A Germination-Specific Endo-b**-Mannanase Gene Is Expressed in the Micropylar Endosperm Cap of Tomato Seeds¹**

Hiroyuki Nonogaki, Oliver H. Gee² , and Kent J. Bradford*

Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis, California 95616–8631

Endo-b-mannanase (EC 3.2.1.78) is involved in hydrolysis of the mannan-rich cell walls of the tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) endosperm during germination and post-germinative seedling growth. Different electrophoretic isoforms of endo-b-mannanase are expressed sequentially in different parts of the endosperm, initially in the micropylar endosperm cap covering the radicle tip and subsequently in the remaining lateral endosperm surrounding the rest of the embryo. We have isolated a cDNA from imbibed tomato seeds (*LeMAN2*) that shares 77% deduced amino acid sequence similarity with a post-germinative tomato mannanase (*LeMAN1*). When expressed in *Escherichia coli*, the protein encoded by *LeMAN2* cDNA was recognized by anti-mannanase antibody and exhibited endo- β -mannanase activity, confirming the identity of the gene. *LeMAN2* was expressed exclusively in the endosperm cap tissue of tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence, whereas *LeMAN1* was expressed only in the lateral endosperm after radicle emergence. *LeMAN2* mRNA accumulation and mannanase activity were induced by gibberellin in gibberellin-deficient *gib-1* mutant seeds but were not inhibited by abscisic acid in wild-type seeds. Distinct mannanases are involved in germination and post-germinative growth, with *LeMAN2* being associated with endosperm cap weakening prior to radicle emergence, whereas *LeMAN1* mobilizes galactomannan reserves in the lateral endosperm.

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) seeds have become a favored model system to analyze the physiological mechanisms and molecular and cell biology of seed germination (Hilhorst et al., 1998; Welbaum et al., 1998; Bradford et al., 2000). The tomato embryo is surrounded by a rigid endosperm that forms a mechanical restraint to embryo expansion. The region of the endosperm enclosing the radicle tip, termed the endosperm cap, weakens to allow radicle emergence (Groot and Karssen, 1987). The endosperm cell walls contain approximately 60% Man (Groot et al., 1988; Dahal et al., 1997), probably in the form of galactomannan or galactoglucomannan polymers that constitute the major carbohydrate reserves of the endosperm and contribute to its rigidity. Endo- (1,4)- β -mannanase (EC 3.2.1.78), which can hydrolyze internal bonds within mannan polymers, has therefore been investigated with respect to its potential role in degradation of the endosperm cell walls associated with tissue weakening and reserve mobilization (Groot et al., 1988; Nonogaki et al., 1992, 1995, 1998a, 1998b; Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996; Toorop et al., 1996; Voigt and Bewley, 1996; Dahal et

al., 1997; Still and Bradford, 1997; Still et al., 1997). Mannanase may also be involved in the mechanism of germination in seeds of other plant species (Watkins et al., 1985; Dutta et al., 1994, 1997; Downie et al., 1997; Sánchez and de Miguel, 1997).

Mannanase activity appears initially in the endosperm cap of tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence and subsequently increases markedly in the remaining lateral endosperm following radicle emergence (Groot et al., 1988; Nonogaki et al., 1992; Nomaguchi et al., 1995; Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996). In a physiological and biochemical sense, seed germination sensu stricto encompasses only the events occurring in imbibed seeds prior to radicle emergence (Bewley and Black, 1994). After radicle emergence, subsequent post-germinative development is more properly designated as seedling growth. To distinguish germination-specific biochemical processes from post-germinative events, we will refer to the period of germination from imbibition to radicle emergence from the seed as "germinative" development and to the period after radicle protrusion as "post-germinative" development.

A single germinative mannanase isoform $(M\alpha)$ and three post-germinative mannanase isoforms (M1, M2, M3) that can be distinguished by different electrophoretic mobilities are expressed in tomato endosperm (Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996; Toorop et al., 1996; Voigt and Bewley, 1996). The germinative $M\alpha$ isoform is localized to the endosperm cap and is thought to be involved in weakening of this tissue prior to radicle emergence, whereas the postgerminative isoforms are associated with mobiliza-

¹ This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (grant no. IBN–9722978 to K.J.B.) and by a University of California (Davis) Katherine Esau postdoctoral fellowship (to H.N.).

² H.N. and K.J.B. dedicate this paper to their co-author and colleague Dr. Oliver H. (Harry) Gee, whose accidental death on November 11, 1999, tragically ended the career of this promising young scientist.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail kjbradford@ucdavis.edu; fax 530–752–4554.

tion of cell wall mannan reserves in the lateral endosperm during seedling growth (Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996; Bewley, 1997). In addition at least two mannanase isoforms are present in the embryo (Toorop et al., 1996; Voigt and Bewley, 1996; Nonogaki et al., 1998a), and mannanase activity is also detected in ripening tomato fruits (Pressey, 1989). Bewley et al. (1997) isolated and partially characterized a cDNA encoding one of the post-germinative mannanases (M1). Southern hybridization with this cDNA suggested that a family of four or more mannanase genes was present in the tomato genome. Whether the different electrophoretic isoforms present in germinating tomato seeds represented different genes or post-translational modifications of a single protein was not known.

We report here the cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding an endo- β -mannanase that is expressed specifically in the endosperm cap of tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence. Expression of the gene is induced by gibberellin (GA) but is not repressed by abscisic acid (ABA), consistent with the effects of these hormones on germinative mannanase activity (Groot et al., 1987; Toorop et al., 1996; Dahal et al., 1997; Still and Bradford, 1997). Both the amino acid sequence and the spatial and temporal expression patterns of this gene differ from that of the post-germinative mannanase reported previously (Bewley et al., 1997). Thus, at least two genes with different tissue-specific expression patterns are responsible for mannanase activity in the endosperm of germinating and germinated tomato seeds.

RESULTS

Isolation of the Germinative Mannanase cDNA

Because the expression of mannanase in tomato seeds before radicle protrusion is induced by GA (Groot et al., 1988), a cDNA library prepared from *gib-1* seeds imbibed in GA for 24 h (prior to radicle emergence) was screened with a partial-length (0.9 kb) cDNA of the post-germinative mannanase *LeMAN1* (Bewley et al., 1997). Four positive clones isolated from this screen had sequences similar to that of the post-germinative mannanase cDNA. The longest cDNA insert was rescued into pBK-CMV vector, subcloned into pBluescript II KS (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), and designated *LeMAN2* (*Lycopersicon esculentum* mannanase 2).

The 1,481-bp *LeMAN2* cDNA (GenBank accession no. AF184238) contained an open reading frame encoding a protein of 415 amino acids (Fig. 1). A putative signal peptide sequence of 22 amino acids was identified at the amino terminus of the protein (underlined in Fig. 1). The mature protein of 393 amino acids was encoded from the Cys residue at nucleotide 83 to Ile at nucleotide 1,259, with a predicted *M*^r of 44,379 and pI of pH 5.7. The predicted amino acid sequence of the protein encoded by *LeMAN2* was

Figure 1. Alignment of amino acid sequences of A. aculeatus (asp; accession no. AAA67426), T. reeseii (trr; accession no. AAA34208), Arabidopsis (AtMAN1, expressed sequence tag accession no. AAD20927; and AtMAN2, translated protein sequence from genomic clone K1F13.9 [www.kazusa.or.jp/kaos/]), and tomato postgerminative (LeMAN1, accession no. AAB87859) and germinative (LeMAN2, cDNA accession no. AF184238) mannanases. The putative signal peptide (22 amino acids) in LeMAN2 is underlined. The double-underlined amino acids indicate a possible N-glycosylation site, and putative catalytic sites conserved across fungal and plant mannanases are indicated by asterisks (Bewley et al., 1997). Amino acids identical in three or more of the sequences are highlighted by reverse shading.

compared with the post-germinative mannanase protein encoded by *LeMAN1*, with expressed sequence tag (*AtMAN1*) and genomic sequences (*AtMAN2*) from Arabidopsis and with two fungal mannanases (Fig. 1). Overall amino acid sequence homology (identity plus similarity) between LeMAN1 and LeMAN2 was 78% (72% nucleotide sequence identity). LeMAN2 contained additional amino acids compared with LeMAN1 protein (e.g. amino acids 26–35 and 131–158; Fig. 1), accounting for the greater predicted size of LeMAN2 (44 versus 39 kD for LeMAN1). Potential catalytic sites (asterisks in Fig. 1) and a potential *N*-glycosylation site (Asn-Gly-Ser; amino acids 50–52, double underlined in Fig. 1) that have been identified in the post-germinative mannanase (Bewley et al., 1997) were also present in LeMAN2 protein. The Arabidopsis sequences were about 40% (AtMAN2) and 50% (AtMAN1), similar to either of the two tomato cDNAs. Both predicted amino acid sequences from Arabidopsis shared the most highly conserved regions found in tomato, but AtMAN2 contained a region (amino acids 126–150) that was absent from AtMAN1 and corresponded to the same additional region in LeMAN2 noted above (Fig. 1). The amino acid sequence similarity between the tomato mannanases and fungal mannanases (*Aspergillus aculeatus* [Christgau et al., 1994] and *Trichoderma reeseii* [accession no. AAA34208]) was approximately 30%. It is interesting that the amino acid sequences in LeMAN2 and AtMAN2 that were absent from the LeMAN1 and AtMAN1 proteins showed high homology to the fungal mannanase proteins in this region (Fig. 1).

Expression of the Protein Encoded by *LeMAN2* **cDNA**

To confirm that the *LeMAN2* cDNA encodes endo- β -mannanase protein, the cDNA was inserted into a maltose-binding protein overexpression vector and transformed into *Escherichia coli.* When the transformed cells were induced for protein expression by adding isopropylthio- β -p-galactoside (IPTG), a strong intensity band with a molecular mass of 87 kD was observed in the bacterial lysates, matching the predicted size of the fusion protein (maltose-binding protein [43 kD] plus LeMAN2 mannanase [44 kD]; Fig. 2A, pMAL $+$ *LeMAN2*). This protein band was absent in the uninduced cells and in both induced and uninduced cells containing the empty vector (Fig. 2A). The putative fusion protein band was recognized by both anti-maltose-binding protein antibody (Fig. 2B) and antibody to one of the postgerminative mannanases (anti-M3 mannanase antibody; Nonogaki et al., 1995; Fig. 2C). These results confirm that the 87-kD overexpressed protein contains the maltose-binding::mannanase fusion protein. Extracts of the induced bacterial cells containing the $LeMAN2$ insert showed endo- β -mannanase activity, which could not be detected in extracts of bacterial cells that contained the empty pMAL vector, indicating that the overexpressed recombinant protein was an active form of mannanase (Fig. 2D). When the fusion protein was purified to homogeneity using a maltose-binding protein affinity resin (Fig. 2A), the fractions containing the fusion protein showed high mannanase activity and were recognized by the antimannanase antibody (Fig. 2E). The gel diffusion assay method for mannohydrolase activity is specific for endo-type enzymes (Downie et al., 1994), so LeMAN2 clearly encodes an endo-β-mannanase. In addition, the purified fusion protein was able to degrade endosperm cap cell walls. When fusion protein was added to 500 μ g of isolated cell walls, 250 μ g of reducing sugars was released. To test whether LeMAN2 mannanase alone can weaken endosperm cap tissue, we vacuum infiltrated the active recombinant fusion protein into isolated endosperm caps. However, no change in the strength (puncture force)

Figure 2. Overexpression of the protein encoded by LeMAN2 cDNA. A, Protein profiles visualized by Coomassie Blue staining of the lysates of induced or uninduced bacterial cells containing the empty vector (pMAL) or the vector containing LeMAN2 cDNA insert ($pMAL + LeMAN2$) and the purified fusion protein. The positions of maltose-binding protein (MBP) and the recombinant fusion protein (MBP-LeMAN2) are indicated by the arrows on the right. Molecular masses (kD) are shown on the left. B and C, Immunoblots of the lysates of induced bacterial cells without (pMAL) or with (pMAL $+$ LeMAN2) the cDNA insert, probed with anti-maltose-binding protein antibody (anti-MBP) and anti-M3 mannanase antibody (anti-MAN; Nonogaki et al., 1995), respectively. D, Gel diffusion assays for mannanase activity of the lysates from induced bacterial cells without (pMAL) or with (pMAL $+$ LeMAN2) the cDNA insert. The activity is logarithmically proportional to the size of the cleared area on the gel plate (see Fig. 4). E, Native PAGE of purified maltose-binding protein-LeMAN2 fusion protein. Left, Coomassie-stained protein; center, gel assay for endo- β -mannanase activity; right, immunoblot probed with anti-M3 mannanase antibody.

of the endosperm cap tissue was detected after 2 d of incubation at 25°C (data not shown).

Southern Hybridization

The hybridization patterns of the *LeMAN1* and *LeMAN2* cDNAs with tomato genomic DNA were compared using Southern hybridization (Fig. 3). Both cDNAs hybridized to the same sets of DNA fragments, confirming that multiple mannanase genes are present in the tomato genome (Fig. 3; Bewley et al., 1997). However, some DNA fragments hybridized more strongly to the *LeMAN1* cDNA (Fig. 3, arrows), whereas other bands showed a stronger signal with the *LeMAN2* cDNA (Fig. 3, arrowhead). This supports the sequence data indicating that different

Figure 3. Southern blots of tomato genomic DNA hybridized with full-length cDNAs of LeMAN1 (left) and LeMAN2 (right). Genomic DNA (10 μ g) isolated from tomato leaves was digested with BamHI (Bam), Xbal (Xba), and Xhol (Xho). Bands marked with arrows hybridized more strongly with LeMAN1, whereas the band marked with an arrowhead hybridized more strongly to LeMAN2 (see text for details).

genes encode the germinative and post-germinative mannanases.

Expression of *LeMAN2* **and** *LeMAN1* **mRNA in Tomato Seeds**

Since *LeMAN2* was isolated from a cDNA library prepared from tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence, the LeMAN2 protein is likely to be a germinative mannanase. Only the M α germinative mannanase specific to the endosperm cap is present in the endosperm at this time (Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996), but two embryo-specific mannanases are also present in germinating tomato seeds (Nonogaki et al., 1998a). To investigate in which tissue(s) of imbibed seeds the *LeMAN2* mRNA is expressed, RNA gel-blot analyses were performed. When total RNA from dissected seed parts (endosperm cap, lateral endosperm, and embryo) from wild-type tomato seeds imbibed in water for 24 h was hybridized with a full-length *LeMAN2* RNA probe, the transcript was detected only from the endosperm cap, indicating that *LeMAN2* mRNA is specifically expressed in this tissue (Fig. 4). The endosperm cap tissue also contained high mannanase activity, whereas little or no activity was detected from the lateral endosperm at this time (Fig. 4). Although some mannanase activity

was present in the embryo as well, no hybridization between the *LeMAN2* and embryonic mRNA was detected (Fig. 4). Since the northern hybridization was performed under relatively high stringency conditions (70°C washing), hybridization was also conducted at low stringency (55°C). However, even at low stringency, no hybridization could be detected with embryo RNA (data not shown).

To compare the tissue specificity of expression of *LeMAN2* (see above) with that of *LeMAN1* (Bewley et al., 1997), total RNA was extracted from the endosperm caps of seeds prior to radicle emergence and from the lateral endosperms of germinated seedlings at different stages of development. To directly compare the hybridization patterns, the same sets of RNA samples were loaded on the same gel, transferred to the same membrane, processed using the same anti-digoxigenin (DIG) antibody solution following hybridization to the different probes, and exposed to the same x-ray film. The patterns of hybridization by *LeMAN1* and *LeMAN2* riboprobes to these RNA samples were completely different (Fig. 5). When *LeMAN2* was used as a probe, a strong signal was detected in the RNA sample from the endosperm cap of seeds prior to radicle emergence, and only faint signals were detected at postemergence stages (Fig. 5). On the other hand, when the *LeMAN1* probe was used, hybridization was detected specifically in the RNA samples from postgerminative lateral endosperms after radicle growth had begun (Fig. 5), although a faint band could also be seen in the endosperm caps prior to radicle emergence after a longer exposure to the x-ray film (data not shown). Thus, under the conditions used, there is little cross-hybridization of riboprobes prepared from each cDNA.

We used tissue printing to determine more precisely the tissue and germination stage specificity of *LeMAN2* and *LeMAN1* expression (Fig. 6). *LeMAN2*

Figure 4. Northern blot of total RNA and gel diffusion assay for mannanase activity of protein extracts from the endosperm caps, the lateral endosperms, and whole embryos of wild-type tomato seeds imbibed in water for 24 h. The northern blot was hybridized with a LeMAN2 riboprobe. Endo- β -mannanase activity is indicated beneath each lane.

Figure 5. Northern blots of total RNA from the endosperm caps of 24-h-imbibed tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence (0 mm) and from the lateral endosperms of germinated seeds (2–20 mm in radicle length), hybridized with LeMAN1 and LeMAN2 riboprobes, respectively. A representative seed or seedling at each stage is shown. Ethidium bromide-stained ribosomal RNA bands are shown under the blots to indicate RNA loading of each lane.

mRNA was detected exclusively in the endosperm cap of germinating seeds (Fig. 6A) and was absent from germinated seeds (Fig. 6B). The *LeMAN1* probe, on the other hand, did not hybridize to prints of germinating seeds (Fig. 6C), but hybridized strongly to the lateral endosperm of germinated seeds (Fig. 6D). Neither of these probes hybridized to the embryo at either stage of development (Fig. 6, A–D). Tissues corresponding to the endosperm cap in germinated seeds (arrows in Fig. 6D) did not hybridize to the *LeMAN1* probe. Sense probes prepared from *LeMAN2* and *LeMAN1* did not hybridize with tissue prints from either germinating or germinated seeds (data not shown). A constitutively expressed mRNA encoding a ribosomal protein (termed *G46*; Cooley et al., 1999) was used to indicate mRNA binding to the tissue print membranes. *G46* mRNA was present in all parts of the seed, with the greatest abundance in the radicle tip of germinating seeds (Fig. 6E) and in the embryo of germinated seeds (Fig. 6F). The hybridization with *G46* demonstrates that RNA is relatively uniformly transferred to the membrane by tissue printing and confirms the specificity of the mannanase probes, consistent with the northern blots of RNA from the dissected tissues (Figs. 4 and 5). Thus, expression of *LeMAN2* is specific to the endosperm cap prior to radicle emergence, whereas *LeMAN1* expression is localized to the lateral endosperm after radicle emergence.

Given the timing and location of its expression, it is likely that *LeMAN2* is involved in cell wall hydrolysis associated with endosperm cap weakening prior to radicle protrusion. There is no detectable mannanase activity in dry tomato seeds, and activity begins to increase 6 to 12 h after imbibition (Groot et al., 1988). *LeMAN2* transcript was present in the endosperm cap within 12 h of imbibition and increased markedly by 24 h before declining slightly by 36 h (Fig. 7). The timing of *LeMAN2* expression corresponded with the appearance of mannanase activity in the same tissue, although the peak of mRNA accumulation occurred earlier than the maximum enzyme activity, as would be expected (Fig. 7). Radicle protrusion of wild-type seeds was first observed 40 to 48 h after imbibition (data not shown), well after the increase in *LeMAN2* message and mannanase activity.

Hormonal regulation of the expression of *LeMAN2* in wild-type and *gib-1* tomato seeds was also examined. Although wild-type seeds can germinate in water, germination of *gib-1* mutant tomato seeds is dependent on application of exogenous GA. *LeMAN2* mRNA could not be detected in the endosperm caps of *gib-1* mutant seeds incubated in water, and mannanase activity was barely detectable (Fig. 8). In con-

Figure 6. Tissue printing and hybridization of germinating (24-himbibed) and germinated (5-mm radicle length) tomato seeds. Seeds were bisected, pressed onto a membrane, and hybridized with antisense riboprobes for LeMAN2 (A and B), LeMAN1 (C and D), and G46 (constitutively expressed ribosomal protein mRNA used as a printing control; E and F). The dark areas indicate hybridization. The arrows in D indicate the remaining endosperm cap tissue in the germinated seeds, which does not hybridize with the LeMAN1 riboprobe. Representative images are shown of more than 20 individual seeds printed and hybridized for each condition.

Figure 7. Northern blot of total RNA and gel diffusion assay for mannanase activity of the protein extracts from the endosperm caps of wild-type tomato seeds imbibed in water for 12, 24, and 36 h. The northern blot was hybridized with a LeMAN2 riboprobe. Endo- β mannanase activity is indicated beneath each lane.

trast, both *LeMAN2* mRNA expression and mannanase activity were induced in the endosperm caps of *gib-1* seeds imbibed in GA_{4+7} (Fig. 8). The expression of *LeMAN2* mRNA and mannanase activity in the endosperm caps of wild-type seeds in water or *gib-1* seeds in GA was not inhibited by 100 μ M ABA (Fig. 8), although radicle protrusion was prevented in both cases (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Nonogaki and Morohashi (1996) showed that the mannanase protein found in the endosperm caps of germinating tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence was a different isoform from the mannanase proteins found in the lateral endosperm after radicle emergence. Since a polyclonal antibody raised against one of the post-germinative mannanases recognized the polypeptides of the germinative mannanase (Nonogaki et al., 1995; Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996), it was expected that the amino acid sequences of the germinative and post-germinative mannanase proteins would be relatively similar, at least at certain epitopes. However, it was not clear whether these different mannanase isoforms were the products of post-translational modifications of a single protein or whether different genes encoded those proteins. We show here that a cDNA isolated from a cDNA library prepared from imbibed seeds, termed *LeMAN2*, has a sequence similar to but different from the sequence of a post-germinative mannanase cDNA *LeMAN1* (Fig. 1). This suggested that the germinative and post-germinative mannanases are encoded by different genes, which was confirmed by Southern hybridization where the full-length DNA probes of *LeMAN1* and *LeMAN2* hybridized to specific fragments of tomato genomic DNA with different affinities (Fig. 3).

The deduced amino acid sequence of the protein encoded by *LeMAN2* showed common features of mannanase proteins. Like the post-germinative LeMAN1 mannanase (Bewley et al., 1997), the LeMAN2 protein has a predicted signal peptide (Fig. 1) that is expected to be involved in targeting of this enzyme to the cell walls. Glu residues that are hypothesized to be catalytic sites and are conserved across fungal and plant mannanases (Bewley et al., 1997) were present in LeMAN2 protein as well (Fig. 1, asterisks; Glu-212 and Glu-329). The predicted amino acid sequence of mature LeMAN2 was longer than that for mature LeMAN1 (393 versus 346 amino acids), with predicted *M*^r of 44,000 for LeMAN2 and 39,000 for LeMAN1. This agrees with previous studies where the germinative mannanase isoform was larger than the post-germinative isoform, although the predicted M_r s of the mature proteins are approximately 10% larger than would be expected based on their mobilities on SDS-PAGE (Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996). Overexpressed recombinant protein of LeMAN2 hydrolyzed locust bean galactomannan in a gel diffusion assay and was identified by antimannanase antibody (Fig. 2E). These results show conclusively that *LeMAN2* encodes an endo-bmannanase protein.

The expression of *LeMAN2* mRNA was localized specifically to the endosperm cap tissue prior to radicle emergence (Figs. 4–6), whereas *LeMAN1* was expressed only in the lateral endosperm after radicle emergence (Figs. 5 and 6). Only one isoform of endo- β -mannanase (M α) is expressed exclusively in the endosperm cap of tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence (Nonogaki and Morohashi, 1996), consistent with the fact that no cDNAs coding for *LeMAN1* were isolated from our cDNA library prepared from seeds prior to radicle emergence and screened using the *LeMAN1* cDNA. Moreover, the timing of the accumulation of *LeMAN2* message during germination

Figure 8. Northern blot of total RNA and gel diffusion assay for mannanase activity of protein extracts from the endosperm caps of wild-type tomato seeds imbibed for 24 h in water or 100 μ M ABA, and gib-1 mutant seeds imbibed for 24 h in water, 100 μ M GA, or 100 μ M GA plus 100 μ M ABA. The northern blot was hybridized with a LeMAN2 riboprobe. Endo- β -mannanase activity was 31.6 pmol min^{-1} in all cases except gib-1 seeds incubated in water, where activity was 0 pmol min^{-1}.

corresponds to the increase in mannanase activity in the endosperm cap prior to radicle emergence (Fig. 7), and the pattern of hormonal regulation of *LeMAN2* gene expression corresponded with mannanase activity (Fig. 8). Therefore, we conclude that *LeMAN2* encodes the M α endo- β -mannanase that is endosperm cap specific, GA responsive, and expressed only prior to radicle emergence.

In addition to the germinative and post-germinative endosperm mannanases, embryo-specific mannanase isoforms are also present in germinating tomato seeds (Fig. 4; Nonogaki et al., 1998a). It is likely that de novo synthesis of these proteins occurs, in that immunoblots showed that the amounts of the embryo-specific mannanase proteins increase during germination (Nonogaki et al., 1998a). One would therefore expect mRNA of embryonic mannanases to be present as well. However, we could not detect hybridization of *LeMAN2* probes to embryonic mRNA even at low stringency (Fig. 4; data not shown). Neither *LeMAN2* nor *LeMAN1* probes hybridized to tissue prints of embryonic tissues (Fig. 6). It is possible that the mannanase activity in the embryo is due to the activation of pre-existing precursor protein(s), although this seems unlikely given the measured increase in total immunoreactive mannanase protein during germination (Nonogaki et al., 1998a). Alternatively, the abundance of the embryonic mannanase mRNAs might be very low, as mannanase activity in the embryo was only 2% of that in the endosperm cap prior to radicle emergence (Fig. 4). In addition, the sequence homology between the endospermic and the embryonic mannanase gene(s) might be insufficient to allow cross-hybridization, since little cross-hybridization occurred between the full-length *LeMAN2* and *LeMAN1* riboprobes (Figs. 5 and 6), despite considerable sequence homology (Fig. 1). Thus, we anticipate that additional divergent mannanase gene(s) that are expressed in the embryos of germinating tomato seeds remain to be identified.

In addition to the tissue specificity (Figs. 4–6) and timing (Fig. 7) of its expression, considerable circumstantial evidence supports a key role during germination for the endo-β-mannanase encoded by *LeMAN2*. For example, the expression of *LeMAN2* was induced in *gib-1* seeds by application of GA (Fig. 8), which also is required for radicle protrusion. On the other hand, ABA delays or prevents radicle emergence but had no effect on expression of *LeMAN2* in either wild-type seeds or *gib-1* seeds in the presence of GA (Fig. 8). However, contrary to results with excised endosperm caps treated with GA and ABA (Groot and Karssen, 1992), endosperm caps of intact seeds continue to weaken in the presence of ABA (Toorop, 1998; F. Chen, C.-T. Wu, and K.J. Bradford, unpublished results), consistent with the presence of *LeMAN2* mannanase activity (Fig. 8). Changes in tomato endosperm cap cell wall structure during germination have been observed by electron microscopy

(Nonogaki et al., 1998b; Toorop, 1998), and in *Datura ferox* seeds, which also express mannanase prior to radicle emergence (Sánchez and de Miguel, 1997), the endosperm cap tissue showed marked degradation of the galactomannan-rich cell walls prior to radicle emergence (Sánchez et al., 1990; Mella et al., 1995). However, infiltration of recombinant LeMAN2 fusion protein into isolated endosperm caps did not reduce the force required to penetrate the endosperm cap tissue (puncture force; see Groot and Karssen, 1987). This might be due to inability of the exogenously applied protein to reach active sites inside the cell walls or to the presence of the maltosebinding component in the fusion protein. On the other hand, the enzyme is active on tomato cell wall components, as the recombinant protein released 50% of the weight of isolated endosperm cap cell walls as reducing sugars.

Another possibility is that mannanase alone is not sufficient to degrade intact cell walls and that some cofactor(s), for example, other cell wall proteins like expansins (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Cosgrove, 1997), are needed for tissue weakening. A specific expansin gene (*LeEXP4*) is expressed in the endosperm cap tissue at the same time as *LeMAN2* (Bradford et al., 2000), along with a number of other cell wall hydrolases, including polygalacturonase, cellulase, arabinosidase, and xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (Leviatov et al., 1995; Sitrit et al., 1999; Bradford et al., 2000). Thus, the *LeMAN2* germinative mannanase may be one component among several that are required for cell wall disassembly and tissue weakening in the endosperm cap to allow radicle emergence.

We have reported here the cloning and characterization of the endo- β -mannanase (*LeMAN2*) that is expressed specifically in the endosperm cap tissue of tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence and in response to GA. Despite much correlative evidence supporting its role in germination, it has yet to be demonstrated directly that this enzyme is responsible for the endosperm weakening required for radicle emergence. Now that the gene has been identified, we are constructing *LeMAN2* antisense transgenic wild-type plants and *LeMAN2*-overexpressing *gib-1* plants to critically test whether this endo- β mannanase is necessary or sufficient for endosperm cap weakening and therefore whether it is a key component in the mechanism of tomato seed germination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Seed Germination

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) seeds, either from wild-type (cv Moneymaker) plants or homozygous GAdeficient mutant plants (*gib-1*) were used in this study. The *gib-1* mutant and its isogenic parent line were originally obtained from Dr. Cees Karssen (Wageningen Agricultural University, The Netherlands). Mutant plants were sprayed three times per week with 100 μ M GA₄₊₇ to revert the dwarf habit and to allow more vigorous growth and fertility. After fruits were harvested, seeds were collected, treated with 0.25 m HCl, dried to 6% moisture content (fresh-weight basis), and stored at -20° C until they were used (Ni and Bradford, 1993). For germination, 100 or 200 tomato seeds were placed in Petri dishes on two layers of filter papers moistened with 12 mL of water or test solutions and incubated at 25°C in the dark. For hormone treatments, seeds were imbibed in the presence of 100 μ M GA_{4+7} and/or 100 μ m ABA.

In some cases, seeds were dissected into the micropylar tip and the remainder of the seed as previously described (Nonogaki et al., 1992), and the embryonic tissues were removed from each part using forceps. The embryoless micropylar tip and the embryoless remainder of the seed were denoted as the endosperm cap and lateral endosperm, respectively.

Isolation of cDNAs

A cDNA library was constructed using mRNA from $gib-1$ seeds imbibed in 100 μ m GA₄₊₇ for 24 h using a λ ZAP Express cDNA Synthesis Kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA library was screened by hybridization of nitrocellulose filter plaque replicas with a partial-length (0.9-kb) cDNA of the *LeMAN1* post-germinative mannanase cDNA (provided by J.D. Bewley; Bewley et al., 1997; accession no. AF017144) labeled with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL)-labeling reagents (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). Hybridization was at 42°C overnight using ECL gold buffer including 5% (w/v) blocking reagent (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and 0.5 m NaCl after 1 h of prehybridization at the same temperature. Following hybridization, the membranes were washed twice for 20 min each at 42°C with 6 m urea, 0.5% (w/v) SDS, and $0.5\times$ SSC and twice for 5 min each at room temperature with $2 \times SSC$. Independent inserts in the library vector pBK-CMV were sequenced by the Advanced Plant Genetics Facility at the University of California, Davis. Sequence comparisons were made using DNASTAR software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI). Signal peptide prediction was performed using the Signal IP version 1.1 server (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalIP; Nielsen et al., 1997).

Expression of Recombinant Protein in *Escherichia coli*

The coding region (without the signal peptide) of the mannanase cDNA (amino acids 23–415; Fig. 1) was amplified by PCR using a *Bam*HI site-linked forward primer (5'-CGGGATCCTGTGAAGCTAGGGTT-3') and a *XbaI* sitelinked reverse primer (5'-CGTCTAGACTAAATCTTAACC-AAATG-39). The product was digested with *Bam*HI and *Xba*I and ligated into the *Bam*HI and *Xba*I sites of the maltosebinding protein expression vector pMAL-c2 (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). The empty vector and the vector containing insertion were transformed into competent cells of a proteinase-deficient strain (BL21) of *E. coli*, and the resulting transformant cells were selected using blue-white screening with IPTG-Xgal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β p -galactoside) plates. After incubation of a 1% (v/v) overnight culture for 4 h at 37°C, protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 2 mm and further incubation at 37°C for 2 h. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000*g* and the pellet was dissolved in sonication buffer (50 mm sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.3 m NaCl and 1 mg/mL lysozyme [Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis]). After overnight freezing at -20° C, the bacterial lysates were thawed and centrifuged at 10,000*g* for 10 min, and the supernatants were collected. Expressed proteins were examined by SDS-PAGE of the supernatants (crude extracts) of induced or uninduced bacterial cultures with or without the insertion. For purification of the fusion protein, the supernatant of an induced bacterial culture with the insertion was applied to a maltose-binding protein affinity column (amylose resin, New England Biolabs). The fusion protein was eluted from the column with 10 mm maltose. The fractions containing the fusion protein were examined by SDS-PAGE, mixed, and dialyzed against 10 mm Tris [tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane]-HCl, pH 7.5, overnight at 4°C. The dialysate was stored at -80° C.

PAGE and Immunoblotting

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE using 10% (w/v) acrylamide gels according to Laemmli (1970). Native PAGE was performed in 7.5% (w/v) gels according to Davis (1964), except that ammonium peroxydisulfate was used in place of riboflavin in the stacking gel. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to polyvinylidine difluoride membranes using a semidry blotter (TRAN-BLOT SD, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) and were blocked with 5% (w/v) non-fat milk in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.5% (v/v) Tween 20. Antimaltose-binding protein antibody (New England Biolabs) or anti-M3 mannanase antibody (Nonogaki et al., 1995) was used for immunoblotting at 1:5,000 dilution. Bound antibody was detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (Sigma Immunochemicals, St. Louis). The bands were detected on x-ray film after the reaction with the chemiluminescence reagent Renaissance (DuPont-NEN Products, Boston).

Endo-b**-Mannanase Extraction and Assays**

Endo- β -mannanase activity was extracted from tomato seeds or seed parts by homogenizing the tissues in 50 mm potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, with a mortar and pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000*g* for 5 min, and the supernatant was used as the enzyme solution. The endo- β -mannanase activity was assayed by the modified gel diffusion method (Still et al., 1997). Agarose (0.8% [w/v]) plates containing 0.05% (w/v) locust bean galactomannan (Sigma, St. Louis) were solidified, and wells were formed on the plates by scoring with a 3-mm cork borer and removing the plug by suction. The extracts $(10 \mu L)$ from tomato seed parts or purified recombinant protein solution (10 μ L) were applied to the wells, and the plates were incubated at 25°C for 24 h. After incubation, the agarose gel plates were stained by 0.5% (w/v) Congo red dye (Sigma) as described previously (Still et al., 1997). The hydrolyzed areas were visible as clear circles on a dark background. The diameter of the hydrolyzed area is logarithmically related to the enzyme activity and was quantified by comparison with authentic endo- β -mannanase standards as described previously (Still et al., 1997). This agarose gel method was also used for activity staining of native PAGE gels. After electrophoresis, a native gel was overlaid on top of the substrate-containing agarose gel and incubated at 25°C for 1 h. The activity band was detected as a transparent zone on the substrate gel after staining as described above.

Isolation of Endosperm Cap Cell Walls

Endosperm cap cell walls were prepared essentially according to Groot et al. (1988). Briefly, 100 endosperm caps were dissected from the seeds, and the testas were removed. The tissue was homogenized in 1.5 mL water and centrifuged at 10,000*g* for 5 min. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was washed three times each with 1 m NaCl, 70% (v/v) ethanol, and chloroform-methanol (2:1) and then was dried at room temperature. The dried cell wall material (0.5 mg) was suspended in 50 mm sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.5, and subjected to enzyme digestion with the recombinant maltose-binding::mannanase fusion protein (about 15 μ g) at 25°C for 20 h. After removing the insoluble cell wall fraction by centrifugation, reducing sugars released into the supernatant were assayed by the phenol-sulfuric acid method (Dubois et al., 1956).

DNA Extraction and Southern Hybridization

Genomic DNA was isolated from young tomato leaves (cv Moneymaker) as described by Murray and Thompson (1980) and modified by Bernatzky and Tanksley (1986). Genomic DNA (10 μ g) was digested with the restriction enzymes *Bam*HI, *Xba*I, and *Xho*I (New England Biolabs), separated on a 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel, and transferred to positively charged membranes (Hybond-N⁺, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). Prehybridization, hybridization, washing, and detection were performed as described for cDNA library screening. To prepare the DNA probes, vectors containing the full-length mannanase cDNAs were digested with *Bam*HI and *Xho*I, and the gel-purified insertions were used to make ECL-labeled probes (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

RNA Extraction and Northern Hybridization

Total RNA was extracted from seed parts (endosperm cap, lateral endosperm, or whole embryo) of germinating or germinated tomato seeds using a standard phenol extraction method (Sambrook et al., 1989). Total RNA (2–10

 μ g) was subjected to electrophoresis on 1.3% (w/v) agarose gels containing 7% (v/v) formaldehyde, transferred to a neutral membrane (Hybond-N, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), and UV-cross-linked. RNA probes were prepared using a DIG-labeled dNTP mixture (Boehringer Mannheim). Hybridization was routinely done overnight at 60°C with a hybridization buffer containing 50% (v/v) deionized formamide, 4% (w/v) blocking reagent (Boehringer Mannheim), 0.2% (w/v) SDS, 0.1% (w/v) *N*-lauroylsarcosine, $5\times$ SSC, and approximately 100 ng/mL RNA probe after 1 h prehybridization at the same temperature. The membranes were washed once for 25 min with $2 \times$ SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 70°C and twice for 25 min with 0.2× SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 70°C. The membranes were then blocked for 1 h with 5% (w/v) non-fat milk in 0.1 m maleic acid buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.15 μ NaCl and 0.3% (v/v) Tween 20 (buffer A) and were incubated with alkaline phosphataseconjugated anti-DIG antibody (1:15,000 dilution) for 1 h at 25°C. After washing with buffer A, the membranes were subjected to chemiluminescence detection. The signal was detected on x-ray film after 5- to 20-min exposures. When the signals on two different membranes hybridized with different probes were compared, those membranes were exposed together on the same x-ray film for the same duration.

Tissue Printing and Hybridization

Germinating (24-h-imbibed) and germinated (5-mm radicle length) seeds were bisected with a razor blade. The cut surfaces were pressed for approximately 15 s onto a positively charged membrane (Hybond-N⁺, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) supported on six layers of filter paper. After removing the tissue, the membrane was UV-crosslinked and hybridized with the *LeMAN2* or *LeMAN1* probes that were used for northern blots. The same stringency conditions as were used in northern blots were used for hybridization and washing of the tissue print membranes. The DIG-labeled probes were detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG antibody as described above, except that the signal was colorimetrically detected with 0.18 m Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.8, containing 0.025 mg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate, 0.1 mg/mL nitroblue tetrazolium, and 2 mm MgCl.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. J.D. Bewley (University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada) for providing the *LeMAN1* cDNA and Prof. Y. Morohashi (Saitama University, Urawa, Japan) for providing the anti-M3 mannanase antibody. We also thank Feng Chen of our laboratory for preparation of genomic DNA and assistance with the puncture force analysis.

Received October 20, 1999; accepted April 7, 2000.

LITERATURE CITED

Bernatzky R, Tanksley SD (1986) Genetics of actin-related sequences in tomato. Theor Appl Genet **72:** 314–321

- **Bewley JD** (1997) Breaking down the walls: a role for endo- β -mannanase in release from seed dormancy? Trends Plant Sci **2:** 464–469
- **Bewley JD, Black M** (1994) Seeds: Physiology of Development and Germination, Ed 2. Plenum Press, New York
- **Bewley JD, Burton RA, Morohashi Y, Fincher GB** (1997) Molecular cloning of a cDNA encoding a $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - β mannan endohydrolase from the seeds of germinated tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Planta **203:** 454–459
- **Bradford KJ, Chen F, Cooley MB, Dahal P, Downie B, Fukunaga KK, Gee OH, Gurusinghe S, Mella RA, Nonogaki H, Wu C-T, Yim K-O** (2000) Gene expression prior to radicle emergence in imbibed tomato seeds. *In* M Black, KJ Bradford, J Vazquez-Ramos, eds, Seed Biology: Advances and Applications. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 231–251
- **Chrispeels MJ, Varner JE** (1967) Hormonal control of enzyme synthesis: on the mode of action of gibberellic acid and abscisin in aleurone layers of barley. Plant Physiol **42:** 1008–1016
- **Christgau S, Kauppinen S, Vind J, Kofod LV, Dalboge H** (1994) Expression cloning, purification and characterization of a b-1,4-mannanase from *Aspergillus aculeatus*. Biochem Mol Biol Int **33:** 917–925
- **Cooley MB, Yang H, Dahal P, Mella RA, Downie B,** Haigh AM, Bradford KJ (1999) Vacuolar H⁺-ATPase is expressed in response to gibberellin during tomato seed germination. Plant Physiol **121:** 1339–1347
- **Cosgrove DJ** (1997) Relaxation in a high-stress environment: the molecular bases of extensible cell walls and cell enlargement. Plant Cell **9:** 1031–1041
- **Dahal P, Nevins DJ, Bradford KJ** (1997) Relationship of endo-β-D-mannanase activity and cell wall hydrolysis in tomato endosperm to germination rates. Plant Physiol **113:** 1243–1252
- **Davis BJ** (1964) Disc electrophoresis: II. Method and application to human serum proteins. Ann N Y Acad Sci **121:** 404–427
- **Downie B, Hilhorst HWM, Bewley JD** (1994) A new assay for quantifying endo- β -mannanase activity using Congo Red dye. Phytochemistry **36:** 829–835
- **Downie B, Hilhorst HWM, Bewley JD** (1997) Endo-βmannanase activity during dormancy alleviation and germination of white spruce (*Picea glauca*) seeds. Physiol Plant **101:** 405–415
- **Dubois M, Giles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F** (1956) Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal Chem **28:** 350–356
- **Dutta S, Bradford KJ, Nevins DJ** (1994) Cell-wall autohydrolysis in isolated endosperms of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Plant Physiol **104:** 623–628
- **Dutta S, Nevins DJ, Bradford KJ** (1997) Endo-β-mannanase activity present in cell wall extracts of lettuce endosperm prior to radicle emergence. Plant Physiol **113:** 155–161
- **Groot SPC, Karssen CM** (1987) Gibberellins regulate seed germination in tomato by endosperm weakening: a study with gibberellin-deficient mutants. Planta **171:** 525–531
- **Groot SPC, Karssen CM** (1992) Dormancy and germination of abscisic acid-deficient tomato seeds: studies with the *sitiens* mutant. Plant Physiol **99:** 952–958
- **Groot SPC, Kieliszewska-Rokicka B, Vermeer E, Karssen CM** (1988) Gibberellin-induced hydrolysis of endosperm cell walls in gibberellin-deficient tomato seeds prior to radicle protrusion. Planta **174:** 500–504
- **Hilhorst HWM, Groot SPC, Bino RJ** (1998) The tomato seed as a model system to study seed development and germination. Acta Bot Neerl **47:** 169–183
- **Laemmli UK** (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature **227:** 680–685
- **Leviatov S, Shoseyev O, Wolf S** (1995) Involvement of endomannanase in the control of tomato seed germination under low temperature conditions. Ann Bot **76:** 1–6
- **McQueen-Mason S, Durachko DM, Cosgrove DJ** (1992) Two endogenous proteins that induce cell wall expansion in plants. Plant Cell **4:** 1425–1433
- Mella RA, Maldonaldo S, Sánchez RA (1995) Phytochrome-induced structural changes and protein degradation prior to radicle protrusion in *Datura ferox* seeds. Can J Bot **73:** 1371–1378
- **Murray MG, Thompson WF** (1980) Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res **8:** 4321–4325
- **Ni B-R, Bradford KJ** (1993) Germination and dormancy of abscisic acid- and gibberellin-deficient mutant tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) seeds: sensitivity of germination to abscisic acid, gibberellin and water potential. Plant Physiol **101:** 607–617
- **Nielsen H, Engelbrecht J, Brunak S, von Heijne G** (1997) Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Eng **10:** 1–6
- **Nomaguchi M, Nonogaki H, Morohashi Y** (1995) Development of galactomannan-hydrolyzing activity in the micropylar endosperm tip of tomato seed prior to germination. Physiol Plant **94:** 105–109
- **Nonogaki H, Matsushima H, Morohashi Y** (1992) Galactomannan hydrolyzing activity develops during priming in the micropylar endosperm tip of tomato seeds. Physiol Plant **85:** 167–172
- **Nonogaki H, Morohashi Υ** (1996) An endo-β-mannanase develops exclusively in the micropylar endosperm of tomato seeds prior to radicle emergence. Plant Physiol **110:** 555–559
- **Nonogaki H, Nomaguchi M, Morohashi Y** (1995) Endo-bmannanases in the endosperm of germinated tomato seeds. Physiol Plant **94:** 328–334
- **Nonogaki H, Nomaguchi M, Morohashi Y, Matsushima H** (1998a) Development and localization of endo- β mannanase in the embryo of germinating tomato seeds. J Exp Bot **49:** 1501–1507
- **Nonogaki H, Nomaguchi M, Okumoto N, Kaneko Y, Matsushima H, Morohashi Y** (1998b) Temporal and spatial pattern of the biochemical activation of the endosperm during and following imbibition of tomato seeds. Physiol Plant **102:** 236–242
- **Pressey R** (1989) Endo- β -mannanase in tomato fruit. Phytochemistry **28:** 3277–3280
- **Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T** (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Ed 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- **Sánchez RA, de Miguel L** (1997) Phytochrome promotion of mannan-degrading enzyme activities in the micropylar endosperm of *Datura ferox* seeds requires the presence of embryo and gibberellin synthesis. Seed Sci Res **7:** 27–33
- Sánchez RA, Sunell L, Labavitch JM, Bonner BA (1990) Changes in endosperm cell walls of two *Datura* species before radicle protrusion. Plant Physiol **93:** 89–97
- **Sitrit Y, Hadfield KA, Bennett AB, Bradford KJ, Downie B** (1999) Expression of a polygalacturonase associated with tomato seed germination. Plant Physiol **121:** 419–428
- **Still DW, Bradford KJ** (1997) Endo-β-mannanase activity from individual tomato endosperm caps and radicle tips in relation to germination rates. Plant Physiol **113:** 21–29
- **Still DW, Dahal P, Bradford KJ** (1997) A single-seed assay for endo- β -mannanase activity from tomato endosperm and radicle tissues. Plant Physiol **113:** 13–20
- **Toorop PE** (1998) The role of endo-β-mannanase activity in tomato seed germination. PhD thesis. Wageningen Agricultural University, The Netherlands
- Toorop PE, Bewley JD, Hilhorst HWM (1996) Endo-βmannanase isoforms are present in the endosperm and embryo of tomato seeds, but are not essentially linked to the completion of germination. Planta **200:** 153–158
- **Voigt B, Bewley JD** (1996) Developing tomato seeds when removed from the fruit produce multiple forms of germinative and post-germinative endo- β -mannanase: responses to desiccation, abscisic acid and osmoticum. Planta **200:** 71–77
- **Watkins JT, Cantliffe DJ, Huber DJ, Nell TA** (1985) Gibberellic acid stimulated degradation of endosperm in pepper. J Am Soc Hortic Sci **110:** 61–65
- **Welbaum GE, Bradford KJ, Yim K-O, Booth DT, Oluoch MO** (1998) Biophysical, physiological and biochemical processes regulating seed germination. Seed Sci Res **8:** 161–172