

Notes

Financial support. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, US National Institutes of Health (1R01AI091820).

Potential conflicts of interest. The author: No reported conflicts of interest. The author has submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed. The author does not have a commercial or other association that might pose a conflict of interest.

Daniel H. Libraty¹

¹University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester

References

1. Do VA, Biering-Sørensen S, Fisker AB, et al. Effect of an early dose of measles vaccine on morbidity between 18 weeks and 9 months of age: a randomized, controlled trial in Guinea-Bissau. *J Infect Dis* **2017**; 215:1188–96.
2. Li MO, Wan YY, Sanjabi S, Robertson AK, Flavell RA. Transforming growth factor-beta regulation of immune responses. *Annu Rev Immunol* **2006**; 24:99–146.
3. Swiecki M, Colonna M. The multifaceted biology of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2015**; 15:471–85.
4. Benn CS, Netea MG, Selin LK, Aaby P. A small jab—a big effect: non-specific immunomodulation by vaccines. *Trends Immunol* **2013**; 34:431–9.

Received 22 June 2017; editorial decision 5 July 2017; accepted 7 July 2017; published online July 8, 2017.

Correspondence: D. H. Libraty, MD, University of Massachusetts Medical School, LRB315, 364 Plantation St, Worcester, MA 01605 (daniel.libraty@umassmed.edu).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® 2017;216:502–3
© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved.
For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.
DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jix324

Response to Woodrow and Fanello

TO THE EDITOR—We thank Woodrow and Fanello [1] for their letter regarding our cross-sectional survey of *pfhrp2*-deleted *Plasmodium falciparum* in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). We agree that polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods are imperfect for proving the absence of a gene, especially in the setting of low DNA concentrations. However, we dispute their conclusion that the *pfhrp2* deletion calls in our study are largely artifactual.

Woodrow and Fanello [1] point out that subjects included in the study initially had *P. falciparum* diagnosed by means of real-time PCR targeting the *pfl dh* gene. They fail to note, however, that we used a relatively insensitive PCR assay with a limit of detection of approximately 100 parasites per microliter. In our hands, the typical limits of detection of the downstream *pfhrp2* exon 1/2 and exon 2 assays are approximately 0.4 and 4 parasite genomes per microliter (10^{-5} and 10^{-4} ng/ μ L), respectively. Thus, the influence of stochastic PCR failure due to low DNA concentration is expected to be limited.

In addition, Woodrow and Fanello [1] highlight patterns of differential amplification of *pfhrp2* exon 1/2 and exon 2 as evidence of borderline DNA concentrations. They also imply that published reports do not predict a high frequency of partial gene deletions. In fact, robust evidence supports the existence of partial *pfhrp2* deletions due to chromosomal breakage in the subtelomere of chromosome 8 [2, 3]. Recent genomic analyses also confirm that the patterns and frequency of copy number variation in this region of the chromosome vary geographically, a finding supported by the heterogeneity of *pfhrp2* deletion patterns reported in large surveys from different sites [4–8]. Therefore, deletion patterns that are distinct to a particular location are not unexpected. Nonetheless, we

agree that the pattern and frequency of partial deletions we observed differ from findings in other large surveys and bear further study. Initial investigation suggests that assays for exon 1/2, but not exon 2, may amplify paralogous genes, producing a result that gives the appearance of a partial deletion when a complete deletion is actually present.

Furthermore, we agree that the testing algorithm can be improved. First, future studies should involve thorough analyses of both *pfhrp2* and *pfhrp3*. Because we originally designed the study to focus on *pfhrp2*, we did not systematically evaluate the prevalence of *pfhrp3* deletions in this survey, which we acknowledge could lead to an underestimation of their prevalence. Second, streamlined algorithms that assess both genes in parallel and incorporate simplified controls would permit high-throughput testing. We have since implemented these measures in ongoing studies of *pfhrp2* and *pfhrp3* deletions.

As with artemisinin resistance, the potential emergence of *pfhrp2* and/or *pfhrp3* (*pfhrp2/3*) gene deletions in Africa cannot be ignored. High rates of rapid diagnostic test (RDT) failure among symptomatic patients infected with *pfhrp2/3*-deleted *P. falciparum* in Eritrea are particularly concerning [9, 10]. In response, the World Health Organization has made it a priority to evaluate and address reports of false-negative RDT results [11].

We share and support the letter writers' efforts to promote the thoughtful implementation of malaria diagnostic testing policies. Prematurely replacing HRP2-based RDTs with less sensitive, less heat-stable alternatives in the DRC would be akin to “throwing the baby out with the bathwater.” Our study findings indicate that these deletions are common among asymptomatic children in the DRC, and ongoing studies of their impact on symptomatic subjects of all ages are crucial for policy development.

Notes

Financial support. This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grants 5T32AI007151 to J. B. P. and 5R01AI107949 to S. R. M.).

Potential conflicts of interest. Both authors: No reported conflicts of interest. Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Jonathan B. Parr¹ and Steven R. Meshnick²

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina, and ²Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

References

1. Charles J. Woodrow and Caterina Fanello. *Pfhrp2* Deletions in the Democratic Republic of Congo: Evidence of Absence, or Absence of Evidence?. *J Infect Dis* **2017**; [in press].
2. Pologe LG, Ravetch JV. Large deletions result from breakage and healing of *P. falciparum* chromosomes. *Cell* **1988**; 55:869–74.
3. Scherf A, Mattei D. Cloning and characterization of chromosome breakpoints of *Plasmodium falciparum*: breakage and new telomere formation occurs frequently and randomly in subtelomeric genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **1992**; 20:1491–96.
4. Cheeseman IH, Miller B, Tan JC, et al. Population structure shapes copy number variation in malaria parasites. *Mol Biol Evol* **2016**; 33:603–20.
5. Akinyi Okoth S, Abdallah JF, Ceron N, et al. Variation in *Plasmodium falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (*pfhrp2*) and *Plasmodium falciparum* histidine-rich protein 3 (*pfhrp3*) gene deletions in Guyana and Suriname. *PLoS One* **2015**; 10:e0126805.
6. Bharti PK, Chandel HS, Ahmad A, Krishna S, Udhayakumar V, Singh N. Prevalence of *pfhrp2* and/or *pfhrp3* gene deletion in *Plasmodium falciparum* population in eight highly endemic states in India. *PLoS One* **2016**; 11:e0157949.
7. Rachid Viana GM, Akinyi Okoth S, Silva-Flannery L, et al. Histidine-rich protein 2 (*pfhrp2*) and *pfhrp3* gene deletions in *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from select sites in Brazil and Bolivia. *PLoS One* **2017**; 12:e0171150.
8. Murillo Solano C, Akinyi Okoth S, Abdallah JF, et al. Deletion of *Plasmodium falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (*pfhrp2*) and histidine-rich protein 3 (*pfhrp3*) genes in Colombian parasites. *PLoS One* **2015**; 10:e0131576.
9. Berhane A, Russom M, Bahta I, Hagos F, Ghirmai M, Uqubay S. Rapid diagnostic tests failing to detect *Plasmodium falciparum* infections in Eritrea: an investigation of reported false negative RDT results. *Malar J* **2017**; 16:105.
10. Berhane A, Mihreteab S, Mohammed S, et al. PfHRP2 detecting malaria RDTs: alarming false-negative results in Eritrea (poster 879). Presented at: Tropical Medicine 2016, annual meeting of the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene; 13–17 November **2016**, Atlanta, GA.
11. World Health Organization. False-negative RDT results and implications of new *P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2/3 gene deletions. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, **2016**.

Received 14 July 2017; editorial decision 17 July 2017; accepted 18 July 2017; published online July 22, 2017.

Correspondence: J. B. Parr, MD, MPH, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina, 130 Mason Farm Rd, Chapel Hill, NC 27599 (jonathan_parr@med.unc.edu).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® **2017**;216:503–4

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jix347

***Pfhrp2* Deletions in the Democratic Republic of Congo: Evidence of Absence, or Absence of Evidence?**

TO THE EDITOR—Parr et al report that *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites with deletion of the *pfhrp2* gene can be found in children across all provinces of the Democratic Republic of Congo [1]. It is possible to differentiate gene deletion from other causes of a negative *P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (PfHRP2)-based rapid diagnostic test via a series of investigations [2, 3], starting with positive microscopic identification of *P. falciparum*, a straightforward way of minimizing at the outset the chance that the gene cannot be detected simply because of low levels of DNA [4]. Parr et al used many of these recommended steps, and the work was clearly undertaken to a high technical standard, but a distinct feature of the study was that the diagnosis of *P. falciparum* was determined by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection of *pflhdh*, a relatively sensitive approach designed to capture all *pfhrp2*-deleted parasites. The article comes to a confident conclusion that the *pfhrp2*-negative PCR results represent gene deletion rather than insufficient DNA.

Was this confidence justified? The answer, in our view, is a clear “no.” Unsurprisingly, a large proportion (90%) of the “*pfhrp2*-deleted” samples were negative on microscopy. In a set of samples where parasitemia is generally below the level of microscopic detection, successful PCR amplification of a control gene does not guarantee that another gene will be robustly amplified from the same sample. Borderline DNA concentrations will cause stochastic failure of individual PCR reactions, so samples negative by PCR for *pfhrp2* but positive for other genes and, hence, fulfilling the study’s criteria for “*pfhrp2* deletion,” will be inevitable simply because of the number of samples studied.