# Vaginal Microbiota and Susceptibility to HIV

**McKenna C. EASTMENT, MD, MPH**[1] and **R. Scott MCCLELLAND, MD, MPH**[1,2,3]

[1]Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

[2]Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

[3]Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

## Abstract

Bacterial vaginosis, characterized by the replacement of the *Lactobacillus*-dominant microbiota with anaerobic bacteria and facultative Gram-negative rods, has been associated with adverse reproductive health outcomes including HIV acquisition. With the advent of newer molecular techniques, the vaginal microbiota can be investigated in more detail and the association with HIV examined more thoroughly. This review examines recent evidence suggesting that vaginal dysbiosis with increased microbial diversity, specific vaginal bacterial communities, and the presence and concentrations of some individual bacterial species, may increase HIV susceptibility. Potential mechanisms through which vaginal microbiota could impact HIV susceptibility are discussed. Based on the available data, this review finds that there is a modest, but growing, body of evidence linking vaginal microbiota to HIV susceptibility in women. The evidence could be strengthened through two main pathways. First, laboratory studies such as *ex vivo* or animal experiments are needed to move from plausible mechanisms towards proven mechanisms that explain an effect of the vaginal microbiota on HIV susceptibility. Second, experimental evidence could directly test the hypothesis that sustaining optimal microbiota reduces HIV risk, though there are important obstacles to conducting such studies. Finally, this review examines strong evidence from a recent publication suggesting that deviations from an optimal vaginal microbiome, and particularly the presence of some bacterial communities with high relative abundance of *Gardnerella vaginalis*, reduces the efficacy of vaginal tenofovir-based microbicides.

## Keywords

## Introduction

Women in sub-Saharan Africa continue to bear a greater burden of HIV compared to men [1]. Disruptions of the vaginal microbiota could play a key role in mediating HIV susceptibility in African women.

Corresponding Author: McKenna C. Eastment, MD, MPH, 1959 NE Pacific Street, BOX 356423, Seattle, WA, 98195, mceast@uw.edu, Phone: 574-210-1120, Fax: 206-610-3892.

In the early 1900s, Doderlein bacillus, later classified as *Lactobacillus,* was linked with vaginal health in married white women [2]. In this population, deviation from a microbiota dominated by *Lactobacillus* species was associated with vaginal discharge. As the vaginal microbiota has been characterized in a wider range of populations, it is evident that non-*Lactobacillus*-dominant vaginal microbial communities are common [3], can occur with and without symptoms [2], and may be associated with a range of adverse reproductive health outcomes including HIV acquisition [4–9].

Heterosexual transmission of HIV is inefficient [10]. Co-factors including sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and vaginal dysbiosis likely contribute to increased HIV transmission efficiency [4, 11–23]. Bacterial vaginosis (BV), the most common type of vaginal dysbiosis, is a condition in which *Lactobacillus*-dominant microbiota is replaced by complex bacterial communities with anaerobic bacteria and facultative Gram-negative rods [2]. Bacterial vaginosis has been associated with HIV acquisition in women [4–9]. Because BV often persists [24, 25], and frequently recurs even when treated [26, 27], this condition may contribute substantially to the population attributable risk (PAR) of HIV infection. Two studies suggest that BV contributes substantially more to HIV PAR than any genital condition other than herpes simplex virus-2 (HSV-2) [28, 29].

Beginning in 2005, advances in molecular evaluation of the vaginal microbiota [30], have enabled examination of vaginal microbial communities in much finer detail. This paper summarizes recent literature using molecular characterization to explore the possible role of vaginal microbiota in mediating women's susceptibility to HIV infection.

## Laboratory methods for characterizing vaginal microbiota

There are two commonly used criteria to diagnose BV. Amsel criteria includes three or more of four clinical signs including clue cells on wet mount microscopy, "fishy" amine odor, vaginal pH>4.5, and thin, homogenous vaginal discharge [31]. The criteria developed by Nugent and Hillier (Nugent criteria) define BV based on Gram stain enumeration of bacterial morphotypes [32].

In addition to the appearance of bacteria on Gram stain, the vaginal microbiota has been characterized using culture-based methods. One advantage is that culture can identify some minority species more easily than through newer molecular techniques [33]. Culture also allows for antimicrobial sensitivity testing. However, many key bacterial taxa associated with BV are difficult to cultivate [34].

During the past 15 years, advances in molecular microbiology have contributed substantially to our understanding of the vaginal microbiota by providing a complementary approach [35]. Many of these novel methods begin with broad-range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification targeting highly conserved 16S rRNA gene sequences. Additional steps are then employed to identify bacteria. These techniques include denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) [36], terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis (T-RFLP) [37], cloning and Sanger sequencing [38], amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis (ARDRA) [39], and pyrosequencing [40, 41]. Taxon-directed quantitative PCR

(qPCR) can been used to measure quantities of individual bacterial taxa [42, 43]. In addition, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) is a non-amplified method that uses fluorescently-labeled 16S rRNA probes to detect bacterial taxa, characterize their morphology, and localize species into microniches [30]. In combination, newer methods have allowed for a simplified approach to identifying bacteria, including cultivation-resistant bacteria. Bacterial quantities can be evaluated in terms of their relative abundance (e.g. pyrosequencing data) and concentration (e.g. qPCR). Relative abundance data have been used to identify vaginal bacterial community types that provide more differentiation than the traditional Amsel and Nugent criteria [44].

Application of molecular methods has considerably advanced our understanding of both healthy and disrupted vaginal microbiota. Women with BV have more diverse vaginal microbiota compared to women without BV [41, 45, 46]. Diversity has been defined in a variety of ways including the Shannon Diversity Index, operational taxonomic units, and number of positive probes [41, 45, 46]. Multiple bacterial taxa have been associated with BV, including bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria 1 (BVAB1), BVAB2, *Mageeibacillus indolicus* (previously BVAB3), *Gardnerella vaginalis, Atopobium vaginae*, *Eggerthella*-like uncultured bacteria, *Leptotrichia, Megasphaera*, *Prevotella*, *Mycoplasma hominis*, *Bifidobacterium,* and *Dialister* [30]. In contrast, *Lactobacillus crispatus* has been associated with a healthier vaginal microbiome [47–49].

## Ethnic and geographic variations in vaginal microbiota

Since the 1990s, studies using Amsel or Nugent criteria have detected differences in BV prevalence by race and ethnicity [3, 50–54]. Non-white women generally have higher rates of BV compared to white women. In 2011, Ravel *et al* used broad-range PCR amplification of 16S rRNA genes with pyrosequencing to explore this question [44]. Compared to Hispanic and black women in North America, Asian and white women were more likely to have vaginal communities dominated by *Lactobacillus* species. Vaginal pH was lower in Asian and white women compared to black and Hispanic women, an effect the authors hypothesized was related to lactic acid production by *Lactobacillus.* Understanding the diversity of the vaginal microbiome across different populations is critical, because associations between the vaginal microbiota and susceptibility to HIV infection may vary by race, ethnicity, and geography.

## Studies of BV as a risk for HIV infection in women

Bacterial vaginosis, diagnosed by Amsel or Nugent criteria, has been associated with HIV prevalence and incidence in numerous studies. Beginning in 1995, multiple studies have found associations between BV and prevalent HIV infection [4–6, 9, 28, 29, 55–74]. Stronger evidence is provided by numerous prospective cohort studies and meta-analyses, which have consistently shown that both intermediate vaginal microbiota and BV are associated with ~1.5-fold higher risk of HIV acquisition [7, 8, 75]. As detailed above, BV and abnormal microbiota diagnosed by Gram stain are microbiologically heterogeneous. Women with similar vaginal Gram stains often have distinctly different vaginal bacterial communities [76]. Taken together, the data on vaginal dysbiosis as a risk factor for HIV,

combined with an evolving understanding of the complexity of the vaginal microbiome, raise a question about the specificity of the relationship between BV and HIV. In particular, vaginal dysbiosis on Gram stain may be a nonspecific marker for individual bacteria or bacterial communities, which are the true drivers of increased HIV susceptibility.

## Molecular studies of vaginal microbiota and HIV acquisition

Three studies published or presented during the past year have employed molecular microbiological approaches to expand our understanding of the vaginal microbiota and HIV acquisition [77–79] (Table 1). Last year, Gosmann *et al* published a prospective cohort study of 236 HIV-uninfected South African adolescent women (18–23 years) [79]. Cervical and vaginal samples were characterized using nucleic acid extraction, amplification with 16S rRNA V4 primer constructs, and sequencing with Illumina MiSeq [80]. The authors defined four vaginal bacterial community groupings (cervicotypes). Cervicotype 1 (CT1) was characterized by high relative abundance of *L. crispatus,* CT2 had a high relative abundance of *L. iners,* CT3 was dominated by *G. vaginalis,* and CT4 was a diverse bacterial community not dominated by *L. crispatus, L. iners,* or *G. vaginalis.* In an analysis that excluded women with *Chlamydia trachomatis*, CT4 was associated with significantly higher risk of HIV acquisition compared to CT1. Two subtypes of *Prevotella bivia, P. melaninogenica, Veillonella montpellierensis, Mycoplasma,* and *Sneathia sanguinegens* were significantly more abundant in 31 women who acquired HIV compared to 205 women who remained HIV-uninfected. *Noniners Lactobacillus* species were associated with protection against HIV.

The South African Study also explored potential mechanisms through which vaginal microbiota might impact HIV susceptibility. Women with CT4 vaginal communities had 17-fold higher number of activated CD4+ HIV target cells on cervical cytobrushes compared to women with CT1 communities. Strengths of this study included a prospective cohort design, a subset analysis excluding women with chlamydia, and parallel investigation of plausible mechanisms. One limitation of this study is that analyses examining the association between vaginal community types and HIV acquisition did not control for sexual risk behavior. Although the authors did not find statistically significant differences in condom use, frequency or type of sexual acts, or number of sexual partners across cervicotypes, this does not exclude possible confounding by these variables. Second, there were modest numbers of incident HIV infections. Third, this relatively homogenous population of adolescent South African women may not be generalizable to other regions or age groups. Despite these limitations, this study provides the first published evidence that certain vaginal communities and individual bacterial species may shape vaginal mucosal HIV susceptibility.

The next study, by Passmore and Williams, was presented at the 2016 International AIDS Conference in Durban, South Africa [77]. This analysis included 119 South African women from the CAPRISA 004 trial, a Phase IIb clinical trial assessing the effectiveness and safety of 1% tenofovir vaginal gel for preventing HIV infection. Using 16S rRNA V1-V3 variable region primers, then sequencing amplified DNA, 1368 species were identified from cervicovaginal samples. A cluster analysis was used to identify eight broad community state types (CSTs), which differed from those described by Gosmann *et al*. Community state

types 1–3 were all dominated by *Lactobacillus* species. In contrast, CSTs 4–8 were dominated by species other than *Lactobacillus,* with *P. bivia* defining CST4, and distinguishing CST4 it from other CSTs. Community state type 4 was associated with an inflammatory vaginal cytokine profile and with HIV acquisition. Women with high relative abundance of *P. bivia* in vaginal samples were 19 times more likely to have a pro-inflammatory vaginal cytokine profile (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 19.2, 95% CI 4.0–92.4, p<0.001), and nearly 13 times more likely to acquire HIV (aOR 12.7, 95% CI 2.1–77.8, p=0.006), compared to other women.

To explore this association, *P. bivia's* metagenome was characterized. There was an enrichment of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biosynthesis, indicating production of this immunostimulatory molecule, in women with CST4. The increased inflammation associated with LPS is a possible mechanism for increased HIV risk. To date, this study has only been presented in a conference symposium, so it is not possible to fully characterize strengths and limitations. Nonetheless, one important strength was the pairing of epidemiological data showing an association with laboratory analyses aimed at uncovering the mechanism of increased HIV susceptibility. There were also some limitations noted. First, it appears that there were only 10 women in the *Prevotella*-dominant CST4, resulting in wide confidence intervals, and potential for selection bias. Second, it is not clear whether any adjustment was undertaken for sexual risk behaviors. Third, the study population was restricted to younger South African women. It is unclear if these results can be generalized to other populations. Despite the limitations, these data provide potentially important evidence of a strong association between inflammation and *P. bivia,* possibly mediated by LPS.

At the same conference, McClelland *et al* presented a nested case-control study of diverse populations of women from six countries in Eastern and Southern Africa, including female sex workers, pregnant and postpartum women, and HIV-seronegative women in discordant couples [78]. There were 87 vaginal microbiota samples from case women who seroconverted to HIV and 262 samples from HIV-seronegative controls. Deep sequencing of broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR products and highly sensitive taxon-directed qPCR assays were used to characterize the vaginal microbiota. Women who acquired HIV had greater vaginal bacterial community diversity compared to women who remained HIV-seronegative (mean Shannon Diversity Index 1.3 versus 0.9, p=0.02). Using qPCR, five bacterial taxa showed significant associations with HIV acquisition; *Eggerthella* species type 1, *Gemella asaccharolytica*, *Leptotrichia/Sneathia*, *Megasphaera,* and *Mycoplasma hominis*. These associations remained significant after adjustment for age, pregnancy, contraceptive use, sex partner number, sex frequency, and recent unprotected intercourse. A strength of this study was inclusion of a diverse population. In addition, the relatively large sample size facilitated adjustment for multiple potential confounders. Data from both deep sequencing data and qPCR assays were included, facilitating examination of concentration-dependent associations between bacteria and HIV acquisition. This study also had limitations. The epidemiological analyses were not paired with data exploring potential mechanisms linking vaginal bacteria to HIV susceptibility. In addition, this study explored associations with multiple bacterial taxa, and it is possible that some associations were observed by chance. Nonetheless, these data highlight potential biological gradients by showing concentration-

dependent associations between several types of bacteria and women's risk of HIV acquisition.

## Mechanisms through which vaginal microbiota may influence HIV susceptibility

Numerous studies have focused on mechanisms through which the vaginal microbiota may influence HIV susceptibility. An exhaustive review of this literature is beyond this article's scope. Nonetheless, understanding the possible mechanistic pathways is important for establishing biological plausibility. Readers are directed to excellent recent reviews by Mirmonsef (2012) [81], Petrova (2013) [82], Mirmonsef and Spear (2014) [83], Cone (2014) [84], and Murphy and Mitchell (2016) [85], for detailed reviews of mechanisms through which the vaginal microbiome may influence HIV susceptibility. A brief summary of key mechanisms is presented here.

Vaginal microbiota associated with BV can recruit mucosal immune cells. In Gosmann *et al,* women with diverse non-*Lactobacillus* dominant communities had 17-fold more activated CD4+ HIV target cells compared to women with *Lactobacillus crispatus*-dominant vaginal communities [79]. The same laboratory used transcriptional profiling to illustrate that epithelial cells and antigen presenting cells (APCs) sense high diversity vaginal communities associated with BV [80]. These APCs use Toll-like receptor-4 signaling to respond to LPS, which activates nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), leading to inflammation and recruitment of lymphocytes. Another recent study points to an alternative mechanism. In this study of US women, numbers of cervical gamma delta 1 (GD1) cells that were protective against HIV were higher in women with normal versus abnormal microbiota by Gram stain [86]. In contrast, vaginal GD2 cells, acting as targets for HIV entry into cells, were associated with abnormal vaginal microbiota.

Humoral immune mediators, including pro-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines, have been studied as a mechanism to explain the association between vaginal dysbiosis and HIV acquisition. Abnormal vaginal microbiota (Nugent score 4–10) has consistently been associated with higher levels of interleukin-1β (IL-1β), a pro-inflammatory cytokine associated with toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling and tissue damage [87–100]. Utilizing data from the CAPRISA 004 trial, cervicovaginal samples from 58 women prior to HIV seroconversion were matched to 58 women who remained HIV-negative [101]. This study showed higher concentrations of interferon gamma inducible protein (IP-10), MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and interleukin-8 (IL-8) in women who seroconverted to HIV compared to HIV-uninfected women. Additionally, MIP-1α and MIP-1β were associated with more diverse vaginal communities. These two chemokines, as well as IP-10, are chemotactic for T cells, monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells, all of which are potential HIV target cells [102, 103].

Since the 1990s, it has been evident that BV is associated with the presence of an HIV-inducing factor (HIF) in vaginal secretions [104]. This factor leads to increased HIV-1 replication in T cells and monocytes by activating AP-1 and NF-κB [105, 106]. *Mycoplasma*

*hominis*, a vaginal bacteria species frequently linked with BV, has been significantly associated with HIF [107].

*Lactobacillus*-dominant vaginal microbiotas have generally been considered to reflect vaginal health, and have been associated with decreased risk of HIV acquisition [6, 48, 79]. Lactic acid, and associated low pH produced by glycogen metabolism by *Lactobacillus* species, can inactivate HIV [84, 108, 109]. A recent study also documented significant increases in IL-1RA, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, when human vaginal and cervical epithelial cell lines were treated with lactic acid [110]. This highlights a novel anti-inflammatory mechanism by which lactic acid may impact HIV susceptibility. In addition, some lactobacilli, including *L. gasseri,* may exert direct anti-HIV effects through bacteriocins, antimicrobial compounds that kill other microorganisms [83, 111].

Disruption of physical barriers, including cervicovaginal mucus and epithelium, may increase women's HIV susceptibility. Cervicovaginal mucus acts as a physical barrier to HIV [112, 113]. In addition, the virus may diffuse more rapidly in cervicovaginal mucus with high concentrations of *L. iners* or *G. vaginalis* [114]. In contrast, there may be more virus trapping with *L. crispatus*-dominated microbiota. In a recent study by Borgdorff *et al,* vaginal dysbiosis was associated with cytoskeleton alterations, increased proteolytic activity, and cell death, likely representing epithelial damage [113].

## Do high-risk vaginal bacteria increase women's susceptibility to HIV infection?

In considering the question of whether vaginal microbiota influences women's susceptibility to HIV infection, it is useful to refer to a set of criteria first proposed by Sir Austin Bradford Hill in 1965 [115], and used extensively in Epidemiology since that time. This list of conditions, often referred to as the Hill criteria, includes strength, consistency, specificity, temporality, biological gradient, plausibility, coherence, experiment, and analogy (Table 2). The Hill criteria are not a checklist to be fulfilled in their entirety to provide proof of causation, but do offer a helpful framework for examining causal inference.

### Strength of association

Multiple prospective studies and meta-analyses estimate an ~1.5-fold higher risk of HIV acquisition with BV compared to normal vaginal microbiota. Diverse non-*Lactobacillus* dominant bacterial communities, higher relative abundance of some bacteria, and higher quantities of some bacteria, have been associated with increased risk of HIV acquisition. Effect estimates for significant associations range from an aOR of 2.59 (95% CI 1.26–5.34) for the highest concentrations of *Leptotrichia/Sneathia* [78], to an aOR of 12.7 (95% CI 2.1–77.8) with *P. bivia* [77]. Most estimates fall toward the lower end of this range. Additional data will be essential to clarify the strength of these associations.

### Consistency

Of the 15 prospective studies and meta-analyses [4–8, 28, 29, 56, 57, 73–75, 116–118], all but one have shown a statistically significant association between BV and HIV acquisition

[118]. The three recent studies using newer molecular techniques have also documented an association between more diverse bacterial communities and HIV acquisition. However, relationships between individual bacterial taxa and HIV acquisition are more consistent for some bacteria (e.g. *Sneathia* and *Mycoplasma hominis*) than for others (e.g. *Prevotella* and non-*iners Lactobacillus* species). These differences may be related to the biology of the vaginal mucosa in different populations, methodological differences, or could have resulted by chance. In general, there is high consistency in studies showing an association between BV, diverse vaginal microbiota, and HIV acquisition. Further work is needed to clarify the relationships between individual bacterial taxa and HIV risk in different populations.

### Specificity

The relationship between vaginal microbiota and HIV is not specific, as BV is not required for HIV acquisition. While there are few molecular studies to date, it seems unlikely that a particular bacterial community or species would be a necessary precursor to HIV infection. Importantly, specificity is not essential for determining the existence of a causal relationship.

### Temporality

Numerous prospective studies have demonstrated an association between BV and subsequent acquisition of HIV. In addition, all three available molecular studies collected data on the vaginal microbiome prior to or very shortly after HIV infection.

### Biological gradient

Prospective studies provide inconsistent evidence for a biological gradient for increased HIV risk with increasing Nugent score [8, 28], or numbers of Amsel criteria fulfilled [4]. There is some evidence from recent molecular studies, that increasing vaginal bacterial community diversity, relative abundance, and absolute concentrations of some bacteria may be associated with increased HIV risk.

### Plausibility

Numerous studies demonstrate possible mechanisms through which the vaginal microbiome could contribute to HIV susceptibility. Additional research that more directly links vaginal bacteria to mucosal markers of HIV susceptibility could further strengthen this link.

### Coherence

Laboratory studies have primarily addressed mechanisms to explain the association between vaginal microbiota and increased HIV susceptibility. These types of data would seem to pertain more directly to biological plausibility than to coherence between laboratory studies and epidemiological evidence. Future studies should aim to more directly explore the hypothesis that vaginal dysbiosis increases susceptibility. Unfortunately, there is not a suitable animal model of the *Lactobacillus*-dominant healthy human vaginal microbiota. As such, *ex vivo* infection of biopsy specimens from women with different vaginal conditions might provide the strongest evidence for coherence.

### Experiment

There is some evidence that periodic presumptive treatment (PPT) and suppression approaches can reduce BV [119–122], leading to more optimal vaginal microbiota increased frequency of hydrogen-peroxide producing *Lactobacillus* species colonization [123], and reduced quantities of BVAB-1, BVAB-2, *Atopobium vaginae, Leptotrichia/Sneathia,* and *Megasphaera* [124]. However, no effective interventions for reducing BV or modifying the vaginal microbiota have been evaluated in HIV prevention trials. Such trials, if undertaken in the era of effective HIV prevention interventions including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and treatment of HIV-positive partners, would likely require sample sizes in the tens of thousands.

### Analogy

The association between the vaginal microbiome and women's susceptibility to HIV infection represents a unique biological relationship for which it is difficult to identify a closely analogous system. The relationship between BV and other STIs has some parallels. However, the mechanisms of susceptibility to other STIs may not reflect the most important mechanisms mediating HIV susceptibility.

In summary, current data fulfill some of the Hill criteria for assessing whether the vaginal microbiome is causally related to HIV susceptibility. Additional epidemiological studies with prespecified hypotheses will be valuable in establishing which relationships between individual bacterial taxa and communities are consistent across multiple studies and populations. Because many of the current microbiome studies include multiple comparisons, using a global p-value for the entire vaginal microbiota, and delving deeper only if the overall p-value is significant, or using a false discovery rate, may help to reduce the number of associations identified by chance.

Clinical trials of vaginal health interventions would provide the gold standard of evidence, and could be considered if the mechanistic data are sufficiently strong and the proposed interventions can be shown to impact the putative mechanisms driving HIV susceptibility.

## Impact of the vaginal microbiome on microbicide and oral pre-exposure prophylaxis efficacy

In the past 10 years, there has been significant investment in both topical and oral PrEP to reduce women's HIV risk. Of the five major trials, three have shown a benefit [125–127], with the other two citing low adherence as a reason for null results [128, 129]. Of the microbicide trials, only the CAPRISA 004 trial demonstrated significant efficacy of intravaginal tenofovir PrEP [125].

Three recent studies have examined how the vaginal microbiome may impact topical and oral tenofovir-based PrEP. The first of these included data from the CAPRISA 004 trial [125]. Women were randomized to vaginal tenofovir 1% gel versus placebo. Cervicovaginal lavages were analyzed from women prior to acquiring HIV compared to samples from randomly selected HIV-seronegative women [130]. Using a metaproteomic analysis, 188

species were identified. Vaginal microbiomes were classified as *Lactobacillus*-dominant or non-*Lactobacillus* dominant. There were no differences in demographics, sexual behaviors, clinical characteristics, or adherence between women with these two vaginal microbiome types. Women with *Lactobacillus*-dominant vaginal microbiota showed significantly reduced risk of HIV acquisition with vaginal tenofovir gel compared to placebo (HR 0.39, 95% CI 0.20–0.83). In contrast, women with a non-*Lactobacillus* dominant microbiota treated with tenofovir gel had no difference in HIV acquisition compared to placebo (HR 0.82, 95% CI 0.40–1.65).

To explore the mechanism underlying these results, tenofovir was inoculated in media with *G. vaginalis, L. iners, L. crispatus,* and an abiotic control [130]. Mass spectrometry illustrated that *in vitro*, *G. vaginalis* was associated with a 67.4% depletion of tenofovir levels. This dramatic effect was not present when media was inoculated with *L. iners, L. crispatus,* or the abiotic control. Thus, metabolism of tenofovir by *G. vaginalis* could explain the findings of decreased efficacy of vaginal tenofovir in women with non-*Lactobacillus* dominant microbiota. An abstract presented at the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) in early 2017 by Hillier *et al* further illustrates this point [131]. Lower cervical tissue tenofovir levels were observed in women receiving tenofovir 1% gel when they had BV diagnosed by Nugent score, higher concentrations of *G. vaginalis,* and higher concentrations of *A. vaginae*.

In contrast to topical tenofovir, the efficacy of oral PrEP with tenofovir disproxil fumarate does not appear to be affected by the vaginal microbiome [132]. Heffron *et al*'s study presented data from a secondary analysis from the Partners PrEP study [127]. Efficacy of daily oral tenofovir was the same for women with normal microbiota, intermediate microbiota, and BV by Gram stain. Oral PrEP efficacy also did not differ by presence of *Gardnerella/Bacteroides* morphotypes on Gram stain.

In summary, recent studies provide strong evidence that the vaginal microbiome impacts the efficacy of topical PrEP, an effect not present with oral PrEP. These results are likely to dramatically change the way vaginal microbicides are developed and tested.

## Current approaches for modulating the vaginal microbiome

Current regimens for symptomatic BV provide modest cure rates with frequent recurrences [26, 27, 133, 134]. New approaches to control BV have included alternative drug regimens [135], probiotics [136], biofilm disruptors [137–143], risk factor modification (e.g. cessation of intravaginal practices) [144, 145], and suppressive regimens administered as PPT and periodic directed treatment [119, 120, 123, 146]. Many of these regimens have reduced BV recurrences. Less is known about such regimens' impact on individual bacterial taxa. One study found that oral PPT with 2 grams of metronidazole each month resulted in more frequent *Lactobacillus* colonization [123], and another found that monthly intravaginal metronidazole PPT reduced concentrations of BVAB-1, BVAB-2, *Atopobium vaginae, Leptotrichia/Sneathia,* and *Megasphaera* measured using quantitative PCR assays [124]. However, producing sustained changes in the vaginal microbiome remains a challenge only partially addressed by current regimens.

## Conclusion

Prospective studies have consistently demonstrated an association between BV and increased risk of HIV acquisition. Recently, data from studies using molecular methods have led to the hypothesis that high-risk vaginal microbial communities and the presence and concentrations of key bacterial taxa may be more predictive of women's HIV risk than a BV diagnosis by traditional methods. One limitation of the current literature is a lack of attention to the potential role of vaginal fungi and viruses (other than herpes and human papilloma viruses) in mediating HIV susceptibility in women. In addition, recently presented and published data examining associations between the vaginal microbiome and HIV acquisition have come from observational studies, and may be most useful for generating new hypotheses about how the vaginal microbiome influences HIV susceptibility.

Given the high prevalence of vaginal dysbiosis, particularly in African women, the question of whether vaginal health interventions could reduce women's susceptibility to HIV infection is of great importance. Current research priorities should include identifying the vaginal microbiota associated with increased risk, substantiating mechanisms linking vaginal bacteria to mucosal HIV susceptibility, and evaluating the efficacy of interventions for interrupting these mechanisms. If such data are sufficiently convincing, clinical trials of vaginal health interventions should be considered. While clinical trials of new HIV prevention interventions will be challenging in an era where other effective HIV prevention strategies are available, such studies would provide the strongest evidence to prove or disprove the presence of a causal association, and could provide an important and novel approach to HIV prevention in women.

## Acknowledgments

## References

1. Murray CJ, Ortblad KF, Guinovart C, Lim SS, Wolock TM, Roberts DA, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence and mortality for HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2014; 384(9947):1005–1070. [PubMed: 25059949]

2. Holmes, KK. Sexually transmitted diseases. 4. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2008.

3. Kenyon C, Colebunders R, Crucitti T. The global epidemiology of bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2013; 209(6):505–523. [PubMed: 23659989]

4. Taha TE, Hoover DR, Dallabetta GA, Kumwenda NI, Mtimavalye LA, Yang LP, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and disturbances of vaginal flora: association with increased acquisition of HIV. AIDS. 1998; 12(13):1699–1706. [PubMed: 9764791]

5. Myer L, Denny L, Telerant R, Souza M, Wright TC Jr, Kuhn L. Bacterial vaginosis and susceptibility to HIV infection in South African women: a nested case-control study. J Infect Dis. 2005; 192(8):1372–1380. [PubMed: 16170754]

6. Martin HL, Richardson BA, Nyange PM, Lavreys L, Hillier SL, Chohan B, et al. Vaginal lactobacilli, microbial flora, and risk of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and sexually transmitted disease acquisition. J Infect Dis. 1999; 180(6):1863–1868. [PubMed: 10558942]

7. Hilber AM, Francis SC, Chersich M, Scott P, Redmond S, Bender N, et al. Intravaginal practices, vaginal infections and HIV acquisition: systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2010; 5(2):e9119. [PubMed: 20161749]

8. Low N, Chersich MF, Schmidlin K, Egger M, Francis SC, van de Wijgert JH, et al. Intravaginal practices, bacterial vaginosis, and HIV infection in women: individual participant data meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2011; 8(2):e1000416. [PubMed: 21358808]

9. Cohen CR, Duerr A, Pruithithada N, Rugpao S, Hillier S, Garcia P, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and HIV seroprevalence among female commercial sex workers in Chiang Mai, Thailand. AIDS. 1995; 9(9):1093–1097. [PubMed: 8527084]

10. Patel P, Borkowf CB, Brooks JT, Lasry A, Lansky A, Mermin J. Estimating per-act HIV transmission risk: a systematic review. AIDS. 2014; 28(10):1509–1519. [PubMed: 24809629]

11. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN. From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: the contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission of HIV infection. Sex Transm Infect. 1999; 75(1):3–17. [PubMed: 10448335]

12. Galvin SR, Cohen MS. The role of sexually transmitted diseases in HIV transmission. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004; 2(1):33–42. [PubMed: 15035007]

13. Plummer FA, Simonsen JN, Cameron DW, Ndinya-Achola JO, Kreiss JK, Gakinya MN, et al. Cofactors in male-female sexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis. 1991; 163(2):233–239. [PubMed: 1988508]

14. de Vincenzi I. A longitudinal study of human immunodeficiency virus transmission by heterosexual partners. European Study Group on Heterosexual Transmission of HIV. N Engl J Med. 1994; 331(6):341–346. [PubMed: 8028613]

15. Kassler WJ, Zenilman JM, Erickson B, Fox R, Peterman TA, Hook EW 3rd. Seroconversion in patients attending sexually transmitted disease clinics. AIDS. 1994; 8(3):351–355. [PubMed: 8031513]

16. Mehendale SM, Rodrigues JJ, Brookmeyer RS, Gangakhedkar RR, Divekar AD, Gokhale MR, et al. Incidence and predictors of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 seroconversion in patients attending sexually transmitted disease clinics in India. J Infect Dis. 1995; 172(6):1486–1491. [PubMed: 7594707]

17. Nelson KE, Eiumtrakul S, Celentano D, Maclean I, Ronald A, Suprasert S, et al. The association of herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), Haemophilus ducreyi, and syphilis with HIV infection in young men in northern Thailand. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1997; 16(4):293–300. [PubMed: 9402077]

18. Nopkesorn T, Mock PA, Mastro TD, Sangkharomya S, Sweat M, Limpakarnjanarat K, et al. HIV-1 subtype E incidence and sexually transmitted diseases in a cohort of military conscripts in northern Thailand. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1998; 18(4):372–379. [PubMed: 9704943]

19. Telzak EE, Chiasson MA, Bevier PJ, Stoneburner RL, Castro KG, Jaffe HW. HIV-1 seroconversion in patients with and without genital ulcer disease. A prospective study. Annals of internal medicine. 1993; 119(12):1181–1186. [PubMed: 8239249]

20. Laga M, Manoka A, Kivuvu M, Malele B, Tuliza M, Nzila N, et al. Non-ulcerative sexually transmitted diseases as risk factors for HIV-1 transmission in women: results from a cohort study. AIDS. 1993; 7(1):95–102. [PubMed: 8442924]

21. Otten MW Jr, Zaidi AA, Peterman TA, Rolfs RT, Witte JJ. High rate of HIV seroconversion among patients attending urban sexually transmitted disease clinics. AIDS. 1994; 8(4):549–553. [PubMed: 8011261]

22. Plourde PJ, Pepin J, Agoki E, Ronald AR, Ombette J, Tyndall M, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 seroconversion in women with genital ulcers. J Infect Dis. 1994; 170(2):313–317. [PubMed: 8035016]

23. Weir SS, Feldblum PJ, Roddy RE, Zekeng L. Gonorrhea as a risk factor for HIV acquisition. AIDS. 1994; 8(11):1605–1608. [PubMed: 7848598]

24. Lambert JA, John S, Sobel JD, Akins RA. Longitudinal analysis of vaginal microbiome dynamics in women with recurrent bacterial vaginosis: recognition of the conversion process. PLoS One. 2013; 8(12):e82599. [PubMed: 24376552]

25. Santiago GL, Tency I, Verstraelen H, Verhelst R, Trog M, Temmerman M, et al. Longitudinal qPCR study of the dynamics of L. crispatus, L. iners, A. vaginae, (sialidase positive) G. vaginalis, and P. bivia in the vagina. PLoS One. 2012; 7(9):e45281. [PubMed: 23028904]

26. Bradshaw CS, Morton AN, Hocking J, Garland SM, Morris MB, Moss LM, et al. High recurrence rates of bacterial vaginosis over the course of 12 months after oral metronidazole therapy and factors associated with recurrence. J Infect Dis. 2006; 193(11):1478–1486. [PubMed: 16652274]

27. Sobel JD, Schmitt C, Meriwether C. Long-term follow-up of patients with bacterial vaginosis treated with oral metronidazole and topical clindamycin. J Infect Dis. 1993; 167(3):783–784. [PubMed: 8440952]

28. Masese L, Baeten JM, Richardson BA, Bukusi E, John-Stewart G, Graham SM, et al. Changes in the contribution of genital tract infections to HIV acquisition among Kenyan high-risk women from 1993 to 2012. AIDS. 2015; 29(9):1077–1085. [PubMed: 26125141]

29. van de Wijgert JH, Morrison CS, Brown J, Kwok C, Van Der Pol B, Chipato T, et al. Disentangling contributions of reproductive tract infections to HIV acquisition in African Women. Sex Transm Dis. 2009; 36(6):357–364. [PubMed: 19434010]

30. Fredricks DN, Fiedler TL, Marrazzo JM. Molecular identification of bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353(18):1899–1911. [PubMed: 16267321]

31. Amsel R, Totten PA, Spiegel CA, Chen KC, Eschenbach D, Holmes KK. Nonspecific vaginitis. Diagnostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. Am J Med. 1983; 74(1):14–22. [PubMed: 6600371]

32. Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol. 1991; 29(2):297–301. [PubMed: 1706728]

33. Marrazzo JM, Martin DH, Watts DH, Schulte J, Sobel JD, Hillier SL, et al. Bacterial vaginosis: identifying research gaps proceedings of a workshop sponsored by DHHS/NIH/NIAID. Sex Transm Dis. 2010; 37(12):732–744. [PubMed: 21068695]

34. Pandya S, Ravi K, Srinivas V, Jadhav S, Khan A, Arun A, et al. Comparison of culture-dependent and culture-independent molecular methods for characterization of vaginal microflora. J Med Microbiol. 2017; 66(2):149–153. [PubMed: 28260585]

35. Fredricks DN. Molecular methods to describe the spectrum and dynamics of the vaginal microbiota. Anaerobe. 2011; 17(4):191–195. [PubMed: 21376827]

36. Burton JP, Dixon JL, Reid G. Detection of Bifidobacterium species and Gardnerella vaginalis in the vagina using PCR and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2003; 81(1):61–63. [PubMed: 12676400]

37. Zhou X, Bent SJ, Schneider MG, Davis CC, Islam MR, Forney LJ. Characterization of vaginal microbial communities in adult healthy women using cultivation-independent methods. Microbiology. 2004; 150(Pt 8):2565–2573. [PubMed: 15289553]

38. Verhelst R, Verstraelen H, Claeys G, Verschraegen G, Delanghe J, Van Simaey L, et al. Cloning of 16S rRNA genes amplified from normal and disturbed vaginal microflora suggests a strong association between Atopobium vaginae, Gardnerella vaginalis and bacterial vaginosis. BMC Microbiol. 2004; 4:16. [PubMed: 15102329]

39. Fredricks DN, Marrazzo JM. Molecular methodology in determining vaginal flora in health and disease: its time has come. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2005; 7(6):463–470. [PubMed: 16225785]

40. Spear GT, Gilbert D, Landay AL, Zariffard R, French AL, Patel P, et al. Pyrosequencing of the genital microbiotas of HIV-seropositive and -seronegative women reveals Lactobacillus iners as the predominant Lactobacillus Species. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77(1):378–381. [PubMed: 21075899]

41. Spear GT, Sikaroodi M, Zariffard MR, Landay AL, French AL, Gillevet PM. Comparison of the diversity of the vaginal microbiota in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected women with or without bacterial vaginosis. J Infect Dis. 2008; 198(8):1131–1140. [PubMed: 18717638]

42. Fredricks DN, Fiedler TL, Thomas KK, Oakley BB, Marrazzo JM. Targeted PCR for detection of vaginal bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2007; 45(10):3270–3276. [PubMed: 17687006]

43. Menard JP, Fenollar F, Henry M, Bretelle F, Raoult D. Molecular quantification of Gardnerella vaginalis and Atopobium vaginae loads to predict bacterial vaginosis. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2008; 47(1):33–43. [PubMed: 18513147]

44. Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, Schneider GM, Koenig SS, McCulle SL, et al. Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(Suppl 1):4680–4687. [PubMed: 20534435]

45. Dols JA, Smit PW, Kort R, Reid G, Schuren FH, Tempelman H, et al. Microarray-based identification of clinically relevant vaginal bacteria in relation to bacterial vaginosis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011; 204(4):305e301–307. [PubMed: 21272848]

46. Hummelen R, Fernandes AD, Macklaim JM, Dickson RJ, Changalucha J, Gloor GB, et al. Deep sequencing of the vaginal microbiota of women with HIV. PLoS One. 2010; 5(8):e12078. [PubMed: 20711427]

47. Mitchell C, Balkus JE, Fredricks D, Liu C, McKernan-Mullin J, Frenkel LM, et al. Interaction between lactobacilli, bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria, and HIV Type 1 RNA and DNA Genital shedding in U.S. and Kenyan women. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2013; 29(1):13–19. [PubMed: 23020644]

48. Borgdorff H, Tsivtsivadze E, Verhelst R, Marzorati M, Jurriaans S, Ndayisaba GF, et al. Lactobacillus-dominated cervicovaginal microbiota associated with reduced HIV/STI prevalence and genital HIV viral load in African women. ISME J. 2014; 8(9):1781–1793. [PubMed: 24599071]

49. Dols JA, Reid G, Kort R, Schuren FH, Tempelman H, Bontekoe TR, et al. PCR-based identification of eight Lactobacillus species and 18 hr-HPV genotypes in fixed cervical samples of South African women at risk of HIV and BV. Diagn Cytopathol. 2012; 40(6):472–477. [PubMed: 22021225]

50. Yen S, Shafer MA, Moncada J, Campbell CJ, Flinn SD, Boyer CB. Bacterial vaginosis in sexually experienced and non-sexually experienced young women entering the military. Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 102(5 Pt 1):927–933. [PubMed: 14672465]

51. Goldenberg RL, Klebanoff MA, Nugent R, Krohn MA, Hillier S, Andrews WW. Bacterial colonization of the vagina during pregnancy in four ethnic groups. Vaginal Infections and Prematurity Study Group. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1996; 174(5):1618–1621. [PubMed: 9065140]

52. Royce RA, Jackson TP, Thorp JM Jr, Hillier SL, Rabe LK, Pastore LM, et al. Race/ethnicity, vaginal flora patterns, and pH during pregnancy. Sex Transm Dis. 1999; 26(2):96–102. [PubMed: 10029984]

53. Dai Q, Hu L, Jiang Y, Shi H, Liu J, Zhou W, et al. An epidemiological survey of bacterial vaginosis, vulvovaginal candidiasis and trichomoniasis in the Tibetan area of Sichuan Province, China. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2010; 150(2):207–209. [PubMed: 20207066]

54. Keshavarz H, Duffy SW, Sadeghi-Hassanabadi A, Zolghadr Z, Oboodi B. Risk factors for and relationship between bacterial vaginosis and cervicitis in a high risk population for cervicitis in Southern Iran. Eur J Epidemiol. 2001; 17(1):89–95. [PubMed: 11523583]

55. Sewankambo N, Gray RH, Wawer MJ, Paxton L, McNaim D, Wabwire-Mangen F, et al. HIV-1 infection associated with abnormal vaginal flora morphology and bacterial vaginosis. Lancet. 1997; 350(9077):546–550. [PubMed: 9284776]

56. Kapiga SH, Sam NE, Shao JF, Renjifo B, Masenga EJ, Kiwelu IE, et al. HIV-1 epidemic among female bar and hotel workers in northern Tanzania: risk factors and opportunities for prevention. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2002; 29(4):409–417. [PubMed: 11917247]

57. Riedner G, Rusizoka M, Hoffmann O, Nichombe F, Lyamuya E, Mmbando D, et al. Baseline survey of sexually transmitted infections in a cohort of female bar workers in Mbeya Region, Tanzania. Sex Transm Infect. 2003; 79(5):382–387. [PubMed: 14573833]

58. Rugpao S, Nagachinta T, Wanapirak C, Srisomboon J, Suriyanon V, Sirirojn B, et al. Gynaecological conditions associated with HIV infection in women who are partners of HIV-positive Thai blood donors. Int J STD AIDS. 1998; 9(11):677–682. [PubMed: 9863581]

59. Fonck K, Kaul R, Keli F, Bwayo JJ, Ngugi EN, Moses S, et al. Sexually transmitted infections and vaginal douching in a population of female sex workers in Nairobi, Kenya. Sex Transm Infect. 2001; 77(4):271–275. [PubMed: 11463927]

60. Fonck K, Kaul R, Kimani J, Keli F, MacDonald KS, Ronald AR, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of monthly azithromycin prophylaxis to prevent sexually transmitted infections and HIV-1 in Kenyan sex workers: study design and baseline findings. Int J STD AIDS. 2000; 11(12): 804–811. [PubMed: 11138916]

61. Meda N, Ledru S, Fofana M, Lankoande S, Soula G, Bazie AJ, et al. Sexually transmitted diseases and human immunodeficiency virus infection among women with genital infections in Burkina Faso. Int J STD AIDS. 1995; 6(4):273–277. [PubMed: 7548291]

62. Fonck K, Kidula N, Kirui P, Ndinya-Achola J, Bwayo J, Claeys P, et al. Pattern of sexually transmitted diseases and risk factors among women attending an STD referral clinic in Nairobi, Kenya. Sex Transm Dis. 2000; 27(7):417–423. [PubMed: 10949433]

63. Mbizvo EM, Msuya SE, Stray-Pedersen B, Sundby J, Chirenje MZ, Hussain A. HIV seroprevalence and its associations with the other reproductive tract infections in asymptomatic women in Harare, Zimbabwe. Int J STD AIDS. 2001; 12(8):524–531. [PubMed: 11487393]

64. Moodley P, Connolly C, Sturm AW. Interrelationships among human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection, bacterial vaginosis, trichomoniasis, and the presence of yeasts. J Infect Dis. 2002; 185(1):69–73. [PubMed: 11756983]

65. Msuya SE, Mbizvo E, Stray-Pedersen B, Sundby J, Sam NE, Hussain A. Reproductive tract infections and the risk of HIV among women in Moshi, Tanzania. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2002; 81(9):886–893. [PubMed: 12225308]

66. Demba E, Morison L, van der Loeff MS, Awasana AA, Gooding E, Bailey R, et al. Bacterial vaginosis, vaginal flora patterns and vaginal hygiene practices in patients presenting with vaginal discharge syndrome in The Gambia, West Africa. BMC Infect Dis. 2005; 5:12. [PubMed: 15757510]

67. Helfgott A, Eriksen N, Bundrick CM, Lorimor R, Van Eckhout B. Vaginal infections in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 183(2):347–355. [PubMed: 10942468]

68. Royce RA, Thorp J, Granados JL, Savitz DA. Bacterial vaginosis associated with HIV infection in pregnant women from North Carolina. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1999; 20(4):382–386. [PubMed: 10096583]

69. Taha TE, Gray RH, Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mtimavalye LA, Liomba GN, et al. HIV infection and disturbances of vaginal flora during pregnancy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1999; 20(1):52–59. [PubMed: 9928730]

70. Sagay AS, Kapiga SH, Imade GE, Sankale JL, Idoko J, Kanki P. HIV infection among pregnant women in Nigeria. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2005; 90(1):61–67. [PubMed: 15907849]

71. Warren D, Klein RS, Sobel J, Kieke B Jr, Brown W, Schuman P, et al. A multicenter study of bacterial vaginosis in women with or at risk for human immunodeficiency virus infection. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2001; 9(3):133–141. [PubMed: 11516061]

72. Cu-Uvin S, Hogan JW, Warren D, Klein RS, Peipert J, Schuman P, et al. Prevalence of lower genital tract infections among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-seropositive and high-risk HIV-seronegative women. HIV Epidemiology Research Study Group. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 1999; 29(5):1145–1150. [PubMed: 10524955]

73. Kumwenda N, Hoffman I, Chirenje M, Kelly C, Coletti A, Ristow A, et al. HIV incidence among women of reproductive age in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Sex Transm Dis. 2006; 33(11):646–651. [PubMed: 16773032]

74. Kleinschmidt I, Rees H, Delany S, Smith D, Dinat N, Nkala B, et al. Injectable progestin contraceptive use and risk of HIV infection in a South African family planning cohort. Contraception. 2007; 75(6):461–467. [PubMed: 17519153]

75. Atashili J, Poole C, Ndumbe PM, Adimora AA, Smith JS. Bacterial vaginosis and HIV acquisition: a meta-analysis of published studies. AIDS. 2008; 22(12):1493–1501. [PubMed: 18614873]

76. Srinivasan S, Hoffman NG, Morgan MT, Matsen FA, Fiedler TL, Hall RW, et al. Bacterial communities in women with bacterial vaginosis: high resolution phylogenetic analyses reveal relationships of microbiota to clinical criteria. PLoS One. 2012; 7(6):e37818. [PubMed: 22719852]

77. Passmore, J., Williams, B. Role of vaginal microbiota in genital inflammation and enhancing HIV transmission. International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016;

78. McClelland, RS., Lingappa, JR., John-Stewart, G., Kinuthia, J., Yuhas, K., et al. Evaluation of the association between the concentrations of key vaginal bacteria and the increased risk of HIV acquisition in African women from five cohorts: a nested case-control study; The Lancet Infectious Diseases; January 25, 2018. Epub ahead of print

79. Gosmann C, Anahtar MN, Handley SA, Farcasanu M, Abu-Ali G, Bowman BA, et al. Lactobacillus-Deficient Cervicovaginal Bacterial Communities Are Associated with Increased HIV Acquisition in Young South African Women. Immunity. 2017; 46(1):29–37. [PubMed: 28087240]

80. Anahtar MN, Byrne EH, Doherty KE, Bowman BA, Yamamoto HS, Soumillon M, et al. Cervicovaginal bacteria are a major modulator of host inflammatory responses in the female genital tract. Immunity. 2015; 42(5):965–976. [PubMed: 25992865]

81. Mirmonsef P, Krass L, Landay A, Spear GT. The role of bacterial vaginosis and trichomonas in HIV transmission across the female genital tract. Curr HIV Res. 2012; 10(3):202–210. [PubMed: 22384839]

82. Petrova MI, van den Broek M, Balzarini J, Vanderleyden J, Lebeer S. Vaginal microbiota and its role in HIV transmission and infection. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2013; 37(5):762–792. [PubMed: 23789590]

83. Mirmonsef P, Spear GT. The barrier to HIV transmission provided by genital tract Lactobacillus colonization. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2014; 71(6):531–536. [PubMed: 24661438]

84. Cone RA. Vaginal microbiota and sexually transmitted infections that may influence transmission of cell-associated HIV. J Infect Dis. 2014; 210(Suppl 3):S616–621. [PubMed: 25414415]

85. Murphy K, Mitchell CM. The Interplay of Host Immunity, Environment and the Risk of Bacterial Vaginosis and Associated Reproductive Health Outcomes. J Infect Dis. 2016; 214(Suppl 1):S29–35. [PubMed: 27056955]

86. Alcaide ML, Strbo N, Romero L, Jones DL, Rodriguez VJ, Arheart K, et al. Bacterial Vaginosis Is Associated with Loss of Gamma Delta T Cells in the Female Reproductive Tract in Women in the Miami Women Interagency HIV Study (WIHS): A Cross Sectional Study. PLoS One. 2016; 11(4):e0153045. [PubMed: 27078021]

87. Thurman AR, Kimble T, Herold B, Mesquita PM, Fichorova RN, Dawood HY, et al. Bacterial Vaginosis and Subclinical Markers of Genital Tract Inflammation and Mucosal Immunity. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2015; 31(11):1139–1152. [PubMed: 26204200]

88. Rebbapragada A, Howe K, Wachihi C, Pettengell C, Sunderji S, Huibner S, et al. Bacterial vaginosis in HIV-infected women induces reversible alterations in the cervical immune environment. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008; 49(5):520–522. [PubMed: 18989228]

89. Valore EV, Wiley DJ, Ganz T. Reversible deficiency of antimicrobial polypeptides in bacterial vaginosis. Infect Immun. 2006; 74(10):5693–5702. [PubMed: 16988245]

90. Cauci S, Guaschino S, De Aloysio D, Driussi S, De Santo D, Penacchioni P, et al. Interrelationships of interleukin-8 with interleukin-1beta and neutrophils in vaginal fluid of healthy and bacterial vaginosis positive women. Mol Hum Reprod. 2003; 9(1):53–58. [PubMed: 12529421]

91. Sturm-Ramirez K, Gaye-Diallo A, Eisen G, Mboup S, Kanki PJ. High levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-1beta in bacterial vaginosis may increase susceptibility to human immunodeficiency virus. J Infect Dis. 2000; 182(2):467–473. [PubMed: 10915077]

92. Cauci S, Culhane JF, Di Santolo M, McCollum K. Among pregnant women with bacterial vaginosis, the hydrolytic enzymes sialidase and prolidase are positively associated with interleukin-1beta. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008; 198(1):132e131–137. [PubMed: 17714681]

93. Cauci S, Driussi S, Guaschino S, Isola M, Quadrifoglio F. Correlation of local interleukin-1beta levels with specific IgA response against Gardnerella vaginalis cytolysin in women with bacterial vaginosis. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2002; 47(5):257–264. [PubMed: 12148539]

94. Anton G, Rid J, Mylonas I, Friese K, Weissenbacher ER. Evidence of a TH1-shift of local vaginal inflammatory response during bacterial vaginosis. Infection. 2008; 36(2):147–152. [PubMed: 18330506]

95. Spandorfer SD, Neuer A, Giraldo PC, Rosenwaks Z, Witkin SS. Relationship of abnormal vaginal flora, proinflammatory cytokines and idiopathic infertility in women undergoing IVF. J Reprod Med. 2001; 46(9):806–810. [PubMed: 11584481]

96. Marconi C, Donders GG, Parada CM, Giraldo PC, da Silva MG. Do Atopobium vaginae, Megasphaera sp. and Leptotrichia sp. change the local innate immune response and sialidase activity in bacterial vaginosis? Sex Transm Infect. 2013; 89(2):167–173. [PubMed: 23076402]

97. Hedges SR, Barrientes F, Desmond RA, Schwebke JR. Local and systemic cytokine levels in relation to changes in vaginal flora. J Infect Dis. 2006; 193(4):556–562. [PubMed: 16425135]

98. Hemalatha R, Ramalaxmi BA, KrishnaSwetha G, Kumar PU, Rao DM, Balakrishna N, et al. Cervicovaginal inflammatory cytokines and sphingomyelinase in women with and without bacterial vaginosis. Am J Med Sci. 2012; 344(1):35–39. [PubMed: 22157388]

99. Kyongo JK, Crucitti T, Menten J, Hardy L, Cools P, Michiels J, et al. Cross-Sectional Analysis of Selected Genital Tract Immunological Markers and Molecular Vaginal Microbiota in Sub-Saharan African Women, with Relevance to HIV Risk and Prevention. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2015; 22(5): 526–538. [PubMed: 25761460]

100. Morrison C, Fichorova RN, Mauck C, Chen PL, Kwok C, Chipato T, et al. Cervical inflammation and immunity associated with hormonal contraception, pregnancy, and HIV-1 seroconversion. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014; 66(2):109–117. [PubMed: 24413042]

101. Masson L, Passmore JA, Liebenberg LJ, Werner L, Baxter C, Arnold KB, et al. Genital inflammation and the risk of HIV acquisition in women. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2015; 61(2):260–269. [PubMed: 25900168]

102. Dieu-Nosjean MC, Vicari A, Lebecque S, Caux C. Regulation of dendritic cell trafficking: a process that involves the participation of selective chemokines. J Leukoc Biol. 1999; 66(2):252–262. [PubMed: 10449163]

103. Wira CR, Fahey JV, Sentman CL, Pioli PA, Shen L. Innate and adaptive immunity in female genital tract: cellular responses and interactions. Immunol Rev. 2005; 206:306–335. [PubMed: 16048557]

104. Cohn JA, Hashemi FB, Camarca M, Kong F, Xu J, Beckner SK, et al. HIV-inducing factor in cervicovaginal secretions is associated with bacterial vaginosis in HIV-1-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2005; 39(3):340–346. [PubMed: 15980696]

105. Al-Harthi L, Spear GT, Hashemi FB, Landay A, Sha BE, Roebuck KA. A human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-inducing factor from the female genital tract activates HIV-1 gene expression through the kappaB enhancer. J Infect Dis. 1998; 178(5):1343–1351. [PubMed: 9780254]

106. Spear GT, al-Harthi L, Sha B, Saarloos MN, Hayden M, Massad LS, et al. A potent activator of HIV-1 replication is present in the genital tract of a subset of HIV-1-infected and uninfected women. AIDS. 1997; 11(11):1319–1326. [PubMed: 9302440]

107. Olinger GG, Hashemi FB, Sha BE, Spear GT. Association of indicators of bacterial vaginosis with a female genital tract factor that induces expression of HIV-1. AIDS. 1999; 13(14):1905–1912. [PubMed: 10513649]

108. Aldunate M, Tyssen D, Johnson A, Zakir T, Sonza S, Moench T, et al. Vaginal concentrations of lactic acid potently inactivate HIV. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013; 68(9):2015–2025. [PubMed: 23657804]

109. Mirmonsef P, Gilbert D, Veazey RS, Wang J, Kendrick SR, Spear GT. A comparison of lower genital tract glycogen and lactic acid levels in women and macaques: implications for HIV and SIV susceptibility. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2012; 28(1):76–81. [PubMed: 21595610]

110. Hearps AC, Tyssen D, Srbinovski D, Bayigga L, Diaz DJ, Aldunate M, et al. Vaginal lactic acid elicits an anti-inflammatory response from human cervicovaginal epithelial cells and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory mediators associated with HIV acquisition. Mucosal Immunol. 2017

111. Selle K, Klaenhammer TR. Genomic and phenotypic evidence for probiotic influences of Lactobacillus gasseri on human health. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2013; 37(6):915–935. [PubMed: 23488471]

112. Nardis C, Mosca L, Mastromarino P. Vaginal microbiota and viral sexually transmitted diseases. Ann Ig. 2013; 25(5):443–456. [PubMed: 24048183]

113. Borgdorff H, Gautam R, Armstrong SD, Xia D, Ndayisaba GF, van Teijlingen NH, et al. Cervicovaginal microbiome dysbiosis is associated with proteome changes related to alterations of the cervicovaginal mucosal barrier. Mucosal Immunol. 2016; 9(3):621–633. [PubMed: 26349657]

114. Nunn KL, Wang YY, Harit D, Humphrys MS, Ma B, Cone R, et al. Enhanced Trapping of HIV-1 by Human Cervicovaginal Mucus Is Associated with Lactobacillus crispatus-Dominant Microbiota. MBio. 2015; 6(5):e01084–01015. [PubMed: 26443453]

115. Hill AB. The Environment and Disease: Association or Causation? Proc R Soc Med. 1965; 58:295–300. [PubMed: 14283879]

116. van de Wijgert J, Morrison C, Salata R, Padian N. Is vaginal washing associated with increased risk of HIV-1 acquisition? AIDS. 2006; 20(9):1347–1348. author reply 1348–1349. [PubMed: 16816573]

117. van de Wijgert JH, Morrison CS, Cornelisse PG, Munjoma M, Moncada J, Awio P, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and vaginal yeast, but not vaginal cleansing, increase HIV-1 acquisition in African women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008; 48(2):203–210. [PubMed: 18520679]

118. Riedner G, Hoffmann O, Rusizoka M, Mmbando D, Maboko L, Grosskurth H, et al. Decline in sexually transmitted infection prevalence and HIV incidence in female barworkers attending prevention and care services in Mbeya Region, Tanzania. AIDS. 2006; 20(4):609–615. [PubMed: 16470126]

119. Sobel JD, Ferris D, Schwebke J, Nyirjesy P, Wiesenfeld HC, Peipert J, et al. Suppressive antibacterial therapy with 0. 75% metronidazole vaginal gel to prevent recurrent bacterial vaginosis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2006; 194(5):1283–1289. [PubMed: 16647911]

120. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Kafulafula G, Makanani B, Nkhoma C, Chen S, et al. Intermittent intravaginal antibiotic treatment of bacterial vaginosis in HIV-uninfected and -infected women: a randomized clinical trial. PLoS Clin Trials. 2007; 2(2):e10. [PubMed: 17318258]

121. Schwebke JR, Desmond RA. A randomized trial of the duration of therapy with metronidazole plus or minus azithromycin for treatment of symptomatic bacterial vaginosis. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2007; 44(2):213–219. [PubMed: 17173219]

122. Schwebke JR, Lee JY, Lensing S, Philip SS, Wiesenfeld HC, Sena AC, et al. Home Screening for Bacterial Vaginosis to Prevent Sexually Transmitted Diseases. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2016; 62(5):531–536. [PubMed: 26611782]

123. McClelland RS, Richardson BA, Hassan WM, Chohan V, Lavreys L, Mandaliya K, et al. Improvement of vaginal health for Kenyan women at risk for acquisition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: results of a randomized trial. J Infect Dis. 2008; 197(10):1361–1368. [PubMed: 18444793]

124. Balkus JE, Srinivasan S, Anzala O, Kimani J, Andac C, Schwebke J, et al. Impact of periodic presumptive treatment for bacterial vaginosis on the vaginal microbiome among women participating in the Preventing Vaginal Infections trial. J Infect Dis. 2016

125. Abdool Karim Q, Abdool Karim SS, Frohlich JA, Grobler AC, Baxter C, Mansoor LE, et al. Effectiveness and safety of tenofovir gel, an antiretroviral microbicide, for the prevention of HIV infection in women. Science. 2010; 329(5996):1168–1174. [PubMed: 20643915]

126. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, Smith DK, Rose CE, Segolodi TM, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. N Engl J Med. 2012; 367(5):423–434. [PubMed: 22784038]

127. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, Mugo NR, Campbell JD, Wangisi J, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. N Engl J Med. 2012; 367(5): 399–410. [PubMed: 22784037]

128. Marrazzo JM, Ramjee G, Richardson BA, Gomez K, Mgodi N, Nair G, et al. Tenofovir-based preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372(6): 509–518. [PubMed: 25651245]

129. Van Damme L, Corneli A, Ahmed K, Agot K, Lombaard J, Kapiga S, et al. Preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. N Engl J Med. 2012; 367(5):411–422. [PubMed: 22784040]

130. Klatt NR, Cheu R, Birse K, Zevin AS, Perner M, Noel-Romas L, et al. Vaginal bacteria modify HIV tenofovir microbicide efficacy in African women. Science. 2017; 356(6341):938–945. [PubMed: 28572388]

131. Hillier, SL., Meyn, LA., Bunge, K., Austin, M., Moncla, BJ., Dezzutti, CS., Schwartz, J., Marzinke, MA., Hendrix, C., Rohan, LC. Impact of vaginal microbiota on genital tissue and plasma concentrations of tenofovir. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Seattle, Washington. 2017;

132. Heffron R, McClelland RS, Balkus JE, Celum C, Cohen CR, et al. for the Partners PrEP Study Team. Efficacy of oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV among women with abnormal vaginal microbiota: a post-hoc analysis of the randomised, placebo-controlled Partners PrEP Study. Lancet HIV. 2017; 4(10):e449–e56. DOI: 10.1016/S2352-3018(17)30110-8 [PubMed: 28732773]

133. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. 2015 Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines: Bacterial Vaginosis. 2015.

134. Oduyebo OO, Anorlu RI, Ogunsola FT. The effects of antimicrobial therapy on bacterial vaginosis in non-pregnant women. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2009; (3):CD006055. [PubMed: 19588379]

135. Bradshaw CS, Sobel JD. Current Treatment of Bacterial Vaginosis-Limitations and Need for Innovation. J Infect Dis. 2016; 214(Suppl 1):S14–20. [PubMed: 27449869]

136. Ngugi BM, Hemmerling A, Bukusi EA, Kikuvi G, Gikunju J, Shiboski S, et al. Effects of bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria and sexual intercourse on vaginal colonization with the probiotic Lactobacillus crispatus CTV-05. Sex Transm Dis. 2011; 38(11):1020–1027. [PubMed: 21992977]

137. Reichman O, Akins R, Sobel JD. Boric acid addition to suppressive antimicrobial therapy for recurrent bacterial vaginosis. Sex Transm Dis. 2009; 36(11):732–734. [PubMed: 19704395]

138. Pulcini, E. Infectious Diseases Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Stowe, Vermont: 2014. Effects of boric acid and Tol-463 against biofilms formed by key vaginitis pathogens Gardnerella vaginalis and Candida albicans.

139. Swidsinski A, Loening-Baucke V, Swidsinski S, Verstraelen H. Polymicrobial Gardnerella biofilm resists repeated intravaginal antiseptic treatment in a subset of women with bacterial vaginosis: a preliminary report. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2015; 291(3):605–609. [PubMed: 25245669]

140. Hooven TA, Randis TM, Hymes SR, Rampersaud R, Ratner AJ. Retrocyclin inhibits Gardnerella vaginalis biofilm formation and toxin activity. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012; 67(12):2870–2872. [PubMed: 22855857]

141. Eade CR, Cole AL, Diaz C, Rohan LC, Parniak MA, Marx P, et al. The anti-HIV microbicide candidate RC-101 inhibits pathogenic vaginal bacteria without harming endogenous flora or mucosa. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2013; 69(2):150–158. [PubMed: 23167830]

142. Brackman G, Coenye T. Quorum sensing inhibitors as anti-biofilm agents. Curr Pharm Des. 2015; 21(1):5–11. [PubMed: 25189863]

143. Deng Y, Lim A, Lee J, Chen S, An S, Dong YH, et al. Diffusible signal factor (DSF) quorum sensing signal and structurally related molecules enhance the antimicrobial efficacy of antibiotics against some bacterial pathogens. BMC Microbiol. 2014; 14:51. [PubMed: 24575808]

144. Esber A, Moyo P, Munjoma M, Francis S, van de Wijgert J, Chipato T, et al. Cessation of intravaginal practices to prevent bacterial vaginosis: a pilot intervention in Zimbabwean women. Sex Transm Infect. 2015; 91(3):183–188. [PubMed: 25355772]

145. Masese L, McClelland RS, Gitau R, Wanje G, Shafi J, Kashonga F, et al. A pilot study of the feasibility of a vaginal washing cessation intervention among Kenyan female sex workers. Sex Transm Infect. 2013; 89(3):217–222. [PubMed: 23002190]

146. McClelland RS, Balkus JE, Lee J, Anzala O, Kimani J, Schwebke J, et al. Randomized Trial of Periodic Presumptive Treatment With High-Dose Intravaginal Metronidazole and Miconazole to Prevent Vaginal Infections in HIV-negative Women. J Infect Dis. 2015; 211(12):1875–1882. [PubMed: 25526757]

**Table 1**

Studies using molecular techniques to examine the vaginal microbiome and HIV acquisition

| Author (Year) | Country/Region | Study Population | N (# HIV events) | Method | Main Findings | | Measure of Association | Limitations | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Gosmann et al (2017)** [92] | South Africa | Women in Females Rising Through Education, Support, and Healthy (FRESH) Study | 236 (31) | 16S rRNA V4 gene sequencing | 1 | Vaginal bacterial communities dominated by *Gardnerella vaginalis* (CT3) and by taxa other than *Lactobacillus*, *L. iners*, and *G. vaginalis* (CT4) associated with HIV acquisition [1]. | CT3: HR 4.22 (95% CI 1.06–16.88), p=0.042 CT4: HR 4.03 (95% CI 1.14–14.27) p=0.031 | 1 | HIV incidence analyses stratify by the presence of *Chlamydia*, but do not adjust for other potential confounding factors including for sexual risk behaviors. |
| | | | | | 2 | *Prevotella bivia 1*, *Prevotella melaninogenica*, *Veillonella montpellierensis*, *Mycoplasma*, *Prevotella bivia 2*, and *Sneathia sanguinegens* associated with HIV. | | | |
| **Passmore and Williams (2016)** [90] | South Africa | Women in CAPRISA 004 Trial | 119 (49) | V1–V3 rDNA sequencing | 1 | Vaginal communities defined by *Prevotella bivia* (CST4) associated with inflammation and HIV acquisition [1]. | aOR 12.7, 95% CI 2.1–77.8, p=0.006 | 1 | Relatively small sample size |
| | | | | | 2 | *P. bivia* associated with HIV acquisition | | 2 | Data described in abstract showed only unadjusted ratios. |
| **McClelland et al. (2018)** [78] | Eastern and Southern Africa | Female sex workers, pregnant/ postpartum, and HIV-negative women in discordant couples | 349 (87) | Broad range PCR with deep sequencing and quantitative PCR | 1 | HIV seroconversion with more vaginal microbial diversity | Shannon Diversity Index median 0.9, (IQR 0.4–2.3) in HIV sero-converters compared to controls with median 0.7, (IQR 0.1–1.4), p=0.03 | 1 | Did not explore mechanistic explanations for the associations |
| | | | | | 2 | *Eggerthella* species type 1, *Gemella asaccharolytica*, *Leptotrichia/ Sneathia*, | | | |

| Author (Year) | Country/Region | Study Population | N (# HIV events) | Method | Main Findings | Measure of Association | Limitations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | *Megasphaera*, and *Mycoplasma hominis* significantly associated with HIV acquisition | | |

Abbreviations: PCR: polymerase chain reaction; CT: cervicotypes; CST: community state types; aOR: adjusted odds ratio; IQR: interquartile range; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval

[1]While CTs and CSTs both describe vaginal communities by relative abundance, the specific processes for defining these communities are different.

**Table 2**

Hill Criteria for Causation [115].

| Criteria and explanation as described in Bradford Hill's original 1965 paper (153) | Evidence from studies using classical methods for BV diagnosis | Evidence from newer studies using molecular methods to characterize vaginal microbiome | Data satisfy criterion[1] |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Strength:** "First upon my list I would put the strength of association [...] In thus putting emphasis upon the strength of association we must, nevertheless, look at the obverse of the coin. We must not be too ready to dismiss a cause-and-effect hypothesis merely on the grounds that the observed association appears to be slight." | Multiple prospective studies and meta-analyses generally agree that both BV and intermediate microbiota on vaginal Gram stain are associated with approximately 1.5-fold higher risk of HIV acquisition compared to normal microbiota. | Diverse non-*Lactobacillus* dominant vaginal bacterial communities, relative abundance of some bacteria, and quantities of some bacteria have been associated with increased risk of HIV acquisition. Risk estimates vary across the three available studies. | Partially |
| **Consistency:** "Has it been repeatedly observed by different persons, in different places, circumstances and times?" | Of 15 prospective studies and meta-analyses, all but 1 found a statistically significant association between BV and HIV acquisition | Across all three studies, more diverse bacterial communities were associated with HIV acquisition. Relationships between individual bacterial taxa and HIV acquisition are more consistent for some species (e.g. *Sneathia* and *Mycoplasma*) than for others (e.g. *Prevotella*, non-*iners Lactobacillus* species). | Mostly |
| **Specificity:** "One reason, needless to say, is the specificity of the association [...] If as here, the association is limited to specific workers and to particular sites and types of disease and there is not association between the work and other modes of dying, then clearly that is a strong argument in favour of causation." | Bacterial vaginosis is not a necessary exposure to acquire HIV. | There is limited evidence, but it seems highly unlikely that a particular vaginal community or the presence or high concentrations of a specific type of bacteria is required for HIV acquisition. | Not at all |
| **Temporality:** "My fourth characteristics is the temporal relationship of the association—which is the cart and which the horse?" | Numerous prospective studies have demonstrated an association between BV and subsequent acquisition of HIV. | The three available molecular studies all captured data on the vaginal microbiome prior to or very shortly after, HIV infection. | Fully |
| **Biological gradient:** "...if the association is one which can reveal a biological gradient, or dose-response curve, then we should look most carefully for such evidence." | Prospective studies provide inconsistent evidence of a biological gradient for increased HIV risk with increasing Nugent score or numbers of Amsel criteria fulfilled. | There is some evidence from recent studies of increasing HIV risk with increasing vaginal bacterial community diversity, and with higher relative abundance and absolute concentrations of some types of bacteria | Partially |
| **Plausibility:** "It will be helpful if the causation we suspect is biologically plausible. But this is a feature I am convinced we cannot demand." | Multiple biologically plausible mechanisms could explain the relationship between BV and increased susceptibility to HIV. | Studies at the molecular level suggest that more diverse vaginal bacterial communities, and the presence and concentrations of key bacteria, may increase HIV susceptibility. | Mostly |
| **Coherence:** "[...] the cause-and-effect interpretation of our data should not seriously conflict with the generally known facts of the natural history and biology of the disease." | Laboratory studies have mostly shown plausible mechanisms, as noted in the previous row. However, there is not a good animal model of the *Lactobacillus*-dominated healthy human microbiota associated with lower risk. *Ex vivo* infection of biopsy specimens from women with different vaginal conditions could be helpful in providing additional data showing coherence between laboratory data and epidemiological studies. | | Minimally |
| **Experiment:** "Occasionally it is possible to appeal to experimental, or semi-experimental, evidence. For example, because of an observed association some preventive action is taken. Does it in fact prevent?" | Clinical trials of BV interventions have produced moderate reductions in BV prevalence over periods up to one year. However, no effective interventions for reducing BV have been evaluated in HIV prevention trials. | One study has demonstrated that periodic presumptive treatment with metronidazole plus miconazole reduces detection and concentrations of several BV-related bacteria. However, no effective interventions targeting the vaginal microbiome have been evaluated in HIV prevention trials. | Not at all |
| **Analogy:** "In some circumstances it would be fair to judge by analogy." | Prospective studies and clinical trials provide some evidence for an analogous relationship | There is some evidence that the presence of key bacteria may increase susceptibility to STIs other than HIV. | Minimally |

| Criteria and explanation as described in Bradford Hill's original 1965 paper (153) | Evidence from studies using classical methods for BV diagnosis | Evidence from newer studies using molecular methods to characterize vaginal microbiome | Data satisfy criterion[1] |
|---|---|---|---|
| | between BV and STIs other than HIV. However, these are also not proven causal relationships, and could be mediated through separate mechanisms. | However, these are also not proven causal relationships, and could be mediated through separate mechanisms. | |

Abbreviations: BV=bacterial vaginosis; CST=community state types; HIV=human immunodeficiency virus; STIs=sexually transmitted infections

[1] Overall degree to which the available evidence satisfies each of the 9 Hill criteria on a scale that includes not at all, minimally, partially, mostly, fully.