Bioimage informatics

SMLocalizer, a GPU accelerated ImageJ plugin for single molecule localization microscopy

Kristoffer Bernhem¹ and Hjalmar Brismar^{1,2,*}

¹Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Applied Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden and ²Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Associate Editor: Robert Murphy

Received on May 12, 2017; revised on August 16, 2017; editorial decision on August 31, 2017; accepted on September 1, 2017

Abstract

Summary: SMLocalizer combines the availability of ImageJ with the power of GPU processing for fast and accurate analysis of single molecule localization microscopy data. Analysis of 2D and 3D data in multiple channels is supported.

Availability and implementation: Plugin freely available for Fiji and ImageJ2.0 through https://sour ceforge.net/projects/smlocalizer/. Plugin also available for continuous updates through ImageJ update system, add http://sites.imagej.net/Cellular-Biophysics-KTH/ as update site in ImageJ. Java and CUDA source code freely available on the web at https://github.com/KristofferBernhem/ SMlocalizer.

Contact: brismar@kth.se

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

Single molecule localization microscopy (SMLM) gives sub diffraction limited 2D (Betzig et al., 2006; Folling et al., 2008; Heilemann et al., 2009; Hess et al., 2006; Rust et al., 2006) and 3D (Baddeley et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2008; Juette et al., 2008; Pavani et al., 2009) information. This information can be presented in the form of localization tables with coordinates and fit parameters for all identified molecules and rendered as images. The key concept in SMLM is the ability to control the state of fluorescent molecules between an ON and an OFF state in order to isolate and localize the individual fluorophore molecules, as implemented in e.g. PALM (Betzig et al., 2006), fPALM (Hess et al., 2006), STORM (Rust et al., 2006), dSTORM (Heilemann et al., 2009), GSDIM (Folling et al., 2008). 3D localization can be achieved by several optical methods (Baddeley et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2008; Juette et al., 2008; Pavani et al., 2009), including controlled aberrations in the microscope beam path. All 2D methods can be analyzed using the same basic algorithms, special considerations are required for analysis of the different 3D SMLM modalities. The precision in all SMLM methods is dependent on sample preparation (Whelan and Bell, 2015), imaging conditions, optical setup (Betzig et al., 2006; Heilemann et al., 2009; Hess et al., 2006; Rust *et al.*, 2006) as well as the parameters used for computational analysis (Sage *et al.*, 2015). Incorrect or incomplete parameters for the detection algorithms result in incorrect localizations and possibly subsequent erroneous conclusions.

2 Software description

Here we present SMLocalizer, a ImageJ2 (Schindelin *et al.*, 2015) plugin for SMLM image processing that is developed based on a combination of established SMLM algorithms. SMLocalizer has been developed in an effort to reduce the complexity in SMLM processing. A concern with many of the available software for SMLM processing is the dependency on sophisticated input parameter selections, often accompanied with a steep learning curve for the novice user. In SMLocalizer we have reduced this complexity by a development of iterative algorithms and reduce in most applications the requirements for user input to only two basic imaging parameters, the pixel size and the gain of the camera. For the advanced user, it is possible to manually modify all parameters in the user interface. The current version, 2.x, supports 3D SMLM through PRILM, double helix, astigmatism and biplane modalities.

137

[©] The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2.1 Availability and reuse potential

SMLocalizer will run on any system capable of running ImageJ2 or Fiji (Schindelin *et al.*, 2012), see imagej.net for details on system requirements. SMLocalizer require java 8 runtime or later. GPU computing requires an NVIDIA geforce GTX 970 or later version. Older cards may work if sufficient memory is available.

By using the ImageJ interface for data input and output, SMLocalizer is independent on the source file format and compatible with all commercial and custom built SMLM systems currently available.

A detailed user manual detailing how to operate the software as well as details concerning algorithms is available online, see Supplementary Material and https://sourceforge.net/projects/smlocal izer/. The plugin is available for continuous updates through ImageJs update system, add http://sites.imagej.net/Cellular-Biophysics-KTH/ as an update site in ImageJ/Fiji to keep the plugin up to date.

2.2 Comparison with other SMLM software

During development, all functions were evaluated against groundtruth based synthetic datasets. A final comparison of SMLocalizer against QuickPALM (Henriques *et al.*, 2010) and ThunderSTORM (Ovesný *et al.*, 2014) was performed using five ground-truth datasets with random, known, activation of a single particle with different signal to noise ratios (Fig. 1a–c). In summary, SMLocalizer performs as well as other available software but required less user input and performed faster (2–15 fold). A detailed discussion of the comparison and quality control is available in the Supplementary Material.

2.3 Architecture

A schematic representation of the processing steps of SMLocalizer can be found in Figure 1d. SMLocalizer uses a graphical interface for all input from the user. The first step in processing SMLM data through SMLocalizer is correction of background. By removal of the time median of each pixel, static elements are removed and only blinking events retained (Hoogendoorn *et al.*, 2014). Shot-noise is removed



Fig. 1. Quality control and comparison, architecture and 3D example. Comparison of SMLocalizer, QuickPALM and ThunderSTORM using five datasets with increasing peak signal to peak noise ratio (S/N). (a) Mean distance from ground truth. Center of bars represent the mean distance and height of bars represent standard deviation of the sample. (b) False positive localizations. (c) Total missed true localizations. (d) The basic analysis workflow of SMLocalizer. (e) Widefield image of U2OS cells stained for mitochondrial Mitofilin with an Alexa-Fluor647 secondary antibody. Scale bar is 1 μ m. (f–h) ZY (f), XY (g) and XZ (h) projection of SMLocalizer analyzed and rendered results of 3 D [PRILM (Baddeley *et al.*, 2011)] imaging of the sample in e). Image is rendered with intensity representing binned localization densities that has subsequently been filtered using a 10 nm σ Gaussian. Scale bars are 250 nm (see Supplementary Methods)

from the static element corrected images using a bicubic b-spline kernel (Unser, 1999). Background corrected image regions (500 nm wide for 2D) are fitted against a 2D Gaussian by minimizing the least square errors of fit generating a raw localization table. For 3D data the fits are mapped against a calibrated lookup table to yield 3D localizations. Optional drift correction is performed through autocorrelation and channels are aligned by maximizing channel correlation.

After processing all data is available as a standard result table in ImageJ that can be exported for further analysis in other software. In a final step images can be rendered and visualized directly in ImageJ and be subjected to all image processing functions available in ImageJ.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the community on stackoverflow.com and jcuda community on byte-welt.net for valuable assistance and acknowledge the National Microscopy Infrastructure, NMI (VR-RFI 2016-00968).

Funding

This work has been supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR 2015-04198).

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

References

- Baddeley, D. et al. (2011) Three-dimensional sub-100 nm super-resolution imaging of biological samples using a phase ramp in the objective pupil. Nano Res., 4, 589–598.
- Betzig, E. et al. (2006) Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. Science, 313, 1642.
- Folling, J. et al. (2008) Fluorescence nanoscopy by ground-state depletion and single-molecule return. Nat. Methods, 5, 943–945.
- Heilemann, M. et al. (2009) Super-resolution imaging with small organic fluorophores. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 48, 6903–6908.
- Henriques, R. et al. (2010) QuickPALM: 3D real-time photoactivation nanoscopy image processing in ImageJ. Nat. Methods, 7, 339–340.
- Hess,S.T. et al. (2006) Ultra-high resolution imaging by fluorescence photoactivation localization microscopy. Biophys. J., 91, 4258–4272.
- Hoogendoorn, E. *et al.* (2014) The fidelity of stochastic single-molecule super-resolution reconstructions critically depends upon robust background estimation. *Sci. Rep.*, **4**, 3854.
- Huang,B. et al. (2008) Three-dimensional super-resolution imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. Science, 319, 810.
- Juette, M.F. et al. (2008) Three-dimensional sub-100 nm resolution fluorescence microscopy of thick samples. Nat. Methods, 5, 527–529.
- Ovesný, M. *et al.* (2014) ThunderSTORM: a comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-resolution imaging. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2389–2390.
- Pavani,S.R.P. et al. (2009) Three-dimensional, single-molecule fluorescence imaging beyond the diffraction limit by using a double-helix point spread function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 2995–2999.
- Rust, M.J. et al. (2006) Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM). Nat. Methods, 3, 793–796.
- Sage, D. et al. (2015) Quantitative evaluation of software packages for single-molecule localization microscopy. Nat. Methods, 12, 717–724.
- Schindelin, J. et al. (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods, 9, 676–682.
- Schindelin, J. et al. (2015) The ImageJ ecosystem: An open platform for biomedical image analysis. Mol. Reprod. Dev., 82, 518–529.
- Unser, M. (1999) A perfect fit for signal and image processing. *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, 16, 22–38.
- Whelan, D.R. and Bell, T.D.M. (2015) Image artifacts in Single Molecule Localization Microscopy: why optimization of sample preparation protocols matters. Sci. Rep., 5, 7924.