Stimulation of Border Cell Production in Response to Increased Carbon Dioxide Levels¹

Xiaowen Zhao, Iraj J. Misaghi, and Martha C. Hawes*

Department of Plant Pathology, 204 Forbes Building, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721

Field soil atmospheres have higher CO₂ and lower O₂ concen**trations compared with ambient atmosphere, but little is known about the impact of such conditions on root exudation patterns. We** used altered levels of CO₂ and O₂ relative to ambient conditions to **examine the influence of the atmosphere on the production of root border cells by pea (Pisum sativum) root tips. During germination,** atmospheres with high CO₂ and low O₂ inhibited root development **and border cell separation in pea seedlings. Later in development, the same atmospheric composition stimulated border cell separation without significantly influencing root growth. Increased CO2,** not low O₂, was responsible for the observed stimulation of border cell number. High CO₂ apparently can override endogenous signals **that regulate the number of border cells released from pea roots into the rhizosphere. The same conditions that stimulated border cell production in pea had no such effect in alfalfa (Medicago sativa).**

 $CO₂$ and oxygen $O₂$ are crucial components of the rhizosphere, "the narrow zone subject to the influence of living roots, as manifested by the leakage or exudation of substances that affect microbial activity" (Curl and Truelove, 1986). In field soil, $CO₂$ and $O₂$ concentrations vary depending on soil type, soil moisture, organic matter content, temperature, type of crops, and soil microflora (Abrosimova and Revut, 1964; Yamaguchi et al., 1967; Buyanovsky and Wagner, 1983; Wood et al., 1993). Compared with 0.03% (v/v) $CO₂$ and 21% (v/v) $O₂$ levels that exist under ambient atmospheric conditions, the $CO₂$ concentration at some soil depths may reach 10% or higher and the $O₂$ concentration can decline to lower than 10% (for review, see Stolzy, 1974; Buyanovsky and Wagner, 1983). The different $CO_2:O_2$ levels in field soil compared with ambient atmosphere are thought to be due mainly to the respiration of soil microorganisms and plant roots (Wood et al., 1993) and to the slow gas exchange rates that occur in the soil (Stolzy and Zentmyer, 1975). The increase in $CO₂$ levels in the soil corresponds to a decline in $O₂$ levels so that the sum of these two gases remains at about 21%, while the N_2 concentration in the soil remains at 79% (Griffin, 1972).

Colonization of plant roots by microorganisms is sensitive to fluctuations in atmospheric concentrations of $CO₂$ and $O₂$. Root colonization by certain bacteria can be stimulated by up to 138% under atmospheres containing high $CO₂/low O₂ concentrations (Kim et al., 1996). One expla$ nation for such results is that high $CO₂/low O₂$ atmospheres influence the quantity and composition of root exudates needed to support microbial growth in the rhizosphere. For example, Gal and dihydroxyacetone concentrations of peanut root exudate are sensitive to O_2 and CO_2 levels (Rittenhouse and Hale, 1971) and hypoxia can cause increases in organic acids, sugars, and amino acids in exudates of young corn and sunflower (Grineva, 1962).

Root border cells are major contributors of root exudates in most agronomically important crop species (Hawes et al., 1998). Border cells, formerly termed "sloughed root cap" cells, are defined as those cells separated from each other and loosely associated with the root so that they disperse into suspension upon immersion of the root tip into water (Hawes, 1990; Hawes and Lin, 1990). In young roots of legumes, up to 98% of the exudates released from hydroponically grown seedlings derive from the process of border cell separation (Griffin et al., 1976; Hawes and Pueppke, 1986). In addition to the thousands of detached cells, these exudates include a high *M*^r mucilage that encases border cells, the cell wall breakdown products solubilized during the separation of the cells, and an array of extracellular chemicals that are exported by border cells after they separate from the root (Brigham et al., 1995; Zhu et al., 1997).

In the past, border cell production was incorrectly thought to be a continuous by-product of constitutive turnover of the root cap (Clowes, 1994). However, more recent studies have revealed that border cell separation is not necessarily continuous but can be turned on and off by the plant and can be induced experimentally (Hawes and Lin, 1990; Brigham et al., 1998). Under laboratory conditions (24°C, dark), the process of border cell separation in pea (*Pisum sativum* cv Little Marvel), our primary model system, can be divided into two stages. Stage I is radicle emergence. Border cells can be isolated when the root is 5 mm long, and from this point on, border cell numbers increase with increasing root length until the root is 25 mm long. At this point in development, if the cells are not removed from the tip, the production of border cells ceases so that cell number per root tip remains constant regardless of root length. Border cells apparently secrete a factor that accumulates extracellularly until it reaches a concentration that inhibits further turnover of the root cap (Brigham et al., 1998).

 $¹$ This work was supported by grants from the U.S. Department</sup> of Agriculture and the Department of Energy, Division of Energy Biosciences.

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail mhawes@u.arizona.edu; fax 520–621–9290

Stage II is renewed border cell separation. When the existing border cells are removed together with their associated exudates by agitation of the root tip in water, renewed production of border cells by the root cap is induced. New border cells can be isolated from such induced root tips within 1 h, and 24 h later a full set of approximately 4,000 border cells is present on each root tip, at which time border cell separation again ceases (Hawes and Lin, 1990; Stephenson and Hawes, 1994). Similar border cell separation processes exist on other legumes, although the number of cells produced daily may vary. For example,

alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* cv Lew) root tips yield about 2,000 cells per day, and the maximum cell number is reached

when root length is 20 mm. Factors that regulate border cell production under natural conditions are unknown. If this process is responsive to environmental conditions that normally develop underground, then border cell number would be predicted to vary accordingly within specific microenvironments. Such variation would be predicted to exert a large effect on the properties of the rhizosphere, particularly with respect to colonization and infection by microorganisms that specifically respond to border cells and their exudates (Hawes et al., 1998). In this study, the effect of atmospheres with altered $CO₂:O₂$ concentrations on the production of border cells was examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Border Cell Isolation

Plant species included pea (*Pisum sativum* cv Little Marvel, Royal Seeds, Kansas City, MO) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* cv Lew, a gift from Dr. Steve Smith, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson).

Pea seeds were surface-sterilized by immersion in 95% (v/v) ethanol for 10 min and then in full-strength commercial bleach (5.25% [v/v] NaOCl) for 30 min. Alfalfa seeds were sterilized in ethanol and bleach for 10 min each. Seeds then were rinsed in sterilized distilled water six times, followed by immersion in sterilized distilled water for 6 h for pea and 2 h for alfalfa. The imbibed seeds were germinated at 24°C on 1.0% (w/v) water agar (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis) plates overlaid with sterilized germination paper (Hawes and Lin, 1990).

Border cells were isolated as described previously (Hawes and Lin, 1990). Tips of intact roots were immersed in 1 mL of sterilized distilled water for 1 to 2 min and border cells were removed by gently agitation. Border cell numbers were determined by direct counts using a light microscope.

Preparation of Atmospheres with Altered CO2:O2 Concentrations

A portable gas-mixing device (Misaghi and Stowell, 1991) was used to provide atmospheres with defined N_2 , $O₂$, and $CO₂$ concentrations and constant flow rates. The device uses adjustable flow control valves and a mass flowmeter to mix and deliver precise, predetermined quan-

tities of three gases in different combinations at a constant flow rate. The O_2 and CO_2 concentrations were varied by adjusting the flow rate from the source O_2 , CO_2 , and N_2 cylinders. At the early stage of experiments, the test atmospheres included $CO₂:O₂$ concentrations (v/v) of 0.03%: 21% (ambient atmospheric conditions) and 3%:18%, 6%:15%, 9%:12%, and 12%:9%, N_2 concentration was kept at 79%.

Atmospheres prepared by increasing the $CO₂$ level or decreasing the $O₂$ level only were used in some experiments. The atmospheric components of these gas mixtures were $CO_2:O_2$ concentrations (v/v) of 3%:21%, 6%:21%, 0.03%:18%, and 0.03%:15%, and the N_2 concentrations were changed proportionally to 76%, 73%, 82%, and 85%. In preliminary tests, significant effects were observed in the absence of any changes in N_2 level, so subsequent experiments focused on the effects of $CO₂:O₂$ concentrations, and no further studies were carried on the effects of $N₂$. The actual concentration of each gas in the mixture was determined using an analytical gas chromatograph (Series 100, Hach Carle Chromatography Company, Loveland, CO) by analysis of 1 mL of the mixed air sample.

Glass jars with two ports (4 mm in diameter) on the lid serving as an inlet and an outlet were used for exposing seeds or whole seedlings to the test atmospheres (Kim and Misaghi, 1992). Glass jars (3.8 L) were flushed with 100% (v/v) N₂ for about 30 min to eliminate the existing O₂ and CO₂ before running the test atmospheres. Seeds or seedlings were placed in water agar plates overlaid with germination paper. To promote gas exchange, a 10-mm-long, 3-mm-wide opening was made on the wall of each plate. A maximum of 10 plates, each with 25 mL of water agar containing seeds or seedlings, were placed inside each glass jar. When assembled, the volume in each jar left for air phases was about 3.5 L. When plates containing seeds or seedlings in each jar were fewer than 10, empty water agar plates were used to make up the number so as to keep the desired volume. To eliminate fluctuations in $CO₂$ and $O₂$ concentrations caused by seed respiration, the jar was flushed once every 30 min; the flow rate of mixed gases was set at 7.0 L/h. One jar was used for each treatment. To eliminate the effects of other environmental factors, all of the experiments were carried out in a controlledtemperature chamber at 24°C in darkness.

Effect of Altered CO₂:O₂ Concentrations on Germination, **Root Growth, and Border Cell Number**

Stage I: During Radicle Emergence

Pea seeds were germinated under the test atmospheres (CO₂:O₂ concentrations [v/v] of 0.03%:21%, 3%:18%, 6%: 15%, 9%:12%, and 12%:9%).

Percentage germination and root lengths were measured 2 d later. For germination tests there were three replicate plates each containing 10 seeds. Root lengths for these seedlings were measured individually. Ungerminated seeds were considered as having a root length of "0 mm." The whole test was carried out twice.

Once the radicle emerged, seedlings with specific root lengths (5, 10, 15, 20, or 25 mm) were selected at 5-h intervals from each treatment. For each specific root length, 12 seedlings were sampled and border cells were harvested and counted individually. The experiment was performed twice.

Stage II: Renewed Border Cell Separation

Pea or alfalfa seeds were germinated and grown under ambient atmosphere in an incubator. Pea seedlings with 25-mm-long roots or alfalfa seedlings with 20-mm-long roots were selected for use in further tests in which they were exposed to $CO_2:O_2$ concentrations (v/v) of 0.03%: 21%, 3%:18%, and 6%:15%.

Root growth was monitored by measuring root length before transferring (0) and 1, 2, or 3 d after treatment. Fifteen seedlings were measured for root length in each treatment each day. The test was performed twice.

The effect of altered $CO₂:O₂$ levels on renewed border cell separation was evaluated by exposing 15 pea seedlings (25 mm) to test atmospheres. Border cells were removed and counted daily before exposing (0) and 1, 2, and 3 d after treatment, and the pea seedlings with washed root tips were placed back into the glass jars under the test atmospheres. There were three replicates (plates) for each treatment and each sample was the average of five seedlings in the same plates. The whole test was performed twice.

Pea and alfalfa seedlings containing a full set of border cells were transferred to indicated test atmospheres. Fifteen seedlings were collected from each treatment everyday at d 0 (before transferring) and 1, 2, or 3 d after treatment and border cell numbers were counted. There were three replicate plates for each treatment and each sample was the average of five seedlings in the same plate. The whole test was performed twice.

Effects of Seed Density on CO₂ Concentration and Border **Cell Number**

Effect of Seed Density on $CO₂$ Concentration

Standard Petri plates containing water agar (1.0% [w/v], Sigma) and either 10 or 30 seeds per plate, were used. A sterilized needle was used to make a hole in the center of the Petri plate lid, then the hole was sealed with clear plastic tape. Seeds were germinated under ambient atmosphere at 24 \degree C in an incubator. CO₂ and O₂ concentrations within Petri plates were determined after 2 d when root lengths of most of the seedlings had reached about 20 to 25 mm. One-milliliter air samples were taken from each plate with a syringe inserted through the hole on the Petri plate cover. Samples were analyzed with an analytical gas chromatograph. Each treatment included three replicates (plates) and two independent experiments were conducted.

Effect of Seed Density on Border Cell Number

The whole test was carried out as described above under "Effects of Seed Density on $CO₂$ Concentration." Five seedlings were removed from each plate after air samples were taken and border cells were isolated and counted. Border cell numbers were the average of five seedlings and each treatment included three replicates (plates). The whole test was carried out twice.

Statistical Analysis

The whole experiment was a complete random design and the data from all experiments were subjected to analysis of variance and Duncan's test for multiple range test using Costat Statistical Software (Cohort Software, Berkeley, CA).

RESULTS

High CO2/Low O2 Concentrations Inhibit Root Development and Border Cell Separation during the Period of Radicle Emergence

Under ambient atmospheric conditions, border cells can be collected from the root tip when the root is 5 mm in length. Subsequently, border cell number increases with increasing root length and reaches the maximum number when root length is 25 mm, and then remains stable (Hawes and Lin, 1990). Under the tested $CO₂:O₂$ concentrations, the number of border cells separated at each root length during this early period of development was significantly decreased (Fig. 1) and the percentage germination and average root length of each treatment also declined (Table I). The higher the $CO₂$ and lower the $O₂$ level, the greater was the reduction in germination, root growth, and border cell numbers. Since the 9% and 12% (v/v) CO₂ greatly inhibited the germination and root growth of pea (Table I), subsequent experiments employed $CO₂$ concentrations of 3% and 6%. These levels are in the concentration range known to occur in cultivated field soil (Stolzy, 1974; Buyanovsky and Wagner, 1983).

Figure 1. Effects of high $CO₂/low O₂$ atmospheres on border cell numbers during germination. Pea seeds were germinated under the indicated test atmospheres. In each treatment, 12 seedlings were collected and border cells were harvested and counted individually for each specific root length. The experiment was performed twice. Values are the means of 24 replicates and bars represent se. White bars, 0.03%CO₂:21%O₂; light gray bars, 3% CO₂:18%O₂; dark gray bars, $6\%CO_2$:15% O_2 .

Table I. Effects of high $CO_2/low O_2$ atmospheres on pea seed germination and radicle emergence Pea seeds were germinated on water agar plates overlaid with germination paper under the test atmospheres as indicated. The percentage of germination and root length were measured 2 d later. For each treatment there were three replicate plates, each containing 10 seedlings. Root lengths for these seedlings were measured individually. The whole test was carried out twice. Values for germination rates are means of six replicates and values for root length are means of 60 seedlings \pm SE

$(\%CO_2;\%O_2)$	0.03% :21%	3% :18%	6% :15%	9%:12%	12%:9%
Germination (%) Root length (mm)	$90.6 \pm 3.6^{\circ}$ $21.2 \pm 2.4^{\circ}$	$86.8 \pm 3.4^{\circ}$ 15.6 ± 1.2^{ab}	83.4 ± 2.2^{ab} $12.5 \pm 0.9^{\rm b}$	$66.3 + 4.7^b$ $8.2 + 0.7$ ^c	$53.3 \pm 6.1^{\rm bc}$ 5.6 ± 1.2 ^{cd}

Atmospheres with High CO₂/Low O₂ Have No Significant **Effect on Growth of Established Roots of Pea and Alfalfa Seedlings**

When pea and alfalfa seedlings are grown under ambient atmosphere conditions until roots are 25 and 20 mm long, respectively, the species-specific maximum number of border cells has been reached, and the roots are referred to herein as "established" roots. Seedlings then were transferred into gas jars for exposure to the test atmospheres. During the 3-d treatment, root growth was monitored by measuring length daily (Fig. 2). The differences in root growth under each test atmospheric treatment were not statistically significant.

Atmospheres with High CO₂/Low O₂ Have No Effect on **the Number of Border Cells Produced by Established Roots during a 24-h Period**

When border cells are removed from established roots, renewed border cell separation is initiated immediately, and by 24 h a new set of approximately 4,000 border cells

accumulates at the tip of each root (Hawes and Lin, 1990). Experiments were carried out to determine whether high $CO₂/low O₂ atmospheres influence the number of border$ cells that can be produced by a root tip daily. Established roots with a full set of border cells were washed to remove border cells and then placed under test atmospheres. After 24 h, newly synthesized border cells were harvested and counted, and the process was repeated over 3 consecutive d. Each day, roots produced $4,000 \pm 500$ new border cells whether the root was maintained at high $CO₂/low O₂$ atmospheres or in ambient atmosphere. No significant differences were observed in the daily production of border cells under high $CO₂/low O₂$ or ambient atmosphere.

Atmospheres with High CO₂/Low O₂ Concentrations **Override the Endogenous Regulation of Border Cell Production in Pea Roots**

Established pea roots with a full set of border cells, in which border cell number normally remains unchanged as roots grow (Hawes and Lin, 1990), were exposed to the test

B

Figure 2. Effects of high $CO_2/low O_2$ atmospheres on root growth of pea (A) and alfalfa (B) seedlings with established roots. Pea and alfalfa seeds were germinated and grown under ambient atmospheres in an incubator. Seedlings with established roots (25 mm for pea and 20 mm for alfalfa) were transferred to the indicated test atmospheres. Root lengths of 15 seedlings were measured every day for 3 d. The experiment was performed twice. Values are the means of 30 replicates and bars represent SE.

 $\mathbf A$

Figure 3. Effects of high CO₂/low O₂ atmospheres on border cell separation from pea. Pea seeds were germinated and grown under ambient atmospheric conditions in a controlled-temperature incubator, and seedlings with established roots (25 mm) with border cells were transferred into ambient (0.03% CO₂:21% O₂) and high CO₂/low O₂ (6% CO₂:15% O₂) atmospheric conditions. Seedlings from both treatments were collected 3 d later, root tips were immersed in 1 mL of distilled water for 1 min, and the separation of border cells was observed under a dissecting microscope.

atmospheres. After 3 d in 6% (v/v) CO₂, the appearance of the root cap was indistinguishable from that of roots that had been maintained in ambient atmospheric conditions (Fig. 3, arrows). However, the number of border cells present at the root tip of seedlings maintained in ambient atmosphere (Fig. 3A) appeared to be much smaller than at the root tips of seedlings maintained in high $CO₂/low O₂$ (Fig. 3B). The halo of border cells surrounding the root tip was much denser, and its diameter was nearly doubled. To confirm the changes in cell number, the experiment was repeated, with sample seedlings removed daily to count border cell number. During the 3-d experimental period, border cell numbers from roots maintained under ambient atmospheric conditions had not increased significantly, as reported previously (Hawes and Lin, 1990). In contrast, pea seedlings under high $CO₂/low O₂$ atmospheres responded by a progressive, dosage-dependent increase in border cell number throughout the test period (Fig. 4A). At the end of the 3 d, border cell numbers in 3% and 6% (v/v) $CO₂$ were 1.5- and 2-fold higher, respectively, than those maintained in ambient conditions.

Border Cell Production in Alfalfa Is Not Stimulated by High CO₂/Low O₂ Atmospheres

Alfalfa seedlings with established roots were transferred into the test atmospheres, and border cell number was monitored over the next 3 d. In contrast to results obtained with pea, border cell number on alfalfa roots did not change significantly over time under any $CO₂$: $O₂$ regime (Fig. 4B).

CO2:O2 Changes Generated by Plant Respiration Stimulate Border Cell Production as Effectively as Laboratory Atmospheres with Altered CO₂:O₂ Concentrations

The results from the above experiments were consistent with the hypothesis that altered $CO₂$: $O₂$ concentrations can affect border cell production. If correct, then any conditions that result in similarly altered $CO₂:O₂$ concentrations would also result in altered border cell production. The possibility that such effects occuring in response to plant respiration affect border cell numbers was tested. The density of seeds germinated in Petri plates, which results in significantly altered $CO₂:O₂$ concentrations, was determined. Gas chromatographic analysis was used to demonstrate that in plates containing 30 seeds each, the $CO₂$ concentration (v/v) reached 1.6% and the $O₂$ level decreased to 17%, while at 10 seedlings per plate the $CO₂$ level (v/v) was 0.3% and the O_2 level was 19% (Table II). Border cell number on established roots maintained at higher seed density was nearly 1.5-fold higher than on roots under low density (Table II).

Increased CO2 Concentration Is Responsible for Increased Border Cell Number

The experimental results indicated that high $CO₂/low$ $O₂$ conditions can affect border cell production in pea, under certain conditions. To distinguish which factor, increased $CO₂$ or decreased $O₂$, caused the observed results, a set of tests was designed using increased $CO₂$ and normal O_2 or decreased O_2 and normal CO_2 levels. The experiment

Figure 4. Different effects of high $CO₂/low O₂$ atmospheres on border cell separation from pea (A) and alfalfa (B). Pea and alfalfa seeds were germinated and grown under ambient atmospheric conditions in a controlled-temperature incubator and seedlings with established roots (25 mm for pea and 20 mm for alfalfa) and a whole set of border cells were transferred into indicated test atmospheres. Seedlings were collected and border cell numbers were counted from each treatment before (0) and 1, 2, and 3 d after the treatment. There were three replicates in each treatment and each sample was the average of five seedlings. The whole test was performed twice. Values are the means of six replicates and bars represent SE.

was carried out using pea seedlings with established roots (25 mm) with a full set of border cells, and the experimental process was as described above. Responses to high $CO₂/$ normal $O₂$ treatment were indistinguishable from responses to high $CO₂/low O₂$ treatments (Fig. 5A). In contrast, no significant changes were found in response to decreased O_2 and normal CO_2 treatments (Fig. 5B), indicating that increased $CO₂$, rather than reduced $O₂$, was the factor responsible for the increase in border cell number.

DISCUSSION

The results from this study demonstrate that whole seedlings exposed to atmospheres with high $CO₂/low O₂$ can respond with altered production of border cells at their root tips, but that this effect varies according to plant developmental stage and plant species. During the period of radicle emergence, high $CO₂/low O₂$ concentrations were correlated with reduced production of border cells as well as reduced seed germination and root growth. During

the post-germination phase, however, no significant reduction in root growth or the daily production of border cells occurred in pea or alfalfa seedlings in response to atmospheres with high $CO₂/low O₂$. Surprisingly, in fact, the effect of high $CO₂/low O₂$ on established pea roots was to increase the total number of border cells that accumulated over time. A change in atmosphere can therefore exert opposite effects on root formation within a narrow window of development.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate that a specific environmental signal can create large changes in the process of border cell production. As the connections between cells dissolve during the process of border cell separation, border cells are encased within a high-*M*^r mucilage surrounding the root tip (Hawes and Brigham, 1992; Hawes et al., 1998). This mucilage remains rather dry in the absence of free water, which has the effect of holding the cells together at the tip. Only when free water is introduced do the cells disperse away into the rhizosphere. In the absence of free water, border cells remain tightly appressed to the root surface. Once the number of accumulated cells within the mucilage has reached its species-dependent maximum, mitosis within the root cap meristem ceases and border cell number stops increasing. Even after many days of growth, this number remains constant (Hawes and Lin, 1990; Brigham et al., 1998). In response to increased $CO₂$ and reduced $O₂$, however, the numbers of border cells do not level off as in ambient atmosphere, but instead increase steadily over time. The response is dosage dependent. The higher the $CO₂$ levels, the more border cells accumulate, such that a given root in 6% CO₂:15% O₂ (v/v) has more than twice as many cells (8,300 versus 4,000) after 3 d as those grown in ambient conditions. In previous studies, moderate changes in relative humidity, temperature, and water availability did not cause significant changes in border cell number during development (Hawes and Lin, 1990; Hawes and Brigham, 1992).

The mechanism by which controlled atmospheres override the normal regulation of border cell number in pea is not known. Alterations of plant physiology and biochemistry corresponding to either O_2 deficiency or CO_2 excess have been reported (for review, see Stolzy, 1974; Mistrik et al., 1992; Rouhier et al., 1996). In the current study, low O_2

Table II. Relationship of seed density, pea border cell number, and $CO_2:O_2$ concentration

Pea seeds were germinated on water agar plates with different seed densities. Three days later, 1-mL air samples were collected from each plate and analyzed with a gas chromatograph. Border cell numbers were the average from five seedlings from each plates and there were three replicates (plates) for each treatment. The whole test was carried out twice. Values for gas contents and border cell numbers are mean of six replicates \pm sE.

Figure 5. Effects of increased CO_2 (A) or decreased O_2 (B) on border cell numbers of pea. Pea seeds were germinated and grown under ambient atmosphere in an incubator. Pea seedlings with established roots (25 mm) were exposed to the indicated increased $CO₂$ or decreased $O₂$ treatments. A certain number of seedlings were collected and border cell numbers were counted from each treatment before (0) and 1, 2, and 3 d after treatment. There were three replicates in each treatment and each sample was the average of five seedlings. The whole test was performed twice. Values are the means of six replicates and bars represent sE. White bars, $0.03\%CO_2:21\%O_2$; light gray bars, 3% CO₂:21%O₂; dark gray bars, $6\%CO_2:21\%O_2.$

had no effect on border cell number, while high $CO₂$ caused effects identical to those observed with high $CO₂/$ low $O₂$ in combination, suggesting that increased border cell number is entirely due to high levels of $CO₂$, not to reduced $O₂$.

One possible mechanism by which $CO₂$ could influence the process is by altered pH. $CO₂$ can cause a slight decrease in pH (Umbreit, 1964), since it can form carbonic acid and dicarbonate once dissolved in water. Extracellular and intracellular pH changes caused by $CO₂$ treatment have been reported. For example, by bubbling air samples containing 5% (v/v) CO₂ into *Acer pseudoplatanus* cell suspensions, the extracellular pH in the suspension decreased from 7.5 to 6.4 and the intracellular pH of plant cells decreased from 7.0 to 6.4 (Bown, 1985); pH decreases were also observed in the cytoplasm and vacuoles of lettuce leaf tissue treated with 15% CO₂ (Sirphanich and Kader, 1986). Similar pH changes could influence border cell production. During border cell development, a molecule produced by border cells accumulates to a threshold that inhibits mitosis in the root cap meristem, thereby autoregulating the number of cells produced by a given root (Brigham et al., 1998). A change in the solubility or biological activity of this molecule as a result of a change in extracellular pH could alter its ability to modulate mitotic activity leading to border cell production.

In contrast to pea, alfalfa border cell production by established roots was completely insensitive to changes on atmospheric $CO₂$ levels. The basis for this differential sensitivity is not known but such distinctions could have significant consequences at the rhizosphere. Since its discovery in 1904, research on the rhizosphere has yielded one uncontroverted principle: populations of microorganisms are much higher in the region surrounding roots than in bulk soil, as a result of the nutrient-rich exudates released

from plants. On a daily basis, from 15% to more than 50% of plant-fixed carbon can be released in root exudates (for review, see Lynch and Whipps, 1991). Recognition of this principle has spawned countless efforts to exploit it to improve plant health, with mixed results. Successes have been achieved in the areas such as nitrogen fixation (Carroll, 1991), biocontrol of plant diseases (Handelsman and Stabb, 1996), and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (Loper et al., 1997), yet a large portion of attempted rhizosphere manipulations result in inconsistency or failure (for review, see Cook and Baker, 1983; Weller, 1988; Handelsman and Stabb, 1996). As Rovira (1991) put it, "this frustration spreads across biological control of root diseases, promotion of plant growth, maintenance of high populations of effective rhizobia and is not surprising considering the complexity of the rhizosphere environment."

A lack of attention to the impact of the plant-regulated production of border cells into the rhizosphere has prevailed for many years (Hawes et al., 1998), and may in part account for continued difficulty in understanding and manipulating the biology of the rhizosphere. The results of this study reveal that changes in soil atmospheric conditions within a physiologically relevant range can result in thousands more or less border cells released from the root tip, depending on developmental stage as well as plant species or genotype. Root border cells can attract fungal zoospores in seconds (Goldberg et al., 1989), synthesize defense structures (Sherwood, 1987), and induce expression of microbial genes required for pathogenesis and symbiosis (Zhu et al., 1997). The delivery of more than 8,000 border cells in response to changes in $CO₂$ levels would be expected to stimulate qualitative and quantitative variations in associated microbial populations compared with a rhizosphere with 4,000 cells. An awareness of these variations is likely to facilitate efforts to manage the ecology of the rhizosphere.

Received June 14, 1999; accepted September 7, 1999.

LITERATURE CITED

- **Abrosimova LN, Revut IB** (1964) Biological activity and the composition of soil air in the plow layer. Sov Soil Sci **7:** 682–691
- **Bown AW** (1985) CO₂ and intracellular pH. Plant Cell Environ 8: 459–465
- **Brigham LA, Woo HH, Hawes MC** (1995) Differential expression of proteins and mRNAs from border cells and root tips of pea. Plant Physiol **109:** 457–463
- **Brigham LA, Woo HH, Wen F, Hawes MC** (1998) Meristemspecific suppression of mitosis and a global switch in gene expression in the root cap of pea (*Pisum sativam*) by endogenous signals. Plant Physiol **118:** 1223–1231
- **Buyanovsky GA, Wagner GH** (1983) Annual cycles of carbon dioxide level in soil air. Soil Sci Soc Am J **47:** 1139–1145
- **Carroll PV** (1991) Root-bacteria interactions: symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *In* DL Keister, PB Cregan, eds, The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 723–756
- **Clowes FAL** (1994) Origin of the epidermis in root meristems. New Phytol **127:** 335–347
- **Cook RJ, Baker KF** (1983) The Nature and Practice of Biological Control of Plant Pathogens. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul
- **Curl EA, Truelove B** (1986) The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- **Goldberg NP, Hawes MC, Stanghellini ME** (1989) Specific attraction to and infection of isolated cotton root cap cells by zoospores of *Pythium dissotocum.* Can J Bot **67:** 1760–1767
- **Griffin DM** (1972) Ecology of Soil Fungi. Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, NY
- **Griffin DM, Hale MG, Shay F** (1976) Nature and quantity of sloughed organic matter produced by roots of axenic peanut plants. Soil Biol Biochem **8:** 29–32
- **Grineva GM** (1962) Excretion of plant roots during brief periods of anaerobiosis. Sov Plant Physiol **8:** 549–552
- **Handelsman J, Stabb EV** (1996) Biocontrol of soilborne plant pathogens. Plant Cell **8:** 1855–1869
- **Hawes MC** (1990) Sloughed root cap cells: a regulator of microbial populations in the rhizosphere? Plant Soil **129:** 19–27
- **Hawes MC, Brigham LA** (1992) Impact of root border cells on microbial populations in the rhizosphere. Adv Plant Pathol **8:** 119–148
- **Hawes MC, Brigham LA, Wen F, Woo H-H, Zhu Y** (1998) Function of root border cells in plant health: pioneers in the rhizosphere. Annu Rev Phytopathol **36:** 311–327
- **Hawes MC, Lin HJ** (1990) Correlation of pectolytic enzyme activity with the programmed release of cells from root caps of pea (*Pisum sativum*). Plant Physiol **94:** 1855–1859
- Hawes MC, Pueppke SG (1986) Isolated peripheral root cap cells: yield from different plants, and callus formation from single cells. Am J Bot **73:** 1466–1473
- **Kim DH, Misaghi IJ** (1992) Siderophore production by fluorescent pseudomonads is sensitive to changes in O_2 and CO_2 levels. Soil Biol Biochem **24:** 821–824
- **Kim DH, Misaghi IJ, Pierson EA** (1996) Fluorescent pseudomonad populations in modified rhizosphere atmosphere. Soil Biol Biochem **28:** 497–501
- **Loper JE, Nowak-Thompson B, Whistler CA, Hagen MJ, Corbell NA, Henkels MD, Stockwell VO** (1997) Biological control mediated by antifungal metabolite production and resource competition: an overview. *In* A Ogoshi, K Kobayashi, Y Homma, F Kodama, N Kondo, S Akino, eds, Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria: Present Status and Future Prospects. The 4th Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria International Workshop Organizing Committee 1997. Nakanishi Printing, Sapporo, Japan, pp 73–79
- **Lynch JM, Whipps JM** (1991) Substrate role in the rhizosphere. *In* DL Keister, PB Cregan, eds, The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 15–24
- **Misaghi IJ, Stowell LJ** (1991) Portable device for preparation delivery of gas mixture. Appl Environ Microbiol **57:** 843–846
- **Mistrik I, Holobrada M, Ciamporova M** (1992) The root in unfavorable conditions. *In* J Kolek, V Kozinka, eds, Physiology of the Plant Root System. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 286–312
- **Rittenhouse RL, Hale MG** (1971) Loss of organic compounds from roots: II. Effect of O_2 and CO_2 tension on release of sugars from peanut roots under axenic conditions. Plant Soil **35:** 311–321
- **Rouhier H, Billès G, Billès L, Bottner P** (1996) Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere of sweet chestnut seedlings (*Castanea sativa*) grown under two atmospheric $CO₂$ concentrations: ^{14}C partitioning after pulse labeling. Plant Soil **180:** 101–111
- **Rovira AD** (1991) Rhizosphere research: 85 years of progress and frustration. *In* DL Keister, PB Cregan, eds, The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 3–13
- **Sherwood R** (1987) Papilla formation in corn root cap cells and leaves inoculated with *Colletotrichum graminicola*. Phytopathology **77:** 930–934
- **Sirphanich J, Kader AA** (1986) Changes in cytoplasmic and vacuolar pH in harvested lettuce tissue as influenced by $CO₂$. J Am Soc Hort Sci **111:** 73–77
- **Stephenson MB, Hawes MC** (1994) Correlation of pectinmethylesterase activity in root caps of pea with root border cell separation. Plant Physiol **111:** 959–964
- **Stolzy LH** (1974) Soil atmosphere. *In* EW Carson, ed, The Plant Root and Its Environment. University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, pp 335–362
- **Stolzy LH, Zentmyer GA** (1975) Dynamic and measurement of oxygen diffusion and concentration in the root zone and other microsites. *In* GW Bruehl, ed, Biology and Control of Soilborne Plant Pathogens. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, pp 50–54
- **Umbreit WW** (1964) Carbon dioxide and bicarbonate. *In* WW Umbreit, RH Burris, JF Stauffer, eds, Manometric Techniques and Related Methods for the Study of Tissue Metabolism. Manometric Techniques Burgess Publishing Co., Minneapolis, pp 18–27
- **Weller DM** (1988) Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol **26:** 379–407
- **Wood RD, Keller CK, Johnstone DL** (1993) *In situ* measurement of microbial activity and controls on microbial $CO₂$ production in the unsaturated zone. Water Resour Res **29:** 647–659
- **Yamaguchi M, Flocker WJ, Howard FD** (1967) Soil atmosphere as influenced by temperature and moisture. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc **31:** 164–167
- **Zhu Y, Pierson III LS, Hawes MC** (1997) Induction of microbial genes for pathogenesis and symbiosis by chemicals from root border cells. Plant Physiol **115:** 1691–1698