

# **NASA Public Access** Author manuscript

J Geophys Res Atmos. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 April 02.

Published in final edited form as:

J Geophys Res Atmos. 2016 August 27; 121(16): 9849–9861. doi:10.1002/2016JD025331.

# **Observational constraints on glyoxal production from isoprene oxidation and its contribution to organic aerosol over the Southeast United States**

**Jingyi Li**1, **Jingqiu Mao**1,2, **Kyung-Eun Min**3,4,\* , **Rebecca A. Washenfelder**3,4, **Steven S. Brown**3,5, **Jennifer Kaiser**6, **Frank N. Keutsch**7, **Rainer Volkamer**4,5, **Glenn M. Wolfe**8,9, **Thomas F. Hanisco**9, **Ilana B. Pollack**10, **Thomas B. Ryerson**3, **Martin Graus**3,4,†, **Jessica B. Gilman**3,4, **Brian M. Lerner**3,4, **Carsten Warneke**3,4, **Joost A. de Gouw**3,4, **Ann M. Middlebrook**3, **Jin Liao**3,4, **André Welti**3,4,‡, **Barron H. Henderson**11, **V. Faye McNeill**12, **Samuel R. Hall**13, **Kirk Ullmann**13, **Leo J. Donner**2, **Fabien Paulot**1,2, and **Larry W. Horowitz**<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Program in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

<sup>2</sup>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory/National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

<sup>3</sup>Chemical Sciences Division, NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>4</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>7</sup>School of Engineering and Applied Sciences and Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>8</sup> Joint Center for Earth System Technology, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

<sup>9</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Lab, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

<sup>11</sup>Department of Environmental Engineering Sciences, Engineering School of Sustainable Infrastructure and Environment, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA

<sup>13</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Observation and Modeling Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Corresponding author: Jingqiu Mao (Jingqiu.Mao@noaa.gov).

<sup>\*</sup>now at: School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, South Korea †now at: Institute of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>‡</sup>now at: Department of Experimental Aerosol and Cloud Microphysics, Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Leipzig, Germany

# **Abstract**

We use a 0-D photochemical box model and a 3-D global chemistry-climate model, combined with observations from the NOAA Southeast Nexus (SENEX) aircraft campaign, to understand the sources and sinks of glyoxal over the Southeast United States. Box model simulations suggest a large difference in glyoxal production among three isoprene oxidation mechanisms (AM3ST, AM3B, and MCM v3.3.1). These mechanisms are then implemented into a 3-D global chemistryclimate model. Comparison with field observations shows that the average vertical profile of glyoxal is best reproduced by AM3ST with an effective reactive uptake coefficient  $\gamma_{\text{glvx}}$  of 2  $\times$ 10−3, and AM3B without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal. The two mechanisms lead to 0–0.8 μg m  $-3$  secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from glyoxal in the boundary layer of the Southeast U.S. in summer. We consider this to be the lower limit for the contribution of glyoxal to SOA, as other sources of glyoxal other than isoprene are not included in our model. In addition, we find that AM3B shows better agreement on both formaldehyde and the correlation between glyoxal and formaldehyde  $(R<sub>GF</sub> = [GLYX]/[HCHO])$ , resulting from the suppression of  $\delta$ -isoprene peroxy radicals ( $\delta$ -ISOPO<sub>2</sub>). We also find that MCM v3.3.1 may underestimate glyoxal production from isoprene oxidation, in part due to an underestimated yield from the reaction of IEPOX peroxy radicals (IEPOXOO) with  $HO<sub>2</sub>$ . Our work highlights that the gas-phase production of glyoxal represents a large uncertainty in quantifying its contribution to SOA.

# **1 Introduction**

Glyoxal (CHOCHO) is one of the most abundant dicarbonyl compounds in the atmosphere. Its sources include direct emissions from biofuel use and biomass burning, and secondary production from oxidation of various volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [*Fu et al.*, 2008; Hays et al., 2002; Myriokefalitakis et al., 2008]. Glyoxal has a lifetime of about 1-3 hours against photolysis and oxidation by OH at midday [Feierabend et al., 2009; Volkamer et al., 2005; Washenfelder et al., 2011]. It is highly water-soluble, with a Henry's Law constant of  $3.0 - 4.2 \times 10^5$  M atm<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K [*Sander*, 2015]. In aerosol water, the solubility increases rapidly at low salt concentrations (up to  $5.0 \times 10^8$  M atm<sup>-1</sup>) [*Kampf et al.*, 2013; *Waxman et* al., 2015], due to the formation of glyoxal hydrate - sulfate complexes ("salting-in") [Kurtén et al., 2015]. However, this increase is inhibited at high salt concentrations by the kinetic limitation of gas-particle partitioning [*Kampf et al.*, 2013; *Knote et al.*, 2014]. Laboratory and field studies showed that glyoxal readily undergoes heterogeneous uptake to aerosols and cloud droplets to form secondary organic aerosol (SOA) [Carlton et al., 2007; Ervens et al., 2011; Galloway et al., 2009; Galloway et al., 2011b; Liggio et al., 2005a; b; Lim et al., 2005; Volkamer et al., 2007].

Glyoxal can provide important constraints on quantifying VOC emissions and oxidation mechanisms. Observations from aircraft, ground and satellite show that glyoxal is often highly correlated with formaldehyde (HCHO), another product of VOC oxidation [*DiGangi* et al., 2012; Kaiser et al., 2015; MacDonald et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2014; Stavrakou et al., 2009; Vrekoussis et al., 2010]. The ratio of glyoxal to formaldehyde (surface or tropospheric column concentrations),  $R<sub>GF</sub>$ , varies for different biogenic and anthropogenic VOC precursors, so it can be used to quantify the source strength of local VOC emissions [DiGangi et al., 2012; Kaiser et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2014; Vrekoussis et al., 2010]. The

Li et al. Page 3

 $NO<sub>x</sub> (NO<sub>x</sub> = NO + NO<sub>2</sub>)$  dependence of  $R<sub>GF</sub>$ , although it varies greatly for different VOCs and may offer additional information on VOC oxidation [DiGangi et al., 2012; Kaiser et al., 2015; Vrekoussis et al., 2010]. Thus, it is important to evaluate model performance on  $R<sub>GF</sub>$ and its  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence on regional and global scales, providing critical information for present and future satellite validation [Vrekoussis et al., 2010].

Sources and sinks of glyoxal remain largely uncertain [*Ervens et al.*, 2011; *Fu et al.*, 2008; Galloway et al., 2011a; Myriokefalitakis et al., 2008; Stavrakou et al., 2009; Volkamer et al., 2007; Washenfelder et al., 2011]. Production from isoprene oxidation represents a major source of glyoxal on the global scale [Fu et al., 2008]. Chamber experiments suggest a significant yield (up to 3%) of glyoxal from the first generation of isoprene oxidation under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions (500 ppbv NO) [*Galloway et al.*, 2011a; *Volkamer et al.*, 2006]. As we show below, this high yield may not reflect the distribution of β- and δ-isoprene peroxy radicals (ISOPO<sub>2</sub>) as a function of their lifetimes under ambient conditions [*Peeters et al.*, 2014]. Another large uncertainty lies in the heterogeneous loss of glyoxal to aerosols and cloud droplets, which contributes to SOA mass. Laboratory studies indicate that the uptake of glyoxal depends on aerosol and cloud composition [Corrigan et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2002; Kroll et al., 2005; Liggio et al., 2005a; b; Nozière et al., 2009; Volkamer et al., 2009; Waxman et al., 2015], ambient relative humidity (RH) [Corrigan et al., 2008; Hastings et al., 2005; Kampf et al., 2013; Liggio et al., 2005a] and temperature [Gomez et al., 2015]. By assuming irreversible reactive uptake with an uptake coefficient  $\gamma_{\text{glyx}} = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ , Fu et al. [2008] found that this process accounts for 14% of glyoxal loss globally. Volkamer et al. [2007] estimated  $\gamma_{\text{glyx}}$  of 3.7 × 10<sup>-3</sup> in Mexico City, where glyoxal contributes about 15% of ambient SOA. A much lower  $\gamma_{glvx}$  (0–8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for the day and (2 ± 1) × 10<sup>-4</sup> for the night) was derived in Los Angeles [Washenfelder et al., 2011], where kinetic limitations at the high salt concentrations likely reduce the rate of SOA formation [Kampf et al., 2013; Knote et al., 2014].

The Southeast Nexus (SENEX) aircraft campaign, which took place in June–July of 2013, aimed to improve the understanding of the interactions between biogenic and anthropogenic emissions over the Southeast U.S. It provided a detailed characterization of tropospheric photochemistry (gas and aerosol), including ozone,  $NO<sub>y</sub>$  (NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and its atmospheric oxidation products), biogenic VOCs (isoprene, terpene), isoprene oxidation products and organic aerosols [*Warneke et al.*, 2016]. In particular, glyoxal was measured on board the NOAA WP-3D aircraft using a cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy technique [Min et al., 2015], and formaldehyde was measured using laser induced fluorescence technique [Cazorla et al., 2015]. These measurements provide an unprecedented opportunity to examine the sources and sinks of glyoxal in this region, as well as its contribution to SOA mass.

Here we first examine the glyoxal yield from isoprene oxidation in a box model with three chemical mechanisms (AM3ST, AM3B, and the Master Chemical Mechanism v3.3.1 [*Jenkin et al.*, 2015]). We then use field observations from the SENEX field campaign, interpreted with a chemistry-climate model, to understand the sources and sinks of glyoxal over the Southeast U.S. The comparison of the model to high resolution aircraft data for both glyoxal and formaldehyde provides important new constraints on the potential for

glyoxal to form SOA, but also highlights uncertainty in the mechanism for isoprene oxidation, the single largest source of glyoxal in the Southeast U.S.

# **2 Methods**

AM3 is the atmospheric component of the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) coupled model CM3. The dynamical core, physical parameterizations, cloud and precipitation processes, and cloud-aerosol interactions in AM3 are described in detail in Donner et al. [2011]. Chemistry in a previous version of AM3 has been described by *Naik et* al. [2013]. In this work, we nudge the horizontal wind field in the model toward values from the NCEP Global Forecast System (GFS), allowing the model to simulate synoptic conditions corresponding to those sampled during field campaigns [*Lin et al.*, 2012]. We also apply finer vertical grids for convection plumes than the standard AM3 to improve the wet removal of tracers during summer time [*Paulot et al.*, 2015]. The photolysis module has been updated to Fast-JX v7.1 ([ftp://128.200.14.8/public/prather/Fast-J\)](ftp://128.200.14.8/public/prather/Fast-J), to compute the impacts from aerosols and clouds interactively. Dry deposition velocities prescribed in the model reflect rapid dry deposition of oxidized organic compounds [Nguyen et al., 2015].

Biogenic emission of isoprene is computed using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) inventory [*Guenther et al.*, 2006], with a total 15.9 Tg C in North America in June–August of 2013, which is slightly higher than previous estimates of 12.2–14.6 Tg C (June–August of 2006) in the same region  $[Millet et al., 2008]$ . We reduce the isoprene emissions estimated by MEGAN by 20% (to 12.7 Tg C), to be consistent with other estimates of isoprene emission over the Southeast U.S. [Warneke et al., 2010]. We do not consider glyoxal production from oxidation of terpenes and aromatics in this work, as their contribution is relatively small compared to isoprene over the Southeast U.S. [Kaiser et al., 2015]. Inclusion of larger isoprene emissions and other VOC sources would proportionally increase the magnitude of glyoxal sinks derived in the analysis below. Anthropogenic emissions in 2013 follow the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP 8.5) projection [Lamarque et al., 2011]. We applied diurnal variation anthropogenic  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions in North America following *Mao et al.* [2013b]. Anthropogenic  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ emissions in RCP 8.5 are 0.34 Tg N/month over North America, comparable to the estimate from NEI 2011 of 0.29 Tg N/month [Travis et al., 2015]. We reduce these anthropogenic  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions by 25% to 0.26 Tg N/month, to be consistent with recent estimates in this region [Anderson et al., 2014].

#### **2.1 Isoprene chemistry**

The standard isoprene mechanism used in AM3, "AM3ST", is largely based on Mao et al. [2013b] following chamber observations from *Paulot et al.* [2009a; 2009b] and *Crounse et*  $al.$  [2011]. The main features of this mechanism include: (1) substantial yield (7%) of first generation organic nitrates from δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub>, compared to 4.7% from β-ISOPO<sub>2</sub>; (2) detailed assignment of the fate of first- and second-generation organic nitrates; and (3) isomerization of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> using the laboratory-determined rate constants. We further update this chemistry in several aspects. First, we assume a  $25\%$  molar yield of glyoxal from ISOPO<sub>2</sub> isomerization as the first-generation product [*Marais et al.*, 2016]. Second, the reaction of

Li et al. Page 5

ISOPO<sub>2</sub> with HO<sub>2</sub> is updated following *St. Clair et al.* [2016a] to reflect a higher yield of unsaturated hydroxy hydroperoxides (ISOPOOH) (94%). Third, we adopt a new methylvinyl ketone (MVK) oxidation chemistry in which the glycolaldehyde molar yield is increased from 0.53 to 0.72 under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions [*Praske et al.*, 2015]. Fourth, we include fast photolysis of carbonyl organic nitrates [*Müller et al.*, 2014]. Fifth, we adopt a substantially slower ozonolysis rate of isoprene β-hydroxy nitrate (ISOPNB,  $3.7 \times 10^{-19}$  molec<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> [Lee et al., 2014]) than the previous value from Lockwood et al. [2010] (1.06  $\times$  10<sup>-16</sup> molec  $^{-1}$ cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>). Lastly, we update the NO<sub>3</sub>-initiated chemistry of isoprene following MCM v3.2 [Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003]. As illustrated in Figure S1, glyoxal is produced in the first generation of isoprene oxidation, both from the decomposition of alkoxyl radical (DIBOO shown in Figure S1) through the δ-channel under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions [*Peeters and* Nguyen, 2012], and from isomerization of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> under low NO<sub>x</sub> conditions [*Stavrakou et* al., 2010]. Glyoxal is further produced in later generations from oxidation of carbonyl compounds including glycolaldehyde and isoprene epoxydiol (IEPOX).

In this work, we introduce an additional mechanism, "AM3\_beta" (AM3B), to reflect more recent updates to the understanding of isoprene oxidation. Both theoretical and experimental studies indicate that β- and δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> undergo fast interconversion [*Bates et al.*, 2014; Crounse et al., 2011; Peeters et al., 2014]. One important implication is that oxidation products observed in experimental chambers at ~500 ppbv NO may not reflect the products in ambient air where NO is several orders of magnitude lower. This is due to a higher fraction of δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> loss via bimolecular reactions than interconversion back to β-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions. To reflect this change, we only allow  $\beta$ -ISOPO<sub>2</sub> to react with NO because δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> is believed to only account for < 3% of total ISOPO<sub>2</sub> under ambient conditions [*Peeters et al.*, 2014]. The yield of β-hydroxyl isoprene nitrate (ISOPNB) is assumed to be 0.1, an average of the suggested values 0.09 [Xiong et al., 2015] and 0.16 [Teng et al., 2015]. The yields of HCHO, MVK and methacrolein (MACR) from  $\beta$ -ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO are adjusted accordingly for carbon balance. As we show below, this update changes the production of formaldehyde and glyoxal under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions.

The Leeds Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) is a near-explicit chemical mechanism that describes gas-phase VOC chemistry. The latest revision, v3.3.1, includes recent updates for OH-initiated isoprene chemistry [Jenkin et al., 2015], such as isoprene-peroxy radical interconversion and isomerization pathways [*Peeters et al.*, 2014], and the chemistry of IEPOX [Bates et al., 2014; Paulot et al., 2009b]. Consequently, these updates show significant differences in simulated  $HO_X (HO_X = OH + HO_2)$ ,  $NO_X$  and major oxidation products compared to earlier versions of MCM. To evaluate the performance of MCM v3.3.1 in our global model, we implement a MCM-like mechanism ('AM3M') by adjusting the production of glyoxal from major pathways in the AM3B mechanism, to approximate the glyoxal and HCHO yields of MCM v3.3.1 in a highly condensed chemical mechanism suitable for use in a global model (Figure S2).

#### **2.2 Heterogeneous loss of glyoxal and methylglyoxal**

Heterogeneous loss onto aerosols and cloud droplets plays an important role in the fate of dicarbonyls. Here we assume this process is irreversible and can be represented by a firstorder reactive uptake rate constant  $k$  [*Mao et al.*, 2010]

$$
k = \left(\frac{a}{D_g} + \frac{4}{\nu \gamma}\right)^{-1} A
$$

where *a* is the effective radius of aerosols or cloud droplets,  $D_g$  is the gas phase diffusion constant,  $\gamma$  is the reactive uptake coefficient, A is the surface area of aerosols or cloud droplets, and  $v$  is the mean molecular velocity of the gas molecule.

We allow this process to take place on five types of aerosols including sulfate, black carbon (BC), primary organic carbon (POC), sea salt, mineral dust and SOA, with hygroscopic growth included  $[Mao et al., 2013a]$ . Given the large uncertainties associated with the uptake of glyoxal, we use field observations to assess the possible range of  $\gamma_{\text{glvx}}$ . We do not include glyoxal uptake by cloud droplets in this work, because the uptake coefficient by aerosols is much greater, and because large uncertainties are associated with mixing of cloudy and nocloud volumes within a grid box [Huijnen et al., 2014; Jacob, 2000]. We include heterogeneous loss of methylglyoxal with an uptake coefficient of  $7.6 \times 10^{-3}$  [Zhao et al., 2006], to produce better closure with total organic aerosol (OA) in the model.

The irreversible surface uptake coefficient,  $\gamma_{glyx}$  applied here should be viewed as an "effective reactive uptake coefficient", to simply represent the net heterogeneous loss of glyoxal that does not revert back to the gas-phase, and provide an estimate for its contribution to SOA mass on the regional scale. Previous studies have indicated that this process is likely reversible to some extent [Galloway et al., 2009; Kroll et al., 2005]. We show below that the values of  $\gamma_{glyx}$  are dependent on the choice of gas-phase chemistry.

## **3 NOx-Dependent Glyoxal and HCHO Yields**

We first conduct box model simulations to quantify the yield of glyoxal and HCHO from isoprene oxidation as a function of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  levels, using the Dynamically Simple Model of Atmospheric Chemical Complexity (DSMACC) [Emmerson and Evans, 2009]. We test three mechanisms (AM3ST, AM3B, and MCM v3.3.1) in the model. The model is initialized at 8:00 local time (LT) for mid-latitude summer conditions, with 1 ppbv of isoprene, 60 ppbv of ozone, 150 ppbv of CO, and a choice of 0.01, 0.1 or 1 ppbv of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ . While  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  is held constant, isoprene is allowed to decay over time. Figure 1 shows the cumulative yields of glyoxal and HCHO per unit carbon from isoprene oxidation at various  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  levels after three days of model integration with their loss processes turned off [*Palmer et al.*, 2003]. We also use tagged tracers for individual pathways ( $β/δ$ -ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO, ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub>, isomerization of  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub>$ ) to compute their contribution in each mechanism.

A striking difference between the MCM v3.3.1 and AM3 mechanisms is the glyoxal production under low  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions. While the AM3 mechanisms show a large yield of glyoxal from isomerization of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> and the oxidation of IEPOX (via the ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub>

Li et al. Page 7

pathway), MCM v3.3.1 shows very little production of glyoxal from these channels. For the isomerization pathway, MCM v3.3.1 assumes 50% of 1,6-H shift isomerization flux produces hydroperoxy-aldehydes (HPALDs), with another 50% produces complex dihydroperoxy formyl peroxy radicals, referred as "di-HPCARPs" by Peeters et al. [2014]. MCM v3.3.1 includes low production of glyoxal from the degradation of HPALDs and di-HPCARPs. On the other hand, the AM3 mechanisms do not include di-HPCARPs, and assume fast photolysis as the only loss process of HPALDs, with prompt yield of glyoxal following Stavrakou et al. [2010].

Another large difference in glyoxal yield comes from the treatment of the  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub>$ pathway, particularly regarding the fate of IEPOX. MCM v3.3.1 assumes a major production of organic peroxides from the reaction of IEPOX peroxy radicals (IEPOXOO) (α-carbonyl peroxy radicals) with  $HO_2$ , while AM3 mechanisms follow *Paulot et al.* [2009b] and assume full radical propagation for this reaction, with a 28% yield of glyoxal. As a result, a much higher yield of glyoxal is predicted from the  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub>$  pathway in the AM3 mechanisms compared to MCM v3.3.1. In fact, several studies have confirmed significant OH production from the reaction of  $HO<sub>2</sub>$  with α-carbonyl peroxy radicals [Dillon and Crowley, 2008; Hasson et al., 2004; Jenkin et al., 2007]. This is also consistent with recent studies of IEPOX kinetics, which show very little formation of peroxides under low  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ conditions [Bates et al., 2014; Jacobs et al., 2013].

Under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, the three mechanisms show better agreement for glyoxal production, though with different pathways contributing. MCM v3.3.1 shows a dominant production from the β-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO pathway, mainly due to a higher yield of glyoxal from oxidation of glycolaldehyde (20%), based on Niki et al. [1987]. An even higher yield of glyoxal (29%) was adopted by Galloway et al. [2011a]. In contrast, the AM3 mechanisms apply a lower yield of glyoxal (13%) following *Butkovskaya et al.* [2006]. Surprisingly, both AM3ST and AM3B show significant production from isomerization of  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub>$  and the  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub>$  pathway, suggesting the potential importance of these channels for glyoxal production even under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions. AM3ST has a major production from the  $\delta$ - $ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO$  pathway, leading to 60% higher glyoxal yield than AM3B. MCM v3.3.1 shows a small contribution from the δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO channel, due to a small fraction of δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> in the radical pool of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> (< 3%). This reflects a different distribution of  $\delta$ -ISOPO2 and β-ISOPO2 under ambient conditions vs. very high NO chamber conditions [Peeters et al., 2014], consistent with our assumption in AM3B. Overall, the AM3 mechanisms show decreasing glyoxal production with increasing  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  concentration, whereas MCM v3.3.1 shows the opposite.

The HCHO yield and its  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence appear to be more consistent across the different mechanisms. Under low  $NO_x$  conditions, isomerization of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> and the ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> pathway contribute most to HCHO. At  $NO_x = 1$  ppbv, the ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO pathway becomes the primary source of HCHO. The HCHO yield in AM3B is 17% higher than AM3ST and comparable to MCM v3.3.1, mainly due to a higher HCHO yield from the β-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO pathway than the  $\delta$ -ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO pathway. It should be noted that the cumulative yields shown in Figure 1 represent the potential glyoxal and HCHO produced from sufficient oxidation of 1 ppbv isoprene and the intermediate VOCs. This is different from ambient

Li et al. Page 8

conditions that isoprene is continuously emitted and the production of glyoxal and HCHO are dependent on OH levels (i.e. the production rate of  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub>$ ). Chemical loss of HCHO and glyoxal are similar across the mechanisms (Table S1).

The  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependent yields of glyoxal and HCHO from box model results are useful to identify their major production pathways at different  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  levels. This knowledge can be translated to 3D model outputs and help evaluate model performance from these pathways using aircraft observations.

# **4 Observational Constrains on Glyoxal Production**

#### **4.1 Vertical profiles of gaseous and particulate species**

We compare the AM3 model predictions for glyoxal, formaldehyde, and isoprene oxidation products to measurements of these species acquired during the SENEX field campaign. All measurements are averaged to 1 minute time resolution. The measurement accuracies for isoprene, formaldehyde,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , glyoxal, organic aerosol and aerosol surface area are  $25\%$ , 10%, 5%, 5.8%, 50%, and 36% respectively ([Warneke et al., 2016] and the references therein). Although other carbonyl compounds have recently been found to suffer from inlet artifacts arising from catalytic conversion of organic hydroperoxides on metal inlet surfaces [Rivera-Rios et al., 2014], we do not expect such interferences for glyoxal since no metal surfaces are present in the glyoxal sampling line [*Min et al.*, 2015], and since there are currently no known mechanisms for conversion of hydroperoxides to glyoxal. We also expect little interferences for formaldehyde since its residence time during exposure to metal surfaces is very small [*Cazorla et al.*, 2015], This is confirmed by recent laboratory tests, suggesting the interference is  $< 5\%$  for this specific instrument [*St. Clair et al.*, 2016b]. We exclude biomass burning, urban plumes, stratospheric air (CH<sub>3</sub>CN  $225$  pptv, NO<sub>x</sub>/NO<sub>v</sub> > 0.4 or  $NO<sub>2</sub> > 4$  ppbv or  $O<sub>3</sub>/CO > 1.25$  mol/mol, respectively) from our analysis following Hudman et al. [2007], and omit data from the Ozark Mountains, where the model shows a significant positive bias for isoprene (Figure S3). We also exclude nighttime flights from our analysis.

Figure 2 shows the mean vertical profiles of observed and modeled isoprene, glyoxal, HCHO and other related species during SENEX. Model output is sampled along the flight tracks and at the flight time with 1 hour time resolution. We include two model simulations (AM3ST and AM3B). MCM v3.3.1 is not included because of its complexity. Instead, we show AM3M in our global model as a proxy to MCM v3.3.1 in the following sections. Simulated  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  agrees with the observations at all altitudes except for a slight overestimate  $(\sim 20\%)$  near the surface. Observed isoprene concentration peaks near the surface at 1.2 ppbv and decreases gradually with altitude. Both AM3ST and AM3B show a positive bias of isoprene below 1 km, but no such bias is evident for HCHO. As HCHO in this region is dominantly produced from isoprene and has a relatively longer lifetime than that of isoprene  $(4 h vs. < 1 h)$ , we attribute this positive bias of modeled isoprene partly to sampling bias and partly to shallow boundary layer and slow vertical mixing in the current model. We further examine modeled OH and J values using ICARTT (2004) [Mao et al., 2013b] and NOMADSS (2013) ([https://www.eol.ucar.edu/field\\_projects/nomadss\)](https://www.eol.ucar.edu/field_projects/nomadss) field observations

over the eastern U.S., and we find that the model agrees with observed OH and J values within 20% (Figure S4 and S5).

Like isoprene, both HCHO and glyoxal decrease with altitude, reflecting their short photochemical lifetimes (4 h and 3.5 h) and their dominant source from isoprene. Our model is able to reproduce their vertical gradients, although the vertical profiles of HCHO and glyoxal appear to be sensitive to the choice of photochemical mechanisms. AM3ST underestimates HCHO by 32% and overestimates glyoxal almost by a factor of two near the surface. With the suppression of δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub> in AM3B, HCHO is increased by 17% and glyoxal is decreased by 38% near the surface, leading to better agreement with observations for both species.

The abundance of glyoxal is also dependent on its heterogeneous loss. By assuming an irreversible reactive uptake on aerosols, an optimal value of  $\gamma_{glyx}$  is selected to minimize the difference between modeled and observed glyoxal in the boundary layer. We find that the best agreement is achieved for AM3ST with  $\gamma_{\text{glyx}}$  of 2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> and for AM3B with  $\gamma$ glyx of zero (Table S2); we adopt those values in the following analysis.

 $\gamma_{\text{glvx}}$  derived with AM3B is lower than the value from previous laboratory experiments (2.9)  $\times 10^{-3}$  by *Liggio et al.* [2005b]), likely due to two reasons. First, there could be a missing source of gas-phase glyoxal in the current mechanism, which can be compensated by aerosol uptake and lead to a higher contribution to SOA formation. We find that approximate 30% increase of glyoxal production in AM3B would be required to compensate aerosol uptake of glyoxal with  $\gamma_{\text{glvx}} = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ . Second, the effective aerosol uptake coefficient, as we assumed here to represent the net heterogeneous loss of glyoxal, is indeed smaller than the value from the previous study. One possibility is that the aerosol uptake of glyoxal is to some extent reversible [*Galloway et al.*, 2009; *Kroll et al.*, 2005], which would lead to a lower estimate of  $\gamma_{\text{glvx}}$ . Another possibility is the suppression of glyoxal loss to ambient aerosols that are internally mixed with organic and inorganic components, resulting from its organic coating [Galloway et al., 2011b]. It is also possible that additional production of glyoxal in the particle-phase from other organic compounds reduces the net loss of glyoxal to the aerosol surface. In fact, the negligibly small uptake coefficients of glyoxal ( $0-8 \times 10^{-4}$ during the daytime and  $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-4}$  during the nighttime) have also been determined from analysis of glyoxal observations in a previous field study in Los Angeles during the CalNex field campaign [Washenfelder et al., 2011].

Overall, the resulting average contribution of glyoxal to organic aerosols is about 0.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> for AM3ST (Figure S7) and negligible for AM3B in the boundary layer over the Southeast U.S. We emphasize that this is likely the lower limit of glyoxal SOA, as other glyoxal sources such as anthropogenic VOCs and monoterpenes, are not included in our model. Accounting for these additional sources would require a higher sink of glyoxal, and therefore results in higher glyoxal SOA. The estimate from AM3ST is comparable to previous model studies over the same region assuming reversible or irreversible reactive uptake of glyoxal onto aerosols [*Knote et al.*, 2014; *Li et al.*, 2015; *Ying et al.*, 2015], while AM3B is not. We also find that the inclusion of IEPOX aerosol uptake may reduce glyoxal

in the boundary layer by less than 15% over the Southeast U.S. (Figure S8). This would lead to an even lower estimate of glyoxal SOA.

#### **4.2 RGF and its dependence on NO<sup>x</sup>**

Comparison of modeled and observed  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  provides additional constraint on the gas-phase chemistry for glyoxal production. Figure 3 shows the co-variation of glyoxal and formaldehyde in the boundary layer during SENEX. The linear regression slope  $(R_{GF})$ derived from observations is 2.4% ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ =0.61) in the boundary layer over the Southeast US, suggesting that the dominant source of both glyoxal and formaldehyde in this region is isoprene [*Kaiser et al.*, 2015]. The AM3ST mechanism overestimates  $R_{\text{GF}}$  by a factor of two, while better agreement is achieved by the AM3B mechanism, due to the suppressed production of glyoxal and enhanced production of HCHO. Simulations with both mechanisms significantly underestimate the range of HCHO concentrations observed. Without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal, the model with AM3ST significantly overestimates  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  but predicts a similar result as assuming no heterogeneous loss of glyoxal with AM3B.

We further examine the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence of HCHO, glyoxal and  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  during SENEX (Figure 4). A prominent feature of Figure 4 is the high similarity between the observed  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ dependence of HCHO and glyoxal. Both HCHO and glyoxal are observed to increase with  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  concentrations and to start to level off at 1 ppbv of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ . Remarkably,  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  shows little variation across  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  concentrations, consistent with Kaiser et al. [2015]. Both AM3ST and AM3B are able to reproduce the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence of HCHO, although they tend to underestimate HCHO by 1–2 ppbv across  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  regimes, consistent with *Wolfe et al.* [2016]. AM3B shows better agreement with observations than AM3ST at high  $NO_x$  level, indicating δ-channel is suppressed under ambient conditions. Using the optimized  $γ_{glvx}$  values described above ( $2 \times 10^{-3}$  for AM3ST and zero for AM3B), our model can roughly capture the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence of glyoxal. In contrast to Figure 1, neither AM3ST nor AM3B shows high glyoxal concentrations under low  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, reflecting slower production of glyoxal under such conditions due to low OH radical concentrations and slow  $ISOPO<sub>2</sub>$ production rate in the ambient atmosphere [*Wolfe et al.*, 2016]. Although the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependent HCHO and glyoxal are individually captured qualitatively, a large positive bias in  $R_{GF}$  is apparent for AM3ST across  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  regimes and for AM3B at  $NO<sub>x</sub> < 0.5$  ppbv. We attribute the bias in AM3ST to the overestimate of glyoxal under low  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and underestimate of HCHO across  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  regimes. The bias in AM3B is mainly due to the underestimate of HCHO.

To examine the  $NO_x$  dependence of HCHO, glyoxal and  $R_{GF}$  from MCM v3.3.1, the AM3M mechanism that mimics MCM v3.3.1 is then tested in our AM3 global model. Comparison to the SENEX data (Figure 4) shows that AM3M can also well reproduce the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ dependence of HCHO, similar to the performance of other AM3 mechanisms. However, AM3M largely underestimates glyoxal and  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  across all NO<sub>x</sub> levels, suggesting additional sources of glyoxal needed in the current MCM mechanism. Inclusion of heterogeneous loss of glyoxal would further reduce glyoxal in AM3M and worsen the comparison. One possible reason for the underestimate of glyoxal in AM3M is the lack of a radical propagating channel for the IEPOXOO +  $HO_2$  reaction in MCM v3.3.1, as described above.

 $R<sub>GF</sub>$  provides a useful tool to estimate global production of glyoxal from isoprene oxidation. In particular, the fact that  $R_{GF}$  is insensitive to  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  allows us to directly compute its global production rate. Assuming 0.4 HCHO yield per isoprene C (Figure 1, also consistent with Palmer et al. [2003]) and a global constant  $R_{GF}$  of 2.4% (according to the measurements during SENEX), we can derive the global production of 23 Tg glyoxal with 500 Tg isoprene emitted annually [Guenther et al., 2006]. This is similar to a previous estimate of 21 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> glyoxal from isoprene [Fu et al., 2008].

# **5 Budget of Glyoxal in North America**

Table 1 summarizes the monthly averaged glyoxal budget over North America ( $20^{\circ}$ ~55 $^{\circ}$  N,  $60^{\circ}$ ~130° W) in the boundary layer (0–1.5 km) during June–July of 2013 for AM3ST and AM3B. The total chemical production of glyoxal varies with the chemical mechanisms, from 0.32 Tg/month with AM3B to 0.44 Tg/month with AM3ST. The major sink of glyoxal with both mechanisms is photolysis, followed by aerosol uptake and OH oxidation for AM3ST and OH oxidation and aerosol uptake for AM3B, although the contribution of each pathway varies with mechanisms and  $\gamma_{\text{glyx}}$ . For example, in AM3ST, heterogeneous loss is an important sink, accounting for 26% of the total chemical loss of glyoxal; however, the contribution is negligible in the AM3B simulations. Deposition accounts for only 1–2% of total glyoxal loss in the model. We show in this work that the estimates of sources and sinks of glyoxal are dependent on the choice of gas-phase chemistry.

## **6 Conclusions and Discussion**

A 0-D photochemical box model and a 3-D chemistry-climate model applied to data from the Southeast U.S. during the SENEX field campaign provide the first model evaluation of in situ glyoxal aircraft observations.

We find that the three mechanisms (AM3ST, AM3B, and MCM v3.3.1) show similarity in HCHO but large differences in glyoxal, leading to opposite  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence of  $R<sub>GF</sub>$ . Under low  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, the AM3 mechanisms predict much higher glyoxal yields than MCM v3.3.1, largely due to the significant contribution from isomerization of  $\text{ISOPO}_2$  and oxidation of IEPOX via the ISOPO $_2$ +HO<sub>2</sub> pathway. Although the three mechanisms agree better under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, they show different pathways contributing to glyoxal production.

With the constraints from field measurements during SENEX, AM3ST with an effective reactive uptake coefficient  $\gamma_{glvx}$  of 2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> and AM3B without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal can best reproduce the observed vertical profile. The latter shows better agreement with observed  $R_{GF}$  in the boundary layer. These two choices lead to less than 0.8 µg m<sup>-3</sup> or negligible of glyoxal SOA in the boundary over the Southeast U.S., accounting for 0–14% of the total SOA in this region (Figure S7). These are likely the lower limit of glyoxal SOA due to missing sources of glyoxal that are not included in our model. Over North America, glyoxal sinks are dominated by photolysis, followed by aerosol uptake and OH oxidation for AM3ST and OH oxidation and aerosol uptake for AM3B. Dry and wet deposition are negligible for both AM3 versions (Table 1).

In the boundary layer, observations suggest a very similar  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence for HCHO and glyoxal, resulting in a nearly constant  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  across NO<sub>x</sub> levels [*Kaiser et al.*, 2015]. Both AM3ST and AM3B can roughly capture the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  dependence of HCHO and glyoxal, although AM3ST tends to overestimate  $R<sub>GF</sub>$  at all NO<sub>x</sub> regimes, likely due to the overestimate of glyoxal at low  $NO_x$  and underestimate of HCHO across  $NO_x$  regimes. AM3B shows a positive bias in  $R_{GF}$  at NO<sub>x</sub> < 0.5 ppbv due to insufficient production of HCHO. The MCM v3.3.1 like mechanism ("AM3M") shows a large underestimate of glyoxal across all  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  levels. One possible reason is the lack of a radical propagating channel for the IEPOXOO +  $HO_2$  reaction in MCM v3.3.1.

While glyoxal has been studied extensively over the past decade to understand the magnitude of its heterogeneous uptake, we show here that its gas-phase production is a large source of uncertainty that requires equal consideration. We find that its production from isoprene oxidation varies greatly among different chemical mechanisms. This in turn greatly impacts global estimates of glyoxal and in particular its contribution to SOA, especially in regions with low to moderate  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  levels (Figure 1). Under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, models differ significantly in the production of glyoxal from oxidation of glycolaldehyde, as well as the fate of δ-ISOPO<sub>2</sub>. Under low  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, there is very little laboratory evidence available on the production of glyoxal from IEPOX, HPALDs, di-HPCARPs or other intermediate products. Although heterogeneous loss of IEPOX is not included in current work, sensitivity tests show that inclusion of this uptake reduces glyoxal concentrations by 10–20% for the mean vertical profile over the Southeast U.S. Thus, the fate of IEPOX represents another mechanistic uncertainty for predicting glyoxal. Also, there are missing sources that are not represented in our model [*Volkamer et al.*, 2015] would increase modeled glyoxal and thus also inferred SOA. Future laboratory measurements are urgently needed and may have important implications for understanding the contribution of glyoxal to SOA in past and future atmospheres.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Charles A. Brock (NOAA) for providing aerosol size data, Vaishali Naik (UCAR/NOAA) for providing emission inventories from the SENEX campaign, and William Cooke for the help with convection scheme of the AM3 model. JL, JM and LWH acknowledge supports by the NOAA Climate Program Office grant # NA13OAR4310071. KEM, RAW and SSB acknowledge support from the NOAA Atmospheric Chemistry, Climate and Carbon Cycle (AC4) program. JK, FNK, GMW, and TFH are grateful for support from EPA Science to Achieve Results (STAR) program grant 83540601 and NASA grant NNH10ZDA001N-SEAC4RS. J. Kaiser acknowledges support from NASA Headquarters under the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship Program – grant NNX14AK97H. RV is grateful for support from NSF EAGER award AGS-1452317. VFM acknowledges support from NSF (AGS-1546136). We thank the staff at the NOAA Aircraft Operations Center and the WP-3D flight crew for the help in instrumenting the aircraft and for conducting the flights. Special thanks go to Songmiao Fan (NOAA) for helpful discussions. This research has not been subjected to any EPA review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the views of the agency, and no official endorsement should be inferred.

- Anderson DC, et al. Measured and modeled CO and NOy in DISCOVER-AQ: An evaluation of emissions and chemistry over the eastern US. Atmos Environ. 2014; 96:78–87. doi[:http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.004) [10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.004).
- Bates KH, Crounse JD, St Clair JM, Bennett NB, Nguyen TB, Seinfeld JH, Stoltz BM, Wennberg PO. Gas Phase Production and Loss of Isoprene Epoxydiols. J Phys Chem A. 2014; 118(7):1237–1246. DOI: 10.1021/jp4107958 [PubMed: 24476509]
- Butkovskaya NI, Pouvesle N, Kukui A, Le Bras G. Mechanism of the OH-Initiated Oxidation of Glycolaldehyde over the Temperature Range 233−296 K. J Phys Chem A. 2006; 110(50):13492– 13499. DOI: 10.1021/jp064993k [PubMed: 17165875]
- Carlton AG, Turpin BJ, Altieri KE, Seitzinger S, Reff A, Lim HJ, Ervens B. Atmospheric oxalic acid and SOA production from glyoxal: Results of aqueous photooxidation experiments. Atmos Environ. 2007; 41(35):7588–7602. doi[:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.05.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.05.035).
- Cazorla M, Wolfe GM, Bailey SA, Swanson AK, Arkinson HL, Hanisco TF. A new airborne laserinduced fluorescence instrument for in situ detection of formaldehyde throughout the troposphere and lower stratosphere. Atmos Meas Tech. 2015; 8(2):541–552. DOI: 10.5194/amt-8-541-2015
- Corrigan AL, Hanley SW, De Haan DO. Uptake of Glyoxal by Organic and Inorganic Aerosol. Environ Sci Technol. 2008; 42(12):4428–4433. DOI: 10.1021/es7032394 [PubMed: 18605566]
- Crounse JD, Paulot F, Kjaergaard HG, Wennberg PO. Peroxy radical isomerization in the oxidation of isoprene. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2011; 13(30):13607–13613. DOI: 10.1039/c1cp21330j [PubMed: 21701740]
- DiGangi JP, et al. Observations of glyoxal and formaldehyde as metrics for the anthropogenic impact on rural photochemistry. Atmos Chem Phys. 2012; 12(20):9529–9543. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-12-9529-2012
- Dillon TJ, Crowley JN. Direct detection of OH formation in the reactions of HO2 with CH3C(O)O2 and other substituted peroxy radicals. Atmos Chem Phys. 2008; 8(16):4877–4889. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-8-4877-2008
- Donner LJ, et al. The Dynamical Core, Physical Parameterizations, and Basic Simulation Characteristics of the Atmospheric Component AM3 of the GFDL Global Coupled Model CM3. J Clim. 2011; 24(13):3484–3519. DOI: 10.1175/2011jcli3955.1
- Emmerson KM, Evans MJ. Comparison of tropospheric gas-phase chemistry schemes for use within global models. Atmos Chem Phys. 2009; 9(5):1831–1845. DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-1831-2009
- Ervens B, Turpin BJ, Weber RJ. Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud droplets and aqueous particles (aqSOA): a review of laboratory, field and model studies. Atmos Chem Phys. 2011; 11(21):11069–11102. DOI: 10.5194/acp-11-11069-2011
- Feierabend KJ, Flad JE, Brown SS, Burkholder JB. HCO Quantum Yields in the Photolysis of HC(O)C(O)H (Glyoxal) between 290 and 420 nm. J Phys Chem A. 2009; 113(27):7784–7794. DOI: 10.1021/jp9033003 [PubMed: 19522522]
- Fu TM, Jacob DJ, Wittrock F, Burrows JP, Vrekoussis M, Henze DK. Global budgets of atmospheric glyoxal and methylglyoxal, and implications for formation of secondary organic aerosols. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2008; 113(D15):D15303.doi: 10.1029/2007jd009505
- Galloway MM, Chhabra PS, Chan AWH, Surratt JD, Flagan RC, Seinfeld JH, Keutsch FN. Glyoxal uptake on ammonium sulphate seed aerosol: reaction products and reversibility of uptake under dark and irradiated conditions. Atmos Chem Phys. 2009; 9(10):3331–3345. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-9-3331-2009
- Galloway MM, Huisman AJ, Yee LD, Chan AWH, Loza CL, Seinfeld JH, Keutsch FN. Yields of oxidized volatile organic compounds during the OH radical initiated oxidation of isoprene, methyl vinyl ketone, and methacrolein under high-NOx conditions. Atmos Chem Phys. 2011a; 11(21): 10779–10790. DOI: 10.5194/acp-11-10779-2011
- Galloway MM, Loza CL, Chhabra PS, Chan AWH, Yee LD, Seinfeld JH, Keutsch FN. Analysis of photochemical and dark glyoxal uptake: Implications for SOA formation. Geophys Res Lett. 2011b; 38:L17811.doi: 10.1029/2011gl048514

- Gaston CJ, Riedel TP, Zhang Z, Gold A, Surratt JD, Thornton JA. Reactive Uptake of an Isoprene-Derived Epoxydiol to Submicron Aerosol Particles. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48(19):11178– 11186. DOI: 10.1021/es5034266 [PubMed: 25207961]
- Gomez ME, Lin Y, Guo S, Zhang R. Heterogeneous Chemistry of Glyoxal on Acidic Solutions. An Oligomerization Pathway for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation. J Phys Chem A. 2015; 119(19):4457–4463. DOI: 10.1021/jp509916r [PubMed: 25369518]
- Guenther A, Karl T, Harley P, Wiedinmyer C, Palmer PI, Geron C. Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature). Atmos Chem Phys. 2006; 6(11):3181–3210. DOI: 10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006
- Hasson AS, Tyndall GS, Orlando JJ. A Product Yield Study of the Reaction of HO2 Radicals with Ethyl Peroxy (C2H5O2), Acetyl Peroxy (CH3C(O)O2), and Acetonyl Peroxy (CH3C(O)CH2O2) Radicals. J Phys Chem A. 2004; 108(28):5979–5989. DOI: 10.1021/jp048873t
- Hastings WP, Koehler CA, Bailey EL, De Haan DO. Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation by Glyoxal Hydration and Oligomer Formation: Humidity Effects and Equilibrium Shifts during Analysis. Environ Sci Technol. 2005; 39(22):8728–8735. DOI: 10.1021/es050446l [PubMed: 16323769]
- Hays MD, Geron CD, Linna KJ, Smith ND, Schauer JJ. Speciation of Gas-Phase and Fine Particle Emissions from Burning of Foliar Fuels. Environ Sci Technol. 2002; 36(11):2281–2295. DOI: 10.1021/es0111683 [PubMed: 12075778]
- Hudman RC, et al. Surface and lightning sources of nitrogen oxides over the United States: Magnitudes, chemical evolution, and outflow. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2007; 112(D12)doi: 10.1029/2006JD007912
- Huijnen V, Williams JE, Flemming J. Modeling global impacts of heterogeneous loss of HO2 on cloud droplets, ice particles and aerosols. Atmos Chem Phys Discuss. 2014; 14(6):8575–8632. DOI: 10.5194/acpd-14-8575-2014
- Jacob DJ. Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone. Atmos Environ. 2000; 34(12–14):2131– 2159. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00462-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8).
- Jacobs MI, Darer AI, Elrod MJ. Rate Constants and Products of the OH Reaction with Isoprene-Derived Epoxides. Environ Sci Technol. 2013; 47(22):12868–12876. DOI: 10.1021/es403340g [PubMed: 24144330]
- Jang M, Czoschke NM, Lee S, Kamens RM. Heterogeneous Atmospheric Aerosol Production by Acid-Catalyzed Particle-Phase Reactions. Science. 2002; 298(5594):814–817. DOI: 10.1126/ science.1075798 [PubMed: 12399587]
- Jenkin ME, Hurley MD, Wallington TJ. Investigation of the radical product channel of the  $CH3C(O)O2 + HO2$  reaction in the gas phase. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2007; 9(24):3149–3162. DOI: 10.1039/B702757E [PubMed: 17612738]
- Jenkin ME, Saunders SM, Pilling MJ. The tropospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds: a protocol for mechanism development. Atmos Environ. 1997; 31(1):81–104. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00105-7) [10.1016/S1352-2310\(96\)00105-7.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00105-7)
- Jenkin ME, Young JC, Rickard AR. The MCM v3.3.1 degradation scheme for isoprene. Atmos Chem Phys. 2015; 15(20):11433–11459. DOI: 10.5194/acp-15-11433-2015
- Kaiser J, et al. Reassessing the ratio of glyoxal to formaldehyde as an indicator of hydrocarbon precursor speciation. Atmos Chem Phys. 2015; 15(13):7571–7583. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-15-7571-2015
- Kampf CJ, Waxman EM, Slowik JG, Dommen J, Pfaffenberger L, Praplan AP, Prévôt ASH, Baltensperger U, Hoffmann T, Volkamer R. Effective Henry's Law Partitioning and the Salting Constant of Glyoxal in Aerosols Containing Sulfate. Environ Sci Technol. 2013; 47(9):4236–4244. DOI: 10.1021/es400083d [PubMed: 23534917]
- Knote C, et al. Simulation of semi-explicit mechanisms of SOA formation from glyoxal in aerosol in a 3-D model. Atmos Chem Phys. 2014; 14(12):6213–6239. DOI: 10.5194/acp-14-6213-2014
- Kroll JH, Ng NL, Murphy SM, Varutbangkul V, Flagan RC, Seinfeld JH. Chamber studies of secondary organic aerosol growth by reactive uptake of simple carbonyl compounds. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2005; 110(D23207)doi: 10.1029/2005JD006004

- Kurtén T, Elm J, Prisle NL, Mikkelsen KV, Kampf CJ, Waxman EM, Volkamer R. Computational Study of the Effect of Glyoxal–Sulfate Clustering on the Henry's Law Coefficient of Glyoxal. J Phys Chem A. 2015; 119(19):4509–4514. DOI: 10.1021/jp510304c [PubMed: 25408201]
- Lamarque JF, Kyle GP, Meinshausen M, Riahi K, Smith S, van Vuuren D, Conley A, Vitt F. Global and regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases and aerosols in the Representative Concentration Pathways. Clim Change. 2011; 109(1–2):191–212. DOI: 10.1007/ s10584-011-0155-0
- Lee L, Teng AP, Wennberg PO, Crounse JD, Cohen RC. On Rates and Mechanisms of OH and O3 Reactions with Isoprene-Derived Hydroxy Nitrates. J Phys Chem A. 2014; 118(9):1622–1637. DOI: 10.1021/jp4107603 [PubMed: 24555928]
- Li J, Cleveland M, Ziemba LD, Griffin RJ, Barsanti KC, Pankow JF, Ying Q. Modeling regional secondary organic aerosol using the Master Chemical Mechanism. Atmos Environ. 2015; 102:52– 61. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.054.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.054)
- Liggio J, Li SM, McLaren R. Heterogeneous Reactions of Glyoxal on Particulate Matter: Identification of Acetals and Sulfate Esters. Environ Sci Technol. 2005a; 39(6):1532–1541. DOI: 10.1021/es048375y [PubMed: 15819206]
- Liggio J, Li SM, McLaren R. Reactive uptake of glyoxal by particulate matter. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2005b; 110(D10):D10304.doi: 10.1029/2004jd005113
- Lim HJ, Carlton AG, Turpin BJ. Isoprene Forms Secondary Organic Aerosol through Cloud Processing: Model Simulations. Environ Sci Technol. 2005; 39(12):4441–4446. DOI: 10.1021/ es048039h [PubMed: 16047779]
- Lin M, et al. Transport of Asian ozone pollution into surface air over the western United States in spring. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2012; 117:D00V07.doi: 10.1029/2011jd016961
- Lockwood AL, Shepson PB, Fiddler MN, Alaghmand M. Isoprene nitrates: preparation, separation, identification, yields, and atmospheric chemistry. Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; 10(13):6169–6178. DOI: 10.5194/acp-10-6169-2010
- MacDonald SM, Oetjen H, Mahajan AS, Whalley LK, Edwards PM, Heard DE, Jones CE, Plane JMC. DOAS measurements of formaldehyde and glyoxal above a south-east Asian tropical rainforest. Atmos Chem Phys. 2012; 12(13):5949–5962. DOI: 10.5194/acp-12-5949-2012
- Mao J, Horowitz LW, Naik V, Fan S, Liu J, Fiore AM. Sensitivity of tropospheric oxidants to biomass burning emissions: implications for radiative forcing. Geophys Res Lett. 2013a; 40(6):1241–1246. DOI: 10.1002/grl.50210
- Mao J, et al. Chemistry of hydrogen oxide radicals (HOx) in the Arctic troposphere in spring. Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; 10(13):5823–5838. DOI: 10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010
- Mao J, Paulot F, Jacob DJ, Cohen RC, Crounse JD, Wennberg PO, Keller CA, Hudman RC, Barkley MP, Horowitz LW. Ozone and organic nitrates over the eastern United States: Sensitivity to isoprene chemistry. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2013b; 118(19):11,256–211,268. DOI: 10.1002/jgrd. 50817
- Marais EA, et al. Aqueous-phase mechanism for secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene: application to the southeast United States and co-benefit of SO2 emission controls. Atmos Chem Phys. 2016; 16(3):1603–1618. DOI: 10.5194/acp-16-1603-2016
- Miller CC, Abad GG, Wang H, Liu X, Kurosu T, Jacob DJ, Chance K. Glyoxal retrieval from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument. Atmos Meas Tech Discuss. 2014; 7(6):6065–6112. DOI: 10.5194/ amtd-7-6065-2014
- Millet DB, Jacob DJ, Boersma KF, Fu TM, Kurosu TP, Chance K, Heald CL, Guenther A. Spatial distribution of isoprene emissions from North America derived from formaldehyde column measurements by the OMI satellite sensor. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2008; 113:D02307.doi: 10.1029/2007jd008950
- Min KE, et al. A broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer for aircraft measurements of glyoxal, methylglyoxal, nitrous acid, nitrogen dioxide, and water vapor. Atmos Meas Tech Discuss. 2015; 8(10):11209–11254. DOI: 10.5194/amtd-8-11209-2015
- Müller JF, Peeters J, Stavrakou T. Fast photolysis of carbonyl nitrates from isoprene. Atmos Chem Phys. 2014; 14(5):2497–2508. DOI: 10.5194/acp-14-2497-2014

- Myriokefalitakis S, Vrekoussis M, Tsigaridis K, Wittrock F, Richter A, Brühl C, Volkamer R, Burrows JP, Kanakidou M. The influence of natural and anthropogenic secondary sources on the glyoxal global distribution. Atmos Chem Phys. 2008; 8(16):4965–4981. DOI: 10.5194/acp-8-4965-2008
- Naik V, Horowitz LW, Fiore AM, Ginoux P, Mao J, Aghedo AM, Levy H. Impact of preindustrial to present-day changes in short-lived pollutant emissions on atmospheric composition and climate forcing. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2013; 118(14):8086–8110. DOI: 10.1002/jgrd.50608
- Nguyen TB, Crounse JD, Teng AP, St Clair JM, Paulot F, Wolfe GM, Wennberg PO. Rapid deposition of oxidized biogenic compounds to a temperate forest. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015; 112(5):E392– E401. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1418702112 [PubMed: 25605913]
- Niki H, Maker PD, Savage CM, Hurley MD. Fourier transform infrared study of the kinetics and mechanisms for the chlorine-atom- and hydroxyl-radical-initiated oxidation of glycolaldehyde. J Phys Chem. 1987; 91(8):2174–2178. DOI: 10.1021/j100292a038
- Nozière B, Dziedzic P, Córdova A. Products and Kinetics of the Liquid-Phase Reaction of Glyoxal Catalyzed by Ammonium Ions (NH4+). J Phys Chem A. 2009; 113(1):231–237. DOI: 10.1021/ jp8078293 [PubMed: 19118483]
- Palmer PI, Jacob DJ, Fiore AM, Martin RV, Chance K, Kurosu TP. Mapping isoprene emissions over North America using formaldehyde column observations from space. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2003; 108(D6):4180.doi: 10.1029/2002JD002153
- Paulot F, Crounse JD, Kjaergaard HG, Kroll JH, Seinfeld JH, Wennberg PO. Isoprene photooxidation: new insights into the production of acids and organic nitrates. Atmos Chem Phys. 2009a; 9(4): 1479–1501. DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-1479-2009
- Paulot F, Crounse JD, Kjaergaard HG, Kürten A, St Clair JM, Seinfeld JH, Wennberg PO. Unexpected Epoxide Formation in the Gas-Phase Photooxidation of Isoprene. Science. 2009b; 325(5941):730– 733. DOI: 10.1126/science.1172910 [PubMed: 19661425]
- Paulot F, Ginoux P, Cooke WF, Donner LJ, Fan S, Lin M, Mao J, Naik V, Horowitz LW. Sensitivity of nitrate aerosols to ammonia emissions and to nitrate chemistry: implications for present and future nitrate optical depth. Atmos Chem Phys Discuss. 2015; 15(18):25739–25788. DOI: 10.5194/ acpd-15-25739-2015
- Peeters J, Müller JF, Stavrakou T, Nguyen VS. Hydroxyl Radical Recycling in Isoprene Oxidation Driven by Hydrogen Bonding and Hydrogen Tunneling: The Upgraded LIM1 Mechanism. J Phys Chem A. 2014; 118(38):8625–8643. DOI: 10.1021/jp5033146 [PubMed: 25010574]
- Peeters J, Nguyen TL. Unusually Fast 1,6-H Shifts of Enolic Hydrogens in Peroxy Radicals: Formation of the First-Generation C2 and C3 Carbonyls in the Oxidation of Isoprene. J Phys Chem A. 2012; 116(24):6134–6141. DOI: 10.1021/jp211447q [PubMed: 22250921]
- Praske E, Crounse JD, Bates KH, Kurtén T, Kjaergaard HG, Wennberg PO. Atmospheric Fate of Methyl Vinyl Ketone: Peroxy Radical Reactions with NO and HO2. J Phys Chem A. 2015; 119(19):4562–4572. DOI: 10.1021/jp5107058 [PubMed: 25486386]
- Pye HOT, et al. Epoxide Pathways Improve Model Predictions of Isoprene Markers and Reveal Key Role of Acidity in Aerosol Formation. Environ Sci Technol. 2013; 47(19):11056–11064. DOI: 10.1021/es402106h [PubMed: 24024583]
- Rivera-Rios JC, et al. Conversion of hydroperoxides to carbonyls in field and laboratory instrumentation: Observational bias in diagnosing pristine versus anthropogenically controlled atmospheric chemistry. Geophys Res Lett. 2014; 41(23):8645–8651. DOI: 10.1002/2014GL061919
- Sander R. Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent. Atmos Chem Phys. 2015; 15(8):4399–4981. DOI: 10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015
- Saunders SM, Jenkin ME, Derwent RG, Pilling MJ. Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds. Atmos Chem Phys. 2003; 3(1):161–180. DOI: 10.5194/acp-3-161-2003
- St Clair JM, Rivera-Rios JC, Crounse JD, Knap HC, Bates KH, Teng AP, Jørgensen S, Kjaergaard HG, Keutsch FN, Wennberg PO. Kinetics and Products of the Reaction of the First-Generation Isoprene Hydroxy Hydroperoxide (ISOPOOH) with OH. J Phys Chem A. 2016a; 120(9):1441–1451. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpca.5b06532 [PubMed: 26327174]

- St Clair JM, Rivera-Rios JC, Crounse JD, Praske E, Kim MJ, Wolfe GM, Keutsch FN, Wennberg PO, Hanisco TF. Investigation of a potential HCHO measurement artifact from ISOPOOH. Atmos Meas Tech Discuss. 2016b; 2016:1–15. DOI: 10.5194/amt-2016-204
- Stavrakou T, Müller JF, De Smedt I, Van Roozendael M, Kanakidou M, Vrekoussis M, Wittrock F, Richter A, Burrows JP. The continental source of glyoxal estimated by the synergistic use of spaceborne measurements and inverse modelling. Atmos Chem Phys. 2009; 9(21):8431–8446. DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-8431-2009
- Stavrakou T, Peeters J, Müller JF. Improved global modelling of HOx recycling in isoprene oxidation: evaluation against the GABRIEL and INTEX-A aircraft campaign measurements. Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; 10(20):9863–9878. DOI: 10.5194/acp-10-9863-2010
- Teng AP, Crounse JD, Lee L, St Clair JM, Cohen RC, Wennberg PO. Hydroxy nitrate production in the OH-initiated oxidation of alkenes. Atmos Chem Phys. 2015; 15(8):4297–4316. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-15-4297-2015
- Travis, K., et al. SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS Science Team Meeting. Pasadena, California: 2015. Declining  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in the Southeast US and implications for ozone- $NO<sub>x</sub>$ -VOC chemistry.
- Volkamer R, et al. Aircraft measurements of BrO, IO, glyoxal, NO2, H2O, O2–O2 and aerosol extinction profiles in the tropics: comparison with aircraft-/ship-based in situ and lidar measurements. Atmos Meas Tech. 2015; 8(5):2121–2148. DOI: 10.5194/amt-8-2121-2015
- Volkamer, R., Barnes, I., Platt, U., Molina, LT., Molina, MJ. Remote Sensing of Glyoxal by Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS): Advancements in Simulation Chamber and Field Experiments, in. In: Barnes, I., Rudzinski, K., editors. Environmental Simulation Chambers: Application to Atmospheric Chemical Processes. Springer; Netherlands: 2006. p. 129-141.
- Volkamer R, Molina LT, Molina MJ, Shirley T, Brune WH. DOAS measurement of glyoxal as an indicator for fast VOC chemistry in urban air. Geophys Res Lett. 2005; 32:L08806.doi: 10.1029/2005gl022616
- Volkamer R, San Martini F, Molina LT, Salcedo D, Jimenez JL, Molina MJ. A missing sink for gasphase glyoxal in Mexico City: Formation of secondary organic aerosol. Geophys Res Lett. 2007; 34:L19807.doi: 10.1029/2007gl030752
- Volkamer R, Ziemann PJ, Molina MJ. Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Acetylene (C2H2): seed effect on SOA yields due to organic photochemistry in the aerosol aqueous phase. Atmos Chem Phys. 2009; 9(6):1907–1928. DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-1907-2009
- Vrekoussis M, Wittrock F, Richter A, Burrows JP. GOME-2 observations of oxygenated VOCs: what can we learn from the ratio glyoxal to formaldehyde on a global scale? Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; 10(21):10145–10160. DOI: 10.5194/acp-10-10145-2010
- Warneke C, et al. Biogenic emission measurement and inventories determination of biogenic emissions in the eastern United States and Texas and comparison with biogenic emission inventories. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2010; 115(D7):D00F18.doi: 10.1029/2009jd012445
- Warneke C, et al. Instrumentation and Measurement Strategy for the NOAA SENEX Aircraft Campaign as Part of the Southeast Atmosphere Study 2013. Atmos Meas Tech Discuss. 2016; 2016:1–39. DOI: 10.5194/amt-2015-388
- Washenfelder RA, et al. The glyoxal budget and its contribution to organic aerosol for Los Angeles, California, during CalNex 2010. J Geophys Res Atmos. 2011; 116(D21):D00V02.doi: 10.1029/2011jd016314
- Waxman EM, Elm J, Kurtén T, Mikkelsen KV, Ziemann PJ, Volkamer R. Glyoxal and Methylglyoxal Setschenow Salting Constants in Sulfate, Nitrate, and Chloride Solutions: Measurements and Gibbs Energies. Environ Sci Technol. 2015; 49(19):11500–11508. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.5b02782 [PubMed: 26335375]
- Wolfe GM, et al. Formaldehyde production from isoprene oxidation across NOx regimes. Atmos Chem Phys. 2016; 16(4):2597–2610. DOI: 10.5194/acp-16-2597-2016
- Xiong F, et al. Observation of isoprene hydroxynitrates in the Southeastern United States and implications for the fate of NOx. Atmos Chem Phys Discuss. 2015; 15(13):17843–17886. DOI: 10.5194/acpd-15-17843-2015

- Ying Q, Li J, Kota SH. Significant Contributions of Isoprene to Summertime Secondary Organic Aerosol in Eastern United States. Environ Sci Technol. 2015; 49(13):7834–7842. DOI: 10.1021/ acs.est.5b02514 [PubMed: 26029963]
- Zhao J, Levitt NP, Zhang R, Chen J. Heterogeneous Reactions of Methylglyoxal in Acidic Media: Implications for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation. Environ Sci Technol. 2006; 40(24):7682– 7687. DOI: 10.1021/es060610k [PubMed: 17256513]

# **Key Points**

- **•** Box model simulated glyoxal production from three isoprene oxidation mechanisms differ greatly
- **•** Aerosol uptake of glyoxal was constrained using airborne in situ measurements and a global model
- **•** Model results show that glyoxal contributes 0–14% of SOA in the Southeast U.S. during summer



### **Figure 1.**

Cumulative yields of glyoxal and HCHO in major pathways from isoprene oxidation at different  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  levels. Glyoxal and HCHO from isoprene oxidized by  $O<sub>3</sub>$  and by  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  are not shown due to low production in all the mechanisms.



#### **Figure 2.**

Mean vertical profiles of isoprene, formaldehyde,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , glyoxal, organic aerosol ( $OA$ ) and surface area density of aerosol during SENEX. Grey shades are the standard deviation  $(\sigma)$  of the averaged profiles of the measured tracers. Dashed green line in panel (d) is model estimate without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal by AM3ST. Observed organic aerosol mass and aerosol surface area density are from dry particles.



#### **Figure 3.**

Correlation between glyoxal and formaldehyde below 1.5 km during SENEX. Black dots represent observations, while green and red open circles show AM3ST with  $\gamma_{\text{glvx}} = 2.0 \times$ 10−3 (left) and AM3B without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal (right). Solid lines are linear regression lines, with regression slopes calculated from least-squares fit.



## **Figure 4.**

HCHO (ppbv), glyoxal (ppbv), and  $R_{GF}$  in each NO<sub>x</sub> bin below 1.5 km during SENEX. Dots, dashed boxes and whiskers are the mean, interquartile range and lowest and highest of the observations; green squares and red triangles are the mean of AM3ST with  $\gamma_{\rm glyx}=2.0 \times$ 10−3 and AM3B without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal respectively; blue dashed lines are the mean of AM3M without heterogeneous loss of glyoxal.

## **Table 1**

Budget of glyoxal over North America (20°~55° N, 60°~130° W) below 1.5 km in June–July of 2013. Percentage is the contribution of each pathway to the total chemical loss of glyoxal.

