

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Org Chem.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 May 04.

Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2018 May 04; 83(9): 5187–5198. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.8b00593.

Enantioselective Synthesis of Thailanstatin A Methyl Ester and Evaluation of *in vitro* Splicing Inhibition

Arun K. Ghosh^{†,*}, Anne M. Veitschegger[†], Shenyou Nie[†], Nicola Relitti Andrew J. MacRae[‡], and Melissa S. Jurica[‡]

[†]Department of Chemistry and Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

[‡]Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology and Center for Molecular Biology of RNA, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064

Abstract

Thailanstatin A has been isolated recently from the fermentation broth of *B. thailandensis MSMB43*. We describe here an enantioselective convergent synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester and evaluation of its splicing activity. Synthesis of both highly functionalized tetrahydropyran rings were carried out from commercially available tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal as the key starting material. Our convergent synthesis involved the synthesis of both tetrahydropyran fragments in a highly stereoselective manner. The fragments were then coupled using cross-metathesis as the key step. The synthesis of the diene subunit included a highly stereoselective Claisen rearrangement, a Cu(I)-mediated conjugate addition of MeLi to set the C-14 methyl stereochemistry, a reductive amination reaction to install the C16-amine functionality and a Wittig olefination reaction to incorporate the diene unit. The epoxy alcohol subunit was synthesized by a highly selective anomeric allylation, a Peterson olefination and a vanadium catalyzed epoxidation that installed the epoxide stereoselectively. Cross-metathesis of the olefins provided the methyl ester derivative of thailanstatin A. We have carried out *in vitro* splicing studies of the methyl ester derivative, which proved to be a potent inhibitor of the spliceosome.

Graphical abstract



Arun K. Ghosh, akghosh@purdue.edu.

Supporting Information

Full spectroscopic data for all compounds are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Introduction

Pre-mRNA splicing is a fundamental event in gene expression in eukaryotes.^{1,2} Splicing is catalyzed by a complex ribonucleoprotein machinery called the spliceosome, which is formed from uridine-rich small nuclear RNAs and dozens of proteins.^{3,4} During splicing, the spliceosome removes intervening sequences (introns) while joining coding sequences (exons) to form mature mRNA, which is exported to the cytoplasm for translation into proteins.^{5,6} Alternative splicing provides cell transcriptome diversity; recent studies have shown that splicing is pathologically altered to promote the initiation and maintenance of cancer.^{7,8,9} Splicing requires numerous protein-protein and protein-RNA interactions.^{10,11} These interactions and regulatory steps provide a wide range of opportunities to manipulate the splicing cascade for therapeutic purposes. Currently, a series of natural products and their derivatives, in particular, pladienolide B (1), spliceostatin A (2), and herboxidiene (3) are known to inhibit spliceosome function by binding to the SF3B subunit of U2 snRNP. ^{12,14,15} The precise biochemical interactions of these natural products with these proteins are not clear. Interestingly, the effect of these natural products on cell cytotoxicity is completely abrogated by mutation of a single residue of SF3B1 (SF3B1; R1074H), which suggests that these compounds function through specific interactions with SF3B1.^{16,17} Despite potent splicing inhibitory activity by these natural products, clinical use has been limited due to inadequate physicochemical properties.^{18,19} Thus far, a semisynthetic derivative E707,6, which showed improved pharmacological profiles, underwent clinical trials.^{20,21} Also, several spliceostatin derivatives have been recently modified for specific delivery of spliceostatin payloads using antibody-drug conjugates.^{22,23,24} Liu and co-workers in 2013, reported a new class of spliceostatins, one of which is thailanstatin A (1, Figure 1), isolated from the fermentation broth of *B. thailandensis MSMB43.*²⁵ The structure of thailanstatin A was elucidated with a combination of high resolution mass spectrometry and extensive NMR studies. He and co-workers in 2014, isolated spliceostatins as well as thailanstatins from a culture broth of *Burkholderia* sp. FERM BP-3421.²⁶ Thailanstatin A showed potent antiproliferative activity in human cancer cell lines with IC₅₀ values ranging from 59-320 nM in various cancer cell lines.²⁵ The methyl ester derivative of thailanstatin A, 2, exhibited remarkably potent in vitro potency in multiple cancer cell lines with IC₅₀ values ranging from 0.25–0.78 nM.²⁶ Because of its potential for development of cancer drugs, thailanstatin A has been produced in quantities via an optimized fermentation process.^{27,28} Over the years, total synthesis and further design of structural derivatives of spliceostatins such as FR901464 (3), spliceostatin A (4) and pladienolides, in particular pladienolide B (5), have been pursued to improve stability and drug properties.^{29–35} Recently, Nicolaou and coworkers reported the first synthesis of thailanstatin A, 1 and its methyl ester derivative, 2.36Our interest in thailanstatin A arose from its potent antitumor activity, and its structural features for incorporating linkers for the preparation of antibody drug conjugates. Herein, we report our convergent synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester and our preliminary studies of in vitro splicing activity of the methyl ester derivative. The synthesis provides rapid access to structural variants of thailanstatins and spliceostatins.

Results and Discussion

The thailanstatin class of natural products consists of complex and sensitive functionalities. Thailanstatin A contains nine stereogenic centers, and two highly functionalized tetrahydropyran ring systems attached via a conjugated diene functionality.^{25,26} Furthermore, the molecule contains a sensitive epoxide and an allylic acetate group. Some of these functional groups not only created a synthetic challenge, but also pose significant obstacles for advancing these agents to cancer therapy. Specifically, both the epoxide and the diene functionalities are very sensitive to acid and the epoxide ring has proven to be subjected to nucleophilic attack readily. Our retrosynthetic analysis of thailanstatin A is shown in Scheme 1. Our strategic disconnections of the diene (C8-C9) provided subunits 7 and 8, respectively. Our plan was to utilize cross-metathesis of these subunits at a late stage to construct the molecular architecture of thailanstatin A. We planned to assemble the diene subunit by amide coupling of amine 9 and carboxylic acid 10. The functionalized tetrahydropyran ring 9 would be constructed stereoselectively from the aldehyde intermediate 11. Synthesis of this aldehyde derivative can be carried out by utilizing a Claisen rearrangement of a vinyl ether derivative which would be obtained from the commercially available tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal (13). The synthesis of the epoxy alcohol subunit 8 would also be carried out from tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal by a stereoselective allylation reaction to obtain intermediate 12 followed by functional group manipulations. The overall chiron approach from tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal would construct eight of the nine stereogenic centers of thailanstatin A.

Our synthesis of functionalized amine 9 from tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal is shown in Scheme 2. The preparation of vinyl ether derivative 14 was accomplished in multigram scale from 13 as reported in the literature.^{37,38} The three-step reaction sequence involved (1) saponification with K_2CO_3 in MeOH; (2) reaction of the resulting triol with di-*t*-butylsilvl triflate and pyridine to provide the corresponding silyl acetal and (3) reaction of the resulting allylic alcohol with an excess of ethyl vinyl ether in the presence of $Hg(OAc)_2$ to provide 13 in 54% yield over 3-steps. Vinyl ether 14 was dissolved in toluene and the resulting solution was heated in a sealed tube at 190 °C for 12 h to furnish aldehyde 15 in 95% yield. Wittig olefination of aldehyde 15 with 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanal in toluene at 110 °C for 12 h furnished the corresponding α,β -unsaturated aldehyde. Subsequent Wittig olefination of this aldehyde with methylenetriphenylphosphorane, generated from the reaction of Ph₃PCH₃Br and 'BuOK in THF at 0 °C, afforded diene 16 in 76% yield over 2steps. To install the C-17 methyl group, compound 16 was subjected to tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF at 0 °C to 23 °C for 12 h to provide the corresponding diol. Reaction of this diol with 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (TPSCl) in pyridine at 0 °C to 23 °C for 24 h furnished sulfonate derivative 17 in 88% yield over 2-steps.³⁹ Sulfonate 17 was reduced with LAH in THF at 0 °C to 65 °C for 1.5 h to furnish the reduced product 18 in 95% yield.

The synthesis of diene subunit **7** is shown in Scheme 3. For the introduction of the C14 methyl group, we planned to utilize a Cu(I)-mediated 1,4-addition developed by us previously.³¹ Accordingly, alcohol **18** was oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane in the presence of NaHCO₃ in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C to 23 °C for 1.5 h to provide the corresponding

enone. Reaction of this enone with the CuBr•SMe₂ complex at -78 °C for 2 h resulted in pyranone **19** in 64% yield over 2-steps. Pyranone **19** was obtained as a single diastereomer by ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR analysis. The high degree of diastereoselectivity can be rationalized based upon the conformation of the enone in transition state model **20**. Here, axial attack by the cuprate is favored due to coordination of the Cu-complex to the endocyclic pyranone oxygen on the top face. Thus, the equatorial attack is clearly less favored due to developing non-bonded interactions with the nucleophile as shown. To install the C16 amine functionality, we planned a substrate-controlled stereoselective reduction that we previously carried out on a similar system.³¹ Thus, reduction of ketone **19** with NaBH(OAc)₃ and ammonium acetate in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 23 °C for 2 h afforded amine **9** as a mixture of diastereomers (8.5:1 by ¹H-NMR analysis).⁴⁰ The amine mixture was reacted with known³¹ optically active acid **10** using HATU in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) to provide amide derivative **7** in 85% yield.

Our next synthetic plan was to develop an enantioselective route to the other functionalized tetrahydropyran derivative 8. Our initial attempt for the synthesis of this epoxyalcohol subunit 8 is shown in Scheme 4. Tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal 13 was converted to the corresponding methyl acetal by reaction with CeCl3•7H2O and NaI in MeOH as described by Yadav and co-workers.⁴¹ Reaction of the resulting acetal with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of TMSOTf in acetonitrile at 23 °C provided allyl derivative 22 diastereoselectively (10:1 mixture).⁴² The mixture was separated by silica gel chromatography. Treatment of triacetate 22 with K₂CO₃ in MeOH at 23 °C for 4 h afforded the corresponding triol. Reaction of the triol with p-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal in the presence of a catalytic amount of CSA in DMF at 23 °C for 2 h afforded benzylidene acetal 23 selectively in 85% yield over 2-steps. DIBAL-H reduction of 24 in CH₂Cl₂ at -15 °C for 12 h provided the corresponding PMB-protected alcohol. Selective protection of the primary alcohol as a TBSether with TBSCl and imidazole in the presence of DMAP in CH2Cl2 at 23 °C furnished protected allyl derivative 24 in 86% yield over 2-steps. Allyl derivative 24 was converted to methyl ester derivative 25 by exposure to ozonolytic conditions in a mixture of 2.5 M NaOH solution in MeOH and CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C.⁴³ This methyl ester 25 was isolated in 36% yield. The alcohol functionality was oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane and the resulting ketone was reacted with methylenetriphenylphosphorane at 0 °C in THF, to provide olefin 26 in 72% yield over 2-steps.

Conversion of olefin **26** to epoxy alcohol derivative **8** is shown in Scheme 5. TBS-ether **26** was exposed to TBAF in THF at 23 °C to provide the corresponding alcohol. Oxidation of this primary alcohol to the corresponding aldehyde was accomplished by reaction with Dess-Martin periodinane. Wittig-olefination of the resulting aldehyde with methylenetriphenylphosphorane at 0 °C provided diene derivative **27** in 33% yield over 3-steps. For the directed epoxidation, the PMB-group was removed using DDQ in a phosphate buffer (pH 7) at 0 °C for 3 h. The resulting allylic alcohol was reacted with a catalytic amount (20 mol %) of VO(acac)₂ in the presence of *t*-butyl hydroperoxide in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C to 23 °C for 2 h to provide epoxide **7** as a single diastereomer by ¹H-NMR analysis.⁴⁴

Overall, the route provided access to the epoxy alcohol subunit **8**, however, there were major drawbacks for this route with one being the conversion of olefin **24** to ester **25** which

provided only 36% yield under optimized conditions. Additionally, the Wittig olefination step for the conversion of **25** to **26** provided very low yields and other side products. When the reaction was scaled up, one of the major side products being the formation of conjugated ester **28**. Thus, we turned to explore an alternate route utilizing homoallyl derivative **23** and also planned to install the carboxylic acid functionality at a later stage.

Our optimized route to epoxy alcohol 8 is shown in Scheme 6. Ozonolytic cleavage of olefin 23 followed by reduction of the resulting aldehyde with $NaBH_4$ provided the corresponding alcohol. The alcohol was protected as a TBDPS-ether by reaction with TBDPS-Cl and imidazole in CH₂Cl₂ at 23 °C for 1 h, providing silvl ether derivative 29 in 73% yield over 2-steps. Oxidation of the alcohol 29 with a catalytic amount of TPAP (10 mol %) in the presence of NMO and 4Å MS in CH₂Cl₂ at 23 °C for 30 min provided the corresponding ketone. Peterson olefination of this ketone was carried out by addition of TMSCH₂MgCl in ether at 0 °C for 30 min followed by addition of KHMDS in THF at 0 °C to 23 °C for 1.5 h. Olefin **30** was obtained in 68% yield over 3-steps.^{46,47} The Peterson olefination step provided convenient access to olefin **30** in a reproducible yield during scale-up of these reactions. DIBAL-H reduction of 30 in PhCH₃ at -78 °C to 0 °C for 1 h afforded the PMBether derivative 31 regioselectively in 69% yield. Oxidation of the primary alcohol using TPAP in the presence of NMO as described above, provided the corresponding aldehyde. Wittig olefination of the aldehyde furnished diene derivative **32** in 31% yield over 2-steps. Diene 32 was converted to methyl ester derivative 27 in a three-step sequence involving, (i) removal of the TBDPS-ether by exposure to TBAF in THF at 23 °C for 2 h; (ii) oxidation of the resulting alcohol with TEMPO in the presence of BAIB in a mixture (1:1) of CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O and (iii) esterification of the resulting acid with TMSCHN₂ in a mixture (3:2) of toluene and MeOH at 23 °C for 2 h. Methyl ester 27 was obtained in 84% yield over 3-steps. It was converted to epoxy alcohol 8 as described in Scheme 4.

With the stereoselective syntheses of functionalized diene subunit 6 and epoxy alcohol subunit $\mathbf{8}$, we explored cross metathesis as shown in Scheme 7.^{48,49} The reaction was carried out under optimized conditions as follows. A solution of epoxy alcohol 8 and Grubbs II catalyst was prepared in CH₂Cl₂ under an argon atmosphere. In a separate flask, to a stirred solution of diene 7 in CH₂Cl₂, a one-third portion of epoxy alcohol 8 and the catalyst mixture was added and the resulting mixture was heated to 55 °C for 1.5 h. Two other portions of epoxy alcohol and catalyst were successively added to the reaction mixture at 55 °C in 1.5 h intervals. The reaction was carried out for a total of 6 h at 55 °C. After this period, the reaction was cooled down to 23 °C and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel chromatography over silica gel to provide the methyl ester derivative of thailanstatin A, (2) in 46% yield. The ¹H and ¹³C-NMR of our synthetic thailanstatin A methyl ester $\{ [\alpha]_{D}^{23} + 4.8 (c0.08, CH_2Cl_2) \}$ are in full agreement with the reported spectra of synthetic thailanstatin A methyl ester by Nicolaou and co-workers.³⁶ To complete the synthesis of thailanstatin A, we were required to hydrolyze the methyl ester functionality. Our subsequent attempts to hydrolyze the methyl ester under various conditions including trimethyltin hydroxide however, resulted in decomposition to a mixture of unidentified products.⁵⁰ Since thailanstatin A methyl ester has been reported to have significantly better

cytotoxic properties than the acid, we sought to test its activity as a splicing inhibitor *in vitro*.

(III) Biological Activity Studies

The activity of thailanstatin methyl ester (**2**) was measured in an *in vitro* splicing assay in which a synthetic pre-mRNA substrate is incubated in nuclear extract from HeLa cells with increasing concentrations of the compound. We determined splicing efficiency by separating the RNA substrate and products by denaturing PAGE and quantifying percent of pre-mRNA converted to mRNA relative to a DMSO control, which does not affect splicing. Compound (2) inhibits *in vitro* splicing in a dose dependent manner, with an IC₅₀ of approximately 0.4 μ M (Figure 2a and 2c). We also used native gel analysis of the same *in vitro* splicing reactions to examine the effect of (2) on spliceosome assembly. Spliceosomes assemble on pre-mRNA substrates via an ordered series of complexes with differing mobility in native gel electrophoresis. H/E and A complexes represent early intermediates that convert to B and then to C complex, in which splicing reaction is catalyzed. All of the spliceosome complexes appear in splicing reactions with DMSO, but spliceosome assembly is halted at an A-like complex in the presence of increasing amounts of (**2**) (Figure 2b).The block in complex assembly correlates with los of splicing catalysis, and is consistent with the activity other compounds that target SF3B1, such as spliceostatin A.

Conclusion

We have accomplished an enantioselective synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester and carried out an evaluation of its in vitro splicing activity. The convergent synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester involved construction of both highly functionalized tetrahydropyran rings utilizing commercially available inexpensive tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal as the key starting material. The synthesis of tetrahydropyran segment 9 was carried out using a highly stereoselective Claisen rearrangement to set the C13 stereocenter. Installation of the C14 methyl center was accomplished by a Cu(I)-mediated conjugate addition of MeLi. Selective formation of a triisopropylbenzene sulfonate derivative of the C17 primary alcohol, followed by LAH reduction installed the C17 methyl center. Stereoselective construction of epoxy ester segment 8 was carried out by a highly stereoselective anomeric C-allylation of tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal derived methyl glycoside, a Peterson olefination to install the C5 methylene group and a vanadium(II)-catalyzed highly selective allylic epoxidation to install the C5 oxirane ring. A cross metathesis of two segments using Grubbs' 2nd generation catalyst afforded thailanstatin A methyl ester in good yield. Our attempted hydrolysis of the methyl ester under selective hydrolytic conditions resulted in the decomposition of the product.

Since the methyl ester was reported to have significantly potent cytotoxic activity²⁶, we carried out *in vitro* splicing studies of the synthetic thailanstatin A methyl ester. The methyl ester derivative showed and IC₅₀ value of ~0.4 μ M, which is close to the value reported for natural product thalanstatin A (~0.65 μ M).²⁵ Thailanstatin A methyl ester blocks spliceosome assembly at an A-like complex like other compounds that target SF3B1,

suggesting that it targets the spliceosome by a similar mechanism.³³ Further studies of thailanstatin A derivatives are in progress in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Details

Those reactions which required anhydrous conditions were carried out under an argon atmosphere using oven-dried glassware (120 °C). All chemicals and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Anhydrous solvents were obtained as follows: anhydrous tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether were distilled from sodium metal under argon, anhydrous dichloromethane was dried via distillation from CaH₂ immediately prior to use under argon, and anhydrous methanol and ethanol were distilled from activated magnesium under argon. All other solvents were reagent grade. TLC analysis was conducted using glass-backed Thin-Layer Silica Gel Chromatography Plates (60 Å, 250 µm thickness, F-254 indicator). Flash chromatography was performed using 230-400 mesh, 60 Å pore diameter silica gel. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400, 500, or 800 MHz. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 or 150 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million and are referenced to the deuterated residual solvent peak. NMR data is reported as: δ value (chemical shift, *J*-value (Hz), integration, where s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, brs = broad singlet). IR spectra were recorded on a Varian 2000 Infrared spectrophotometer and are reported as cm⁻¹. LRMS and HRMS spectra were recorded at the Purdue University Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Center. Melting points were measured on a melting point apparatus and was uncorrected.

(4a*R*,8*R*,8a*S*)-2,2-Di-*tert*-butyl-8-(vinyloxy)-4,4a,8,8a-tetrahydropyrano[3,2-d][1,3,2]diox asiline (14)

To a solution of glucal **13** (10 g, 36.73 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (40 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (508 mg, 3.67 mmol, 0.1 equiv) at room temperature and the reaction was set to stir. After 12 h, the reaction was concentrated and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (5% MeOH in EtOAc) to give 5.20 g (97% yield) of the corresponding triol derivative as a white solid. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD-*d*) δ (ppm): 6.34 (dd, *J* = 6.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 – 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.55 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.33 – 3.28 (m, 1H).

To a solution of the above triol derivative (5.20 g, 35.60 mmol, 1 equiv) dissolved in dry DMF (15 mL) was added pyridine (14.4 mL, 178.00 mmol, 5 equiv). The reaction was cooled to -30 °C and $^{t}Bu_{2}Si(OTf)_{2}$ (12.70 mL, 39.14 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 1.5 h, the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with a 10% solution of CuSO₄ (×2), water (×3) and brine (×3). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) to give 8.67 g (85% yield) of the resulting dioxasiline derivative as a white solid. Experimental data are consistent with the data reported in literature¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.27

(ddd, *J* = 6.1, 1.9, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (dd, *J* = 6.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.36 – 4.23 (m, 1H), 4.23 – 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.01 – 3.77 (m, 3H), 2.35 (br, 1H), 1.07 (s, 9H), 1.00 (s, 9H).

To a solution of the alcohol (1.66 g, 5.79 mmol, 1 equiv) in ethyl vinyl ether (7.5 mL), in an oven dried sealed tube was added Hg(OAc)₂ (551 mg, 1.73 mmol, 0.3 equiv). The mixture was heated to 65 °C, and Hg(OAc)₂ (551 mg, 1.73 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added every 24 h for an additional four times. After five days, the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with water (×2), brine and then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (2% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 1.18 g (65% yield) of vinyl ether **14** as a white solid. Experimental data are consistent with the data reported in literature¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.53 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.38 – 6.24 (m, 1H), 4.78 (dd, *J* = 6.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.46 – 4.34 (m, 2H), 4.25 – 4.07 (m, 2H), 4.07 – 3.93 (m, 2H), 3.87 (dt, *J* = 10.2, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 1.00 (s, 9H).

2-((4a*R*,6*S*,8a*S*)-2,2-Di-*tert*-butyl-4,4a,6,8a-tetrahydropyrano[3,2-d][1,3,2]dioxasilin-6yl)acetaldehyde (15)

A solution of vinyl ether **14** (4.20 g, 13.46 mmol, 1 equiv) in toluene (40 mL) was heated at 190 °C in a sealed tube. After 12 h, the reaction was concentrated and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) to give 4.00 g (95% yield) of aldehyde **15** as a white solid. Experimental data are consistent with the data reported in literature¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 9.75 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (dt, *J* = 10.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (m, 1H), 4.39 (m, 1H), 4.16 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (t, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (ddd, *J* = 10.2, 8.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 200.6, 131.4, 128.0, 74.8, 71.1, 70.1, 67.2, 48.4, 27.6, 27.2, 22.8, 20.2.

(4a*R*,6*S*,8a*S*)-2,2-di-*tert*-Butyl-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)-4,4a,6,8a-tetrahydrop yrano[3,2-d][1,3,2]dioxasiline (16)

To a solution of aldehyde **15** (3.35 g, 10.70 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry toluene (70 mL) was added 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanal (4.08 g, 12.80 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and the reaction was set to stir at 110 °C. After 12 h, the reaction was concentrated and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (4.5% EtOAc/Hexanes) to give 3.40 g (90% yield) of the resulting α,β -unsaturated aldehyde as a colorless oil. [α]_D²⁰ = -58.6 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 9.41 (s, 1H), 6.52 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.49 – 4.32 (m, 2H), 4.17 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (t, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (ddd, *J* = 10.5, 8.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.68 – 2.44 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 195.0, 149.1, 141.2, 131.4, 128.4, 74.8, 74.1, 70.2, 67.2, 34.7, 27.6, 27.2, 22.8, 20.2, 9.6; LRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* 375.2 [M+Na]⁺.

To a suspension of methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide (5.65 g, 15.81 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in dry THF (100 mL) at 0 °C was added 'BuOK (15.81 mL, 15.81 mmol, 2.5 equiv, 1 M in THF). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min and then a solution of the above aldehyde (2.23 g, 6.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry THF (50 mL) was added via cannula and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 2 h, the reaction was quenched with H_2O ,

extracted with EA (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (4.5% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 1.86 g (84% yield) of diene **16** as a pale-yellow oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = + 66.1$ (*c* 9.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.37 (dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (dt, J = 10.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (m, 1H), 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.18 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (ddd, J = 10.5, 8.4, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 141.2, 136.3, 130.2, 129.2, 127.3, 111.3, 75.3, 74.8, 70.4, 67.4, 34.4, 27.7, 27.3, 22.9, 20.3, 12.2; LRMS-ESI (+) m/z 351.2 [M+H]⁺.

((2*R*,3*S*,6*S*)-3-Hydroxy-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)-3,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl) methyl2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonate (17)

To a solution of diene **16** (1.86 g, 5.31 mmol, 1 equiv) dissolved in dry THF (40 mL) at 0 °C was added TBAF (10.60 mL, 10.60 mmol, 2 equiv, 1 M in THF) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 12 h, the reaction was diluted with H₂O, extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (50% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 1.08 g (97% yield) of the corresponding diol as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +$ 99.8 (*c* 6.9, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.35 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.84 – 5.63 (m, 2H), 5.48 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.26 – 4.06 (m, 2H), 3.94 – 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.40 – 3.30 (m, 1H), 3.25 (br, 1H), 2.90 (br, 1H), 2.45 – 2.27 (m, 2H), 1.72 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 141.0, 136.2, 130.6, 129.3, 127.1, 111.3, 78.9, 74.4, 64.1, 63.1, 34.3, 12.1; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₉O₃ [M + H]⁺: 211.1334, found 211.1328.

To a solution of the above diol (1.14 g, 5.4 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (10 mL) and dry pyridine (6 mL) at 0 °C was added 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (2.13 g, 7.03 mmol, 1.3 equiv). The reaction was heated at 45 °C for 4 h, then cooled to room temperature and another portion of 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (0.49 g, 1.62 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added. After stirring at 45 °C for another 12 h, the reaction was diluted with H₂O and DCM, extracted with DCM (×3), washed with 1 N HCl (×2), satd. NaHCO₃ (×2) and brine (×3) and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (15 % EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 1.80 g (97% yield) of sulfonate **17** as a white amorphous solid. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = + 44.0 (c 4.4, CHCl_3); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta$ (ppm): 7.18 (s, 2H), 6.34 (dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (q, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 5.44 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.22 (m, 2H), 4.20 – 4.08 (m, 5H), 3.52 (ddd, J = 8.5, 5.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (quint, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.34 – 2.29 (m, 2H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.28 – 1.23 (m, 18H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 153.7, 150.8, 141.2, 136.3, 131.1, 129.3, 128.7, 127.1, 123.8, 111.4, 74.7, 69.0, 63.8, 60.6, 34.5, 34.2, 29.9, 25.0, 23.8, 14.4, 12.2; HRMS-ESI (+) m/z calc'd for C₂₇H₄₁O₅S [M+H]⁺: 477.2675, found 477.2671.

(2R,3S,6S)-2-Methyl-6-((E)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-3-ol (18)

To a suspension of LiAlH₄ (716 mg, 18.90 mmol, 5 equiv) in dry THF (70 mL) at 0 °C was slowly added a solution of sulfonate **17** (1.80 g, 3.80 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry THF (20 mL) via cannula. The reaction was heated at 65 °C for 1 h, then cooled to 0 °C and quenched with EtOAc. A 1 N NaOH solution was carefully added to the mixture and the crude product was

filtered through celite. The filtrate was dried over Na₂SO₄ and purification by column chromatography (30% EtOAc/Hexanes) furnished 700 mg (95% yield) of dihydropyran **18** as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +115.7$ (*c* 8.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.37 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.77 – 5.68 (m, 2H), 5.51 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H), 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.33 (m, 1H), 2.45 – 2.29 (m, 2H), 1.98 (br, 1H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 141.2, 136.2, 131.2, 129.3, 127.4, 111.3, 75.7, 74.4, 69.7, 34.5, 18.7, 12.2; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₉O₂ [M + H]⁺: 195.1385, found 195.1381.

(2R,5S,6S)-2,5-Dimethyl-6-((E)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)dihydro-2H-pyran-3(4H)-one (19)

To a solution of **18** (350 mg, 1.80 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (20 mL) at 0 °C was added DMP (1.15 g, 2.70 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After stirring at room temperature for 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of satd. NaHCO₃ and satd. Na₂S₂O₃, extracted with DCM (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/ Hexanes) gave 260 mg (75% yield) of the corresponding enone as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ + 88.1 (*c* 8.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.87 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.43 – 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.09 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.59 – 2.41 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 196.7, 150.6, 140.7, 136.9, 126.7, 126.0, 111.8, 76.9, 73.9, 33.6, 15.5, 12.1; HRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₇O₂ [M + H]⁺: 193.1229, found 193.1225.

To a suspension of CuBr·SMe₂ (835 mg, 4.06 mmol, 3 equiv) in dry Et₂O (12 mL) at -78 °C, was added MeLi (2.62 mL, 8.31 mmol, 6.2 equiv, 3.1 M solution) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at this temperature for 1 h and then a solution of the above enone (260 mg, 1.35 mmol, 1 equiv) in Et₂O (4 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction then continued stirring at -78 °C. After 2 h, the reaction was quenched with H₂O, extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 240 mg (85% yield) of ketone **19** as a colorless oil in a 10:1 diastereomeric ratio. [α]_D²⁰ = -33.4 (*c* 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.39 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.00 – 3.86 (m, 2H), 2.64 (dd, *J* = 15.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.37 – 2.27 (m, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 208.7, 141.2, 135.9, 127.9, 111.4, 79.5, 78.8, 46.7, 34.9, 31.4, 15.0, 13.1, 11.9; HRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₃H₂₀O₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 231.1361, found 231.1355.

(2R, 3R, 5S, 6S)-2,5-Dimethyl-6-((E)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-3-amine (9)

To a solution of ketone **19** (120 mg, 0.58 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (12 mL) was added NH₄OAc (888 mg, 11.52 mmol, 20 equiv), NaBH(OAc)₃ (610 mg, 2.88 mmol, 5 equiv) and TFA (44 μ L, 0.58 mmol, 1 equiv). The reaction was set to stir at room temperature. After 12 h, the reaction was quenched with satd. NaHCO₃, extracted with DCM (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (5% MeOH/DCM)

afforded 77 mg (63% yield) of amine **9** as a colorless oil in an 8.5:1 diastereomeric ratio. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.0 (c 7.7, CHCl_3); {}^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta (ppm): 6.33 (dd,$ *J*=17.4, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (t,*J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (d,*J*=17.3 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d,*J*=10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (brs, 2H), 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.45 (td,*J*=7.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 2.83 (brs, 1H), 2.36 (m, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.93 (m, 2H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.18 (d,*J*=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.07 (d,*J* $=7.4 Hz, 3H); {}^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta (ppm): 141.4, 135.6, 128.5, 111.0, 80.8, 76.0, 49.3, 37.1, 31.9, 28.9, 17.8, 14.9, 12.0; LRMS-ESI (+)$ *m/z*210.2 [M + H]⁺.

(S,Z)-5-(((2R,3R,5S,6S)-2,5-Dimethyl-6-((E)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)tetrahydro-2Hpyran-3-yl)amino)-5-oxopent-3-en-2-yl acetate (7)

To a stirred solution of (S,Z)-4-acetoxypent-2-enoic acid 10 (62 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in anhydrous acetonitrile (2 mL) at 0 °C was added HATU (150 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and DIPEA (230 µL, 1.32 mmol, 4 equiv). The resulting mixture was then transferred via cannula to a stirred solution of crude amine 9 (68 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in acetonitrile (2 mL) at 0 °C, rinsing with additional acetonitrile (1 mL). After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by the addition of satd. NH₄Cl and then diluted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (\times 3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by silica gel chromatography (25 % EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 90 mg (78% yield) of amide derivative 7 as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -58.6$ (c 1.05, DCM); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.36 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (dt, *J* = 13.6, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.89 (dd, J = 11.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (t, J = 3.2Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J= 6.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dt, J= 7.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.00 – 1.86 (m, 2H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 170.4, 164.8, 143.6, 141.3, 135.7, 128.1, 122.5, 111.1, 80.8, 76.0, 68.9, 47.1, 35.8, 31.9, 28.9, 21.2, 20.0, 17.8, 15.0, 11.9; FT-IR (neat) v_{max} = 3358, 2977, 2934, 1739, 1668, 1634, 1520, 1369, 1243, 1049, 1011 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) m/z calc'd for C₂₀H₃₁NO₄Na [M +Na] +: 372.2151, found 372.2152.

(2R,3S,4R,6R)-2-(acetoxymethyl)-6-allyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-3,4-diyldiacetate (22)

To glucal **13** (10.0 g, 36.73 mmol, 1, equiv) in acetonitrile (100 mL) was sequentially added MeOH (7.4 mL, 183.65 mmol, 5 equiv), NaI (8.26 g, 55.1 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and CeCl₃·7H₂O (20.53 g, 55.1 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was set to reflux overnight at 100 °C. After 12 h, the brown solution was diluted with EtOAc and water. The crude product was extracted with EA (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 50% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 6.06 g (54% yield) of methyl acetal as a dark oil.

To the above methyl acetal (6.06 g, 19.92 mmol, 1 equiv) in acetonitrile (60 mL) at 0 °C was added allyltrimethylsilane (9.5 mL, 59.76 mmol, 3 equiv) followed by dropwise addition of TMSOTf (1.8 mL, 9.96 mmol, 0.5 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 12 h, the black solution was slowly quenched was sat. NaHCO₃ until the effervescence ceased. The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 30% EtOAc/

Hexanes) provided 4.07 g (65% yield) of glucal **22** as a clear oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 5.76 (m, 1H), 5.08–5.15 (m, 2H), 4.86 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.88–3.92 (m, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.28 (m, 1H), 2.05–2.08 (m, 9H), 1.98 (dt, *J* = 13.6 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (ddd, *J* = 13.7, 8.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 170.9, 170.2, 169.9, 133.9, 117.8, 70.8, 70.0, 68.9, 68.8, 62.2, 36.8, 32.2, 21.2, 21.0, 20.9; LRMS-ESI (+) *m*/z [M+Na]⁺: 337.0.

(4aR,6R,8R,8aS)-6-allyl-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)hexahydropyrano[3,2-d][1,3]dioxin-8-ol (23)

To a pale yellow solution of glucal **22** (2.99 g, 9.52 mmol, 1 equiv) in methanol (10 mL) was added potassium carbonate (132 mg, 0.95 mmol, 0.1 equiv) at room temperature. After 1 h, the orange solution was concentrated and filtered through a silica plug rinsing with 10% MeOH/EtOAc. The crude product was placed under high-vacuum overnight at 40 °C to remove any trace of methanol. The crude product was directly used for the subsequent reaction.

To the above crude triol (9.52 mmol, 1 equiv) and anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal (3.2 mL, 19.0 mmol, 2 equiv) in dry DMF (12 mL) was added CSA (442 mg, 1.90 mmol, 0.2 equiv) at room temperature. After 10 min, the reaction was neutralized with NEt₃ (0.74 mL, 5.33 mmol). The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 70% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.17 g (74% yield over 2-steps) of benzylidene acetal **23** as a white amorphous solid. $[\alpha]^{20}D = +26.6 (c \, 0.92, \text{CHCl}_3)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 5.09–5.16 (m, 2H), 4.20 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02–4.12 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (td, *J* = 9.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.04 (ddd, *J* = 13.3, 5.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (ddd, *J* = 13.4, 11.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 160.4, 134.4, 130.0 127.7, 117.6, 113.9, 102.1, 84.7, 73.5, 69.5, 66.3, 64.2, 55.5, 36.2, 35.1; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3315, 3224, 2940, 2892, 2870, 1615, 1519, 1253, 1102, 1033, 1002, 827 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+)$ *m/z*calc'd for C₁₇H₂₂O₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 329.13649, found 329.13217.

(2R,3S,4R,6R)-6-allyl-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy) tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-ol (24)

To a solution of benzylidene acetal **23** (500 mg, 1.63 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (10 mL) at -15 °C was added DIBAL-H (7.30 mL, 7.30 mmol, 4.5 equiv, 1 M solution) over 30 min. After stirring at -15 °C for 12 h, the reaction was quenched with MeOH and satd. sodium potassium tartrate. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, extracted with DCM (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (50% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 477 mg (95% yield) of the resulting alcohol as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.75 (m, 1H), 5.21 - 4.95 (m, 2H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (m, 1H), 3.90 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (m, 5H), 3.55 - 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.28 (t, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 1.95 (m, 2H), 1.74 (m, 1H).

To the above alcohol (500 mg, 1.63 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (9 mL) at 0 °C was added TBS-Cl (221 mg, 1.47 mmol, 0.9 equiv), imidazole (199 mg, 2.93 mmol, 1.8 equiv) and DMAP (40 mg, 0.33 mmol, 0.2 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After stirring for 5 h, the reaction was diluted with H₂O and DCM, extracted with DCM (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (30% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 620 mg (90% yield) of silyl ether **24** as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +20.3 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.08 – 5.02 (m, 2H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.84 (dd, *J* = 5.5, 2.6 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.51 (m, 1H), 3.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.89 (dt, *J* = 13.1, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (ddd, *J* = 13.1, 9.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.09 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 159.5, 135.0, 130.7, 129.7, 116.9, 114.1, 79.4, 74.0, 73.7, 71.1, 68.4, 63.8, 55.4, 36.9, 34.8, 26.1, 18.5, -5.1, -5.4; LRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* 445.1.2 [M+Na]⁺.

Methyl 2-((2S,4R,5S,6R)-6-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-hydroxy-5-((4-methoxybenz yl)oxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acetate (25)

To a solution of **24** (540 mg, 1.19 mmol, 1 equiv) in DCM (9 mL) was added NaOH (2.38 mL, 5.95 mmol, 5 equiv, 2.5 M in MeOH) which was then cooled to -78 °C. Ozone was bubbled through the reaction until the characteristic blue color developed. The reaction was then diluted with H₂O and EtOAc, warmed to room temperature, extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (20% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 209 mg (36% yield) of methyl ester **25** as colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +25.1 (*c* 1.0, CDCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.27 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (m, 1H), 3.90 – 3.84 (m, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.38 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.72 – 2.66 (m, 2H), 2.46 (dd, *J* = 14.7, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (dt, *J* = 13.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (ddd, *J* = 13.4, 9.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.09 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 171.5, 159.6, 130.7, 129.7, 114.2, 78.7, 74.5, 73.5, 68.3, 68.0, 63.8, 55.4, 51.9, 38.2, 35.1, 26.1, 18.5, -5.0, -5.3; LRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* 477.2 [M+Na]⁺.

Methyl 2-((2*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-6-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4meth ylenetetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acetate (26)

To a solution of alcohol **25** (152 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (5 mL) at 0 °C, was added NaHCO₃ (166 mg, 1.98 mmol, 6 equiv) and DMP (284 mg, 0.66 mmol, 2 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of satd. NaHCO₃ and satd. Na₂S₂O₃. After vigorously stirring for 10 min, the mixture was extracted with DCM (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (15% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 141 mg (95% yield) of the corresponding ketone as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.85 – 4.76 (m, 1H), 4.72 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89 – 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.83 – 3.80 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.78 – 2.58 (m, 2H), 2.58 – 2.38 (m, 2H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.05 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 6H).

To a suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (354 mg, 1.00 mmol, 3 equiv) in dry THF (2 mL) at 0 °C was added 'BuOK (830 µL, 0.83 mmol, 2.5 equiv, 1 M in THF). After stirring at 0 °C for 1 h, a solution of the ketone (150 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (1 mL) was added via cannula. After 10 min at 0 °C, the reaction was quenched with satd. NH₄Cl, extracted with EA (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography (15% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 113 mg (76% yield) of olefin **26** as a colorless oil. [α]_D²⁰ +30.8 (*c* 1.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (d, *J* = 21.8 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 3.86 – 3.79 (m, 5H), 3.69 – 3.67 (m, 5H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.38 – 2.24 (m, 2H), 0.82 (s, 9H), 0.00 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 171.7, 159.3, 140.7, 130.5, 129.6, 113.9, 113.8, 78.1, 75.9, 70.0, 61.4, 55.4, 51.8, 39.9, 36.6, 25.9, 18.3, -5.3; LRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* 473.1 [M+Na]⁺.

(4aR,6R,8R,8aS)-6-(2-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)hexahydr opyrano[3,2-d][1,3]dioxin-8-ol (29)

To a stirred solution of olefin 23 (2.74 g, 8.95 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (1:1, 40 mL) at -78 °C, ozone was bubbled through until the characteristic blue color developed. The reaction was quenched with PPh₃ (3.52 g, 13.43 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and warmed to 0 °C. After 30 min, NaBH₄ (677 mg, 17.9 mmol, 2 equiv) was added. After another 30 min, the reaction was slowly quenched with satd. NH₄Cl until the effervescence ceased. The crude product was extracted with DCM (\times 3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (60% ethyl acetate/hexanes to 100% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.94 g (quantitative) of the corresponding alcohol as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]^{20}D = +16.0$ (*c* 0.56, CHCl); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.41 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.25-4.31 (m, 1H), 4.19 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.06 - 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.74 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (td, J = 9.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (brs, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.94-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.85 (brs, 1H), 2.20 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.85 (brs, 2H), 1.85 (br 1H), 1.62 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 160.4, 129.9, 127.7, 113.9, 102.1, 84.6, 72.0, 69.4, 66.4, 64.3, 60.3, 55.5, 36.3, 33.5; FT-IR (neat) $v_{max} = 3415, 2958,$ 2926, 2852, 1612, 1588, 1517, 1464, 1380, 1302, 1250, 1102, 1035, 1006, 830, 728 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₆H₂₂O₆Na [M+Na]⁺: 333.13141, found 333.12733.

To the above primary alcohol (2.78 g, 8.96 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (45 mL) was added imidazole (1.20 g, 17.92 mmol, 2 equiv) at room temperature. The solution was cooled to 0 °C upon which TBDPS-Cl (2.1 mL, 8.06 mmol, 0.9 equiv) was added. After 30 min, the reaction was diluted with water and the crude product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 60% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.78 g (76% yield) of silyl ether **29** as a white foam. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ = +19.2 (*c* 2.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.69 – 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.44 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.09 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.01 – 3.96 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 – 3.62 (m, 3H), 3.43 – 3.41 (m, 2H), 2.46 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 2.01 – 1.90 (m, 2H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 160.3, 135.7, 135.7, 133.8, 133.7, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 113.9, 102.1, 84.8, 70.8, 69.5, 66.5, 64.1, 60.6, 55.5, 36.0, 34.0, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR

(neat) $v_{\text{max}} = 3468, 3070, 2954, 2929, 2855, 1616, 1588, 1521, 1464, 1426, 1380, 1302, 1250, 1172, 1088, 1038, 999, 827, 739, 704, 616, 503 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+)$ *m*/*z*calc'd for C₃₂H₄₀O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺: 571.24919, found 571.24933.

Tert-butyl(2-((4*aR*,6*S*,8*aS*)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-8-methylenehexahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1, 3]dioxin-6-yl)ethoxy)diphenylsilane (30)

To a two-neck flask charged with flame-dried 4 Å MS was added alcohol **29** (1.06 g, 1.93 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) and NMO (452 mg, 3.86 mmol, 2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) via cannula at room temperature. After stirring for 20 min, TPAP (68 mg, 0.193 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added to the reaction flask. After 30 min, the black mixture was filtered over celite, rinsing with CH₂Cl₂ and the filtrate was concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 40% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 863 mg (80% yield) of the corresponding ketone as a white foam. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +30.3$ (*c* 0.57, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.68 – 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.45 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.70 (m, 1H), 4.31 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.82 – 3.73 (m, 6H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 2.96 (ddd, *J* = 14.3, 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (dd, *J* = 14.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.98 (m, 1H), 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 200.2, 160.4, 135.7, 135.7, 133.5, 133.5, 130.0, 129.9, 129.3, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 113.8, 102.2, 83.8, 73.0, 69.9, 67.6, 59.8, 55.4, 45.4, 34.6, 29.9, 27.0, 19.3.; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3070$, 2959, 2928, 2856, 1736, 1615, 1516, 1464, 1428, 1250, 1129, 1111, 824, 704, 504 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₃₂H₃₈O₆SiNa [M+Na]⁺: 569.23354, found 569.23345.

To the above ketone (2.30 g, 4.28 mmol, 1 equiv) in Et₂O (16 mL) at 0 °C was added TMSCH₂MgCl (6.0 mL, 6.0 mmol, 1.4 equiv, 1M in Et₂O) dropwise. After 10 min, the reaction was quenched with satd. NH₄Cl. The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 20% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.40 g (88% yield) of the corresponding quaternary alcohol as a white foam. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +24.1$ (*c* 0.72, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.70 – 7.67 (m, 4H), 7.42 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.55 (s, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.13 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.80 – 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.72 – 3.68 (m, 1H), 3.63 (t, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.99 – 1.86 (m, 4H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 14.7, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 0.06 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 160.3, 135.8, 135.7, 134.1, 134.0, 130.3, 129.7, 129.7, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 113.8, 101.9, 84.5, 71.8, 69.8, 61.1, 60.9, 55.4, 40.2, 34.9, 30.0, 29.9, 27.0, 19.4, 0.6; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3482$, 2957, 2927, 2855, 1616, 1520, 1466, 1429, 1249, 1104, 836, 703, 501 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₃₆H₅₀O₆Si₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 657.30436, found 657.30479.

To the above alcohol (2.39 g, 3.76 mmol, 1 equiv) in freshly distilled THF (19 mL) at 0 °C was added KHMDS (9 mL, 4.52 mmol, 1.2 equiv, 0.5 M in PhMe) dropwise. The yellow solution was warmed to room temperature. After 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with satd. NH₄Cl. The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 20% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 1.96 g (96% yield) of olefin **30** as a viscous clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +43.6$ (*c* 0.75, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.69 – 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.46 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz,

2H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 5.13 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.07 (dd, J = 10.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.77 – 3.64 (m, 3H), 3.43 (td, J = 9.6, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd, J = 13.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (m, 1H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 160.2, 139.9, 135.8, 135.7, 133.9, 133.8, 130.4, 129.8, 129.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 113.7, 107.5, 101.6, 80.7, 71.6, 70.0, 67.5, 60.5, 55.4, 38.2, 33.1, 29.9, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3073, 2956, 2928, 2856, 1615, 1519, 1461, 1428, 1250, 1099, 1036, 824, 704, 504 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) <math>m/z$ calc'd for C₃₃H₄₀O₅SiNa [M+Na]⁺: 567.25427, found 567.25495.

((2*R*,3*S*,6*S*)-6-(2-((*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methyle netetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)methanol (31)

To benzylidene acetal **30** (1.95 g, 3.58 mmol, 1 equiv) in toluene (36 mL) at -78 °C was added DIBAL-H (10.7 mL, 10.74 mmol, 3 equiv, 1 M in Hexanes) dropwise. The reaction was warmed to 0 °C and after 1 h added a few drops of MeOH, diluted with EA and quenched with sodium potassium tartrate. After warming to room temperature and stirring vigorously for 1 h, the crude product was extracted with EtOAc (\times 3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 50% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 1.36 g (69% yield) of alcohol **31** as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +44.3$ (*c* 0.41, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.67 – 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.44 – 7.36 (m, 6H), 7.26 (d, J= 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.86 - 3.80 (m, 4H), 3.71 - 3.64 (m, 4H), 3.53 (m, 1H), 2.32 (qd, J=13.2, 4.6 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (dd, J=7.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 1H), 1.04 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 159.4, 141.7, 135.7, 133.9, 133.8, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 127.8, 114.0, 111.6, 76.7, 76.2, 70.9, 69.1, 61.1, 60.3, 55.4, 37.7, 35.4, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat) $v_{max} = 3449$, 3069, 2951, 2930, 2855, 1613, 1514, 1426, 1249, 1110, 1086, 1038, 824, 739, 700, 504 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₃₃H₄₂O₅SiNa [M+Na]⁺: 569.26992, found 569.27013.

Tert-butyl(2-((2*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylene-6-vinyltetrahydro-2*H*-p yran-2-yl)ethoxy)diphenylsilane (32)

To a two-neck flask charged with flame-dried 4 Å MS was added alcohol **31** (756 mg, 1.38 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL) and NMO (243 mg, 2.07 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 (2mL) via cannula at room temperature. After stirring for 20 min, TPAP (49 mg, 0.14 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added to the reaction flask. After 15 min, the reaction was quenched with DMS, filtered over a silica plug, rinsing with EtOAc and concentrated. The crude aldehyde was used directly for the next reaction.

To Ph₃PCH₃Br (2.46 g, 6.90 mmol, 5 equiv) in freshly distilled THF (7 mL) was added [']BuOK (5.5 mL, 5.52 mmol, 4 equiv, 1 M in THF) dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at 0 °C, a portion of the yellow stock solution (3.5 mL, 1.52 mmol, 1.1 equiv, 0.44 M) was added via syringe dropwise to the crude aldehyde (1.38 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C. After 10 min, the reaction was quenched with satd. NH₄Cl. The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (5% to 20% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 231 mg (31% yield) olefin **32** as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +36.0$ (*c* 0.37, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.67 –

7.65 (m, 4H), 7.43 – 7.35 (m, 6H), 7.26 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.84 (ddd, J= 17.2, 10.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, J= 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J= 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.56 (d, J= 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (m, 1H), 4.30 (d, J= 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (m, 1H), 3.87 – 3.80 (m, 4H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.64 (d, J= 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.19 (dd, J= 13.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 1.71 (m, 1H), 1.03 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) & (ppm): 159.3, 141.3, 135.7, 135.7, 135.0, 134.1, 134.0, 130.5, 129.7, 127.7, 118.3, 113.9, 113.3, 79.3, 78.2, 69.6, 68.7, 60.3, 55.4, 38.0, 37.3, 29.9, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat) v_{max} = 3072, 2956, 2925, 2856, 1612, 1513, 1464, 1428, 1247, 1111, 1087, 821, 737, 704, 613, 501 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₃₄H₄₂O₄SiNa [M+Na]+: 565.27501, found 565.27640.

Methyl-2-((2*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylene-6-vinyltetrahydro-2*H*-pyr an-2yl)acetate (27)

To silyl ether **32** (398 mg, 0.73 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C was added TBAF (1.5 mL, 1.47 mmol, 2 equiv, 1 M in THF). After 2 h, the orange solution was concentrated and purification by flash chromatography (30% to 70% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 213 mg (95% yield) of the corresponding alcohol as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ = +55.8 (*c* 0.36, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) & (ppm): 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.83 (ddd, *J* = 17.5, 10.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.31 – 5.25 (m, 2H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.80 (m, 5H), 3.66 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.12 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.67 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) & (ppm): 159.3, 140.5, 134.4, 130.3, 129.6, 119.0, 114.2, 113.9, 78.9, 78.5, 71.8, 69.3, 61.3, 55.4, 37.4, 37.0; FT-IR (neat) ν_{max} = 3409, 2924, 2855, 1613, 1514, 1466, 1300, 1249, 1173, 1056, 1035, 908, 821 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₈H₂₄O₄Na [M+Na]⁺: 327.15723, found 327.15583.

To the above alcohol (205 mg, 0.67 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (1:1, 6 mL) was added BAIB (651 mg, 2.02 mmol, 3 equiv) and TEMPO (32 mg, 0.20, 0.3 equiv) at room temperature. An orange color developed. After 4 h, the reaction was quenched with sodium thiosulfate. The crude product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (40% EtOAc/Hexanes to 10% MeOH/ EtOAc) gave 218 mg (quantitative) of the corresponding acid as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +47.9$ (*c* 0.33, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.24 (d, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.81 (ddd, *J* = 17.4, 10.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dt, *J* = 17.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dt, *J* = 10.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (t, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 3.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 174.6, 159.3, 139.6, 133.7, 130.1, 129.7, 119.5, 114.9, 114.0, 78.8, 78.7, 69.5, 68.3, 55.4, 40.1, 36.2, 29.9; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3075, 2956, 2924, 2854, 1711, 1612, 1514, 1302, 1248, 1173, 1067, 1033, 915, 820 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (-)$ *m/z*calc'd for C₁₈H₂₁O₅ [M-H]-: 317.13890, found 317.13528.

To the above acid (212 mg, 0.67 mmol, 1 equiv) in PhMe/MeOH (3:2, 5 mL) at 0 °C was slowly added TMSCHN₂ (1 mL, 2.00 mmol, 3 equiv, 2 M in Hexanes) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 2 h, the yellow solution was diluted with additional

MeOH and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 30% EtOAc/ Hexanes) gave 195 mg (88% yield) of methyl ester **27** as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +56.4$ (*c* 0.33, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.24 (d, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.80 (ddd, *J* = 17.5, 10.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dt, *J* = 17.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dt, *J* = 10.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (m, 1H), 4.29 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.64 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.24 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 3.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 171.7, 159.3, 140.3, 134.4, 130.3, 129.6, 118.7, 114.2, 113.9, 78.9, 78.4, 69.6, 68.6, 55.4, 51.8, 40.2, 36.6; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3074$, 2954, 2926, 2855, 1739, 1655, 1612, 1584, 1514, 1461, 1436, 1380, 1302, 1246, 1154, 1066, 1031, 1006, 911, 820 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₉H₂₄O₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 355.15214, found 355.15003.

Methyl 2-((3R,5S,7R,8R)-8-hydroxy-7-vinyl-1,6-dioxaspiro[2.5]octan-5-yl)acetate (8)

To PMB ether **27** (189 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂/pH 7 buffer (10:1, 6 mL) at 0 °C was added DDQ (129 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv). After stirring 1 h at 0 °C, another portion of DDQ (129 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) was added. After stirring for 1 h at 0 °C, the last portion of DDQ (129 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) was added. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched with satd. NaHCO₃. The crude product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3), washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 60% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 99 mg (76% yield) of the corresponding alcohol as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} = +40.8 (c \, 0.13, CHCl_3)$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 5.86 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 10.7, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 4.12 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 15.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dd, *J* = 15.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.45 – 2.36 (m, 2H), 2.04 (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 171.5, 142.1, 134.9, 119.6, 111.3, 79.0, 72.9, 69.2, 51.9, 38.5, 36.8; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3440$, 2958, 2923, 2853, 1737, 1655, 1461, 1440, 1380, 1320, 1264, 1207, 1161, 1092, 1003, 908 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₁H₁₆O₄Na [M+Na]⁺: 235.09463, found 235.09315.

To the above alcohol (96 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added VO(acac)₂ (24 mg, 0.09 mmol, 0.2 equiv) at room temperature. After stirring for 10 min, the reaction flask was cooled to 0 °C and diluted TBHP (0.27 mL, 1.36 mmol, 3 equiv, 5–6 M in decane, 0.27 mL in 3 mL CH₂Cl₂) was added dropwise and the resulting dark red solution was warmed to RT. After 2 hr, the dark red reaction solution had faded to a light orange and the reaction did not progress past 1:1 starting material:product, therefore, the reaction was quenched with DMS and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 70% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 56 mg (55% yield) of a single diastereomer of epoxide **8** as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ = +66.0 (*c* 0.35, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 5.92 (ddd, *J*= 17.4, 10.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (dt, *J* = 17.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dt, *J* = 10.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.48 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.98–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.82 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 5.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 171.5, 134.7, 118.5, 76.2, 69.9, 68.7, 57.3, 51.9, 50.3, 38.5, 34.4; FT-IR (neat) $\nu_{max} = 3458$,

2958, 2926, 2855, 1736, 1440, 1327, 1278, 1260, 1204, 1161, 1095, 1073, 1006, 933, 813 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (+) m/z calc'd for C₁₁H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 251.08954, found 251.08462.

Methyl-2-((3*R*,5*S*,7*R*,8*R*)-7-((1*E*,3*E*)-5-((2*S*,3*S*,5*R*,6*R*)-5-((*S*,*Z*)-4-acetoxypent-2-enamido)-3,6dimethyltetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)-3-methylpenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)-8-hydroxy-1,6-di oxaspiro[2.5]octan-5-yl)acetate (2)

In three separate flasks were added diene 7 (8.0 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1 equiv), epoxide 8 (5.23 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1 equiv) and Grubbs II (3.9 mg, 0.0046, 0.2 equiv) and each were dissolved in 1 mL of CH₂Cl₂. Approximately 1/3 of the epoxide and Grubbs II solutions (0.33 mL) were sequentially added to the diene flask and set to reflux. After 1.5 h, a second 1/3 portion (0.33 mL) of the epoxide and Grubbs II solutions were added to the reaction flask. After an additional 1.5 h, the last portion of the epoxide and Grubbs II solutions were added, rinsing the flasks with a small amount of CH₂Cl₂. After 2 h, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 80% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 5.9 mg (46% yield) of thailanstatin A methyl ester 2 as a clear oil. $[a]^{20}D = +4.8 (c \, 0.08, CH_2Cl_2); {}^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta (ppm): 6.37 (d, J = 15.8)$ Hz, 1H), 6.25 (m, 1H), 6.00 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.89 (dd, J=11.6, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (dd, J= 11.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (dd, J=15.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.20 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (m, 1H), 3.66-3.69 (m, 4H), 3.51-3.54 (m, 2H), 2.99 (d, J =4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, J=15.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J=15.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.16 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.93–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 1.73–1.79 (m, 5H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 171.6, 170.6, 165.0, 143.8, 138.6, 134.7, 129.6, 123.1, 122.6, 80.9, 76.1, 75.8, 69.8, 69.1, 68.9, 57.3, 52.0, 49.8, 47.2, 38.1, 36.0, 34.6, 32.1, 29.0, 21.4, 20.1, 18.0, 15.2, 12.8; HRMS-ESI (+) m/z calc'd for C₂₉H₄₃NO₉Na [M+Na]⁺: 572.2836, found 572.2831.

In vitro splicing reactions—In vitro splicing reactions consist of a pre-mRNA substrate incubated in nuclear extract from human cells, which provides the splicing machinery. The template DNA for the pre-mRNA splicing substrate is a derivative of the Adenovirus Major Late gene (REF: O. Gozani, J.G. Patton, R. Reed, EMBO J. 13 (1994) 3356–3367), and capped RNA splicing substrate was generated by standard in vitro T7 RNA polymerase "run-off" transcription including 32P-UTP label and G(5′)ppp(5′)G-cap analog. Nuclear extract was prepared from HeLa cells grown in DMEM/F12 1:1 media supplemented with 5% (v/v) newborn calf serum using the method by Dignam et al (REF: J.D. Dignam, R.M. Lebovitz, R.D. Roeder, Nucleic Acids Res. 11 (1983) 1475–1489). Splicing reactions were carried out by incubating 10 nM pre-mRNA substrate in 60 mM potassium glutamate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM ATP, 5 mM creatine phosphate, 0.05 mg ml–1 tRNA, and 50% (v/v) HeLa nuclear extract at 30°C for 30 minutes, and then analyzed by both denaturing polyacrylamide and native agarose gel electrophoresis.

Denaturing gel analysis—RNA was extracted from *in vitro* splicing reaction and separated on a 15% (v/v) denaturing polyacrylamide gel. ³²P-labeled RNA species were visualized by phosphorimaging and quantified with ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics). Splicing efficiency is calculated as the amount of mRNA relative to total

substrate RNA and normalized to a DMSO control reaction. IC_{50} values for inhibitors are the concentration of inhibitor that causes 50% decrease of splicing efficiency, which were derived from averaged plots of splicing efficiency vs. compound concentration from three independent assays.

Native gel analysis—Splicing reactions were set up as described, and after 30 minutes 10 μ L of splicing reactions were mixed with 10 μ L native gel loading buffer (20 mM Trizma base, 20 mM glycine, 25% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% (w/v) cyan blue, 0.1% (w/v) bromophenol blue, 1 mg ml⁻¹ heparin sulfate) and incubated at room temperature for five minutes before loading onto a 2.1% (w/v) low-melting temperature agarose gel. Gels were run at 72 V for 3.5 hours, dried onto Whatman paper and exposed to phosphorimaging screens, which were digitized with a Typhoon Scanner (Molecular Dynamics).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support of this work was provided by the National Institutes of Health (GM122279) and Purdue University.

References

- Wang ET, Sandberg R, Luo S, Khrebtukova I, Zhang L, Mayr C, Kingsmore SF, Schroth GP, Burge CB. Nature. 2008; 456:470–476. [PubMed: 18978772]
- 2. Roybal GA, Jurica MS. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38:6664–6672. [PubMed: 20529876]
- Pan Q, Shai O, Lee LJ, Frey BJ, Blencowe BJ. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:1413–1415. [PubMed: 18978789]
- 4. Raymond B. Nat Chem Biol. 2007; 3:533-535. [PubMed: 17710096]
- 5. Wahl MC, Will CL, Lührmann R. Cell. 2009; 136:701-718. [PubMed: 19239890]
- 6. Nilsen TW, Graveley BR. Nature. 2010; 463:457-463. [PubMed: 20110989]
- 7. Cooper TA, Wan L, Dreyfuss G. Cell. 2009; 136:777-793. [PubMed: 19239895]
- 8. Lee SC-W, Abdel-Wahab O. Nat Med. 2016; 22:976–986. [PubMed: 27603132]
- 9. Pal S, Gupta R, Kim H, Wickramasinghe P, Baubet V, Showe LC, Dahmane N, Davuluri RV. Genome Research. 2011; 21:1260–1272. [PubMed: 21712398]
- Nguyen THD, Galej WP, Bai X-C, Oubridge C, Newman AJ, Schere SHW, Nagai K. Nature. 2016; 530:298–302. [PubMed: 26829225]
- 11. Wan R, Yan C, Bai R, Wang L, Huang M, Wong CCL, Shi Y. Science. 2016; 351:466–475. [PubMed: 26743623]
- 12. Mizui Y, Sakai T, Iwata T, Uenaka T, Okamoto K, Shimizu H, Yamori T, Yoshimatsu K, Asada MJ. Antibiot (Tokyo). 2004; 57:188–196.
- Nakajima H, Sato B, Fujita T, Takase S, Terano H, Okuhara M. J Antibiot (Tokyo). 1996; 49:1196– 1203. [PubMed: 9031664]
- 14. Sakai T, Asai N, Okuda A, Kawamura N, Mizui Y. J Antibiot (Tokyo). 2004; 57:180–187. [PubMed: 15152803]
- (a) Lagisetti C, Yermolina MV, Sharma LK, Palacios G, Prigaro BJ, Webb TR. ACS Chem Biol. 2014; 9:643–648. [PubMed: 24377313] (b) Gao Y, Vogt A, Forsyth CJ, Koide K. ACS Chem Biol. 2012; 14:49–52.(c) Ghosh AK, Lv K, Ma N, Cárdenas EL, Effenberger KA, Jurica MS. Org Biomol Chem. 2016; 14:5263–5271. [PubMed: 27188838]

- Yokoi A, Kotake Y, Takahashi K, Kadowaki T, Matsumoto Y, Minoshima Y, Sugi NH, Sagane MH, Iwata M, Mizui Y. FEBS J. 2011; 278:4870–4880. [PubMed: 21981285]
- Kaida D, Motoyoshi H, Tashiro E, Nojima T, Hagiwara M, Ishigami K, Watanabe H, Kitahara T, Yoshida T, Nakajima H, Tani T, Horinouchi S, Yoshida M. Nat Chem Biol. 2007; 3:576–583. [PubMed: 17643111]
- Effenberger KA, Urabe VK, Prichard BE, Ghosh AK, Jurica MS. RNA. 2016; 22:350–359. [PubMed: 26742993]
- 19. Bonnal S, Vigevani L, Valcárcel J. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2012; 11:847–859. [PubMed: 23123942]
- Sato M, Muguruma N, Nakagawa T, Okamoto K, Kimura T, Kitamura S, Yano H, Sannomiya K, Goji T, Miyamoto H, Okahisa T, Mikasa H, Wada S, Iwata M, Takayama T. Cancer Sci. 2014; 105:110–116. [PubMed: 24635824]
- Butler, MA. Natural Product-Derived Compounds in Late State Clinical Development at the End of 2008. Buss, A., Butler, M., editors. The Royal Chemical Society of Chemistry; Cambridge, UK: 2009. p. 321-354.
- Bonnal S, Vigevani L, Valcárcel J. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. 2012; 11:847–859. [PubMed: 23123942]
- Bessire AJ, Ballard TE, Charati M, Cohen J, Green M, Lam M-H, Loganzo F, Nolting B, Pierce B, Puthenveetil S, Roberts L, Schildknegt K, Subramanyam C. Bioconj Chem. 2016; 17:1645–1654.
- 24. Beck A, Goetsch L, Dumontet C, Corvaïa N. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. 2017; 16:315–337. [PubMed: 28303026]
- 25. Liu X, Biswas S, Berg MG, Antapli CM, Xie F, Wang Q, Tang M-Ch, Tang G-L, Zhang L, Dreyfuss G, Cheng Y-Q. J Nat Prod. 2013; 76:685–693. [PubMed: 23517093]
- 26. He H, Ratnayake AS, Janso JE, He M, Yang HY, Loganzo F, Shor B, O'Donnell CJ, Koehn FE. J Nat Prod. 2014; 77:1864–1870. [PubMed: 25098528]
- 27. Eustáquio AS, Chang L-P, Steele GL, O'Donnell CJ, Koehn FE. Metab Eng. 2016; 33:67–75. [PubMed: 26620532]
- 28. Dirico KJ, Eustáquio AS, Green ME, He H, He M, Koehn FE, O'Donnell CJ, Puthenveetil S, Ratnayake AS, Subramanyam C, Teske JA, Yang HY. International Patent WO. 2014; 068443:A1.
- (a) Thompson CF, Jamison TF, Jacobsen EN. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:10482–10483.(b) Thompson CF, Jamison TF, Jacobsen EN. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:9974–9983. [PubMed: 11592876] (c) Horigome M, Motoyoshi H, Watanabe H, Kitahara T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:8207–8210.(d) Motoyoshi H, Horigome M, Watanabe H, Kitahara T. Tetrahedron. 2006; 62:1378–1389.
- (a) Albert BJ, Koide K. Org Lett. 2004; 6:3655–3658. [PubMed: 15469316] (b) Albert BJ, Sivaramakrishnan A, Naka T, Koide K. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:2792–2793. [PubMed: 16506745] (c) Albert BJ, Sivarama-krishnan A, Naka T, Czaicki NL, Koide K. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:2648–2659. [PubMed: 17279752]
- (a) Ghosh AK, Chen ZH. Org Lett. 2013; 15:5088–5091. [PubMed: 24050251] (b) Ghosh AK, Chen ZH, Effenberger KA, Jurica MS. J Org Chem. 2014; 79:5697–5709. [PubMed: 24873648]
- 32. Ghosh AK, Cheng X. Org Lett. 2011; 13:4108–4111. [PubMed: 21749032]
- 33. Effenberger KA, Anderson DD, Bray WM, Prichard BE, Ma N, Adams MS, Ghosh AK, Jurica MS. J Biol Chem. 2014; 289:1938–1947. [PubMed: 24302718]
- Ghosh AK, Ma N, Effenberger KA, Jurica MS. Org Lett. 2014; 16:3154–3157. [PubMed: 24869489]
- 35. Fan L, Lagisetti C, Edwards CC, Webb TR, Potter PM. ACS Chem Biol. 2011; 6:582–589. [PubMed: 21344922]
- Nicolaou KC, Rhoades D, Lamani M, Pattanayak MR, Kumar SM. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:7532–7535. [PubMed: 27266914]
- 37. Pazos G, Pérez M, Gándara Z, Gómez G, Fall Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009; 50:5285–5287.
- 38. Hoberg JO. Carbohydr Res. 1997; 300:365-367.
- 39. Ghosh, AK., Reddy, GC., MacRae, AJ., Jurica, MS. Org Lett. ASAP; 2017.
- Abdel-Magid AF, Carson KG, Harris BD, Maryanoff CA, Shah RD. J Org Chem. 1996; 61:3849– 3862. [PubMed: 11667239]

- 41. Yadav JS, Reddy BVS, Reddy KB, Satyanarayana M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002; 43:7009–7012.
- 42. Fujiwara K, Sato D, Watanabe M, Morishita H, Murai A, Kawai H, Suzuki T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004; 45:5243–5246.
- 43. Marshall JA, Garofalo AW. J Org Chem. 1993; 58:3675-3680.
- 44. Sharpless KB, Michaelson RC. J Am Chem Soc. 1973; 95:6136-6137.
- 45. Sheng MN, Zajacek JG. J Org Chem. 1970; 35:1839–1843.
- 46. Peterson DJJ. Org Chem. 1968; 33:780-784.
- 47. Magnus P. Aldrichimica Acta. 1980; 13:43-51.
- 48. Chatterjee AK, Choi T-L, Sanders DP, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:11360–11370. [PubMed: 16220959]
- 49. Artman GD III, Grubss AW, Williams RM. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:6336–6342. [PubMed: 17455936]
- Nicolaou KC, Estrada AA, Zak M, Lee SH, Safina BB. Ang Chem Int Ed Engl. 2005; 44:1378– 1382.

Page 23

Author Manuscript

Ghosh et al.

Author Manuscript



Pladienolide B, 5 (X = Me, Y = H) E7O7, 6 (X = 4-cycloheptylpiperazin-1-yl; Y = OH)

Figure 1. Structures of splicing inhibitors **1–6**.



Figure 2.

In vitro splicing analysis of thailanstatin methyl ester (2) (a) Denaturing gel analysis of radiolabeled RNA isolated after a 30 minute incubation in splicing reactions including compounds and the indicated concentration. DMSO (1%) and spliceostatin A (SSA) were included as controls. Identities of bands are schematized to the left as (from top to bottom) lariat intermediate, pre-mRNA, lariat intron product, mRNA product, 5' exon intermediate, and linearized intron product. (b) Native gel analysis of aliquots of the splicing reactions shown in (a) separated under native conditions. The identity of splicing complexes is denoted with assembly occurring in the following order: $H/E \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$. (c) Splicing efficiency normalized to DMSO control vs. inhibitor concentration for the splicing reactions shown in (a).



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of thailanstatin A.







Scheme 3.

Stereoselective 1,4-addition and synthesis of amide 7.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of methyl ester derivative 26



Scheme 5. Stereoselective synthesis of epoxy alcohol 8.



Scheme 6.

An alternative synthesis of epoxy alcohol.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



Scheme 7. Synthesis of thailanstatin methyl ester.