# **Preferential cholinergic excitation of corticopontine neurons**

Arielle L. Baker<sup>1</sup>, Ryan J. O'Toole<sup>2</sup> and Allan T. Gulledge<sup>1</sup>

*1Department of Molecular and Systems Biology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA 2Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA*

Edited by: Kim Barrett & Shona Chattarji

# **Key points**

- Phasic activation of M1 muscarinic receptors generates transient inhibition followed by longer lasting excitation in neocortical pyramidal neurons.
- Corticopontine neurons in the mouse prefrontal cortex exhibit weaker cholinergic inhibition, but more robust and longer lasting excitation, than neighbouring callosal projection neurons.
- Optogenetic release of endogenous ACh in response to single flashes of light (5 ms) preferentially enhances the excitability of corticopontine neurons for many tens of seconds.
- Cholinergic excitation of corticopontine neurons involves at least three ionic mechanisms: suppression of  $K_V$ 7 currents, activation of the calcium-dependent non-specific cation conductance underlying afterdepolarizations, and activation of what appears to be a calcium-sensitive but calcium-permeable non-specific cation conductance.
- Preferential cholinergic excitation of prefrontal corticopontine neuronsmayfacilitate top-down attentional processes and behaviours.

**Abstract** Pyramidal neurons in layer 5 of the neocortex comprise two broad classes of projection neurons: corticofugal neurons, including corticopontine (CPn) neurons, and intratelencephalic neurons, including commissural/callosal (COM) neurons. These non-overlapping neuron subpopulations represent discrete cortical output channels contributing to perception, decision making and behaviour. CPn and COM neurons have distinct morphological and physiological characteristics, and divergent responses to modulatory transmitters such as serotonin and acetylcholine (ACh). To better understand how ACh regulates cortical output, in slices of mouse prefrontal cortex (PFC) we compared the responsivity of CPn and COM neurons to transient exposure to exogenous or endogenous ACh. In both neuron subtypes, exogenous ACh generated qualitatively similar biphasic responses in which brief hyperpolarization was followed by longer lasting enhancement of excitability. However, cholinergic inhibition was more pronounced in COM neurons, while excitatory responses were larger and longer lasting in CPn neurons.

**Arielle Baker** is a PhD candidate at the Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth College in Hanover, New Hampshire. Prior to Dartmouth, she earned bachelor degrees in Neuroscience and in Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology at the University of Colorado, Boulder. Her research is focused on the physiology and modulation of cortical microcircuits in rodents, and she is interested in how neuromodulatory systems influence cortical output to facilitate cognition. Outside of her research, she is passionate about gender equity in academic science.



Similarly, optically triggered release of endogenous ACh from cholinergic terminals preferentially and persistently (for  $\sim$ 40 s) enhanced the excitability of CPn neurons, but had little impact on COM neurons. Cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons involved at least three distinct ionic mechanisms: suppression of  $K_V$ 7 channels (the 'M-current'), activation of the calcium-dependent non-specific cation conductance underlying afterdepolarizations, and activation of what appears to be a calcium-sensitive but calcium-permeable non-specific cation conductance. Our findings demonstrate projection-specific selectivity in cholinergic signalling in the PFC, and suggest that transient release of ACh during behaviour will preferentially promote corticofugal output.

(Received 29 August 2017; accepted after revision 4 January 2018; first published online 12 January 2018) **Corresponding author** A. T. Gulledge: Department of Molecular and Systems Biology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth College, HB 7400, Vail 601, Hanover, NH 03755, USA. Email: allan.gulledge@dartmouth.edu

# **Introduction**

In the mammalian prefrontal cortex (PFC), acetylcholine (ACh) is a neurotransmitter that facilitates many cognitive functions, including attentional processes such as cue detection (Parikh *et al*. 2007; Klinkenberg *et al*. 2011). For instance, loss of cholinergic input to the PFC impairs attention (McGaughy *et al*. 1996, 2002; Dalley *et al*. 2004; Newman & McGaughy, 2008), whereas enhancement of cholinergic signalling in rodents (Kolisnyk *et al*. 2013*a*), primates (Lange *et al*. 2015) and humans (Bentley *et al*. 2004) can improve performance in attention tasks. However, the specific cellular and circuit-based mechanisms by which cholinergic input to the PFC facilitates attention and other cognitive processes remains obscure.

Within the neocortex, ACh acts through a variety of metabotropic and ionotropic ACh receptors differentially expressed in subclasses of cortical neurons, including pyramidal neurons that provide the bulk of cortical output, and GABAergic interneurons that provide local inhibitory circuits. Pyramidal neurons in layer 5 of the rodent medial PFC (mPFC) respond to ACh primarily via M1-type muscarinic ACh receptors (mAChRs; Gulledge *et al*. 2009) that trigger calcium release from internal calcium stores and activate SK-type calcium-activated potassium channels (Gulledge & Stuart, 2005), while simultaneously engaging voltage-dependent non-specific cation conductances (Andrade, 1991; Haj-Dahmane & Andrade, 1996; Yan *et al*. 2009) that facilitate calcium influx from the extracellular space (Dasari *et al*. 2017).

However, cortical projection neurons are not homogeneous, and in layer 5 comprise two broad, nonoverlapping subpopulations that provide parallel output channels from the cortex: corticopontine (CPn) neurons projecting to the brainstem, and commissural/callosal (COM) neurons that provide output to the contralateral cerebral hemisphere (Morishima & Kawaguchi, 2006). In addition to having distinct morphological and physiological properties (Morishima & Kawaguchi, 2006; Dembrow *et al*. 2010), CPn and COM neurons differ in their responses to neuromodulatory input (Dembrow & Johnston, 2014). For example, serotonin (5-HT) inhibits CPn neurons via  $G_{i/o}$ -coupled 5-HT1A receptors, while selectively exciting COM neurons via activation of G<sub>q</sub>-coupled 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors (Avesar & Gulledge, 2012; Stephens *et al*. 2014, 2018). On the other hand, CPn neurons are preferentially excited by  $\alpha$ 2-adrenergic (Dembrow *et al*. 2010) and dopamine D2 (Gee *et al*. 2012) receptors, while COM neurons are preferentially excited via activation of dopamine D1 receptors (Seong & Carter, 2012). In terms of cholinergic modulation, layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the mPFC broadly express Gq-coupled M1 subtype mAChRs and exhibit enhanced excitability in the continuous presence of muscarinic agonists (Gulledge *et al*. 2009), with responses to tonic mAChR activation being more robust in CPn neurons (Dembrow *et al*. 2010).

The purpose of this study was to determine whether transient cholinergic stimulation, including phasic release of endogenous ACh from cholinergic terminals, differentially influences the excitability of CPn and COM neurons in the mPFC. Our results reveal a dichotomy in transient cholinergic signalling in these two projection neuron populations that is consistent with the cell-type specificity of cholinergic responses in the mouse auditory cortex (Joshi*et al*. 2016). We further identify three distinct ionic mechanisms that contribute to cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons. Overall, our results suggest that phasic release of ACh in the PFC, as occurs *in vivo* during cue detection tasks (Sarter *et al*. 2016), will preferentially and persistently enhance corticofugal output.

#### **Methods**

#### **Ethical approval**

Animal use and care followed procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Dartmouth College, in accordance with the principles and regulations of *The Journal of Physiology*, as described by Grundy (2015).

# **Animals**

Female and male 6- to 10-week-old C57BL/6J wild-type (stock no. 013636), ChAT-ChR2-YFP (no. 014546), or ChAT-IRES-Cre (no. 006410)  $\times$  Ai32 (no. 012569) mice (*Mus musculus*), originally sourced from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA), were bred in facilities accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, and maintained on a 12 h–12 h light–dark cycle with food and water *ad libitum*.

# **Retrograde labelling**

Red or green fluorescent beads (Retrobeads, Lumafluor Inc., Durham, NC, USA) were injected unilaterally into either the pons (to label ipsilaterally projecting CPn neurons) or prelimbic cortex (to label contralaterally projecting COM neurons) using age-appropriate coordinates (Paxinos & Franklin, 2004). Surgical procedures and postoperative care were conducted in such a manner as to minimize all forms of discomfort, distress, pain and injury. Throughout surgeries, respiratory rates, core temperatures and responsiveness (toe pinch) of animals were monitored to ensure an appropriate level of anaesthesia. Animals were continuously anaesthetized with vaporized isoflurane  $(\sim 2\%)$  during surgeries in which a craniotomy was made and a microsyringe lowered into the brain region of interest, and 200–600 nL of undiluted Retrobead solution was injected at a rate of 0.015  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup>. After injection, the microsyringe was held in place for  $\sim$  5 min before being slowly withdrawn. Prior to recovery from anaesthesia, ketoprofen  $(4 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ was delivered to each animal intraperitoneally, and the cranial incision was treated with an antibiotic (Neosporin) to prevent infection. Animals were allowed to recover from surgery for at least 48 h (for COM injections) or 72 h (for CPn injections) before use in electrophysiological experiments, with longer recovery times allowed for CPn neurons due to their longer axonal projections. Mean  $(\pm$  standard deviation) post-surgery intervals were  $4.0 \pm 1.1$  days for COM ( $n = 22$  mice) and 8.3  $\pm$  9.4 days for CPn neurons (*n* = 49 mice; *P* = 0.035 *vs*. COM, Student's *t* test). Locations of bead injections were confirmed in coronal sections of the mPFC or brainstem (see below).

# **Slice preparation**

Animals were anaesthetized with vaporized isoflurane, decapitated and brains rapidly removed into artificial cerebral spinal fluid (aCSF) composed of the following (in mm): 125 NaCl, 25 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 CaCl2, 6 MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 25 glucose (saturated with  $95\%$  $O_2$ –5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Coronal brain slices (250  $\mu$ m thick) of the mPFC, or of the brainstem, were cut using a Leica VT 1200 slicer and stored in a holding chamber filled with aCSF containing 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Slices were maintained in the holding chamber for 1 h at 35°C, and then at room temperature ( $\sim$ 27°C) until use in experiments.

# **Electrophysiology**

Slices were transferred to a recording chamber continuously perfused ( $\sim$ 7 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) with oxygenated aCSF heated to 35–36°C. Labelled CPn or COM pyramidal neurons were visualized with epifluorescence (470 or 530 nm LEDs) via a 60× water-immersion objective. Patch pipettes (5–7  $M\Omega$ ) were normally filled with a solution containing the following (in mM): 135 potassium gluconate, 2 NaCl, 2 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 Hepes, 3 Na2ATP and 0.3 NaGTP, pH 7.2 with KOH. In some experiments, potassium gluconate was replaced with BAPTA (a mixture of tetrapotassium and tetraacetic salts) to produce pipette solutions containing 10 or 30 mM BAPTA. Data were acquired using a BVC-700 amplifier (Dagan Corporation, Phoenix, AZ, USA) connected to an ITC-18 digitizer (HEKA) driven by AxoGraph software (AxoGraph Scientific, [http://www.axograph.](http://www.axograph.com) [com\)](http://www.axograph.com). Membrane potentials were sampled at 25 kHz, filtered at 5 kHz and corrected for the liquid junction potential of +12 mV. Input resistance was calculated from the slope of the linear portion of the steady-state voltage–current relationship established with a sequence of somatic current injections (usually  $-50$  to  $+50$  pA). HCN-channel-mediated 'sag' was measured using hyperpolarizing current injections sufficient to generate a 20 mV peak hyperpolarization relative to the resting membrane potential (RMP), and quantified as the relative steady-state 'rebound' toward RMP (as a percentage) from peak hyperpolarization.

# **Cholinergic stimulation**

To measure cholinergic responses in CPn and COM neurons, we transiently delivered ACh to targeted neurons during periods of continuous action potential generation  $({\sim}6\text{--}8 \text{ Hz})$  evoked by somatic DC injection. For exogenous application, ACh was dissolved in aCSF (to 100  $\mu$ M) and focally applied (100 ms at ~10 psi) from a patch pipette positioned near the soma of the recorded neuron. Release of endogenous ACh in slices from ChAT-ChR2-YFP or ChAT-IRES-Cre/Ai32 mice was triggered with wide-field flashes of blue light (470 nm, 5 ms) from an LED (Thor Labs, Newton, NJ, USA;  $\sim$ 3.5 mW). Inhibitory responses to ACh were quantified as the duration of action potential cessation following the cholinergic stimulus, while excitatory responses to ACh were quantified as the peak increase in instantaneous spike frequency (ISF) relative to the average baseline



#### **Table 1. Physiology of layer 5 projection neurons**

Comparisons of resting membrane potential (RMP), input resistance (R<sub>N</sub>), and the percentage rebound sag following a current-induced hyperpolarization (% sag) in CPn and COM projection neurons from the indicated genotypes. Data are aggregated baseline data from all experiments utilizing regular (non-BAPTA-containing) intracellular solution, and presented as means  $\pm$  SD. No significant differences were observed between genotypes (ANOVAs), but all physiological parameters were significantly different between CPn and COM neurons within genotypes (Student's *t* test).

ISF. Durations of excitatory responses were quantified by resampling ISF plots at 2 Hz and identifying the timing at which the resampled ISF dropped below the mean baseline ISF.

# **Pharmacological manipulations**

In some experiments, apamin (100 nm), atropine (1  $\mu$ m), XE991 (10  $μ$ M), cadmium (200  $μ$ M), or kynurenate (3 mM) and gabazine (10  $\mu$ M) were bath applied for 5–10 min before ACh application or exposure to blue light. In experiments in which intracellular calcium was chelated with BAPTA, experiments started at least 10 min after establishment of whole-cell recording. For experiments using nominally calcium-free aCSF,  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  was replaced with equimolar  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ . In some experiments, extracellular KCl was reduced to 0.5 mM, with NaCl being raised to 127.5 mm. Most drugs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). XE991 was obtained from Bio-techne (Minneapolis, MN, USA) and Cayman Chemical Co. (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Brain slices exposed to drug treatment were not used for more than one experiment.

# **Statistical analyses**

Unless otherwise noted, data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM), and were assessed with either Student's *t* test (two-tailed, paired or unpaired) or one-way ANOVA (two-tailed, repeated measures with Sidák corrected post-test, where appropriate) using Wizard for Mac version 1.9 (Evan Miller, [http://www.Wizardmac.com\)](http://www.Wizardmac.com). Data in Tables 1 and 2 show aggregate data from baseline ACh responses in non-BAPTA-containing neurons, and data in all tables are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Significance was defined as *P* < 0.05.

# **Results**

#### **Exogenous ACh preferentially excites CPn neurons**

We tested the cell type specificity of phasic cholinergic signalling using targeted whole-cell recordings of labelled CPn and COM neurons in layer 5 of the prelimbic region of the mouse mPFC. Relative to COM neurons, CPn neurons had more depolarized resting membrane potentials, lower input resistances, and greater HCN-channel-mediated sag potentials (Table 1). Across all neurons recorded with control pipette solution, CPn neurons  $(n = 143)$ required more current injection (230  $\pm$  7 pA) than did COM ( $n = 54$ ) neurons (176  $\pm$  11 pA,  $P < 0.001$  *vs.* CPn, Student's *t* test; Table 3) to reach target rates of action potential generation, likely reflecting their lower input resistances. Similar projection-specific physiological differences were observed in CPn and COM neurons from mice expressing channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in cholinergic neurons, but no physiological differences were observed within the individual projection neuron subpopulations across genetic models (Table 1), or between male and female mice (Table 2).

To compare cholinergic responses in CPn and COM neurons, we focally applied exogenous ACh (100  $\mu$ M, 100 ms) to neurons during periods of spontaneous action potential generation  $({\sim}7$  Hz) in response to suprathreshold DC injection. In both neuron subtypes, ACh application generated biphasic responses in which a brief cessation of action potential firing was followed by an increase in instantaneous spike frequency (ISF; Fig. 1*A*). However, relative to responses in COM neurons  $(n = 35)$ , cholinergic inhibition was of shorter duration, and excitation of greater magnitude, in CPn neurons  $(n = 47; Fig. 1B)$ . Cessations of action potential generation lasted for  $0.9 \pm 0.1$  s and  $1.6 \pm 0.1$  s in CPn and COM neurons, respectively (CPn *vs*. COM, *P* < 0.001, Student's



# **Table 2. Cholinergic responses in CPn and COM neurons across sex**

Comparisons of inhibitory and excitatory responses to exogenous and endogenous (single-flash) ACh in CPn and COM projection neurons from male and female mice from experiments in baseline conditions using regular (non-BAPTA-containing) intracellular solution. Data presented as means ± SD. Within both sexes, CPn and COM were significantly different (Student's *t* test) in all measures. No significant differences were observed between male and female mice for any parameter (Student's *t* test), and all responses were significantly different between CPn and COM neurons in pooled data from both sexes (Student's *t* test).

*t* test). Following these transient inhibitory responses, ISFs rose 170  $\pm$  12% above baseline levels in CPn neurons, but only 77  $\pm$  6% above baseline in COM neurons (CPn *vs.* COM,  $P < 0.001$ ). While baseline firing frequencies were slightly higher in CPn  $(8.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ Hz})$  *vs.* COM  $(6.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ Hz})$  neurons  $(P < 0.001, \text{ Student's } t \text{ test})$ , reflecting greater spike frequency adaptation in COM neurons (Hattox & Nelson, 2007), this difference does not explain the preferential cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons, as increases in firing rates were not significantly correlated with baseline ISFs  $(R^2 = 0.067, P = 0.08)$ and  $R^2 = 0.036$ ,  $P = 0.28$  for CPn and COM neurons, respectively; linear regression; Fig. 1*C*). Responses also did not depend on sex, as equivalent projection-specific differences in cholinergic responses were observed in CPn and COM neurons from female and male mice (Table 2), or on recovery time allowed following surgeries, as there was no correlation between post-surgery interval (see Methods) and the magnitude of inhibitory ( $P = 0.11$ ) and 0.55) or excitatory ( $P = 0.53$  and 0.72) responses to exogenous ACh in CPn ( $n = 82$ ) and COM ( $n = 35$ ) neurons, respectively.

To test whether longer lasting inhibitory responses in COM neurons were masking and/or limiting subsequent excitation, thereby giving the appearance of preferential

cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons, we measured excitatory responses to focally applied ACh before and after blocking SK channels with apamin (100 nM). Apamin eliminated cholinergic inhibitory responses in both CPn  $(n = 8; P = 0.001)$  and COM  $(n = 7; P = 0.014)$  neurons (paired Student's *t* test), but left cholinergic excitation intact (Fig. 1*A*). In the presence of apamin, latencies to peak cholinergic excitation decreased in COM neurons (from 3.9  $\pm$  0.8 to 1.9  $\pm$  0.4 s; *P* = 0.029, paired Student's *t* test), but remained similar in CPn neurons  $(3.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ s} \text{ in baseline conditions } v_s$ .  $2.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ s} \text{ in a pamin}$ ;  $P = 0.48$ ; data not shown). Further, while blocking SK channels enhanced the magnitude of excitatory responses in COM neurons (from 65  $\pm$  7% to 98  $\pm$  15% above baseline firing rates;  $P = 0.024$ , paired Student's *t* test), excitatory responses continued to be significantly larger in CPn neurons (150  $\pm$  15% above baseline firing rates; *P* = 0.030 *vs*. COM, Student's *t* test; Fig. 1*D*). These results confirm that robust cholinergic excitation is an intrinsic property of CPn neurons independent of projection-specific differences in SK-mediated inhibition.

Both inhibitory and excitatory cholinergic responses were mediated by mAChRs, as they were abolished by bath application of atropine (1  $\mu$ M;  $n = 9$ ; Fig. 1*E*). In



atropine, the duration of spike cessation was reduced from  $0.9 \pm 0.2$  to  $0.1 \pm 0$  s in CPn neurons ( $n = 5$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) and from  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  to  $0.1 \pm 0$  s in COM neurons ( $n = 4$ ; *P* < 0.001), while excitatory responses were reduced from  $148 \pm 30\%$  to  $2 \pm 2\%$  above baseline spike frequencies in CPn neurons ( $n = 5$ ;  $P = 0.007$ ) and from 60  $\pm$  4% to  $0 \pm 4\%$  above baseline firing rates in COM neurons  $(n = 4; P = 0.002; Fig. 1F)$ . These results demonstrate that transient activation of mAChRs with exogenous ACh preferentially enhances the action potential output of CPn neurons in the mouse mPFC.

# **Endogenous ACh preferentially excites CPn neurons**

To test the relative sensitivities of CPn and COM neurons to endogenously released ACh, we used flashes of blue (470 nm; 5 ms) light to evoke ACh release

in slices of mPFC from ChAT-ChR2-YFP mice that express channelrhodopsin-2 in cholinergic neurons. We first confirmed ChR2 expression in cholinergic neurons in these animals by recording from YFP-positive cholinergic neurons in slices of the basal forebrain. Flashes of blue light reliably generated action potentials in YFP-positive neurons (Fig. 2A;  $n = 4$ ), while repetitive light flashes (50 Hz) generated trains of action potentials with some degree of stochastic failure that could be detected in both extracellular and whole-cell recordings (Fig. 2*B*;  $n = 4$ ).

To evoke endogenous ACh release in slices of mPFC, we first delivered trains of 100 flashes of blue light (5 ms each at 59 Hz) while recording from labelled CPn or COM neurons firing action potentials in response to suprathreshold DC injection (Fig. 2*C*). Release of endogenous ACh did not evoke significant SK responses in either projection neuron subtype, but preferentially increased



#### **Figure 1. Exogenous ACh preferentially excites CPn neurons**

*A*, voltage responses of CPn (blue) or COM (red) neurons to focally applied ACh (100 *µ*M) delivered during periods of current-induced action potential generation (top), with corresponding plots of instantaneous spike frequency (ISF) for each action potential in baseline conditions or after application of apamin (100 nM, below). Dashed line indicates 0 Hz. *B*, comparisons of the duration of action potential inhibition (left) and the magnitude of excitatory cholinergic responses (right) in CPn ( $n = 47$ ) and COM ( $n = 35$ ) neurons. *C*, plots of baseline firing frequency *vs*. current injection (left) and peak increase in spike frequency *vs*. baseline firing frequency (right) for the initial groups of CPn (*n* = 47, blue) and COM (*n* = 35, red) neurons featured in *B*. *D*, comparison of ACh-induced peak change in spike frequency before and after blockade of SK channels in CPn (*n* = 8) and COM (*n* = 7) neurons. *E*, plots of ISF for CPn (blue) and COM (red) neurons in the presence of atropine (1 *µ*M). *F*, comparisons of inhibitory (left) and excitatory (right) responses to ACh before and after atropine treatment in CPn ( $n = 5$ ) and COM ( $n = 4$ ) neurons. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05): white asterisks indicate significant differences from baseline firing frequencies, and black asterisks indicate significant differences between COM and CPn neurons.



**Figure 2. Endogenous ACh preferentially excites CPn neurons** *A* and *B*, single flashes of 470 nm light (5 ms) evoked single action potentials in cholinergic neurons ( $n = 4$ ) in the basal forebrain of ChAT-ChR2 mice (*A*), while trains of light flashes (5 ms at 50 Hz) generated multiple action potentials, with some degree of failure, in extracellular recordings (*Ba*) and in subsequent whole-cell recordings (*Bb*; *n* = 4). *C*, voltage responses and corresponding spike frequency plots for CPn (blue traces) and COM (red traces) neurons to optical activation consisting of 100 flashes of blue light (5 ms each, at 59 Hz) before (top) and after (bottom) bath application of atropine (1 *µ*M). *D*, comparison of peak increase in spike frequency in CPn (blue;  $n = 14$ ) and COM (red;  $n = 9$ ) neurons. *E*, comparisons of cholinergic excitation before and after atropine application in a subset of CPn ( $n = 5$ ) and COM ( $n = 4$ ) neurons. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05): white asterisks indicate significant differences from baseline firing frequencies, and black asterisks indicate significant differences between CPn and COM neurons and between experimental conditions.

the firing rates of CPn neurons by  $48 \pm 9\%$  ( $n = 14$ , *P* < 0.001, paired Student's *t* test), while the firing rates of COM neurons were less affected  $(+20 \pm 9\%)$ ;  $n = 9$ ,  $P = 0.058$ ; Fig. 2*D*). Overall, flash-induced increases in firing rates were larger in CPn neurons than in COM neurons (*P*=0.007; Student's*t*test). In a subset of neurons  $(n=9)$ , we confirmed that responses to endogenous ACh were mediated by mAChRs by bath applying atropine (Fig. 2*C*), which eliminated flash-evoked responses in both CPn (from  $47 \pm 13\%$  to  $2 \pm 4\%$  above baseline firing rates;  $n = 5$ ;  $P = 0.009$ ) and COM (from 25  $\pm$  7% to 0  $\pm$  8%) above baseline firing rates;  $n = 4$ ;  $P = 0.042$ ) neurons (Fig. 2*E*). These results confirm that release of endogenous ACh preferentially excites CPn neurons via activation of mAChRs.

We next tested whether a single flash of light, likely equating to a single ACh release event in presynaptic terminals, was sufficient to drive cholinergic responses in labelled COM and CPn neurons. To do this, we delivered periodic current steps (1.5 s duration at 0.133 Hz) that in baseline conditions generated 8.5  $\pm$  0.1 and 8.6  $\pm$  0.2 action potentials in COM ( $n = 21$ ) and CPn ( $n = 18$ ) neurons, respectively (Fig. 3*A*). A single 5 ms flash of light, delivered at the start of the sixth current-step trial, immediately increased the number of action potentials generated in that trial. In COM neurons, the increase in action potential number was small (mean of  $1.2 \pm 0.3$ additional spikes; *P* = 0.004, paired Student's *t* test) and transient, occurring only during the flash-exposed trial. On the other hand, CPn neurons exhibited larger flash-induced increases in action potential number (mean of 2.3  $\pm$  0.3 additional spikes;  $P < 0.001$ ) that persisted during the subsequent two trials (Fig. 3*B*). The cholinergic origin of flash-evoked excitatory responses was confirmed in a subset of neurons exposed to atropine  $(1 \mu M; Fig. 3B,$ inset), which eliminated single-flash-evoked changes in excitability in both CPn  $(n=4)$  and COM  $(n=6)$  neurons.

# **ACh persistently excites CPn neurons**

To more precisely measure the duration of persistent cholinergic excitation of layer 5 projection neurons, we repeated experiments under conditions in which neurons were continuously depolarized with suprathreshold somatic DC injection (Fig. 4*A*). Under these conditions, single flashes of light preferentially enhanced action potential generation in CPn neurons (mean increase in spike rate was  $27 \pm 3\%$ ;  $n = 27$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , paired Student's *t* test), an effect that persisted for  $23 \pm 5$  s after the flash (Fig. 4*B*). Single flashes of light modestly enhanced firing rates in COM neurons by  $11 \pm 2\%$  $(n = 11, P < 0.001)$ , but this effect lasted for only  $7 \pm 4$  s. Both the magnitude ( $P < 0.001$ ; Student's *t* test) and duration ( $P = 0.048$ ) of flash-evoked cholinergic responses were greater in CPn neurons (Fig. 4*C*). Similarly, in experiments using focal application of exogenous ACh, CPn  $(n = 14)$  and COM  $(n = 10)$  neurons responded with brief cessation of action potential generation  $(0.9 \pm 0.1)$ *vs*. 1.8 ± 0.4 s; *P* = 0.013, Student's *t* test) followed by enhanced firing frequencies (increases of  $160 \pm 12\%$ ) and 78  $\pm$  14%; *P* < 0.001) that persisted for 51  $\pm$  7 and  $19 \pm 2$  s ( $P = 0.002$ ) in CPn and COM neurons, respectively (Fig. 4*B*and*C*). Equivalent projection-specific differences in ACh responses were observed in CPn and COM neurons from female and male mice (Table 2). These results demonstrate that transient activation of mAChRs with endogenous or exogenous ACh preferentially and persistently enhances action potential output of CPn neurons in the mouse mPFC.

# **An alternative optogenetic model for ACh release**

Because the ChAT-ChR2-YFP mouse line overexpresses the vesicular ACh transporter (VAChT), potentially leading to higher than normal vesicular ACh content (Kolisnyk *et al*. 2013*a*), we confirmed projection-specific cholinergic signalling in the mPFC of ChAT-Cre/Ai32 mice, an alternative animal model having ChR2 expression in cholinergic neurons, but with otherwise normal cholinergic function (Hedrick *et al*. 2016). As was found in tissue from ChAT-ChR2-YFP mice, flash-evoked ACh release failed to generate significant SK-mediated inhibition in either neuron subtype (Fig. 5*A* and *B*). However, ACh release triggered increases in action potential frequency that were larger in CPn  $(n = 10)$ than in COM ( $n = 8$ ) neurons ( $P = 0.006$ , one-tailed Student's *t* test), with mean increases in spike frequencies being 59  $\pm$  13% in CPn neurons ( $P = 0.002$ , paired Student's *t* test) and  $18 \pm 4\%$  ( $P = 0.001$ ) in COM neurons (Fig. 5*C*). Cholinergic excitation also persisted longer in CPn neurons  $(39 \pm 10 \text{ vs. } 14 \pm 4 \text{ s in CPn})$ and COM neurons, respectively;  $P = 0.028$ ; one-tailed Student's *t* test; Fig. 5*C*). Finally, when challenged with atropine (1  $\mu$ M), flash-evoked excitation of CPn neurons was eliminated (from  $67 \pm 15\%$  to  $0 \pm 2\%$  above baseline firing rates;  $n = 8$ ;  $P = 0.004$ , paired Student's *t* test; Fig. 5*D*), confirming that mAChRs mediate responses to endogenous ACh in prefrontal projection neurons.

# **Mechanisms of persistent cholinergic excitation in CPn neurons**

How does transient mAChR activation lead to persistent enhancement of CPn neuron excitability? To address this question, we first tested whether intrinsic properties of CPn neurons, or their connectivity within cortical



**Figure 3. Single flash-evoked release of endogenous ACh preferentially excites CPn neurons** *A*, periodic somatic current steps (1.5 s) generated 7–8 action potentials in baseline conditions (trial 5) in CPn and COM neurons (top). In both neuron populations, a single flash of blue light (5 ms) applied at the beginning of trial 6 increased the number of action potentials generated (middle), but this enhanced excitability persisted into the following trial (trial 7) only in CPn neurons (bottom). *B*, plot of the mean number of action potentials generated by periodic current steps in populations of CPn (blue; *n* = 18) and COM (red; *n* = 21) neurons over time. Trials 5 (i), 6 (ii) and 7 (iii) are shaded and indicate time points of voltage traces in *A*. Inset: in a subset of CPn  $(n = 4)$  and COM  $(n = 6)$  neurons, the presence of atropine  $(1 \mu M)$  blocked flash-evoked increases in action potential generation. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05); blue and red asterisks and lines indicate the duration of significant differences from baseline firing frequencies in CPn and COM neurons, respectively.

networks, contributes to persistent excitation following a transient cholinergic stimulus. To rule out a role for intrinsic properties, we delivered a single 1 s current step to mimic transient enhanced excitatory drive in the middle of a longer suprathreshold current injection (Fig. 6*A*). Across multiple current intensities, action potential frequencies increased during the period of added excitatory stimulus, but immediately (within 1.1  $\pm$  0.1 ms; *n* = 3) returned to baseline or lower levels (Fig. 6*B*), indicating that transient increases in firing frequency alone are not sufficient to drive long-lasting excitation of CPn neurons.

To test the contribution of network activity in driving persistent cholinergic excitation, we measured responses of CPn neurons from ChAT-ChR2-YFP mice to single-flash-evoked endogenous ACh release before and after bath-application of kynurenate (3 mM), a nonspecific ionotropic glutamate receptor blocker, and gabazine (10  $\mu$ M), a selective GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor blocker (Fig. 6*C* and *D*). In baseline conditions, singleflash-induced increases in action potential output peaked at  $33 \pm 5\%$  above baseline firing rates ( $P < 0.001$ , paired Student's *t* test; Fig. 6*E*) and persisted for  $36 \pm 8$  s (Fig. 6*F*). After application of kynurenate and gabazine, flashes of light remained potent, enhancing action potent output by  $26 \pm 4\%$  ( $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 6*E*) and persisting for 40  $\pm$  9 s ( $n = 11$ ; Fig. 6*F*). Thus, the magnitude  $(P = 0.08;$  paired Student's *t* test) and duration  $(P = 0.63)$ of excitatory responses were similar in baseline and antagonist conditions, suggesting that changes in local network activity cannot account for persistent cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons.

We next tested ionic mechanisms that might contribute to cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons. Several forms of persistent cholinergic excitation require intracellular calcium signalling, including persistent firing triggered by nicotinic (Hedrick & Waters, 2015) and muscarinic (Egorov *et al*. 2002; Rahman & Berger, 2011) receptors in pyramidal neurons in primary sensorimotor cortices, as well as mAChR-dependent afterdepolarizations (ADPs) that occur following trains of action potentials in prefrontal pyramidal neurons (Haj-Dahmane & Andrade, 1998; Yan *et al*. 2009; Dasari *et al*. 2013). To determine whether persistent cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons requires intracellular calcium signalling, we chelated



#### **Figure 4. Persistence of cholinergic excitation in CPn and COM neurons**

*A*, responses to single flash-evoked release of endogenous ACh (5 ms flash, left) or exogenous ACh (100 ms, right) in CPn (blue traces; top) and COM (red traces; bottom) neurons. *B*, plots of mean ISFs over time in populations of neurons exposed to endogenous (CPn, *n* = 27; COM, *n* = 11; left) or exogenous (CPn,  $n = 14$ ; COM, *n* = 10; right) ACh. *C*, comparisons of the mean increase in firing frequency following exposure to endogenous and exogenous ACh in CPn and COM neurons (left) and the duration of cholinergic excitation following endogenous or exogenous ACh exposure (right). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05): white asterisks indicate significant changes from baseline firing frequencies, and black asterisks indicate significant differences between CPn and COM neurons.

intracellular calcium by including BAPTA (10 or 30 mM) in patch pipettes. The efficacy of calcium chelation was confirmed by pairing exogenous ACh with brief spike trains to evoke calcium-dependent cholinergic ADPs. In control CPn neurons patched with normal pipette solution, transient applications of ACh paired with 10 current-induced action potentials generated ADPs of  $4.3 \pm 0.7$  mV ( $n = 5$ ). These cholinergic ADPs were absent in neurons recorded with 10 mm  $(-0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ mV})$ ;  $n = 5$ ) or 30 mm (0.0  $\pm$  0.5 mV;  $n = 5$ ) BAPTA in the pipette solution (Fig. 7*A*), confirming successful chelation of internal calcium. Additionally, inclusion of BAPTA in the patch pipette eliminated SK-channel-dependent inhibitory responses to ACh (Fig. 7*B*). However, while effective in blocking cholinergic ADPs and SK inhibition, inclusion of BAPTA in patch pipettes failed to block persistent excitation by exogenous or endogenous ACh (Fig. 7*B* and *C*). Instead, relative to cholinergic excitatory responses in control neurons (peak increases in ISF of  $170 \pm 8\%, n = 57$ , focally applied ACh generated slightly *larger* excitatory responses  $(214 \pm 15\%)$  above baseline spike frequencies;  $n = 47$ ) in neurons filled with 10 mm BAPTA ( $P = 0.008$ ; Student's t test), and equivalent excitation in neurons filled with 30 mM BAPTA  $(162 \pm 28\%$  above baseline frequencies,  $n = 15$ ;  $P = 0.71$ ;

Fig. 7*D*). Similarly, with intracellular calcium chelated with 10 mM BAPTA, excitatory responses to flash-evoked release of endogenous ACh were  $\sim$ 75% larger (mean increase in ISF =  $47 \pm 5\%$ ;  $n = 27$ ) than responses in control neurons (mean increase  $= 27 \pm 3\%$ ;  $n = 27$ ,  $P = 0.002$  *vs.* control neurons, Student's *t* test; Fig. 7*D*). Chelation of internal calcium also failed to reduce the persistence of cholinergic excitation, with duration of responses to exogenous ACh (59  $\pm$  4 s for 10 mm BAPTA; *P* = 0.20 *vs*. control;  $43 \pm 8$  s for 30 mM BAPTA; *P* = 0.24 *vs.* control) or endogenous ACh  $(31 \pm 6 \text{ s}$  for 10 mM BAPTA;  $P = 0.37$  *vs.* control) being similar to the duration of responses in control neurons lacking internal BAPTA (exogenous,  $52 \pm 3$  s,  $n = 57$ ; endogenous,  $23 \pm 5$  s,  $n = 27$ ; Fig. 7*D*). Thus, chelation of intracellular calcium failed to reduce the magnitude or duration of cholinergic excitatory responses in CPn neurons, even as it blocked fully any contribution of the ADP conductance. Instead, 10 mM BAPTA enhanced the magnitude of excitation in response to both exogenous and endogenous ACh. These results demonstrate that intracellular calcium signalling is not necessary for cholinergic enhancement of action potential output in CPn neurons, and suggest instead that the net effect of normal intracellular calcium levels may be to suppress cholinergic responses.

# **excitation of CPn neurons in an alternative optogenetic model of endogenous ACh release**

*A*, voltage responses (top) and corresponding ISF plots (below) to single-flash-evoked release of endogenous ACh in CPn (blue trace, left) and COM (red trace, right) neurons in the mPFC of ChAT-Cre/Ai32 mice. In the CPn neuron, the flash-induced increase in firing frequency was eliminated following addition of atropine (1  $\mu$ M; lower light blue trace and ISF plot). *B*, plots of mean ISFs during light-evoked release of endogenous ACh for populations of CPn (blue:  $n = 10$ ) and COM (red;  $n = 8$ ) neurons in the mPFC of ChAT-Cre/Ai32 mice. *C*, comparisons of the magnitude (left) and duration (right) of peak cholinergic excitation in CPn ( $n = 10$ ) and COM (*n* = 8) neurons. *D*, comparison of ACh response amplitude in a subset of CPn neurons ( $n = 8$ ) in baseline conditions and after application of atropine. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05): white asterisks indicate significant changes from baseline firing frequencies, and black asterisks indicate significant differences between conditions.



 $\odot$  2018 The Authors. The Journal of Physiology  $\odot$  2018 The Physiological Society

One calcium-sensitive ionic mechanism classically associated with  $G_q$ -coupled receptors is the M-current (Delmas *et al*. 2004). To test the role of M-current in cholinergic excitation, we measured responses to focal application of exogenous ACh in baseline conditions (with either control internal or BAPTA internal solution) and after bath-application of XE991 (10  $\mu$ M), a selective blocker of the  $K_V$ 7 potassium channels that underlie the M-current (Fig. 8*A* and *B*). With internal calcium intact, addition of XE991 reduced peak excitatory responses by  $23 \pm 9\%$  ( $n = 11$ ;  $P = 0.018$ , repeated measures ANOVA), from 197  $\pm$  18% increases in ISF in baseline conditions to  $142 \pm 13\%$  increases in XE991 (Fig. 8*C*). Similarly, in a second set of neurons filled with 10 mm BAPTA, addition of XE991 reduced peak excitatory responses by  $19 \pm 5\%$  $(n = 16; P < 0.001)$ , from 219  $\pm$  30% increases in spike frequencies in baseline conditions to  $174 \pm 26\%$  increases in XE991 (Fig. 8*C*). XE991 also reduced the persistence of cholinergic excitatory responses by 29  $\pm$  24% (from 53  $\pm$  8 to 28  $\pm$  7 s) with internal calcium intact ( $P = 0.03$ ), and by  $24 \pm 10\%$  (from 56  $\pm$  7 to 42  $\pm$  9 s) with internal calcium chelated with BAPTA ( $P = 0.06$ ; Fig. 8*C*). The effects of XE991 on cholinergic excitatory responses did not wash out within 15 min. These findings suggest that suppression of the M-current contributes to persistent cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons. However, because the efficacy of XE991 was not enhanced in BAPTA-filled neurons, it is unlikely that calcium-sensitivity of M-current (Selyanko & Brown, 1996) accounts for the larger response amplitudes observed in the presence of BAPTA.

While intracellular calcium signalling may not be required for cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons, mAChR activation promotes calcium influx (Power & Sah, 2005; Dasari *et al*. 2017). To test whether calcium conductances contribute to cholinergic excitation, we used two approaches: blockade of calcium conductances with bath applied cadmium (200  $\mu$ M), and removal of extracellular calcium. Because these manipulations block synaptic transmission, we used focal applications of exogenous ACh in these experiments. Before and after addition of cadmium to the aCSF, we measured ACh responses in CPn neurons filled with either control intracellular solution or a solution containing 10 mm BAPTA (Fig. 9*A*). In the absence of intracellular BAPTA, application of cadmium did not change mean response amplitude (a 140  $\pm$  18% increase in ISF in baseline conditions *vs*. a 152  $\pm$  26% increase in the presence of cadmium;  $n = 14$ ,  $P = 0.61$ , paired Student's t test). However, cadmium application markedly reduced the duration of cholinergic excitation by  $67 \pm 7$ %, from  $56 \pm 7$ to  $14 \pm 1$  s ( $n = 14$ ;  $P < 0.001$ , Student's paired *t* test). With intracellular calcium chelated with BAPTA ( $n = 10$ ), baseline ACh-induced increases in ISF (240  $\pm$  28%) were larger than in control neurons ( $P = 0.005$  *vs.* control



**Figure 6. Persistence of cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons does not result from intrinsic membrane properties or network activity** *A*, voltage response of a CPn neuron to a brief current step (100 pA, 1 s) applied during spontaneous action potential generation driven by suprathreshold DC. *B*, plots of mean ISFs for CPn neurons ( $n = 3$ ) experiencing transient increases in excitatory drive at the indicated intensities. Note that current-induced increases in spike frequency return to baseline levels immediately after cessation of the depolarizing step. *C*, responses to flash-evoked release of endogenous ACh (5 ms) in a CPn neuron in baseline conditions (blue trace, top) and after block of fast synaptic transmission with kynurenate (kyn; 3 mm) and gabazine (gzn; 10 *µ*M; orange trace, bottom). *D*, plots of ISF for a population of CPn neurons ( $n = 11$ ) in baseline conditions (blue) and in the presence of kyn and gzn (orange). *E*, comparisons of the magnitude (top) or duration (bottom) of peak excitation for 11 CPn neurons in baseline conditions and after addition of kyn and gzn. White asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05) from pre-flash firing frequencies.

neurons lacking BAPTA). Application of cadmium to BAPTA-filled CPn neurons led to a  $47 \pm 8\%$  reduction in response amplitude (mean increase of ISF in cadmium was  $135 \pm 26\%$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 9*C*). Cadmium also reduced the duration of cholinergic responses in BAPTA-filled neurons by 59  $\pm$  10%, from 54  $\pm$  11 to 20  $\pm$  6 s (*P* = 0.003). These results suggest ACh activates a calcium-sensitive calcium conductance that contributes to the amplitude and persistence of cholinergic excitatory responses in CPn neurons, and confirm that intracellular calcium signalling has a net effect of suppressing cholinergic excitation.

To further test the role of calcium conductances in mediating cholinergic excitation, we exposed control and BAPTA-filled CPn neurons to ACh before and after exchanging our normal aCSF with nominally calcium-free aCSF containing 3 mM magnesium (Fig. 9*B*).With internal calcium intact, transition to calcium-free aCSF *enhanced* the magnitude of cholinergic responses by  $66 \pm 22\%$ , with peak increases in ISF moving from  $190 \pm 16\%$  in baseline conditions to  $325 \pm 51\%$  above initial firing rates after removal of extracellular calcium ( $n = 17$ ,  $P = 0.006$ , repeated measures ANOVA; Fig. 9*C*), an effect that was reversible (to  $141 \pm 21\%$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) upon reintroduction





of external calcium. Removal of external calcium did not affect the persistence of cholinergic excitation, with duration of enhanced action potential output being similar  $(52 \pm 7 \text{ s} \text{ in baseline conditions } vs. 55 \pm 7 \text{ s} \text{ in the})$ absence of external calcium;  $P = 0.66$ ; Fig. 9*C*). On the other hand, when intracellular calcium was chelated with BAPTA (10 mM), calcium-free aCSF reduced peak increases in ISF by  $42 \pm 8\%$ , from  $169 \pm 25\%$  to  $97 \pm 16\%$  $(n = 13, P = 0.002,$  repeated measures ANOVA; Fig. 9*C*), an effect that was partially reversible (to  $136 \pm 18\%$ ;  $P = 0.032$ ) upon reintroduction of external calcium. Removal of external calcium also reversibly reduced the persistence of cholinergic excitation in BAPTA-filled neurons by  $49 \pm 20\%$ , with duration of enhanced action potential output dropping from  $56 \pm 8$  s in base-

line conditions to 21  $\pm$  6 s in the absence of external calcium ( $P = 0.005$ ), but returning to  $46 \pm 9$  s after reintroduction of calcium (*P* = 0.049 *vs*. calcium-free condition; Fig. 9*C*). These results further suggest that cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons involves activation of a calcium-permeable non-specific cation conductance that, under normal conditions, is negatively regulated by intracellular calcium.

Finally, to test whether this remaining calcium conductance represents a non-specific cation conductance, we measured responses to exogenous ACh in BAPTA-filled CPn neurons before and after application of XE991 (10  $\mu$ M) in aCSF in which the potassium concentration was reduced to 0.5 mM. This treatment, which enhances the driving force for potassium while also blocking the





*A*, voltage responses to focal application of exogenous ACh (100 ms) in a CPn neuron recorded with control internal (left) or 10 mM BAPTA internal solution (right) in baseline conditions (top, blue) and after addition of the KV7 blocker XE911 (10 *µ*M; bottom, pink). *B*, plot of mean ISFs for a population of CPn neurons (control internal,  $n = 11$ , left; 10 mm BAPTA internal,  $n = 16$ , right) in baseline conditions (blue) and after blockade of K<sub>V</sub>7 channels with XE991 (pink). *C*, comparisons of the magnitude (left) and duration (right) of cholinergic responses in baseline conditions and after addition of XE991 in control and BAPTA-filled CPn neurons. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05): white asterisks indicate significant changes from pre-ACh firing frequencies, and black asterisks indicate significant differences between conditions.

M-current, reduced peak excitation by  $46 \pm 10\%$  (from  $179 \pm 34\%$  to  $95 \pm 23\%$ ;  $n = 8$ ,  $P = 0.007$ ; Fig. 9*D*), and response persistence by  $44 \pm 26\%$  (from  $53 \pm 11$ ) to  $+21 \pm 9$  s;  $P = 0.06$ ; Fig. 9*E*). The suppressive effect of XE991 and low extracellular potassium on response amplitude in BAPTA-filled CPn neurons was significantly greater than that of XE991 alone ( $n = 24$ ; *P* = 0.011, Student's *t* test), suggesting that the remaining calcium-permeable conductance (i.e. after blockade of KV7 channels and ADP channels with XE991 and BAPTA, respectively) is a non-specific cation channel with potassium permeability. However, the two treatments (XE991 alone and XE991 with reduced extracellular potassium) had similar effects on the duration of cholinergic responses  $(P = 0.41)$ , indicating that this conductance may be primarily involved in the early part of the response, or that cholinergic suppression of other, non- $K_V$ 7, potassium conductances contributes selectively to response persistence.

Based on our findings above that cholinergic excitation involves both suppression of  $K_V$ 7 conductances and activation of non-specific cation conductances, we next tested for interaction of these mechanisms by challenging cholinergic responses to combined elimination of both components. We found that co-application of XE991 and cadmium triggered spontaneous up–down states in neurons (Fig. 10*A*), making it impossible to establish consistent baseline firing frequencies from which to measure cholinergic responses. However, since blockade of calcium conductances and removal of extracellular calcium resulted in qualitatively similar reductions in cholinergic responses in BAPTA-filled neurons, we measured responses to exogenous ACh in BAPTA-filled CPn neurons before and after co-applying XE991 (10  $\mu$ M)



## **Figure 9. Blockade of inward calcium conductances reduces persistence of cholinergic excitation**

*A* and *B*, plots of mean ISFs over time in populations of neurons exposed to exogenous ACh in baseline (blue) or after manipulation of calcium conductances (dark green, addition of 200 *µ*M cadmium, *A*; light green, transition to calcium-free aSCF, *B*). *C*, comparisons of the peak increase in firing frequency (left) and response duration (right) in CPn neurons recorded with control (i.e. non-BAPTA) intracellular solution, or with 10 mm intracellular BAPTA, before and after addition of cadmium (light green) or removal of external calcium (dark green). *D*, plots of mean ISFs for a population of CPn neurons in baseline conditions (blue) and after adding XE991 (10 *µ*M) in low potassium (0.5 mM) aCSF (purple; *n* = 8). *E*, comparisons of the magnitude (left) and duration (right) of excitatory responses in baseline (BAPTA) conditions and in the presence of XE991 and 0.5 mm external potassium. Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05) between conditions.

and calcium-free aCSF (Fig. 10*B* and *C*). Addition of XE991 in calcium-free aCSF reduced the magnitude of cholinergic excitatory responses by  $71 \pm 6\%$  (from  $258 \pm 38\%$  to 74  $\pm$  19% increases in ISF;  $n = 11, P < 0.001$ , repeated measures ANOVA), an effect that was partially reversible (to  $123 \pm 14\%$ ;  $P = 0.003$ ) after adding back



#### **Figure 10. Cholinergic excitation involves M-current and a calcium conductance**

*A*, voltage response of a CPn neuron to ACh (100 ms) in baseline conditions (10 mm BAPTA internal and XE991, dark blue trace), after addition of cadmium (200  $\mu$ M, green trace) and during wash (light blue trace). Note presence of up–down states upon combination of BAPTA, XE991 and cadmium. *B*, plots of mean ISFs for a population of CPn neurons in baseline conditions and after transition to XE991 (10  $\mu$ M) in calcium-free aCSF ( $n = 11$ ). *C*, comparisons of the magnitude (left) and duration (right) of excitatory responses in baseline (BAPTA) conditions (blue) and in the presence of XE991 and calcium-free aCSF ( $n = 11$ ). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P <* 0.05) between conditions.

external calcium and removing XE991. Application of calcium-free aCSF and XE991 also reduced the duration of excitation by 78  $\pm$  9% (from 75  $\pm$  5 to 15  $\pm$  7 s;  $n=11, P<0.001$ , and this reduction was partially rescued by reintroduction of extracellular calcium (to  $53 \pm 8$  s,  $P = 0.007$ ; Fig. 10*B* and *C*), consistent with our previous findings that the impact of calcium removal, but not XE991, is reversible.

Together, these findings suggest that the M-current and a calcium-permeable non-specific conductance independently and additively contribute to cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons. An additional ionic contributor under normal conditions likely includes the calcium-gated non-specific cation conductance underlying the ADP, which is activated when M1 receptor activation is paired with action potential generation (Haj-Dahmane & Andrade, 1998). However, our finding that chelation of intracellular calcium did not reduce the amplitude of cholinergic excitation suggests that the contribution of the ADP current to excitatory responses must be balanced by calcium-dependent suppression of other conductances (see Discussion, below).

# **Discussion**

A growing appreciation of the diversity of cortical neurons, their selective connectivity, and their differential responsivity to neuromodulatory transmitters is expanding our understanding of cortical circuit function (Dembrow & Johnston, 2014). While pyramidal neurons broadly express postsynaptic M1-subtype mAChRs and are excited by muscarinic agonists (Gulledge *et al*. 2009; Dasari & Gulledge, 2011), recent studies have revealed projection-specific cholinergic signalling in a variety of cortical areas, including prefrontal (Dembrow *et al*. 2010), motor (Hedrick & Waters, 2015) and auditory (Joshi *et al*. 2016) cortices. Our main finding is that transient release of endogenous ACh, as occurs in the mPFC during cue-detection tasks (Parikh *et al*. 2007), selectively and persistently enhances action potential generation in prefrontal CPn neurons. Cholinergic excitation was robust, occurring after even a single ACh-release event, lasted for many tens of seconds, and was mediated by a combination of ionic effectors, including  $K_V$ 7 and at least two non-specific cation conductances. As discussed below, preferential cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons may contribute to circuit-based mechanisms in the mPFC that facilitate attentional processes.

# **Cholinergic responses in cortical projection neurons**

Consistent with broad expression of M1 receptors in pyramidal neurons (Gulledge *et al*. 2009; Dasari & Gulledge, 2011), exogenous ACh generated qualitatively similar responses, including transient inhibition followed

by longer lasting excitation, in CPn and COM neurons in the mPFC. Yet, the overall impact of exogenous ACh on excitability was projection-specific, as COM neurons had longer lasting apamin-sensitive inhibitory responses, while CPn neurons exhibited larger, and longer lasting, excitation. Preferential excitation of CPn neurons was not due to interaction of SK-mediated inhibition, as excitatory responses to exogenous ACh remained larger in CPn neurons when SK channels were blocked, and endogenous ACh release in two different optogenetic mouse models preferentially excited CPn neurons in the absence of cholinergic inhibition. These results are consistent with those of Dembrow *et al*. (2010), who found that tonic mAChR activation with bath-applied agonists preferentially enhanced the excitability of CPn neurons, and suggest that transient release of ACh in the mPFC, as occurs during cue-detection tasks (e.g. Parikh *et al*. 2007), will also preferentially facilitate corticofugal output from the PFC (Fig. 11*A*).

In layer 5 neurons of the motor (Hedrick & Waters, 2015) and auditory (Joshi *et al*. 2016) cortices, endogenous ACh release activates both mAChRs and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) to generate a sundry of postsynaptic responses in pyramidal neurons, ranging from mAChR-mediated inhibition to fast or slow depolarizations mediated by nAChRs and/or mAChRs. We did not observe nAChR-mediated responses in layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the mPFC (see also Porter *et al*. 1999), and responses to both exogenous and endogenous ACh were eliminated in the presence of the muscarinic antagonist atropine. This likely reflects intrinsic differences in nAChR expression in layer 5 neurons across cortical areas (Hedrick & Waters, 2015). Similarly, we did not observe significant SK-mediated inhibition in either neuron subtype following endogenous release of ACh (Hedrick & Waters, 2015; Joshi *et al*. 2016). This could reflect differences in ChR2 delivery (e.g. viral and homozygous Ai32 models *vs*. our heterozygous models) or differences in cholinergic innervation and/or responsivity across cortical areas (Hedrick & Waters, 2015). Still, SK responses to exogenous ACh were larger in COM neurons, a result consistent with the preferential cholinergic inhibition of COM neurons observed in the auditory cortex (Joshi *et al*. 2016). Because both inhibitory and excitatory responses rely on M1 receptors (Gulledge *et al*. 2009), but are reciprocally favoured in COM *vs*. CPn neurons, respectively, across cortical areas (Hedrick & Waters, 2015; Joshi *et al*. 2016), it is likely that cell type specificity in cholinergic actions reflects differences in intracellular signalling cascades and/or subcellular localization of M1 receptors, rather than quantitative differences in receptor expression or cholinergic innervation. Indeed, the localization of Gq-coupled receptors appears to be critical for gating various downstream effectors. For instance, mouse COM

neurons express  $G_q$ -coupled 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors in addition to mAChRs (Avesar & Gulledge, 2012; Stephens *et al*. 2014), but while 5-HT triggers excitatory responses in COM neurons that are similar in magnitude to those evoked by ACh, and appear to be mediated by identical ionic mechanisms (Stephens *et al*. 2018), serotonergic SK-mediated inhibition is absent (Avesar & Gulledge, 2012). Similarly, while expression of melanopsin, a light-activated  $G_q$ -coupled receptor, allows for robust light-evoked SK-mediated inhibition and long-lasting excitation in pyramidal neurons, targeted expression of chimeric melanopsin to cellular subdomains can greatly reduce light-evoked excitation (McGregor *et al*. 2016). Alternatively, differences in cholinergic responses in CPn and COM neurons may reflect cell-type-specific expression of accessory proteins that interact with





*A*, diagram of cholinergic regulation of cortical projection neurons. ACh promotes cortical output to the brainstem by preferentially exciting corticopontine (CPn) neurons relative to commissural/ callosal (COM) neurons. *B*, diagram of three ionic mechanisms contributing to Gq-triggered excitation in CPn neurons: suppression of  $K_V$ 7 channels (M-current), activation of the non-specific cation conductance underlying the calcium-dependent afterdepolarization (ADP), and activation of a calcium-sensitive but calcium-permeable non-specific cation (NSC) conductance.

G-protein-coupled receptors to promote or inhibit specific signalling pathways (Bockaert *et al*. 2010).

# **Optogenetic release of acetylcholine**

Our first optogenetic animal model, the ChAT-ChR2-YFP mouse also used by Joshi*et al*. (2016), has the disadvantage of overexpressing the vesicular ACh transporter (VAChT), potentially leading to larger than normal quantal content in cholinergic vesicles and enhanced 'cholinergic tone' (Kolisnyk *et al*. 2013*b*). Our second model, a cross between ChAT-IRES-Cre mice expressing Cre in cholinergic neurons and Ai32 mice driving Cre-dependent expression of ChR2, has normal VAChT expression, but may drive ChR2 expression in non-cholinergic neurons in a minority of mice (Hedrick *et al*. 2016). Our results were consistent across both animal models in revealing preferential excitation of prefrontal CPn neurons by endogenous ACh. Since light-evoked responses were eliminated by atropine, but not by blockers of glutamate and GABA receptors, they cannot be attributed to co-transmission of glutamate (Allen *et al*. 2006) or optical activation of non-cholinergic neurons (Hedrick *et al*. 2016), but instead appear to result from activation of postsynaptic mAChRs. This conclusion is consistent with results from both Dembrow *et al*. (2010) and Joshi *et al*. (2016), and suggests a generalized role for muscarinic receptor signalling in amplifying corticofugal output throughout the neocortex.

#### **Persistent cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons**

Tonic activation of mAChRs promotes persistent spontaneous action potential generation in pyramidal neurons (Andrade, 1991; Egorov *et al*. 2002; Gulledge *et al*. 2009; Dembrow *et al*. 2010; Rahman & Berger, 2011). Similarly, transient release of endogenous ACh in motor (Hedrick & Waters, 2015) and sensory (Joshi *et al*. 2016) cortices can lead to persistent action potential generation when neurons are depolarized close to, or beyond, action potential threshold. Our results from experiments using two different optogenetic models for endogenous ACh release are consistent with those of Joshi *et al*. (2016) in that persistent cholinergic excitation depended purely on mAChR activation, lasted for many tens of seconds and occurred preferentially in corticofugal projection neurons.

At least three distinct mechanisms likely contribute to persistent muscarinic excitation of CPn neurons in the mPFC: inhibition of  $K_V$ 7 channels mediating the M-current and activation of two non-specific cation conductances, including the calcium-gated conductance underlying the ADP and another calcium-permeable non-specific cation conductance (Fig. 11*B*). Cholinergic ADPs following bursts of action potentials are gated by calcium influx during spike trains (Andrade, 1991; Haj-Dahmane & Andrade, 1998; Yan *et al*. 2009; Dasari *et al*. 2013), and therefore likely contribute to sustaining cholinergic excitation during ongoing action potential genesis. Yet, we found muscarinic excitation of layer 5 neurons to be unaffected, or enhanced, after chelation of intracellular calcium (see Fig. 7). Such calcium-independent muscarinic excitation has been observed in pyramidal neurons in several cortical areas (Guerineau *et al*. 1995; Haj-Dahmane & Andrade, 1996; Shalinsky *et al*. 2002; Egorov *et al*. 2003) and likely reflects a combination of cholinergic inhibition of  $K_V$ 7 channels and activation of an additional calcium-permeable non-specific cation conductance that may itself be inhibited by intracellular calcium (Magistretti *et al*. 2004). Unfortunately, we were not able to determine the relative contributions of the ADP and calcium-permeable conductances in control conditions, as manipulations of one conductance also affected the other. For instance, inclusion of intracellular BAPTA to block the ADP also enhanced the calcium-permeable conductance. Similarly, addition of cadmium or removal of extracellular calcium to manipulate the calcium conductance also eliminated the calcium-dependent ADP. One intriguing idea is that these two conductances are balanced such that cholinergic excitation remains robust regardless of the state of intracellular calcium. This would explain the modest effect of intracellular BAPTA on response amplitudes. Further, the intrinsic negative feedback of the calcium-sensitive calcium conductance provides a mechanism to stabilize intracellular calcium levels, which may contribute to calcium store refilling after Gq-triggered calcium release events (Dasari *et al*. 2017).

With intracellular calcium signalling blocked with BAPTA (i.e. in the absence of the calcium-gated ADP conductance and SK-channel-mediated inhibition), we found cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons to be independently sensitive to blockade of  $K_V$ 7 channels  $(\sim]20-25\%$  reductions in amplitude and duration), and blockade of calcium conductances with cadmium  $(\sim 50$ to 60% reductions) or removal of external calcium ( $\sim$ 40 to 50% reductions). These effects were additive, such that the combined removal of calcium and addition of XE991 generated a  $\sim$  70% decrease in peak cholinergic excitation and  $\sim$ 80% reduction in response duration when BAPTA was included in patch pipettes. The residual excitation occurring in the absence of M-current and extracellular calcium may result from incomplete blockade of channels, or the presence of additional ionic effectors.

# **Functional implications of selective cholinergic excitation of CPn neurons**

Two forms of attention, stimulus-driven ('bottom-up') and goal-oriented ('top-down') attention are differentiated based on whether attention is triggered by salient external stimuli (bottom-up) or by internal expectation of stimuli (top-down; Pinto *et al*. 2013). Although muscarinic signalling in the cortex is broadly associated with top-down attentional mechanisms (McGaughy *et al*. 2002; Herrero *et al*. 2008; Newman & McGaughy, 2008), transient rises of ACh in the mPFC gate bottom-up attention during cue detection tasks in which rodents orient toward salient stimuli predicting food reward (Parikh *et al*. 2007; Gritton *et al*. 2016). As CPn output drives cortical–cerebellar motor circuits, it is likely that cholinergic activation of CPn neurons during cue-detection tasks contributes to initiation of cue-evoked behaviour. Consistent with this, the timing of cholinergic transients in the mPFC of rats is highly correlated with the initiation of cue-evoked behaviours (Parikh *et al*. 2007). More speculatively, it is possible that cholinergic excitation of prefrontal CPn neurons also acts to couple bottom-up and top-down attentional mechanisms in two ways. First, axon collaterals of layer 5 pyramidal neurons targeting the basal forebrain may promote ACh release in other cortical areas (Gielow & Zaborszky, 2017), as activation of the PFC, including via mAChR stimulation, is sufficient to induce ACh release in the parietal cortex (Nelson *et al*. 2005) and is necessary for sensory-evoked ACh release in primary sensory areas (Rasmusson *et al*. 2007). Second, intracortical CPn axon collaterals contribute to top-down feedback projections within cortical hierarchies (Ueta *et al*. 2013; Ueta *et al*. 2014) to provide prospective information to lower order cortical areas (Larkum, 2013). Thus, cue-driven muscarinic activation of prefrontal CPn neurons may promote top-down attentional processing by stimulating cortical release of ACh in relevant cortical target areas while also providing feedback corticocortical glutamatergic drive to guide attention toward relevant environmental stimuli. These hypotheses are testable by identifying the subtype(s) of cortical neurons that innervate cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain, and by manipulating feedback corticocortical communication during attentional tasks *in vivo*.

# **References**

- Allen TG, Abogadie FC & Brown DA (2006). Simultaneous release of glutamate and acetylcholine from single magnocellular "cholinergic" basal forebrain neurons. *J Neurosci* **26**, 1588–1595.
- Andrade R (1991). Cell excitation enhances muscarinic cholinergic responses in rat association cortex. *Brain Res* **548**, 81–93.
- Avesar D & Gulledge AT (2012). Selective serotonergic excitation of callosal projection neurons. *Front Neural Circuits* **6**, 12.
- Bentley P, Husain M & Dolan RJ (2004). Effects of cholinergic enhancement on visual stimulation, spatial attention, and spatial working memory. *Neuron* **41**, 969–982.
- Bockaert J, Perroy J, Becamel C, Marin P & Fagni L (2010). GPCR interacting proteins (GIPs) in the nervous system: Roles in physiology and pathologies. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **50**, 89–109.
- Dalley JW, Theobald DE, Bouger P, Chudasama Y, Cardinal RN & Robbins TW (2004). Cortical cholinergic function and deficits in visual attentional performance in rats following 192 IgG-saporin-induced lesions of the medial prefrontal cortex. *Cereb Cortex* **14**, 922–932.
- Dasari S, Abramowitz J, Birnbaumer L & Gulledge AT (2013). Do canonical transient receptor potential channels mediate cholinergic excitation of cortical pyramidal neurons? *Neuroreport* **24**, 550–554.
- Dasari S & Gulledge AT (2011). M1 and M4 receptors modulate hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **105**, 779–792.
- Dasari S, Hill C & Gulledge AT (2017). A unifying hypothesis for M1 muscarinic receptor signalling in pyramidal neurons. *J Physiol* **595**, 1711–1723.
- Delmas P, Padilla F, Osorio N, Coste B, Raoux M & Crest M (2004). Polycystins, calcium signaling, and human diseases. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **322**, 1374–1383.
- Dembrow N & Johnston D (2014). Subcircuit-specific neuromodulation in the prefrontal cortex. *Front Neural Circuits* **8**, 54.
- Dembrow NC, Chitwood RA & Johnston D (2010). Projectionspecific neuromodulation of medial prefrontal cortex neurons. *J Neurosci* **30**, 16922–16937.
- Egorov AV, Angelova PR, Heinemann U & Müller W (2003).  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -independent muscarinic excitation of rat medial entorhinal cortex layer V neurons. *Eur J Neurosci* **18**, 3343–3351.
- Egorov AV, Hamam BN, Fransen E, Hasselmo ME & Alonso AA (2002). Graded persistent activity in entorhinal cortex neurons. *Nature* **420**, 173–178.
- Gee S, Ellwood I, Patel T, Luongo F, Deisseroth K & Sohal VS (2012). Synaptic activity unmasks dopamine D2 receptor modulation of a specific class of layer V pyramidal neurons in prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci* **32**, 4959–4971.
- Gielow MR & Zaborszky L (2017). The input-output relationship of the cholinergic basal forebrain. *Cell Rep* **18**, 1817–1830.
- Gritton HJ, Howe WM, Mallory CS, Hetrick VL, Berke JD & Sarter M (2016). Cortical cholinergic signaling controls the detection of cues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **113**, E1089–E1097.
- Grundy D (2015). Principles and standards for reporting animal experiments in *The Journal of Physiology* and *Experimental Physiology*. *J Physiol* **593**, 2547–2549.
- Guerineau NC, Bossu JL, Gahwiler BH & Gerber U (1995). Activation of a nonselective cationic conductance by metabotropic glutamatergic and muscarinic agonists in CA3 pyramidal neurons of the rat hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **15**, 4395–4407.
- Gulledge AT, Bucci DJ, Zhang SS, Matsui M & Yeh HH (2009). M1 receptors mediate cholinergic modulation of excitability in neocortical pyramidal neurons. *J Neurosci* **29**, 9888–9902.
- Gulledge AT & Stuart GJ (2005). Cholinergic inhibition of neocortical pyramidal neurons. *J Neurosci* **25**, 10308–10320.

Haj-Dahmane S & Andrade R (1996). Muscarinic activation of a voltage-dependent cation nonselective current in rat association cortex. *J Neurosci* **16**, 3848–3861.

Haj-Dahmane S & Andrade R (1998). Ionic mechanism of the slow afterdepolarization induced by muscarinic receptor activation in rat prefrontal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* **80**, 1197–1210.

Hattox AM & Nelson SB (2007). Layer V neurons in mouse cortex projecting to different targets have distinct physiological properties. *J Neurophysiol* **98**, 3330–3340.

Hedrick T, Danskin B, Larsen RS, Ollerenshaw D, Groblewski P, Valley M, Olsen S & Waters J (2016). Characterization of channelrhodopsin and archaerhodopsin in cholinergic neurons of cre-lox transgenic mice. *PLoS One* **11**, e0156596.

Hedrick T & Waters J (2015). Acetylcholine excites neocortical pyramidal neurons via nicotinic receptors. *J Neurophysiol* **113**, 2195–2209.

Herrero JL, Roberts MJ, Delicato LS, Gieselmann MA, Dayan P & Thiele A (2008). Acetylcholine contributes through muscarinic receptors to attentional modulation in V1. *Nature* **454**, 1110–1114.

Joshi A, Kalappa BI, Anderson CT & Tzounopoulos T (2016). Cell-specific cholinergic modulation of excitability of layer 5B principal neurons in mouse auditory cortex. *J Neurosci* **36**, 8487–8499.

Klinkenberg I, Sambeth A & Blokland A (2011). Acetylcholine and attention. *Behav Brain Res* **221**, 430–442.

Kolisnyk B, Al-Onaizi MA, Hirata PH, Guzman MS, Nikolova S, Barbash S, Soreq H, Bartha R, Prado MA & Prado VF (2013*a*). Forebrain deletion of the vesicular acetylcholine transporter results in deficits in executive function, metabolic, and RNA splicing abnormalities in the prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci* **33**, 14908–14920.

Kolisnyk B, Guzman MS, Raulic S, Fan J, Magalhaes AC, Feng G, Gros R, Prado VF & Prado MA (2013*b*). ChAT-ChR2-EYFP mice have enhanced motor endurance but show deficits in attention and several additional cognitive domains. *J Neurosci* **33**, 10427–10438.

Lange HS, Cannon CE, Drott JT, Kuduk SD & Uslaner JM (2015). The M1 muscarinic positive allosteric modulator PQCA improves performance on translatable tests of memory and attention in rhesus monkeys. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **355**, 442–450.

Larkum M (2013). A cellular mechanism for cortical associations: an organizing principle for the cerebral cortex. *Trends Neurosci* **36**, 141–151.

Magistretti J, Ma L, Shalinsky MH, Lin W, Klink R & Alonso A (2004). Spike patterning by  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent regulation of a muscarinic cation current in entorhinal cortex layer II neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **92**, 1644–1657.

McGaughy J, Dalley JW, Morrison CH, Everitt BJ & Robbins TW (2002). Selective behavioral and neurochemical effects of cholinergic lesions produced by intrabasalis infusions of 192 IgG-saporin on attentional performance in a five-choice serial reaction time task. *J Neurosci* **22**, 1905–1913.

McGaughy J, Kaiser T & Sarter M (1996). Behavioral vigilance following infusions of 192 IgG-saporin into the basal forebrain: selectivity of the behavioral impairment and relation to cortical AChE-positive fiber density. *Behav Neurosci* **110**, 247–265.

McGregor KM, Becamel C, Marin P & Andrade R (2016). Using melanopsin to study G protein signaling in cortical neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **116**, 1082–1092.

Morishima M & Kawaguchi Y (2006). Recurrent connection patterns of corticostriatal pyramidal cells in frontal cortex. *J Neurosci* **26**, 4394–4405.

Nelson CL, Sarter M & Bruno JP (2005). Prefrontal cortical modulation of acetylcholine release in posterior parietal cortex. *Neuroscience* **132**, 347–359.

Newman LA & McGaughy J (2008). Cholinergic deafferentation of prefrontal cortex increases sensitivity to cross-modal distractors during a sustained attention task. *J Neurosci* **28**, 2642–2650.

Parikh V, Kozak R, Martinez V & Sarter M (2007). Prefrontal acetylcholine release controls cue detection on multiple timescales. *Neuron* **56**, 141–154.

Paxinos G & Franklin KBJ (2004). *The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. Academic Press, San Diego.

Pinto Y, van der Leij AR, Sligte IG, Lamme VA & Scholte HS (2013). Bottom-up and top-down attention are independent. *J Vis* **13**, 16.

Porter JT, Cauli B, Tsuzuki K, Lambolez B, Rossier J & Audinat E (1999). Selective excitation of subtypes of neocortical interneurons by nicotinic receptors. *J Neurosci* **19**, 5228–5235.

Power JM & Sah P (2005). Intracellular calcium store filling by an L-type calcium current in the basolateral amygdala at subthreshold membrane potentials. *J Physiol* **562**, 439–453.

Rahman J & Berger T (2011). Persistent activity in layer 5 pyramidal neurons following cholinergic activation of mouse primary cortices. *Eur J Neurosci* **34**, 22–30.

Rasmusson DD, Smith SA & Semba K (2007). Inactivation of prefrontal cortex abolishes cortical acetylcholine release evoked by sensory or sensory pathway stimulation in the rat. *Neuroscience* **149**, 232–241.

Sarter M, Lustig C, Berry AS, Gritton H, Howe WM & Parikh V (2016). What do phasic cholinergic signals do? *Neurobiol Learn Mem* **130**, 135–141.

Selyanko AA & Brown DA (1996). Regulation of M-type potassium channels in mammalian sympathetic neurons: action of intracellular calcium on single channel currents. *Neuropharmacology* **35**, 933–947.

Seong HJ & Carter AG (2012). D1 receptor modulation of action potential firing in a subpopulation of layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci* **32**, 10516–10521.

Shalinsky MH, Magistretti J, Ma L & Alonso AA (2002). Muscarinic activation of a cation current and associated current noise in entorhinal-cortex layer-II neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **88**, 1197–1211.

Stephens EK, Avesar D & Gulledge AT (2014). Activitydependent serotonergic excitation of callosal projection neurons in the mouse prefrontal cortex. *Front Neural Circuits* **8**, 97.

Stephens EK, Baker AL & Gulledge AT (2018). Mechanisms underlying serotonergic excitation of callosal projection neurons in the mouse medial prefrontal cortex. *Front Neural Circuits* **12**, 2.

Ueta Y, Hirai Y, Otsuka T & Kawaguchi Y (2013). Directionand distance-dependent interareal connectivity of pyramidal cell subpopulations in the rat frontal cortex. *Front Neural Circuits* **7**, 164.

Ueta Y, Otsuka T, Morishima M, Ushimaru M & Kawaguchi Y (2014). Multiple layer 5 pyramidal cell subtypes relay cortical feedback from secondary to primary motor areas in rats. *Cereb Cortex* **24**, 2362–2376.

Yan HD, Villalobos C & Andrade R (2009). TRPC Channels mediate a muscarinic receptor-induced afterdepolarization in cerebral cortex. *J Neurosci* **29**, 10038–10046.

# **Additional information**

# **Competing interests**

The authors affirm that they have no conflicts of interest.

# **Author contributions**

A.T.G conceived the project. A.T.G. and A.L.B. designed the experiments. A.T.G., A.L.B. and R.J.O. contributed to data collection, analysis and interpretation. A.T.G. and A.L.B. wrote the manuscript, which was edited by all authors. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All persons designated as authors qualify for authorship, and all those who qualify for authorship are listed.

# **Funding**

This work was supported by the National Institute for Mental Health (R01 MH099054 to A.T.G.) and a Frank and Myra Weiser Scholar Award to A.T.G.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank Saiko Ikeda for technical assistance, and Emily Stephens and Farran Briggs for discussions.

# **Note**

This article was first published as a preprint: Arielle L. Baker, Ryan J. O'Toole, Allan T. Gulledge (2017). Preferential cholinergic excitation of corticopontine neurons. bioRxiv 182667. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/182667.](https://doi.org/10.1101/182667)