

# Epidemiology of poisonings, fractures and burns among 0–24 year olds in England using linked health and mortality data

Ruth Baker<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Orton<sup>1</sup>, Laila J. Tata<sup>2</sup> and Denise Kendrick<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

<sup>2</sup> Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

**Correspondence:** Dr Ruth Baker, B126 Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham City Hospital, University of Nottingham, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK, Tel: 0115 8231373, Fax: 0115 8231337, e-mail: mcxrb12@nottingham.ac.uk

**Background:** Understanding patterns of injury in England is challenging due to a lack of national injury surveillance data. Through recent linkage of a large primary care research database to hospitalization and mortality data, we describe the epidemiology of poisonings, fractures and burns over a 14-year period. **Methods:** We used linked English primary care, hospitalisation and mortality data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink, Hospital Episode Statistics and Office for National Statistics between 1998 and 2011 to establish a cohort of 2,106,420 0–24 year olds. Incidence rates, per 10 000 person-years (PY) were estimated by age, sex, calendar year and socioeconomic status. Using Poisson regression we estimated incidence rate ratios, adjusting for age and sex. **Results:** Age patterns of injury incidence varied by injury type, with peaks at age 2 (74.3/10 000 PY) and 18 (74.7/10 000 PY) for poisonings, age 13 for fractures (305.1/10 000 PY) and age 1 for burns (116.8/10 000 PY). Over time, fracture incidence increased, whereas poisoning incidence increased only among 15–24 year olds and burns incidence reduced. Poisoning and burns incidence increased with deprivation, with the steepest socioeconomic gradient for poisonings among 20–24 year olds (IRR 2.63, 95% confidence interval 2.24–3.09). **Conclusion:** Differing patterns according to age and injury type reflect differences in underlying injury mechanisms, highlighting the importance of developing tailored preventative interventions across the life course. Inequalities in injury occurrences support the targeting of preventative interventions to children and young people living in the most deprived areas.

## Introduction

Injuries among children and young people continue to be a public health priority across Europe, remaining the leading cause of death, and accounting for an estimated 16.2 million hospital attendances each year among those aged 0–24.<sup>1</sup> Three of the commonest injuries of childhood and adolescence are poisonings, fractures and burns; injuries highlighted as priorities for prevention both within the United Kingdom (UK) and Europe.<sup>2,3</sup> There is recognition across Europe that injury data collection systems need strengthening to allow more specific targeting of interventions and monitoring of progress.<sup>4</sup> Within the UK there is no national injury surveillance system, with existing hospitalisation and mortality data only capturing a small proportion of the injury burden.<sup>5</sup> The recent linkage of a large population-based primary care research database to hospitalisation and mortality data offers new potential for injury surveillance in England. We therefore aimed to describe the epidemiology of poisonings, fractures and burns for children and young people aged 0–24 living in England, using these linked data sources, with the aim of informing injury prevention strategies.

## Methods

### Setting and study population

The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) is a large primary care research database containing the primary care records of over 11 million patients from the UK.<sup>6</sup> In the UK, over 98% of the resident population is registered with a general practitioner (GP).<sup>7</sup> GPs play a central role in managing the health of their registered patients, maintaining a longitudinal health record, including recording information received about patient utilisation of

secondary and tertiary health services [e.g. emergency department (ED) attendances, specialist burns units]. Medical data are recorded in CPRD using Read codes, a clinical coding system.

Using the CPRD, we conducted an open cohort study of children and young people aged 0–24 living in England, who were registered with a CPRD practice between 1998 and 2011 and had their primary care record linked to hospitalisation and mortality data. Within England, hospitalisation data are held within the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) inpatient dataset, which captures elective and emergency hospitalisations paid for by the National Health Service. Diagnoses and procedures are coded in HES using the International Classification of Diseases revision 10 (ICD-10), and Office of Population Census and Surveys version 4 (OPCS-4), respectively. All deaths occurring in England are captured in the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality dataset, with ICD-10 used to record cause of death. CPRD, HES and ONS mortality data were linked by a trusted third party using the patient's unique identifier, sex and date of birth. For the period 1998–2011, 356 general practices contributing to the CPRD had linked HES and ONS mortality data (~4% of English practices in 2011). Data from linked CPRD-HES practices are broadly representative of the age and sex structure of the English population,<sup>6,8</sup> but underrepresents practices from the North East, East Midlands and Yorkshire and the Humber.

The start of follow-up for each participant was the latest date of: their date of birth, practice registration date, 1st January 1998, and the date the practice met the CPRD data quality standards. The end of follow-up was the earliest date of: 31 December 2011, participant's 25th birthday, death date, date of practice deregistration and the date medical data were last collected from the practice.

## Injury outcomes

We identified all poisoning, fracture and burn events, regardless of their intent, recorded in primary care (CPRD), hospitalisation (HES) or mortality data (ONS) using comprehensive Read, ICD-10 and OPCS-4 code lists. Each injury outcome was defined according to ICD-10 categories (Supplementary table S1).

By using three linked data sources we aimed to maximise our capture of medically attended injuries, as there is no single data source in England that comprehensively captures all injury occurrences. Some injuries will however be recorded in multiple data sources; for example a child hospitalised for a fracture will have a record in HES, but may also have a record in primary care (CPRD) when the GP receives correspondence from secondary care. In addition, a child may have multiple records for the same injury when follow-up care is required. To avoid over-counting injury events we used a time-based algorithm, as previously described,<sup>5</sup> to define incident injury events in linked CPRD-HES-ONS data. In brief, we assessed the time between the first code for an injury event and all subsequent records for that injury type. Those records occurring within a certain time-window of the event date (i.e. likely to indicate follow-up care, or the same injury recorded in multiple data sources) were considered the same event (Supplementary table S2). Those records occurring outside of the time-window were considered a new injury event. This method enabled the inclusion of repeated injury events per study participant.

## Causes of injury

Within ICD-10, the external cause codes (V01–Y36, Y90–Y98) classify injuries according to how they occurred (e.g. transport accidents) or whether the injury was unintentional or intentional. For each injury recorded in HES and ONS mortality data we identified the external cause of injury, and assessed the proportions of events due to each cause by child age. We do not report injury mechanisms/intent for events only recorded in primary care, as recording is incomplete within UK primary care data (e.g. available for 2% of fractures and 4% of burns).<sup>5</sup>

## Statistical analyses

Injury incidence rates were estimated per 10 000 person-years (PY) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) by age, sex, socioeconomic status and calendar year. We measured socioeconomic status using quintiles of the Index of Multiple Deprivation 2010, a measure of deprivation based on area of residence.<sup>9</sup> Using Poisson regression we calculated adjusted incidence rate ratios (aIRR) for socioeconomic status and calendar year, adjusting for age and sex. Calendar time was divided into two periods (1 January 1998–31 December 2004, and 1 January 2005–31 December 2011). We estimated the burden of poisonings, fractures and burns among 0–24 year olds for the year 2011 by applying injury incidence rates by age and sex to the 2011 population estimate for England.<sup>10</sup> All analyses were conducted using Stata version 13.0. The study was approved by the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (protocol 13-199R).

## Results

The study cohort consisted of 2 106 420 children and young people, contributing a total of 9 814 557 PYs of follow-up. Of the study population, 1 029 379 (49%) were male and 1 077 041 (51%) were female, with similar proportions of the cohort from each socioeconomic quintile (Supplementary table S3). During study follow-up, 40 931 poisonings, 177 524 fractures, and 34 819 burns occurred among the cohort, giving incidence rates for 0–24 year olds of 41.7/10 000PY (95%CI 41.2–42.2), 180.9 (95%CI 180.0–181.8) and 35.5 (95%CI 35.1–35.9), respectively.

## Age and sex

Age patterns varied by injury type (figure 1), with peaks in injury incidence at age 2 (74.3/10 000 PY) and 18 (74.7/10 000 PY) for poisonings, age 13 for fractures (305.1/10 000 PY) and age 1 for burns (116.8/10 000 PY). Among children aged 0–4, males (48.1/10 000PY, 95%CI 46.7–49.6) had a higher poisoning incidence than females (43.3/10 000PY, 95%CI 42.0–44.7). Comparatively, poisonings increased among females after the age of 12, with incidence over twice as high for females aged 15–24 compared with males of this age (females:99.8/10 000 vs. males:43.1/10 000). Across all ages, fracture incidence was higher among males than females, peaking at age 11 in females (231.0/10 000PY) and age 13 in males (441.8/10 000PY). Males aged 0–4 had a significantly higher burns incidence (71.0/10 000PY) than females (55.2/10 000PY). After the age of 5, burns incidence remained between 20 and 35/10 000PY with incidence similar between males and females.

## Socioeconomic inequalities

Among 0–24 year olds, those in the most deprived quintile had a 2-fold higher rate of poisonings (aIRR 2.12, 95%CI 1.68–2.69), and a 53% higher rate of burns (aIRR 1.53, 95%CI 1.40–1.68) compared to those in the least deprived quintile. Comparatively, there was no significant difference in fracture rates between the most and least deprived quintiles (aIRR 1.04, 95%CI 0.89–1.22). The strength of socioeconomic gradients varied with age (figure 2), with the steepest gradient seen for poisonings among 20–24 year olds (aIRR 2.63, 95%CI 2.24–3.09). Significant differences in fracture rates between the most and least deprived quintiles were only seen for 0–4 (aIRR 1.04, 1.02–1.07), 15–19 (aIRR 1.12, 95%CI 1.09–1.15) and 20–24 year olds (aIRR 1.13, 95%CI 1.12–1.14); considerably smaller socioeconomic gradients than seen for poisonings and burns.


## Incidence over time

Among 0–24 year olds, burns incidence significantly reduced between the first (1998–2004) and last (2005–11) study periods (aIRR 0.84, 95%CI 0.82–0.85; Supplementary table S1). In contrast, fracture incidence increased by 20% between these periods (aIRR 1.20, 95%CI 1.17–1.21), whereas poisoning incidence remained the same (aIRR 1.03, 95%CI 1.00–1.05). Trends over time for burns and fractures were consistent across age groups, whereas for poisonings patterns differed by age. Among 0–4 years olds, poisoning incidence reduced from 53.4/10 000PY to 40.0/10 000PY between the first and last study periods. Comparatively, poisoning incidence increased between the first and last study periods among 15–24 year olds.


## Cause of injury: hospitalisations and deaths

Among the cohort, 19 408 poisoning, 44 250 fracture and 3125 burn events led to hospitalisation, and an additional 106 poisoning, 58 fracture and 10 burn events led to death (of which some were also hospitalised prior to death) during the period 1998–2011. Figure 3 shows injury mechanisms for these hospitalisations and deaths. Among 0–4 year olds, 92.5% ( $n=2864$ ) of poisonings were recorded as unintentional, contrasting with 77.6% of poisonings among 20–24 year olds coded as intentional self-harm. Across all ages the most common fracture mechanism was a fall, although the proportion of events due to falls reduced with age (63.6% in 0–4 year olds, 24.1% in 20–24 year olds). The proportion of fractures resulting from transport accidents peaked in 15–19 year olds, accounting for 21.6% of fractures in this age group. Assault accounted for 14.0% of fractures in 15–19 year olds and 17.5% in 20–24 year olds. In 0–4 year olds, burns were most commonly due to exposure to heat and hot substances (e.g. hot drinks, bathwater scalds), accounting for 74.2% of the 1726 burns in this age group.


**POISONINGS**



**FRACTURES**



**BURNS, SCALDS AND CORROSIONS**



**Figure 1** Incidence of poisonings, fractures and burns by age and sex, using linked health and mortality data 1998–2011

The proportion of events due to this mechanism reduced with age (21.6% in 20–24 year olds).




*Estimates of poisonings, fractures and burns in England*

Using 2011 population census data, our estimated incidence rates equate to a total of 70 918 poisonings, 340 033 fractures and

49 973 burns occurring in 2011 among 0–24 year olds living in England.

**Discussion**

We present the first UK study to provide detailed injury incidence estimates by child characteristics through the use of linked primary


**POISONINGS****FRACTURES****BURNS, SCALDS AND CORROSIONS**

**Figure 2** Incidence of poisonings, fractures and burns among children and young people by age and socioeconomic status, using linked health and mortality data 1998–2011


care, hospitalisation and mortality data. In 2011, at least 70 918 poisonings, 340 033 fractures and 49 973 burns occurred among 0–24 year olds in England; vital information to those planning health services and developing injury prevention programmes. We have demonstrated notably different patterns in injury incidence by age, sex, calendar year and socioeconomic status for the three injury

types, reflecting differences in underlying mechanism and intent. Fracture incidence increased over time for all ages, whereas poisoning incidence increased only among 15–24 year olds, and burns incidence reduced over time. Children from more deprived areas had higher injury rates, with the strength of the socioeconomic gradient varying by age and injury type.


**POISONINGS (n=19,506)**



**FRACTURES (n=44,302)**



**BURNS, CORROSIONS AND SCALDS (n=3,135)**



**Figure 3** External causes of injury according to age, for poisoning, fracture and burn events leading to hospitalisation or death, 1998–2011

**Strengths and limitations**

By using three linked data sources, we have been able to provide more complete estimates of injury incidence than currently exist, capturing not only injuries leading to hospitalisation, but also those leading to death prior to hospitalisation and those recorded within primary care only. In addition, through using a time-based

algorithm to define injury events we have been able to include repeated injury events over time. A strength of our study is the use of a large cohort of over 2 million children and young people drawn from the CPRD, which has been shown to be broadly representative of the demographics of the UK population.<sup>6</sup> Underrepresentation of practices from the North West, Yorkshire and Humber and East Midlands may lead to some underestimation

of injury incidence, as injury rates tend to be higher in these areas.<sup>11</sup> Universal health coverage, with high GP registration,<sup>7</sup> and emergency care almost exclusively provided by the NHS<sup>12</sup> mean we are unlikely to be substantially underestimating injury incidence as a result of individuals being managed by the private sector.

The main limitations of this study relate to data quality and availability, as these data were primarily captured for administrative and clinical purposes. We have previously shown injury mechanism and intent are poorly recorded in primary care data,<sup>5</sup> and so the patterns described in figure 3 reflect causes of severe injuries leading to hospitalisation and/or death. For example, the percentage of fractures due to transport accidents (15.7%) is considerably higher than estimates from ED data (1.4% of fractures in 0–14 year olds).<sup>13</sup> Additionally, information about specific consumer products (e.g. dishwasher tablets) and where the injury occurred (e.g. playground) are poorly recorded in these data. While GPs do record correspondence from EDs in the primary care record, we cannot be certain that all injury events were captured if information was not received by the GP or if information was recorded using non-specific codes (e.g. 'seen in ED') or free text. In future, linkage of ED data to CPRD would ensure all medically-attended injuries are captured and may provide more comprehensive data on injury mechanisms for those injuries not leading to hospitalisation or death. We measured socioeconomic status based on area of residence, which may not accurately capture an individual's socioeconomic position. However, as many injury prevention programmes are delivered at population levels (e.g. geographical areas), our findings are relevant to those planning and delivering services.

### Comparison to existing literature

Our estimates of injury incidence and patterns by age and sex are consistent with available literature. Similar to UK and European studies,<sup>14,15</sup> we demonstrated a higher and later peak in fracture incidence among males compared with females. A peak in burns and poisoning incidence at ages 1–2 years, higher among males than females, is consistent with studies using hospitalisation and ED data,<sup>16–18</sup> and can largely be explained by the developmental changes and exploratory behaviour of children at this age.<sup>16,17</sup> We found young women aged 15–24 had substantially higher rates of poisonings than males; consistent with studies of adolescent self-harm showing considerably higher rates among females.<sup>19–21</sup>

Our finding of higher poisoning and burns incidence among the most deprived groups is consistent with existing hospital-based studies.<sup>22–25</sup> Comparatively, literature on socioeconomic differences in fracture rates is less consistent.<sup>24,26,27</sup> For example, Stark *et al.*<sup>26</sup> found children aged 0–14 from deprived areas of Glasgow had a 25% higher rate of fractures than those living in the least deprived areas; differing from Lyons *et al.*<sup>27</sup> who found no association between socioeconomic status and fracture rates among children in Wales. While our finding of similar rates of fractures by socioeconomic group among 5–14 year olds, and relatively small differences in fracture rates (4–13%) amongst the other age groups is surprising, it is plausible that differing underlying mechanisms and exposures between socioeconomic groups (e.g. time and type of sport played, exposure to road hazards) may lead to an offsetting of rates between socioeconomic groups. Future studies should consider how socioeconomic gradients vary by fracture mechanism, as this may have implications for developing preventative interventions.

Similar to a recent study of poisoning hospitalisations<sup>22</sup> and a cohort study using primary care data<sup>28</sup> we found reductions in poisoning and burns incidence among children aged 0–4 over time. These observed reductions may reflect improved public awareness, legislative changes and successes of preventative programmes.<sup>29</sup> In contrast, we found an increase in fracture incidence across all ages, similar to a Swedish study that found a 59% increase in fracture incidence between 1993 and 2007.<sup>30</sup> Comparatively, a study from

Finland found an 18% reduction in fracture incidence between 1983 and 2005, although trends differed by fracture site (e.g. increase in forearm fractures).<sup>15</sup> Explanations for an increase in fracture incidence may include increasing childhood obesity, associated with both upper and lower limb fractures<sup>31,32</sup> and changes in child leisure activities, with the clearest example being the increase in fractures related to trampolining.<sup>33</sup> These explanations, however, may not fully explain the trend we have observed, particularly as there have been reductions in other fracture mechanisms, such as road traffic accidents.<sup>34</sup> A possible explanation is that the trend we have observed reflects an increase in surgical stabilisation of some fractures (e.g. forearm fractures),<sup>35,36</sup> which if leading to an increase in the proportion of children hospitalised, could result in improved capture of fracture events within the data sources we are using. Similarly, while there is some evidence to suggest our observed increase in poisoning incidence among 15–24 year olds may be genuine,<sup>37</sup> changes to national guidance on the management of self-harm in 2004,<sup>38</sup> recommending inpatient admission and psychiatric review for those aged <16, may have increased the proportion of poisonings resulting in hospitalisation. The use of other data sources and future linkage of ED data to CPRD (when it becomes available) will be important in verifying these trends.

### Implications for research and practice

We have demonstrated that the ability to link primary care, hospitalisation and mortality data allows more comprehensive estimates of injury incidence than previously available in England, with these linked data holding potential for injury surveillance. Future linkage of ED data to CPRD will ensure all medically attended injuries are captured, allow verification of trends in incidence over time and provide further information on injury mechanism and intent.

Differing injury patterns seen within this study highlight the importance of taking a strategic, life-course approach to injury prevention, with interventions tailored according to child age and injury type. With a broad range of effective interventions available, including population-wide initiatives (e.g. product safety measures),<sup>39</sup> community initiatives (e.g. traffic calming measures)<sup>39</sup> and family interventions (e.g. home safety schemes),<sup>40</sup> there is a need for a multiagency approach at both local and national levels. High rates of adolescent self-poisonings highlight the need for close links between injury prevention, mental health and substance misuse strategies. Alongside implementing universal injury prevention approaches for all children and young people, our finding of socioeconomic gradients in injury occurrences supports the targeting of preventative interventions to households in the most deprived areas.<sup>3</sup>

### Acknowledgements

R.B. is funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research (NIHR SPCR) and The University of Nottingham. This work has previously been presented at the School for Primary Care Research Conference, Oxford England on the 9 July 2015.

### Funding

R.B. is funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research (NIHR SPCR) and The University of Nottingham.

### Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *EURPUB* online.

*Conflicts of interest:* None declared.

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

## Key points

- The ability to link longitudinal primary care, hospitalisation and mortality data offers a new opportunity to provide detailed quantification of the injury burden in England.
- Patterns of injury by age, sex, socioeconomic status and calendar time vary by injury type.
- Tailored injury prevention programmes should be developed across the life course based on the epidemiology described here.
- Inequalities in injury occurrences support the targeting of preventative interventions to households in the most deprived areas.

## References

- Bauer R, Steiner M. *Injuries in the European Union. Statistics Summary 2005-2007*. Vienna: Euosafe. KfV, Austrian Road Safety Board, 2009.
- Public Health England. *Reducing Unintentional Injuries in and Around the Home Among Children Under Five Years*. London: Public Health England, 2014.
- Sethi D, Towner E, Vincen J, et al. *European report on child injury prevention*. Copenhagen: World Health Organisation, 2008. Available at [http://www.who.int/violence\\_injury\\_prevention/child/injury/world\\_report/European\\_report.pdf](http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/child/injury/world_report/European_report.pdf).
- MacKay M, Vincen J. *Action Planning for Child Safety. 2010 Update on the Strategic and Coordinated Approach to Reducing the Number One Cause of Death and Disability for Children in Europe—Injury*. Amsterdam: European Child Safety Alliance. Eurosafe, 2010.
- Baker R, Tata LJ, Kendrick D, Orton E. Identification of incident poisoning, fracture and burn events using linked primary care, secondary care and mortality data from England: Implications for research and surveillance. *Inj Prev* 2016;22:59–67.
- Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, et al. Data resource profile: Clinical practice research datalink (CPRD). *Int J Epidemiol* 2015;44:827–36.
- Health and Social Care Information Centre. Attribution Data Set GP-Registered Populations 2010. 2012. Available at <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/> (October 2015, date last accessed).
- Crooks C. *The epidemiology of upper gastrointestinal bleeding*. Nottingham: University of Nottingham; 2013.
- Department for Communities and Local Government. *English Indices of Deprivation 2010. Guidance Document, 2011 [May 2014]*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/english-indices-of-deprivation-2010-guidance> (May 2014, date last accessed).
- Office for National Statistics. *2011 Census, Population Estimates by Single Year of Age and Sex for Local Authorities in the United Kingdom, 2013*. Available at: <http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/census/2011-census> (October 2015, date last accessed).
- Public Health England. *Public Health Outcomes Framework 2012*. Available at: <http://www.phoutcomes.info/> (October 2015, date last accessed).
- Arora S, Charlesworth A, Kelly E, Stoye G. Institute for Fiscal Studies, Nuffield Trust. *Understanding Competition and Choice in the NHS. Public Payment and Private Provision. The Changing Landscape of Health Care in the 2000s*. London: Nuffield Trust, 2013. Available at [http://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/sites/files/nuffield/publication/130522\\_public-payment-and-private-provision.pdf](http://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/sites/files/nuffield/publication/130522_public-payment-and-private-provision.pdf).
- Lyons RA, Delahunty AM, Kraus D, et al. Children's fractures: a population based study. *Inj Prev* 1999;5:129–32.
- Cooper C, Dennison EM, Leufkens HG, et al. Epidemiology of childhood fractures in Britain: a study using the general practice research database. *J Bone Miner Res* 2004;19:1976–81.
- Mayranpaa MK, Makitie O, Kallio PE. Decreasing incidence and changing pattern of childhood fractures: A population-based study. *J Bone Miner Res* 2010;25:2752–9.
- Agran PF, Anderson C, Winn D, et al. Rates of pediatric injuries by 3-month intervals for children 0 to 3 years of age. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:e683–92.
- Flavin MP, Dostaler SM, Simpson K, et al. Stages of development and injury patterns in the early years: A population-based analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2006;6:187.
- Franklin RL, Rodgers GB. Unintentional child poisonings treated in United States hospital emergency departments: National estimates of incident cases, population-based poisoning rates, and product involvement. *Pediatrics* 2008;122:1244–51.
- Hawton K, Bergen H, Waters K, et al. Epidemiology and nature of self-harm in children and adolescents: Findings from the multicentre study of self-harm in England. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2012;21:369–77.
- Prescott K, Stratton R, Freyer A, et al. Detailed analyses of self-poisoning episodes presenting to a large regional teaching hospital in the UK. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2009;68:260–8.
- Bateman DN, Bain M, Gorman D, Murphy D. Changes in paracetamol, antidepressants and opioid poisoning in Scotland during the 1990s. *QJM* 2003;96:125–32.
- Mbeledogu CN, Cecil EV, Millett C, Saxena S. Hospital admissions for unintentional poisoning in preschool children in England; 2000-2011. *Arch Dis Child* 2015;100:180–2.
- Groom L, Kendrick D, Coupland C, et al. Inequalities in hospital admission rates for unintentional poisoning in young children. *Inj Prev* 2006;12:166–70.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Groom L, Kendrick D, et al. Cross sectional survey of socioeconomic variations in severity and mechanism of childhood injuries in Trent 1992-7. *BMJ* 2002;324:1132.
- Brewster CT, Coyle B, Varma S. Trends in hospital admissions for burns in England, 1991-2010: A descriptive population-based study. *Burns* 2013;39:1526–34.
- Stark AD, Bennet GC, Stone DH, Chishti P. Association between childhood fractures and poverty: population based study. *BMJ* 2002;324:457.
- Lyons RA, Delahunty AM, Heaven M, et al. Incidence of childhood fractures in affluent and deprived areas: population based study. *BMJ* 2000;320:149.
- Orton E, Kendrick D, West J, Tata LJ. Persistence of health inequalities in childhood injury in the UK; A population-based cohort study of children under 5. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e111631.
- The Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents. *Safe At Home—The National Home Safety Equipment Scheme, 2014*. Available at: <http://www.rospa.com/home-safety/advice/child-safety/safe-at-home/>. (July 2015, date last accessed).
- Hedstrom EM, Svensson O, Bergstrom U, Michno P. Epidemiology of fractures in children and adolescents. *Acta Orthop* 2010;81:148–53.
- Adams AL, Kessler JI, Deramerian K, et al. Associations between childhood obesity and upper and lower extremity injuries. *Inj Prev* 2013;19:191–7.
- Kessler J, Koebnick C, Smith N, Adams A. Childhood obesity is associated with increased risk of most lower extremity fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2013;471:1199–207.
- Bhargal KK, Neen D, Dodds R. Incidence of trampoline related pediatric fractures in a large district general hospital in the United Kingdom: lessons to be learnt. *Inj Prev* 2006;12:133–4.
- Fogarty AW, Liu C. Temporal trends in the associations between age, sex and socioeconomic status after death from motor vehicle collisions in England and Wales: 1960-2009. *Emerg Med J* 2015;32:203–6.
- Kosuge D, Barry M. Changing trends in the management of children's fractures. *Bone Joint J* 2015;97-B:442–8.
- Helenius I, Lamberg TS, Kaariainen S, Impinen A, et al Operative treatment of fractures in children is increasing. A population-based study from Finland. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009;91:2612–6.
- Hagell A, Coleman J, Brooks F. *Key Data on Adolescence 2015*. London: Association for Young People's Health, 2015. Available at [http://cdn.basw.co.uk/upload/basw\\_110253-1.pdf](http://cdn.basw.co.uk/upload/basw_110253-1.pdf).
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). *Self-Harm: The Short-Term Physical and Psychological Management and Secondary Prevention of Self-Harm in Primary and Secondary Care. Clinical guideline 16*: Manchester: NICE, 2004.
- Towner E, Dowswell T, Mackereth C, Jarvis S. *What works in preventing unintentional injuries in children and young adolescents: an updated systematic review*. London: Health Development Agency, 2001.
- Kendrick D, Young B, Mason-Jones AJ, et al. Home safety education and provision of safety equipment for injury prevention. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;9:CD005014.

.....  
*The European Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 26, No. 6, 947–953

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Public Health Association.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckw067 Advance Access published on 11 May 2016  
 .....

## Correlates of home and neighbourhood-based physical activity in UK 3–4-year-old children

Jill A. Hnatiuk<sup>1</sup>, Kathryn R. Hesketh<sup>2</sup>, Esther M.F. van Sluijs<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Science and Health, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW, Australia;

<sup>2</sup> UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK;

<sup>3</sup> MRC Epidemiology Unit and Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), Cambridge, UK

**Correspondence:** Jill Hnatiuk, School of Science and Health, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW, Australia, Tel: +61 02 4736 0762, Fax: +61 02 4736 0588, e-mail: [j.hnatiuk@westernsydney.edu.au](mailto:j.hnatiuk@westernsydney.edu.au)

**Background:** Identifying context-specific correlates of home- and neighbourhood-based physical activity in preschool-aged children may help improve intervention program development for these settings. **Methods:** A total of 153 3–4-year-old children were recruited through preschool settings in Cambridgeshire (January–July 2013). Children wore Actiheart accelerometers for  $\leq 7$  days to assess their sedentary time (ST), light- (LPA) and moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA). A parent-completed questionnaire assessed correlates across the ecological model and the child's preschool attendance during the measurement week. Only accelerometer data for times when children were at home were used. Multilevel models (Level 1: days; Level 2: child) examined associations between maternal-reported exposure variables and each outcome (children's home- and neighbourhood-based ST, LPA and MVPA) (main analysis). Further analyses included the subsample of children with complete paternal correlates data (father analysis). **Results:** In the main analyses, children with older siblings engaged in less ST. Children whose mothers reported being 'moderately inactive' or 'active' (vs. inactive) engaged in less LPA, while children whose mothers worked  $>35$  h week<sup>-1</sup> engaged in less MVPA. More equipment at home was associated with lower LPA but greater MVPA. In the father analysis, father's television viewing before 6 pm was associated with greater ST and less MVPA in children; the negative association between mother's activity and children's LPA was retained. **Conclusion:** Family demographics and parental behaviours appear to have the strongest association with children's home- and neighbourhood-based ST, LPA and MVPA. This study further highlights the importance of examining both maternal and paternal behaviours.

.....

### Introduction

Optimizing physical activity and minimizing sedentary behaviour in the early childhood period ( $<5$  years of age) has become a public health priority in recent years.<sup>1</sup> Many government organizations internationally now recognize the growing evidence for the importance of these health behaviours with physical activity and sedentary behaviour recommendations for children under the age of 5.<sup>2</sup> However, population estimates of physical activity and sedentary time (ST) of children at the commencement of primary school suggest that many children are insufficiently active,<sup>3</sup> and identifying strategies to increase physical activity participation and minimize ST in early childhood is required. This is often done through the implementation of public health interventions.<sup>4</sup>

A key mechanism for informing evidence-based intervention programming is through the investigation of correlates of children's physical activity and sedentary behaviour.<sup>4</sup> The examination of correlates of children's activity behaviour in the early childhood period is a growing area of interest with a considerable number of studies published within the last decade.<sup>5</sup> Much of this research has focused on correlates of activity behaviours accumulated during the whole day, across multiple settings.<sup>5</sup> However, behavioural correlates are suggested to be domain-specific,<sup>6</sup> and focusing on the examination of correlates within specific settings (e.g. home, childcare, community) may provide more targeted direction for intervention programming within these settings.<sup>7</sup> Although several studies have focused on correlates of preschool children's physical activity and/or

ST in the childcare or preschool setting,<sup>8–11</sup> there remains a dearth of information regarding context-specific correlates of physical activity outside of formal care.

The home environment has been shown to be an important influence on children's activity behaviours,<sup>12</sup> but identifying the time that young children are within the home environment during the day can be difficult. While the majority of children living in developed countries age  $\geq 5$  attend primary school,<sup>13,14</sup> younger children often have varying care arrangements. For example, they may attend formal childcare full or part time, attend informal childcare regularly (e.g. a childminder or non-registered home-based provider), or attend informal childcare irregularly (e.g. occasional care services, gym crèche, etc.) throughout the week. Here, we use individual-level data and information about children's care to investigate correlates of young children's ST, light- (LPA) and moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) undertaken in home and neighbourhood settings.

### Methods

#### Participants

Data were from the 'Studying Physical Activity in preschool aged Children and their Environment' (SPACE) study, a cross-sectional study conducted in 3–4-year-old children and their parents. The details of recruitment are described elsewhere.<sup>15</sup> In brief, participants were recruited through preschool and nursery centres in the Cambridgeshire area between January and July 2013. Centres were