

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Prev Sci.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Prev Sci. 2020 January ; 21(Suppl 1): 13–21. doi:10.1007/s11121-017-0850-9.

The Imperative for Research to Promote Health Equity in Indigenous Communities

Linda R. Stanley¹, Randall C. Swaim¹, Joseph Keawe'aimoku Kaholokula², Kathleen J. Kelly³, Annie Belcourt⁴, James Allen⁵

¹Tri-Ethnic Center for Prevention Research, Colorado State University Sage Hall, 1879 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1879

²Department of Native Hawaiian Health, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawai'i at M noa, Honolulu, HI 96813

³Department of Marketing, Colorado State University, 1278 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, CO 80523

⁴School of Public and Community Health Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812

⁵Department of Biobehavioral Health and Population Sciences, University of Minnesota-Duluth, Duluth, MN 55812

Abstract

Health disparities exact a devastating toll upon Indigenous people in the United States. However, there has been scant research investment to develop strategies to address these inequities in Indigenous health. We present a case for increased health promotion, prevention, and treatment research with Indigenous populations. providing context to the recent NIH investment in the Intervention Research to Improve Native American Health (IRINAH) network. We discuss the disproportionate costs and consequences of disparities borne by Indigenous groups, the limited evidence base on effective intervention for this population, how population uniqueness often makes transfer of existing intervention models difficult, and additional challenges in creating interventions for Indigenous settings. Given the history of colonial disruption that has included genocide, forced removal from lands, damaging federal, state and local policies and practices, environmental contamination, and most recently, climate change, we conclude research that moves beyond minor transformations of existing majority population focused interventions, but instead truly respects Indigenous wisdom, knowledge, traditions, and aspirations is needed, and that investment in intervention science to address Indigenous health disparities represent a moral imperative.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Corresponding Author Information: Linda R. Stanley, PhD, Linda.stanley@colostate.edu.

Compliance with Ethical Standards: Research involving human participants or animals. No research involving human participants or animals was conducted in the preparation of this article.

Keywords

Indigenous populations; American Indian; Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian; intervention research; health disparities

Reduction of persistent health disparities has consistently emerged as a health priority over the past several decades. Yet progress in narrowing these disparities has proved disappointing (Sondik, Huang, Klein, & Satcher, 2010). Nowhere is this failure more evident than for the Indigenous peoples of the U.S., which include American Indian (AI), Alaska Native (AN), and Native Hawaiian (NH) populations¹. Persistent health disparities in these populations are well-documented and substantial, and these disparities have increased in the past decade (Sequist, 2017). These disparities emerge across numerous indicators that include life expectancy, disease morbidity, chronic disease risk factors, and quality of life. Life expectancy is 4.4 years lower than the U.S. general population (Indian Health Services, 2016a), and infant mortality rates are 50% - 60% higher compared to non-Hispanic whites (Mathews, MacDorman, & Thoma, 2015). Indigenous adults have over twice the rate of diabetes as non-Hispanic whites (Blackwell & Lucas, 2015), Indigenous adolescents report the highest levels of substance use compared to other U.S. youth (Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, 2014), and age 15-24 suicide rate for AIAN youth is four times that of non-Hispanic white youth (Indian Health Services, 2015).

Although Indigenous health disparities are increasing, there is consistent evidence that health promotion, prevention, and treatment interventions can play an important role in reducing disparities (Chin, Walters, Cook, & Huang, 2007). Given limited research resources and the small proportion of the general population represented by Indigenous peoples, intervention science investment in Indigenous groups has historically been overlooked. We make the case here for the imperative of increased health promotion, prevention, and treatment research (hereafter, intervention research) for Indigenous populations. To do so, we describe the disproportionate health-related economic, social, and developmental costs borne by Indigenous communities and the limited intervention science response. We overview challenges to traditional intervention science posed by ethical abuses and mistrust of research, tribal sovereignty, cultural and historical uniqueness, and diversity across Indigenous populations. We conclude with recommendations for a methodological pluralism that respects both scientific and indigenous "ways of knowing", and for an intervention science that aspires beyond repetition of minor transformations of existing majority-focused intervention methods, and instead, represents true efforts of inclusion and respect for Indigenous wisdom, knowledge, and traditions.

Without minimizing the extent of the challenges facing many Indigenous communities, it would be remiss to not also note that, co-existing with these disparities, is a rich tapestry of

¹The focus of this paper is on the Indigenous populations of the United States. The National Instutues of Health (NIH) Intervention Research to Improve Native American Health (IRINAH) program funds projects with American Indian (AI), Alaska Native (AN), and Native Hawaiian (NH) groups. Much of the research on Indigenous people in the U.S. includes data for only AI and AN people, in part, due to delayed recognition of status for NH people. Because of this, where data combines all three populations, we refer to Indigenous peoples. Where data combines AI and AN peoples, we refer to AIAN, and where data is for one group, we refer to that group.

one and cultural values that are

strengths embedded within deeply-held Indigenous traditions and cultural values that are in many ways distinctive in the U.S. These enduring strengths and resultant community resilience provide building blocks for cultural approaches to intervention that drive important advances in intervention science. This represents an important parallel story to this article that is told through companion pieces of this supplemental issue.

The Toll of Health Disparities on Indigenous Populations in the United States

A health disparity is "a particular type of health difference that is closely linked with social, or economic disadvantage," while health equity "entails special efforts to improve the health of those who have experienced social or economic disadvantage" (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2008, p. 28). The disparities literature abounds with discussion of health disparities among Indigenous populations; nearly every epidemiologic or etiologic article reviews evidence for the disparity under study. However, the significant economic and biopsychosocial consequences associated with these disparities are less often discussed.

Economic Costs of Health Disparities

Health disparities exact tremendous excess economic costs upon U.S. ethnic minority populations. LaVeist, Gaskin, and Richard (2011) estimated that approximately \$230 billion (2008 dollars) in direct medical care expenditures and over \$1 trillion in indirect costs (representing lost productivity and value of life from premature death) could have been prevented during 2003 – 2006 through elimination of African-American, Hispanic/Latino, and Asian health disparities. This study did not estimate excess economic costs for Indigenous populations, but they are also substantial, especially given the increased magnitude of Indigenous disparities for such conditions as diabetes, obesity, and mental health disorders. Indeed, comparison of the LaVeist et al. African-American health outcomes with corresponding Indigenous outcomes (National Center for Health Statistics, 2016) reveals similarities for some outcomes, including general health status, presence of functional limitation, and incidence of at least one chronic health condition, and higher Indigenous rates for others.

Extrapolating the LaVeist expenditure model for per capita African-American annual excess medical costs to 2015 Indigenous population estimates, then adjusting to 2016 dollars using the medical care Consumer Price Index, provides a first approximation in the literature of excess annual medical costs resulting from U.S. Indigenous health disparities. For the population self-identifying as AI, AN, or NH as race or as one of two races, the estimated excess annual medical costs are just over \$6.6 billion. To give perspective, the entire 2016 Indian Health Service (IHS) budget was \$6.4 billion (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2016).

LaVeist et al. (2011) estimated indirect costs for African Americans as more than 5 times and for Hispanic/Latinos as 2.5 - 3 times greater than direct excess medical costs. Applying these ratios to Indigenous excess medical costs gives an estimate of indirect annual costs of health disparities borne by U.S. Indigenous populations of \$16.6 - \$33.2 billion (2016

dollars). Summing estimates of direct and indirect costs yields excess economic costs of \$23.2 - \$39.8 billion due to Indigenous health disparities.

These cost estimates do not include substance use and mental health treatment for conditions that impact Indigenous populations disproportionately (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012). For example, cost data from McFarland, Gabriel, Bigelow, and Walker (2006) allows a conservative calculation of annual excess direct costs of treatment for AIAN substance use disparities of approximately \$531 million (2016 dollars), with corresponding indirect costs of \$1.3 billion - \$2.7 billion. The magnitude of these estimates indicates the scale of these disparities, and more importantly, indicates opportunity for significant reductions in economic costs through investment in research on intervention.

Consequences of Adverse Experience, Trauma, and Loss

Indigenous families often experience multiple occurrence and high levels of trauma and loss exposure, due in large part to increased prevalence of physical disease and mental health disorders, including substance use disorder (Beals et al., 2012; Kaholokula, 2007; Sarche & Spicer, 2008; Whitbeck, Walls, Johnson, Morrisseau, & McDougall, 2009). Brave Heart and Chase (2014) note that many Lakota community members feel in a state of constant mourning, and they provide the example of one individual who in a 2-month period suffered five family members killed by a drunk driver, death of a diabetic relative, suicide of a cousin, and death of a relative by heart attack. Chronic stress associated with histories of repeated trauma cause immediate suffering and distress, negative mental and physical health outcomes (Kaholokula et al., 2012; van der Kolk, Roth, Pelcovitz, Sunday, & Spinazzola, 2005), and epigenetic modification (Ehlert, 2013), including life-long over-activation of the stress/response system (Brockie, Heinzelmann, & Gill, 2013).

Nowhere are the negative impacts of health disparities more evident than with Indigenous children who directly experience and are bystanders to high rates of trauma and loss, often associated with suicide, accidents, violence, and substance abuse (Brockie, Heinzelmann, & Gill, 2013; Sarche & Spicer, 2008). The effects of trauma are often compounded through the interconnectedness of tribal communities. A growing body of evidence has established adverse childhood experiences (ACE), including childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction, result in greater likelihood of lifetime psychiatric and addictive disorders (Anda et al., 2006; Dube, Anda, Felitti, Edwards, & Croft, 2002; Koss et al., 2003; Libby et al., 2005), chronic disease (Felitti et al., 1998), and legal, vocational, and family problems (Cook et al., 2005) and "leave a lasting signature on the genetic predispositions that affect emerging brain architecture and long-term health" (Shonkoff et al., 2012, p. e232). When caregivers of youth also experience high rates of trauma, loss, and physical and mental health issues, there is less chance for affected youth to have a healthy response and resolution to their own experiences.

Studies have also found an association between ethnic discrimination, as a chronic social stressor, and a variety of self-reported physical health indicators and chronic diseases, such as diabetes and heart disease (Kaholokula, 2016; Paradies, et al., 2013). Chronic activation of the physiological stress response system can lead to abnormalities of the immune, neuroendocrine, and cardiovascular systems, increasing risk for disease or adverse medical

outcomes. Paradies et al. (2013) suggest that the adverse health effects of ethnic discrimination are mediated through psychological distress and coping mechanisms.

The cycle of trauma, stress, and ACE among many Indigenous populations makes intervention to address significant health disparities more challenging. This renders intervention research in these populations even more critical, as their baseline psychological and physical statuses are likely to be significantly different from those in the general population where existing evidence-based interventions have been evaluated. Further underscoring each of these factors is the mistrust of research and researchers by many Indigenous peoples, rooted in past ethical abuses (Pacheco et al., 2013).

The Limited Evidence Base of Intervention Science with Indigenous Groups

The extent of health disparities in Indigenous populations and their associated human and economic costs clearly warrant increased efforts to develop, implement, test, and document the effectiveness of interventions to address health inequity. Yet to date, the evidence on which to base effective intervention is limited. Three areas of intervention science that provide examples of this are mental health, environmental health, and multilevel intervention.

Mental Health Intervention

Gone and Trimble (2012) note over 100 psychosocial treatments have been designated as evidence-based practices (EBPs) for mental health disorders, yet ethnic minorities are underrepresented in the supporting efficacy studies. In a review serving as a basis for developing treatment guidelines for four major mental health disorders (Miranda et al., 2005), the pool of 9,266 research participants across multiple outcome studies included just 671 patients of color, none of whom were Indigenous participants. A review of depression interventions specifically developed for ethnic minority older adults identified seven intervention studies, none of which included Indigenous participants (Fuentes & Aranda, 2012). Clifford, Doran, and Tsey's (2013) systematic review of suicide prevention interventions for Indigenous peoples in New Zealand, Australia, Canada, and the U.S. found only nine evaluations of suicide intervention effectiveness. They concluded that there is urgent need for increased rigorous evaluation of suicide prevention interventions, and in particular, of interventions that are culture-specific or that tailor EBPs to Indigenous communities. Gone and Alcantara (2007) conducted a similar review of the AIAN mental health intervention outcome literature. Finding only nine studies that evaluated outcomes, they concluded that additional investment in both efficacy and effectiveness studies of Native-specific clinical interventions are needed, in addition to clear recognition that mental health treatment and EBPs may look very different in Native communities.

Environmental Health

Indigenous communities collectively experience elevated exposure to multifaceted environmental risk factors that constitute a significant social determinant of health disparities (Bienkowski, 2012; Burger & Gochfeld, 2011; McOliver et al., 2015). These environmental exposures include indoor air pollution related to wood stove use or urban

relocation; air and water pollution associated with hydraulic fracturing and oil/gas exploration; groundwater heavy metal pollution in areas near historical mines; and, for coastal Indigenous communities, emergent issues associated with industrial development and climate change that carry biological and chemical risk (Moore-Nall, 2015; Wildcat, 2013). Yet limited health intervention research to reduce these exposures has been conducted among Indigenous populations, and often basic data on environmental exposures and associated health outcomes is lacking (Burger & Gochfeld, 2011). Such data is needed to

test the efficacy of interventions aimed at directly improving environmental health for Indigenous communities. Furthermore, little research has engaged Indigenous communities in the discourse surrounding these health risks and the development of potential interventions (Hoover et al., 2012; McOliver et al., 2015).

Multilevel Intervention

Health disparities arise through a complex interaction of factors at different socioenvironmental levels that include the individual, family, community, and society. Multilevel interventions that target outcomes and intervene at these different levels can be particularly crucial in reducing health disparities. Indigenous communities share structural factors common to many disparity groups such as limited access to services and healthy food, poverty, and lower education, as well as unique social determinants, such as family disruption associated with forced boarding school placements and exemption from national and state health policies, such as smoking bans. Yet, efforts to develop multilevel interventions specific to Indigenous populations are limited (Gittelsohn et al., 2014; Jernigan, D'Amico, Hearod, Buchwald, & Duran, 2017), and existing research on the impact of multiple levels of intervention in reducing racial and ethnic disparities in health-care access, treatment quality, and outcomes is largely limited to African-American and Hispanic populations (Gorin, Badr, Krebs, & Prabhu Das, 2012).

Unique Factors in Conducting Intervention Research with AIAN/NH Populations

One approach to addressing disparities among Indigenous populations has been to utilize existing EBPs and transfer them to Native populations. However, intervention validity is contingent upon the characteristics of the sample in which it was tested, and most intervention study participants are non-Hispanic Whites, often of higher socioeconomic status and differing baseline psychological and physical statuses, making generalizability to other populations unclear (Chin et al., 2007; Konkel, 2015; Fuentes & Aranda, 2012). This may be particularly the case for Indigenous populations given their unique status on a number of dimensions. In this section, we briefly present selected salient examples; other papers in this issue will further discuss these characteristics and their implications for health intervention research.

Cultural Uniqueness

Anthropologic investigation highlights the diverse ways cultural groups understand illness, healing and beliefs, and integrate perceptions of illness into their societies (Langdon & Wiik, 2010). Culturally-situated concepts of illness, risk, and health impact health behavior in

significant ways that can make direct transfer of interventions across cultures problematic. Many Indigenous peoples believe that ill health results from not living in harmony or being out of balance with spiritual, emotional, biological and social domains (Yukovich and Lattergrass, 2008). They desire elements of traditional healing in addition to, or as alternative to, Western biomedical treatments (Koepke, 2012), and spiritual treatments are often an integral part of Indigenous health promotion and healing (Koithan and Farrell, 2010). For these and other reasons, Indigenous peoples can be reluctant to accept interventions developed in other populations that do not reflect their cultural contexts and experiences.

An additional cultural issue can arise when what is considered a legitimate way of knowing by research communities is in conflict with an Indigenous way of knowing. Indigenous knowledge systems have been historically marginalized by health researchers (Cochran et al., 2008). Only recently has traditional Indigenous knowledge been recognized as providing valuable information in understanding critical culturally-situated concepts of health and illness.

The cultural uniqueness of Indigenous peoples surfaces in the lack of validated measures, and in particular, those that incorporate important cultural beliefs and practices. As one basic example, outcomes for interventions to promote healthy eating and physical activity may not measure consumption of traditional foods, such as elk, bison, salmon, taro, and berries, nor the physical activity performed to obtain these foods, which may have additional spiritual, medicinal, and social value important to health-related quality of life. Western measures of social, emotional, and psychological constructs may be limited in their ability to fully capture the quality, essence, and range of these constructs as understood and experienced by non-Western cultures (Novins, King, & Stone, 2004). Furthermore, the very measures used to document the level of Indigenous health disparities may be of questionable validity (Moy, Smith, Johansson, & Andrews, 2006.

Historical Uniqueness

Intervention research with Indigenous populations shares challenges with research in other populations that have experienced a history of poverty, oppression, disempowerment, and limited financial, physical, and social capital (Rosenthal & Khalil, 2010). However, there is an Indigenous history of colonization, genocide, forced removal from lands, and attempts to deny and abolish cultural traditions, including language and healing practices, that is distinctive. This history is both an important contributor to a host of Indigenous health inequities and to distrust of outside research and researchers (McOliver et al., 2015). Trust is an essential component of effective health intervention, yet Indigenous populations report lower levels of trust in the medical care system as compared to ethnic majority populations (Guadagnolo et al., 2009; Kaholokula, Saito, Mau, Latimer, & Seto, 2008). One study reported older AIs having trust in their individual provider, yet less trust in their healthcare institution, with higher levels of cultural identification associated with higher level of distrust (Simonds, Goins, Krantz, & Garroutte, 2014). While this distrust may date back to a long history of mistreatment of Indigenous people, there are ongoing perceptions of discrimination persisting within the healthcare system (Gonzales, Lambert, Rongwei, Jacob,

& Harding, 2014) and inadequate cultural competence among systems and providers (Kropp, Lilleskov, Richards, Somoza, 2014) that present important challenges in implementation of interventions and intervention research.

Tribal Governance and Sovereignty

Indigenous populations of the U.S. are unique by virtue of tribal sovereignty and government to government relationships. Currently, 567 tribal nations, bands, pueblos, communities, and Native villages are recognized as sovereign governments (National Congress of American Indians, 2017). Of these, 229 tribes are located in Alaska, with the remainder located in 34 other states. There are additionally over 50 tribes recognized by individual states (National Center for Health Statistics, 2016) along with several additional Indigenous groups, such as Native Hawaiians, seeking recognition. Health research can be hampered when researchers or health intervention planners are unfamiliar with local tribal policies and processes and with strategies to successfully engage tribal leaders and health planners. Failure to recognize sovereignty and self-governance risks damaging trust. This becomes particularly important in multilevel interventions that seek to address socioenvironmental and policy factors. An additional challenge is the instability of tribal leadership due, in large part, to government structure, changes in funding, and social problems associated with the aforementioned health disparities and poverty experienced by Indigenous communities. Researchers must remain in frequent contact with tribal partners, including tribal leadership and tribal organizations, such as health clinics and tribal colleges, and they must work consistently to develop collaborations that are aimed at maintaining stability in funding and equitable partnerships.

Diversity among Indigenous Populations

Indigenous people are not a homogenous group. There exists sizable tribal variation in patterns of physical and emotional health; cultural practices, beliefs, and behavior; disparities; and risk and protective factors (Volkow, 2012). These wide variations underscore the importance of attending closely to unique needs of specific tribal groups (Tippeconnic & Fox, 2012), along with seeking commonalities that can lay the foundation for generalizability of core aspects of interventions. Both across- and within-group considerations are vital to guiding intervention development and adaptation. For example, Kaholokula, Nacapoy, Grandinetti, and Chang (2008) found within-group differences for diabetes risk among NHs based on their identification with their NH ethnocultural group, in relation to their identification and preferred interactions with U.S. society. NHs with an ethnic-exclusive identity (versus those with a bi-cultural identity) had higher diabetes prevalence. Subsequent studies showed NHs with a stronger ethnocultural identity experience greater ethnic discrimination, as well as adverse effects of this ethnic discrimination on their physical health outcomes (Kaholokula et al., 2012).

Establishing an Intervention Science for Indigenous Settings

Preliminary etiologic evidence exists on which to base development of new and adapted interventions for Indigenous populations. In general, this work has identified commonalities with other cultural groups as well as unique patterns of risk and protection. For example, one

of the seminal findings in research on substance use identifies how family, school, and peer social influence have similar effects in AI youth as in the general population (Beauvais & Oetting, 2002). Adaptation of evidence-based interventions (EBIs) that focus on these influences may yield positive outcomes, if adaptation successfully blends unique Indigenous strengths, such as extended kinship networks, with key components of the EBI. However, limited research exists on how culture-specific factors operate in preventing unhealthy or risky behaviors and how they may be introduced and blended within existing EBIs. In this issue, Mousseau et al. (2017) provide two contrasting examples of adapting one EBI, where each adaptation specifically focused on meeting the needs of a distinct Indigenous population. Study of such adaptation and implementation presents a promising line of inquiry.

At the same time, EBIs may be neither appropriate nor available for addressing the health challenges and often urgent needs faced by Indigenous populations. Moreover, in contexts where factors such as culture, history, governance, resources, and research acceptance vary dramatically from highly-controlled EBI testing conditions, an EBI's use, even with cultural adaptation, may yield small, null, or even iatrogenic effects (Wallerstein and Duran, 2010). An alternative approach is to build an intervention from the "ground up", based on the knowledge, experience, and perspectives of Indigenous communities (Hall, Yip, & Zárate, 2016; Walters et al., 2017). This model emphasizes the importance of unique perspectives of Indigenous communities, independent of their relationship to other groups, as critical to understanding key elements of intervention effectiveness in Indigenous settings. It is based in cultural knowledge and values, and is often evidenced in grassroots efforts within Indigenous communities that are rarely reported in the intervention science literature (Whitbeck, Walls, & Welch, 2012). In this issue, Walters et al. (2017) describe five examples of how Indigenous communities and scholars have successfully collaborated to design and implement culturally-based prevention efforts from the "ground-up."

Underlying either approach is establishing partnerships and relationships, based in a methodological pluralism that honors equally both scientific and Native ways of knowing (Trotter, Laurila, Alberts, & Huenneke, 2015). Researchers who establish strong partnerships with tribal governments and organizations are better able to jointly develop and complete interventions that are of high priority, sustainable, and a better fit with cultural values, traditions, infrastructure, and tribal governance. Increasing resources to train more Indigenous researchers can lead to enhanced partnerships, as Indigenous researchers provide a bridge between the research organization and the community and bring a deeper understanding of Indigenous populations and ways of knowing and doing.

Conduct of a more inclusive intervention science in more culturally informed ways opens new possibilities for innovative collaborative work and ultimately, more effective and sustainable interventions and a greater understanding of the complex relationships between behavior and the unique aspects of this population. At the same time, it provides increased community capacity, local ownership, and important safeguards on the potential cultural expropriation of Indigenous knowledge and traditional healing techniques in intervention. Several of the IRINAH projects profiled in this issue provides examples of this more inclusive intervention science in application.

Perhaps most importantly, research from this perspective recognizes the resilience of Indigenous populations, a resilience that has allowed them to survive and even thrive in the midst of a previously described colonial history and ongoing discrimination. This resilience is a result of a rich tapestry of cultural strengths arising from connection to the human, natural, and spiritual worlds (Goodluck & Willeto, 2009), routinely unrecognized and not included in policy or intervention. Through true partnering with Indigenous communities, these strengths and ways of knowing are recognized and leveraged to address health disparities and improve wellness.

This perspective will require an enlarged commitment to community-based participatory research as an overarching approach. Involving community members and building relationships within Indigenous communities is a time-consuming process. The longer timelines and enhanced investigator workload present challenges to NIH funding mechanisms and university advancement. Yet this is the only foundation upon which effective intervention development and implementation can be built (Delafield et al., in press). Building the IRINAH portfolio has required intervention research to be both culturally and scientifically rigorous. It has also required efforts to sustain elements of true collaboration among its participants, along with the development of culturally-resonant strategies for intervention and measurement of outcomes. As evidenced in the reports throughout this supplemental issue, standard parameters of NIH funding including project time periods and budget restrictions, and of academic institutional advancement, require re-examination.

A Call to Action

Health disparities within U.S. Indigenous populations represent a public health crisis. Consistent with a public health approach, an important avenue to address these disparities is to improve health outcomes through creation of a portfolio of effective health promotion, prevention, and treatment strategies developed and tested within these populations. Although this research may build upon a foundation of methods and evidence gathered from other populations, we have described both reasons why, and ways in which, this research process and the interventions developed must differ in response to the unique characteristics of Indigenous groups. Advancing the creation of effective health intervention for Indigenous groups requires critical elements of approaches outlined in this supplemental issue. Further, while the topic of this article is the imperative for research to guide this important work, it is critical to note research alone will change nothing. This work must be conducted as just one part of a broader framework of policy, sustained effort, and societal investment directed to Indigenous health equity.

Given damaging policies and practices complicit in the magnitude of Indigenous health disparities, there exists a strong legal and moral imperative for greater research efforts as one part of a broad investment in a comprehensive public health directive to rectify past wrongs, and to fulfill treaty and trust obligations. Against a back drop that includes disease introduction, forced removal from lands, assaults on Native culture, and sequestration to reservation lands, acknowledgement of history, along with efforts to repair damage has been insufficient. This history of loss, broken trusts, and violated treaties is, in large part,

Page 11

responsible for the current Indigenous health inequity. New lines of inquiry must result in innovative, culturally resonant health intervention that is healing and reparative by fostering of local self-determination. The community of researchers and funders are both scientifically and morally called to respond to this need in full collaboration with members of Indigenous communities to develop health promotion, prevention, and treatment efforts that are scientifically sound, culture-honoring, and ultimately, effective in fostering health equity.

Acknowledgments

Funding. Preparation of this article was supported by funding from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (R01DA03514), Kathleen Kelly, Linda Stanley, and Randall Swaim, PIs; National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R01ES022583), Curtis Noonan, Annie Belcourt, and Tony Ward, PIs; National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R01ES022649), Curtis Noonan and Tony Ward, PIs; National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (R01HL126577), Joseph Keawe'aimoku Kaholokula, PI; National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (R01AA023754), Stacy Rasmus and James Allen, PIs.

References

- Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, Walker JD, Whitfield C, Perry BD, et al. Giles WH. 2006; The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. A convergence of evidence from neurobiology and epidemiology. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience. 256(3):174–186. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-198 [PubMed: 16311898]
- Beals J, Belcourt-Dittloff A, Garroutte E, Croy C, Jervis L, Whitesell N, et al. Manson S. 2012; Trauma and conditional risk of posttraumatic stress disorder in two American Indian reservation communities. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. :1–11. DOI: 10.1007/ s00127-012-0615-5
- Beauvais F, Oetting E. 2002; Variances in the etiology of drug use among ethnic groups of adolescents. Public Health Reports. 117(Suppl 1):S8. [PubMed: 12435823]
- Bienkowski B. 2012 Oct 25.Contaminated Culture: Native people struggle with tainted resources. Scientific American.
- Blackwell, D; Lucas, J. Tables of Summary Health Statistics for U.S Adults: 2014 National Health Interview Survey. 2015. Retrieved from cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/SHS/tables.htm
- Brave Heart, MYH; Chase, JA. Historical trauma informed clinical intervention research and practice. 2014. Retrieved from http://www.aihec.org/ourstories/docs/BehavioralHealth/2014/ HistoricalTraumaInformedCllinicalInterventionResearchPractice.pdf
- Brockie TN, Heinzelmann M, Gill J. 2013; A framework to examine the role of epigenetics in health disparities among Native Americans. Nursing Research and Practice. 2013:410395.doi: 10.1155/2013/410395 [PubMed: 24386563]
- Burger J, Gochfeld M. 2011; Conceptual environmental justice model for evaluating chemical pathways of exposure in low-income, minority, Native American, and other unique exposure populations. American Journal of Public Health. 101(Suppl 1):S64–S73. DOI: 10.2105/ AJPH.2010.300077 [PubMed: 21551379]
- Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. 2013 National survey on drug use and health: Detailed tables. 2014. Retrieved from https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DetTabs2013/NSDUH-DetTabs2013.htm
- Chin MH, Walters AE, Cook SC, Huang ES. 2007; Interventions to reduce racial and ethnic disparities in health care. Medical Care Research and Review. 64(5 Sup):7S–28S. DOI: 10.1177/1077558707305413 [PubMed: 17881624]
- Clifford AC, Doran CM, Tsey K. 2013; A systematic review of suicide prevention interventions targeting indigenous peoples in Australia, United States, Canada and New Zealand. BMC Public Health. 13(1):463.doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-463 [PubMed: 23663493]
- Cochran PAL, Marshall CA, Garcia-Downing C, Kendall E, Cook D, McCubbin L, Gover RMS. 2008; Indigenous ways of knowing: Implications for participatory research and community. American Journal of Public Health. 98(1):22–27. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2006.093641 [PubMed: 18048800]

- Cook A, Spinazzola P, Ford J, Lanktree C, Blaustein M, Cloitre M, et al. 2005; Complex trauma in children and adolescents. Psychiatric Annals. 35(5):390–398.
- Delafield R, Hermosura A, Townsend CKM, Hughes CK, Palakiko DM, Dillard A, et al. Kaholokula JK. A community-based participatory research guided model for dissemination of evidence-based interventions. Progress in Community Health Partnerships: Research, Education, and Action.
- Dube SR, Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Edwards VJ, Croft JB. 2002; Adverse childhood experiences and personal alcohol abuse as an adult. Addictive Behaviors. 27(5):713–725. [PubMed: 12201379]
- Ehlert U. 2013; Enduring psychobiological effects of childhood adversity. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 38(9):1850–1857. DOI: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.06.007 [PubMed: 23850228]
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al. Marks JS. 1998; Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 14(4):245–258. DOI: 10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8 [PubMed: 9635069]
- Fuentes D, Aranda MP. 2012; Depression interventions among racial and ethnic minority older adults: A systematic review across 20 years. The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 20(11):915– 931. DOI: 10.1097/JGP.0b013e31825d091a [PubMed: 22828202]
- Gittelsohn J, Anderson Steeves E, Mui Y, Kharmats AY, Hopkins LC, Dennis D. 2014; B'More Healthy Communities for Kids: Design of a multi-level intervention for obesity prevention for low-income African American children. BMC Public Health. 14:942.doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-942 [PubMed: 25209072]
- Gone JP, Alcantara C. 2007; Identifying effective mental health interventions for American Indians and Alaska Natives: A review of the literature. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology. 13(4):356–363. DOI: 10.1037/1099-9809.13.4.356 [PubMed: 17967104]
- Gone JP, Trimble JE. 2012; American Indian and Alaska Native mental health: Diverse perspectives on enduring disparities. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology. 8:131–160. DOI: 10.1146/annurevclinpsy-032511-143127
- Gonzales KL, Lambert WE, Rongwei F, Jacob M, Harding AK. 2014; Perceived racial discrimination in health care, completion of standard diabetes services, and diabetes control among a sample of American Indian women. The Diabetes Educator. 40(6):747–755. DOI: 10.1177/0145721714551422 [PubMed: 25249597]
- Goodluck, C; Willeto, AAA. Seeing the protective Rainbow: How families survive and thrive in the American Indian and Alaska Native community. 2009. Retrieved from aecf.org/m/resourcedoc/ aecf-howfamiliessurviveindianandalaskan-2009.pdf#page=1
- Gorin SS, Badr H, Krebs P, Prabhu Das I. 2012; Multilevel interventions and racial/ethnic health disparities. JNCI Monographs. 2012(44):100–111. DOI: 10.1093/jncimonographs/lgs015
- Guadagnolo BA, Cina K, Helbig P, Molloy K, Reiner M, Cook EF, Petereit DG. 2009; Medical mistrust and less satisfaction with health care among Native Americans presenting for cancer treatment. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved. 20:210–226. DOI: 10.1353/ hpu.0.0108 [PubMed: 19202258]
- Hall GCN, Yip T, Zárate MA. 2016; On becoming multicultural in a monocultural research world: A conceptual approach to studying ethnocultural diversity, American Psychologist. 71(1):40–51. DOI: 10.1037/a0039734c
- Hoover E, Cook K, Plain R, Sanchez K, Waghiyi V, Miller P, et al. Carpenter DO. 2012; Indigenous peoples of North America: Environmental exposures and reproductive justice. Environmental Health Perspectives. 120(12):1645–1649. [PubMed: 22899635]
- Indian Health Services. Trends in Indian health 2014 edition: General mortality statistics. 2015. Retrieved from https://www.ihs.gov/dps/includes/themes/newihstheme/display_objects/documents/ Trends2014Book508.pdf
- Indian Health Services. Indian health disparities. 2016a. Retrieved from https://www.ihs.gov/ newsroom/includes/themes/newihstheme/display_objects/documents/factsheets/Disparities.pdf
- Indian Health Services. IHS 2016 Profile. 2016b. Retrieved from https://www.ihs.gov/newsroom/factsheets/ihsprofile/
- Jernigan VBB, D'Amico EJ, Hearod J, Buchwald D, Duran B. 2017Multilevel interventions in tribal communities: Challenges and opportunities. Prevention Science.

- Kaholokula, JK. Colonialism, acculturation, and depression among K naka Maoli of Hawai'i. In: Culbertson, P, Agee, MN, editors. Penina Uliuli: Contemporary challenges in mental health for Pacific peoples. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press; 2007. 180–195.
- Kaholokula, JK. Racism and physical health disparities. In: Alvarez, AN, Liang, CTH, Neville, HA, editors. The Cost of Racism for People of Color: Contextualizing Experiences of Discrimination. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Press; 2016.
- Kaholokula JK, Grandinetti A, Keller S, Nacapoy AH, Kingi TK, Mau MK. 2012; Association between perceived racism and physiological stress indices in Native Hawaiians. Journal of Behavioral Medicine. 35(1):27–37. DOI: 10.1007/s10865-011-9330-z [PubMed: 21360284]
- Kaholokula JK, Nacapoy AH, Grandinetti A, Chang HK. 2008; Association between acculturation modes and type 2 diabetes among Native Hawaiians. Diabetes Care. 31(4):698–700. DOI: 10.2337/dc07-1560 [PubMed: 18202248]
- Kaholokula JK, Saito E, Mau MK, Latimer R, Seto TB. 2008; Pacific Islanders' perspectives on heart failure management. Patient Education and Counseling. 70(2):281–291. DOI: 10.1016/ j.pec.2007.10.015 [PubMed: 18068939]
- Koepke, K. Traditional Healing Meets Western Medicine. Indian Country Today. 2012. Apr 20, Retrieved from: https://indiancountrymedianetwork.com/news/traditional-healing-meets-westernmedicine/
- Koithan M, Farrell C. 2010; Indigenous Native American Healing Traditions. The Journal for Nurse Practitioners: JNP. 6(6):477–478. DOI: 10.1016/j.nurpra.2010.03.016 [PubMed: 20689671]
- Konkel L. 2015; Racial and ethnic disparities in research studies: The challenge of creating more diverse cohorts. Environmental Health Perspectives. 123(12):A298–A302. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.123-A297
- Koss MP, Yuan NP, Dightman D, Prince RJ, Polacca M, Sanderson B, Goldman D. 2003; Adverse childhood exposures and alcohol dependence among seven Native American tribes. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 25(3):238–244. DOI: 10.1016/S0749-3797(03)00195-8 [PubMed: 14507531]
- Kropp F, Lilleskov M, Richards J, Somoza E. 2014; Client and provider views on access to care for substance-using American Indians: Perspectives from a Northern Plains urban clinic. American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research. 21(2):43–65. [PubMed: 25111843]
- Langdon EJ, Wiik FB. 2010; Anthropology, health and illness: An introduction to the concept of culture applied to the health sciences. Revista Latino-Americana Enfermagem. 18(3):459–466. DOI: 10.1590/S0104-11692010000300023
- LaVeist TA, Gaskin D, Richard P. 2011; Estimating the economic burden of racial health inequalities in the United States. International Journal of Health Services. 41(2):231–238. [PubMed: 21563622]
- Libby AM, Orton HD, Novins DK, Beals J, Manson SM, Team AS. 2005; Childhood physical and sexual abuse and subsequent depressive and anxiety disorders for two American Indian tribes. Psychological Medicine. 35(3):329–340. DOI: 10.1017/S0033291704003599 [PubMed: 15841869]
- Mathews, TJ; MacDorman, MF; Thoma, ME. Infant mortaility statistics from the 2013 period linked birth/infant death data set. 2015. Retrieved from cdc.gov/nchs/products/nvsr.htm
- McFarland BH, Gabriel RM, Bigelow DA, Walker RD. 2006; Organization and financing of alcohol and substance abuse programs for American Indians and Alaska Natives. American Journal of Public Health. 96(8):1469–1477. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2004.050575 [PubMed: 16809606]
- McOliver CA, Camper AK, Doyle JT, Eggers MJ, Ford TE, Lila MA, et al. Donatuto J. 2015; Community-based research as a mechanism to reduce environmental health disparities in American Indian and Alaska Native communities. International Journal of Environmental Reseach and Public Health. 12(4):4076–4100. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph120404076
- Miranda J, Bernal G, Lau A, Kohn L, Hwang WC, LaFromboise T. 2005; State of the science on psychosocial interventions for ethnic minorities. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology. 1:113–42.
- Moore-Nall A. 2015; The Legacy of Uranium Development on or Near Indian Reservations and Health Implications Rekindling Public Awareness. Geosciences. 5(1):15–29. DOI: 10.3390/ geosciences5010015

- Mousseau AC, Ivanich J, Walls M, Whitbeck L, Whitesell NR. 2017Pathways of adaptation: Two case studies with one evidence-based substance use prevention program tailored for Indigenous youth. Prevention Science.
- Moy E, Smith CR, Johansson P, Andrews R. 2006; Gaps in data for American Indian and Alaska Natives in the national healthcare disparities report. American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research. 13(1):52–69.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2015: With special feature on racial and ethnic health disparities. 2016. Retrieved from www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus15.pdf
- National Congress of American Indians. Tribal Governance. 2017. Retrieved from http:// www.ncai.org/policy-issues/tribal-governance
- Novins DK, King MJ, Stone LS. 2004; Developing a plan for measuring outcomes in model systems of care for American Indian and Alaska Native Children and Youth. American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research. 11(2):88–98. [PubMed: 15322977]
- Pacheco CM, Daley SM, Brown T, Filippi M, Greiner KA, Daley CM. 2013; Moving forward: Breaking the cycle of mistrust between American Indians and researchers. American Journal of Public Health. 103(12):2152–2159. [PubMed: 24134368]
- Paradies Y, Priest N, Ben J, Truong M, Gupta A, Pieterse A, et al. Gee G. 2013; Racism as a determinant of health: a protocol for conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews. 2:85. [PubMed: 24059279]
- Rosenthal WA, Khalil DD. 2010; Exploring the challenges of implementing Participatory Action Research in the context of HIV and poverty. Curationis. 33(2):69–78. [PubMed: 21469518]
- Sarche M, Spicer P. 2008; Poverty and health disparities for American Indian and Alaska Native children: Current knowledge and future prospects. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1136:126–136. DOI: 10.1196/annals.1425.017 [PubMed: 18579879]
- Sequist TD. 2017; Urgent action needed on health inequities among American Indians and Alaska Natives. Lancet. 389:378–379.
- Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Siegel BS, Dobbins MI, Earls MF, Garner AS, et al. Wood DL. 2012; The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. Pediatrics. 129(1):e232–e246. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2011-2663 [PubMed: 22201156]
- Simonds VW, Goins RT, Krantz EM, Garroutte EM. 2014; Cultural identity and patient trust among older American Indians. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 29(3):500–506. DOI: 10.1007/ s11606-013-2578-y [PubMed: 24002621]
- Sondik EJ, Huang DT, Klein RJ, Satcher D. 2010; Progress toward the Healthy People 2010 goals and objectives. Annual Review of Public Health. 31:271–281. DOI: 10.1146/ annurev.publhealth.012809.103613
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. The NSDUH Report: Need for and Receipt of Substance Use Treatment among American Indians or Alaska Natives. 2012. Retrieved from https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH120/NSDUH120/SR120-treatment-need-AIAN.htm
- Tippeconnic IJW, Fox MJT. 2012; American Indian tribal values: A critical consideration in the education of American Indians/Alaska Natives today. International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education. 25(7):841–853. DOI: 10.1080/09518398.2012.720730
- Trotter RT, Laurila K, Alberts D, Huenneke LF. 2015; A diagnostic evaluation model for complex research partnerships with community engagement: The partnership for Native American Cancer Prevention (NACP) model. Evaluation and Program Planning. 48:10–20. DOI: 10.1016/ j.evalprogplan.2014.09.001 [PubMed: 25265164]
- US Department of Health and Human Services. The Secretary's Advisory Committee on National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives for 2020. Phase I report: Recommendations for the framework and format of Healthy People 2020. 2008. Retrieved from http://www.healthypeople.gov/sites/default/files/PhaseI_0.pdf
- US Department of Health and Human Services. HHS FY2016 budget in brief. 2016. Retrieved https:// www.hhs.gov/about/budget/budget-in-brief/ihs/index.html

Author Manuscript

- van der Kolk BA, Roth S, Pelcovitz D, Sunday S, Spinazzola J. 2005; Disorders of extreme stress: The empirical foundation of a complex adaptation to trauma. Journal of Traumatic Stress. 18(5):389– 399. DOI: 10.1002/jts.20047 [PubMed: 16281237]
- Volkow N. 2012; Advancing American Indian/Alaska Native substance abuse research. American Journal of Drug & Alcohol Abuse. 38(5):371.doi: 10.3109/00952990.2012.712174 [PubMed: 22931067]
- Wallerstein N, Duran B. 2010; Community-Based Participatory Research Contributions to Intervention Research: The Intersection of Science and Practice to Improve Health Equity. American Journal of Public Health. 100(Suppl 1):S40–S46. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2009.184036 [PubMed: 20147663]
- Walters KL, Johnson-Jennings M, Stroud S, Rasmus S, Charles B, John S, et al. Boulafentis J. 2017Growing from our roots: Strategies for developing culturally grounded prevention programs in American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian communities. Prevention Science.
- Whitbeck LB, Walls ML, Johnson KD, Morrisseau AD, McDougall CM. 2009; Depressed affect and historical loss among North American indigenous adolescents. American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research (Online). 16(3):16–41. [PubMed: 20052631]
- Whitbeck LB, Walls ML, Welch ML. 2012; Substance abuse prevention in American Indian and Alaska Native communities. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse. 38(5):428–435. DOI: 10.3109/00952990.2012.695416 [PubMed: 22931077]
- Wildcat DR. 2013; Introduction: Climate change and indigenous peoples of the USA. Climatic Change. 120(3):509–515. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-013-0849-6
- Yurkovich EE, Lattergrass I. 2008; Defining health and unhealthiness: Perceptions held by Native American Indians with persistent mental illness. Mental Health, Religion & Culture. 11(5):437– 459.