Published in final edited form as:
Lab Invest. 2018 February ; 98(2): 175–181. doi:10.1038/labinvest.2017.98.

NM23/NDPK proteins in transcription regulatory functions and chromatin modulation: emerging trends

Shalu Sharma[1,2], **Antara Sengupta**[1], and **Shantanu Chowdhury**[1,2,3]

[1]Genomics and Molecular Medicine Unit, CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, New Delhi, India

[2]Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Rafi Marg, New Delhi, India

[3]G.N.R. Knowledge Centre for Genome Informatics, Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, CSIR, New Delhi, India

Abstract

NM23/NDPK proteins have been studied for their metastasis suppressor role but the molecular pathways involved in this process are not very vivid. Nucleotide binding and kinase activities of NM23 proteins implicated in anti-metastatic effects have been widely studied. In addition to these, transcriptional regulation adds another arm to the versatility of NM23 proteins that together with the other functions may contribute to better understanding of underlying mechanisms. In this review we discuss emerging reports describing the role of NM23 proteins in gene regulation and chromatin modulation in association with other factors or on their own.

The metastasis suppressor gene *NME/nm23/NDPK* was discovered in 1988 by Steeg *et al*,1 from the analysis of murine melanoma K-1735 cells based on its differential expression with respect to metastatic potential. The first identified member of the *nm23* metastasis suppressor gene family has been extensively studied ever since. Later, in 1991 a close homolog of NM23-H1 that shared >88% sequence identity was found and referred to as NM23-H2.2 Subsequently 10 other homologs of this family were discovered across several other species.3 *nm23* gene homologs are found in almost all organisms including eukaryotes, eubacteria as well as archaea,4 the only exception being the Mycoplasma taxon. 5 NM23 are multifunctional, ubiquitously distributed hexameric histidine kinases that catalyze phosphate-transfer from nucleloside triposphates to diphosphates via a phosphohistidine intermediate following a ping-pong mechanism.6–10 They are involved in regulating several fundamental cellular processes such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, G-protein signaling, and DNA repair.11–13

Among the human members of the *nm23* gene family *nm23-H1* and *nm23-H2* are widely studied as metastasis suppressors and discussed in several reviews.14–17 The phylogenetic analysis of NM23 family members divided them into two distinct groups.3,12 Group I

Correspondence: Dr S Chowdhury, PhD, Genomics and Molecular Medicine Unit, CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, Sukhdev Vihar, Mathura Road, New Delhi 110025, India. shantanuc@igib.res.in.

Disclosure/Conflict of Interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

includes NM23-H1-H4—enzymatically active proteins that are 58–88% identical, whereas group II includes proteins that are enzymatically inactive and more divergent, ie, with 22–44% identity.3,12 Members of the NM23 family are known to be involved in multiple DNA-associated functions including nucleotide binding, nucleoside triphosphate synthesis, cleavage of DNA strands through nuclease activity as well as transcription.12 The kinase-related activities of NM23 proteins including their role in metastasis suppression have been discussed in multiple reviews.6–11 Although studies about the role of NM23 in gene regulation and chromatin-associated changes are relatively more recent, this review will focus on these aspects.

NDPK/NM23 Proteins: Evidence Supporting Role in Transcription

Several lines of evidence implicate the role of NM23 proteins in transcriptional regulation of gene expression. These include first, studies such as those substantiating nuclear localization of NM23 proteins, although they have been reported to lack any nuclear localization signal.18 Not only NM23-H1 and H2 the more frequently studied members of the NM23 family but also *NM23-H4* was reported to localize in the nucleus.19 Several reports describe nuclear localization of NM23-H1 and NM23-H2 showing cell cycle-dependent transport of NM23-H1 and H2 proteins from cytoplasm to the nucleus during interphase.18,20,21 In addition, Fujita *et al*19 also showed nuclear localization of NM23-H4, which was previously understood to be found mainly within mitochondria. Second, multiple reports describe binding of NM23-H1/H2 proteins to the nuclease-hypersensitive element (NHE) region within the promoter of the oncogene *c-myc* and *pdgf-a,* resulting in transcriptional activation of *c-myc* and repression of *pdgf-a.*22–24 Consequently, the Arg34, Asn-69, and Lys-135 amino-acid residues were reported to mediate NM23-H1 and NM23-H2 DNA binding.25 Interestingly, apart from binding at gene promoters interaction with telomeric DNA was also noted.26,27 Third, apart from direct DNA interactions, NM23 proteins were also reported to regulate expression of multiple genes through other transcription factors and regulatory proteins. For example, NM23-H1 was reported to associate with the Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C EBNA3C, estrogen receptor alpha (ERα) and other factors28,29 NM23-H2 was shown to associate with several factors including the cellular nucleic acid binding protein such as CNBP,30 estrogen receptor beta (ERβ)31,32 thereby activating *c-myc* expression (these are discussed in detail below). Table 1 summarizes these aspects for ready reference.

NM23-H1 and Transcription Regulation through DNA Binding

The first report of transcription regulatory role was from Kaetzel's group in 2002 demonstrating NM23-H1 mediated transcriptional repression of the oncogene *pdgf-a* in HeLa cells.24 NM23-H1 was shown to recognize both, a 5′ distal S1 nuclease-hypersensitive silencer element and a proximal regulatory NHE of the *pdgf-a* promoter. Interestingly, nuclease activity of NM23-H1 within the promoter of *pdgf-a* was shown to cleave the 3' end of both the pyrimidine- and purine-rich strands independent of single or double stranded conformation.24 In 2002, NM23β (rat homolog of NM23-H1) was shown to regulate *gelatinase A* by binding with the GGGTTT-repetitive sequence within the 40 bp enhancer response element 1 (RE-1) of *gelatinase A*. Overexpression of *nm23*β in

glomerular mesangial cells was found to outcompete the Y-box protein-1 from the RE-1 binding site resulting in repression of *gelatinase A*.33 Recently, NM23-H1 was reported to induce expression of the extracellular matrix protein fibronectin mRNA and protein in M14 and 1205LU melanoma cell lines. These results were further confirmed in clinical biopsies of normal skin, benign nevi and also in primary melanomas.34

Gene Regulation by NM23-H1 in Collaboration with other Factors

A substantial body of literature suggests regulation by NM23-H1 that is executed through interaction with other proteins or regulatory factors. Interaction of NM23-H1 with the Epstein– Barr virus protein nuclear antigen 3C (EBNA3C) showed increased NM23-H1 nuclear localization and altered NM23-H1 transcriptional activities that influenced both cellular and viral gene expression. Interestingly, this interaction negatively impacts the function of NM23-H1 in suppressing migration of breast carcinoma and Burkitt's lymphoma cells in vitro.28,35,36 In 2005, Kuppers *et al*37 further showed NM23-H1 interaction with EBNA3C resulted in upregulation of matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) expression through association with Ap1 and NFκB-binding sites on *MMP-9* promoter. This interaction reversed the anti-migratory effect of NM23-H1. NM23-H1 and EBNA3C cooperation was also noted to transcriptionally upregulate cyclooxygenase 2 (*COX-2*) expression by co-binding to target sequences of transcription factors NFκB and CRE in the *COX-2* promoter. NM23-H1 upregulated *COX2*, whereas EBNA3C alone had no effect on *COX-2* expression but contributed to increased *COX2* expression when coexpressed with NM23-H1.38

In addition to these, reports also showed that NM23-H1 and EBNA3C regulate alpha V integrin expression independently as well as synergistically by binding different transcription factors. EBNA3C binding to the transcription factor Sp1 upregulates alpha V integrin. On the other hand, NM23-H1 binding to GATA-1 resulted in repression of alpha V integrin. Again, NM23-H1 and EBNA3C when coexpressed negated the repression of alpha V integrin expression mediated by NM23-H1.39 Additional work from the same group showed NM23-H1-EBNA3C interaction to be important for transcriptional suppression of the cellular regulatory factor *Necdin*. Interestingly, *Necdin* downregulation rescued downstream suppression of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) promoter and thereby abrogated antiangiogenic effects.40

Protein–protein interactions through NM23-H1 and its impact on gene regulation were also evident from association of NM23-H1 with ERα, which enhanced binding of ERα with the estrogen response element (ERE). This study demonstrated that NM23-H1 silencing in U2 osteosarcoma and MDA-MB231 breast cancer cells resulted in downregulation of an ERE-harboring reporter plasmid, supporting transcription regulation of ERE-harboring progesterone receptor, *Bcl2, cyclin-D1* and cathepsin through NM23-H1 However, other ERE-containing genes like pS2 remained unaltered by NM23-H1 levels.29

Interaction of NM23-H1 with the serine threonine kinase receptor associated protein (STRAP) was reported to transcriptionally repress *PAI-1, p21* and *SMAD7* together with activation of *CDK4* and *cyclin-D1*. These together were shown to repress the downstream TGFβ signaling.41 The same group also reported NM23-H1-STRAP association, following

genotoxic stress, with p53 using cystein residues in the central DNA-binding domain (DBD) of p53. Through this interaction NM23-H1-STRAP was found to regulate p53-mediated transcription of apoptosis and cell cycle-related proteins42

NM23-H1—Role in Global Gene Regulation

In addition to studies showing involvement of NM23-H1 in gene regulation either directly or in association with other factors several global studies revealed differential mRNA expression following altered NM23-H1 expression. Microarray analysis performed on oral squamous cell carcinoma cells CAL27 after subjecting them to NM23-H1 overexpression observed 241 genes with change of more than or equal to twofold, 103 of these genes were downregulated, whereas 138 showed upregulation.43 The altered genes were primarily related to cell adhesion, invasion, and metastasis including TGFβ signaling. In another study on transfection of breast cancer MDA-MB-435 cells with *nm23-H1*, 197 genes were found to be significantly upregulated, whereas the expression of 1961 genes were downregulated. 44 Functional significance of the altered genes showed them to cluster into six categories namely, invasion and metastasis, apoptosis and senescence, signal transduction and transcription factors, cell cycle and repair, adhesion, and angiogenesis.

Horak *et al*45 compared the expression profiles following NM23-H1 overexpressing with cells overexpressing NM23-H1 mutants P96S and S120G that are incapable of inhibiting motility and invasion in breast cancer cells. This study reported nine genes (*MET, FZD1, PTN, SMO L1CAM, NETO2, CTGF, MMP-2,* and *EDG2*) downregulated by the wild-type NM23-H1 that remained unaltered in case of NM23-H1 mutants. A later transcriptome analysis in L9981 lung cancer cells showed similar observations.46 L9981-*nm23-H1* stable cells characteristically exhibited lower cell proliferation, increased apoptosis and a dramatic loss of tumor cell metastasis. This study emphasized the finding that genes like *E-cadherin*, *b-catenin* and *TIMP-1* were upregulated, whereas *MMP-2, CD44v6*, and *VEGF* were repressed on NM23-H1 overexpression, suggesting altered expression of these genes to be significant in the anti-metastatic function of NM23-H1.

DNA Binding by NM23-H2 and Transcriptional Regulation of Gene Expression

Transcriptional activation of the *c-myc* oncogene by NM23-H2 was shown in human as well as murine cells, in cervical, lung carcinoma, and Burkitt lymphoma by multiple research groups.16,22,47,48 Furthermore, it was found that NM23-H2 associates with the *c-myc* promoter NHE, constituting an asymmetric repetitive sequence that is cytosine-rich in one strand and guanine-rich in the other—an arrangement conducive to formation of specific DNA secondary structures called G-quadruplexes.23,49–51 Later, it was shown that NM23-H2 interacts with the *c-myc* promoter in a manner that was dependent on formation of the G-quadruplex structure.48 Despite these, reports have cast doubt on transcriptional activity of NM23-H2 because of non-specific binding to single-stranded purine-rich sequence.52,53 On the other hand, it is possible that NM23-H2 association to DNA depends on the structure adopted by such sequences rather than mere sequence. In 2015, in maize nucleoside diphosphate kinase1 (*ZmNDPK1)* the first plant G-quadruplex-binding protein was reported.

It is a close homologue of NM23-H2, which was shown to bind folded G-quadruplex structures with higher affinity as compared to unfolded G-rich DNA. The G-quadruplex-binding activity of ZmNDPK1 was demonstrated to be independent of its nucleotide binding and kinase activities.54

Gene Regulation by NM23-H2 in Association with other Factors

Recently, a NM23-H2-interacting protein was reported in relation to *c-myc* regulation, which supported earlier studies of NM23-H2-G-quadruplex binding in the *c-myc* promoter. Piwi-like RNA-mediated gene silencing 2, PIWIL2 interaction with NM23-H2 was found to upregulate *c-myc* expression by facilitating the association of NM23-H2 with the G-quadruplex motif.55 In addition to these, CNBP was shown to interact with NM23-H2 and regulate *c-myc* expression. It was further demonstrated that CNBP binds to the G-quadruplex structure formed in the NHE region within the *c-MYC* promoter and while CNBP repressed c-*MYC*, CNBP-NM23-H2 interaction resulted in upregulation of *c-MYC* expression.30

As noted for NM23-H1, NM23-H2 also mediated negative regulation of *pdgf-a* transcription by interacting with its silencer sequence (5′-S1 nuclease-hypersensitive site) along with basal NHE. On transient transfection of NM23-H2 negative regulation of the *pdgf-a* basal promoter was found in HepG2 cells.24 In addition to these, Rayner *et al*31 demonstrated association of NM23-H2 with the ERβ.32 Interestingly, in contrast to NM23-H1, which associates with estrogen receptor alpha and functions as a repressor in a estrogen dependent manner, NM23-H2 was found to activate gene expression.31,32,56

In 2013, regulation of the alzheimer associated amyloid-β peptide (*APP*) was found to be through interaction of NM23-H2 to the proximal regulatory element (PRE) within the *APP* promoter. Presence of the 30 nucleotide PRE sequence was reported to make *APP* regulation vulnerable to epigenetic modifications. This report further implicated an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease on any interference with NM23-H2's role in regulation of *APP*.57

Genomic Studies on NM23-H2-Mediated Gene Regulation

In 2014, gene expression profiling along with promoter occupancy of NM23-H2 in lung carcinoma A549 cells was reported.58 Authors found occupancy of NM23-H2 within promoters of 346 genes related to focal adhesion, nucleosome remodeling, transcriptional regulation, apoptosis, Notch signaling pathway, p53, and Wnt signaling pathways. Of these, 64 gene targets also showed altered expression, either up or downregulation, on changing NM23-H2 levels in the cell. Several targets, for example, adenomatous polyposis coli (*APC*), Rho-related GTP-binding protein B (*RHO B*) and *connective tissue growth factor* (*CTGF*) were found to be under direct regulation of NM23-H2. The study thereafter focused on NM23-H2-mediated transcriptional repression of the focal adhesion factor *vinculin* (*VCL*). NM23-H2 was shown to bind a 12-mer motif located 262 bases upstream of *vinculin* transcription start site.58

In a later more extensive study, genome-wide chromatin-immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) was performed in A549 cells and NM23-H2 target sites were

checked before and after induction of *nm23-H2*.59 A 12-mer consensus motif was identified for NM23-H2 binding, which was present in >70% of the ChIP-seq peaks—a motif that was similar to the one reported by Postel *et al* within the *c-myc* promoter NHE several years earlier.23,58 This further revealed 2005 and 11017 peaks in endogenous and induced states respectively. On analyzing the altered gene expression profile 1679 genes were found to be differentially expressed in NM23-H2 altered conditions, 781 genes were upregulated, whereas 898 were downregulated. Of these 1679 gene targets, 1235 genes were found to have at-least one NM23-H2-binding site within 10 kb of the transcription start site.59

A study that described genes differentially expressed in drug resistance cancer cells implicated NM23-H2 as a factor that altered expression of several well known genes involved in epithelial to mesenchymal transition.60 Based on this analysis it was tested and found that NM23-H2 repressed several mesenchymal cell markers (such as *SNAI2, VIM, FN1, TIMP-1, ITGA5*) while inducing expression of epithelial cell markers (*OCLN, CDH1, DSP, PPDE2*), thereby promoting mesenchymal to epithelial transition of breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231). Furthermore, the study reported NM23-H2 levels to be important for preventing drug resistance in cancer cells. Overall, this also supported function of NM23-H2 as a metastasis suppressor.60

NM23-H1 and NM23-H2-Mediated Chromatin Level Changes

Interaction of NM23-H1 with the chromatin remodeling SET complex was reported in 2003 by Fan *et al.*61 The SET complex is a multimeric 270–420 KDa complex, comprising HMGA, SET, Ape-1, and pp32, generally linked to the chromatin-associated processes of nucleosome assembly, replication, and DNA repair.61 On activation by granzyme A, NM23-H1 as a part of this complex was shown to induce DNase activity thereby inducing cellular apoptosis through chromatin degradation.61 In another study, both NM23-H1 and NM23-H2 were found to be part of a multi-component OCA-S (Oct-1 co-activator complex in S phase) complex in transcriptional activation of the histone 2B gene in a S phase-dependent manner. 62 Although both NM23-H1 and NM23-H2 were found to have occupancy on histone 2B gene promoter, their exact role within OCA-S was not clear. This further implicated the significance of NM23 proteins in chromatin modification through regulation of a core histone protein.

More evidence supporting involvement of NM23 in chromatin remodeling was reported in 2014. In a genome-wide ChIP-seq study, NM23-H2 was used as a candidate for testing whether transcription factor binding on target gene promoters influence nucleosome occupancy in its vicinity.59 Using lung cancer A549 cells, authors showed that on induction of NM23-H2, 70% of the putative NM23-H2 binding sites earlier occupied by nucleosomes became nucleosome-free. Perhaps more importantly, it was also shown that these newly vacated sites were occupied by NM23-H2, in the induced cells, resulting in altered expression of the target gene.59

In another study, a yeast two-hybrid screen found NDPK-D (NM23-H4) associates with the NAD^+ dependent histone deactylase SIRT1. Here it was also shown that deacetylation by SIRT1 enhances the nuclear localization of NM23-H4. Since no evidence of any specific

intra-nuclear functions of NM23-H4 has been revealed as yet it would be interesting to explore its role, if any, in gene regulation particularly due to its interaction with the nucleosome remodeler SIRT1.19

Transactivation Domain in NM23 Proteins: Differing Views

In the light of transcriptional roles attributed to NM23 proteins, particularly NM23-H1 and H2, the differing views on whether they harbor transactivation domain(s) is of interest. NM23-H2 was first implicated in *c-myc* transactivation in 1995, but a distinct transactivator domain was not defined.22 In 1997, presence of a typical transactivation domain was negated by Michelloti *et al*,63 when following reporter assays that fused a DBD with wild-type NM23-H2, authors did not observe any transactivation. In the following year, Chae *et al*64 reported presence of transactivation domain from studies where human *nm23-H1* gene constructs were transfected in yeast. They co-transfected a fusion protein containing GAL4/LEXA DBD with a truncated versions of NM23-H1 and noted that the *C*-terminal residues of NM23 displayed clear transactivation potential, whereas no transactivation was observed through the *N*-terminal residues. Presence of a C-terminal transactivation domain was further substantiated later when transactivation activity of *C*-terminal residues of NM23-H1 (amino-acid 109–152) was found in yeast, HeLa, and COS cells.65 Interestingly it was noted that further extension of the domain (including amino acids from 58–152) lead to a loss of transactivation. Authors also tested the role specific mutants—C-terminal residues such as P96S, S120G/S120A (known to inhibit anti-metastatic effect of wild-type NM23-H1) and H118F (NDP Kinase mutant of NM23-H1). Interestingly, only H118F showed reduction of transactivation activity suggesting a link between NDP kinase activity and transactivation potential NM23-H1. In another study, reporter assays in 293T cells using NM23-H1 fused to Gal4 DBD authors found increase in reporter expression.28

Emerging Trends and Future Perspective

Research on regulation of gene expression by NM23 proteins themselves or in collaboration with other interacting partners was primarily discussed here. This, in addition to, the emerging work on involvement of NM23 in chromatin-related processes projects interesting line of work that has received relatively less attention. Also, several genome-wide studies have revealed the global impact of NM23-H1/H2 on a wide array of cellular processes including cell development, differentiation, and proliferation.

We particularly take note of the work that may link the inherent NDP kinase activity of NM23 to its role in gene expression based on the study that found loss of transactivation potential in the mutant NM23-H1 (H118F) devoid of kinase activity.65 Another interesting theme that may open up new avenues comes from the implicated involvement of NM23-H2 in modulating the state of regulatory chromatin through nucleosome repositioning within gene promoter.60 Based on these, future work on the influence of the NDP kinase activity in chromatin modifications, and mechanisms of how this impacts global gene regulation could be of much interest and impact.

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance Fellowship [grant number 500127/Z/09/Z] awarded to SC. Authors acknowledge all Chowdhury-Lab members for their support and critical appreciation. SC is a Senior Fellow of the Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance.

References

1. Steeg PS, Bevilacqua G, Kopper L, et al. Evidence for a novel gene associated with low tumor metastatic potential. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1988; 80:200–204. [PubMed: 3346912]
2. Stahl JA, Leone A, Rosengard AM, et al. Identification of a second human nm23 gene, nm23-H2. Cancer Res. 1991; 51:445–449. [PubMed: 1988104]
3. Desvignes T, Pontarotti P, Fauvel C, et al. Nme protein family evolutionary history, a vertebrate perspective. BMC Evol Biol. 2009; 9:256. [PubMed: 19852809]
4. Bilitou A, Watson J, Gartner A, et al. The NM23 family in development. Mol Cell Biochem. 2009; 329:17–33. [PubMed: 19421718]
5. Fraser CM, Gocayne JD, White O, et al. The minimal gene complement of Mycoplasma genitalium. Science. 1995; 270:397–403. [PubMed: 7569993]
6. Engel M, Véron M, Theisinger B, et al. A novel serine/threonine-specific protein phosphotransferase activity of Nm23/nucleoside-diphosphate kinase. Eur J Biochem. 1995; 234:200–207. [PubMed: 8529641]
7. Lacombe ML, Milon L, Munier A, et al. The human Nm23/nucleoside diphosphate kinases. J Bioenerg Biomembr. 2000; 32:247–258. [PubMed: 11768308]
8. Roymans D, Willems R, Van Blockstaele DR, et al. Nucleoside diphosphate kinase (NDPK/NM23) and the waltz with multiple partners: possible consequences in tumor metastasis. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2002; 19:465–476. [PubMed: 12405283]
9. Wagner PD, Steeg PS, Vu ND. Two-component kinase-like activity of nm23 correlates with its motility-suppressing activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94:9000–9005. [PubMed: 9256424]
10. Attwood PV, Wieland T. Nucleoside diphosphate kinase as protein histidine kinase. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol. 2015; 388:153–160. [PubMed: 24961462]
11. Mehta A, Orchard S. Nucleoside diphosphate kinase (NDPK, NM23, AWD): recent regulatory advances in endocytosis, metastasis, psoriasis, insulin release, fetal erythroid lineage and heart failure; translational medicine exemplified. Mol Cell Biochem. 2009; 329:3–15. [PubMed: 19415463]
12. Boissan M, Dabernat S, Peuchant E, et al. The mammalian Nm23/NDPK family: from metastasis control to cilia movement. Mol Cell Biochem. 2009; 329:51–62. [PubMed: 19387795]
13. Hippe H-J, Wolf NM, Abu-Taha I, et al. The interaction of nucleoside diphosphate kinase B with G dimers controls heterotrimeric G protein function. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2009; 106:16269–16274. [PubMed: 19805292]
14. Hartsough MT, Steeg PS. Nm23/nucleoside diphosphate kinase in human cancers. J Bioenerg Biomembr. 2000; 32:301–308. [PubMed: 11768314]
15. Steeg PS, Horak CE, Miller KD. Clinical-translational approaches to the Nm23-H1 metastasis suppressor. Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res. 2008; 14:5006–5012.
16. Lee JH, Marshall J-C, Steeg PS, et al. Altered gene and protein expression by Nm23-H1 in metastasis suppression. Mol Cell Biochem. 2009; 329:141–148. [PubMed: 19415462]
17. Thakur RK, Yadav VK, Kumar P, et al. Mechanisms of non-metastatic 2 (NME2)-mediated control of metastasis across tumor types. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol. 2011; 384:397–406. [PubMed: 21556888]
18. Bosnar MH, Bago R, Ćetković H. Subcellular localization of Nm23/NDPK A and B isoforms: a reflection of their biological function? Mol Cell Biochem. 2009; 329:63–71. [PubMed: 19373546]
19. Fujita Y, Fujiwara K, Zenitani S, et al. Acetylation of NDPK-D regulates its subcellular localization and cell survival. PloS ONE. 2015; 10:e0139616. [PubMed: 26426123]
20. Kraeft SK, Traincart F, Mesnildrey S, et al. Nuclear localization of nucleoside diphosphate kinase type B (nm23-H2) in cultured cells. Exp Cell Res. 1996; 227:63–69. [PubMed: 8806452]

21. Bosnar MH, De Gunzburg J, Bago R, et al. Subcellular localization of A and B Nm23/NDPK subunits. Exp Cell Res. 2004; 298:275–284. [PubMed: 15242782]
22. Berberich SJ, Postel EH. PuF/NM23-H2/NDPK-B transactivates a human c-myc promoter-CAT gene via a functional nuclease hypersensitive element. Oncogene. 1995; 10:2343–2347. [PubMed: 7784082]
23. Postel EH, Berberich SJ, Rooney JW, et al. Human NM23/nucleoside diphosphate kinase regulates gene expression through DNA binding to nuclease-hypersensitive transcriptional elements. J Bioenerg Biomembr. 2000; 32:277–284. [PubMed: 11768311]
24. Ma D, Xing Z, Liu B, et al. NM23-H1 and NM23-H2 repress transcriptional activities of nuclease-hypersensitive elements in the platelet-derived growth factor-A promoter. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:1560–1567. [PubMed: 11694515]
25. Postel EH, Weiss VH, Beneken J, et al. Mutational analysis of NM23-H2/NDP kinase identifies the structural domains critical to recognition of a c-myc regulatory element. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1996; 93:6892–6897. [PubMed: 8692914]
26. Nosaka K, Kawahara M, Masuda M, et al. Association of nucleoside diphosphate kinase nm23-H2 with human telomeres. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1998; 243:342–348. [PubMed: 9480811]
27. Kar A, Saha D, Purohit G, et al. Metastases suppressor NME2 associates with telomere ends and telomerase and reduces telomerase activity within cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:2554–2565. [PubMed: 22135295]
28. Subramanian C, Robertson ES. The metastatic suppressor Nm23-H1 interacts with EBNA3C at sequences located between the glutamine-and proline-rich domains and can cooperate in activation of transcription. J Virol. 2002; 76:8702–8709. [PubMed: 12163590]
29. Curtis CD, Likhite VS, McLeod IX, et al. Interaction of the tumor meastasis suppressor nonmetastatic protein 23 homologue H1 and estrogen receptor alters estrogen-responsive gene expression. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:10600–10607. [PubMed: 17975005]
30. Chen S, Su L, Qiu J, et al. Mechanistic studies for the role of cellular nucleic-acid-binding protein (CNBP) in regulation of c-myc transcription. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013; 1830:4769–4777. [PubMed: 23774591]
31. Rayner K, Chen Y-X, Hibbert B, et al. Discovery of NM23-H2 as an estrogen receptor β-associated protein: role in estrogen-induced gene transcription and cell migration. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2008; 108:72–81. [PubMed: 17964137]
32. Rayner K, Chen Y-X, Hibbert B, et al. NM23-H2, an estrogen receptor beta-associated protein, shows diminished expression with progression of atherosclerosis. AJP Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2006; 292:R743–R750.
33. Cheng S, Alfonso-Jaume MA, Mertens PR, et al. Tumour metastasis suppressor, nm23-beta, inhibits gelatinase A transcription by interference with transactivator Y-box protein-1 (YB-1). Biochem J. 2002; 366:807–816. [PubMed: 12010125]
34. Novak M, Leonard MK, Yang XH, et al. Metastasis suppressor NME1 regulates melanoma cell morphology, self-adhesion and motility via induction of fibronectin expression. Exp Dermatol. 2015; 24:455–461. [PubMed: 25808322]
35. Subramanian C, Cotter MA, Robertson ES. Epstein-Barr virus nuclear protein EBNA-3C interacts with the human metastatic suppressor Nm23-H1: a molecular link to cancer metastasis. Nat Med. 2001; 7:350–355. [PubMed: 11231635]
36. Murakami M, Kaul R, Kumar P, et al. Nucleoside diphosphate kinase/Nm23 and Epstein-Barr virus. Mol Cell Biochem. 2009; 329:131–139. [PubMed: 19412732]
37. Kuppers DA, Lan K, Knight JS, et al. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase 9 expression by Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C and the suppressor of metastasis Nm23-H1. J Virol. 2005; 79:9714–9724. [PubMed: 16014933]
38. Kaul R, Verma SC, Murakami M, et al. Epstein-Barr virus protein can upregulate cyclo-oxygenase-2 expression through association with the suppressor of metastasis Nm23-H1. J Virol. 2006; 80:1321–1331. [PubMed: 16415009]
39. Choudhuri T, Verma SC, Lan K, et al. Expression of alpha V integrin is modulated by Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C and the metastasis suppressor Nm23-H1 through interaction with the GATA-1 and Sp1 transcription factors. Virology. 2006; 351:58–72. [PubMed: 16631833]

40. Kaul R, Murakami M, Lan K, et al. EBNA3C can modulate the activities of the transcription factor Necdin in association with metastasis suppressor protein Nm23-H1. J Virol. 2009; 83:4871–4883. [PubMed: 19116252]
41. Seong H-A, Jung H, Ha H. NM23-H1 tumor suppressor physically interacts with serine-threonine kinase receptor-associated protein, a transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) receptor-interacting protein, and negatively regulates TGF-beta signaling. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:12075–12096. [PubMed: 17314099]
42. Jung H, Seong H-A, Ha H. NM23-H1 tumor suppressor and its interacting partner STRAP activate p53 function. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:35293–35307. [PubMed: 17916563]
43. Bosnar MH, Bago R, Gall-Trošelj K, et al. Downstream targets of Nm23-H1: gene expression profiling of CAL 27 cells using DNA microarray. Mol Carcinog. 2006; 45:627–633. [PubMed: 16739125]
44. Zhao H, Jhanwar-Uniyal M, Datta PK, et al. Expression profile of genes associated with antimetastatic gene:nm23-mediated metastasis inhibition in breast carcinoma cells. Int J Cancer. 2004; 109:65–70. [PubMed: 14735469]
45. Horak CE, Lee JH, Elkahloun AG, et al. Nm23-H1suppresses tumor cell motility by downregulating the lysophosphatidic acid receptor EDG2. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:7238–7246. [PubMed: 17671192]
46. Cai C, Ye S, Zhu W, et al. [Study on invasion and metastasis-associated genes of lung cancer related with NM23-H1 gene]. Sichuan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. 2010; 41:941–945. [PubMed: 21265090]
47. Ji L, Arcinas M, Boxer LM. The transcription factor, Nm23H2, binds to and activates the translocated c-myc allele in Burkitt's lymphoma. J Biol Chem. 1995; 270:13392–13398. [PubMed: 7768941]
48. Thakur RK, Kumar P, Halder K, et al. Metastases suppressor NM23-H2 interaction with G-quadruplex DNA within c-MYC promoter nuclease hypersensitive element induces c-MYC expression. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37:172–183. [PubMed: 19033359]
49. Boles TC, Hogan ME. DNA structure equilibria in the human c-myc gene. Biochemistry (Mosc). 1987; 26:367–376.
50. Simonsson T, Pribylova M, Vorlickova M. A nuclease hypersensitive element in the human c-myc promoter adopts several distinct i-tetraplex structures. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2000; 278:158–166. [PubMed: 11071868]
51. Siddiqui-Jain A, Grand CL, Bearss DJ, et al. Direct evidence for a G-quadruplex in a promoter region and its targeting with a small molecule to repress c-MYC transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002; 99:11593–11598. [PubMed: 12195017]
52. Hildebrandt M, Lacombe ML, Mesnildrey S, et al. A human NDP-kinase B specifically binds single-stranded poly-pyrimidine sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 1995; 23:3858–3864. [PubMed: 7479028]
53. Agou F, Raveh S, Mesnildrey S, et al. Single strand DNA specificity analysis of human nucleoside diphosphate kinase B. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:19630–19638. [PubMed: 10391900]
54. Kopylov M, Bass HW, Stroupe ME. The maize (Zea mays L.) nucleoside diphosphate kinase1 (ZmNDPK1) gene encodes a human NM23-H2 homologue that binds and stabilizes G-quadruplex DNA. Biochemistry (Mosc). 2015; 54:1743–1757.
55. Yao Y, Li C, Zhou X, et al. PIWIL2 induces c-Myc expression by interacting with NME2 and regulates c-Myc-mediated tumor cell proliferation. Oncotarget. 2014; 5:8466–8477. [PubMed: 25193865]
56. Hartman J, Edvardsson K, Lindberg K, et al. Tumor repressive functions of estrogen receptor in SW480 colon cancer cells. Cancer Res. 2009; 69:6100–6106. [PubMed: 19602591]
57. Lahiri DK, Maloney B, Rogers JT, et al. PuF, an antimetastatic and developmental signaling protein, interacts with the Alzheimer's amyloid-β precursor protein via a tissue-specific proximal regulatory element (PRE). BMC Genomics. 2013; 14:68. [PubMed: 23368879]
58. Thakur RK, Yadav VK, Kumar A, et al. Non-metastatic 2 (NME2)-mediated suppression of lung cancer metastasis involves transcriptional regulation of key cell adhesion factor vinculin. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42:11589–11600. [PubMed: 25249619]

59. Yadav VK, Thakur RK, Eckloff B, et al. Promoter-proximal transcription factor binding is transcriptionally active when coupled with nucleosome repositioning in immediate vicinity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42:9602–9611. [PubMed: 25081206]

60. Yadav VK, Kumar A, Mann A, et al. Engineered reversal of drug resistance in cancer cells–metastases suppressor factors as change agents. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42:764–773. [PubMed: 24157835]

61. Fan Z, Beresford PJ, Oh DY, et al. Tumor suppressor NM23-H1 is a granzyme A-activated DNase during CTL-mediated apoptosis, and the nucleosome assembly protein SET is its inhibitor. Cell. 2003; 112:659–672. [PubMed: 12628186]

62. Zheng L, Roeder RG, Luo YS. Phase activation of the histone H2B promoter by OCA-S, a coactivator complex that contains GAPDH as a key component. Cell. 2003; 114:255–266. [PubMed: 12887926]

63. Michelotti EF, Sanford S, Freije JMP, et al. Nm23/PuF does not directly stimulate transcription through the CT element in Vivo. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:22526–22530. [PubMed: 9278405]

64. Chae S-K, Lee N-S, Lee K-J, et al. Transactivation potential of the C-terminus of human Nm23-H1. FEBS Lett. 1998; 423:235–238. [PubMed: 9512364]

65. Cho S-J, Lee N-S, Jung Y-S, et al. Identification of structural domains affecting transactivation potential of Nm23. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2001; 289:738–743. [PubMed: 11726210]

66. Cervoni L, Egistelli L, Eufemi M, et al. DNA sequences acting as binding sites for NM23/NDPK proteins in melanoma M14 cells. J Cell Biochem. 2006; 98:421–428. [PubMed: 16440314]

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

Table 1

NM23/NDPK proteins carry characteristics of conventional transcription factors

NM23 proteins and nuclear localization	
NM23-H1 and H2	NM23-H1 and NM23-H2 localize to nucleus mainly in interphase18,20,21
NM23-H4	Increased nuclear localization was demonstrated on SIRT1 mediated acetylation of NM23-H419
NM23 proteins with DNA-binding potential	
NM23-H2	NM23-H2 binds *c-myc* promoter purine-rich sequence GGGTGGG22,23
NM23-H2 and NM23-H1	Involvement of Arg34, Asn-69, and Lys-135 residues in DNA binding25
NM23-H1 and NM23-H2	Both the isoforms demonstrated to interact with *PDGF-A* promoter24
NM23-H1 and NM23-H2	Occupancy on several gene promoters *CCR5, CD11b, p53, WT1, ING1*66
NM23-H2	Interaction with G-quadruplex DNA in *c-myc* promoter48
NM23-H2 and NM23-H1	DNA binding with telomeric ssDNA repeats 26,27
Maize ZmNDPK1	Interaction with G-quadruplex DNA54
NM23 proteins interact with other regulatory proteins	
NM23-H1	Interaction with EBNA3C and regulation of *MMP-9*,37 *COX2*,38 *alpha V integrin*,39 *Necdin*;40 interaction of mouse NM23β with YB-1 inhibits *gelatinasA* expression33
NM23-H2	Interaction with ERβ and activation of downstream genes31,32,56
NM23-H1	Interaction with STRAP and repression of TGFβ downstream signaling;41,42 interaction with ERα and repression downstream genes29
NM23-H2	Interaction with CNBP activates *c-myc*;30 interaction with APP regulates Alzheimer's disease progression;57 NME2-PIWIL2 interaction upregulates *c-myc*55