# Evaluation of minor groove binders (MGBs) as novel anti-mycobacterial agents and the effect of using non-ionic surfactant vesicles as a delivery system to improve their efficacy

Lerato Hlaka<sup>1,2</sup>†, Michael-Jon Rosslee<sup>1,2</sup>†, Mumin Ozturk<sup>1,2</sup>, Santosh Kumar<sup>1,2</sup>, Suraj P. Parihar<sup>1,2</sup>, Frank Brombacher<sup>1,2</sup>, Abedawn I. Khalaf<sup>3</sup>, Katharine C. Carter<sup>4</sup>, Fraser J. Scott<sup>5</sup>, Colin J. Suckling<sup>3</sup> and Reto Guler<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>University of Cape Town, Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine (IDM), Division of Immunology and South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC) Immunology of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town 7925, South Africa; <sup>2</sup>International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Cape Town Component, Cape Town 7925, South Africa; <sup>3</sup>WestCHEM Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G11XL, UK; <sup>4</sup>Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science, University of Strathclyde, 161 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G4 ORE, UK; <sup>5</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Queensgate, Huddersfield HD13DH, UK

> \*Corresponding author. Tel: +27-21-4066033; Fax: + 27-86-6407594; E-mail: reto.guler@uct.ac.za †Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 25 May 2017; returned 15 July 2017; revised 31 July 2017; accepted 5 August 2017

**Objectives:** The slow development of major advances in drug discovery for the treatment of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) infection suggests a compelling need for evaluation of more effective drug therapies against TB. New classes of drugs are constantly being evaluated for anti-mycobacterial activity with currently a very limited number of new drugs approved for TB treatment. Minor groove binders (MGBs) have previously revealed promising antimicrobial activity against various infectious agents; however, they have not yet been screened against *Mtb*.

**Methods:** The mycobactericidal activity of 96 MGB compounds against *Mtb* was determined using an H37Rv-GFP microplate assay. MGB hits were screened for their intracellular mycobactericidal efficacy against the clinical Beijing *Mtb* strain HN878 in bone-marrow-derived macrophages using standard cfu counting. Cell viability was assessed by CellTiter-Blue assays. Selected MGBs were encapsulated into non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NIVs) for drug delivery system evaluation.

**Results:** H37Rv-GFP screening yielded a hit-list of seven compounds at an MIC<sub>99</sub> of between 0.39 and 1.56  $\mu$ M. MGB-362 and MGB-364 displayed intracellular mycobactericidal activity against *Mtb* HN878 at an MIC<sub>50</sub> of 4.09 and 4.19  $\mu$ M, respectively, whilst being non-toxic. Subsequent encapsulation into NIVs demonstrated a 1.6- and 2.1-fold increased intracellular mycobacterial activity, similar to that of rifampicin when compared with MGB-alone formulation.

**Conclusions:** MGB anti-mycobacterial activities together with non-toxic properties indicate that MGB compounds constitute an important new class of drug/chemical entity, which holds promise in future anti-TB therapy. Furthermore, the ability of NIVs to better deliver entrapped MGB compounds to an intracellular *Mtb* infection suggests further preclinical evaluation is warranted.

### Introduction

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb)*, the causative agent of TB, has become the top infectious killer worldwide. According to the 2016 WHO Global Tuberculosis Report,<sup>1</sup> TB killed approximately 1.8 million people in 2015, up from 1.5 million deaths in 2014.<sup>2</sup> The current 6 month treatment regimen for drug-susceptible *Mtb*, although still effective in most cases, is gradually becoming ineffective due to increasing resistance against the drugs used to treat TB.<sup>3</sup> Several advances have been made in the field of TB drug discovery, spearheaded by global partnerships. For example, the Global Alliance for TB Drug Development currently manages the largest array of novel anti-TB drug compounds and novel regimens for MDR and XDR TB.<sup>4</sup> Other initiatives to eradicate TB include the STOP TB partnership, which includes an international working

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

group to develop new TB drugs.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, several large consortia of pharmaceutical companies (TB Drug Accelerator) and academia (MM4TB) are driving the discovery of new TB drugs.<sup>6</sup> Despite the progress in the pipeline for new diagnostics, drugs, regimens and vaccines, research remains relentlessly underfunded. As a consequence, only a few new drugs have been approved for clinical use, i.e. delamanid, bedaquiline and pretomanid, and only 10 new drugs are in advanced phases of clinical trials as of 2016.<sup>7,8</sup> With the slow development of major advances in anti-mycobacterial drug discovery and the emergence of MDR and XDR TB, there is an urgent need for the development of more effective therapies and formulations of existing drugs for the treatment of this disease.<sup>8,9</sup> In the area of novel therapeutics discovery, progress has been made in developing new drug classes such as benzothiazinones, which inhibit cell wall arabinan synthesis, and imidazopyridines, which inhibit respiratory chain ATP synthesis.<sup>10,11</sup> Minor groove binders (MGBs) have revealed promising antibacterial properties, but have not yet been investigated for their anti-mycobacterial activity against Mtb in vitro.

Derived from the natural product distamycin, MGBs are a class of compounds that selectively bind to the minor groove of bacterial DNA with their helical structure matching that of DNA.<sup>12</sup> Most often, proteins binding to bacterial DNA bind to the major groove, leaving the minor groove exposed and, thus, a vacant target for MGBs. Natural forms of MGBs are currently used in clinical treatment of disease. For example, aromatic diamidines, such as pentamidine<sup>13,14</sup> and berenil,<sup>15</sup> known to bind to the minor groove at adenosine-thymine tracts, have been administered clinically against human African trypanosomiasis and Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia.<sup>16-18</sup> MGBs display a wide variety of activity profiles against many infectious organisms evaluated, including Grampositive bacteria,<sup>19</sup> Mycobacterium aurum,<sup>20</sup> chloroquine-susceptible and -resistant Plasmodium falciparum<sup>21</sup> and Trypanosoma brucei *brucei.*<sup>17</sup> In partnership with MGB-Biopharma, one MGB compound has successfully completed Phase I clinical trials for the treatment of Clostridium difficile infections.<sup>22</sup> We recently screened a limited number of MGBs for their anti-mycobacterial activity against the laboratory Mtb H37Rv strain with MIC<sub>99</sub> reaching  $3.1 \,\mu$ M.<sup>23</sup> We have now further extended this work by producing more active MGBs with higher MIC<sub>99</sub> values for Mtb H37Rv. In addition we examined the anti-mycobacterial activity of MGBs against the intracellular clinical HN878 Beijing strain of Mtb and evaluated the effect of MGB exposure on cell viability in macrophages.

Oral drug administration has various limitations, including drug inefficiency resulting from drug insolubility caused by low gastric pH, or poor absorbance in the gastrointestinal tract. However, an effective drug delivery system can improve drug retention at the site of infection. Therefore, the ability to deliver a drug to the site of infection may provide a sustained drug concentration, enabling increased effectiveness of a drug against its target. In the case of pulmonary TB treatment, oral drug administration leads to high systemic concentrations of the drugs with associated side effects such as liver toxicity and cytotoxicity, amongst others.<sup>24</sup> Ultimately, the drawbacks associated with the oral administration of antibiotics laid the foundation for the development of innovative drug delivery approaches. The use of liposomes as a drug delivery system has been previously reported to reduce microbial drug resistance through faster drug delivery and increasing the antimicrobial drug concentration, thereby preventing microbial drug efflux pump

activity.<sup>25</sup> Liposome-encapsulated drugs kill microbes faster, before microbial mutations can develop. For example, the incorporation of the antibiotic levofloxacin into liposomes improved the antimycobacterial activity to kill *Mtb* strains resistant to levofloxacin.<sup>26</sup> Other drug delivery systems, such as non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NIVs), have the ability to encapsulate both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs for direct delivery to the site of infection.<sup>27</sup> NIVs are small colloidal particles made of a non-aqueous, non-ionic surfactant bilayer that surrounds a central aqueous compartment. They are thermodynamically stable, easily manufactured and do not require special storage conditions. One of the major advantages of NIVs is that they are able to entrap different types of drug substances and can have their size altered. Their capacity to improve the delivery of small molecules is an important trait that allows for precise targeting of deposition of particles within the respiratory tract. Previous studies have shown NIVs to be a promising inhalable drug delivery system against pulmonary aspergillosis with aerosolized amphotericin B/NIV administration reducing fungal lung burden when compared with amphotericin B solution only.<sup>28</sup> More recent studies have demonstrated the antibacterial action of moxifloxacin<sup>29</sup> and cefixime<sup>30</sup> and the antiviral action of nevirapine<sup>31</sup> in NIV formulations. Although many different drug delivery systems have been utilized to entrap first-line TB drugs,<sup>32</sup> only a few have systematically explored their anti-mycobacterial activity against Mtb and against intracellular Mtb in infected primary macrophages. Thus, we have investigated the use of NIVs as a drug delivery system for improved delivery and efficacy of novel MGB compounds active against Mtb-infected macrophages.

### Materials and methods

#### MGB compounds

MGB compounds were synthesized using distamycin template, a natural product with known anti-infective properties, as previously reported.  $^{17,23,33}$  Alterations of the head, tail, side chains and body resulted in a number of diverse compounds, with later synthesis driven by acquired screening data (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online). MGBs were resuspended in DMSO to a concentration of 1.25 mM and were stored at -80 °C.

#### Preparation of compounds and NIVs

MGB compounds (stock: 1.25 mM) and rifampicin (stock: 20 mM) were diluted to a starting concentration of 50  $\mu$ M followed by 2-fold dilutions in 7H9 broth medium or DMEM to yield the required screening range. Freeze-dried NIVs were prepared as previously described<sup>28</sup> and rehydrated in DMEM + 10% FCS (Gibco, Thermofisher Scientific, USA) to an NIV concentration range of 23–5000  $\mu$ M (empty NIVs) and subsequently added to bone-marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) in order to assess cell viability through CellTiter-Blue (Promega, WI, USA) assay with fluorescence detection at (544<sub>ex</sub>/590<sub>em</sub> nm). Subsequently, drug/NIV solutions were prepared in DMEM + 10% FCS at a 2:5 molar ratio (MGB:NIVs) at a compound 2-fold serial dilution range from 1.56 to 12.5  $\mu$ M (3.91–31.25  $\mu$ M NIVs) to assess cell viability and intracellular antimycobacterial activity. Two-fold serial drug dilution was performed as previously reported in other drug screening studies.<sup>34</sup>

#### H37Rv-GFP microplate screening assay

MGB compounds were screened for their anti-mycobacterial activity using 96-well, black, clear, flat-bottom microplates (Greiner Bio-One, Germany) as previously reported.<sup>35,36</sup> Single-cell suspension of H37Rv-GFP from frozen stock with a working concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  cfu/mL was prepared

in Middlebrook 7H9 supplemented with 25 mg/L kanamycin, 10% Middlebrook OADC (v/v) and 0.05% Tween 80 (w/v). Next, 100  $\mu$ L of H37Rv-GFP at a concentration of  $1 \times 10^5$  cfu/well was added to each experimental well, and then 100  $\mu$ L of drug compounds prepared in 7H9 broth supplemented with 25 mg/L kanamycin to generate 0.195–50  $\mu$ M screening range was added to wells containing H37Rv-GFP for a final screening range of 0.0977–25  $\mu$ M. Wells containing compound only at the highest screening concentration were used to detect autofluorescence of compounds and broth (vehicle control). Fluorescence (485<sub>ex</sub>/520<sub>em</sub> nm) was measured at designated timepoints, namely days 0, 4, 8, 10 and 12, with a BMG Labtech Omega Plate Reader (Germany). The addition of sterile water to the outer wells of each plate served to minimize the evaporation. Time intervals were selected as previously reported in other drug screening studies.<sup>36</sup>

#### BMDM generation and Mtb infection

BMDMs were generated from 8–12 week old C57BL/6 mice as previously reported.<sup>37</sup> After differentiation, BMDMs were plated into 96-well plates (Nunc, Denmark) at  $2\times10^5$  cells per well. Following overnight adherence, BMDMs were then infected with *Mtb* HN878 (moi = 5) and cultured at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 4 h. BMDMs were washed once with pre-warmed culture medium to remove extracellular bacteria or lysed and lysates plated on 7H10 agar plates supplemented with 10% OADC and 0.5% glycerol for cfu counting to determine bacilli uptake. Drug compounds prepared in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS at defined concentrations were added to infected BMDMs to determine anti-mycobacterial activity and cell viability. After 5 days of culture, cells were lysed for cfu plating or assessed for cell viability by CellTiter-Blue assay.

#### Statistical analysis

All data were analysed using R, a Student's t-test (two-tailed with equal variance) was used, unless otherwise stated in figure legends. A P value <0.05 was considered significant.

## Results

#### MIC<sub>99</sub> of MGB compounds for H37Rv-GFP

We screened 96 MGBs for their anti-mycobacterial activity against GFP-labelled H37Rv *Mtb* in liquid broth culture using a 96-well plate assay (Table 1). Relative fluorescence was measured at 0, 4, 8, 10 and 12 days in broth culture of MGBs (serially diluted from 25 to 0.19  $\mu$ M) to determine the MIC<sub>99</sub> of MGBs required to eradicate 99% of *Mtb* (Figure 1). Hit compounds, defined as previously reported,<sup>38</sup> were identified as drugs that were active at or below the threshold concentration of 3.12  $\mu$ M. A hit-list of seven compounds with an MIC<sub>99</sub> of 1.56  $\mu$ M or less was identified (Figure 1 and Table 1). Rifampicin, which had an MIC of 0.0977  $\mu$ M, was used as the positive control. The selected hit compounds were MGBs 362, 368, 361, 365, 359, 364 and 367 with an MIC<sub>99</sub> range of 0.391–1.56  $\mu$ M, and were therefore identified for subsequent intracellular anti-mycobactericidal activity screening.

# Intracellular drug activity against clinical Mtb and macrophage cell viability

The ability of anti-TB drug compounds to penetrate macrophages and induce mycobactericidal activity, while being non-toxic to the macrophages, is a salient property sought after in TB drug development. Hence, BMDMs were exposed to serial MGB drug concentrations from 1.56 to 12.5  $\mu$ M to evaluate their anti-mycobacterial

Compound	MIC <sub>99</sub>	Compound	MIC <sub>99</sub>	Compound	MIC <sub>99</sub>
Rifampicin	0.0977	371	25	235	>25
362 (hit)	0.391	372	25	245	>25
368 (hit)	0.391	373	25	246	>25
361 (hit)	0.781	374	25	247	>25
365 (hit)	0.781	381	25	248	>25
359 (hit)	1.56	1	>25	270	>25
364 (hit)	1.56	2	>25	271	>25
367 (hit)	1.56	9	>25	283	>25
353*	3.12	12	>25	286	>25
354*	3.12	74*	>25	287	>25
391	3.12	85	>25	288	>25
263	6.25	92	>25	289	>25
343	6.25	114	>25	300	>25
385	6.25	121	>25	303	>25
386	6.25	122	>25	304	>25
351*	12.5	123	>25	305	>25
352*	12.5	124	>25	306	>25
376	12.5	131	>25	322	>25
377	12.5	134	>25	323	>25
378	12.5	147	>25	324*	>25
379	12.5	154	>25	325	>25
380	12.5	176	>25	329*	>25
383	12.5	185	>25	331*	>25
387	12.5	187	>25	332*	>25
390	12.5	188	>25	333*	>25
282	12.5-25	192	>25	334*	>25
4*	25	210	>25	335*	>25
116	25	212	>25	336*	>25
164	25	213	>25	338*	>25
292	25	214	>25	356	>25
317*	25	222	>25	357	>25
330* 227	25 25	234	>25	358	>25
22/	20				

Seven hits were identified out of 96 MGBs screened.

\*MGBs previously screened as reported.<sup>23</sup>

activity against the clinical *Mtb* strain HN878, after 5 days of infection. Compounds were screened for the concentration that eradicated 50% of bacilli (MIC<sub>50</sub>; Figure 2a). Two of the seven hit compounds identified from screening studies against *Mtb* in Figure 1 had good intracellular mycobacterial killing efficacy against *Mtb*-infected macrophages, with MIC<sub>50</sub> values of 4.09  $\mu$ M (MGB 362) and 4.19  $\mu$ M (MGB 364). Rifampicin, selected as a positive control, had an MIC<sub>50</sub> of 1.7  $\mu$ M. CellTiter-Blue cell viability assay was performed to assess for macrophage cell viability in MGBs-treated BMDMs after 5 days of exposure (Figure 2b). MGB 362 and 364 and rifampicin had no significant effect on macrophage viability at the respective intracellular drug activity MIC<sub>50</sub> concentrations (Figure 2b). These data suggest that MGB 362 and 364 have an efficient intracellular anti-mycobacterial activity against *Mtb* while being non-toxic to the host cells.



**Figure 1.** Screening of anti-mycobacterial activity of MGB compounds against H37Rv-GFP. Direct antimicrobial activity of MGB compounds at the drug concentration range of  $0.195-25 \,\mu$ M was tested against H37Rv-GFP ( $1 \times 10^5 \,\text{cfu/well}$ ) in 7H9 liquid broth culture using a microplate assay. The antimycobacterial activity of MGB compounds against H37Rv-GFP was determined in a concentration-dependent manner by measuring fluorescence ( $485_{ex}/520_{em} \,\text{nm}$ ) on days 0, 4, 8, 10 and 12. Data were corrected for background 7H9 fluorescence. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of duplicates.



**Figure 2.** MIC<sub>50</sub>s of MGB compounds for HN878 *Mtb*-infected BMDMs and cell viability. (a) The intracellular anti-mycobacterial activities of MGBs ( $1.5625-12.5 \mu$ M) and rifampicin ( $0.3906-3.125 \mu$ M) were assessed by counting cfu at the respective concentration at 5 days post-*Mtb* HN878 infection. MIC<sub>50</sub> values of each drug compound were identified in GraphPad Prism by non-linear regression analysis. (b) Macrophage cell viability was determined at 5 days of MGB compound exposure and measured by CellTiter-Blue assay with fluorescence detection at 544<sub>ex</sub>/590<sub>em</sub> nm. Data were corrected for background culture medium fluorescence and are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, representative of triplicates. Two-tailed Student's *t*-test: \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01 and \*\*\**P* < 0.001 compared with control.

# MBG/NIV encapsulation increased intracellular drug activity against a clinical strain of Mtb

We next investigated whether encapsulating our hit MGB compounds into NIVs, a drug delivery system previously reported to improve drug delivery of amphotericin B to macrophages,<sup>28</sup> would improve MGB drug efficacy against the intracellular clinical HN878 *Mtb* strain. We demonstrated that encapsulating MGBs into NIVs improved the intracellular anti-mycobacterial abilities by 2.1-fold for MGB 362 and 1.6-fold for MGB 364 in *Mtb* HN878-infected BMDMs, displaying a significant cfu reduction (P < 0.01) compared with controls (Figure 3a). The anti-mycobacterial killing activities of MGB 362/NIVs and MGB 364/NIVs were similar to that of rifampicin. MGB 364/NIVs displayed significantly decreased cfu counts (P < 0.033) when compared with MGB alone. Furthermore,



**Figure 3.** Intracellular mycobacterial activity of MGB/NIV formulations in HN878 *Mtb*-infected BMDMs and cell viability. (a) The intracellular antimycobacterial activity of MGBs only ( $1.56 \mu$ M), MGB ( $1.56 \mu$ M)/NIV ( $3.96 \mu$ M) formulations and rifampicin ( $1.56 \mu$ M) were determined in comparison with control (no drug treatment). cfu was determined at 5 days post-*Mtb* HN878 infection. (b) Macrophage cell viability was determined at 5 days post-*Mtb* HN878 infection and measured by CellTiter-Blue assay with fluorescence detection at 544<sub>ex</sub>/590<sub>em</sub> nm. Data were corrected for background culture medium fluorescence and are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD, representative of triplicates. Two-tailed Student's *t*-test: \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01 and \*\*\**P*<0.001 compared with control. RIF, rifampicin.

*Mtb*-infected macrophages were viable following MGB/NIV treatment (Figure 3b). Treatment with NIVs alone also had no significant effect on macrophage viability (data not shown). These results demonstrate that NIVs can act as a suitable delivery system by transporting MGB inside macrophages, the target cells for *Mtb*.

#### Discussion

MGB compounds have shown great potential as antibacterial therapeutic agents.<sup>33</sup> However, their activity against *Mtb* remains unknown. Here, we demonstrated the anti-mycobacterial (MIC<sub>99</sub>) properties of MGBs against *Mtb* (H37Rv-GFP) with a reliable screening method that enables the detection of most active compounds,<sup>39</sup> using rifampicin as a positive control. All of the active MGB compounds belong to the well-established alkene-linked MGB family discovered at the University of Strathclyde, with high killing activities against different pathogens as previously reported.<sup>17,19–21,23,33</sup> Since the primary binding sites of all of these MGBs in the DNA minor groove are AT-rich regions it is unlikely that target sequence specificity is responsible for the selectivity observed. This is true also for the active compounds against *Mtb* described here. However, it is more likely that activity and selectivity against a particular pathogen are caused by differential access

to cells caused by differing cell wall and cell membrane structures in a way that with the current state of knowledge is idiosyncratic and unpredictable.<sup>33</sup> What can be reliably stated is that the alkene-linked compounds are significantly the most biologically active of the Strathclyde MGB family. In general, MGBs with the most significant antibacterial activity possess a range of different tail groups, all of which are exemplified within the set in our screen. However, all of the most active MGBs identified in this study possess an amidine-containing tail group, which perhaps suggests an important role of tail group  $pK_a$  in targeting mycobacteria.

Screening of MGB compounds in the context of their cell viability and anti-mycobacterial activity against the intracellular clinical *Mtb* strain HN878 has identified two compounds with promising results, giving a hit rate of 2.1% (2/96). In most studies the hit rate for hit compounds is of the order of 1%, in line with previous studies.<sup>40</sup> These findings, however, warrant *in vivo* testing, which aims to allow for better clinical therapeutic translation of the findings. The use of NIVs has been demonstrated repeatedly in the literature before and constitutes a prominent focus within current *Mtb* research in order to combat the infection.<sup>27,41</sup> NIVs given by nebulization delivered amphotericin B to the lungs and liver with significantly improved treatment outcome when compared with amphotericin B solution against pulmonary aspergillosis and visceral leishmaniasis.<sup>28</sup> Our investigation of NIVs as a delivery device indeed demonstrates that NIVs can be used to enhance the efficacy of MGB compounds against HN878 in infected BMDMs, whilst not increasing the toxicity of the drug to BMDMs. MGBs contain hydrophobic head groups,<sup>12</sup> enabling encapsulation into NIVs. Liposomes have previously been reported to encapsulate an alkyl derivative of distamycin A,<sup>42</sup> and are naturally occurring backbones for MGB compound synthesis.

The ability of NIVs to trap the drug within their hydrophilic/ hydrophobic compartment allows the drug to be taken up by phagocytosis by the infected macrophage, thereby transporting the drug to the site of infection. Using NIV drug formulations resulted in higher drug levels compared with similar treatment with drug solution at the site of infection after treatment by the pulmonary or intravenous routes for water-soluble<sup>43,44</sup> and lipidsoluble drugs.<sup>28</sup> Studies in dogs treated by the intravenous route with a sodium stibogluconate (SSG)/dextran/NIV formulation increased the elimination half-life and the volume of distribution at steady state compared with SSG/dextran solution.<sup>45</sup> Therefore MGB/NIV formulations can be a feasible pulmonary treatment for *Mtb*.

In conclusion, this study showed that MGBs constitute an important new class of drug/chemical entity with favourable antimycobacterial activity and hold promise in future anti-TB therapy. Furthermore, we demonstrate that NIVs (i) contribute to better delivery of drugs to an intracellular infection, (ii) act as a delivery device for entrapped MGB compounds and (iii) serve as the initial step into future research of targeted delivery of entrapped drugs to *Mtb*-infected cells.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Ms Fadwah Booley, Ms Lorna Gcanga and Mr George Jacobs for their excellent technical assistance.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Knowledge Exchange Development Fund (KEDF) from the University of Strathclyde to K. C. C., the International Centre for Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology (ICGEB) Arturo Falaschi PhD Fellowship to M. O. and S. K., the Claude Leon Foundation and CIDRI, Wellcome Trust (Grant No. 084323) post-doctoral fellowships to S. P. P., the South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC) doctoral fellowship to L. H., grants from the South African National Research Foundation (NRF) and from the Department of Science and Technology, South African Research Chair Initiative (SARCHi) and South Africa Medical Research Council (SAMRC) to F. B. and grants from the Department of Science and Technology (DST)/South African National Research Foundation (NRF) Collaborative Postgraduate Training Programme to R. G.

#### **Transparency declarations**

None to declare.

#### Supplementary data

Table S1 is available as Supplementary data at JAC Online.

#### References

**1** WHO. *Global TuberculosisReport 2016.* Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. http:// www.who.int/tb/publications/global\_report/en/.

**2** WHO. *Global Tuberculosis Report 2015*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. http:// www.who.int/tb/publications/global\_report/gtbr15\_main\_text.pdf.

**3** Zumla A, Abubakar I, Raviglione M *et al.* Drug-resistant tuberculosis current dilemmas, unanswered questions, challenges, and priority needs. *J Infect Dis* 2012; **205** Suppl 2: S228-40.

**4** Murray S, Mendel C, Spigelman M. TB Alliance regimen development for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2016; **20**: 38–41.

**5** Mwaba P, McNerney R, Grobusch MP *et al.* Achieving STOP TB Partnership goals: perspectives on development of new diagnostics, drugs and vaccines for tuberculosis. *Trop Med Int Health* 2011; **16**: 819–27.

**6** Zuniga ES, Early J, Parish T. The future for early-stage tuberculosis drug discovery. *Future Microbiol* 2015; **10**: 217–29.

7 Pai M, Behr MA, Dowdy D et al. Tuberculosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016; 2: 16076.

**8** Mdluli K, Kaneko T, Upton A. The tuberculosis drug discovery and development pipeline and emerging drug targets. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2015; **5**: pii: a021154.

**9** Brigden G, Hewison C, Varaine F. New developments in the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis: clinical utility of bedaquiline and delamanid. *Infect Drug Resist* 2015; **8**: 367–78.

**10** Pethe K, Bifani P, Jang J *et al.* Discovery of Q203, a potent clinical candidate for the treatment of tuberculosis. *Nat Med* 2013; **19**: 1157–60.

**11** Makarov V, Manina G, Mikusova K *et al.* Benzothiazinones kill *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by blocking arabinan synthesis. *Science* 2009; **324**: 801–4.

**12** Suckling CJ. Molecular recognition and physicochemical properties in the discovery of selective antibacterial minor groove binders. *J Phys Org Chem* 2008; **21**: 575–83.

**13** Edwards KJ, Jenkins TC, Neidle S. Crystal structure of a pentamidineoligonucleotide complex: implications for DNA-binding properties. *Biochemistry* 1992; **31**: 7104–9.

**14** Fox KR, Sansom CE, Stevens MFG. Footprinting studies on the sequenceselective binding of pentamidine to DNA. *FEBS Lett* 1990; **266**: 150–4.

**15** Brown DG, Sanderson MR, Garman E *et al*. Crystal structure of a berenild(CGCAAATTTGCG) complex. *J Mol Biol* 1992; **226**: 481–90.

**16** Paine MF, Wang MZ, Generaux CN *et al.* Diamidines for human African trypanosomiasis. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* 2010; **11**: 876–83.

**17** Scott FJ, Khalaf AI, Giordani F *et al.* An evaluation of minor groove binders as anti-*Trypanosoma brucei brucei* therapeutics. *Eur J Med Chem* 2016; **116**: 116–25.

**18** Tao B, Huang TL, Zhang Q *et al*. Synthesis and anti-*Pneumocystis carinii* activity of conformationally restricted analogues of pentamidine. *Eur J Med Chem* 1999; **34**: 531–8.

**19** Khalaf AI, Bourdin C, Breen D *et al*. Design, synthesis and antibacterial activity of minor groove binders: the role of non-cationic tail groups. *Eur J Med Chem* 2012; **56**: 39–47.

**20** Khalaf AI, Anthony N, Breen D *et al*. Amide isosteres in structure-activity studies of antibacterial minor groove binders. *Eur J Med Chem* 2011; **46**: 5343–55.

**21** Scott FJ, Khalaf AI, Duffy S *et al.* Selective anti-malarial minor groove binders. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2016; **26**: 3326–9.

**22** Ravic M, Firmin D, Sahgal O *et al.* A single-centre, double-blind, placebocontrolled study in healthy men to assess the safety and tolerability of single and repeated ascending doses of MGB-BP-3, a new class of antibacterial agent. In: *Abstracts of the American Society of Microbiology Microbe Meeting 2016, Boston, MA, USA*. Abstract: Monday-524. **23** Scott FJ, Nichol RJ, Khalaf AI *et al*. An evaluation of minor groove binders as anti-fungal and anti-mycobacterial therapeutics. *Eur J Med Chem* 2017; **136**: 561–72.

**24** Gulbay BE, Gurkan OU, Yildiz OA *et al.* Side effects due to primary antituberculosis drugs during the initial phase of therapy in 1149 hospitalized patients for tuberculosis. *Respir Med* 2006; **100**: 1834–42.

**25** Pelgrift RY, Friedman AJ. Nanotechnology as a therapeutic tool to combat microbial resistance. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2013; **65**: 1803–15.

**26** Gaidukevich SK, Mikulovich YL, Smirnova TG *et al*. Antibacterial effects of liposomes containing phospholipid cardiolipin and fluoroquinolone levofloxacin on *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with extensive drug resistance. *Bull Exp Biol Med* 2016; **160**: 675–8.

**27** Kumar GP, Rajeshwarrao P. Nonionic surfactant vesicular systems for effective drug delivery—an overview. *Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B* 2011; **1**: 208–19.

**28** Alsaadi M, Italia JL, Mullen AB *et al*. The efficacy of aerosol treatment with non-ionic surfactant vesicles containing amphotericin B in rodent models of leishmaniasis and pulmonary aspergillosis infection. *J Control Release* 2012; **160**: 685–91.

**29** Sohrabi S, Haeri A, Mahboubi A *et al*. Chitosan gel-embedded moxifloxacin niosomes: an efficient antimicrobial hybrid system for burn infection. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2016; **85**: 625–33.

**30** Imran M, Shah MR, Ullah F *et al.* Glycoside-based niosomal nanocarrier for enhanced in-vivo performance of cefixime. *Int J Pharm* 2016; **505**: 122–32.

**31** Mehta SK, Jindal N. Tyloxapol niosomes as prospective drug delivery module for antiretroviral drug nevirapine. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 2015; **16**: 67–75.

**32** Hari BN, Chitra KP, Bhimavarapu R *et al*. Novel technologies: a weapon against tuberculosis. *Indian J Pharmacol* 2010; **42**: 338–44.

**33** Barrett MP, Gemmell CG, Suckling CJ. Minor groove binders as antiinfective agents. *Pharmacol Ther* 2013; **139**: 12–23.

**34** Andreu N, Fletcher T, Krishnan N *et al.* Rapid measurement of antituberculosis drug activity in vitro and in macrophages using bioluminescence. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **67**: 404–14. **35** Collins LA, Torrero MN, Franzblau SG. Green fluorescent protein reporter microplate assay for high-throughput screening of compounds against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998; **42**: 344–7.

**36** Salie S, Hsu NJ, Semenya D et al. Novel non-neuroleptic phenothiazines inhibit *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* replication. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; **69**: 1551–8.

**37** Schwegmann A, Guler R, Cutler AJ et al. Protein kinase C  $\delta$  is essential for optimal macrophage-mediated phagosomal containment of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**: 16251–6.

**38** Hughes JP, Rees S, Kalindjian SB *et al*. Principles of early drug discovery. *Br J Pharmacol* 2011; **162**: 1239–49.

**39** Changsen C, Franzblau SG, Palittapongarnpim P. Improved green fluorescent protein reporter gene-based microplate screening for antituberculosis compounds by utilizing an acetamidase promoter. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; **47**: 3682–7.

**40** Fuchs JE, Spitzer GM, Javed A *et al*. Minor groove binders and drugs targeting proteins cover complementary regions in chemical shape space. *J Chem Inf Model* 2011; **51**: 2223–32.

**41** Rajera R, Nagpal K, Singh SK *et al*. Niosomes: a controlled and novel drug delivery system. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2011; **34**: 945–53.

**42** Cortesi R, Romagnoli R, Menegatti E *et al.* Liposomes containing distamycins: preparation, characterization and antiproliferative activity. *Drug Deliv* 2004; **11**: 83–8.

**43** Carter KC, Mullen AB, Sundar S *et al*. Efficacies of vesicular and free sodium stibogluconate formulations against clinical isolates of *Leishmania donovani*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; **45**: 3555–9.

**44** Williams D, Mullen AB, Baillie AJ *et al.* Comparison of the efficacy of free and non-ionic-surfactant vesicular formulations of paromomycin in a murine model of visceral leishmaniasis. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1998; **50**: 1351–6.

**45** Nieto J, Alvar J, Mullen AB *et al.* Pharmacokinetics, toxicities, and efficacies of sodium stibogluconate formulations after intravenous administration in animals. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; **47**: 2781–7.