

RESEARCH PAPER

P2Y₁₂ receptor modulation of ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ signalling in THP-1 human monocytic cells

Correspondence Samuel J Fountain, Biomedical Research Centre, School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK. E-mail: s.j.fountain@uea.ac.uk

Received 9 November 2017; Revised 7 March 2018; Accepted 8 March 2018

J J Micklewright, J A Layhadi and S J Fountain 回

Biomedical Research Centre, School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

The G_i -coupled, ADP-activated P2Y₁₂ receptor is well characterized as playing a key role in platelet activation *via* crosstalk with the P2Y₁ receptor in ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses. However, there is limited knowledge on the role of P2Y₁₂ receptors in ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses in other blood cells. Here, we investigated the role of P2Y₁₂ receptor activation in the modulation of ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses in human THP-1 monocytic cells.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

A combination of intracellular Ca²⁺ measurements, RT-PCR, immunocytochemistry, leukocyte isolation and siRNA-mediated gene knockdown were used to identify the role of P2Y₁₂ receptor activation.

KEY RESULTS

ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses (EC₅₀ 2.7 μ M) in THP-1 cells were abolished by inhibition of PLC (U73122) or sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase (thapsigargin). Loss of ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses following treatment with MRS2578 (IC₅₀ 200 nM) revealed a major role for P2Y₆ receptors in mediating ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses. ADP-evoked responses were attenuated either with pertussis toxin treatment, or P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibition with two chemically distinct antagonists (ticagrelor, IC₅₀ 5.3 μ M; PSB-0739, IC₅₀ 5.6 μ M). ADP-evoked responses were suppressed following siRNA-mediated P2Y₁₂ gene knockdown. The inhibitory effects of P2Y₁₂ antagonists were fully reversed following adenylate cyclase inhibition (SQ22536). P2Y₁₂ receptor expression was confirmed in freshly isolated human CD14⁺ monocytes.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Taken together, these data suggest that $P2Y_{12}$ receptor activation positively regulates $P2Y_6$ receptor-mediated intracellular Ca^{2+} signalling through suppression of adenylate cyclase activity in human monocytic cells.

Abbreviations

IP₃, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate; PIP₂, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; UDP, uridine diphosphate



Introduction

The adenine nucleotide **ADP** serves as an important signalling molecule that is implicated in processes such as platelet aggregation (Dorsam and Kunapuli, 2004) and immune modulation (Ben Addi *et al.*, 2010). ADP binds to **P2Y**₁, **P2Y**₆, **P2Y**₁₂ and **P2Y**₁₃ receptors, which are members of the G protein-coupled P2Y receptor family. Activation of G_qcoupled P2Y₁ and P2Y₆ receptors causes downstream inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP₃) generation *via* PLC-mediated breakdown of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) and subsequent calcium ion (Ca²⁺) release through endoplasmic reticulum IP₃ receptors (Erb and Weisman, 2012). Conversely, P2Y₁₂ and P2Y₁₃ receptors are G_i-coupled and hence inhibit production of cAMP and stimulate PI3K when activated (Erb and Weisman, 2012).

Platelet aggregation in response to ADP is one of the earliest examples of extracellular nucleotide signalling. It is firmly established that ADP activates platelets via the P2Y₁ and P2Y₁₂ receptors, and the functionality of both receptors is required for normal platelet aggregation (Jin and Kunapuli, 1998). The emergence of the P2Y₁₂ receptor as a vital component in ADP-evoked platelet activation has led to the development of anti-platelet drugs targeting this receptor, such as ticagrelor and clopidogrel. The rise in cytosolic Ca²⁺ in response to ADP is deemed responsible for the ADP-mediated activation of platelets (Dorsam and Kunapuli, 2004). Although the P2Y₁₂ receptor is G_i-coupled and should therefore not cause intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization, outcomes from a previous study suggest that the $P2Y_{12}$ receptor potentiates the G_q $P2Y_1$ receptor-mediated Ca²⁺ response to ADP in a crosstalk mechanism (Hardy et al., 2004). Hardy et al. (2004) proposed that P2Y₁₂ receptors mediate inhibition of adenylate cyclase and activation of PI3K, which collectively positively modulates the Ca^{2+} signal induced by ADP. The same $P2Y_1-P2Y_{12}$ crosstalk mechanism described in platelets by Hardy et al. (2004) was also suggested to be present in glioma C6 cells (Suplat et al., 2007).

The role of $P2Y_{12}$ receptors in cells other than platelets is not well described, although recent evidence is promising. Findings by West *et al.* (2014) indicate a role for vessel wall $P2Y_{12}$ receptors in early atherogenesis, rather than platelet $P2Y_{12}$ receptors. In addition to cardiovascular disease, the $P2Y_{12}$ receptors has potentially been implicated in immune responses to ADP in macrophages (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) and dendritic cells (Ben Addi *et al.*, 2010) in functions such as antigen uptake and chemotaxis. Therefore, non-platelet roles for $P2Y_{12}$ receptors have been suggested and should be further investigated.

Monocytes are essential immune cells that, together with their progeny, facilitate innate immune defence *via* phagocytosis and cytokine production, but also activate the adaptive immune system through antigen uptake and presentation (Ziegler-Heitbrock, 2006). In this study, we applied the THP-1 monocytic cell line as an experimental model to investigate the expression of $P2Y_{12}$ receptors and the contribution of the receptor in ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses, exploring the signal transduction mechanisms involved. As there have been no publications reporting a role for $P2Y_{12}$ receptors in monocytes, this investigation reveals a new role for $P2Y_{12}$ receptors in non-platelet Ca^{2+} responses and contributes to our understanding of how monocytes function in health and disease.

Methods

Isolation of CD14⁺ human monocytes

Peripheral blood mononucleated cells (PBMCs) were isolated from the blood of human volunteers using Histopaque-1077 (Sigma-Aldrich, Haverhill, UK). CD14+ monocytes were magnetically labelled from a PBMC suspension using MACS CD14 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) and positively selected for *via* the MACS Cell Separation Column (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) together with the QuadroMACS Separator (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany).

*Intracellular Ca*²⁺ *measurements and drug treatments*

THP-1 cells. $1 \times 10^{6} \cdot mL^{-1}$ were loaded for 1 h with 2 µM fura-2 AM in SBS buffer plus 0.01% (wv⁻¹) pluronic acid at 37°C. Cells were then pelleted and washed using SBS and plated at a density of 2×10^5 cells per well. The plated cells were allowed to settle for 1 h at 37°C, during which stage antagonists were added 30 min into the hour, unless otherwise stated. Measurements were taken at 37°C using the FlexStation 3 instrument (Molecular Devices, Wokingham, UK) measuring fura-2 fluorescence (340 nm excitation when Ca²⁺-bound; 380 nm excitation when unbound; 510 nm emission) at intervals of 2 s giving. For all Ca²⁺ experiments, the signal reported is 'F ratio', the ratio between Fura-2 emission at 510 when excited at 340 and 380 nm. For hexokinase-treated ADP stocks, 1 mM ADP in SBS solution was treated for 1 h at 37° C with 3 U·mL⁻¹ hexokinase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sigma, Haverhill, UK) prior to agonist application.

Cell culture

Cells from the THP-1 cell line were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in RPMI 1640 medium containing 2 mM L-glutamine and supplemented with 10% (vv⁻¹) FBS, 50 IU·mL⁻¹ penicillin and 50 μ g·mL⁻¹ streptomycin. Cells were maintained at a density between 1 × 10⁵ and 1 × 10⁶ cells ·mL⁻¹.

siRNA-mediated gene knockdown

THP-1 cells (2×10^5 final amount) were incubated overnight in complete RPMI (10% FBS) without antibiotic before cells were transfected using Dharmacon siRNA (25 nM final concentration) *via* DharmaFECT 2 transfection reagent (obtained from Dharmacon Research, Inc., Cambridge, UK) using the manufacturer's protocol in 96-well format.

RNA extraction and RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from THP-1 cells and CD14⁺ monocytes using Tri reagent (Sigma Aldrich, Haverhill, UK) with a subsequent DNase I treatment (Ambion). Complementary DNA was synthesized from 1 μ g of total RNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Waltham, USA). PCR was performed using a Taq polymerase readymix (Sigma Aldrich, Haverhill, UK) using primer pairs designed using the following sequences (accession numbers): *P2RY1* - GTTCAA TTTGGCTCTGGCCG (5'-3'), TTTTGTTTTTGCGGACCCCG (3'-5') (NM_002563); *P2RY6* - GCTCTCACTGTCATCGGCTT (5'-3'), TCTGCCATTTGGCTGTGAGT (3'-5') (NM_176798); *P2RY12* - ACTGGGAACAGGACCACTGA (5'-3'), CAGAAT TGGGGCACTTCAGC (3'-5') (NM_022788); *P2RY13* - TTCC CAGCCCTCTACACAGT (5'-3'), GGCCCCTTTAAGGAAG CACA (3'-5') (NM_176894).

Immunocytochemistry

THP-1 cells adhered to glass coverslips were washed twice in PBS followed by fixative with 4% (wv⁻¹) paraformaldehyde. Cells were permeabilized with 0.25% (vv⁻¹) triton X-100 for 10 min followed by blocking with 1% (wv⁻¹) BSA for 30 min at room temperature. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in PBS containing 1% (wv⁻¹) BSA and incubated with cells overnight a 4°C and for 1 h at room temperature respectively. Cells were mounted in Vectashield containing nucleus counterstain (DAPI). Goat polyclonal anti-P2Y₁₂ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Texas, USA) was used with Alexa 488-conjugated rabbit antigoat (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Rabbit polyclonal anti-P2Y₁, anti-P2Y₆ and anti-P2Y₁₃ (Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel) were used with Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen, Waltham, USA). Cell imaging was performed using a laser-scanning Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal microscope.

Transmigration assays

Transwell migration assay was performed as previously described (Sivaramakrishnan *et al.*, 2012; Campwala *et al.*, 2014). Briefly, assays were performed in 24-well plates using polyethylene terephthalate membrane transwell inserts with 3 μ m pores. THP-1 cells, 1×10^6 in RPMI (no serum) with vehicle or drug treatment were added to the upper chamber, and 3 μ M ADP or vehicle added to the lower chamber. Assays were performed for 2 h at 37°C, and migrated cells counted on the underside of the transwell support using crystal violet staining. Chemotaxic index was calculated as the ratio of cells that migrated to ADP over vehicle control.

Data analysis

The data and statistical analysis comply with the recommendations on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology (Curtis *et al.*, 2015). Data analysis was performed using Origin Pro 9.0 software (Origin Lab, USA). Dose–response curves were fitted assuming a Hill coefficient of 1, with the Hill equation used to determine the degree of ligand–receptor cooperation. Figure data points represent mean values \pm SEM (error bars). Statistical significance was determined using Student's paired *t*-tests. Each point in the dose–response plots represents the average of the peak Ca²⁺ response. A confidence interval of 5% (P < 0.05) is used throughout for statement of significance.

Dose–response relationships were fitted using the Hill equation:

response
$$(y) = \frac{(\max - \min)}{1 + 10^{(\log \text{EC50} - \text{X})^{\text{nH}}}}$$

where $n_{\rm H}$ represents the Hill slope.

Chemicals and reagents

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Haverhill, UK), with the exception of *pertussis toxin* and SQ22536 (Tocris, Bristol, UK). All chemicals (agonists and antagonists) were diluted using physiological saline (SBS buffer) (containing (mM): NaCl, 130; KCl, 5; MgCl₂, 1.2; CaCl₂, 1.5; D-glucose, 8; HEPES, 10; pH 7.4) with the exception of **CCL2** [SBS buffer containing 1% (wv⁻¹) BSA], **MRS2578**, SQ22536 and ticagrelor [SBS buffer containing 1% (vv⁻¹) DMSO].

Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY (Harding *et al.*, 2018), and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18 (Alexander *et al.*, 2017a,b).

Results

ADP-evoked intracellular Ca^{2+} responses are mediated by $P2Y_6$ receptor activation and modulated by G_i -dependent signalling

ADP evoked concentration-dependent increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ with an EC₅₀ of 2.7 ± 0.3 μ M (n = 5) (Figure 1A, B). Responses to maximal ADP concentrations were abolished following either PLC inhibitor treatment (**U73122**) (Figure 1C) or calcium depletion of the ER store (**thapsigargin**) (Figure 1 D). A study by Mahaut-Smith *et al.* (2000) identified that commercially available ADP contains some ATP. To exclude any contribution of trace ATP to ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses, ADP stocks were treated with hexokinase. The Ca²⁺ responses evoked by treated ADP were similar to untreated ADP, with an EC₅₀ of 2.2 ± 0.5 μ M (n = 5) (P > 0.05 vs. untreated ADP) (See Supporting Information Figure S1).

P2Y₆ antagonism by MRS2578 inhibited the ADP response almost completely with an EC₅₀ of 200 ± 20 nM (n = 3) (Figure 1E, F). MRS2578 inhibited the ADP response in a noncompetitive fashion (Figure 1G), consistent with the reported mode of antagonism at P2Y₆ receptors (Mamedova et al., 2004) and with previous findings (Sivaramakrishnan et al., 2012; Campwala et al., 2014). Selective inhibition of P2Y₁ receptors with MRS2500 (tested up to 10 µM) (Figure 1H) or $P2Y_{13}$ receptors with **MRS2211** (tested up to 10 μ M) (Figure 1I) had no significant effect on ADP- evoked Ca²⁺ responses. In addition to a dependence on PLC activity and release of ER Ca²⁺ stores, we investigated the effect of G_idependent signalling by using Bordetella pertussis toxin (PTx). PTx treatment (5 nM, 3 h) caused a significant attenuation of ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses (Figure 2A), significantly suppressing the maximal response by approximately 30% and the EC_{50} for ADP (EC_{50} 1.9 \pm 0.3 μM vs. 2.9 \pm 0.2 μM with PTx; P < 0.05, n = 5) (Figure 2B). In control experiments, CCL2-evoked Ca²⁺ responses were abolished by PTx treatment (Figure 2C), in agreement with our previous observations. Together, these findings suggest that ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses are mediated *via* P2Y₆ receptor activation and release of ER Ca²⁺ and that G_idependent signalling either constitutively or following ADP activation, positively modulates the ADP-evoked response.



Figure 1

P2 \overline{Y}_{6} receptor mediates ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses in THP-1 cells. (A) Averaged (n = 5) intracellular Ca²⁺ response evoked by ADP (30 μ M). (B) Concentration-dependency of ADP-evoked responses (EC₅₀ 2.7 ± 0.3 μ M; n = 5). Abolition of responses evoked by 30 μ M ADP in control conditions (closed circles) or following pre-incubation (open circles) with 5 μ M U73122 (C) or 1 μ M thapsigargin (D); n = 5 for both. (E) ADP concentration-response curve in the absence (closed circles) and presence (open circles) of 300 nM MRS2578 (n = 5). (F) Concentration-inhibition curve for P2Y₆ antagonist MRS2578 on intracellular Ca²⁺ response evoked by ADP (3 μ M; n = 5). (G) ADP concentration-response curve in the absence (open circles) of 300 nM MRS2578 (n = 5). (H and I) Averaged (n = 5) intracellular Ca²⁺ responses evoked by 3 μ M ADP in the presence of vehicle (closed circles) or in the presence of P2Y₁ antagonist 10 μ M MRS2500 (H; open circles). For all experiments, *F* ratio is the ratiometric measurement of intracellular Ca²⁺ using fura-2. **P* < 0.05.



Figure 2

Pertussis toxin attenuates ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses in THP-1 cells. (A) Averaged (n = 5) intracellular Ca²⁺ response evoked by ADP (3 μ M) in the absence (closed circles) and presence (open circles) of 5 nM pertussis toxin (3 h pre-incubation). (B) ADP concentration–response relationship in absence and presence of 5 nM pertussis toxin (n = 5). (C) Positive control showing abolition of control CCL2-evoked response by 5 nM pertussis toxin (n = 5). Responses evoked by 50 ng·mL⁻¹ CCL2. For all experiments, *F* ratio is the ratiometric measurement of intracellular Ca²⁺ using fura-2. *P < 0.05.

We next determined the expression of ADP receptors in THP-1 cells in an effort to probe further the molecular basis of ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses and identify receptors that may modulate them. RT-PCR analysis of P2Y receptors activated by ADP (Communi *et al.*, 1996; Erb and Weisman, 2012) in THP-1 cells revealed the expression of P2Y₁₂ receptor mRNA expression was confirmed in freshly isolated CD14⁺ monocytes (Figure 3B). P2Y₁, P2Y₆, P2Y₁₂ and P2Y₁₃ receptor protein expression was confirmed by immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy (Figure 3C).

*Effect of P2Y*₁₂ *receptor antagonism and knockdown on ADP-evoked Ca*²⁺ *responses in THP-1 cells*

Of the ADP receptors expressed by THP-1 monocytes, the $P2Y_{12}$ receptor is a known G_i -coupled receptor. The role of $P2Y_{12}$ receptor activation during ADP challenge was investigated using **PSB-0739**, a high affinity competitive antagonist (Baqi *et al.*, 2009), and ticagrelor, a $P2Y_{12}$ antagonist used clinically as an anti-thrombotic agent (Husted *et al.*, 2006). Ticagrelor inhibited ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses in THP-1 cells in a concentration-dependent fashion (Figure 4A).

Ticagrelor inhibited ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses with an EC₅₀ of 4.7 \pm 1.8 μ M (*n* = 5) and a non-competitive mode of action (Figure 4B, C). This is consistent with the observations of van Giezen *et al.* (2009) where ticagrelor binds to $P2Y_{12}$ at a site distinct from that of ADP, producing a non-competitive inhibition of ADP-induced aggregation in human platelets. PSB-0739 inhibited ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses with an EC_{50} of 5.4 ± 1.8 μ M (*n* = 5) (Figure 4D, E) and caused a rightward parallel shift in the ADP concentration-response curve (Figure 4F). Inhibition of the ADP response was approximately 80% at the highest concentrations of PSB-0739 tested. Several studies have suggested that ticagrelor produces cardiovascular benefit through a pleiotropic inhibition of the equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (ENT-1) (Aungraheeta et al., 2016), and a consequent elevation in extracellular adenosine. To rule out this mechanism of action in THP-1 cells, we inhibited ENT-1 using 3 μM 6-S-[(4-nitrophenyl)methyl]-6-thioinosine (NBMPR), but observed no effect on ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses (data not shown). Next, we undertook a gene knockdown strategy to support our observations with P2Y₁₂ receptor antagonists. siRNA targeting of P2Y12 receptors or control gene GADPH achieved approximately 40% mRNA knockdown versus scrambled siRNA control cells. ADP-evoked



CD14⁺ monocytes

Figure 3

Expression of ADP-activated P2Y receptors in THP-1 cells. (A) RT-PCR analysis of P2Y₁ (326 bp), P2Y₆ (391 bp), P2Y₁₂ (698 bp) and P2Y₁₃ (461 bp) receptor expression in THP-1 monocytes. RT-PCR analysis of P2Y₁₂ receptors (698) expression in freshly isolated CD14⁺ monocytes from human peripheral blood. For (A) and (B): predicted PCR amplilicon size given in parentheses; no RT (no reverse transcriptase) denotes negative control experiments for genomic DNA contamination. (C) Representative confocal microscopy images showing P2Y primary antibody immunoreactivity in fixed THP-1 cells. Cells are labelled with polyclonal antibodies against P2Y receptor subunits and fluorescence (green) visualized by using a AF488-conjugated secondary antibody (lefthand panels). The "control" is representative of an experiment where primary antibodies have been omitted. Cells are counterstained with diamidino-2-phenylindole to identify nuclei (blue; in overlay in righthand panel). Scale bar is 5 μ m. Experiments are representative of at least three independent experiments.



Figure 4

Effect of P2Y₁₂ receptor antagonism and gene knockdown on ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses in THP-1 cells. (A) Averaged (n = 5) ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ response in the absence (closed circles) and presence (open circles) of 3 μ M ticagrelor. (B) Ticagrelor concentration-inhibition curve (IC₅₀ 4.7 ± 1.8 μ M; n = 5) for responses evoked by 3 μ M ADP. (C) ADP concentration-response curve (n = 5) in the presence of vehicle (closed circles) or 0.1 (open circles), 1 (closed squares) and 5 μ M ticagrelor (open squares). (D) Averaged (n = 5) ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ response in the absence (closed circles) and presence (open circles) of 25 μ M PSB-0739. (E) PSB-0739 concentration-inhibition curve (IC₅₀ 5.4 ± 1.8 μ M; n = 5) for responses evoked by 3 μ M ADP. (F) ADP concentration response curve (n = 4) in the presence of vehicle (closed circles) and 10 μ M PSB-0739 (triangles). (G) Effect of siRNA-mediated silencing of P2Y₁₂ receptors on ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses. Averaged (n = 5) Ca²⁺ responses evoked by 3 μ M ADP in THP-1 cells following siRNA-mediated mRNA knockdown of P2Y₁₂ (open circles) or GAPDH (closed squares) compared with cells transfected with scrambled siRNA (closed circles) (n = 5). (H) Bar chart showing effect of different siRNA treatment on peak Ca²⁺ responses evoked by 3 μ M ADP (n = 5). Responses in panels G and H are normalized to the magnitude of Ca²⁺ response elicited by 100 μ M ionomycin to control for cell number. For all experiments, *F* ratio is the ratiometric measurement of intracellular Ca²⁺ using fura-2. *P < 0.05. (I) P2Y₁₂ antagonists attenuate THP-1 transwell migration towards ADP. Chemotactic indexes comparing cell movement over 2 h in control conditions (vehicle), 3 μ M ADP alone or in the presence of either ticagrelor (3 μ M) or PSB-0739 (25 μ M) (*P < 0.05 vs. ADP alone; n = 5).

Ca²⁺ responses were attenuated by approximately 30% in cells with P2Y₁₂ knockdown versus either GADPH knockdown or scrambled control cells (Figure 4G, H). To investigate the biological relevance of P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibition in THP-1 cells, we assayed transmigration in response to ADP. In these experiments, ADP stimulated significant THP-1 cell transmigration over a 2 h period compared to vehicle (Figure 4I). The addition of either ticagrelor or PSB-0739 attenuated cell migration to ADP (Figure 4I). Together, these data reveal that P2Y₁₂ receptor activity is functionally important for the generation of ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ responses and migration in THP-1 cells.

Adenylate cyclase inhibition reverses antagonistic effect of ticagrelor

We hypothesized that the molecular mechanism underlying the positive contribution of the P2Y₁₂ receptor to ADPevoked Ca²⁺ responses could be due to a number of possibilities. Firstly, that the P2Y₁₂ receptor is solely G_i-coupled and its activity positively regulates P2Y₆ receptor-mediated Ca²⁺ signalling through classical suppression of adenylate cyclase, or secondly, that the P2Y₁₂ receptor can directly elicit a Ca²⁺ response, either through promiscuous G_q-coupling or *via* G_icoupling that directly elicits Ca²⁺ signalling through activation of atypical PLC, for signalling on the CCL2-**CCR2** axis (Myers *et al.*, 1995). To explore this further, we investigated the requirement of adenylate cyclase activity for mediating the inhibitory action of ticagrelor. Adenylate cyclase inhibition with 300 μ M SQ22536 had no effect on ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses (Figure 5A). However, SQ22536 could reverse the effect of ticagrelor, restoring the ADP concentration–response relationship and maximum response ($F_{max} = 3.32 \pm 0.26$ for ADP + 5 μ M ticagrelor + 300 μ M SQ22536; $F_{max} = 2.64 \pm 0.21$, ADP + 5 μ M ticagrelor; $F_{max} = 1.06 \pm 0.10$; n = 5. The F_{max} for ADP + 5 μ M ticagrelor vs. ADP + vehicle control is significantly different, P < 0.05) (Figure 5B, C).

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated novel findings identifying a role for P2Y₁₂ receptors in regulating intracellular Ca²⁺ signalling in non-platelet cells. We utilized THP-1 cells, a model used extensively to investigate human monocyte function, to demonstrate a functional role for the P2Y₁₂ receptor. In addition, we identified P2Y₁₂ receptor expression in human CD14⁺ monocytes. There have been no published reports on the expression of P2Y12 receptors in monocytes thus far; however, the involvement of P2Y₁₂ receptors in the function of macrophages was investigated by Kronlage et al. (2010). Stimulation of $P2Y_{12}$ receptors in macrophages was found to induce cell spreading with formation of lamellipodia and inhibition of multiple purine receptors, including P2Y₁₂, attenuated chemotaxis (Kronlage et al., 2010). The signal transduction mechanisms downstream of the P2Y₁₂ receptor were not investigated in this study. P2Y₁₂ receptor expression has also been reported in glial cells, smooth muscle and endothelium (Cattaneo, 2007).

In this study, we demonstrated that ADP evokes intracellular Ca²⁺ signalling *via* P2Y₆ receptor activation. The P2Y₆ receptor is often considered as the metabotropic receptor for uridine diphosphate (UDP). Although UDP is a more potent agonist at the human P2Y₆ receptor by several orders of magnitude, ADP is a full agonist with a half-maximal concentration for IP₃ production in the micromolar range (Communi et al., 1996). ADP is an important signalling cue in monocyte/macrophage biology. For example, in the same cell-line used in this investigation, THP-1, ADP stimulation caused release of the cytokine TNF- α (Mattana *et al.*, 2002). TNF-α release causes an inflammatory innate immune response including immune cell recruitment. In addition, ADP has been shown in this investigation to induce calcium signals in THP-1 cells, and calcium signalling in circulating monocytes has in fact been suggested to result in the development of mature dendritic cells (Czerniecki et al., 1997). Such examples indicate that ADP contributes to monocyte function, with specific reference to immune responses and differentiation. ADP released from Escherichia coli-infected mice, and from macrophages exposed to LPS, protected mice from E. coli-induced peritonitis via macrophage recruitment (Zhang et al., 2018). Additionally, ADP caused production of CCL2, a crucial chemokine in immune cell recruitment, which accordingly attracted more macrophages in a transwell assay (Zhang et al., 2018). Inhibition of downstream P2Y₁₂ receptor signalling, or macrophage P2Y₁₂ receptor deficiency, blocked immune responses to ADP, in turn allowing more bacteria to persist in infected mice (Zhang et al., 2018). This investigation by Zhang et al. revealed that upon sensing danger, macrophages release ADP, which binds to receptors such as P2Y₁₂, mediating actions such as chemokine release and consequent recruitment of immune cells. Such findings may implicate ADP and P2Y₁₂ receptors as being crucial in forming a comprehensive immune response to infectious disease.

It was discovered in platelets by Hardy *et al.* (2004) that the selective pharmacological P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor AR-C69931MX partially blocked the calcium response to 10 μ M ADP, which was also shown to be completely abolished by selective inhibition the P2Y₁ receptor. This suggested that the P2Y₁₂ receptor is able to positively modulate the P2Y₁ receptor-mediated calcium response to ADP in platelets. Subsequently, Hardy *et al.* identified that the P13K inhibitor LY294002 (10 μ M) partially inhibited the P2Y₁₂ receptordependent part of the calcium response to ADP. Moreover,



Figure 5

Inhibition of adenylate cyclase reverses antagonistic action of ticagrelor on ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ responses in THP-1 cells. (A) Averaged (n = 5) 3 μ M ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ in the presence of vehicle (closed circles) or following adenylate cyclase inhibition with 300 μ M SQ22536. (B) Averaged (n = 5) 3 μ M ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ in the presence of vehicle, 5 μ M ticagrelor (open circles) or 5 μ M ticagrelor plus 300 μ M SQ22536 (closed squares). (C) ADP concentration–response curve (n = 5) in the presence of vehicle (closed circles), 5 μ M ticagrelor (open circles) or 5 μ M ticagrelor plus 300 μ M SQ22536 (closed squares). For all experiments, *F* ratio is the ratiometric measurement of intracellular Ca²⁺ using fura-2. *P < 0.05.



the adenylate cyclase inhibitor SQ22536 partially restored ADP-evoked calcium responses in the presence of the P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor AR-C69931MX (Hardy *et al.*, 2004). Taken together, these findings by Hardy *et al.* indicated that in platelets the P2Y₁₂ receptor regulates P2Y₁ receptor-mediated calcium responses to ADP through activation of PI3K and inhibition of adenylate cyclase. The findings in this investigation suggest that, of the ADP-activated P2Y receptors, ADP-induced calcium responses in THP-1 cells are dependent on P2Y₁₂ and P2Y₆ receptor activation, but not dependent on P2Y₁₀ or P2Y₁₃ receptors. Therefore, the basic principle identified and reported by Hardy *et al.* is supported here for THP-1 monocytic cells, only with the P2Y₆ receptor acting as the equivalent of the P2Y₁ receptor.

An inhibitory action of SQ22536 on ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ signals is not observed in the absence of P2Y_{12} antagonism. These data suggest adenylate cyclase activity does not exert a suppressive effect when P2Y₆ and P2Y₁₂ receptors are concurrently activated by ADP. There are mechanistic explanations that could explain this observation. For example, there may be no net change in adenylate cyclase activity upon ADP challenge due to co-activation of a G_i-dependent pathway, mediated by P2Y₁₂ receptors and a G_s-dependent pathway mediated by another ADP receptor or possible adenosine receptor. A predominance of G_s signalling could be revealed following P2Y12 receptor inhibition and increased adenylate cyclase activity suppresses ADP-evoked Ca²⁺ signalling. Previous reports (Communi et al., 1997) have highlighted promiscuity for Gs- and Gq-coupling by P2Y11 receptors that is expressed by THP-1 cells (unpublished data). However, the P2Y₁₁ receptor is activated by ATP not by ADP at concentrations used in this study (Communi et al., 1997).

How might the P2Y₁₂ receptor regulate P2Y₆ receptordependent signalling *via* adenylate cyclase? Firstly, this is unlikely to involve PKA-dependent phosphorylation of the P2Y₆ receptor or receptor desensitization. Compared with other P2Y receptors, such as P2Y₄, the P2Y₆ receptor displays limited reduction in cell surface number even in the presence of maximal agonist concentrations (Brinson and Harden, 2000). There are no consensus PKA phosphorylation sites in the cytoplasmic loops of P2Y₆, receptors, although this cannot discount the possibility of PKA phosphorylation of an auxiliary protein that positively regulates P2Y₆ receptor activity.

There is currently much interest in the biological effects of $P2Y_{12}$ antagonists beyond platelets (Nylander and Schulz, 2016). Although further work is required to investigate the role of the $P2Y_{12}$ receptor in freshly isolated human monocytes, this work suggests that physiological and pharmacological modulation of $P2Y_{12}$ receptors can influence ADP-evoked intracellular Ca²⁺ signalling in THP-1 cells and perhaps monocytes, which will likely influence key monocyte functions such as migration, adhesion and cytokine production.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.

Author contributions

J.J.M. and J.A.L. carried out the experiments and analysed the data. J.J.M., J.A.L. and S.J.F. designed the experiments and co-wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of transparency and scientific rigour

This Declaration acknowledges that this paper adheres to the principles for transparent reporting and scientific rigour of preclinical research recommended by funding agencies, publishers and other organisations engaged with supporting research.

References

Alexander SPH, Christopoulos A, Davenport AP, Kelly E, Marrion NV, Peters JA *et al.* (2017a). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18: G protein-coupled receptors. Br J Pharmacol 174: S17–S129.

Alexander SPH, Fabbro D, Kelly E, Marrion NV, Peters JA, Faccenda E *et al.* (2017b). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18: Enzymes. Br J Pharmacol 174: S272–S359.

Aungraheeta R, Conibear A, Butler M, Kelly E, Nylander S, Mumford A *et al.* (2016). Inverse agonism at the P2Y12 receptor and ENT1 transporter blockade contribute to platelet inhibition by ticagrelor. Blood 128: 2717–2728.

Baqi Y, Atzler K, Köse M, Glänzel M, Müller C (2009). High-affinity, non-nucleotide-derived competitive antagonists of platelet P2Y₁₂ receptors. J Med Chem 52: 3784–3793.

Ben Addi A, Cammarata D, Conley P, Boeynaems J, Robaye B (2010). Role of the P2Y12 receptor in the modulation of murine dendritic cell function by ADP. J Immunol 185: 5900–5906.

Brinson A, Harden T (2000). Differential regulation of the uridine nucleotide-activated P2Y4 and P2Y6 receptors. J Biol Chem 276: 11939–11948.

Campwala H, Sexton D, Crossman D, Fountain SJ (2014). P2Y6 receptor inhibition perturbs CCL2-evoked signalling in human monocytic and peripheral blood mononuclear cells. J Cell Sci 127: 4964–4973.

Cattaneo M (2007). The platelet P2 receptors. In: Platelets, pp. 201–220.

Communi D, Govaerts C, Parmentier M, Boeynaems J (1997). Cloning of a human purinergic P2Y receptor coupled to phospholipase C and adenylyl cyclase. J Biol Chem 272: 31969–31973.

Communi D, Parmentier M, Boeynaems J (1996). Cloning, functional expression and tissue distribution of the human P2Y6 receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 222: 303–308.

Curtis MJ, Bond RA, Spina D, Ahluwalia A, Alexander SP, Giembycz MA *et al.* (2015). Experimental design and analysis and their



Czerniecki B, Carter C, Rivoltini L, Koski G, Kim H, Weng D *et al*. (1997). Calcium ionophore-treated peripheral blood monocytes and dendritic cells rapidly display characteristics of activated dendritic cells. J Immunol 159: 3823–3837.

Dorsam R, Kunapuli S (2004). Central role of the P2Y12 receptor in platelet activation. J Clin Investig 113: 340–345.

Erb L, Weisman G (2012). Coupling of P2Y receptors to G proteins and other signaling pathways. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Membr Transp Signal 1: 789–803.

Harding SD, Sharman JL, Faccenda E, Southan C, Pawson AJ, Ireland S *et al.* (2018). The IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY in 2018: updates and expansion to encompass the new guide to IMMUNOPHARMACOLOGY. Nucl Acids Res 46: D1091–D1106.

Hardy A, Jones M, Mundell S, Poole A (2004). Reciprocal cross-talk between P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptors at the level of calcium signaling in human platelets. Blood 104: 1745–1752.

Husted S, Emanuelsson H, Heptinstall S, Sandset P, Wickens M, Peters G (2006). Pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, and safety of the oral reversible P2Y12 antagonist AZD6140 with aspirin in patients with atherosclerosis: a double-blind comparison to clopidogrel with aspirin. Eur Heart J 27: 1038–1047.

Jin J, Kunapuli S (1998). Coactivation of two different G proteincoupled receptors is essential for ADP-induced platelet aggregation. Proc Natl Acad Sci 95: 8070–8074.

Kronlage M, Song J, Sorokin L, Isfort K, Schwerdtle T, Leipziger J *et al.* (2010). Autocrine purinergic receptor signaling is essential for macrophage chemotaxis. Sci Signal 3 ra55-ra55.

Mahaut-Smith MP, Ennion SJ, Rolf MG, Evans RJ (2000). ADP is not an agonist at P2X₁ receptors: evidence for separate receptors stimulated by ATP and ADP on human platelets. Br J Pharmacol 131: 108–114.

Mamedova L, Joshi B, Gao Z, von Kügelgen I, Jacobson K (2004). Diisothiocyanate derivatives as potent, insurmountable antagonists of P2Y6 nucleotide receptors. Biochem Pharmacol 67: 1763–1770.

Mattana A, Cappai V, Alberti L, Serra C, Fiori P, Cappuccinelli P (2002). ADP and other metabolites released from Acanthamoeba castellanii lead to human monocytic cell death through apoptosis and stimulate the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines. Infect Immun 70: 4424–4432.

Myers S, Wong L, Charo I (1995). Signal transduction and ligand specificity of the human monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 receptor in transfected embryonic kidney cells. J Biol Chem 270: 5786–5792.

BIP

Nylander S, Schulz R (2016). Effects of P2Y12 receptor antagonists beyond platelet inhibition–comparison of ticagrelor with thienopyridines. Br J Pharmacol 173: 1163–1178.

Sivaramakrishnan V, Bidula S, Campwala H, Katikaneni D, Fountain SJ (2012). Constitutive lysosome exocytosis releases ATP and engages P2Y receptors in human monocytes. J Cell Sci 125: 4567–4575.

Suplat D, Krzemiński P, Pomorski P, Barańska J (2007). P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptor cross-talk in calcium signalling: evidence from nonstarved and long-term serum-deprived glioma C6 cells. Purinergic Signal 3: 221–230.

van Giezen J, Nilsson L, Berntsson P, Wissing B, Giordanetto F, Tomlinson W*et al.* (2009). Ticagrelor binds to human P2Y12independently from ADP but antagonizes ADP-induced receptor signaling and platelet aggregation. J Thromb Haemost 7: 1556–1565.

West L, Steiner T, Judge H, Francis S, Storey R (2014). Vessel wall, not platelet, P2Y12 potentiates early atherogenesis. Cardiovasc Res 102: 429–435.

Zhang X, Qin J, Zou J, Lv Z, Tan B, Shi J *et al.* (2018). Extracellular ADP facilitates monocyte recruitment in bacterial infection via ERK signaling. Cell Mol Immunol 15: 58–73.

Ziegler-Heitbrock L (2006). The CD14+ CD16+ blood monocytes: their role in infection and inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 81: 584–592.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14218

Figure S1 Ca²⁺ response in THP-1 cells evoked by hexokinase-treated ADP. (A) Average (N = 5) intracellular Ca²⁺ response evoked by ADP (20 μ M). Concentration-response curve of ADP-evoked responses (EC₅₀ 2.2±0.5 μ M; N = 5).