized in terms of counts and percentages, medians and ranges or means and standard deviations, as appropriate. Cox discrete time regression, adjusted for covariates (sex, level of education, and race/ethnicity), is used to estimate the association of age to the time to recovery. The fit of all models to the data are examined using standard approaches, such as examination of residuals and the proportional hazards assumption for Cox regression. To study the time course of the cognitive measures, we use generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) (44) that account for the covariance structure of repeated observations within participant, and test whether random intercepts and slopes for participants best fit the data. GLMMs are statistical models for data with correlations or non-constant variability and where the response is not necessarily normally distributed. These models assume that missing data are randomly distributed; thus, participants with partial data contribute to the model estimation. We fit the appropriate distribution (e.g. dichotomous, count, ordinal, normal) for each outcome.

For the cognitive primary and secondary outcomes, we use the Hochberg test to adjust for multiple comparisons(45) and maintain false discovery rate at 5%. Neuroimaging analyses also adjust multiple comparisons to maintain overall false discovery rate of 5%.

Missing Data—We expect that everyone will return to baseline cognitive function by 30 days, with most recovering earlier. Thus, even if later time points are missing, we anticipate most participants will have been observed before loss to follow-up. For intermittent missingness before returning to baseline, we truncate the observations at the time of missing observations. In a subsequent sensitivity analysis, we will assume that they are missing at random and use last observation carried forward (worst-case scenario). We will also employ multiple imputation if over 5% of the outcome data are missing prior to returning to baseline function and varying the outcome probability of the missing data to determine the outcome event rate needed to change the estimate of age.

Cognitive Testing—The primary goal is to test whether age is associated with the time of return to baseline cognitive function assessed by PQRS following general anesthesia. Recovery is defined as return to baseline for the PQRS, or performance within one standard deviation of baseline for secondary cognitive assessments. For the secondary cognitive tests, we will use fully adjusted T-scores for each NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery and ascertain trajectory of function across the post-anesthesia assessments. Fully adjusted T-scores take into account age, sex, level of education, and race/ethnicity so individual performance levels are scaled to the referent population. Sex, level of education, and race/ethnicity will be entered as covariates in the analyses of PQRS scores and neuroimaging measures.

Neuroimaging—The secondary outcomes for neuroimaging analyses fall into four groups: resting-state fMRI, task-driven fMRI, diffusion imaging, and regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF). Within the secondary outcomes, the main a priori hypotheses are for resting-state fMRI, based in part on a pilot study involving 4 participants (two in their 20s and two older than 50) carried out before this study was begun. This pilot study suggested differential changes in specific resting-state networks immediately after recovery from anesthesia: a clear default mode component was difficult to detect immediately after recovery in contrast to pre-anesthesia and T+7d scans, whereas a visual resting state network was clearly identified at all 3 time points. Net amplitude on each of 10 standardized resting-state networks (46) will be determined from resting-state fMRI imaging at each time point (i.e. all scans collected at T0, T+1d, T+7d) using a dual-regression analysis on time series denoised by multi-echo independent components analysis (ME-ICA) (47,48). The sum of amplitudes over the map will be used as a marker for total activity of each corresponding network. These values (total percent signal change) are analyzed using GLMMs adjusted for participant characteristics for associations with age. We expect that the impact of anesthesia will differ across components, and be modulated by age. fMRI analysis will consider level of task difficulty (working memory load in the N-back task) as well as time point in an overall GLMM to identify voxels with significant differences. Statistical threshold applied at the voxel level will be $p<0.01$ uncorrected and $p<0.05$ corrected for multiple comparisons using a permutation analysis. Permutation tests will be done using FSL RANDOMISE. The threshold free cluster enhancement (TFCE) mode will be used for 10,000 permutations. (49) This correction will be applied to each component map of a BOLD functional network. The cluster threshold for fMRI analyses will be $p<0.05$ after applying the voxelwise threshold. Cluster significance will be determined using a Monte Carlo simulation approach (49). Smoothness will be estimated with a non-symmetric kernel estimator (3dFWHMx). A simulation of 10,000 iterations will be used. For the ASL analysis, an additional 5 measures for rCBF (global, and right and left frontal and temporal) are generated for each of three time points and analyzed with GLMMs. DTI scans are analyzed by the Fractional Anisotropy metric (FA), an index that reflects white matter collinearity, degree of myelination, and interaxonal space structure. Whole brain FA values along all white matter tracts are determined and compared between the different time points. Diffusion Tensor Images are eddy-current-corrected and FA as well as mean diffusivity maps (MD) are calculated using FSL. Exploratory whole brain analysis of the diffusion parameters are performed. First, FA images are spatially normalized to the International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM) template using Tract Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) (50). The

procedure involves a skeletonization of the FA images to obtain centers of white matter tracts. Voxel-wise statistics are performed only on the white matter skeleton in order to reduce the chance of type I errors due to imperfections in normalization. The parameters used to warp the FA images to ICBM template and the white matter skeleton are applied to the MD images for statistical comparison using FSL RANDOMISE to test for differences in FA and MD (separately) as a function of time point in the scanning protocol. Because all measurements are acquired on the same scanner, interscan variability that may occur when using different hardware is eliminated.

Sample Size Calculation—Sample and power for secondary outcomes were calculated with PASS12 (PASS12, Kayville, Utah, USA) (51).

Cognitive Testing: A Cox regression (52) on the time to return to baseline cognitive performance with an event rate of 1.0 over 30 days requires 72 participants to detect a HR of 1.03 per year or age (β=0.033), assuming a standard deviation for age of 11.26 years, 80% power, a Type I error of 0.05, and adjusting for other characteristics expected to have a generalized R^2 of 0.2.(53,54) We chose Cox (proportional hazards) regression, a form of discrete time survival model, for power analysis because it allowed for the testing of the major aim (is age group related to time-to-return to pre-anesthetic cognitive function?) while allowing for adjustment by many covariates. The NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery yields seven primary fully-adjusted T scores (mean=50, SD=10). Although there are fewer discrete time measurements for Cox regression, the effect-size calculation is similar. Assuming 72 participants with an event rate (return to baseline) of 1.0 over 30 days and a standard deviation for age of 11.25 years, an adjusted Type 1 error rate of 0.05/7=0.0071, and other covariates having a generalized R^2 of 0.2 with age, a HR of 1.05 (β=0.05) can be detected with 80% power.(54) Preliminary data had 75% return to baseline at T+15 minutes and all by 7 days. We expect missing data to be low as the total time under observation is 30 days and all participants are expected to have returned to baseline cognitive function by 30 days. Outcomes are expected to be captured on the majority of participants even if they do not attend all follow-up visits. However, to ensure sufficient outcomes we have increased target enrollment by 5.5% to 76, thus adding a participant to each age decade.

Because our primary hypothesis was based on cognitive measures, power analyses and target sample size were determined purely based on those measures. We did not carry out additional power analyses for other imaging measures, based on specified effects (activation patterns in task fMRI, for example), given that we would not recruit additional participants to increase the sample size beyond what was required to address our primary endpoints. Our pilot study, with 4 participants, suggested that different resting state networks (in fMRI) were differentially affected by anesthesia, as described above. Analyses of these data will be based on the entire complete sample. The same Cox regression model used for cognitive scores applies for analysis of return-to-baseline on the measures of resting state network activity (the sum of amplitudes over the map for each corresponding network), rCBF from ASL scans, and whole brain FA from DTI scans. These analyses will also be corrected for multiple comparisons (the total number of components analyzed) to a false discovery rate of 0.05.

Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB)

Due to potential risk associated with exposing older adult volunteers to general anesthesia, the funding agency (National Institute on Aging) recommended the formation of a DSMB for the TORIE study. The DSMB is charged with evaluating the study data after the first 10 participants (all between 70 to 80 years old) undergo their 30-day follow-up testing, as well as at 6 month intervals thereafter. The DSMB has the authority to stop the study or recommend protocol modifications based on cognitive outcomes after the first 10 participants, or adverse events that take place at any point during the study. The DSMB consists of 5 members, including experts in geriatrics, anesthesiology, and biostatistics, as well as the NIA program officer responsible for the award who serves as a 6th *ex officio* member. DSMB members were selected by Dr. Jeffrey Silverstein and the NIA program officer.

DISCUSSION

Expected Results

The primary product of this research study will be a delineation of the trajectory of cognitive recovery from general anesthesia alone in otherwise healthy human participants aged 40 to 80 years old, at short and long timepoints before and after exposure to general anesthesia. This will yield a rich dataset enabling detailed analysis of return of specific aspects of cognitive function.

Alongside the cognitive data, we will collect a wealth of neuroimaging data on these participants, including resting-state and task-based fMRI, DTI, and ASL. These data will yield insight into the effects of anesthesia on functional brain connectivity and dynamics during and after anesthesia exposure, and the extent to which these effects vary with age. Analysis will elucidate the functional brain dynamics underlying cognitive recovery from anesthesia. Additionally, we will explore the possibility that either baseline brain dynamics or changes during anesthesia may constitute imaging-based biomarkers predictive of individual or age-based variation in cognitive recovery. The TORIE imaging protocol was created to address structural and functional effects that anesthesia alone may have on the brain in the absence of a surgical procedure, including differential changes in specific resting-state networks immediately after recovery from anesthesia. Resting state networks have been associated recently with postoperative cognitive function in surgical patients (55). This imaging protocol, and the use of healthy participants, is distinct from numerous studies on surgical patients which have focused on changes or damage to the brain which are a result of surgical phenomena or vascular compromise such as silent strokes as a result of surgery (plus anesthesia or medical illness) (56). These include injury due to embolism (57) and preexisting leukoaraiosis and lacunae volumes, suggestive of demylination and hyalinosis, in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty (58). MRI has also been utilized to examine functional connectivity during delirium in medical patients (59). These studies in surgical patients underscore the value of acquiring multimodal imaging data in the TORIE study, to characterize the peri- and immediate post-anesthesia period at a systems neuroscience level, in conjunction with detailed neurocognitive measures at the same time points.

Limitations

The results are intended to represent a primary exploration, and our data cannot completely elucidate age-related differences in participants and conditions associated with clinical indications for surgery. The study focuses on the main effect of anesthesia on cognitive recovery but cannot address the possibility that administration of anesthesia interacts in some way with what happens due to the surgery itself. Moreover, there are multiple anesthetics in current use. This proposal includes a standard combination of anesthetic agents that would be typical for general surgical procedures in an adult population. Variations in duration of anesthesia, comparison of different agents, or additional agents (e.g. narcotics, benzodiazepines) cannot be accommodated in this study design. The current design is focused on safety. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are designed to ensure an optimally healthy group of participants, and moreover ensures that comparisons in age groups will be minimally contaminated by overall differences in physical health between younger and older participants. At the same time, this issue is even more relevant for an otherwise healthy group of older adults that may have to weigh the risk of potential cognitive impairments that could follow elective surgery. However, it is a potential limitation of the study that the participants in this study are healthier than many elderly individuals that would be seen by surgeons and anesthesiologists in general practice. Nevertheless, this is the starting point for future research needed to understand the impact of other common conditions (e.g., comorbidities common in elderly populations) as well as variations in anesthesia protocols that may affect these outcomes.

Implications

Perioperative geriatrics desperately needs data regarding how patients are expected to recover. Neither accepting that older patients recover more slowly nor denying the existence of POCD, whether transient or persistent, advances our understanding or gives direction as to how to enhance recovery. The data acquired in this project will have both clinical and theoretical relevance regardless of whether our hypotheses are correct. Information regarding cognitive recovery will be important for a patient population at risk for postoperative cognitive alteration who are frequently sent home on the day of surgery with instructions for self-care. At least some portion of readmission rates to hospitals in the days following ambulatory surgery may be due to poor comprehension of these discharge instructions. The trajectory at which various patients recover from anesthesia is perhaps the most unappreciated confounding factor in the debate on the direct and indirect effects of anesthetic drugs. Furthermore, significant healthcare concerns arising from the controversy over the persistent cognitive effects of anesthetics may impact the willingness of patients to engage with surgeons, preventing them from accessing appropriate life-enhancing therapies. By studying the effects of anesthesia, we hope to reassure patients, as well as improve our understanding of cognitive alterations following anesthesia.

Ethics

We have seriously considered the ethical implications of exposing participants to possible risk in this volunteer study where participants derive no direct benefit. The most significant risks involve exposure to general anesthesia and, in the case of the older participants, the

possibility of cardiopulmonary disease or collapse. Successful mitigation of risk from general anesthesia has improved the safety profile dramatically over recent decades. Before the early 1970's, the risk of mortality from general anesthesia was 357 per million, but since then the mortality frequency has declined ten-fold, such that the risk today is about 0.0034%.(60) In this study, we follow the same protocols regarding anesthetic administration and post-anesthetic care as with any ambulatory procedure at our institution. Consequently, we feel the risk in this study is extremely low.

Regarding the possibility of cognitive alteration following the anesthetic, especially in the older participants, we note again that the preponderance of evidence suggests that delirium and POCD likely are a consequence of an underlying illness or the generalized inflammatory response to surgery rather than due to the pharmacodynamics of the anesthetics themselves. Such evidence provides support for clinical equipoise(61) by specifically supporting the null hypothesis that general anesthesia alone has no long-term effects on cognition in older adults. At the same time, this has yet to be definitively shown, and can only be demonstrated by separating the anesthesia from the surgical insult.

We have incorporated various safety measures into the study to minimize the risk of cognitive alteration. Candidates suspected during pre-screening of having a baseline cognitive deficit are excluded, even in the absence of a definitive diagnosis. Similarly, an anatomical MRI scan is obtained on each participant and read by a clinical neuroradiologist prior to proceeding with anesthesia to exclude candidates with occult central nervous system pathology prior to anesthesia, who may also be at greater risk of post-anesthesia cognitive impairments. Additional measures are meant to identify, as early as possible, any factors that would break the clinical equipoise underlying the study. Initial enrollment of the first ten participants is focused on the oldest group (aged 70 to 80) to support an early safety assessment in the group most at risk. Furthermore, we have included an external data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) to review all data after enrollment of these first ten participants and at intervals of six months thereafter. Finally, strict discharge criteria identical to those used for ambulatory surgery at our institution are employed in this study to minimize risk to participants' safety once they have left our monitored setting.

CONCLUSIONS

The TORIE study aims to test the hypothesis that exposure to general anesthesia alone (in the absence of surgery) will not engender long-term cognitive impairment in older adults, though they may take longer than younger adults to recover cognitive function in the immediate postoperative period. It is the first and only study to date that addresses the trajectory of cognitive recovery in older adults in the context of general anesthesia alone. As such, it is the only study to date that has the capacity to definitively demonstrate the safety of general anesthetics (vis-a-vis cognitive recovery) in older adults. Regardless of the outcome, the combination of cognitive testing and neuroimaging (both awake and anesthetized) offers the possibility of elucidating a more complete model of how the brain recovers from anesthesia that is both clinically relevant and scientifically informed. Other planned and ongoing studies examining the pattern of cognitive recovery after anesthesia in

younger subjects (62) will complement our study and provide a fuller picture of long-term effects of general anesthesia on brain and cognition across the lifespan.

Acknowledgments

APPENDIX

Technical details related to neuroimaging protocols

Anatomical MRI

T1-weighted anatomical images are acquired with an MPRAGE sequence (FOV 256 × 256 × 176 mm, 0.8 mm isotropic resolution, TR/TE/TI = 2400/3.2/1000 ms, bandwidth 280 Hz/Pixel, echo spacing 7.6 ms, in-plane acceleration factor 2, and total acquisition time ~ 7 min).

Resting-state fMRI

Resting state fMRI data are acquired with a multiband (MB) accelerated gradient multi-echo EPI sequence (FOV 224 × 224 mm, matrix 64 × 64, slice thickness 3.6 mm, 40 (32ch) slices for whole brain coverage, TR/TE = 1500/[10.8,28.68,46.56] ms, MB factor 2, blipped CAIPIRINHA phase-encoding shift = FOV/3, bandwidth ~ 1600 Hz/Pixel, echo spacing ~0.5 ms, and total acquisition time ~ 10 min (~680 frames)). Multi-Echo Independent Component Analysis (ME-ICA) is used for advanced de-noising of fMRI data.(1, 2)

Task-based fMRI (N-Back)

All subjects are trained on a working memory task that consists of a single letter N-Back paradigm.(3) The N-Back is a continuous performance task that is commonly used in functional imaging for the study of cognition where the memory load can be adjusted using the parameter N. Task fMRI data are acquired with a multiband (MB) accelerated gradient echo EPI sequence (FOV 228 × 228 mm, matrix 108 × 108, slice thickness 2.1 mm, 70 (16ch) slices for whole brain coverage, TR/TE = 1000/35 ms, MB factor 7 (16ch), blipped CAIPIRINHA phase-encoding shift = FOV/3, bandwidth 1852 Hz/Pixel, echo spacing 0.68 ms, and total acquisition time 8 min 21 sec (490 frames). In our implementation of the fMRI compatible N-Back task, the subject is presented with a sequence of letters and the task consists of determining whether the current letter matches one from N-steps earlier in the sequence. A button press is required when a match is detected. N is considered a memory load factor and is varied between 0 and 3. Letters are presented at a rate of once every 2 seconds. Working memory load is be varied parametrically in blocks of 0-, 1-, 2-, or 3-back with a visual indication of the task level at the beginning of each block.(4) This N-Back task is implemented using the standard EPrime software package (PST Inc. Pittsburgh, PA). Visual stimuli are presented through a goggle system (NordicNeuroLabs, NNL, Bergen, Norway) driven by the EPrime software. Participants are trained verbally on the N-Back task

before they go into the magnet (~ 10–15 mins). Participants also perform (under supervision) a practice N-Back task on a computer outside the scanner (~2 minutes). This might be repeated to insure that the participant understands the task. During the N-Back fMRI in the scanner, all button presses are recorded.

Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)

Diffusion MRI data is acquired with a MB accelerated single shot spin echo EPI sequence (FOV 208 × 176 mm, matrix 114 × 96, slice thickness 1.8 mm, 75 slices for whole brain coverage, TR/TE = 4100/84 ms, Stejeskal-Tanner (i.e., monopolar) diffusion encoding with diffusion Gmax ~ 43mT/m, phase partial Fourier 6/8, MB factor 3, blipped CAIPIRINHA phase-encoding shift = FOV/3, bandwidth ~ 1500 Hz/Pixel, echo spacing ~0.7 ms, diffusion encoding directions 64 with 4 non-diffusion weighted (i.e., b0) images, b value 1200 s/mm2, total acquisition time ~ 10 min, with two phase-encoding direction reversed averages to correct eddy current distortion and improve signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

Arterial Spin Labeling (ASL)

Cerebral blood flow (CBF) is quantified using Pulsed Arterial Spin Labeling (PASL) imaging with the PICORE Q2TIPS (Siemens) approach to generate relative CBF and perfusion images.(5) The ASL scans are run just like fMRI scans for different conditions or visits. Two ASL runs, 5 minutes each, are performed with the following parameters: TR/TE/TI1/sliceTR: 3s/21ms/1.4s/60ms, post-labeling delays TI(s) = 1400ms + 60ms × (s-0.5) for slices s=1 to 20, adiabatic inversion of a 10cm-thick slab 2cm inferior to the imaging slab for labeling and control, and a bipolar gradient of 5cm/s is applied to suppress signal contamination from labeled arterial water within large vessels.

Preprocessing and analysis of neuroimaging data

Preprocessing of functional MRI (fMRI) data will be done using tools from the AFNI software suite. Key preprocessing steps are slice-timing correction, motion correction, and standard space normalization to an MNI template. The tools will be applied in a manner that is appropriate for the processing of the multi-echo functional MRI data. For example, motion correction parameters will be estimated from the first echo image and applied to images of the other echoes (1, 2). Framewise displacement (FD) traces will be computed for each fMRI dataset. Time points corresponding to FD>2mm will be censored from all analyses. Degrees of freedom lost in censoring will be accounted for in subsequent analysis. Additional nuisance regressors will be generated as time courses computed from averaging fMRI signals of the white matter and CSF. These nuisance time courses will be used as baseline regressors for subject-level analyses. After preprocessing, data analysis to determine components of BOLD signal will be done using the meica.py Python software developed by Dr. Kundu for the analysis of multi-echo fMRI data. This software is distributed with the AFNI suite, and also available for source download from Bitbucket (www.bitbucket.org/prantikk/me-ica/). This software implements a pipeline involving preprocessing, high-dimensional independent components analysis, artifact component detection, and denoising by artifact removal (6). This software performs high-dimensional multi-echo independent components analysis (ME-ICA), and automatically separates BOLD

and non-BOLD signals based on information on these respective signal types germane to multi-echo fMRI. Notably, the ME-ICA approach does not require the application of full-width-half-max spatial filters or temporal high pass filtering to attenuate noise in preprocessing, which is all handled in the main data analysis step involving separating BOLD from non-BOLD signals. Data will be analyzed on a Linux workstation using Intel Xeon E5 processors and 256 GB of RAM, or a more powerful workstation if one is available at the time analysis is executed.

References

1. Kundu P, Inati SJ, Evans JW, Luh WM, Bandettini PA. Differentiating BOLD and non-BOLD signals in fMRI time series using multi-echo EPI. Neuroimage. 2012; 60(3):1759–70. [PubMed: 22209809]

2. Kundu P, Brenowitz ND, Voon V, Worbe Y, Vertes PE, Inati SJ, et al. Integrated strategy for improving functional connectivity mapping using multiecho fMRI. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110(40):16187–92. [PubMed: 24038744]

3. Owen AM, McMillan KM, Laird AR, Bullmore ET. N-back working memory paradigm: A meta-analysis of normative functional neuroimaging. Hum Brain Mapp. 2005; 25(1):46–59. [PubMed: 15846822]

4. Tang CY, Carpenter DM, Eaves EL, Ng J, Ganeshalingam N, Weisel C, et al. Occupational Solvent Exposure and Brain Function: An fMRI Study. Environ Health Persp. 2011; 119(7):908–13.

5. Luh WM, Wong EC, Bandettini PA, Hyde JS. QUIPSS II with thin-slice TI1 periodic saturation: A method for improving accuracy of quantitative perfusion imaging using pulsed arterial spin labeling. Magn Reson Med. 1999; 41(6):1246–54. [PubMed: 10371458]

6. Kundu P, Voon V, Balchandani P, Lombardo MV, Poser BA, Bandettini P. Multi-Echo fMRI: A Review of Applications in fMRI Denoising and Analysis of BOLD Signals. NeuroImage. 2017 Mar 28.:pii. S1053-8119(17)30241-0. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.033

References

1. Fleisher LA. Brain Health Initiative: A New ASA Patient Safety Initiative. ASA Monitor. 2016; 80:10–1.

2. Gleason LJ, Schmitt EM, Kosar CM, Tabloski P, Saczynski JS, Robinson T, Cooper Z, Rogers SO Jr, Jones RN, Marcantonio ER, Inouye SK. Effect of Delirium and Other Major Complications on Outcomes After Elective Surgery in Older Adults. JAMA Surg. 2015; 150:1134–40. [PubMed: 26352694]

3. Inouye SK, Marcantonio ER, Kosar CM, Tommet D, Schmitt EM, Travison TG, Saczynski JS, Ngo LH, Alsop DC, Jones RN. The short-term and long-term relationship between delirium and cognitive trajectory in older surgical patients. Alzheimers & Dementia. 2016; 12:766–75.

4. Jevtovic-Todorovic V, Absalom AR, Blomgren K, Brambrink A, Crosby G, Culley DJ, Fiskum G, Giffard RG, Herold KF, Loepke AW, Ma D, Orser BA, Planel E, Slikker W Jr, Soriano SG, Stratmann G, Vutskits L, Xie Z, Hemmings HC Jr. Anaesthetic neurotoxicity and neuroplasticity: an expert group report and statement based on the BJA Salzburg Seminar. Br J Anaesth. 2013; 111:143–51. [PubMed: 23722106]

5. MacLullich AMJ, Hall RJ. Who understands delirium? Age and Ageing. 2011; 40:412–4. [PubMed: 21636556]

6. Leslie DL, Inouye SK. The Importance of Delirium: Economic and Societal Costs. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2011; 59:S241–S3. [PubMed: 22091567]

7. Fong TG, Jones RN, Marcantonio E, Rudolph JL, Inouye SK. Negative Impact of Hospitalization and Delirium in Alzheimer's Disease. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2011; 59:S11–S.

8. Moller JT, Cluitmans P, Rasmussen LS, Houx P, Rasmussen H, Canet J, Rabbitt P, Jolles J, Larsen K, Hanning CD, Langeron O, Johnson T, Lauven PM, Kristensen PA, Biedler A, van Beem H,

Fraidakis O, Silverstein JH, Beneken JEW, Gravenstein JS, Investigators I. Long-term postoperative cognitive dysfunction in the elderly: ISPOCD1 study. Lancet. 1998; 351:857–61. [PubMed: 9525362]

9. Monk TG, Weldon BC, Garvan CW, Dede DE, van der Aa MT, Heilman KM, Gravenstein JS. Predictors of cognitive dysfunction after major noncardiac surgery. Anesthesiology. 2008; 108:18–30. [PubMed: 18156878]

10. Steinmetz J, Christensen KB, Lund T, Lohse N, Rasmussen LS, Grp I. Long-term Consequences of Postoperative Cognitive Dysfunction. Anesthesiology. 2009; 110:548–55. [PubMed: 19225398]

11. Logothetis NK. What we can do and what we cannot do with fMRI. Nature. 2008; 453:869–78. [PubMed: 18548064]

12. Basser PJ, Mattiello J, Lebihan D. Mr Diffusion Tensor Spectroscopy and Imaging. Biophysical Journal. 1994; 66:259–67. [PubMed: 8130344]

13. Detre JA, Zhang WG, Roberts DA, Silva AC, Williams DS, Grandis DJ, Koretsky AP, Leigh JS. Tissue-Specific Perfusion Imaging Using Arterial Spin-Labeling. Nmr in Biomedicine. 1994; 7:75–82. [PubMed: 8068529]

14. Dokkedal U, Hansen TG, Rasmussen LS, Mengel-From J, Christensen K. Cognitive Functioning after Surgery in Middle-aged and Elderly Danish Twins. Anesthesiology. 2016; 124:312–21. [PubMed: 26785430]

15. Vacas S, Degos V, Feng X, Maze M. The neuroinflammatory response of postoperative cognitive decline. Br Med Bull. 2013; 106:161–78. [PubMed: 23558082]

16. Eckenhoff RG, Laudansky KF. Anesthesia, surgery, illness and Alzheimer's disease. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2013; 47:162–6. [PubMed: 22729032]

17. Silbert B, Evered L, Scott DA, McMahon S, Choong P, Ames D, Maruff P, Jamrozik K. Preexisting cognitive impairment is associated with postoperative cognitive dysfunction after hip joint replacement surgery. Anesthesiology. 2015; 122:1224–34. [PubMed: 25859906]

18. Royse CF, Newman S, Chung F, Stygall J, McKay RE, Boldt J, Servin FS, Hurtado I, Hannallah R, Yu BW, Wilkinson DJ. Development and Feasibility of a Scale to Assess Postoperative Recovery The Post-operative Quality Recovery Scale. Anesthesiology. 2010; 113:892–905. [PubMed: 20601860]

19. Royse CF, Chung F, Newman S, Stygall J, Wilkinson DJ. Predictors of patient satisfaction with anaesthesia and surgery care: a cohort study using the Postoperative Quality of Recovery Scale. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2013; 30:106–10. [PubMed: 22907610]

20. Gershon RC, Wagster MV, Hendrie HC, Fox NA, Cook KF, Nowinski CJ. NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. Neurology. 2013; 80:S2–6. [PubMed: 23479538]

21. Reitan, RM., Wolfson, D. The Halstead-Reitan neuropsychological test battery : theory and clinical interpretation. Tucson, Ariz: Neuropsychology Press; 1985.

22. Woods S, Delis D, Scott J, Kramer J, Holdnack J. The California Verbal Learning Test - second edition: Test-retest reliability, practice effects, and reliable change indices for the standard and alternate forms. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 2006; 21:413–20. [PubMed: 16843636]

23. Abikoff H, Alvir J, Hong G, Sukoff R, Orazio J, Solomon S, Saravay S. Logical memory subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale: age and education norms and alternate-form reliability of two scoring systems. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 1987; 9:435–48. [PubMed: 3597734]

24. Lezak, MD. Neuropsychological assessment. 3rd. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.

25. Royse CF, Newman S, Williams Z, Wilkinson DJ. A human volunteer study to identify variability in performance in the cognitive domain of the postoperative quality of recovery scale. Anesthesiology. 2013; 119:576–81. [PubMed: 23695174]

26. Deiner S, Silverstein JH. Postoperative delirium and cognitive dysfunction. Br J Anaesth. 2009; 103(Suppl 1):i41–6. [PubMed: 20007989]

27. Weintraub S, Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Tulsky DS, Zelazo PD, Bauer PJ, Carlozzi NE, Slotkin J, Blitz D, Wallner-Allen K, Fox NA, Beaumont JL, Mungas D, Nowinski CJ, Richler J, Deocampo JA, Anderson JE, Manly JJ, Borosh B, Havlik R, Conway K, Edwards E, Freund L, King JW, Moy C, Witt E, Gershon RC. Cognition assessment using the NIH Toolbox. Neurology. 2013; 80:S54–64. [PubMed: 23479546]

28. Carlozzi NE, Tulsky DS, Chiaravalloti ND, Beaumont JL, Weintraub S, Conway K, Gershon RC. NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery (NIHTB-CB): the NIHTB Pattern Comparison Processing Speed Test. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:630–41. [PubMed: 24960594]

29. Dikmen SS, Bauer PJ, Weintraub S, Mungas D, Slotkin J, Beaumont JL, Gershon R, Temkin NR, Heaton RK. Measuring episodic memory across the lifespan: NIH Toolbox Picture Sequence Memory Test. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:611–9. [PubMed: 24960230]

30. Gershon RC, Cook KF, Mungas D, Manly JJ, Slotkin J, Beaumont JL, Weintraub S. Language measures of the NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:642–51. [PubMed: 24960128]

31. Heaton RK, Akshoomoff N, Tulsky D, Mungas D, Weintraub S, Dikmen S, Beaumont J, Casaletto KB, Conway K, Slotkin J, Gershon R. Reliability and validity of composite scores from the NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery in adults. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:588–98. [PubMed: 24960398]

32. Tulsky DS, Carlozzi N, Chiaravalloti ND, Beaumont JL, Kisala PA, Mungas D, Conway K, Gershon R. NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery (NIHTB-CB): list sorting test to measure working memory. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:599–610. [PubMed: 24959983]

33. Weintraub S, Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Tulsky DS, Zelazo PD, Slotkin J, Carlozzi NE, Bauer PJ, Wallner-Allen K, Fox N, Havlik R, Beaumont JL, Mungas D, Manly JJ, Moy C, Conway K, Edwards E, Nowinski CJ, Gershon R. The cognition battery of the NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function: validation in an adult sample. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:567–78. [PubMed: 24959840]

34. Zelazo PD, Anderson JE, Richler J, Wallner-Allen K, Beaumont JL, Conway KP, Gershon R, Weintraub S. NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery (CB): validation of executive function measures in adults. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014; 20:620–9. [PubMed: 24960301]

35. Boveroux P, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Bruno MA, Noirhomme Q, Lauwick S, Luxen A, Degueldre C, Plenevaux A, Schnakers C, Phillips C, Brichant JF, Bonhomme V, Maquet P, Greicius MD, Laureys S, Boly M. Breakdown of within- and between-network Resting State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Connectivity during Propofol-induced Loss of Consciousness. Anesthesiology. 2010; 113:1038–53. [PubMed: 20885292]

36. Liu X, Lauer KK, Ward BD, Li SJ, Hudetz AG. Differential effects of deep sedation with propofol on the specific and nonspecific thalamocortical systems: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Anesthesiology. 2013; 118:59–69. [PubMed: 23221862]

37. Akeju O, Loggia ML, Catana C, Pavone KJ, Vazquez R, Rhee J, Contreras Ramirez V, Chonde DB, Izquierdo-Garcia D, Arabasz G, Hsu S, Habeeb K, Hooker JM, Napadow V, Brown EN, Purdon PL. Disruption of thalamic functional connectivity is a neural correlate of dexmedetomidine-induced unconsciousness. Elife. 2014; 3:e04499. [PubMed: 25432022]

38. Bonhomme V, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Demertzi A, Bruno MA, Jaquet O, Bahri MA, Plenevaux A, Boly M, Boveroux P, Soddu A, Brichant JF, Maquet P, Laureys S. Resting-state Network-specific Breakdown of Functional Connectivity during Ketamine Alteration of Consciousness in Volunteers. Anesthesiology. 2016; 125:873–88. [PubMed: 27496657]

39. Ranft A, Golkowski D, Kiel T, Riedl V, Kohl P, Rohrer G, Pientka J, Berger S, Thul A, Maurer M, Preibisch C, Zimmer C, Mashour GA, Kochs EF, Jordan D, Ilg R. Neural Correlates of Sevoflurane-induced Unconsciousness Identified by Simultaneous Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Electroencephalography. Anesthesiology. 2016; 125:861–72. [PubMed: 27617689]

40. Detre JA, Rao HY, Wang DJJ, Chen YF, Wang Z. Applications of arterial spin labeled MRI in the brain. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 2012; 35:1026–37. [PubMed: 22246782]

41. Madden DJ, Bennett IJ, Song AW. Cerebral White Matter Integrity and Cognitive Aging: Contributions from Diffusion Tensor Imaging. Neuropsychology Review. 2009; 19:415–35. [PubMed: 19705281]

42. Guzman VA, Carmichael OT, Schwarz C, Tosto G, Zimmerman ME, Brickman AM, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I. White matter hyperintensities and amyloid are independently associated with entorhinal cortex volume among individuals with mild cognitive impairment. Alzheimers Dement. 2013; 9:S124–31. [PubMed: 23375566]

43. Cavallari M, Dai WY, Guttmann CRG, Meier DS, Ngo LH, Hshieh TT, Callahan AE, Fong TG, Schmitt E, Dickerson BC, Press DZ, Marcantonio ER, Jones RN, Inouye SK, Alsop DC, Grp SS.

Neural substrates of vulnerability to postsurgical delirium as revealed by presurgical diffusion MRI. Brain. 2016; 139:1282–94. [PubMed: 26920674]

44. Fitzmaurice, GM., Laird, NM., Ware, JH. Applied longitudinal analysis. 2nd. Hoboken, N.J: Wiley; 2011.

45. Hochberg Y, Benjamini Y. More powerful procedures for multiple significance testing. Stat Med. 1990; 9:811–8. [PubMed: 2218183]

46. Damoiseaux JS, Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, Stam CJ, Smith SM, Beckmann CF. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103:13848–53. [PubMed: 16945915]

47. Kundu P, Inati SJ, Evans JW, Luh WM, Bandettini PA. Differentiating BOLD and non-BOLD signals in fMRI time series using multi-echo EPI. Neuroimage. 2012; 60:1759–70. [PubMed: 22209809]

48. Kundu P, Brenowitz ND, Voon V, Worbe Y, Vertes PE, Inati SJ, Saad ZS, Bandettini PA, Bullmore ET. Integrated strategy for improving functional connectivity mapping using multiecho fMRI. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110:16187–92. [PubMed: 24038744]

49. Cox RW, Chen G, Glen DR, Reynolds RC, Taylor PA. fMRI clustering and false-positive rates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017; 114:E3370–E1. [PubMed: 28420798]

50. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols TE, Mackay CE, Watkins KE, Ciccarelli O, Cader MZ, Matthews PM, Behrens TE. Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. Neuroimage. 2006; 31:1487–505. [PubMed: 16624579]

51. PASS 12 Power Analysis and Sample Size Software. Kaysville, Utah, USA: NCSS, LLC; 2012.

52. Hsieh FY, Lavori PW. Sample-size calculations for the Cox proportional hazards regression model with nonbinary covariates. Controlled Clinical Trials. 2000; 21:552–60. [PubMed: 11146149]

53. Neter, J., Kutner, MH., Nachtsheim, CJ. Applied linear statistical models. 4th. Chicago: Irwin; 1996.

54. Allison, PD., SAS Institute. Survival analysis using the SAS system : a practical guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute; 1995.

55. Browndyke JN, Berger M, Harshbarger TB, Smith PJ, White W, Bisanar TL, Alexander JH, Gaca JG, Welsh-Bohmer K, Newman MF, Mathew JP. Resting-State Functional Connectivity and Cognition After Major Cardiac Surgery in Older Adults without Preoperative Cognitive Impairment: Preliminary Findings. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2017; 65:e6–e12. [PubMed: 27858963]

56. McDonagh DL, Berger M, Mathew JP, Graffagnino C, Milano CA, Newman MF. Neurological complications of cardiac surgery. Lancet Neurol. 2014; 13:490–502. [PubMed: 24703207]

57. Abu-Omar Y, Cader S, Guerrieri Wolf L, Pigott D, Matthews PM, Taggart DP. Short-term changes in cerebral activity in on-pump and off-pump cardiac surgery defined by functional magnetic resonance imaging and their relationship to microembolization. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2006; 132:1119–25. [PubMed: 17059932]

58. Price CC, Tanner JJ, Schmalfuss I, Garvan CW, Gearen P, Dickey D, Heilman K, McDonagh DL, Libon DJ, Leonard C, Bowers D, Monk TG. A pilot study evaluating presurgery neuroanatomical biomarkers for postoperative cognitive decline after total knee arthroplasty in older adults. Anesthesiology. 2014; 120:601–13. [PubMed: 24534857]

59. Choi SH, Lee H, Chung TS, Park KM, Jung YC, Kim SI, Kim JJ. Neural network functional connectivity during and after an episode of delirium. Am J Psychiatry. 2012; 169:498–507. [PubMed: 22549209]

60. Bainbridge D, Martin J, Arango M, Cheng D, Group E-bP-oCOR. Perioperative and anaesthetic-related mortality in developed and developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2012; 380:1075–81. [PubMed: 22998717]

61. Freedman B. Equipoise and the ethics of clinical research. N Engl J Med. 1987; 317:141–5. [PubMed: 3600702]

62. Maier KL, McKinstry-Wu AR, Palanca BJA, Tarnal V, Blain-Moraes S, Basner M, Avidan MS, Mashour GA, Kelz MB. Protocol for the Reconstructing Consciousness and Cognition (ReCCognition) Study. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2017; 11:284. [PubMed: 28638328]

Table 1

Exclusion criteria

Airway assessment as potentially difficult (Mallampati III or greater)
Allergies or hypersensitivity to drug or class
Body mass index (BMI) > 30
Chronic inflammatory conditions such as lupus or rheumatoid arthritis
Claustrophobia or other history suggesting inability to complete MRI scanning
Current smoking
Current use of cocaine, opiates, or benzodiazepines
Diabetes mellitus
English illiteracy
History of malignant hyperthermia
Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)
Participant not expected to be able to complete the postoperative tests
Psychiatric or neurological conditions that would be expected to compromise cognitive assessments (for example schizophrenia, major depressive disorder)
Pregnancy or nursing mother
Recent illness (within the last 2 weeks)
Severe visual or auditory disorder/handicaps
Significant metal implants in body
Uncontrolled hypertension

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Timeline of anesthesia, cognitive testing, and neuroimaging

	T-1d	T0	T+15m	T+60m	T+1d	T+3d	T+7d	T+30d	T+6mo	T+12mo
Consent	X									
PQRS	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Cognitive	X				X		X	X		
MRI		X		X	X		X			
Anesthesia		X								

Table 3

Cognitive testing

Function	NIH Toolbox Test	Paper/Pencil Test
Executive Function and Attention	Dimensional Change Card Sort Test Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention Test	Trails A/B
Episodic Memory	Picture Sequence Memory Test	CVLT Logical Memory
Language	Picture Vocabulary Test Oral Reading Recognition Test	Category Fluency
Processing Speed	Oral Symbol Digit Test	
Working Memory	List Sorting Working Memory Test	

Table 4

Neuroimaging

Modality	Function
Anatomical MRI	Anatomical template for functional scans Rule out intracranial pathology prior to anesthesia
Task-based fMRI (N-Back)	Evoked functional activation in awake participant
Resting-state fMRI	Resting-state (not evoked) functional connectivity in awake and anesthetized participant
Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)	Structural connectivity as supported by axonal bundle formations
Arterial Spin Labeling (ASL)	Regional cerebral blood flow