

HHS Public Access

JAm Acad Dermatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

JAm Acad Dermatol. 2018 June ; 78(6): 1068–1076. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.12.068.

Progression of undiagnosed cutaneous lymphoma after antitumor necrosis factor alpha therapy

Maria Estela Martinez-Escala, MD PhD¹, Alba L. Posligua, MD¹, Heather Wickless, MD², Audrey Rutherford, BA², Kimberly A. Sable, MS¹, Belen Rubio-Gonzalez, MD³, Xiaolong A. Zhou, MD¹, Jason B. Kaplan, MD⁴, Barbara Pro, MD⁴, Jaehyuk Choi, MD PhD¹, Christiane Querfeld, MD, PhD³, Steven T Rosen, MD³, and Joan Guitart, MD¹

¹Department of Dermatology, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern Medicine, Chicago, IL

²Department of Dermatology, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, TX

³Division of Dermatology, City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center and Beckman Research Institute, Duarte, California

⁴Division of Hematology/Oncology, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL

Abstract

Background—Cutaneous lymphoma (CL) diagnosed after anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF)a. therapy has been reported in the literature, yet a clear link between both events remains elusive.

Objective—To review our experience with CL diagnosed during or after the use of anti-TNFa therapies.

Methods—This is a multicenter retrospective study and a literature review.

Results—Twenty-two cases, including 20 cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) and 2 cutaneous B-cell lymphomas (CBCL), were identified. In the CTCL group, 75% of the patients received an anti-TNFa agent for a presumed inflammatory skin condition. Mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome were the most common subtypes of CTCL diagnosed. Advanced disease (IIB – IVA) was commonly seen at time of diagnosis requiring aggressive therapy, including stem cell transplant in three patients. Two patients diagnosed with CBCL had an indolent course. A total of 31 cases were gathered from a literature search.

Limitations—This is a retrospective study.

Conflict of interest disclosure: none declared

Correspondence: Joan Guitart, MD. Department of Dermatology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine 676 N St. Clair street, Suite 1765 Chicago, IL, 60611; Tel: 312-695-1413; FAX: 312-695-0007; j-guitart@northwestern.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conclusions—Our findings suggest that most of the identified patients were misdiagnosed as having psoriasis or eczema; therefore, a comprehensive morphological and molecular review of skin biopsies and peripheral blood should be considered prior to initiation of anti-TNFa therapy in patients with poorly defined dermatitis or atypical presentations of "psoriasis".

Keywords

anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha agents; cutaneous lymphoma; immunosuppression; large cell transformation; psoriasiform dermatitis; spongiotic dermatitis

Introduction

Cutaneous lymphomas (CL) are rare cancers characterized by proliferation of malignant T or B-lymphocytes in the skin. Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) are more common than B-cell lymphomas, with mycosis fungoides (MF) being the most common subtype. The initial presentation of MF can mimic inflammatory skin conditions such as eczema, pigmented purpura, pityriasis lichenoides chronica, and psoriasis. This mimicry of benign dermatoses is a major challange for clinicians and pathologists that can lead to misdiagnosis and to the use of potentially harmful immunosuppressive agents in these patients.

Additionally, there is an increased risk of lymphoma with chronic use of immunosuppressive therapy such as cyclosporine, mercaptopurine, or anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF)a agents, yet the effects of these agents on CL remains controversial.^{1, 2} Approximately 30 cases of CL arising after anti-TNFa therapy have been reported in the literature.^{1, 3–20} Some of those cases were eventually diagnosed as MF resembling psoriasis or eczema, with an unmasking of the lymphoma after receiving the anti-TNFa agent. Herein, we describe our multi-institutional set of patients diagnosed with cutaneous lymphomas after being exposed to anti-TNFa therapy.

Materials and methods

This is a case series derived from a multicenter retrospective chart review. Upon Institutional Review Board approval, patients diagnosed with CL after therapy with anti-TNFa agents were included. A literature search of case series and case reports with the same inclusion criteria was also performed. Clinical data were collected from the electronic medical records system, including gender, race, age of diagnosis of CL, disease for which the anti-TNFa agent was prescribed, other immunosuppressive treatment received, type of anti-TNFa agent received, time since anti-TNFa therapy was started until CL diagnosis, type of CL diagnosed, blood parameters at diagnosis such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), flow cytometry of peripheral blood, Sézary cell count, T-cell receptor (TCR) gene rearrangement in the blood and skin, initial TNM for non-MF/Sézary syndrome (SS) cases or TNMB staging for MF/SS cases, treatment received for CL, and outcome. Follow-up was assessed from diagnosis to the most recent point in time for which adequate patient data existed. Skin biopsies performed prior to and after receipt of anti-TNFa therapy were reviewed along with immunohistochemistry data, when available. Descriptive statistics including median (with range) were performed. The same data were also collected from published case series and case reports.

Results

A total of 22 patients, 15 male and 7 female, were included in the study. Twenty patients were diagnosed with CTCL and 2 with CBCL.

CTCL

Clinical, histologic, and therapeutic details of the 20 CTCL patients are reported in Table 1. The male-to-female ratio was 2:1, and the median age was 63 years (ranging from 21 to 76 years). Fifteen patients were White, 3 Black, and 2 Hispanic. A primary skin disorder was the indication for the use of anti-TNFa agents in 75% of patients. Most of these cases had been clinically diagnosed as psoriasis, psoriasiform dermatitis, or idiopathic erythroderma. Of the remaining 5 (25%) patients were diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in 2 patients, Crohn's disease (CD) in another 2 patients and sarcoidosis in one patient prior to use of anti-TNFa therapy. Of note, three of the five patients had a concomitant, but unspecified dermatitis prior to the initiation of the anti-TNFa agent.

Of the 18 patients with a skin disorder prior to anti-TNFa agent initiation, 15 had a skin biopsy performed. The most common histologic finding (46%) was "psoriasis" or "psoriasiform dermatitis" with some cases revealing striking similarity to psoriasis vulgaris including acanthosis, parakeratosis and exocytosis of neutrophils. Biopsies of the remaining 7 patients were reported as chronic spongiotic dermatitis, chronic lymphocytic dermatitis, or granulomatous dermatitis. We had the opportunity to retrospectively review 6 of these biopsies, which in some cases showed rare atypical lymphocytes but for the most part failed to fulfill histological or immunohistological criteria for CL. Only two patients with extensive skin disease had peripheral blood assessment performed before anti-TNFa therapy initiation. Both had an abnormal population of <200 cells, one by morphology and the other by flow cytometry, deemed clinically non-significant.

The median time from disease onset to initiation of anti-TNFa therapy was 6 years (ranging from 1 to 40 years). Thirteen patients received adalimumab, 6 etanercept, 4 infliximab, and 7 patients received more than 1 biologic agent. Fourteen patients received a median of 2 other immunosuppressive treatments prior to biologic agents, most commonly methotrexate and/or systemic steroids. A total of 3 patients had been treated with 6-mercaptopurine and/or azathioprine, and another one received cyclosporine A. Phototherapy had been given to 38% (5/13) of patients during their treatment course.

After anti-TNFa initiation, the majority of cases experienced worsening of skin disease, and three patients developed new skin eruptions. One of them was a 21-year-old patient with symmetrically distributed erythematous and scaly plaques, and biopsy-proven psoriasis, with a family history of psoriasis who developed *de novo* erythematous patches with a different distribution compared to his psoriasis (Case 9).

The median time from the start of anti-TNFa agent to CTCL diagnosis was 6 months (ranging from 1 to 24). Thirteen patients were diagnosed with MF, including 4 cases with the folliculotropic (FMF) variant, 2 patient with Sézary syndrome (SS), 3 patients with CTCL not otherwise specified (NOS), 1 patient with primary cutaneous aggressive

Martinez-Escala et al.

epidermotropic cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma (PCAEC-TCL), and 1 patient with primary cutaneous gamma-delta T-cell lymphoma (PCGD-TCL). Stages are detailed in Table 1. Limited blood involvement (B1 stage) was observed in 5 (45%) patients on blood smear and, 2 of which were confirmed by flow cytometry. One patient with CTCL NOS had an abnormal population of more than 3,000 cells, with a CD4-/CD8-null-phenotype that did not coincide with the phenotype of the atypical lymphocytes of the skin biopsy (Case 1). This patient died 2 months after the administration of the anti-TNFa agent from the CTCL.²¹

At diagnosis of CTCL, serum LDH was abnormal in 7 patients (70%), all of whom had advanced disease. Eighty-one percent of the patients had a T-cell clone present in the skin biopsy, and 58% had a T-cell clone present in peripheral blood. Same clonality in skin and blood was detected in one MF patient as well as the 2 patients with SS. Histological features and phenotype of the diagnostic skin biopsies are shown in Table 2. At the time of CTCL diagnosis, three biopsies consistent with MF showed features of large cell transformation (LCT), and large cell morphology was observed in one patient with CTCL NOS (Case 2).

The median time of follow-up for patients with available data (16 patients) was 25 months (ranging from 4 to 137). After discontinuation of the anti-TNFa agent, all patients received treatment according to their CTCL stage, except for one patient in whom subcutaneous nodules resolved spontaneously 7 months after the anti-TNFa agent was withdrawn (Case 3). Six patients achieved complete remission, 5 patients partial response, and 4 patients had no significant improvement with CTCL therapy. Three patients died from progressive disease (Table 1).

CBCL

One patient was a 29-year-old white man who presented with enlarged erythematous plaques on bilateral lower legs after 8 months on etanercept for RA. Skin biopsy showed features consistent with primary cutaneous marginal zone lymphoma (PCMZL) with Ig kappa light chain restriction and absence of Epstein-barr virus encoded RNA1 (EBER-1). Bone marrow biopsy was negative for lymphoma. After treatment with radiation, CHOP (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and prednisone) and bortezomib, the patient achieved complete remission during a follow-up time of 3 years.

The second patient was a 53-year-old white man diagnosed with primary cutaneous follicular B-cell lymphoma (PCFL) after 1 month on adalimumab for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. This patient received methotrexate, phototherapy, and acitretin prior to biologic therapy. Etanercept was prescribed initially and after several years was switched to adalimumab to treat recalcitrant cutaneous psoriasis. Four weeks after this switch, he developed an erythematous plaque on the scalp, histologically consistent with PCFL with large cell morphology. Cells were positive for Bcl-6 and negative for MUM1, Bcl-2, and EBER-1. Bone marrow biopsy showed no evidence of involvement by lymphoma. After radiation, the patient achieved complete remission and was restarted on etanercept for psoriasis/psoriatic arthritis without recurrence of the PCFL at 9 years of follow-up.

Literature review (Table 3)—We identified 31 additional cases published in which CL was diagnosed after anti-TNFa agent exposure.^{3, 5–7, 9–14, 16–20, 22–27} Twenty of these

previously published patients received an anti-TNFa agent for treatment of a systemic disease such as rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, spondyloarthropathy, or psoriatic arthritis. Six of the 20 patients had a concurrent poorly characterized skin eruption. Eleven patients received an anti-TNFa agent for treatment of psoriasis, psoriasiform dermatitis, or non-specific dermatitis. Among the 17 patients with any type of dermatosis, over half either did not have a biopsy or had a biopsy with inconclusive results prior to anti-TNFa agent initiation. The median time on anti-TNFa agent until CL diagnosis was 5.5 months, ranging from 0.5 to 96 months. Nineteen patients were diagnosed with MF/SS, 3 of whom had the folliculotropic variant and 4 of whom had LCT. Cytotoxic lymphomas were diagnosed in 5 patients including subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma, PC-GDTCL, dermal CD8+ T-cell lymphoma, and PCAEC-TCL. Two developed a CD30+ lymphoproliferative disorder. Other CLs were found in the remaining 5 patients including 2 with cutaneous Hodgkin's lymphoma, 2 with small/medium pleomorphic T-cell lymphoma, and 1 with chronic adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma. Seventeen of the 19 patients with MF/SS had stage data available. Thirteen presented with early stage disease (IA or IB), 2 with stage IIB, and 4 with stage IVA. The median time of follow-up was 11 months (ranging from 0.7 to 19 months), and the majority of the patients achieved complete remission or partial response. Only one developed progressive disease from patch to tumor stage. Six patients died, mostly due to reasons unrelated to CL or due to CL treatment complications.

Discussion

We describe a cohort of patients with CL diagnosed after exposure to anti-TNFa agents. Compared to the cohort identified from the literature, a higher frequency of advanced disease was observed in our patient population. This difference might be explained by the inclusion criteria of the selected cohort. While our inclusion criteria considered all cases diagnosed with CL after anti-TNFa therapy, some case series specifically excluded patients misdiagnosed with psoriasis or eczema prior to use of biologic therapy. It is known that addition of immunosuppressive therapy in patients with CL often leads to disease progression.^{20, 28} Our data underscore the risk of progression of previously undiagnosed CL when anti-TNFa therapy is used with the intent to treat a nonmalignant skin condition, likely due to the immunosuppressive properties of the treatment. Similar findings were observed in a recent publication that included CTCL cases exposed to any type of immunosuppression treatment, including cyclosporine, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil and anti-TNFa agents.²⁰

Skin biopsies of the reported cases showed a high prevalence of LCT in biopsies with MF/SS, and large cell morphology in biopsies of other types of CL, consistent with our findings. This corroborates prior reports of aggressive histological features that indicate CL progression among patients given immunosuppressive therapy.^{20, 28–31}

The rarity of CTCL relative to more common conditions like psoriasis and eczema combined with the fact that CTCL (most commonly MF) can clinically and histologically mimic these conditions increases the risk of misdiagnosis. In addition, a clinical diagnosis of psoriasis is often not confirmed with skin biopsy. It has been suggested that chronic lymphocyte-driven inflammatory states of psoriasis and atopic dermatitis plus the use of immunosuppressive

therapies may help to select a malignant T-cell clone, provoking the development of CTCL. ^{28, 32} Controversy surrounds the true prevalence of CL in patients with atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. One group reported a significant increased risk of CTCL in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis independent of the systemic therapy received (adjusted hazard ratio of 9.25 [CI: 95%]). Another group cites misdiagnosis of CTCL as eczema as a major confounding factor in reporting the true prevalence of CTCL in patients with atopic dermatitis.^{33–35} We acknowledge the occasional difficulty in differentiating clinically and histologically between MF and psoriasis or eczema and have seen a similar situation with fatal disease progression in case 1 of this series. Indeed, MF/SS are known to occasionally require multiple skin biopsies for its diagnosis. Thus, close clinical monitoring and repeat biopsies with the use of immunohistochemistry and TCR gene rearrangement should be considered in ambiguous or atypical cases. In patients with extensive skin disease or erythroderma, flow cytometry and TCR gene rearrangement studies of peripheral blood can aid in diagnosis.³⁶

There are no previous case reports in the literature of CBCL diagnosed during or after anti-TNFa therapy. It is difficult to definitively establish a causal relationship between the development of CL and the use anti-TNFa agents in our patients. However, the temporal association noted in the first case presented, in which erythematous plaques that were stable for 11 years enlarged with anti-TNFa therapy, suggests that adalimumab-induced immunosuppression can accelerate a previously quiescent low grade primary CL. The patient in the second case was treated with etanercept for several years, with PCFL appearing after 1 month of adalimumab therapy. After achieving complete remission, etanercept was re-started again without CL recurrence. Reinitiating anti-TNFa therapy after remission of CTCL is controversial, supported by only one case report of a patient with short-term follow-up.²² Whether anti-TNFa agents can be safely restarted in patients with remission of a low grade CL requires further investigation. A balance between benefits and risks of using anti-TNFa therapy after a diagnosis of CL in patients with systemic diseases such as CD or RA must be carefully assessed.

Our series highlights a variety of CL presentations associated with anti-TNFa agents. Most cases had a preceding dermatitis that was possibly mistaken for psoriasis or eczema due to lack of definitive features of CTCL or early evolution of disease. The use of anti-TNFa therapy down-regulates innate and adaptive immunity that might normally control proliferation of malignant lymphocytes, thereby unmasking the lymphoma. This may explain why most of the patients in our series had a more advanced stage, often with large cell morphology or transformation at the time of diagnosis.

These findings underscore the need for all physicians prescribing anti-TNFa therapy to include a review of any cutaneous symptoms and a thorough skin exam prior to starting biologic therapy. A word of caution to avoid the use of anti-TNFa agents in erythrodermic patients in which there is any doubt of the psoriatic nature of the erythroderma has already been given. Moreover, the diagnosis must be reconsidered if erythroderma worsens after an anti-TNFa agent has been administered.³⁷ In selected cases, skin biopsy and specific peripheral blood studies such as flow cytometry and T-cell rearrangement, should be considered to exclude CL before initiating immunosuppressive therapies. In addition, close

Acknowledgments

This research project is approved by the IRB of Northwestern University under the cutaneous lymphoma umbrella project.

dermatosis is strongly recommended in patients receiving any immunosuppressive therapy.

Funding source: none

ABBREVIATIONS

CBCL	Cutaneous b-cell lymphoma			
CD	Crohn's disease			
CL	cutaneous lymphoma			
CTCL	Cutaneous t-cell lymphoma			
EBER-1	Epstein-barr virus encoded RNA1			
FMF	folliculotropic mycosis fungoides			
LCT	large cell transformation			
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase			
MF	mycosis fungoides			
NOS	not otherwise specified			
PCAEC-T	Clprimary cutaneous aggressive epidermotropic cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma			
PCFL	primary cutaneous follicular B-cell lymphoma			
PCGD-TC	L primary cutaneous gamma-delta T-cell lymphoma			
PCMZL	primary cutaneous marginal zone lymphoma			
RA	rheumatoid arthritis			
SS	Sézary syndrome			
TCR	T-cell receptor			
TNF	tumor necrosis factor			

References

- Mariette X, Tubach F, Bagheri H, et al. Lymphoma in patients treated with anti-TNF: results of the 3-year prospective French RATIO registry. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010; 69(2):400–408. [PubMed: 19828563]
- 2. Mercer LK, Galloway JB, Lunt M, et al. Risk of lymphoma in patients exposed to antitumour necrosis factor therapy: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016

- Adams AE, Zwicker J, Curiel C, et al. Aggressive cutaneous T-cell lymphomas after TNFalpha blockade. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2004; 51(4):660–662. [PubMed: 15389210]
- 4. Bongartz T, Sutton AJ, Sweeting MJ, et al. Anti-TNF antibody therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of serious infections and malignancies: systematic review and meta-analysis of rare harmful effects in randomized controlled trials. JAMA. 2006; 295(19):2275–2285. [PubMed: 16705109]
- Chuang GS, Wasserman DI, Byers HR, et al. Hypopigmented T-cell dyscrasia evolving to hypopigmented mycosis fungoides during etanercept therapy. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2008; 59(5 Suppl):S121–122. [PubMed: 19119122]
- Dalle S, Balme B, Berger F, et al. Mycosis fungoides-associated follicular mucinosis under adalimumab. Br J Dermatol. 2005; 153(1):207–208. [PubMed: 16029354]
- Dauendorffer JN, Rivet J, Allard A, et al. Sezary syndrome in a patient receiving infliximab for ankylosing spondylitis. Br J Dermatol. 2007; 156(4):742–743. [PubMed: 17263820]
- Imafuku S, Ito K, Nakayama J. Cutaneous pseudolymphoma induced by adalimumab and reproduced by infliximab in a patient with arthropathic psoriasis. Br J Dermatol. 2012; 166(3):675– 678. [PubMed: 21910704]
- Koens L, Senff NJ, Vermeer MH, et al. Cutaneous gamma/delta T-cell lymphoma during treatment with etanercept for rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Derm Venereol. 2009; 89(6):653–654. [PubMed: 19997706]
- Lafaille P, Bouffard D, Provost N. Exacerbation of undiagnosed mycosis fungoides during treatment with etanercept. Arch Dermatol. 2009; 145(1):94–95. [PubMed: 19153359]
- Lourari S, Prey S, Livideanu C, et al. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma following treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with tumour necrosis factor-alpha blocking agents: two cases. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2009; 23(8):967–968. [PubMed: 19192017]
- 12. Mahe E, Descamps V, Grossin M, et al. CD30+ T-cell lymphoma in a patient with psoriasis treated with ciclosporin and infliximab. Br J Dermatol. 2003; 149(1):170–173. [PubMed: 12890213]
- Michot C, Costes V, Gerard-Dran D, et al. Subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma in a patient receiving etanercept for rheumatoid arthritis. Br J Dermatol. 2009; 160(4):889–890. [PubMed: 19222452]
- 14. Nikolaou V, Papadavid E, Economidi A, et al. Mycosis fungoides in the era of antitumour necrosis factor-alpha treatments. Br J Dermatol. 2015; 173(2):590–593. [PubMed: 25639382]
- Pallavicini FB, Caporali R, Sarzi-Puttini P, et al. Tumour necrosis factor antagonist therapy and cancer development: analysis of the LORHEN registry. Autoimmun Rev. 2010; 9(3):175–180. [PubMed: 19647103]
- Quereux G, Renaut JJ, Peuvrel L, et al. Sudden onset of an aggressive cutaneous lymphoma in a young patient with psoriasis: role of immunosuppressants. Acta Derm Venereol. 2010; 90(6):616– 620. [PubMed: 21057746]
- Rojas-Feria M, Eslam M, Castro-Fernandez M, et al. Cutaneous lymphoma in a patient with ulcerative colitis after immunosuppressive therapy. J Crohns Colitis. 2011; 5(6):608–611. [PubMed: 22115382]
- Sanli H, Ataman S, Akay BN, et al. Mycosis fungoides in a patient with ankylosing spondylitis during infliximab therapy. J Drugs Dermatol. 2007; 6(8):834–836. [PubMed: 17763616]
- Vieites B, Avila R, Biscuola M, et al. Cutaneous Hodgkin-type lymphoproliferative lesion associated with immunomodulatory therapy for ulcerative colitis. J Cutan Pathol. 2011; 38(5):443– 447. [PubMed: 21261674]
- 20. Foo SH, Shah F, Chaganti S, et al. Unmasking mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome from preceding or co-existing benign inflammatory dermatoses requiring systemic therapies: patients frequently present with advanced disease and have an aggressive clinical course. Br J Dermatol. 2016; 174(4):901–904. [PubMed: 26479768]
- Martinez-Escala ME, Guitart J. Comments on 'Generalized erythroderma and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis in a patient receiving TNF-alpha antagonist therapy'. J Cutan Pathol. 2015; 42(4): 295–296. [PubMed: 25354264]
- Berthelot C, Cather J, Jones D, et al. Atypical CD8+ cutaneous T-cell lymphoma after immunomodulatory therapy. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma. 2006; 6(4):329–332. [PubMed: 16507211]

- Bittencourt AL, Oliveira PD, Bittencourt VG, et al. Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma triggered by adalimumab. J Clin Virol. 2013; 58(2):494–496. [PubMed: 23911677]
- 24. Hruska CJ, Bertoli RJ, Young YD, et al. Primary cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma in a patient receiving adalimumab. JAAD Case Rep. 2015; 1(2):56–59. [PubMed: 27051683]
- Ma H, Qiu S, Lu R, et al. Methotrexate and etanercept-induced primary cutaneous CD4 positive small/medium-sized pleomorphic T-cell lymphoma. An Bras Dermatol. 2016; 91(3):368–371. [PubMed: 27438209]
- 26. Park JH, Lee J, Lee JH, et al. Lymphomatoid papulosis in a patient treated with adalimumab for juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. Dermatology. 2012; 225(3):259–263. [PubMed: 23257839]
- Suga H, Sugaya M, Toyama T, et al. A case of mycosis fungoides with large cell transformation associated with infliximab treatment. Acta Derm Venereol. 2014; 94(2):233–234. [PubMed: 23975254]
- 28. Weenig RH, Comfere NI, Gibson LE, et al. Fatal cytotoxic cutaneous lymphoma presenting as ulcerative psoriasis. Arch Dermatol. 2009; 145(7):801–808. [PubMed: 19620563]
- Corazza M, Zampino MR, Montanari A, et al. Primary cutaneous CD30+ large T-cell lymphoma in a patient with psoriasis treated with cyclosporine. Dermatology. 2003; 206(4):330–333. [PubMed: 12771475]
- 30. Fletcher CL, Orchard GE, Hubbard V, et al. CD30(+) cutaneous lymphoma in association with atopic eczema. Arch Dermatol. 2004; 140(4):449–454. [PubMed: 15096373]
- 31. Mougel F, Dalle S, Balme B, et al. Aggressive CD30 large cell lymphoma after cyclosporine given for putative atopic dermatitis. Dermatology. 2006; 213(3):239–241. [PubMed: 17033176]
- 32. Guitart J, Wood GS. On the trail of a new killer. Arch Dermatol. 2009; 145(7):811–813. [PubMed: 19620564]
- 33. Chiesa Fuxench ZC, Shin DB, Ogdie Beatty A, et al. The Risk of Cancer in Patients With Psoriasis: A Population-Based Cohort Study in the Health Improvement Network. JAMA Dermatol. 2016; 152(3):282–290. [PubMed: 26676102]
- Gelfand JM, Shin DB, Neimann AL, et al. The risk of lymphoma in patients with psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol. 2006; 126(10):2194–2201. [PubMed: 16741509]
- 35. Legendre L, Barnetche T, Mazereeuw-Hautier J, et al. Risk of lymphoma in patients with atopic dermatitis and the role of topical treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2015; 72(6):992–1002. [PubMed: 25840730]
- Mangold AR, Thompson AK, Davis MD, et al. Early clinical manifestations of Sezary syndrome: A multicenter retrospective cohort study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2017; 77(4):719–727. [PubMed: 28709694]
- Zattra E, Belloni Fortina A, Peserico A, et al. Erythroderma in the era of biological therapies. Eur J Dermatol. 2012; 22(2):167–171. [PubMed: 22321651]

Capsule summary

- CL diagnosed after anti-TNFa therapy is most commonly associated with a misdiagnosis of "psoriasis"
- Anti-TNFa therapy can accelerate the CL course.
- Prior to initiating anti-TNFa therapy, skin biopsies and peripheral blood analysis should be considered in patients with atypical presentation of psoriasis in order to exclude a CL.

-
-
<u> </u>
=
~
0
_
~
\leq
Ň
Ma
Mar
Man
Manu
Manu
Manus
Manus
Manusc
Manusc
Manuscr
Manuscri
Manuscrip
Manuscrip

Table 1

Author Manuscript

Demographic, clinical and follow up data of patients diagnosed with CTCL.

1^{24} 69/FBPsoriasis (1)Yes, psoriasiforn dermattisMTX actinetin,MMF, P 2 63/M wCrohn's disease/Psoriasis (6Yes, inconclusiveNB-UVB 3 46/FWCrohn's disease (30)N/A6-MP, AZA 4 72/M wRhenmotod arthritis/dermNoneLeftunomide, sulfasalazine, AZA 6 76/FWPsoriasis (13)Yes, N/AMTX 7 63/MWRhenmotod arthritis/dermNoneIcfluonide, sulfasalazine, AZA 6 76/FWPsoriasis (20)NoneNone 7 63/MWPsoriasis (20)NoneNone 7 63/MWPsoriasis (20)NoneNone 7 63/MWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, sporiasisNone 6 76/FWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, sporiasisNone 6 71/MWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, sporiasisNone 10 64/FWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, sporiasisNone 11 66/WWEczand(since ethidhood)NoneNone 12 87/HEczand(since ethidhood)NoneNone 13 49/MWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitisSystemic steroids 14 75/MWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitisSystemic steroids 14 75/MW10Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitisSystemic steroids 16 41/MWErzend (9)Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitisSystemic steroids 16 41/MW10Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitisSy	Nr a agent agent	(months)	CICE	Stage	Ireatments	Outcome	(months)
2 $6.MW$ $MB-UVB$ 3 $46FW$ $Cohn's discase/Psoriasis (6)$ NaA $MB-UVB$ 4 FVW $Cohn's discase (30)$ NA $6-MP.AZA$ 4 $PCMN$ $Remanuoid authrits/demNoneLeftunomide, sulfasalazine, AZA529FBPsoriasis (13)Ves, N/AMTX676FWPsoriasis (20)NoneMTX765WPsoriasis (20)NoneNone822FWPsoriasis (20)Ves, psoriasisMTX921MVPsoriasis (13)Ves, psoriasisNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, psoriasisNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, psoriasisNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, psoriasisNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, psoriasisNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, psoriasisNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, psoriasionNone921MVPsoriasis (10)Ves, sporiasionSystem sereroids. NB-UVB920HVPsoriasis (10)Ves, sporiasionSystem sereroids. NB-UVB9MTXPsoriasis (10)Ves, sporiasionSystem sereroids. NB-UVB9MTWVes, sporiasionVes, sporiasionSystem sereroids. NB-UVB9Ves, SporiasionVes, sporiasionSystem sereroids. NB-UVB9Ves, Spori$	iform dermatitis MTX acitretin,MMF, I	Adalimumab (1)	CTCL NOS	T3N3M0	HDAC	DOD	7
3 $46 FW$ $Crohn's discase (30)$ N/A $6 MP, AZA$ 4 $72M/W$ $Rheumatoid arthrifis/dernNoneLefhunomide, sulfasalazine, AZA529 FBPsoriasis (13)Ves, N/AMTXI_{ref}676FWPsoriasis (13)Ves, N/AMTXI_{ref}776FWPsoriasis (20)NoneNoneNone872FWPsoriasis (20)N/ANoneNone921M/WPsoriasis (1K)Yes, shoriasisN/ANone1064FWPsoriasis (1K)Yes, shoriasisNoneNone1166M/WPsoriasis (20)NoneNoneNone1258MHPsoriasis (2)Yes, N/ANoneNone1349M/WPsoriasis (2)Yes, N/ANoneNone1475M/WPsoriasis (2)Yes, N/ANoneNone1572FHPsoriasis (2)Yes, sporiasis (0)NoneMTX, NB-UVB, PUVB, PUVB1641M/WPsoriasis (2)Yes, sponiasis (0)Yes, N/ANone1727MBEszma vs psoriasis (0)Yes, sponiasi (0)Yes, sponiasi (0)Yes, Systemic steroids1641M/WEszma vs psoriasis (1).Yes, sponiasi (0)Yes, sponiasi (0)Yes, NONEYes, MTX1842M/WSoriatis (4)Yes, sponiasi (0)Yes, sponiasi (0)Yes, Systemic steroidsAd$	conclusive NB-UVB	Adalimumab (1)	CTCL NOS	T3N2M0	PUVA, methotrexate, gemcitabine, NB-UVB	AWD	27
4 $72M_W$ Rheumatoid arthritis/dermNoneLeftunomide, sulfasalazine, AZA5 $29FB$ Psoriasis (13)Yes, N/AMTX $I_{\rm eff}$ 6 $76FW$ Psoriasis (13)Yes, N/AMTX $I_{\rm eff}$ 7 $63M_W$ Psoriasis (20)NoneNone $None$ 8 $72FW$ Rheumatoid arthritis (40)N/ANone $I_{\rm eff}$ 9 $21M_W$ Psoriasis (10)N/ANone $I_{\rm eff}$ 9 $21M_W$ Psoriasis (10)N/ANone $I_{\rm eff}$ 10 $64FW$ Erythroderma (2)Yes, chronic lymphocytic $P_{\rm MTX}$.NB-UVB, PUVA $I_{\rm eff}$ 11 $66M_W$ Erzema(sice childhood)None MTX .NB-UVB, PUVA $I_{\rm eff}$ 12 $58M_H$ Psoriasis (2)Yes, N/A MTX .NB-UVB, PUVA $I_{\rm eff}$ 13 $49M_W$ Psoriasis (2)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitis $Systemic steroidsI_{\rm eff}1475M_WErzema vs psoriasiform dermatitisSystemic steroids.NB-UVBI_{\rm eff}1572FHErzema vs psoriasiform dermatitisSystemic steroids.NB-UVBI_{\rm eff}1641M_WSroidosis (1.5)Yes, sporiasifor dermatitisSystemic steroids.NB-UVBI_{\rm eff}1727M_BSoriasis (1.5)Yes, sporiasifor dermatitisSystemic steroids.NB-UVBI_{\rm eff}1840M_WSoriasis (1.5)Yes, sporiasifor dermatitisSystemic steroids.NB-UVBI_{\rm eff}19SM_W<$	N/A 6-MP, AZA	Adalimumab (8)	CTCL NOS	T2N0M0	Self-resolved	AWOD	33
6 29 FFBFsoriasis (13)Yes, N/AMTXMTX6 76 /FWPsoriasis (20)NoneNoneNone7 63 M/WPsoriasis (20)NoneNoneNone8 72 /FWRheumatoid athritis (40) N/A NoneNone9 21 /M/WPsoriasis (3)Yes, psoriasisNoneAd10 64 /FWErythroderma (2)Yes, sporiasisNoneAd11 64 /FWErythroderma (2)Yes, sporiasisNoneAd12 84 /M/WErythroderma (2)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisNoneAd13 94 /M/WPsoriasis (2)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisNoneAd14 75 /M/BErzema vs psoriasis (140)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisSystemic steroidsAd15 72 /FHErzema vs psoriasis (140)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisSystemic steroidsAd15 72 /FHErzema vs psoriasis (140)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVBAd16 41 /M/WErzema vs psoriasis (15)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVBAd16 41 /M/WErzema vs psoriasis (15)Yes, sporiasisSystemic steroids, NB-UVBAd17 27 /M/Bpsoriasis (1.5)Yes, sporiasisNoneNone18 42 /M/BSacroidosis (1.5)Yes, sporiasisNoSystemic steroids in the fourthis (1.5)No19 64 /M/WSacroidosis (1.5)Yes, sporiasi	Vone Leflunomide, sulfasalazine,	adalimumab, etanercept, AZA infliximab (UK)	FMF	IIB	Acitretin, XRT	AWD	12
6 $76FW$ Psoriasis (20)NoneNone7 $63MW$ $Psoriasis (3)$ $Yes, psoriasis$ MTX 8 $72FW$ $Psoriasis (3)$ $Yes, psoriasis$ $None$ 9 $72FW$ $Rheumatoid arthritis (40)$ $Yes, psoriasis$ $None$ 10 $64FW$ $Psoriasis (UK)$ $Yes, chronic lymphocyticPone1166MWEczema(since childhood)NoneMTX, NB-UVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, PUVB, POI1258NMHPsoriasis (2)Yes, N/ANone1349MWPsoriasis (2)Yes, sporiasiform dermatiusSystemic steroids, NB-UVB, PUVB, PUVB, Pointais (2)1475MWPsoriasis (10)Yes, sporiasiform dermatiusSystemic steroids, NB-UVB, PUVB, Pone1572FHEczema vs psoriasis(UK)Yes, sporiatiot dermatiusSystemic steroids, NB-UVB, Pune1572FHEczema vs psoriasis(UK)Yes, sporiatiot dermatiusSystemic steroids, NB-UVB, Pune1641MWEczema vs psoriasis(US)Yes, sporiasis (2, Soniasis (2, Sonia$	s, N/A MTX	Adalimumab, ustekinumab	FMF	IB	INF, PUVA, BXT, HDAC, PXT, NM, TSEBT, FM, AHSCT	AWD	24
7 $63MW$ $Psoriasis (3)$ Yes, psoriasisMTX8 $72FW$ Rheunatoid arthritis (40) N/A None9 $21MW$ Psoriasis (UK)Yes, psoriasisNone10 $64/FW$ $Psoriasis (UK)$ Yes, chronic lymphocytic P_{MTX} , NB-UVB, PUVB11 $66MW$ $Eczema(since childhood)$ None $MTX, NB-UVB, PUVB, PUVA$ 12 $58/MH$ $Psoriasis (2)$ Yes, NANone13 $49MW$ $Psoriasis (2)$ Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitis $None$ 14 $75MW$ $Psoriasis (40)$ Yes, sporiasiforn dermatitis $Systemic steroids1572FHEczema v sporiasis (10)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids1641MWErzema v sporiasis (15)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB1727MBBcontaisis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)1727MBBcontaisis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)1842MWBcontaisis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)1964MWBcontaisis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (16)Yes, psoriasis (16)19Bcontaisis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)19Bcontaisis (15)Yes, psoriasis (15)Yes, psoriasis (16)Yes, psoriasis (16)Yes, psoriasis (16)19B$	Vone None	Etanercept (6)	FMF	B	MTX, GM, BXT	DOD	31
872/FWRheumatoid arthrits (40)N/ANone9 $21/M$ WPsoriasis (UK)Yes, psoriasisNone10 $64/F$ WErythroderma (2)Yes, chronic lymphocyticP, MTX, NB-UVB, PUVB, PUVA11 $66/M$ WEczema(since childhood)NoneMTX, NB-UVB, PUVA12 $58/M$ HPsoriasis (2)Yes, NoneNone13 $49/M$ WErythroderma (2)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisNone14 $75/M$ WErythroderma (5)Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisSystemic steroids15 $72/F$ HEczema vs psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB16 $41/M$ WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveNone17 $27/M$ BPsoriasis(US)Yes, inconclusiveNB-UVB, Thalidomide.AZA18 $42/M$ VSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB19 $64/M$ WPsoriasis(US)Yes, psoriasisPinocycline, hydroxicloroqui ne19 $64/M$ WPsoriasis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisN19 $64/M$ WPsoriasis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisN	psoriasis MTX	Etanercept (2)	FMF (LCT)	B	XRT, NB-UVB, acitretin, INF, BXT	AWD	26
9 $21/M$ Psoriasis (UK)Yes, psoriasisNone10 $64/F$ $Erythroderma (2)$ Yes, chronic lymphocytic $P, MTX, NB-UVB$ Ad 11 $66/M$ $Erzema(since childhood)$ $None$ $MTX, NB-UVB, PUVA$ Ad 12 $58/M$ $Psoriasis (2)$ $Ves, N/A$ $None$ $None$ $None$ 13 $49/M$ $Psoriasis (2)$ $Yes, sponiaritorm dermatitisNoneNone1475/MErythroderma (5)Yes, sponioric dermatitisSystemic steroids. NB-UVBAd1572/FHErzema v psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids. NB-UVBAd1572/FHErzema v psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids. NB-UVBAd1641/MErzema v psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids. NB-UVBAd1641/MErzema v psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids. NB-UVBAd1727/HBErzema v psoriasis(US)Yes, psoriasisSystemic steroids. NB-UVBAd1727/MBPsoriasis(US)Yes, psoriasisSystemic steroids. NB-UVB, NB-UVBAd1842/MErzema v psoriasis(US)Yes, psoriasisSystemic steroids value. NAAd1842/MPsoriasis(US)Yes, psoriasisPsoriasis(VID)AdAd19S4/MPsoriasis(US)Yes, psoriasisAdAdAdAd$	N/A None	Etanercept (12)	MF	IA	NBUVB, MTX	AWOD	137
1064/F/WErythroderma (2)Yes, chronic lymphocytic dematitisR, MTX, NB-UVB, PUVBAd1166/M/WEczema(since childhood)NoneMTX, NB-UVB, PUVAMTX, NB-UVB, PUVA1258/M/HPsoriasis (2)Yes, psoriasiform dematitisNone1349/M/WPsoriasis (40)Yes, spongiotic dematitisNone1475/M/WErythroderma (5)Yes, spongiotic dematitisSystemic steroids1572/F/HEczema vs psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dematitisSystemic steroids1641/M/WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveINF.UVB, Thalidonide,AZA1727/M/BPsoriatis(1.5)Yes, psoriasisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisAd1964/M WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisNo10M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisAd1964/M WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisNo19M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM19M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM10M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM19M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM10M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM1111YesYes, psoriasisM1212YesYes, psoriasisYes, psoriasis1313YesYes, psoriasisYes, psoriasis14 <td>psoriasis None</td> <td>Adalimumab (20)</td> <td>MF</td> <td>IA</td> <td>NB-UVB, acitretin</td> <td>AWD</td> <td>27</td>	psoriasis None	Adalimumab (20)	MF	IA	NB-UVB, acitretin	AWD	27
11 $66MW$ Ezema(since childhood)NoneMTX, NB-UVB, PUVA12 $58MH$ Psoriasis (2) $Yes, N/A$ None13 $49MW$ Psoriasis (40) $Yes, sporiasiform dermatitisNone1475MWErythroderma (5)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemicsteroids1572/FHErzema vs psoriasi(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemicsteroids1641MWErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveNF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, XZA1727MBPsoriasis(UK)Yes, inconclusiveNF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, XZA1842MWSoriatics(1.5)Yes, psoriasisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB1964MWPsoriatis (4)Yes, psoriasisNe, psoriasisAd14Psoriatis (4)Yes, psoriasisNe, psoriasisAd1727MBPsoriatisYes, psoriasisNe, psoriasis1842MWSarciolosis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisNe, psoriasis1964MWPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisNe19Soriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisNeNe19Soriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasisNe19Soriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasisNe19Soriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasisNF19Soriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasisYes, psoriasis19Soriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasisYes, psoriasis19Soriasis$	ic lymphocytic P, MTX, NB-UVB	Adalimumab, infliximab (UK)	MF	IIIA	ECP, DXR, MTX, NB-UVB, P, MOGA, HDAC, ALZ, AHSCT	AWOD	96
12 $58/M/H$ $Psoriasis (2)$ $Yes, N/A$ None13 $49/M/W$ $Psoriasis (40)$ $Yes, psoriasiform dermatitisNone1475/M/WErythroderma (5)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisNone1572/F/HErythroderma (5)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids. NB-UVB161/M/WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveNF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, AZA1727/M/BPsoriatis(1.5)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasis1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisR, minocycline, hydroxicloroqui ne1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM/WM/W10M/WM/WM/WM/WM/W1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM/WM/W$	Vone MTX, NB-UVB, PUV,	Infliximab (UK)	MF	IIIA	TSEBT, HDAC, acitretin, GM, erlotinib, DXA, capecitabine	AWD	24
13 $49M$ WPsoriasis (40)Yes, psoriasiforn dermatitisNone14 $75M$ WErythroderma (5)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemicsteroids15 $72/F$ HErythroderma (9)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB16 $41/M$ WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveINF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, AZA17 $27/M$ BPsoriatisr(1.5)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasis18 $42/M$ WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisR19 $64/M$ WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM13 $40/M$ Psoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM14 $40/M$ Psoriasis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisM15 $40/M$ Psoriasis (1.5)Yes, psoriasisM16 $64/M$ Psoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisM	s, N/A None	Adalimumab (4)	MF	IVA_2	XRT, PUVA, HDAC, NM	AWD	9
1475/M/WErythroderma (5)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids1572/F/HEczema vs psoriasis(UK)Yes, spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB1641/M/WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveINF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, AZA1727/M/BPsoriaticarthritis/Psoriasis(1.5)Yes, psoriasisCsA, MTXAd1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, granulomatous panniculitisP, minocycline, hydroxicloroqui neAd1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisYes, psoriasisMAd	iform dermatitis None	Adalimumab (24)	MF	B	CHOEP, HDAC, BM, PTX, MTX.	AWOD	20
1572/F/HEczema vs psoriasis(UK)Yes. spongiotic dermatitisSystemic steroids, NB-UVB1641/M/WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveINF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, AZA1727/M/BPsoriaticarthritis/Psoriasis(1.5)Yes, psoriasisAd1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, granulomatous panniculitisP, minocycline, hydroxicloroqui ne1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisMM	iotic dermatitis Systemicsteroids	Adalimumab (UK)	MF (LCT)	IIIA	UK	Lost to f/u	UK
1611/M WErythroderma (9)Yes, inconclusiveINF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide, AZA1727/M/BPsoriaticarthritis/Psoriasis(1.5)Yes, psoriasisCsA, MTXAd1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, granulomatous panniculitisP, minocycline, hydroxicloroqui neAd1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisMAd	iotic dermatitis Systemic steroids, NB-U	/B Apremilast, etanercept, adalimumab (UK)	MF (LCT)	UK	UK	Lost to f/u	UK
1727/M/BPsoriaticarthritis/Psoriasis(1.5)Yes, psoriasisCsA, MTXAd1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, granulomatous panniculitisP, minocycline, hydroxicloroqui ne1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisMain	conclusive INF, NB-UVB, Thalidomide	AZA Etanercept (8)	MF/LyPoverlap	IVA2	INF, DXR, DXA, GM, AHSCT	AWOD	137
1842/M/WSarcoidosis (1.5)Yes, granulomatous panniculitisP, minocycline, hydroxicloroqui ne1964/M/WPsoriasis (4)Yes, psoriasisMK	psoriasis CsA, MTX	Adalimumab, ustekinumab (4)	PCAEC-TCL	T3N0MX	NBUVB, MTX, EPOCH, GM, HDAC, Brentuximab	DOD	13
19 64/M/W Psoriasis (4) Yes, psoriasis UK Ad;	atous panniculitis P, minocycline, hydroxicloro	qui ne Infliximab (1)	PCγδTCL	T3NXM0	CHOEP, CsA, Brentuximab, AHSCT	AWOD	5
	psoriasis UK	Adalimumab, ustekinumab (24)	SS	IVA_1	ECP, BXT, INF	AWD	4
20 40/M/W Psoriasis (7) Yes, psoriasis MTX, CSA Ap	psoriasis MTX, CSA	Apremilast, Adalimumab (UK)	SS	IVA_1	Acitretin, INF	AWD	3

JAm Acad Dermatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 01.

Martinez-Escala et al.

Author Manuscript

~
1
t
5
ō
\simeq
-
_
\leq
≦a
Man
Manu
Manu
Manus
Manusc
Manuscr
vlanuscrij
Manuscrip

2	
Ð	
Ē	
¥	
Ë,	

Martinez-Escala et al.

Immunohistochemical findings of CTCL diagnosis.

Case	Diagnosis	Main histological findings	CD2	CD3	CD4	CD5	CD7	CD8	CD30	TIA-1	EBER	BF1	GM1	CD4:CD8
1	CTCL NOS	Psoriasiform features with AL	N/A	+	+	+	+	I	N/A	10%	N/A	+	10%	8:1
7	CTCL NOS (large cells)	Spongiotic epidernis, superficial and mid dermis with AL	N/A	+	largecells	+	loss	I.	10%	I.	T	+	T	5:1
3	CTCL NOS	Lobular panniculitis with AL	I	+	I	I	I	I	Ι	+	I	+	I	4:1
4	FMF	Folliculotropism of AL	N/A	+	+	I	N/A	\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}	25%	N/A	N/A	+	I	10:1
5	FMF	Folliculotropism and syringotropism of AL		+	+		I	+	+			Ι	I	3: 1
9	FMF	Folliculotropism of AL, psoriasiform features	N/A	+	+	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ι	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10:1
7	FMF (LCT)	Folliculotropism with AL, psoriasiform features, large cells	N/A	+	+	N/A	50%	I	+	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	10:1
8	MF	Band-like infiltrate with epidermotropism of AL		+	+	+	50%	I	N/A	N/A	I	N/A	N/A	5:1
6	MF	Band-like infiltrate with insterstitial AL	+	+	+	loss	loss	I				+	N/A	10:1
10	MF	Band-like infiltrate with epidermotropism of AL	N/A	+	+	+	N/A	N/A	few large cells	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7:1
11	MF	Outside biopsy (N/A)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}	\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}
12	MF	Band-like infiltrate with epidermotropism of AL	I	+	+	I	I	I	20%	I	I	I	I	6: 1
13	MF	Psoriasiform dermatitis with AL	N/A	+	+	+	I	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	4:1
14	MF (LCT)	Dense dermal infiltrate with syringotropism of large AL	I	+	+	+	I	,	10%	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	MF (LCT)	Dense dermal infiltrate with large AL	+	+	+	+	N/A	I	+		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	MF/LyP	Band-like infiltrate with epidermotropism of AL	+	I	+	I	+	I	50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10:1
17	PCAEC-TCL	Dense intraepidermal infiltrate of AL	I	+	I	+	I	I	scattered	+	I	I	I	\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}
18	ΡCγδTCL	Patchy infiltrate of AL in dermis and lobular panniculitis	+	+	I	I	I	I	+	+	I	I	I	N/A
19	SS	Psoriasiform features with AL	N/A	+	+	N/A	N/A	T	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10:1
20	SS	Psoriasiform features with AL	Few+	Few+	+	+	I	I	I	N/A	N/A	+	I	4:1
LCT: larg	ge cell transformatic	on; N/A: non-available; AL: atypical lymphocytes												

Table 3

Comparison of demographics, clinical data, and outcomes between our cohort and literature cohort.

		Our cohort (n=22)	Literature cohort (n=31)
Male:Female		15:7	21:9, 1 not specified
Median age (range), years		63 (21 – 72)	63 (28 – 75)
Disease for anti-TNF <i>a</i>	Skin disease	16	11
agents	Systemic disease *	6	20
Anti-TNFa used		Adalimumab (14), etanercept (7), infliximab (4)	Infliximab (12), etanercept (11), adalimumab (8)
Skin biopsy prior to anti-T	NF <i>a</i> agent used	15/18 **	11/16 **
CL diagnosis (number of pa	atients)	MF/SS (15), CTCL NOS (3), Cytotoxic CTCL (2), CBCL (2)	MF/SS (19), Cytotoxic CTCL (5), CD30 CLPD (2), cutaneous HD (2), SM PTCL (2), ATLL (1)
Disease stage in MF/SS patt patients)	ients (number of	IA (2), IB (1), IIB (4), IIIA (2), IVA (4)	IA or IB (13), IIB (2), IVA (4)
Median time of follow-up (1	range), months	25 (4 - 137)	11 (0.7 – 19)
Outcome (number of patier	nts)	CR (9), PR (6), SD (3), PD (2)	CR (12), PR (9), SD (2), PD (1), Died (5)

* Crohn's disease, Rheumatoid arthritis, Spondyloarthropathy, psoriatic arthritis

** Skin biopsies/total of patients with skin disorder

TNFa: tumor necrosis factor alpha; MF: mycosis fungoides; SS: Sézary syndrome; CD30 CLPD: CD30 cutaneous lymphoproliferative disorder; SMPTCL: small/medium pleomorphic T-cell lymphoma; ATLL: adult T-cell lymphoma/leukemia, CR: complete remission; PR: partial response; SD: stable disease, PD: progressive disease.