

# Research Article

# **The Importance of Mid-to-Late-Life Body Mass Index Trajectories on Late-Life Gait Speed**

**B. Gwen Windham,[1](#page-0-0) Michael E. Griswold[,2](#page-0-1) Wanmei Wang[,2](#page-0-1) Anna Kucharska-Newton,[3](#page-0-2) Ellen W. Demerath[,4](#page-0-3) Kelley Pettee Gabriel[,5](#page-0-4) Lisa A. Pompeii,[6](#page-0-5) Kenneth Butler,[1](#page-0-0) Lynne Wagenknecht[,7](#page-0-6) Stephen Kritchevsky,[8](#page-0-7) and Thomas H. Mosley J[r1](#page-0-0)**

<span id="page-0-5"></span><span id="page-0-4"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>'Department of Medicine/Geriatrics and <sup>2</sup>Department of Data Science, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson. <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. 'Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota School of Public Health, Minneapolis. <sup>5</sup>University of Texas School of Public Health in Austin, Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics, and Environmental Sciences. 6 University of Texas School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics, and Environmental Sciences. 7 Center on Diabetes, Obesity, and Metabolism; Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina. 8 Sticht Center on Aging; Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

<span id="page-0-7"></span><span id="page-0-6"></span>Address correspondence to B. Gwen Windham, MD MHS, Department of Medicine/Geriatrics, University of Mississippi Medical Center, 2500 North State Street, Jackson, MS 39216. E-mail: [gwindham@umc.edu](mailto:gwindham@umc.edu?subject=)

Received April 14, 2016; Accepted September 21, 2016

**Decision Editor:** James Goodwin, MD

# **Abstract**

**Background:** Prior studies suggest being overweight may be protective against poor functional outcomes in older adults.

**Methods:** Body mass index (BMI, kg/m2 ) was measured over 25 years across five visits (1987–2011) among Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study participants (baseline Visit 1 *n* = 15,720, aged 45–64 years). Gait speed was measured at Visit 5 ("late-life", aged ≥65 years, *n* = 6,229). BMI trajectories were examined using clinical cutpoints and continuous mixed models to estimate effects of patterns of BMI change on gait speed, adjusting for demographics and comorbidities.

**Results:** Mid-life BMI (baseline visit; 55% women; 27% black) was associated with late-life gait speed 25 years later; gait speeds were 94.3, 89.6, and 82.1 cm/s for participants with baseline normal BMI (<25), overweight  $(25 \le BMI \le 30)$ , and obese (BMI  $\ge 30$ ) ( $p < .001$ ). In longitudinal analyses, late-life gait speeds were 96.9, 88.8, and 81.3 cm/s for participants who maintained normal, overweight, and obese weight status, respectively, across 25 years ( $p < .01$ ). Increasing BMI over 25 years was associated with poorer late-life gait speeds; a 1%/year BMI increase for a participant with a baseline BMI of 22.5 (final BMI 28.5) was associated with a 4.6-cm/s (95% confidence interval: −7.0, −1.8) slower late-life gait speed than a participant who maintained a baseline BMI of 22.5.

**Conclusion:** Being overweight in older age was not protective of mobility function. Maintaining a normal BMI in mid- and late-life may help preserve late-life mobility.

**Keywords:** Mobility—Overweight—Longitudinal

Poor mobility leads to disability, institutionalization, increased health care expenditures, and mortality in older adults  $(1-5)$ . Obesity is a modifiable risk factor and therefore a feasible target for interventions. Growing numbers of overweight and obese persons combined with growing numbers of older adults in the population could result in dramatic increases in mobility and functionally impaired older persons [\(6](#page-6-1)). Recognizing and intervening upon early, modifiable contributors to disability could substantially reduce the associated public health burden.

<span id="page-0-2"></span>However, the relationship between obesity and mobility in older adults is complex. Poor mobility and functional status have been associated with low body mass index (BMI) and with weight loss in older adults [\(7–10\)](#page-6-2), and also with higher mid-life adiposity [\(11–16](#page-6-3)). It has been suggested that overweight is potentially protective in older adults ([17\)](#page-6-4). Clarity regarding the effects of body weight on mobility in older adults is needed to identify at-risk individuals and to develop interventions targeting risk factors, for example, excess

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Gerontological Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

weight, weight loss, or underlying conditions. An older adult with a normal BMI could be at risk for functional impairment if underlying conditions are contributing to unintentional weight loss, whereas another with the same BMI that has been maintained across the life span could have excellent function and minimal risk. Thus, failure to consider effects of historical changes in adiposity in addition to current weight may result in inaccurate risk assessments of adiposity on functional outcomes.

Furthermore, despite a higher prevalence of physical disability and mobility impairments in blacks compared with whites ([18\)](#page-6-5) and evidence that obesity exposures may differentially affect function in whites and non-whites [\(19](#page-6-6)), most studies of mobility lack substantial representation by non-white populations [\(15–17](#page-6-7)[,20](#page-6-8),[21](#page-6-9)). This study examined the relationship of mid-life BMI and changes in BMI from mid- to late-life with late-life gait speed in a large, biracial prospective cohort study.

### **Methods**

#### Population

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study is a longitudinal study of cardiovascular disease in community-dwelling, middle-aged adults (baseline: 1987–1989, *n* = 15,792, 45–64 years) selected through probability sampling from four U.S. communities: Forsyth County, NC; Jackson, MS (exclusively black participants); Minneapolis, MN; and Washington County, MD. The cohort underwent four triennial examinations and a fifth examination in 2011–2013 (Visit 5,  $n = 6,538$ ). Due to small numbers, blacks at MN and MD ( $n = 24$ ) and non-black, non-white participants ( $n = 48$ ) were excluded. Another 25 did not have baseline BMI data, leaving 15,695 with Visit 1 BMI data, of whom 6,229 had Visit 5 gait speed measured. All participants provided informed consent, and institutional review boards of each field center approved protocols.

#### Gait Speed Assessment

At Visit 5, gait speed (cm/s), the preferred measure of mobility and a crucial vital sign of health in older adults [\(1,](#page-6-0)[22\)](#page-6-10), was measured using a standardized protocol [\(2,](#page-6-11)[3](#page-6-12)) across sites. Participants were timed walking 4 m at their usual pace. The faster of the two trials was used. Walking aids were allowed if participants felt unsafe without them. A 10-cm/s slower gait speed is associated with a 12% higher mortality in older adults  $(5)$  $(5)$  $(5)$ , and  $4-5$  cm/s is considered a meaningful change [\(23\)](#page-6-14).

#### Obesity Assessment

Height to the nearest centimeter, and weight, using a beam balance scale, was measured with participants wearing light clothes and no shoes. BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was classified using clinical cutpoints:  $\langle 25 = \text{Normal}$ ; 25 to  $\langle 30 = \text{Overall}$ ; and  $\langle 230 = \text{Obese}$ .

#### Covariates

Potential confounders included self-reported age, race/ethnicity, sex, education (<high school, high school graduate, or ≥college), smoking status, and alcohol use. Variables were constructed to define race-site and antihypertensive medication use, diabetes, coronary heart disease (CHD), and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Diabetes was defined as self-reported use of hypoglycemic medications or fasting glucose ≥140 mg/dL. CHD was defined as self-report of myocardial infarction, cardiac bypass surgery or angioplasty, and adjudication of clinical findings ([24\)](#page-6-15) based on electrocardiograms, annual surveillance, medical records, annual telephone follow-up, and death certificates.

Fisher's exact and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for categorical and continuous characteristic bivariate associations with BMI categories at Visit 5.

#### **Cross-temporal associations of BMI with gait**

Associations of gait speed with BMI categories at each visit were examined. Nonlinear relationships with continuous BMI at each visit were modeled using piecewise linear splines with knots at 25 and 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; coefficients represent the difference in gait speed per 5-unit increase in BMI within a BMI category. Models were adjusted for age, sex, race-site, education, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, diabetes, antihypertensive medications, smoking, alcohol use, and CHD; covariate sets were updated at each visit.

#### **Longitudinal BMI trajectory associations with gait**

Up to five BMI measures across 25 years were available for each participant [\(Figure 1\)](#page-1-0). A two-phase analytic framework was used with linear mixed models for longitudinal BMI trajectories in Phase 1; resulting subject-specific empirical-Bayes estimates were associated with univariate gait speed using linear regression models in Phase 2. Three BMI trajectory effects were identified: (i) baseline BMI, (ii) 0–10 year %BMI change (Visit 1 to Visit 4), and (iii) 10–25 year %BMI change (Visit 4 to Visit 5). BMI change groups were defined using a 5% window compared with the previous BMI. A 5% change in BMI approximates a clinically important 5% weight change in older adults  $(25)$ , and  $\pm 2.5$ % approximates the  $\pm 3\%$  BMI definition of weight maintenance ([26](#page-6-17)). Longitudinal BMI trajectory groups were defined using the baseline BMI group, 0- to 10-year BMI change (maintained/increased/decreased) and 10- to 25-year BMI change (maintained/increased/decreased), resulting in 27 (3  $\times$  3  $\times$  3) trajectory groups. Linear models were used to assess associations of BMI trajectory groups with gait speed. Additionally, nonlinear relationships with continuous BMI trajectories were modeled using three-way interactions of fractional polynomial functions of the primary BMI effects (Baseline BMI, 0- to 10-year %-change, 10- to 25-year %-change), using identical adjustment variables in all models. (See additional details and sensitivity analyses with visit-specific inverse proportional weights to account for deaths and dropouts, full joint modeling and bootstrap approaches in Supplementary Material.)

#### **Results**

Obese Visit 5 participants tended to be younger, had poorer health profiles, and were more likely to report black race and lower education compared with those with normal BMI [\(Table 1](#page-2-0)). Exceptions



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Visit 1 histogram of body mass index (BMI) (left) and distribution of BMI at each visit. The dashed line is the mean BMI across the study period. Solid lines depict four exemplary individual BMI trajectories from Visit 1 to Visit 5.



#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** Visit 5 Population Characteristics by Visit 5 Body Mass Index Category

*Note:* BMI = body mass index.

were higher total and LDL cholesterol in the normal BMI group, although more obese participants used statin medications. Gait speed was good  $(\geq 100 \text{ cm/s})$   $(22)$  $(22)$  in 38% and poor  $( $60 \text{ cm/s}$ )$  in 7% of the participants (Supplementary Table 1). Those with slower gait speeds were older, had lower education, and were more likely to be women and of black race. Compared with participants who died before Visit 5, Visit 5 participants were younger at the baseline visit, had lower BMI and blood pressure, were more likely to be white, and were less likely to have diabetes or hypertension (Supplementary Table 1).

# Cross-Temporal Relationships of BMI and Late-Life Gait Speed

Higher mid-life BMI was associated with slower late-life gait speeds, even at the earliest visit (approximately 25 years earlier) [\(Table 2](#page-3-0)). Compared with participants with normal baseline BMI, gait speed was 12 cm/s slower in the baseline obese group (94.3 vs 82.0 cm/s, difference = −12.3 [95% CI: −14.1, −10.5]) and nearly 5 cm/s slower among the overweight group (94.3 vs 89.6 cm/s, difference = −4.7 [95% CI: −6.1, −3.3]). Differences in gait speeds across Visit 5 BMI categories were attenuated but remained statistically significant for the overweight (β = -2.7 cm/s [95% CI: -4.0, -1.4]) and obese (β = -9.8 cm/s [95% CI: –11.2, –8.3]) groups compared with those with normal BMI.

Nonlinear cross-sectional associations of continuous BMI with gait speed were most apparent at Visit 5 (Supplementary Figure 1) and are reported as the slopes in [Table 2](#page-3-0) from linear spline models at each visit. Gait speed in late-life was approximately 5 cm/s slower per 5-unit increase in BMI among those with normal (β =  $-5.4$  [95% CI:  $-8.1$ , −2.8]) and overweight BMI ( $\beta$  = −4.6 [95% CI: −6.7, −2.5]) at baseline (mid-life), and nearly 7 cm/s slower among baseline obese participants ( $\beta$  = −6.9 [95% CI: −8.5, −5.2]). Late-life gait speed was also negatively associated with higher late-life BMI in the overweight BMI and obese BMI groups. The association of gait speed with late-life BMI (Visit 5) within the normal BMI group was markedly attenuated and no longer clinically or statistically significant ( $\beta$  = −0.7 [95% CI: −1.6, 3.0]).

# Relationships of BMI Trajectories From Mid-Life With Late-Life Gait Speed

BMI distributions at each visit, the mean population BMI trajectory, and four individual trajectories are illustrated in [Figure 1.](#page-1-0) Most BMI changes were within  $\pm 15\%$  and were primarily increases (Supplementary Figure 2). Participants whose BMI increased in Years 0–10 and again in Years 10–25 included 49% of those with normal baseline BMI, 45% of baseline overweight participants, and 37% with baseline obese BMI. [Table 3](#page-4-0) depicts expected gait speeds for the longitudinal BMI trajectory classes, illustrating the impact of mid-life BMI on late-life gait speed. The impacts of baseline BMI and trajectories of BMI on gait speed are further illustrated in [Figure 2](#page-5-0). Trajectories over Years 0–10 are represented by colored lines representing specific percent changes in BMI. BMI changes in Years 10–25 are shown on the x-axis. Both maintaining higher BMI and increasing BMI were associated with poorer gait speed compared with maintaining BMI, especially a normal BMI. For example, compared with maintaining a BMI of 22.5 across all years, the same baseline BMI with increases of 10% in Years 0–10 and another 10% in Years 10–25 was associated with slower late-life



smoking, drinking status, and coronary heart disease.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>smoking, drinking status, and coronary heart disease.

Table 2. Adjusted Cross-temporal Relationships of Body Mass Index at Each Visit with Visit 5 Gait Speed **Table 2.** Adjusted Cross-temporal Relationships of Body Mass Index at Each Visit with Visit 5 Gait Speed

Gait Speed (cm/s) at Visit 5 (2011–2013)

Gait Speed (cm/s) at Visit 5 (2011-2013)

25-Year BMI Trajectory Group				Visit 5 Gait Speed	<b>Adjusted Differences</b>		
Group	Baseline BMI	$0-10$ Years	$10-25$ Years		Estimate	95% CI	$p$ Value
$\mathbf{1}$	"Normal" < $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ $n = 5,187(33\%)$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	90.0	$-6.93$	$-15.49, 1.62$	.112
2			Maintain	93.0	$-3.89$	$-14.33, 6.54$	.465
3			Gain > $2.5\%$	94.4	$-2.46$	$-11.19, 6.27$	.581
$\overline{a}$		Maintain	$Loss > 2.5\%$	95.1	$-1.82$	$-7.58, 3.93$	.535
5			Maintain	96.9		Reference	
6			Gain > $2.5\%$	95.2	$-1.74$	$-7.55, 4.08$	.559
7		Gain > $2.5\%$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	93.5	$-3.38$	$-8.51, 1.76$	.197
8			Maintain	94.6	$-2.35$	$-7.52, 2.81$	.372
9			Gain > $2.5\%$	94.1	$-2.83$	$-7.80, 2.13$	.263
10	"Overweight" 25-30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> $n = 6,172(39\%)$	Loss > 2.5%	$Loss > 2.5\%$	88.4	$-8.49$	$-16.44, -0.53$	.037
11			Maintain	92.9	$-3.99$	$-11.31, 3.33$	.285
12			Gain > $2.5\%$	88.2	$-8.67$	$-17.09, -0.26$	.043
13		Maintain	$Loss > 2.5\%$	89.7	$-7.19$	$-12.93, -1.45$	.014
14			Maintain	88.5	$-8.44$	$-14.33, -2.54$	.005
15			Gain > $2.5\%$	89.5	$-7.44$	$-13.06, -1.82$	.009
16		Gain > $2.5\%$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	90.6	$-6.32$	$-11.32, -1.32$	.013
17			Maintain	89.2	$-7.73$	$-12.79, -2.68$	.003
18			Gain > $2.5\%$	89.2	$-7.66$	$-12.59, -2.73$	.002
19	"Obese" $\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> $n = 4,336(28%)$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	83.3	$-13.62$	$-21.10, -6.14$	$-.001$
20			Maintain	90.6	$-6.32$	$-14.85, 2.20$	.146
21			Gain > $2.5\%$	80.8	$-16.12$	$-27.92, -4.32$	.007
22		Maintain	$Loss > 2.5\%$	82.5	$-14.43$	$-20.49, -8.37$	$-.001$
2.3			Maintain	81.4	$-15.53$	$-22.66, -8.40$	$-.001$
24			Gain > $2.5\%$	82.6	$-14.27$	$-21.42, -7.12$	$-.001$
25		Gain > $2.5\%$	$Loss > 2.5\%$	81.5	$-15.36$	$-20.68, -10.05$	$-.001$
26			Maintain	83.4	$-13.47$	$-19.08, -7.86$	$-.001$
27			Gain > $2.5\%$	80.6	$-16.26$	$-21.77, -10.74$	$-.001$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 3.** Gait Speed Estimates for BMI and Difference in Gait Speed by 25-Year Trajectory Groups Compared With the Group that Maintained Normal BMI

*Note:* BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval. Values in bold represent participants who maintained a BMI within 2.5% of the previous BMI.

gait speeds (final BMI = 22.5 vs 27.2: 96.8 vs 94.9 cm/s, *p* < .0001) [\(Figure 2A\)](#page-5-0). The difference in gait speed was greater and more clinically meaningful comparing a maintained BMI of 22.5 to maintaining a BMI of 27.5 (96.8 vs 92.2 cm/s, *p* < .0001) [\(Figure 2B](#page-5-0)). Maintaining an obese BMI, for example, 32.5 across all Years 0–25 [\(Figure 2C](#page-5-0)) was associated with a 10.8-cm/s difference in gait speed (96.8 vs 86.0 cm/s,  $p < .0001$ ). BMI increases were associated with additive effects on gait speed; maintaining a BMI of 27.5 across all years was associated with a nearly 5-cm/s faster gait speed compared with a mid-life BMI of 27.5 with a 10% increase in Years 0–10 and 10% increase in Years 10–25, that is, a late-life BMI of 33.2 (92.2 vs 87.4 cm/s, *p* < .0001).

We examined potential lasting effects of mid-life obesity, independent of subsequent weight loss, using conservative weight loss percentages. Compared with maintaining a BMI of 22.5, a participant with a mid-life BMI of 27.5 and a 10% decrease during Years 0–10 followed by maintenance (BMI = 24.6) in Years 10–25 had slower gait speeds (96.8 vs 91.2 cm/s, p = .03). A similar pattern of greater magnitude was observed for baseline obese participants ( $BMI = 32.5$ ) who experienced a 10% decrease in BMI in Years 0–10 followed by maintenance of BMI in Years 10–25 (96.8 vs 83.7 cm/s, *p* < .0001). Comparing maintenance of obese BMI with temporary increases in BMI followed by decreases suggested modest, lasting effects of BMI increases on late-life gait speed; for example, gait speeds were better among those maintaining a BMI of 32.5 compared with those with the same baseline BMI and 10% increases in Years 0–10 then 10% decreases in Years 10–25, despite having the same late-life BMI (86.0 vs 83.3 cm/s, *p* = .02). Similar effects were seen comparing maintenance of an overweight BMI of 27.5 to a baseline BMI of 27.5 followed by a 10% increase then 10% decrease in BMI (92.2 vs 89.0 cm/s, *p* = .006).

Small numbers of participants experienced BMI decreases, particularly in Years 0–10, limiting precision of estimates for those with 10% BMI decreases in Years 0–10 ([Figure 2](#page-5-0) and Supplementary Figure 2). Decreases in late-life BMI (Years 10–25) were more common, but were not generally associated with better gait speed. For example, a 10% BMI decrease in late-life for a participant who maintained a baseline BMI of 27.5 through Years 0–10 was associated with statistically but not clinically worse gait speed than a participant who maintained a BMI of 27.5 across all years (90.0 vs 92.2 cm/s,  $p = .002$ ). Interactions between adiposity and race or sex were not strongly supported; there was less support among black men which may have been due to small numbers and lack of power.

Sensitivity analyses excluding underweight participants (BMI < 18.5: Visit 1: *n* = 141; Visit 2, *n* = 131; Visit 3, *n* = 106; Visit 4, *n* = 90; and Visit  $5$ ,  $n = 70$ ) yielded similar estimates with the same substantive interpretation (Supplementary Table 2). Adjudicated stroke data were not available at Visit 1 or 5; sensitivity analyses adjusting for stroke at Visits 2–4 also showed similar or larger effect sizes and did not change the substantive interpretation (Supplementary Table 3).

## **Discussion**

These findings dispute suggestions that being overweight in older age is protective of mobility. In this study, an older adult who maintained a normal BMI from mid-life would have a nearly 5-cm/s faster gait speed than an older adult who maintained an overweight BMI and nearly 11 cm/s



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Model estimates of Visit 5 gait speeds (y-axis) for participants with different baseline body mass index (BMI) values, by BMI changes in Years 0–10 and BMI changes in Years 10–25. Years 10–25 BMI changes (%) are shown on the x-axis. Colored lines represent BMI changes (%) in Years 0–10: 10% decrease (black line (blue line online)), 0% change (gray line (green line online)), 10% increase (light gray line (orange line online)), and 15% increase (red line). (**A**) Baseline BMI = 22.5 kg/m2 , (**B**) Baseline BMI = 27.5 kg/ m<sup>2</sup>, (**C**) Baseline BMI = 32.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and (**D**) Baseline BMI = 37.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

faster than an older adult who maintained an obese BMI. The effects of adiposity were clinically meaningful and were greater with higher and longer periods of exposures, and mid-life exposures appeared to have lasting adverse effects on mobility that were exacerbated by weight gain.

Weight loss, low adiposity, and even normal adiposity are cited risk factors for poor functional status and mortality in older adults [\(17](#page-6-4)), contributing to speculation that overweight is protective in older age [\(17](#page-6-4)[,27](#page-6-18)). Although the current findings did not support associations of weight loss in late-life with better mobility, weight loss in observational studies combines effects of intentional and unintentional weight loss [\(28](#page-6-19)) despite the two having differential associations with mortality ([29](#page-6-20)). Unintentional weight loss can occur due to underlying disease such as cancer, heart and lung disease, and dementia which are associated with poor functional outcomes and mortality ([30,](#page-6-21)[31\)](#page-6-22). Intentional weight loss through lifestyle interventions in older adults leads to better physical function measures [\(32](#page-6-23)). Our finding of statistically different and slower gait speeds associated with late-life BMI decreases is not clinically meaningful and likely represents the aggregate of negative effects of unintentional with beneficial effects of intentional weight loss.

Our findings expand upon studies that relied on recall of mid-life weights ([12–16](#page-6-24)[,33\)](#page-6-25) by using repeated anthropometric measurements across 25 years, reflecting the mid- to late-life transition, as well as objective mobility measures that many prior studies lacked. Objective measures improve obesity and physical function classification due to underreporting of weight, overreporting height [\(34\)](#page-6-26), and overestimation of function [\(35\)](#page-6-27). Our findings align with two studies that incorporated historical adiposity measures; one reported a deleterious relationship of measured mid-life adiposity and late-life physical function [\(16\)](#page-6-28) and the other reported that sustained overweight at two time points 36 years apart in men was associated with risk for disability ([36](#page-6-29)). Men who

maintained normal weight or gained weight had similar disability, frailty, and mortality outcomes. Together these and our study support a need for early, ongoing weight management to help preserve late-life function.

Self-reported weight loss from mid-life to older age among persons of normal weight in mid-life has been associated with increased risk for disability in late-life, although not after accounting for comorbidities [\(8\)](#page-6-30). Weight loss in a prior ARIC study using self-recalled weights was also not associated with self-reported mid-life functional status [\(13](#page-6-31)). In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, mid-life BMI more than 27 was associated with self-reported mobility disability in white women, but weight loss was only associated with mobility disability among the oldest (mean age 80 years) ([9](#page-6-32)). Inconsistencies of our findings with prior studies could be explained by the younger age of the ARIC cohort in the earlier study and more sensitive measures of weight and mobility in the current study [\(3,](#page-6-12)[34,](#page-6-26)[35](#page-6-27)).

Proposed mechanisms whereby adiposity could affect mobility include mechanical effects on joints, intermuscular fatty infiltration [\(24](#page-6-15)), weakness ([21\)](#page-6-9), and associated conditions such as diabetes [\(37](#page-6-33)). Excess adipose also results in dysregulated adipocytes, metabolic derangements, and inflammation that could affect nerves and muscles ([38](#page-6-34)). By contributing to diabetes and hypertension, obesity could lead to ischemic brain changes that impair central control of mobility. However, our findings were robust to adjustments for diabetes and other comorbidities and when participants with a cancer diagnosis at Visit 5 were excluded.

Some limitations include a lack of information on arthritis, a probable mediator in the obesity–mobility relationship. Mediators should attenuate relationships but would not diminish the importance of our findings. Unintentional weight loss history was not available. However, weight loss in a large observational study of older adults was most often unintentional ([28\)](#page-6-19), which is associated with risk for adverse outcomes and likely negates beneficial influences of intentional weight loss on mobility. Muscle strength could negate effects of adiposity ([21\)](#page-6-9), and higher than expected muscle mass could misclassify obesity using BMI. We conducted additional analyses that adjusted for grip strength, which was only available at Visit 5, with similar results. Lastly, a single mobility assessment has been conducted in ARIC, limiting analyses to persons who returned for the exam. Those who did not return had higher baseline BMI, so current findings likely underestimate relationships.

Maintaining a normal BMI from mid- to late-life is associated with better mobility in older age, challenging the view that being overweight in old age has protective influences on mobility. Our findings make clear that assessment of adiposity risk in older age should incorporate prior weight histories and that prevention of mobility impairments in older adults may be most effective if implemented and maintained in mid-life, and perhaps earlier.

# **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at *The Journals of Gerontology, Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* online.

#### **Funding**

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study is carried out as a collaborative study supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute contracts (HHSN268201100005C, HSN268201100006C, HHSN268201100007C, HHSN268201100008C, HHSN268201100009C, HHSN268201100010C, HHSN268201100011C, and HHSN268201100012C).

#### **Acknowledgment**

The authors thank the staff and participants of the ARIC study for their important contributions.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Cummings SR, Studenski S, Ferrucci L. A diagnosis of dismobility-giving mobility clinical visibility: a mobility working group recommendation. *JAMA*. 2014;311:2061–2062. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.3033
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>2. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, Salive ME, Wallace RB. Lowerextremity function in persons over the age of 70 years as a predictor of subsequent disability. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;332:556–562.
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>3. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with selfreported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol*. 1994;49:M85–M94.
- 4. Kehusmaa S, Autti-Ramo I, Helenius H, Hinkka K, Valaste M, Rissanen P. Factors associated with the utilization and costs of health and social services in frail elderly patients. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2012;12:204. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-12-204
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>5. Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, et al. Gait speed and survival in older adults. *JAMA*. 2011;305:50–58. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.1923
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>6. Alley DE, Chang VW. The changing relationship of obesity and disability, 1988-2004. *JAMA*. 2007;298:2020–2027. doi:10.1001/jama.298.17.2020
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>7. Bannerman E, Miller MD, Daniels LA, et al. Anthropometric indices predict physical function and mobility in older Australians: the Australian Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5:655–662. doi:10.1079/PHN2002336
- <span id="page-6-30"></span>8. Busetto L, Romanato G, Zambon S, et al. The effects of weight changes after middle age on the rate of disability in an elderly population sample. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009;57:1015–1021. doi:10.1111/j.1532- 5415.2009.02273.x
- <span id="page-6-32"></span>9. Launer LJ, Harris T, Rumpel C, Madans J. Body mass index, weight change, and risk of mobility disability in middle-aged and older women. The epidemiologic follow-up study of NHANES I. *JAMA*. 1994;271:1093–1098.
- 10. Ritchie CS, Locher JL, Roth DL, McVie T, Sawyer P, Allman R. Unintentional weight loss predicts decline in activities of daily living function and life-space mobility over 4 years among community-dwelling older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2008;63:67–75.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>11. Angleman SB, Harris TB, Melzer D. The role of waist circumference in predicting disability in periretirement age adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2006;30:364–373. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803130
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>12. Houston DK, Ding J, Nicklas BJ, et al. Overweight and obesity over the adult life course and incident mobility limitation in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;169:927–936. doi:10.1093/aje/kwp007
- <span id="page-6-31"></span>13. Houston DK, Stevens J, Cai J, Morey MC. Role of weight history on functional limitations and disability in late adulthood: the ARIC study. *Obes Res*. 2005;13:1793–1802. doi:10.1038/oby.2005.218
- 14. Peterson MD, Al Snih S, Stoddard J, Shekar A, Hurvitz EA. Obesity misclassification and the metabolic syndrome in adults with functional mobility impairments: Nutrition Examination Survey 2003-2006. *Prev Med*. 2014;60:71–76. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.12.014
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>15. Stenholm S, Rantanen T, Alanen E, Reunanen A, Sainio P, Koskinen S. Obesity history as a predictor of walking limitation at old age. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2007;15:929–938. doi:10.1038/oby.2007.583
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>16. Stenholm S, Sainio P, Rantanen T, et al. High body mass index and physical impairments as predictors of walking limitation 22 years later in adult Finns. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2007;62:859–865.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>17. Marsh AP, Rejeski WJ, Espeland MA, et al. Muscle strength and BMI as predictors of major mobility disability in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders pilot (LIFE-P). *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2011;66:1376–1383. doi:10.1093/gerona/glr158
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>18. Mendes de Leon CF, Barnes LL, Bienias JL, Skarupski KA, Evans DA. Racial disparities in disability: recent evidence from self-reported and per-

formance-based disability measures in a population-based study of older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2005;60:S263–S271.

- <span id="page-6-6"></span>19. Xu B, Houston DK, Gropper SS, Zizza CA. Race/Ethnicity differences in the relationship between obesity and gait speed among older Americans. *J Nutr Elder*. 2009;28:372–385. doi:10.1080/01639360903393515
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>20. Miller DK, Wolinsky FD, Andresen EM, Malmstrom TK, Miller JP. Adverse outcomes and correlates of change in the Short Physical Performance Battery over 36 months in the African American health project. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2008;63:487–494.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>21. Stenholm S, Alley D, Bandinelli S, et al. The effect of obesity combined with low muscle strength on decline in mobility in older persons: results from the InCHIANTI study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009;33:635–644. doi:10.1038/ ijo.2009.62
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>22. Fritz S, Lusardi M. White paper: "walking speed: the sixth vital sign". *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2009;32:46–49.
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>23. Perera S, Mody SH, Woodman RC, Studenski SA. Meaningful change and responsiveness in common physical performance measures in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2006;54:743–749. doi:10.1111/j.1532- 5415.2006.00701.x
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>24. Beavers KM, Beavers DP, Houston DK, et al. Associations between body composition and gait-speed decline: results from the Health, Aging, and Body Composition study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2013;97:552–560. doi:10.3945/ ajcn.112.047860
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>25. Wallace JI, Schwartz RS. Epidemiology of weight loss in humans with special reference to wasting in the elderly. *Int J Cardiol*. 2002;85:15–21.
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>26. Stevens J, Truesdale KP, McClain JE, Cai J. The definition of weight maintenance. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2006;30:391–399. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803175
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>27. Decaria JE, Sharp C, Petrella RJ. Scoping review report: obesity in older adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2012;36:1141–1150. doi:10.1038/ijo.2012.29
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>28. Lee JS, Kritchevsky SB, Harris TB, Tylavsky F, Rubin SM, Newman AB. Short-term weight changes in community-dwelling older adults: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Weight Change Substudy. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2005;82:644–650.
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>29. Meltzer AA, Everhart JE. Unintentional weight loss in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1995;142:1039–1046.
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>30. Cheng Y, Borrego ME, Frost FJ, Petersen H, Raisch DW. Predictors for mortality in hospitalized patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *SpringerPlus*. 2014;3:359. doi:10.1186/2193-1801-3-359
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>31. Metalidis C, Knockaert DC, Bobbaers H, Vanderschueren S. Involuntary weight loss. Does a negative baseline evaluation provide adequate reassurance? *Eur J Intern Med*. 2008;19:345–349. doi:10.1016/j. eiim.2007.09.019
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>32. Anton SD, Manini TM, Milsom VA, et al. Effects of a weight loss plus exercise program on physical function in overweight, older women: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2011;6:141–149. doi:10.2147/ CIA.S17001
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>33. Beavers KM, Hsu FC, Houston DK, et al. The role of metabolic syndrome, adiposity, and inflammation in physical performance in the Health ABC Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2013;68:617–623. doi:10.1093/ gerona/gls213
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>34. Merrill RM, Richardson JS. Validity of self-reported height, weight, and body mass index: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001-2006. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2009;6:A121.
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>35. Simonsick EM, Newman AB, Visser M, et al. Mobility limitation in selfdescribed well-functioning older adults: importance of endurance walk testing. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2008;63:841–847.
- <span id="page-6-29"></span>36. Strandberg TE, Stenholm S, Strandberg AY, Salomaa VV, Pitkälä KH, Tilvis RS. The "obesity paradox," frailty, disability, and mortality in older men: a prospective, longitudinal cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2013;178:1452–1460. doi:10.1093/aje/kwt157
- <span id="page-6-33"></span>37. Pinsky JL, Branch LG, Jette AM, et al. Framingham Disability Study: relationship of disability to cardiovascular risk factors among persons free of diagnosed cardiovascular disease. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1985;122:644–656.
- <span id="page-6-34"></span>38. Greenberg AS, Obin MS. Obesity and the role of adipose tissue in inflammation and metabolism. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;83:S461–S465.