RESEARCH Open Access

CrossMark

Effects of *apoC1* genotypes on the hormonal levels, metabolic profile and PAF-AH activity in Chinese women with polycystic ovary syndrome

Renjiao Zhang¹, Qingqing Liu¹, Hongwei Liu², Huai Bai¹, Yujin Zhang², Linbo Guan¹ and Ping Fan^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Elevated serum levels of apolipoprotein (apo) C1 may be an early protein marker of metabolic abnormality in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). It is not clear, however, whether there are any relationships between the *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317deletion (H1)/insertion (H2)* polymorphisms and PCOS. We investigated the relationship between these two variants and the risk of PCOS, evaluated the genotypic effects on clinical, hormonal and metabolic indexes and plasma platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH) activity, and defined the association of *apoC1* gene variants with *apoE* $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ polymorphisms.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study of 877 women with PCOS and 761 controls. The *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* genotype was determined by a Taqman real-time PCR allelic discrimination assay. The *apoC1-317H1/H2* and *apoE* $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ genotypes were measured using PCR and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. The clinical, hormonal and metabolic parameters and PAF-AH activity were measured.

Results: The frequencies of *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* genotypes and alleles were similar between PCOS and control groups (P > 0.05). However, the *rs4420638 G* allele was related to increased serum luteinizing hormone, cholesterol and apoB levels, and the ratio of apoB to apoA1 (P < 0.05), and the *-317H1H1* genotype was associated with a higher acne grade score and a higher ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-PAF-AH activity (P < 0.05) in patients with PCOS. We also demonstrated that the *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* gene variants existed in moderate to reasonably high linkage disequilibrium with *apoE* $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ polymorphisms in Chinese women.

Conclusion: The *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* gene variants might be involved in endocrine abnormalities of reproductive axis, metabolic abnormalities and chronic inflammation in PCOS, although no association was observed between the apoC1 genetic variants and the risk of PCOS in Chinese women.

Keywords: apoC1, Gene polymorphism, Lipoprotein, PAF-AH activity, apoE, Polycystic ovary syndrome

Background

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common heterogeneous female endocrine metabolic disorder affecting 4–18% of reproductive-aged women [1, 2]. In addition to reproductive disorders, PCOS is often associated with long-term cardiovascular health risks, including obesity,

visceral obesity, insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia, dyslipidemia, increased oxidative stress, chronic low-grade inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, vascular preclinical abnormalities, elevated risks of metabolic syndrome, impaired glucose tolerance, type 2 diabetes, and future cardiovascular diseases [2–7]. The etiology of PCOS remains obscure, but studies have suggested that PCOS appears to have a complex, multifactorial etiology resulting from the interactions between genetic, environmental and intrauterine factors [8, 9].

¹Laboratory of Genetic Disease and Perinatal Medicine, Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Ministry of Education, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, People's Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: fanping15@scu.edu.cn

Apolipoprotein (apo) C1 is a constituent of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and plays an important role in the regulation of lipoprotein metabolism and serum lipid levels. ApoC1 affects catabolism and clearance of apoB-containing lipoproteins by inhibiting lipoprotein lipase (LPL), liver-specific low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor (LDLR) and LDLR-related protein (LRP) [10–12]. This elevates serum levels of cholesterol and triglycerides (TG) in human apoC1–transgenic mice [10–12].

The human apoE-apoC1-apoC4-apoC2 gene cluster is located on chromosome 19. Several genome-wide association studies have demonstrated that the rs4420638 $A \rightarrow G$ variant of the apoC1 gene, located in the non-coding region, is associated with increased serum total cholesterol (TC) and LDL-C levels [13-15], plasma glucose concentration [16] and platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH) activity [17]. Furthermore, the rs4420638 $A \rightarrow G$ variant is associated with elevated risks of metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and coronary heart disease [14, 16, 17]. However, the mechanism by which the apoC1 rs4420638A/G variant influences plasma lipid levels and increases the risks of diseases is unclear. A 4-bp CGTT deletion (H1)/insertion (H2) polymorphism (rs11568822) at the – 317 site in the apoC1 promoter region has been reported to affect apoC1 gene expression [18, 19] and is related to the risks of late-onset Alzheimer's disease [20] and type III hyperlipoproteinemia [21]. In addition, the rs4420638A/G and -317H1/H2 polymorphisms of the apoC1 gene have been reported to exist in linkage disequilibrium with apoE \(\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4\) polymorphisms but exhibit a different degree of linkage disequilibrium in populations of different races [19, 22].

The elevated serum apoC1 levels in patients with PCOS, even PCOS patients with normal weight or normolipemic indexes, suggest that apoC1 may be an early protein marker of metabolic abnormality in these patients [23]. However, to date, little information is available regarding the possible connection between the apoC1 rs4420638A/G and -317H1/H2 polymorphisms and PCOS and the degree of linkage disequilibrium between these variants and apoE $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ polymorphisms in Chinese women. In the present study, we investigated the relationship between the rs4420638A/G and -317H1/ H2 polymorphisms of the apoC1 gene and the risk of PCOS, evaluated the effects of the genotypes on clinical and metabolic indexes and PAF-AH activity and defined the association of *apoC1* gene variations with *apoE* allele status in southwest Chinese women with or without PCOS using relatively large sample sizes.

Methods

Study subjects

Women with or without PCOS aged 17 to 40 years were recruited from 2006 to 2015 from the Outpatient Clinic

of Reproductive Endocrinology at West China Second University Hospital. For the genetic association study of *apoC1* and *apoE* polymorphisms, we included 877 patients and 761 controls. For association studies between *apoC1* genotypes and hormonal levels, metabolic parameters, and PAF-AH activity, the subjects were excluded if they met one of the following criteria: [i] taking medication known to affect the metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids, or hormones within 3 months before the study; [ii] being pregnant or in the luteal phase; and [iii] smoking. Finally, 575 patients and 506 controls were included in the metabolism-related study. In addition, PAF-AH activity was measured in 281 patients and 277 controls of the metabolic analysis groups.

Each patient with PCOS met the revised 2003 Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM consensus criteria [24]. Oligoovulation or anovulation (OA) was assessed as oligomenorrhea (i.e., fewer than eight cycles per year). Clinor biochemical hyperandrogenism (HA) was assessed by three measures: hirsutism with a modified Ferriman-Gallwey (F-G) score of more than 6, clinical presence of obvious acne and/or total testosterone (TT) level ≥ 2.60 nmol/L [3, 4, 25]. Polycystic ovaries (PCOs) were confirmed if there were 12 or more follicles in each ovary measuring 2 to 9 mm in diameter and/or increased ovarian volume (> 10 mL) by ultrasonic examination. The diagnosis of PCOS was based on a patient having two of these three findings with HA as an essential condition for women aged < 20 years [2] and exclusion of other etiologies such as androgen-secreting tumors, congenital adrenal hyperplasias, and Cushing syndrome. All the controls were clinically healthy women who had regular menstrual cycles (between 21 and 35 days), exhibited normal circulating androgen levels, did not show hirsutism or obvious acne on physical examination, and had normal ovarian morphology as determined by ultrasound.

None of the subjects had clinically evident acute or chronic diseases, such as infection, tumors, cardiovascular disease, thyroid dysfunction, endometriosis, hyperprolactinemia, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism or premature ovarian insufficiency.

Clinical and anthropometrical parameters, including waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP), the degree of hirsutism and acne, and ultrasound ovarian volume [26] were measured or assessed in all subjects.

Blood samples were obtained in the morning after overnight fasting on day 3–10 of the menstrual cycle from regularly menstruating women or at random from women with OA. Samples were placed on ice immediately and centrifuged at 1500×g for 15 min at 4 °C within 2 h. Plasma and serum samples were stored at –80 °C. Blood cells were stored at 4 °C.

Genotype analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes of the subjects [27, 28]. For the apoC1 rs4420638 genotype, a 101-bp fragment was amplified and detected by a Taqman real-time PCR allelic discrimination assay using the forward primer, 5'-TCAGCCTAGCAATGTCAC-TATGC-3', the reverse primer, 5'-GTCTGCCTCAAAA-CAGAAACAAAA-3', the wild-type probe, CTTTTCCTaGTGTGTCTA-TAMRA and the mutant probe, FAM-CACTTTTCCTgGTGTGGT-TAMRA. The 151-bp wild-type (A) or mutant (G) DNA fragments of the apoC1 gene were used as positive controls. The primers, probes and positive controls were designed and synthesized by the Genecore Biotech Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. For the -317H1/H2 genotype, the 221-bp (H1, CGTT deletion) and 225-bp (H2, CGTT insertion) fragments were amplified using the forward primer: 5'-TTTGAGCTCGGCTCTT-GAGACAGGAA-3' and reverse primer the GGTCCCGGGCACTTCCCTTAGCCCCA-3 [29]. The -317H1/H2 PCR products were digested with HpaI (Thermo) and analyzed by electrophoresis on a 3.0% agarose gel and visualized by staining with Genecolour fluorescent dye. The enzyme digestion resulted in 159- and 66-bp fragments for the -317H2 allele and a non-digested 221-bp fragment for the -317H1 allele. ApoE ε2/ε3/ε4 polymorphisms (rs429358 and rs7412) were measured as described previously [30]. For quality control, more than 30% of DNA samples were genotyped again by a different operator.

Analysis of PAF-AH activity, hormonal markers and metabolic markers

Serum luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), estradiol (E₂), TT, triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C), LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C), apoA1, apoB, plasma glucose and insulin concentrations, plasma PAF-AH, apoB-containing lipoprotein-associated PAF-AH (apoB-PAF-AH) and HDL-associated PAF-AH (H-PAF-AH) activity were measured as previously described [4, 31, 32]. Additionally, the atherogenic index (AI) and the homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA index) were assessed as described previously [4, 31]. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for all measurements were less than 5 and 10%, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences in variables were evaluated by an independent sample t-test between PCOS and control subjects. Variables with asymmetric distribution were evaluated by a Mann-Whitney U test. A chi-square analysis was used to determine allele or genotype frequencies and to test deviations in the genotype distribution from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium between patients and

controls. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) or a two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to estimate the differences in clinical parameters, hormonal levels, metabolic profile and PAF-AH activity between the two groups or genotype subgroups after correction for differences in age and BMI. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Program for Social Sciences (SPSS) 13.0 for Windows (Chicago, IL, USA).

The analysis of linkage disequilibrium between different genetic polymorphic loci was performed by the SHEsis online software at http://analysis.bio-x.cn/myA-nalysis.php.

Results

Clinical and biochemical characteristics of the study population

Because mean age and BMI were different between the PCOS and the control groups (Table 1), differences that could bias comparisons of other clinical parameters, the hormonal and metabolic indexes and PAF-AH activity between the two groups were adjusted for the difference in age and BMI in the following analysis.

As shown in Table 1, BMI, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, F-G score, acne grade score, and average ovarian volume were significantly increased, and age was significantly decreased in the PCOS group compared with the control group.

TT and LH levels, the ratio of LH to FSH, fasting insulin concentration, HOMA index, TG, TC, LDL-C, non-HDL-C and apoB levels, AI, the ratio of apoB to apoA1, and the ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-PAF-AH were significantly increased, whereas FSH and HDL-C levels and H-PAF-AH activity were significantly reduced in the PCOS group compared with the control group (Table 2).

Distribution of the *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* genotypes and alleles

Genotypic distributions of *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the PCOS and control groups. No significant differences were observed in the frequencies of the *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* genotypes and alleles between PCOS and control groups (*P* > 0.05, Table 3).

The degree of linkage disequilibrium (LD) among the *apoE* $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$, and the *apoC1* rs4420638A/G and -317H1/H2 genetic polymorphisms was analyzed in patients with PCOS and the controls, with all found to be in moderate to reasonably high LD with each other: apoC1-317H1/H2 loci and apoE $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ loci (D' = 0.970, $r^2 = 0.702$), apoC1-317H1/H2 loci and rs4420638A/G loci (D' = 0.910, $r^2 = 0.455$), and apoC1 rs4420638A/G loci and apoE $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ loci (D' = 0.758, $r^2 = 0.397$).

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of PCOS patients and controls

	Controls $(n = 761)$	PCOS (n = 877)	Р	P ^a
Age (years)	28.19 ± 4.14	24.66 ± 3.95	< 0.001	
BMI (kg/m²)	21.18 ± 2.95	22.79 ± 4.04	< 0.001	
Waist circumference (cm)	73.63 ± 8.23	78.74 ± 11.17	< 0.001	< 0.001
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.82 ± 0.06	0.85 ± 0.07	< 0.001	< 0.001
F-G score	0.22 ± 0.71	1.68 ± 2.03	< 0.001	< 0.001
Acne grade score	0.09 ± 0.29	0.60 ± 0.90	< 0.001	< 0.001
SBP (mmHg)	113.20 ± 11.72	114.09 ± 10.62	0.110	0.743
DBP (mmHg)	73.87 ± 9.25	75.51 ± 8.92	< 0.001	0.089
Ovarian volume (ml)	7.53 ± 2.84	9.90 ± 4.09	< 0.001	< 0.001

Values are presented as the mean \pm SD

Table 2 Hormonal levels, metabolic profile and PAF-AH activity in PCOS patients and controls

	Controls $(n = 506)$	PCOS $(n = 575)$	Р	P ^a
Age (years)	27.96 ± 4.19	24.66 ± 3.96	< 0.001	
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.10 ± 2.91	23.10 ± 4.26	< 0.001	
Hormonal levels				
E ₂ (pmol/L)	333.95 ± 351.36	284.06 ± 271.26	0.014	0.373
TT (nmol/L)	1.54 ± 0.54	2.42 ± 0.77	< 0.001	< 0.001
LH (IU/L)	8.72 ± 11.33	13.89 ± 10.91	< 0.001	< 0.001
FSH (IU/L)	6.67 ± 2.98	5.99 ± 2.23	< 0.001	0.015
LH/FSH	1.30 ± 1.32	2.35 ± 1.26	< 0.001	< 0.001
Metabolic profile				
Fasting Ins (pmol/L)	66.98 ± 36.74	106.08 ± 74.24	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fasting Glu (mmol/L)	5.30 ± 0.73	5.37 ± 0.77	0.110	0.515
HOMA-IR	2.34 ± 1.90	3.79 ± 3.19	< 0.001	0.006
TG (mmol/L)	1.04 ± 0.90	1.42 ± 1.36	< 0.001	< 0.001
TC (mmol/L)	4.25 ± 0.70	4.41 ± 0.81	< 0.001	< 0.001
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.51 ± 0.32	1.38 ± 0.35	< 0.001	0.006
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.35 ± 0.61	2.55 ± 0.76	< 0.001	< 0.001
non-HDL-C (mmol/L)	2.73 ± 0.64	3.02 ± 0.81	< 0.001	< 0.001
Al	1.90 ± 0.68	2.37 ± 1.02	< 0.001	< 0.001
ApoA1 (g/L)	1.45 ± 0.21	1.42 ± 0.21	0.005	0.725
ApoB (g/L)	0.75 ± 0.17	0.82 ± 0.20	< 0.001	< 0.001
ApoB/apoA1	0.53 ± 0.14	0.60 ± 0.18	< 0.001	< 0.001
PAF-AH activity ^a				
Plasma PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	48.39 ± 10.81	47.44 ± 12.94	0.344	0.722
H-PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	5.14 ± 1.51	4.67 ± 1.91	0.001	0.031
ApoB-PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	43.25 ± 9.96	42.77 ± 11.89	0.604	0.967
ApoB-PAF-AH/H-PAF-AH	8.86 ± 2.48	10.04 ± 3.89	< 0.001	< 0.001

Values are presented as the mean \pm SD

 P^a All comparisons were corrected for differences in age and BMI between the two groups except the parameters of age and BMI

 P^a All comparisons of parameters were corrected for differences in age and BMI between the two groups except the parameters of age and BMI

^a Control (n = 277), PCOS (n = 281)

Table 3 Frequencies of the *apoC1* genotype and allele in PCOS patients compared with controls

		Controls (n = 761)	PCOS (n = 877)	χ^2	Р
Genotype					
-317	H1H1	483 (63.5%)	570 (65.0%)		
	H1H2	245 (32.2%)	269 (30.7%)		
	H2H2	33 (4.3%)	38 (4.3%)	0.448	0.799
rs4420638	AA	578 (76.0%)	683 (77.9%)		
	AG	176 (23.1%)	182 (20.8%)		
	GG	7 (0.9%)	12 (1.4%)	1.954	0.375
Allele frequer	су				
-317	H1	0.796	0.803		
	H2	0.204	0.197	0.297	0.586
rs4420638	Α	0.875	0.883		
	G	0.125	0.117	0.419	0.518

Genotype data are presented as the number (%) of patients or controls

Effects of the *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* genetic variants on clinical, hormonal and metabolic parameters and PAF-AH activity

Because the sample sizes of the *rs4420638GG* or -317H2H2 homozygotes were too small, we combined them into the heterozygous subgroups.

As shown in Table 4, compared with AA homozygotes, G allele carriers (AG + GG) of the rs4420638 polymorphism had significantly higher apoB levels and a higher ratio of apoB to apoA1 in patients with PCOS or the controls (P < 0.05). Patients with the G allele had higher serum LH, TC, LDL-C and non-HDL-C levels (*P* < 0.05), and tended to have a reduced waist-to-hip ratio, DBP and fasting insulin levels (P < 0.100) and an increased ratio of LH to FSH (P = 0.074) compared with patients with the AA genotype. The controls with the G allele had higher fasting insulin and glucose concentrations, HOMA indexes, AI, plasma PAF-AH and apoB-PAF-AH activity (P < 0.05), and tended to have increased LDL-C and non-HDL-C levels (P < 0.080) and decreased HDL-C concentrations (P < 0.070) than the controls with the AA genotype.

Compared with patients with the H1H1 genotype, patients with the H2 allele (H1H2 + H2H2) in -317H1/H2 polymorphism had lower acne grade score and the ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-PAF-AH (P < 0.05). The controls with the H2 allele had lower TC and LDL-C levels (P < 0.05), and tended to have increased waist-to-hip ratio and HOMA index (P < 0.09) and reduced non-HDL-C and apoB levels (P < 0.09) than the controls with the H1H1 genotype (Table 5).

Additionally, patients with the respective AA and AG + GG genotypes of the rs4420638 polymorphism or the respective *H1H1* and *H1H2*+ *H2H2* genotypes of the -317H1/H2 polymorphism were more obese and had a

higher F-G score, acne grade score and average ovarian volume, and more adverse hormonal, glucose and lipid metabolic profiles compared with the corresponding control subgroups. Patients with the AA or H1H1 genotype had a decreased H-PAF-AH activity and an increased ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-PAF-AH compared with the corresponding AA or H1H1 control subgroups (P < 0.05, Tables 4 and 5).

ApoC1 rs4420638A/G and -317H1/H2 allele status by apoE $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ alleles

The associations of apoC1 allele status with apoE $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon$ ε4 allele status were observed in patients with PCOS and controls, as shown in Tables 6. A strong association of the apoC1 H2 allele with the apoE ε 2 or apoE ε 4 allele and of the apoC1 rs4420638 G allele with the apoE4 allele was revealed in patients with PCOS and controls. Of the apoE ε 2 alleles evaluated, 273 (100%) were associated with apoC1 H2 alleles. Of the 319 apoE \(\epsilon4\) alleles, 303 (95%) were H2 alleles or rs4420638 G alleles and only 16 (5%) were H1 alleles or rs4420638 A alleles. In contrast, the apoE $\varepsilon 3$ allele was strongly associated with the apoC1 H1 or rs4420638 A alleles. Of the 2684 apoε3 alleles, 2604 (97%) were H1 alleles and 2594 (96.6%) were rs4420638 A alleles; however, only 80 (3%) were H2 alleles and 90 (3.4%) were rs4420638 G alleles. Nevertheless, these differences were not statistically significant for patients with PCOS versus the controls (P > 0.05, Table 6).

Discussion

In this study, we show that apoC1 rs4420638A/G and -317H1/H2 genetic polymorphisms are not associated with a risk of PCOS in Chinese women. However, compared with patients carrying the AA genotype, patients carrying the G allele (AG + GG) of the rs4420638 polymorphism had significantly higher LH, TC, LDL-C, non-HDL-C and apoB levels, and a higher ratio of apoB to apoA1, and tended to have an increased ratio of LH to FSH. This suggests that this polymorphism may potentially be linked to endocrine abnormalities of the reproductive axis and dyslipidemia in the patients. In addition, we found a higher acne grade score and an increased ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-PAF-AH activity in patients carrying the H1H1 genotype of the -317H1/H2 polymorphism compared with patients carrying the H2 allele. This suggests that the -317H1/H2 genetic polymorphism is associated with systemic chronic inflammation in these patients. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the rs4420638A/G or -317H1/H2 polymorphisms of the apoC1 gene exist in moderate to reasonably high linkage disequilibrium with *apoE* $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ polymorphisms.

Several studies have found that the *rs4420638A/G* polymorphism in the *apoC1* gene is associated with

Table 4 Clinical characteristics, hormonal levels, metabolic profile and PAF-AH activity of the apoC1 rs4420638 genotypes in PCOS patients and controls

	Controls	Controls		PCOS		
	AA (n = 379)	AG + GG (n = 121 + 6)	AA (n = 435)	AG + GG (n = 134 + 6)		
Age (yr)	28.18 ± 4.10	27.28 ± 4.41 ^a	24.68 ± 4.01 ^{a, b}	24.60 ± 3.81 ^{a, b}		
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.17 ± 2.96	20.88 ± 2.74	$23.24 \pm 4.32^{a, b}$	$22.68 \pm 4.03^{a, b}$		
Waist circumference (cm)	73.41 ± 8.21	73.36 ± 8.36	80.13 ± 11.67 ^{a, b}	77.96 ± 11.22 ^{a, b}		
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.81 ± 0.06	0.82 ± 0.06	$0.86 \pm 0.07^{a, b}$	$0.84 \pm 0.07^{a, b}$		
F-G score	0.22 ± 0.69	0.26 ± 0.82	$1.73 \pm 2.07^{a, b}$	1.71 ± 2.08 ^{a, b}		
Acne grade score	0.10 ± 0.30	0.08 ± 0.27	$0.66 \pm 0.94^{a, b}$	$0.53 \pm 0.86^{a, b}$		
SBP (mmHg)	113.42 ± 11.55	112.98 ± 11.84	114.89 ± 10.42^{a}	113.78 ± 11.65		
DBP (mmHg)	73.97 ± 8.88	73.40 ± 8.75	76.35 ± 9.08 ^{a, b}	74.70 ± 8.99		
Ovarian volume (ml)	7.88 ± 2.76	7.47 ± 3.24	$10.22 \pm 3.92^{a, b}$	9.95 ± 4.63 ^{a, b}		
Hormonal levels						
E ₂ (pmol/L)	322.08 ± 341.61	367.37 ± 376.94	281.07 ± 279.42 ^b	293.07 ± 245.85		
TT (nmol/L)	1.54 ± 0.54	1.53 ± 0.56	$2.41 \pm 0.77^{a, b}$	$2.45 \pm 0.77^{a, b}$		
LH (IU/L)	8.53 ± 10.38	9.28 ± 13.76	13.24 ± 8.57 ^{a, b}	15.85 ± 15.91 ^{a, b, c}		
FSH (IU/L)	6.59 ± 2.84	6.89 ± 3.34	5.95 ± 2.27 ^{a, b}	6.12 ± 2.11^{b}		
LH/FSH	1.31 ± 1.24	1.29 ± 1.54	$2.28 \pm 1.18^{a, b}$	$2.58 \pm 1.45^{a, b}$		
Metabolic profile						
Fasting Ins (pmol/L)	64.87 ± 32.78	73.28 ± 46.17^{a}	109.10 ± 77.82 ^{a, b}	$96.60 \pm 60.98^{a, b}$		
Fasting Glu (mmol/L)	5.26 ± 0.46	5.42 ± 1.22^{a}	5.38 ± 0.71^{a}	5.36 ± 0.93^{a}		
HOMA-IR	2.22 ± 1.22	2.73 ± 3.13^{a}	3.89 ± 3.31 ^{a, b}	$3.46 \pm 2.80^{a, b}$		
TG (mmol/L)	1.02 ± 0.93	1.08 ± 0.76	$1.46 \pm 1.50^{a, b}$	1.29 ± 0.73^{a}		
TC (mmol/L)	4.22 ± 0.71	4.31 ± 0.66	4.35 ± 0.80^{a}	$4.57 \pm 0.82^{a, b, c}$		
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.52 ± 0.32	1.48 ± 0.29	$1.37 \pm 0.34^{a, b}$	$1.42 \pm 0.36^{a, b}$		
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.32 ± 0.61	2.44 ± 0.60	2.51 ± 0.75^{a}	$2.66 \pm 0.77^{a, b, c}$		
non-HDL-C (mmol/L)	2.70 ± 0.63	2.83 ± 0.65	$2.98 \pm 0.81^{a, b}$	$3.14 \pm 0.78^{a, b, c}$		
Al	1.87 ± 0.65	2.02 ± 0.74^{a}	$2.37 \pm 1.05^{a, b}$	$2.37 \pm 0.91^{a, b}$		
ApoA1 (g/L)	1.46 ± 0.22	1.44 ± 0.18	1.41 ± 0.21^{a}	1.43 ± 0.22^{a}		
ApoB (g/L)	0.74 ± 0.17	0.78 ± 0.17^{a}	$0.81 \pm 0.20^{a, b}$	$0.85 \pm 0.20^{a, b, c}$		
ApoB/apoA1	0.52 ± 0.14	0.55 ± 0.16^{a}	$0.59 \pm 0.18^{a, b}$	$0.61 \pm 0.19^{a, b, c}$		
PAF-AH activity ^d						
Plasma PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	47.80 ± 10.91	50.08 ± 10.39^{a}	47.54 ± 12.27 ^b	47.05 ± 15.17		
H-PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	5.09 ± 1.51	5.30 ± 1.52	$4.64 \pm 1.89^{a, b}$	4.77 ± 2.01		
ApoB-PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	42.74 ± 10.09	44.78 ± 9.50^{a}	42.91 ± 11.34	42.28 ± 13.74		
ApoB-PAF-AH/H-PAF-AH	8.85 ± 2.61	8.88 ± 2.09	10.91 ± 4.17 ^{a, b}	9.48 ± 2.60		

Values are presented as the mean \pm SD

Comparisons of all parameters were corrected for differences in age and BMI between the two subgroups except the parameters of age and BMI

serum lipid levels, chronic inflammation and disease risk. The G allele of rs4420638 is associated with a higher level of TC, LDL-C, TG and PAF-AH activity, abdominal obesity, and increased risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease [13–17]. On the other hand, the G allele is associated with lower serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels [13]. In this study, we found that the G allele carriers were associated with not only increased serum LDL-C levels but also elevated serum non-HDL-C and apoB levels, and the ratio of apoB to apoA1 than the AA homozygotes in patients with PCOS or the controls. Because apoB and the apoB/apoA-I ratio

^a P < 0.05, compared with AA genotype subgroup in controls

 $^{^{\}mathrm{b}}P$ < 0.05, compared with AG+GG genotype subgroup in controls

 $[^]cP$ < 0.05, compared with AA genotype subgroup in PCOS patients d Controls: AA (n=207), AG + GG (n=67+3); PCOS: AA (n=219), AA + AG (n=60+2)

Table 5 Clinical characteristics, hormonal levels, metabolic profile and PAF-AH activity of the *apoC1-317H1/H2* genotypes in PCOS patients and controls

	Controls	Controls		PCOS		
	H1H1 (n = 318)	H1H2 + H2H2 (n = 163 + 25)	H1H1 (n = 370)	H1H2 + H2H2 (n = 177 + 28)		
Age (yr)	28.17 ± 4.17	27.56 ± 4.21	24.68 ± 4.03 ^{a, b}	24.63 ± 3.84 ^{a, b}		
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.18 ± 2.95	20.91 ± 2.81	22.94 ± 4.23 ^{a, b}	23.41 ± 4.29 ^{a, b}		
Waist circumference (cm)	73.36 ± 7.96	73.35 ± 8.61	79.35 ± 11.36 ^{a, b}	80.06 ± 12.02 ^{a, b}		
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.81 ± 0.06	0.82 ± 0.06	$0.85 \pm 0.07^{a, b}$	$0.85 \pm 0.08^{a, b}$		
F-G score	0.25 ± 0.76	0.19 ± 0.68	$1.77 \pm 2.06^{a, b}$	$1.63 \pm 2.10^{a, b}$		
Acne grade score	0.11 ± 0.31	0.07 ± 0.26	0.68 ± 0.96 a, b	$0.52 \pm 0.86^{a, b, c}$		
SBP (mmHg)	113.32 ± 11.26	113.32 ± 12.22	114.36 ± 10.43^{a}	115.08 ± 11.27 ^a		
DBP (mmHg)	73.81 ± 8.89	73.78 ± 8.76	76.27 ± 9.08 ^{a, b}	75.38 ± 9.06^{a}		
Ovarian volume (ml)	7.80 ± 2.86	7.71 ± 2.99	10.15 ± 3.99 ^{a, b}	10.15 ± 4.32 ^{a, b}		
Hormonal levels						
E ₂ (pmol/L)	324.35 ± 341.91	349.79 ± 366.62	283.87 ± 285.58	284.41 ± 244.71		
TT (nmol/L)	1.55 ± 0.53	1.52 ± 0.56	$2.41 \pm 0.77^{a, b}$	$2.43 \pm 0.77^{a, b}$		
LH (IU/L)	8.80 ± 11.33	8.61 ± 11.40	13.65 ± 9.01 ^{a, b}	14.33 ± 13.68 ^{a, b}		
FSH (IU/L)	6.64 ± 3.02	6.71 ± 2.92	5.94 ± 1.89 ^{a, b}	$6.08 \pm 2.74^{a, b}$		
LH/FSH	1.32 ± 1.26	1.28 ± 1.41	$2.32 \pm 1.20^{a, b}$	$2.42 \pm 1.36^{a, b}$		
Metabolic profile						
Fasting Ins (pmol/L)	65.53 ± 34.31	69.27 ± 40.51	105.28 ± 75.66 ^{a, b}	107.54 ± 71.75 ^{a, b}		
Fasting Glu (mmol/L)	5.27 ± 0.44	5.35 ± 1.06	5.39 ± 0.84^{a}	5.35 ± 0.62		
HOMA-IR	2.24 ± 1.27	2.51 ± 2.64	$3.80 \pm 3.40^{a, b}$	$3.77 \pm 2.79^{a, b}$		
TG (mmol/L)	1.03 ± 1.00	1.05 ± 0.68	1.41 ± 1.47 ^{a, b}	1.45 ± 1.13 ^{a, b}		
TC (mmol/L)	4.30 ± 0.68	4.15 ± 0.72^{a}	$4.41 \pm 0.81^{a, b}$	4.39 ± 0.82^{b}		
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.52 ± 0.32	1.49 ± 0.31	$1.39 \pm 0.34^{a, b}$	$1.37 \pm 0.35^{a, b}$		
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.41 ± 0.57	2.26 ± 0.66^{a}	$2.57 \pm 0.76^{a, b}$	$2.51 \pm 0.77^{a, b}$		
non-HDL-C (mmol/L)	2.78 ± 0.60	2.66 ± 0.70	$3.03 \pm 0.82^{a, b}$	$3.02 \pm 0.80^{a, b}$		
Al	1.92 ± 0.65	1.88 ± 0.73	$2.37 \pm 1.03^{a, b}$	$2.37 \pm 1.00^{a, b}$		
ApoA1 (g/L)	1.46 ± 0.22	1.44 ± 0.19	1.42 ± 0.21^{a}	$1.41 \pm 0.22^{a, b}$		
ApoB (g/L)	0.76 ± 0.15	0.73 ± 0.18	$0.83 \pm 0.21^{a, b}$	$0.81 \pm 0.20^{a, b}$		
ApoB/apoA1	0.53 ± 0.13	0.52 ± 0.17	$0.60 \pm 0.18^{a, b}$	$0.59 \pm 0.18^{a, b}$		
PAF-AH activity ^d						
Plasma PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	49.20 ± 10.27	47.20 ± 11.50	48.01 ± 12.50	46.39 ± 13.71		
H-PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	5.12 ± 1.46	5.17 ± 1.59	4.61 ± 1.98 ^{a, b}	4.76 ± 1.80		
ApoB-PAF-AH (nmol/min/ml)	44.08 ± 9.40	42.03 ± 10.67	43.39 ± 11.49	41.63 ± 12.56		
ApoB-PAF-AH/H-PAF-AH	9.07 ± 2.59	8.55 ± 2.29	10.42 ± 4.37 ^{a, b}	9.33 ± 2.69^{c}		

Values are presented as the mean $\pm\,\text{SD}$

Comparisons of all parameters were corrected for differences in age and BMI between the two subgroups except the parameters of age and BMI

have been reported to be better predictors of cardiovascular diseases than traditional lipid measurements [4, 33], our results provide further evidence that the $A \rightarrow G$ variant of rs4420638 in the *apoC1* gene is associated with cardiovascular disease risk. We also demonstrated that the controls with the G allele had higher fasting insulin and glucose concentrations, HOMA indexes, plasma PAF-AH and apoB-PAF-AH activity than the *AA* homozygotic controls. Additionally, patients carrying the *G* allele tended to have a reduced waist-to-hip ratio than the *AA* homozygotic patients. Consistent with our findings, Avery et al. demonstrated that the *rs4420638A/G*

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ P < 0.05, compared with AA genotype subgroup in controls

 $^{^{\}mathrm{b}}P$ < 0.05, compared with H1H2+H2H2 genotype subgroup in controls

 $^{^{}c}P$ < 0.05, compared with AA genotype subgroup in PCOS patients

dControls: H1H1 (n = 165), H1H2 + H2H2 (n = 97 + 15); PCOS: H1H1 (n = 182), H1H2 + H2H2 (n = 86 + 13)

Table 6 ApoC1/apoE haplotypes in patients with PCOS and controls

ApoE controls/ cases	ApoC1-317	ApoC1-317			ApoC1 rs4420638		
	H1	H2	Р	A	G	Р	
ε2							
Controls	0	131 (100%)		131 (100%)	0		
PCOS	0	142 (100%)	-	139 (97.9%)	3 (2.1%)	0.094	
Total	0	273 (100%)		270 (98.9%)	3 (1.1%)		
ε3							
Controls	1203 (96.7%)	41 (3.3%)		1194 (96.0%)	50 (4.0%)		
PCOS	1401 (97.3%)	39 (2.7%)	0.372	1400 (97.2%)	40 (2.8%)	0.075	
Total	2604 (97.0%)	80 (3.0%)		2594 (96.6%)	90 (3.4%)		
ε4							
Controls	8 (5.4%)	139 (94.6%)		7 (4.8%)	140 (95.2%)		
PCOS	8 (4.7%)	164 (95.3%)	0.747	9 (5.2%)	163 (94.8%)	0.848	
Total	16 (5.0%)	303 (95.0%)		16 (5.0%)	303 (95.0%)		

Haplotype data are presented as the number (%) of patients or controls

polymorphism of the apoC1 gene was associated with elevated plasma glucose, atherogenic dyslipidemia, vascular inflammation, and central obesity in individuals of European descent [34]. Grallert et al. reported that the $A \rightarrow G$ variant of rs4420638 was associated with increased plasma PAF-AH activity [17]. Furthermore, in this study, we demonstrated that the apoC1 rs4420638 G allele exist in strong linkage disequilibrium with the apoE & allele, which may partially explain the effect of the rs4420638 G allele on lipoprotein metabolism and disease risk. The apoE $\varepsilon 4$ allele was associated not only with higher serum TC and LDL-C levels but also with higher oxidative stress, a more pro-inflammatory state and increased risk of cardiovascular disease and lateonset Alzheimer's disease [30, 35]. For the first time, our study determined that the PCOS patients with the G allele had higher LH levels and tended to have increased ratio of LH to FSH than in patients with the AA genotype, suggesting that the $A \rightarrow G$ variant of rs4420638 may potentially link to endocrine abnormalities of the reproductive axis in the patients. However, the effect of this gene variant on the reproductive axis must be investigated further.

The -317H1/H2 polymorphism of the apoC1 gene, which is localized to the transcription initiation site in the promoter region, has been identified to exist in linkage disequilibrium with the $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ polymorphisms of the apoE gene and influences lipoprotein metabolism [19]. The H2 allele of the apoC1 gene has been reported to cause a significant 1.5-fold increase in apoC1 gene transcription in a reporter-gene assay [19]. The H2 allele disrupts the binding of a transcription suppressor to produce a positive effect on transcription [19]. This allele also correlated with development of Alzheimer's

disease [20] and familial dysbetalipoproteinemia [21]. In this study, we found that compared with H1H1 homozygotes, the H2 allele was associated with lower ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-PAF-AH and acne grade score in patients with PCOS. Furthermore, the H2 allele decreased or tended to decrease TC, LDL-C, non-HDL-C and apoB levels, but tended to increase waist-to-hip ratio and HOMA index in the controls. We also determined that the apoC1 H2 allele has complete linkage disequilibrium with the apoE $\varepsilon 2$ allele, and to a lesser extent, the apoE &4 allele in Chinese women. Consistent with our findings, Xu et al. reported that the H2 allele was associated with a decrease or tending decrease in apoB and LDL-C levels compared with H1H1 homozygotes in African-Americans with the *apoE* ε3/ε3 genotype but not the apoE ε3/ε4 genotype [19]. Similar to apoE2, modest levels of apoC1 expression may decrease hepatic secretion of VLDL and apoB and produce lower LDL levels due to decreased partitioning of dietary lipids to the liver, relating to delayed remnant clearance, especially in the apoE ε 2 and apoE ε 3 contexts; however, higher apoC1 levels may increase plasma lipid levels via decreased remnant clearance [19]. Expression of apoC1 is upregulated and serum apoC1 levels are higher in patients with PCOS compared with the controls [23]. This may be one of the reasons why serum lipid profiles in the apoC1-317H1/H2 polymorphism are different between patients and controls. Plasma PAF-AH specifically hydrolyzes and inactivates PAF and PAF-like oxidized phospholipids that are potent pro-inflammatory mediators [36]. Given that H-PAF-AH, an antioxidant enzyme of HDL, plays anti-inflammatory role and apoB-PAF-AH is associated with inflammation, it has recently been suggested that an increased ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-

PAF-AH might be a marker for chronic inflammation [31, 32, 36, 37]. These results suggest that the *H1* allele might relate to increased systemic chronic inflammation in patients with PCOS.

In addition, our study also showed that the $A \rightarrow G$ variant and the CGTT insertion (H2) allele of apoC1 appeared to have a greater impact on metabolic profile in the controls relative to in patients with PCOS (Tables 4 and 5). It have been demonstrated that in addition to genetic variations, other factors such as obesity, insulin resistance, etc., also have a significant impact on metabolic profile [4, 5, 38]. Since patients with PCOS are more obese and have a more severe insulin resistance compared with the controls in this study (Tables 1, 2, 4 and 5), it is possible that the effects of apoC1 genetic variations on metabolic profile may be disturbed or weakened by these factors in patients. Further research is needed to clarify this issue.

In particular, the allele frequencies for apoC1 and apoE genetic variants differ among ethnic groups, and these variants exhibit an ethnically distinct linkage disequilibrium pattern [19, 20, 30]. For example, the frequency of the apoC1 H2 allele combined with apoE $\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$ and $\varepsilon 4$ is 0.95, 0.02 and 0.85, respectively, in European-Americans; 1.00, 0.08 and 0.55, respectively, in African-Americans [19]; and 1.00, 0.03 and 0.95, respectively, in Chinese women. Therefore, it is possible that the relationships between the apoC1 and apoE genetic polymorphisms and lipoprotein levels or diseases may differ among ethnic groups.

We should point out that this study has some limitations. Firstly, given the low frequency of homozygosity of minor alleles *rs4420638GG* and *-317H2H2*, we could not analyze them in the form of subgroups. A larger sample size of patients and controls are needed to properly evaluate dose-dependent genotype characteristics. Second, due to insufficient sampling, we did not measure plasma and lipoprotein-associated PAF-AH activity in some subjects, which might influence the statistical power of these parameters. Third, we could not determine plasma apoC1 concentrations due to plasma or serum sample inadequacy. Further study to detect apoC1 levels may help provide clues to the mechanisms responsible for the genetic association with PCOS.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrates that *apoC1* rs4420638A/G and -317H1/H2 genetic polymorphisms are not associated with the risk of PCOS in Chinese women. However, the apoC1 $rs4420638A \rightarrow G$ variation may potentially be linked to elevated serum LH levels, a relatively high ratio of LH to FSH, and an adverse lipid metabolic profile; and the -317 H1H1 genotype is associated with increased the ratio of apoB-PAF-AH to H-

PAF-AH activity and the acne grade score in the patients with PCOS. Additionally, this study also indicates that *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* genetic variations are associated with an adverse glucose and lipid metabolic profile and the *rs4420638G* allele increases plasma PAF-AH and apoB-PAF-AH activity in the control women. These results suggest that *apoC1 rs4420638A/G* and *-317H1/H2* genetic variants are related to metabolic abnormalities and chronic inflammation in patients and controls, while the *rs4420638A/G* polymorphism might be involved in endocrine abnormalities of reproductive axis in patients.

Abbreviations

Al: Atherogenic index; apoA1: Apolipoprotein A1; apoB: Apolipoprotein B; apoB-PAF-AH: apoB-containing lipoprotein-associated platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase; apoE: Apolipoprotein E; BMI: Body mass index; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; E2: Estradiol; F-G score: Ferriman-Gallwey score; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; Glu: Glucose; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA index: Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; H-PAF-AH: HDL-associated PAF-AH; Ins: Insulin; LDL-C: Lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; LH: Luteinizing hormone; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; TC: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglycerides; TT: Total testosterone

Acknowledgments

We thank women with or without PCOS who donated blood samples for this study. We are thankful to You Li, De Hua Wan, Qi Song, Ying Wang, and Jinxia Zhang for work performed to support this study.

Funding

This study was funded by the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (81370681) and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University, Ministry of Education (IRT0935).

Availability of data and materials

Please contact author for data requests.

Authors' contributions

PF conceived and designed the experiments. RZ, QL and YZ performed experiments. LG helped with the experiments. HL was responsible for patient screening. PF, RZ and HB analyzed the data and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All of the participants provided their informed consent, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University (2014–014 to PF).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Laboratory of Genetic Disease and Perinatal Medicine, Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Ministry of Education, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, People's Republic of China. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, People's Republic of China.

Received: 1 September 2017 Accepted: 28 March 2018 Published online: 10 April 2018

References

- Li R, Zhang Q, Yang D, Li S, Lu S, Wu X, et al. Prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome in women in China: a large community-based study. Hum Reprod. 2013;28(9):2562–9.
- The Amsterdam ESHRE/ASRM-sponsored 3rd PCOS ConsensusWorkshop Group. Consensus on women's health aspects of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Hum Reprod. 2012;27(1):14–24.
- Zhang R, Liu H, Bai H, Zhang Y, Liu Q, Guan L, et al. Oxidative stress status in Chinese women with different clinical phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2017;86(1):88–96.
- Zhang J, Fan P, Liu H, Bai H, Wang Y, Zhang F. Apolipoprotein A-I and B levels, dyslipidemia and metabolic syndrome in south-west Chinese women with PCOS. Hum Reprod. 2012;27(8):2484–93.
- Lim SS, Davies MJ, Norman RJ, Moran LJ. Overweight, obesity and central obesity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2012;18(6):618–37.
- de Groot PC, Dekkers OM, Romijn JA, Dieben SW, Helmerhorst FM. PCOS, coronary heart disease, stroke and the influence of obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2011;17(4):495–500.
- Ciccone MM, Favale S, Bhuva A, Scicchitano P, Caragnano V, Lavopa C, et al. Anteroposterior diameter of the infrarenal abdominal aorta is higher in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2009;5(3):561–6.
- McAllister JM, Legro RS, Modi BP, Strauss JF 3rd. Functional genomics of PCOS: from GWAS to molecular mechanisms. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2015;26(3):118–24.
- Xita N, Tsatsoulis A. Review: fetal programming of polycystic ovary syndrome by androgen excess: evidence from experimental, clinical, and genetic association studies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91(5):1660–6.
- Berbee JF, van der Hoogt CC, Sundararaman D, Havekes LM, Rensen PC. Severe hypertriglyceridemia in human APOC1 transgenic mice is caused by apoC-l-induced inhibition of LPL. J Lipid Res. 2005;46(2):297–306.
- Shachter NS, Ebara T, Ramakrishnan R, Steiner G, Breslow JL, Ginsberg HN, et al. Combined hyperlipidemia in transgenic mice overexpressing human apolipoprotein cl. J Clin Invest. 1996;98(3):846–55.
- Jong MC, Dahlmans VE, van Gorp PJ, van Dijk KW, Breuer ML, Hofker MH, et al. In the absence of the low density lipoprotein receptor, human apolipoprotein C1 overexpression in transgenic mice inhibits the hepatic uptake of very low density lipoproteins via a receptor-associated proteinsensitive pathway. J Clin Invest. 1996;98(10):2259–67.
- Ligthart S, de Vries PS, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Group Clw, Franco OH, et al. Pleiotropy among common genetic loci identified for cardiometabolic disorders and C-reactive protein. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):e0118859.
- Willer CJ, Sanna S, Jackson AU, Scuteri A, Bonnycastle LL, Clarke R, et al. Newly identified loci that influence lipid concentrations and risk of coronary artery disease. Nat Genet. 2008;40(2):161–9.
- Sandhu MS, Waterworth DM, Debenham SL, Wheeler E, Papadakis K, Zhao JH, et al. LDL-cholesterol concentrations: a genome-wide association study. Lancet. 2008;371(9611):483–91.
- Carty CL, Bhattacharjee S, Haessler J, Cheng I, Hindorff LA, Aroda V, et al. Analysis of metabolic syndrome components in >15 000 African Americans identifies pleiotropic variants: results from the population architecture using genomics and epidemiology study. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2014;7(4):505–13.
- Grallert H, Dupuis J, Bis JC, Dehghan A, Barbalic M, Baumert J, et al. Eight genetic loci associated with variation in lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 mass and activity and coronary heart disease: metaanalysis of genome-wide association studies from five community-based studies. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(2):238–51.
- Shachter NS, Rabinowitz D, Stohl S, Conde-Knape K, Cohn JS, Deckelbaum RJ, et al. The common insertional polymorphism in the APOC1 promoter is associated with serum apolipoprotein C-I levels in Hispanic children. Atherosclerosis. 2005;179(2):387–93.
- Xu Y, Berglund L, Ramakrishnan R, Mayeux R, Ngai C, Holleran S, et al. A common Hpa I RFLP of apolipoprotein C-l increases gene transcription and exhibits an ethnically distinct pattern of linkage disequilibrium with the alleles of apolipoprotein E. J Lipid Res. 1999;40(1):50–8.
- Zhou Q, Zhao F, Lv ZP, Zheng CG, Zheng WD, Sun L, et al. Association between APOC1 polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease: a case-control study and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e87017.

- Smit M, van der Kooij-Meijs E, Woudt LP, Havekes LM, Frants RR. Exact localization of the familial dysbetalipoproteinemia associated Hpal restriction site in the promoter region of the APOC1 gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1988;152(3):1282–8.
- Li H, Wetten S, Li L, St Jean PL, Upmanyu R, Surh L, et al. Candidate singlenucleotide polymorphisms from a genomewide association study of Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol. 2008;65(1):45–53.
- Huang S, Qiao J, Li R, Wang L, Li M. Can serum apolipoprotein C-I demonstrate metabolic abnormality early in women with polycystic ovary syndrome? Fertil Steril. 2010;94(1):205–10.
- The Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2004;81(1):19–25.
- Zhang Y, Liu H, He J, Xu K, Bai H, Wang Y, et al. Lactonase activity and status of paraoxonase 1 in Chinese women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Eur J Endocrinol. 2015;172(4):391–402.
- Zhang J, Zhang Y, Liu H, Bai H, Wang Y, Jiang C, et al. Antioxidant properties of high-density lipoproteins are impaired in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2015;103(5):1346–54.
- Wang Y, Liu H, Fan P, Bai H, Zhang J, Zhang F. Evidence for association between paraoxonase 1 gene polymorphisms and polycystic ovarian syndrome in southwest Chinese women. Eur J Endocrinol. 2012;166(5):877–85.
- Fan P, Liu HW, Wang XS, Zhang F, Song Q, Li Q, et al. Identification of the G994T polymorphism in exon 9 of plasma platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase gene as a risk factor for polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod. 2010;25(5):1288–94.
- Zhou Q, Peng D, Yuan X, Lv Z, Pang S, Jiang W, et al. APOE and APOC1 gene polymorphisms are associated with cognitive impairment progression in Chinese patients with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Neural Regen Res. 2014;9(6):653–60.
- Liu HW, Zhang F, Fan P, Bai H, Zhang JX, Wang Y. Effects of apolipoprotein E genotypes on metabolic profile and oxidative stress in southwest Chinese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2013;170(1):146–51.
- 31. Gao Q, He GL, Zhang L, Bai H, Liu XH, Fan P. Activity and distribution of plasma platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase in women with gestational diabetes mellitus and their neonates. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2016;32(6):634–42.
- Fan P, Liu H, Wang Y, Zhang F, Bai H. Apolipoprotein E-containing HDLassociated platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase activities and malondialdehyde concentrations in patients with PCOS. Reprod BioMed Online. 2012;24(2):197–205.
- Sierra-Johnson J, Fisher RM, Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Lopez-Jimenez F, Ohrvik J, et al. Concentration of apolipoprotein B is comparable with the apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A-I ratio and better than routine clinical lipid measurements in predicting coronary heart disease mortality: findings from a multi-ethnic US population. Eur Heart J. 2009;30(6):710–7.
- Avery CL, He Q, North KE, Ambite JL, Boerwinkle E, Fornage M, et al. A
 phenomics-based strategy identifies loci on APOC1, BRAP, and PLCG1
 associated with metabolic syndrome phenotype domains. PLoS Genet.
 2011;7(10):e1002322.
- Jofre-Monseny L, Minihane AM, Rimbach G. Impact of apoE genotype on oxidative stress, inflammation and disease risk. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2008; 52(1):131–45.
- Rosenson RS, Stafforini DM. Modulation of oxidative stress, inflammation, and atherosclerosis by lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2. J Lipid Res. 2012;53(9):1767–82.
- Zhang R, Song Q, Liu H, Bai H, Zhang Y, Liu Q, et al. Effect of the R92H and A379V genotypes of platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase on its enzyme activity, oxidative stress and metabolic profile in Chinese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Lipids Health Dis. 2017;16(1):57.
- Moran LJ, Misso ML, Wild RA, Norman RJ. Impaired glucose tolerance, type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome in polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2010;16(4):347–63.