Transcriptome profiling of anthocyanin-related genes reveals effects of light intensity on anthocyanin biosynthesis in red leaf lettuce

Yanzhao Zhang[1],[*], Shuzhen Xu[1],[*], Yanwei Cheng[1], Zhengfeng Peng[2] and Jianming Han[1]

[1] Life Science Department, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang, China
[2] Luoyang Research Institute of Peony, Luoyang, China
[*] These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

Red leaf lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) is popular due to its high anthocyanin content, but poor leaf coloring often occurs under low light intensity. In order to reveal the mechanisms of anthocyanins affected by light intensity, we compared the transcriptome of *L. sativa* L. var. *capitata* under light intensities of 40 and 100 $\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. A total of 62,111 unigenes were de novo assembled with an N50 of 1,681 bp, and 48,435 unigenes were functionally annotated in public databases. A total of 3,899 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were detected, of which 1,377 unigenes were up-regulated and 2,552 unigenes were down-regulated in the high light samples. By Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes enrichment analysis, the DEGs were significantly enriched in 14 pathways. Using gene annotation and phylogenetic analysis, we identified seven anthocyanin structural genes, including *CHS*, *CHI*, *F3H*, *F3′H*, *DFR*, *ANS*, and *3GT*, and two anthocyanin transport genes, *GST* and *MATE*. In terms of anthocyanin regulatory genes, five MYBs and one bHLH gene were identified. An *HY5* gene was discovered, which may respond to light-signaling and regulate anthocyanin structural genes. These genes showed a log2FC of 2.7–9.0 under high irradiance, and were validated using quantitative real-time-PCR. In conclusion, our results indicated transcriptome variance in red leaf lettuce under low and high light intensity, and observed a anthocyanin biosynthesis and regulation pattern. The data should further help to unravel the molecular mechanisms of anthocyanins influenced by light intensity.

Subjects Genomics, Plant Science
Keywords Red leaf lettuce, Transcriptome, Light intensity, Anthocyanins

INTRODUCTION

Color constitutes an important economic trait in vegetables and fruits, and can be mainly attributed to high anthocyanin accumulation. Anthocyanins are the primary pigments in plants and play an important role in the color development, generating a wide range of colors from pink to blue-purple. Anthocyanins have been verified to play a positive role in health, resulting in colorful foods gaining increasing global popularity (*Espley et al., 2007*; *Chiu et al., 2010*). The anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway is clear in

plants, and three early biosynthesis genes (EBGs), including *chalcone synthase* (*CHS*), *chalcone isomerase* (*CHI*), and *flavonoid 3-hydroxylase* (*F3H*), and four late biosynthesis genes (LBGs) *flavonoid 3′-hydroxylase* (*F3′H*), *dihydroflavonol reductase* (*DFR*), *anthocyanidin synthase* (*ANS*) and *3-glucosyl transferase* (*3GT*) have been identified in the colored tissues of many plants. The enzymes encoded by these genes, in turn, catalyze the substrate to synthesize anthocyanins (*Winkel-Shirley, 2001*). Anthocyanins are transferred to the vacuoles by glutathione S-transferase and MATE-type proteins (*Gomez et al., 2009*; *Sun, Li & Huang, 2012*), where they can function as bioactive molecules and display color. Anthocyanin pathways are mainly regulated by genes from the MYB, bHLH, and WD40 families, and these proteins form a complex by binding to the promoters of structural genes and regulating their transcription (*Zhang et al., 2003*; *Gonzalez et al., 2008*; *Xu, Dubos & Lepiniec, 2015*). The MYB–bHLH–WD40 complex plays a central role in regulating anthocyanins, and many abiotic stresses regulate anthocyanins mainly by activating or inactivating the activity of this complex (*Das et al., 2012*; *Zoratti et al., 2014*).

Light is an important factor that influences anthocyanin accumulation in plants, and many experiments have demonstrated that the transcription levels of anthocyanin regulatory and structural genes decrease under light exclusion, which affects the anthocyanin content later on (*Zoratti et al., 2014*). As observed in apple (*Takos et al., 2006*; *Feng et al., 2013*), Chinese bayberry (*Niu et al., 2010*), and pear (*Feng et al., 2010*), the bagged fruit display no color, but upon exposure to sunlight, expression of the anthocyanin-related genes are up-regulated, leading to a red pericarp. R2R3 MYBs are important positive regulators that directly influence the expression of the anthocyanin biosynthesis genes. Some R2R3 MYBs have been found to respond to light, such as *MdMYB1* in apple (*Takos et al., 2006*), LrMYB15 in *Lilium regale* (*Yamagishi, 2016*), *MrMYB1* in Chinese bayberry (*Niu et al., 2010*), LcMYB1 in litchi (*Lai et al., 2014*), and VvMYBA1 and VvMYBA2 in grapevine (*Azuma et al., 2012*). Under changing light conditions, the expression level of these R2R3 MYB transcription factors (TFs) is adjusted to regulate the anthocyanin biosynthesis genes. ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL5 (HY5), a component of light-signaling pathways, has also been linked to the activation of the R2R3 MYBs and key anthocyanin biosynthesis genes in response to light in *Arabidopsis* and apple (*Peng et al., 2013*; *Shin et al., 2013*). Recent research revealed that light signals regulate anthocyanins via CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1), which is a negative regulator and mediate degradation of anthocyanin positive regulators, like PAP1 and PAP2 in *Arabiodpsis*, MdMYB1 in apples (*Li et al., 2012*; *Maier et al., 2013*). Most light signal transduction experiments are analyzed by excluding light. However, *Maier & Hoecker (2015)* reported that the COP1/SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA (SPA) complex may not be fully inactivated under low light intensity, suggesting the existence of some other light intensity induced mechanism in anthocyanin accumulation.

Leaf color is an important factor influencing the consumer acceptance of red leaf lettuce, and light significantly influences the leaf color (*Kang et al., 2013*). In our previous work, we discovered that red leaf lettuce displayed a greener leaf color when grown under a light intensity of 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, while an increase in the light intensity

to 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} was associated with a redder color. This red color was caused by increased anthocyanin accumulation. *Zhang et al. (2016a)* previously reported several putative genes involved in the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. However, the molecular mechanisms governing light-induced anthocyanins in red leaf lettuce are still unknown. In this study, we mainly evaluated the effect of light intensity on anthocyanin synthesis using comparative transcriptome analysis. The results should enhance our understanding of the correlation between light intensity and anthocyanin accumulation at the molecular level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Lactuca sativa L. var. "Capitata" was grown in the green house of the Luoyang Normal University (China). Seedlings were grown under white light at an intensity 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} and a 16/8 h day/night photoperiod, with the temperature maintained at 22 °C/20 °C. Light intensity was measured using a FGH-1 photosynthetic radiometer (Beijing Normal University Photoelectric Instrument Factory, Beijing, China). Forty days after germination, one group of seedlings was supplied with a light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, and the other group was used as a control. After three days of treatment, the two leaves at the top of plant were sampled, and the leaves from five individuals were pooled in each group. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Quantitative (q) analysis of anthocyanin was performed as described by (*Zhang et al., 2015*), and cyanidin-3-O-glucoside (Cy3G) was used as standards for quantification. The soluble sugar content was detected using the anthrone method (*Li, 2003*).

cDNA library construction and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using CTAB-LiCl method (*Gambino, Perrone & Gribaudo, 2008*), and genomic DNA contamination was removed using DNase I (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). RNA quality was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, CA, USA). For cDNA library construction, mRNA was enriched with oligo (dT) magnetic beads and then broken into smaller pieces using fragmentation buffer. The first strand cDNA was reversed-transcribed by random hexamers and small fragment as templates. This was followed by second strand cDNA synthesis using DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. The double strand cDNA was ligated to paired-end adapters, and suitable fragments were selected and enriched with PCR amplification. cDNA libraries were sequenced performed using an Illumina HiSeq2000 platform at BGI Co., Ltd. Sequence data were deposited in the NCBI database with accession numbers SRR5868088 and SRR5943715.

De novo assembly and gene annotation

Raw reads were filtered by discarding the following: reads with adaptors, reads with unknown nucleotides (Nts) larger than 5%, and low quality reads (more than 10% of bases with a Q score $\leq$ 20). The remaining clean reads from each sample were assembled using Trinity v2.0.6 software (*Grabherr et al., 2011*). TGICL v2.06 was then used to construct a non-redundant (Nr) unigene set from the two assembled datasets (*Pertea et al., 2003*).

Unigenes were aligned to functional databases to obtain gene functions, mainly including the NCBI Nr, Nt, InterPro, SWISS-PROT, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) databases by BLAST v2.2.23 with an *E*-value threshold $<1e^{-5}$. Based on the Nr annotation, gene ontology (GO) annotation (http://www.geneontology.org) was performed using the BLAST2GO program v2.5.0 (*Conesa et al., 2005*).

Analysis of DEGs

Clean reads were mapped to unigenes using Bowtie2 v2.2.5 (*Langmead & Salzberg, 2012*), and gene expression level was calculated based on fragments per kb per million fragments (FPKM) method in RSEM v1.2.12 (*Mortazavi et al., 2008*). Significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were scanned among samples under low and high light using EBSeq package v1.7.1 (*Leng et al., 2013*), with a threshold of an absolute log2 ratio ≥ 2 and a false discovery rate (FDR) significance score <0.001. Based on the KEGG and GO annotation, we classified DEGs and performed functional enrichment using phyper within R (*R Development Core Team, 2008*). The terms in which FDR not larger than 0.001 are defined as significant enrichment.

Prediction and sequence analysis of TF

ORF of each unigene was predicted using getorf EMBOSS:6.5.7.0 (*Rice, Longden & Bleasby, 2000*), and hmmsearch v3.0 was adopted to identify TF by aligning ORF to domain from PlantTFDB (*Mistry et al., 2013*). Neighbor-joining phylogenetic analysis was carried out using MEGA5 (*Tamura et al., 2011*).

Quantitative-PCR Analysis

Extraction of total RNA and elimination of genomic DNA contamination was performed as above, and 2 μg of total RNA was used as template and the first strand cDNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript™ II first strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TAKARA, Dalian, China) with primer dT, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following 10 times dilution, the cDNA was used for quantitative PCR. qPCR was performed using the SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II kit (Tli RNaseH Plus; TAKARA, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gene specific primers are shown in Table S1. The thermal-cycling conditions were as follows: an initial heat denaturing step at 95 °C for 3 min; then 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s. Each sample was amplified in three independent replicates. Gene transcription levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method (*Livak & Schmittgen, 2001*), and *actin* was used as an internal control to normalize the relative expression levels of the analyzed genes (*Borowski et al., 2014*).

RESULTS

Light intensity determines anthocyanin content

After three days irradiation at a high light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, the plant turned red, while the plant grown at low light intensity of 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} still exhibited a pale green color (Figs. 1A and 1B). High-performance liquid chromatography

Figure 1 Color difference of red leaf lettuce. (A) Plant was grown under 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} light as the control. (B) Plant was irradiated under 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} light after three days. (C) Anthocyanins content of red leaf lettuce under different light intensity. (D) Soluble sugar content of red leaf lettuce under different light intensity. Photo credit: Yanzhao Zhang.

Full-size ☑ DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4607/fig-1

analysis revealed that anthocyanins accumulated in the plants under high light at a level of 2.1 mg^{-g}, but were barely detected in those grown under low light (Fig. 1C). Our results indicated that anthocyanins were induced as light intensity increased to 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, and which resulted in the red coloring in leaves of lettuce. Soluble sugar content was also increased with increasing light intensity (Fig. 1D). The content increased 2.9-fold when irradiated under 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} in comparison to 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}.

Transcriptome sequencing and de novo assembly

After filtering raw data, we generated 59,774,792 clean reads, which included 8,966,218,800 nt from samples under light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, and 58,856,106 clean reads that included 8,828,415,900 nt from samples under light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. Trinity software was used to perform de novo assembly with the clean reads, generating 69,733 transcripts with an N50 of 1,500 bp in the high light sample, and 79,037 transcripts in the low light sample with an N50 of 1,460 bp. Redundancy in the transcripts was removed using Tgicl, finally resulting in the generation of 62,111 unigenes with an N50 of 1,681 bp.

A total of 24,129 unigene sequences (38.8%) had a length between 200 and 500 Nts, 13,180 unigenes (21.2%) were between 500 and 1000 nt in length, 16,058 unigenes (25.8%) were between 1,000 and 2,000 nt in length, and 8,744 unigenes (14.1%) were longer than 2,000 nt.

After assembly, gene functions were predicted by querying seven public databases, and a total of 48,435 unigenes (77.98%) were functionally annotated. Among them, 45,046 unigenes (72.52%) obtained hits in the Nr database, 31,786 obtained hits in the SWISS-PROT database, and 33,713 unigenes obtained hits in the InterPro database. TransDecoder v3.0.1 (https://transdecoder.github.io) was used to predict the ORFs. Overall, 22,623 genes were predicted to contain full-length ORFs, accounting for 36.42% of the assembled unigenes. Based on the Nr annotation, the top sequence matches obtained from BLASTX are shown in Fig. S1. The red leaf lettuce sequences had the highest similarity to *Cynara cardunculus* var. *Scolymus* sequences (57.02%), followed by *Vitis vinifera* (5.29%), *Sesamum indicum* (2.53%), *Coffea canephora* (1.95%), and *Nicotiana sylvestris* (1.57%).

Differentially expressed genes

A total of 3,929 unigenes were recovered as differentially expressed between samples grown under low and high light conditions. Compared with the low light sample, 1,377 unigenes were up-regulated and 2,552 unigenes were down-regulated in the high light sample (Fig. 2). To further understand the biological functions of the DEGs, we performed pathway analysis based on the KEGG database. A total of 2,891 unigenes were assigned to six categories including 132 KEGG pathways. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was performed based on hypergeometric tests. The DEGs between the two samples were significantly enriched in 14 pathways (Fig. 3). Among them, four pathways including "phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (ko00940)," "flavonoid biosynthesis (ko00941)," "flavone and flavonol biosynthesis (ko00944)," and "anthocyanin

Figure 2 Volcano plot of differently expressed genes between red leaf lettuce under light intensity of 40 and 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. "FDR $\leq$ 0.01" and "log2 ratio $\geq$ 1" were used as thresholds to determine the different expressed genes (DEGs). Red points represent up-regulated DEGs, blue points represent down-regulated DEGs, and black points represent non-DEGs. Full-size ◩ DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4607/fig-2

biosynthesis (ko00942)" are closely associated with anthocyanin biosynthesis, and three glucide metabolic pathways including "other glycan degradation (ko00511)," "starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500)," and "galactose metabolism (ko00052)" may be related to the synthesis of substrates.

We assigned 1,257 of the 3,929 DEGs to three main GO categories including "molecular functions," "biological processes," and "cellular components" (Fig. S2). Among them, 742 unigenes were grouped in the category "cellular components," 992 unigenes in "molecular function," and 884 unigenes in "biological processes." Under the GO category "molecular functions," the "polynucleotide adenylyltransferase activity" and "oxidoreductase activity" were the most highly enriched terms. Under the category "Molecular function," the "flavonoid biosynthetic process" were the most highly enriched term.

Analysis of genes involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis and transport

Using gene annotation and phylogenetic analysis, we identified putative structural genes involved in anthocyanin synthesis and transport (Table 1). The accession numbers in GenBank were MF579543–MF579560. In terms of anthocyanin structural genes, a total of nine genes covered each step of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. Among them,

Figure 3 Pathway functional enrichment of DEGs. *x*-axis represents enrichment factor. *y*-axis represents pathway name. Coloring indicates *Q* value (high: green, low: red), the lower *Q* value indicates the more significant enrichment. Point size indicates DEG number (more: big, less: small).

Full-size ⬚ DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4607/fig-3

the *CHS* and *3GT* gene family contained two members, while the other genes contained only one member. All nine candidate genes were up-regulated under high light, and their normalized transcript levels were two- to ninefold higher than under low light. Among the *CHS* and *3GT* gene family members, Unigene12000_All and CL4808.Contig1_All displayed greater transcript abundance and more obvious growth at the transcription level. It is indicated that Unigene12000_All and CL4808.Contig1_All may be more insensitive to light intensity and contribute more to anthocyanin biosynthesis. In brief, all the putative structural genes were co-up-regulated and the anthocyanin pathway was active under high light conditions.

We also detected the differential expression of two anthocyanin transport genes, *GST* (Unigene10814_All) and *MATE* (Unigene12020_All), with transcript levels 7.1- and 1.1-fold higher under high light conditions. *GST* possessed higher transcript abundance

Table 1 Differentially expressed genes related with anthocyanin.

Gene name	Low_light FPKM	High_light FPKM	Log2FoldChange (high/low light)	FDR	Up/down
LsCHS					
Unigene12000_All	1.68	858.26	9.0	0	Up
CL4608.Contig2_All	11.36	97.28	3.1	$5.33e^{-259}$	Up
LsCHI					
Unigene10166_All	10.6	68.72	2.70	$1.43e^{-96}$	Up
LsF3H					
Unigene8465_All	14.21	408.44	4.85	0	Up
LsF3′H					
CL524.Contig1_All	9.08	193.8	4.42	0	Up
LsDFR					
Unigene2105_All	2.3	473	7.68	0	Up
LsANS					
CL1994.Contig1_All	4.25	269.69	5.99	0	Up
Ls3GT					
CL4808.Contig1_All	5.84	158.28	4.76	0	Up
CL4808.Contig2_All	3.31	84.6	4.66	0	Up
LsGST					
Unigene10814_All	1.84	245.41	7.06	0	Up
LsMATE					
Unigene12020_All	8.42	18.14	1.11	$9.25e^{-15}$	Up
LsMYB					
Unigene12430_All	2.21	8.30	1.91	$4.36e^{-10}$	Up
Unigene12294_All	3.14	37.14	3.56	$5.02e^{-85}$	Up
Unigene23058_All	0.11	7.25	6.04	$5.68e^{-17}$	Up
Unigene24751_All	0.56	22.95	5.36	$7.05e^{-86}$	Up
CL6440.Contig1_All	0.72	4.60	2.66	$9.14e^{-11}$	Up
LsbHLH					
Unigene13011_All	3.64	21.95	2.59	$3.37e^{-84}$	Up
LsHY5					
Unigene19629_All	3.18	10.42	1.71	$3.15e^{-05}$	Up

and was significantly up-regulated, suggesting that anthocyanins might be primarily transported by GST.

Transcription factors regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis

We predicted a total of 291 TFs belonging to 39 families (Table S2). The MYB gene family represented the largest group containing 33 members, followed by the AP2-EREBP (30 members), MYB-related (26 members), and bHLH gene families (19 members). In model plants, TFs from the MYB, bHLH, and WD40 families regulate transcripts of anthocyanin structural genes. In the 33 MYBs filtered from the detected DEGs, 14 were up-regulated and 19 were down-regulated under high light conditions. Among the up-regulated genes, Unigene12430_All, Unigene12294_All, and Unigene23058_All

Figure 4 Phylogenetic analysis of anthocyanin biosynthesis transcription factors of red leaf lettuce. (A) Phylogenetic tree of five MYBs with MYB transcription factors in other plants. The accession numbers are as follows: AtMYB12 (NP_182268), AtMYB11 (NP_191820), AtMYB111 (NP_199744), AtTT2 (Q9FJA2), AtWER (NP_196979), Ca A (CAE75745), GhMYB10 (CAD87010), LeANT1 (AAQ55181), MdMYB1 (ABK58136), AtMYB0 (NP_189430), MYB75 (NP_176057), MYB90 (NP_176813), MYB113 (NP_176811), MYB114 (NP_176812), NtAN2 (NP_001306786), PhAN2 (AAF66727), PhDPL (ADW94950), PhPHZ (ADW94951), Rosea1 (ABB83826), Rosea2 (ABB83827), VENOSA (ABB83828), VvMYBA1 (AB242302), VvMYBA2 (AB097924). (B) Phylogenetic tree of Unigene13011_All with bHLH proteins in others plants. The accession numbers are as follows: AmDELILA (AAA32663), AtMYC1 (BAA11933), AtEGL1 (NP_176552), AtGL3 (NP_001332705), PhJAF13 (AAC39455), MdbHLH3 (MdbHLH3), MdbHLH33 (DQ266451), PhAN1 (AAG25928), AtTT8 (CAC14865), ZmB (CAA40544), ZmLC (AAA33504), DvIVS (BAM84239), LcbHLH2 (APP94123). Full-size 🖼 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4607/fig-4

formed a clade with anthocyanin regulatory genes from *Arabidopsis*, grape, and *Antirrhinum*, which have been shown to play a central role in regulating LBGs. Unigene24751_All and CL6440.Contig1_All were closely associated with MYB12 of *Arabidopsis*, which mainly regulates EBGs (Fig. 4A). Following treatment with high light, the transcription levels of MYBs were up-regulated 1.9- to 6.0-fold.

In our study, six bHLH TFs were up-regulated and 13 were down-regulated under high light conditions. Of these, Unigene13011_All was predicted as a anthocyanin regulatory gene and was up-regulated 2.6-fold under high light. Sequence alignment revealed that it contained the BOX18, BOX19, and HLH motifs, which were depicted as conserved in sub group III of the bHLH gene family. It grouped with bHLH in the phylogeny (Fig. 4B), which has been proven to regulated anthocyanin in apple, *Petunia*, and *Arabidopsis*, and was most closely related to DvIVS with 62.6% amino acid similarity.

HY5 is a member of the bZIP gene family and acts downstream of the light receptor network and directly affects the transcription of light-induced genes. It was previously verified to regulate anthocyanin structural genes and PAP1 expression by directly binding

Figure 5 qRT-PCR validation of differentially expressed genes related to anthocyanin. (A) CHS-1 relative expression level; (B) CHS-2 relative expression level; (C) CHI relative expression level; (D) F3H relative expression level; (E) F3'H relative expression level; (F) DFR relative expression level; (G) ANS relative expression level; (H) 3GT-1 relative expression level; (I) 3GT-2 relative expression level; (J) GST relative expression level; (K) MATE relative expression level; (L) bHLH relative expression level; (M) MYB-1 relative expression level; (N) MYB-2 relative expression level; (O) MYB-3 relative expression level; (P) MYB-4 relative expression level; (Q) MYB-5 relative expression level; (R) HY5 relative expression level. The x-axis represents light intensity (μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}), and the y-axis represents relative transcription level. All values are normalized relative to the abundance of the *actin* gene. qRT-PCR analysis were performed in three biological and technical replicates per experiment. The bars represent mean ± SD from triplicate biological repeats. Full-size ◩ DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4607/fig-5

to their promoters (*Shin, Park & Choi, 2007*; *Shin et al., 2013*). In the bZIP family, 14 genes were up-regulated and 19 were down-regulated. Phylogenetic analysis placed Unigene19629_All in the same cluster as the HY5 proteins from different plant species (Fig. S3). Unigene19629_All showed 65.5% amino acid similarity with AtHY5 in *Arabidopsis*, the transcription level of which was up-regulated 1.7-fold under high irradiance.

Quantitative real-time-PCR validation of DEGs

To further validate the comparative transcriptome results, the transcript level variances of the 18 putative genes involved in anthocyanin synthesis, transport, and regulation between the low and high light conditions were detecting using quantitative real-time (qRT)-PCR analysis. The results indicated that the transcript levels of 17 genes were

significantly up-regulated in the high light samples (Fig. 5), which is in agreement with the alterations in gene expression detected by the transcriptome analysis. However, the MYB gene CL6440.Contig1_All was not significantly up-regulated under light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. Overall, these results indicate that the transcriptomic profiling data correlate with the light intensity responses of red leaf lettuce.

DISCUSSION

Light is one of the most important environmental factors affecting anthocyanin biosynthesis in plants. Generally, high light intensity is required for the induction of anthocyanin synthesis, and under different light exposure levels, anthocyanin contents have been found to vary in plants and even individual leaves. As found in *Lisianthus*, the flowers exhibited a paler color under low light conditions, with a 30% reduction in anthocyanin content and 40% reduction in color intensity associated with a 25% decrease from sunlight (*Griesbach, 1992*). In this study, the leaves of "Capitata" were green under 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, but displayed a red pigment when treated with a higher light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. Our results demonstrated that a certain level of light intensity is necessary for anthocyanin accumulation in red leaf lettuce, which is consistent with previous findings of color variance in lettuce (*Voipio & Autio, 1995*).

The MYB–bHLH–WD40 complex plays a central role in regulating the anthocyanin pathway, and the environmental factors that affect anthocyanin content usually control the transcript levels of the regulated genes. Many studies have revealed that light mainly affects anthocyanin content via regulation of the transcription levels of MYB-regulated genes, including in apple (*Takos et al., 2006*), Chinese bayberry (*Niu et al., 2010*), pear (*Feng et al., 2010*), and grapevine (*Azuma et al., 2012*), and the transcription levels of these MYBs change dynamically in response to the light conditions, which is associated with variation in color. In many plants, multiple MYB genes have been shown to redundantly regulate the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway. For example, in *Arabidopsis*, PAP1 and PAP2 regulate the late biosynthetic genes, while MYB11, MYB12, and MYB111 mainly regulate the early biosynthetic genes (*Stracke et al., 2007*). Recently, bHLH genes were reported to be significantly up-regulated when exposed to higher light intensity in *Chrysanthemum* (*Hong et al., 2015*), the pericarps of litchi (*Zhang et al., 2016b*), and peach (*Liu et al., 2015*). We identified four MYBs and one bHLH gene that were up-regulated under high light conditions, as well as structural genes. Our results indicated that higher light intensity up-regulated the transcript levels of these five genes, and further activated the anthocyanin pathway. MYB1 mainly regulates the late biosynthetic genes, while MYB11, MYB12, and MYB111 mainly regulate the early biosynthetic genes. For the MYB gene CL6440.Contig1_All, a low transcript abundance may explain the variance in transcriptional level obtained by the transcriptome sequencing and qPCR, and it may not be primarily responsible for the regulation of the early anthocyanin pathway.

A ubiquitin E3 ligase CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1) was recently found to repress the activity of positive regulators of anthocyanins at the post-translational level (*Li et al., 2012*; *Maier et al., 2013*). In darkness, the COP1/SPA complex localizes to the nucleus where it interacts with the positive regulators of anthocyanins, mediating their

ubiquitination and degradation via the 26S proteasome pathway. Conversely, under high light conditions, COP1 dissociates from the COP1/SPA complex via the activated photoreceptors, and is exported from the nucleus. The low COP1 abundance in the nucleus then allows nuclear-localized TFs to accumulate and induce gene expression (*Lau & Deng, 2012*). Recently research also showed that light affects anthocyanin biosynthesis via transcriptional regulation of *COP1*. Like in litchi (*Zhang et al., 2016b*), crabapple (*Lu et al., 2016*), and eggplant (*Jiang et al., 2016*), transcript levels of *COP1* was decreased from dark to sunlight exposed condition. In our study, the increased light intensity from 40 to 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} activated the anthocyanin pathway, but the transcript levels of the *COP1* gene were not significantly elevated. We suggested that transcript level of *COP1* was not sensitive to variation of light intensity in red leaf lettuce, and the activity of anthocyanin pathway may related with COP1 subcellular localization.

Sugars are endogenous triggers that modulate the expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes by acting as signaling molecules and activating anthocyanin regulatory genes by means of a sucrose-specific signaling pathway (*Solfanelli et al., 2006*). Increasing sucrose concentrations usually induce anthocyanin accumulation, which has even been proposed as a useful phenotypic marker for soluble carbohydrate accumulation (*Hu et al., 2002*). Several studies suggest that low light intensity affects anthocyanin accumulation through reduced photosynthesis in the leaves or stems, which, in turn, reduces the soluble sugar content of petals and leads to a repression of the genes that encode enzymes of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway (*Kawabata et al., 1995*; *Meir et al., 2010*). Our KEGG enrichment analysis revealed four sugar pathways and four anthocyanin-related pathways, which indicated that a higher light intensity of 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} increased soluble sugar concentration during photosynthesis, which not only provides a substrate for anthocyanins, but also activates signals to regulate the expression of anthocyanin-related genes.

CONCLUSION

In red leaf lettuce, anthocyanin content is regulated by light intensity. Under low light conditions (40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}), the anthocyanin pathway is inactive. When the light intensity is increased to 100 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, the putative genes corresponding to anthocyanin biosynthesis, transport, and regulation were significantly up-regulated. Glucide metabolic may play important role in anthocyanin accumulation as the increased light intensity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Yanzhao Zhang conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data.
- Shuzhen Xu performed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools.
- Yanwei Cheng performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables.
- Zhengfeng Peng analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables.
- Jianming Han conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:
Anthocyanins related genes described here are accessible via GenBank accession numbers MF579543 to MF579560.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:
Raw data were deposited in the NCBI database with accession numbers SRR5868088 and SRR5943715.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4607#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Azuma A, Yakushiji H, Koshita Y, Kobayashi S. 2012. Flavonoid biosynthesis-related genes in grape skin are differentially regulated by temperature and light conditions. *Planta* **236(4)**:1067–1080 DOI 10.1007/s00425-012-1650-x.

Borowski JM, Galli V, da Silva Messias R, Perin EC, Buss JH, Dos Anjos E Silva SD, Rombaldi CV. 2014. Selection of candidate reference genes for real-time PCR studies in lettuce under abiotic stresses. *Planta* **239(6)**:1187–1200 DOI 10.1007/s00425-014-2041-2.

Chiu LW, Zhou X, Burke S, Wu X, Prior RL, Li L. 2010. The purple cauliflower arises from activation of a MYB transcription factor. *Plant Physiology* **154(3)**:1470–1480 DOI 10.1104/pp.110.164160.

Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M. 2005. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* **21(18)**:3674–3676 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610.

Das PK, Shin DH, Choi SB, Park YI. 2012. Sugar-hormone cross-talk in anthocyanin biosynthesis. *Molecular and Cells* **34(6)**:501–507 DOI 10.1007/s10059-012-0151-x.

Espley RV, Hellens RP, Putterill J, Stevenson DE, Kutty-Amma S, Allan AC. 2007. Red colouration in apple fruit is due to the activity of the MYB transcription factor, MdMYB10. *Plant Journal* **49(3)**:414–427 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02964.x.

Feng F, Li M, Ma F, Cheng L. 2013. Phenylpropanoid metabolites and expression of key genes involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis in the shaded peel of apple fruit in response to sun exposure. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **69**:54–61 DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.04.020.

Feng S, Wang Y, Yang S, Xu Y, Chen X. 2010. Anthocyanin biosynthesis in pears is regulated by a R2R3-MYB transcription factor PyMYB10. *Planta* **232(1)**:245–255 DOI 10.1007/s00425-010-1170-5.

Gambino G, Perrone I, Gribaudo I. 2008. A rapid and effective method for RNA extraction from different tissues of grapevine and other woody plants. *Phytochemical Analysis* **19(6)**:520–525 DOI 10.1002/pca.1078.

Gomez C, Terrier N, Torregrosa L, Vialet S, Fournier-Level A, Verriès C, Souquet JM, Mazauric JP, Klein M, Cheynier V, Ageorges A. 2009. Grapevine MATE-type proteins act as vacuolar H^+-dependent acylated anthocyanin transporters. *Plant Physiology* **150(1)**:402–415 DOI 10.1104/pp.109.135624.

Gonzalez A, Zhao M, Leavitt JM, Lloyd AM. 2008. Regulation of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway by the TTG1/bHLH/Myb transcriptional complex in Arabidopsis seedlings. *Plant Journal* **53(5)**:814–827 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03373.x.

Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, Gnirke A, Rhind N, Di Palma F, Birren BW, Nusbaum C, Lindblad-Toh K, Friedman N, Regev A. 2011. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology* **29(7)**:644–652 DOI 10.1038/nbt.1883.

Griesbach RJ. 1992. Correlation of pH and light intensity on flower color in potted *Eustoma grandiflorum* Grise. *HortScience* **27**:817–818.

Hong Y, Tang X, Huang H, Zhang Y, Dai S. 2015. Transcriptomic analyses reveal species-specific light-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in chrysanthemum. *BMC Genomics* **16(1)**:202 DOI 10.1186/s12864-015-1428-1.

Hu Z, Larson SR, Jones T, Wang RRC. 2002. Genetic relationships among soluble carbohydrates, anthocyanins and growth characteristics in Leymus (Gramineae) detected with molecular markers. *Acta Botanica Sinica* **44(10)**:1173–1181 DOI 10.3321/j.issn:1672-9072.2002.10.008.

Jiang M, Ren L, Lian H, Liu Y, Chen H. 2016. Novel insight into the mechanism underlying light-controlled anthocyanin accumulation in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Plant Science* **249**:46–58 DOI 10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.04.001.

Kang JH, KrishnaKumar S, Atulba SLS, Jeong BR, Hwang SJ. 2013. Light intensity and photoperiod influence the growth and development of hydroponically grown leaf lettuce in a closed-type plant factory system. *Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology* **54(6)**:501–509 DOI 10.1007/s13580-013-0109-8.

Kawabata S, Ohta M, Kusuhara Y, Sakiyama R. 1995. Influences of low light intensities on the pigmentation of *Eustoma grandiflorum* flowers. *Acta Horticulturae* **405**(405):173–178 DOI 10.17660/ActaHortic.1995.405.22.

Lai B, Li XJ, Hu B, Qin YH, Huang XM, Wang HC, Hu GB. 2014. LcMYB1 is a key determinant of differential anthocyanin accumulation among genotypes, tissues, developmental phases and ABA and light stimuli in litchi chinensis. *PLOS ONE* **9**(1):e86293 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0086293.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* **9**(4):357–359 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.1923.

Lau OS, Deng XW. 2012. The photomorphogenic repressors COP1 and DET1: 20 years later. *Trends in Plant Science* **17**(10):584–593 DOI 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.05.004.

Leng N, Dawson JA, Thomson JA, Ruotti V, Rissman AI, Smits BMG, Haag JD, Gould MN, Stewart RM, Kendziorski C. 2013. EBSeq: an empirical Bayes hierarchical model for inference in RNA-seq experiments. *Bioinformatics* **29**(8):1035–1043 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt087.

Li H. 2003. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry Experiment Principle and Technology[M]*. Beijing: Higher Education Press, 156–165.

Li YY, Mao K, Zhao C, Zhao XY, Zhang HL, Shu HR, Hao YJ. 2012. MdCOP1 ubiquitin E3 ligases interact with MdMYB1 to regulate light-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis and red fruit coloration in apple. *Plant Physiology* **160**(2):1011–1022 DOI 10.1104/pp.112.199703.

Liu T, Song S, Yuan Y, Wu D, Chen M, Sun Q, Zhang B, Xu C, Chen K. 2015. Improved peach peel color development by fruit bagging. Enhanced expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic and regulatory genes using white non-woven polypropylene as replacement for yellow paper. *Scientia Horticulturae* **184**:142–148 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.01.003.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* **25**(4):402–408 DOI 10.1006/meth.2001.1262.

Lu Y, Hao S, Liu N, Bu Y, Yang S, Yao Y. 2016. Light affects anthocyanin biosynthesis via transcriptional regulation of COP1 in the ever-red leaves of crabapple M.cv. 'Royalty'. *Brazilian Journal of Botany* **39**(2):659–667 DOI 10.1007/s40415-016-0277-8.

Maier A, Hoecker U. 2015. COP1/SPA ubiquitin ligase complexes repress anthocyanin accumulation under low light and high light conditions. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* **10**(1):e970440 DOI 10.4161/15592316.2014.970440.

Maier A, Schrader A, Kokkelink L, Falke C, Welter B, Iniesto E, Rubio V, Uhrig JF, Hulskamp M, Hoecker U. 2013. Light and the E3 ubiquitin ligase COP1/SPA control the protein stability of the MYB transcription factors PAP1 and PAP2 involved in anthocyanin accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* **74**(4):638–651 DOI 10.1111/tpj.12153.

Meir S, Kochanek B, Glick A, Salim S, Lers A, Burd S, Philosoph-Hadas S, Weiss D. 2010. Reduced petal pigmentation in lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*) flowers under low light conditions is associated with decreased expression of anthocyanin biosynthesis genes. *Acta Horticulturae* **877**:1735–1744 DOI 10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.877.238.

Mistry J, Finn RD, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Punta M. 2013. Challenges in homology search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**(12):e121 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkt263.

Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. 2008. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nature Methods* **5**(7):621–628 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.1226.

Niu SS, Xu CJ, Zhang WS, Zhang B, Li X, Lin-Wang K, Ferguson IB, Allan AC, Chen KS. 2010. Coordinated regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in Chinese bayberry (*Myrica rubra*) fruit by a R2R3 MYB transcription factor. *Planta* **231**(**4**):887–899 DOI 10.1007/s00425-009-1095-z.

Peng T, Saito T, Honda C, Ban Y, Kondo S, Liu JH, Hatsuyama Y, Moriguchi T. 2013. Screening of UV-B-induced genes from apple peels by SSH: possible involvement of MdCOP1-mediated signaling cascade genes in anthocyanin accumulation. *Physiologia Plantarum* **148**(**3**):432–444 DOI 10.1111/ppl.12002.

Pertea G, Huang X, Liang F, Antonescu V, Sultana R, Karamycheva S, Lee Y, White J, Cheung F, Parvizi B, Tsai J, Quackenbush J. 2003. TIGR Gene Indices clustering tools (TGICL): a software system for fast clustering of large EST datasets. *Bioinformatics* **19**(**5**):651–652 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg034.

R Development Core Team. 2008. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Version 3.2.5. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. *Available at http://www.R-project.org/*.

Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. 2000. EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open software suite. *Trends in Genetics* **16**(**6**):276–277 DOI 10.1002/0471650129.dob0206.

Shin DH, Choi M, Kim K, Bang G, Cho M, Choi SB, Choi G, Park YI. 2013. HY5 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis by inducing the transcriptional activation of the MYB75/PAP1 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. *FEBS Letters* **587**(**10**):1543–1547 DOI 10.1016/j.febslet.2013.03.037.

Shin J, Park E, Choi G. 2007. PIF3 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in an HY5-dependent manner with both factors directly binding anthocyanin biosynthetic gene promoters in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* **49**(**6**):981–994 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.03021.x.

Solfanelli C, Poggi A, Loreti E, Alpi A, Perata P. 2006. Sucrose-specific induction of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **140**(**2**):637–646 DOI 10.1104/pp.105.072579.

Stracke R, Ishihara H, Huep G, Barsch A, Mehrtens F, Niehaus K, Weisshaar B. 2007. Differential regulation of closely related R2R3-MYB transcription factors controls flavonol accumulation in different parts of the Arabidopsis thaliana seedling. *Plant Journal* **50**(**4**):660–677 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03078.x.

Sun Y, Li H, Huang JR. 2012. Arabidopsis TT19 functions as a carrier to transport anthocyanin from the cytosol to tonoplasts. *Molecular Plant* **5**(**2**):387–400 DOI 10.1093/mp/ssr110.

Takos AM, Jaffe FW, Jacob SR, Bogs J, Robinson SP, Walker AR. 2006. Light-induced expression of a MYB gene regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in red apples. *Plant Physiology* **142**(**3**):1216–1232 DOI 10.1104/pp.106.088104.

Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **28**(**10**):2731–2739 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msr121.

Voipio I, Autio J. 1995. Responses of red-leaved lettuce to light intensity, UV-A radiation and root zone temperature. *Acta Horticulturae* **399**:183–190 DOI 10.17660/ActaHortic.1995.399.21.

Winkel-Shirley B. 2001. Flavonoid biosynthesis. A colorful model for genetics, biochemistry, cell biology, and biotechnology. *Plant Physiology* **126**(**2**):485–493 DOI 10.1104/pp.126.2.485.

Xu W, Dubos C, Lepiniec L. 2015. Transcriptional control of flavonoid biosynthesis by MYB–bHLH–WDR complexes. *Trends in Plant Science* **20**(**3**):176–185 DOI 10.1016/j.tplants.2014.12.001.

Yamagishi M. 2016. A novel R2R3-MYB transcription factor regulates light-mediated floral and vegetative anthocyanin pigmentation patterns in *Lilium regale*. *Molecular Breeding* **36(1)**:3 DOI 10.1007/s11032-015-0426-y.

Zhang Y, Cheng Y, Ya H, Xu S, Han J. 2015. Transcriptome sequencing of purple petal spot region in tree peony reveals differentially expressed anthocyanin structural genes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **6**:964 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2015.00964.

Zhang F, Gonzalez A, Zhao M, Payne CT, Lloyd A. 2003. A network of redundant bHLH proteins functions in all TTG1-dependent pathways of Arabidopsis. *Development* **130(20)**:4859–4869 DOI 10.1242/dev.00681.

Zhang YZ, Xu SZ, Cheng YW, Ya HY, Han JM. 2016a. Transcriptome analysis and anthocyanin-related genes in red leaf lettuce. *Genetics and Molecular Research* **15(1)**:gmr.15017023 DOI 10.4238/gmr.15017023.

Zhang HN, Li WC, Wang HC, Shi SY, Shu B, Liu LQ, Wei YZ, Xie JH. 2016b. Transcriptome profiling of light-regulated anthocyanin biosynthesis in the pericarp of Litchi. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**:963 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2016.00963.

Zoratti L, Karppinen K, Luengo Escobar A, Haggman H, Jaakola L. 2014. Light-controlled flavonoid biosynthesis in fruits. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **5**:534 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2014.00534.