



## Review Article

## Spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis: A review of the literature

Paul Gagnet\*, Kent Kern, Kyle Andrews, Hossein Elgafy, Nabil Ebraheim

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Toledo Medical Center, 3000 Arlington Avenue, Toledo, OH, USA



## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Spondylolysis  
Spondylolisthesis  
LIPUS  
Conservative treatment  
Surgical treatment

## ABSTRACT

Spondylolysis is a common diagnosis with a high prevalence in children and adolescents complaining of low back pain. It may be caused by either a defect or fracture of the pars interarticularis due to mechanical stress. Depending on the severity of the spondylolysis and symptoms associated it may be treated either conservatively or surgically, both of which have shown significant success. Conservative treatments such as bracing and decreased activity have been shown to be most effective with patients who have early diagnosis and treatment. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) in addition to conservative treatment appears to be very promising for achieving a higher rate of bony union. LIPUS requires more supporting studies, but may prove to become a standard of care in the future. Surgery may be required if conservative treatment, for at least six months, failed to give sustained pain relief for the activities of daily living. Based on studies performed on each of the major surgical treatments we suggest the use of the pedicle screw hook technique and the pedicle screw rod technique due to low rates of hardware failure, increased maintenance of mobility, and lack of a postoperative bracing requirement.

## 1. Introduction

Spondylolysis is an anatomical defect or fracture of the pars interarticularis of the vertebral arch. It occurs at the L5 vertebrae between 85 and 95% of the time and occurs at the L4 vertebrae 5–15% of the time.<sup>1</sup> The defects can occur unilaterally or bilaterally. Spondylolysis is one of the most common causes of lower back pain in adolescents, although it remains asymptomatic in the majority of patients. Spondylolysis can progress to spondylolisthesis, which is defined as anterior displacement of the vertebral body in reference to the bordering vertebral bodies.

There are five categories of spondylolisthesis classified by Wiltse et al.<sup>2</sup> Type I is dysplastic and refers to a congenital dysplasia that results in the anterior and superior rounding of the S1 vertebrae. This rounding allows the L5 vertebrae to slip anteriorly on the S1 vertebrae. Type II is isthmic and is separated into Type IIA and Type IIB. Type IIA is caused by a stress fracture of the pars interarticularis (spondylolysis) that results in anterior slippage of the vertebrae. Type II B is caused by repetitive fractures and subsequent healing which results in lengthening of the pars interarticularis leading to anterior slippage of the vertebrae. Type III is degenerative and the root cause is commonly from arthritis. Arthritis of the facet joint prevents movement of the joint leading to stress and instability which ultimately leads to the weakening of the ligamentum flavum. Weakness of the ligamentum flavum leads to degenerative instability and permits anterior slippage of the

vertebrae.<sup>3</sup> Type IV is traumatic and is caused by high energy trauma to the spine. Type V is pathologic and can be caused by lytic bone tumors, osteopetrosis, or osteoporosis. Type VI is iatrogenic spondylolisthesis and is a potential sequelae of spinal surgery. Frequently, these patients will have undergone prior laminectomy. If insufficient osseous structure is preserved during the procedure, the pars will be weakened and more likely to fracture.

The Myerding classification defines the amount of vertebral slippage on X-ray in reference to the caudal vertebrae.<sup>4</sup> There are five grades of spondylolisthesis in the Myerding classification. Grade I is less than 25 percent slippage, grade II is 26–50% slippage, grade III is 51–75% slippage, grade IV is 76–100% slippage, and grade V is over 100% slippage and is referred to as spondyloptosis.

## 2. Etiology

Spondylolysis has been shown to be absent at birth, and generally develops at a young age.<sup>5</sup> Fredrickson et al.<sup>6</sup> performed a prospective study on 500 first graders and found a prevalence of 4.4% at the age of 6 years which increased to 6% by the time adulthood was reached. The incidence of spondylolysis was present at a ratio of 2:1 male to female. Wynne-Davies<sup>7</sup> found that first-degree relatives of those affected by spondylolysis had a higher incidence (19%) of spondylolysis compared to the general population which signifies that a genetic component is likely contributory.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [paul.gagnet@utoledo.edu](mailto:paul.gagnet@utoledo.edu) (P. Gagnet).

### 3. Pathophysiology

Spondylolysis is generally caused by repetitive stress to the pars interarticularis, especially due to hyperextension.<sup>8</sup> This injury is commonly seen in football linemen, gymnasts,<sup>9</sup> and Olympic weight lifters due to repetitive hyperextension.<sup>10</sup> Dietrich and Kurowski<sup>11</sup> performed a study evaluating the load and stress that is placed on the lumbar vertebrae. They found that the highest amount of stress is placed on the pars interarticularis. The conclusion that spondylolysis is caused by mechanical stressors can be drawn from the fact that spondylolysis has been shown to be absent at birth and that the incidence of spondylolysis in a patient population of 143 adults who had never walked was 0%.<sup>12</sup>

Cyron and Hutton<sup>13</sup> examined the fatigue life on cadaveric lumbar vertebrae that were placed under forces comparable to those experienced during the activities of daily living. Repetitive forces will cause minute damage to the bone over time and when this rate of damage overcomes cellular repair of the bone, a fracture will result. They found that walking with a back pack and standing in flexion for prolonged periods of time put sufficient stress on the lumbar vertebrae to result in a fracture. They also found that the strength of the neural arch continues to increase up to the age of 50. Decreased strength of the neural arch at a young age predisposes children and adolescents to a higher risk of fracture. Adolescents and children also have more elastic intervertebral disks which causes increased stress to be placed on the pars interarticularis.<sup>14</sup>

### 4. Clinical presentation

Spondylolysis is usually asymptomatic and may be found incidentally on radiographic examination. If the patient is symptomatic with lower back pain present, the pain will generally be worse with back extension. Visual inspection of the child may reveal lumbar hyperlordosis. If severe spondylolysis is present, a drop-off from the lumbar spine to the sacral spine may be palpable and lumbosacral kyphosis may be present.<sup>1</sup> Contracture of the hamstrings can also be a common finding for which the mechanism has not yet been elicited.<sup>15</sup> Hirano et al.<sup>16</sup> evaluated 100 patients between the age of 8–18 years that played sports almost daily and had a chief complaint of lower back pain. Pain with normal physiologic lumbar extension was evaluated in all patients and was present in 69%. All patients were then evaluated with X-ray, followed by a CT scan if X-ray was not confirmatory for spondylolysis. 34 of the 42 (81%) patients that had spondylolysis on imaging had pain with back extension. 35 of the 58 patients (60.3%) without spondylolysis present on imaging had pain with back extension. These results give a sensitivity of 81% and specificity of 39.7% for pain with back extension in spondylolytic patients.

Spondylolisthesis may present with symptoms of a radiculopathy due to compression of the nerve roots. When spondylolisthesis occurs in the lumbar vertebrae, pain, numbness, tingling, or weakness will be present in the lower. Patients may also complain of sharp shooting pains down their legs with certain activities that involve extension of the back. The patient will generally have a kyphotic lumbar posture in order to relieve pressure off of the nerve roots, which will subsequently reduce their symptoms.

Spondylolisthesis has been shown to have a very high incidence rate (36.7%) in those with rheumatoid arthritis.<sup>17</sup> It is also very common in patients with scoliosis and has a reported incidence of 15–48% in these patients<sup>18,19</sup> Spondylolisthesis should be high on the differential in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or scoliosis presenting with low back pain or lower extremity neurological symptoms.

### 5. Clinical course

Beutler et al.<sup>20</sup> performed a 45 year follow up on the original subjects with spondylolysis in the study performed by Fredrickson et al.<sup>6</sup> Of those 500 original patients, 6% of them had spondylolysis in adulthood

giving a patient population of 30. Eight of the subjects had unilateral pars defects and never developed spondylolisthesis. The other 22 subjects had bilateral pars defects with 18 (81.1%) of these patients developing spondylolisthesis. The only prognostic indicator found in this study for the development of spondylolisthesis was whether or not there is unilateral or bilateral spondylolysis. Beutler et al.<sup>20</sup> did not find any increased risk of slip progression as the patients aged into adulthood and this finding was further supported by other studies.<sup>21,22</sup> The strength of the growth plate has been shown to be the weakest portion of the lumbar vertebrae to resisting anterior shear forces. It is theorized that the growth plate plays an important role in the origin of spondylolisthesis.<sup>23</sup>

Progression of spondylolisthesis after the age of 20 years is much less common compared to progression during childhood and adolescence. This is likely due to ossification of the growth plate. McPhee et al.<sup>22</sup> followed 51 patients under the age of 30 with spondylolisthesis and evaluated the progression of slippage. The incidence of progression in the entire study population was 24%. It was found that the rate of progression was highest in adolescents with 38% of the adolescents progressing. Type I dysplastic spondylolisthesis was found to have the highest rate of progression with an incidence rate of 32%. Type II isthmic spondylolisthesis was found to have the lowest rate of progression with an incidence rate of 4%.

### 6. Treatment

There are few large clinical trials focused on the treatment of spondylolysis which makes it difficult to determine a proper treatment algorithm for conservative and surgical treatment. Young patients with spondylolysis generally receive conservative management as their initial treatment.<sup>24</sup> Conservative management generally consists of bracing, activity restriction, physical therapy and pain control.<sup>25</sup>

Morita et al.<sup>26</sup> defined the fractures of the pars interarticularis into three stages consisting of early, progressive, and terminal. The early stage was defined as a hairline defect of focal bony absorption. The progressive stage was defined as a wide defect with the presence of small fragments. The terminal change was defined as sclerotic change. The effects of conservative management on 185 adolescents with spondylolysis was studied. The adolescents were divided into early, progressive, and terminal stages of pars defects. Healing occurred in 73% of the early stage cases, 38.5% of the progressive stage cases, and 0% of the terminal cases. Sakai et al.<sup>27</sup> performed a very similar study on 60 pediatric patients and found 100% healing in the very early stage, 93.8% healing in the early stage, 80% healing in the progressive stage, and did not attempt conservative treatment in the terminal patients. Wiltse et al.<sup>2</sup> conservatively treated 17 adolescents and children with spondylolysis and had successful results in 12 (70%) of the patients. Blanda et al.<sup>25</sup> successfully treated 52 out of 62 (84%) patients with spondylolysis in a conservative manner.

Arima et al.<sup>28</sup> evaluated the use of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) versus conventional conservative treatment in patients with the progressive stage of spondylolysis. The experimental group consisted of 9 adolescent patients that received a combination of LIPUS for 20 min every day in addition to conventional conservative treatment. The control group consisted of 10 adolescent patients who received only conventional conservative treatment. The experimental group treated with LIPUS achieved a union rate of 66.7% with a mean treatment time of 3.8 months. In the control group treated with conventional conservative treatment, a union rate of 10% was achieved with a treatment time of 3.8 months. Busse et al.<sup>29</sup> performed a meta analysis of 3 trials with a total of 158 fractures of various bones that utilized LIPUS and found an average increase in healing time of 64 days between the LIPUS and control groups. Larger studies need to be undertaken regarding the effectiveness of LIPUS in treating spondylolysis conservatively, but the initial results seem very promising and may prove to become a standard of care in the future especially for patients diagnosed with the

progressive stage.

The effects of conservative treatment on athletes with spondylolysis were studied by Iwamoto et al.<sup>30</sup> They followed 104 athletes with a diagnosis of spondylolysis that had initially reported to their clinic for lower back pain. They were followed for 11 years total. 40 of the athletes had to stop participating in athletics due to their low back pain. These 40 athletes were subsequently treated conservatively with antilordotic back bracing and activity restriction. 35 of the 40 (87.5%) athletes were able to return to athletics in an average of 5.4 months. Overall, conservative management consisting of bracing, activity restriction, and therapy can produce excellent results in the treatment of very early or early spondylolysis. Treatment of progressive stages of spondylolysis has produced mixed results with LIPUS looking like a promising treatment to improve union rates in this group. Terminal spondylolysis is refractory to conservative management and requires surgical intervention.

Lee et al.<sup>31</sup> performed a prospective study on 149 young patients with spondylolysis. 87 of the patients were treated conservatively and the other 62 were treated surgically. The patients were then followed for one year. Conservative treatment consisted of analgesics, NSAIDS, 6 months of physical therapy, and injections if the previous treatments failed. The surgical group received direct repair with a cortical screw at the pars defect. They found no significant differences in pain levels as measured by the Visual Analog Scale over the course of 12 months other than the surgery group having significantly more pain at 1 month postoperatively. The functional outcomes were measured by the ODI and SF-12 and no significant difference was found at 12 months postoperatively between the two groups.

In a patient that is in the terminal stage or has failed conservative management, the two surgical options are direct repair at the pars defect or fusion of the lumbar segment.<sup>32</sup> Direct repair is the favored surgical treatment due to spinal fusion causing decreased range of motion and adjacent segment disease that can occur in 5.2–18.5% of patients.<sup>33,34</sup> Adjacent segment disease includes disc degeneration, disk herniation, listhesis, instability, arthritis, and stenosis. There are many surgical techniques that can be utilized for direct repair of a pars interarticularis defect and include: single lag screw fixation (Buck),<sup>35</sup> hook screw fixation (Morscher),<sup>36</sup> cerclage wire fixation (Scott),<sup>37</sup> butterfly plate fixation (Louis),<sup>38</sup> pedicle screw hook fixation,<sup>39</sup> and pedicle screw rod fixation.<sup>40</sup>

Single lag screw fixation has shown good results with initial reports by Buck producing a success rate of 88% in 75 patients.<sup>41</sup> Other studies utilizing Buck's technique have produced satisfactory results in 78–90% of patients,<sup>42–46</sup> with one study being an outlier with satisfactory results in only 63% of their patients.<sup>47</sup> Other studies using Buck's technique in athletes have shown 4 out of 4 (100%) patients returning to athletics in one study,<sup>48</sup> and 18 of 19 (94.7%) returning to athletics in another study.<sup>49</sup> One of the difficulties associated with Buck's technique is proper screw placement due to the narrow area of the lamina, which has been previously reported at our institution.<sup>50</sup> Another disadvantage is the decreased amount of area for bone graft to be placed due to the screw.

Morscher et al.<sup>36</sup> had successful outcomes in 10 out of 12 patients (83%) using the hook screw technique. Another study utilizing the Morscher technique in 14 children that were followed between 1 and 5 years found a satisfactory rate of 92%.<sup>51</sup> Other studies have found satisfactory rates between 56 and 82%.<sup>51–54</sup> The downsides to the Morscher technique is that a high rate of device failure has been reported including hardware loosening and screw breakage.<sup>51</sup> Three months of postoperative bracing is also required.

The Scott technique utilizing cerclage wire fixation has been successful with satisfactory results varying between 80%–100%.<sup>55–57</sup> The disadvantage to the Scott technique is that it requires stripping of the muscle in order to fully expose the transverse process. Difficulties with the Scott technique include placement of the cerclage wires and the risk of possible damage to the nerve roots, and fatigue fracture of the

spinous processes. Patients also have to wear a supportive brace for three months postoperatively.<sup>58</sup>

Louis<sup>38</sup> was very successful with the butterfly plate technique with good results reported in 86% of the study population. This technique is advantageous due to the large area that is available for bone grafting which contributed to the bone union rate of 95% that was reported. The disadvantages to this procedure are the 3 months of postoperative bracing and mandatory removal of the butterfly plate after healing.

Pedicle screwhook fixation is a newer technique that has reported good results in 79–100% of the patients.<sup>39,58–60</sup> Pedicle screw hook fixation is an evolution of Morscher's technique that improves upon hardware strength, decreased risk of hardware failure, and negates the necessity of postoperative bracing.<sup>58</sup>

Pedicle screw rod fixation in combination with a screw hook was initially reported by Tokuhashi and Matsuzaki.<sup>39</sup> This technique was modified by Ulibarri et al.<sup>40</sup> to include only pedicle screw rod fixation. Satisfactory results were achieved in all 5 of the patients. Eldin<sup>61</sup> reported excellent results in the two patients that were studied. One patient had recurrent pain one year postoperatively that resolved with removal of the hardware. The advantage to this technique is that it does not require any postoperative bracing and has a large area for bone grafting.

Ulibarri et al.<sup>40</sup> studied the biomechanical effects of various surgical techniques on cadaveric spines. The techniques studied were the pedicle-screw-rod system, Scott wiring, pedicle screw cable system, and an intralaminar link construct. It was found that the least amount of displacement across the defect during flexion and extension occurred in the intralaminar link construct, followed by the pedicle screw rod system and then the Scott wiring. These three techniques had a statistically significant improvement in reducing displacement compared to pedicle screw cable and control spine with no fixation. Fan et al.<sup>62</sup> performed biomechanical testing on calf cadaveric spines. The techniques studied were a modified Scott, screw-rod-hook, screw-rod, and Buck's technique. It was found that there was no significant difference in the four techniques in regards to rotational and lateral flexion. The Screw-rod-hook, screw-rod, and Buck's technique were found to provide greater stability of the spine during flexion and extension.

Spondylolisthesis can be managed conservatively or surgically depending on the grade of the spondylolisthesis and the impact it is having on the patient's daily activities. Conservative management of spondylolisthesis consists of rest, pain control, and bracing. Surgical management of spondylolisthesis consists of decompression and instrumented fusion.<sup>63</sup>

Patients with grade I or II spondylolisthesis that have no accompanying impairments of daily living activities can be managed conservatively. In patients with grade III, IV, or V spondylolisthesis, the decision to treat surgically is still very controversial.<sup>63</sup> Lundin et al.<sup>64</sup> compared quality of life measurements in patients with grades III, IV, or V spondylolisthesis that were managed conservatively against those that were managed surgically. They concluded that surgical management of a symptomatic patient achieves similar quality of life to those patients that had minimal symptoms and were managed conservatively. They found that patients who had delayed surgical treatment did not have worse outcomes. Harris and Weinstein<sup>65</sup> followed 32 patients with grade III or IV spondylolisthesis that had been managed either conservatively or surgically, and found no significant differences in outcomes between the two groups of patients.

## 7. Conclusion

In a child with low back pain, the most common cause will be spondylolysis. It is a fatigue fracture that occurs due to the repetitive stress on the pars interarticularis. Patients that are symptomatic will require conservative treatment and possibly surgical treatment depending on whether the defect is early, progressive, or terminal. There is a high rate of success rate in conservative treatment for early and

progressive spondylolysis. LIPUS has the opportunity to greatly decrease the time to fusion and success of conservative treatment especially in those with progressive spondylolysis. Of the surgical treatment options listed here we look most favorably on the pedicle screw hook technique and the pedicle screw rod technique. This is due to the high success rate, low rate of hardware failure, the lack of required post-operative bracing, and sufficient maintenance of reduction during flexion, extension, torsion, and side bending.

### Conflicts of interest

All authors have nothing to disclose.

### References

- Hu SS, Tribus CB, Diab M, Ghanayem AJ. *Spondylolisthesis and spondylolysis. Instructional Course Lectures*. vol. 57. 2008; 2008:431–445.
- Wiltse LL, Newman PH, Macnab I. Classification of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1976;117:23–29.
- Benoist M. Natural history of the aging spine. *Eur Spine J*. 2003;12(Suppl. 2):S86–9.
- Meyerding HW. Low backache and sciatic pain associated with spondylolisthesis and protruded intervertebral disc: incidence, significant, and treatment. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1941;23(2):461–470.
- Hensinger RN. Spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis in children and adolescents. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1989;71(7):1098–1107 American volume.
- Fredrickson BE, Baker D, McHolick WJ, Yuan HA, Lubicky JP. The natural history of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1984;66(5):699–707 American volume.
- Wynne-Davies R, Scott JH. Inheritance and spondylolisthesis: a radiographic family survey. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1979;61-b(3):301–305 British volume.
- Ciullo JV, Jackson DW. Pars interarticularis stress reaction, spondylolysis, and spondylolisthesis in gymnasts. *Clin Sports Med*. 1985;4(1):95–110.
- Jackson DW, Wiltse LL, Cirincione RJ. Spondylolysis in the female gymnast. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1976;117:68–73.
- McTimoney CA, Micheli LJ. Current evaluation and management of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2003;2(1):41–46.
- Dietrich M, Kurowski P. The importance of mechanical factors in the etiology of spondylolysis: a model analysis of loads and stresses in human lumbar spine. *Spine*. 1985;10(6):532–542.
- Rosenberg NJ, Bargar WL, Friedman B. The incidence of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis in nonambulatory patients. *Spine*. 1981;6(1):35–38.
- Cyron BM, Hutton WC. The fatigue strength of the lumbar neural arch in spondylolysis. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1978;60-b(2):234–238 British volume.
- Cyron B. *Mechanical Factors in the Etiology of Spondylolysis*. Polytechnic of Central London; 1977.
- Kayser R, Mahlfeld K, Heyde CE, Graßhoff H, Mellerowicz H. Tight hamstring syndrome and extra- or intraspinal diseases in childhood: a multicenter study. *Eur Spine J*. 2006;15(4):403–408.
- Hirano A, Takebayashi T, Yoshimoto M, et al. Characteristics of clinical and imaging findings in adolescent lumbar spondylolysis associated with sports activities. *J Spine*. 2012;1:124.
- Sugimura Y, Miyakoshi N, Miyamoto S, Kasukawa Y, Hongo M, Shimada Y. Prevalence of and factors associated with lumbar spondylolisthesis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Mod Rheumatol*. 2015;1–5.
- Seitsalo S, Osterman K, Poussa M. Scoliosis associated with lumbar spondylolisthesis. A clinical survey of 190 young patients. *Spine*. 1988;13(8):899–904.
- Fisk JR, Moe JH, Winter RB. Scoliosis, spondylolysis, and spondylolisthesis. Their relationship as reviewed in 539 patients. *Spine*. 1978;3(3):234–245.
- Beutler WJ, Fredrickson BE, Murland A, Sweeney CA, Grant WD, Baker D. The natural history of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis: 45-year follow-up evaluation. *Spine*. 2003;28(10):1027–1035 discussion 35.
- Saraste H. Long-term clinical and radiological follow-up of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1987;7(6):631–638.
- McPhee I, O'Brien J, McCall I, Park W. Progression of lumbosacral spondylolisthesis. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol*. 1981;25(1):91–95.
- Kajiura K, Katoh S, Sairyō K, Ikata T, Goel VK, Murakami R-I. Slippage mechanism of pediatric spondylolysis: biomechanical study using immature calf spines. *Spine*. 2001;26(20):2208–2213.
- Lim MR, Yoon SC, Green DW. Symptomatic spondylolysis: diagnosis and treatment. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2004;16(1):37–46.
- Blanda J, Bethem D, Moats W, Lew M. Defects of pars interarticularis in athletes: a protocol for nonoperative treatment. *J Spinal Disord*. 1993;6(5):406–411.
- Morita T, Ikata T, Katoh S, Miyake R. Lumbar spondylolysis in children and adolescents. *Bone Jt J*. 1995;77(4):620–625.
- Sakai T, Tezuka F, Yamashita K, et al. Conservative treatment for bony healing in pediatric lumbar spondylolysis. *Spine*. 2017;42(12):716–720.
- Arima H, Suzuki Y, Togawa D, Mihara Y, Murata H, Matsuyama Y. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound is effective for progressive-stage lumbar spondylolysis with MRI high-signal change. *Eur Spine J*. 2017;1–7.
- Busse JW, Bhandari M, Kulkarni AV, Tunks E. The effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound therapy on time to fracture healing: a meta-analysis. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2002;166(4):437–441.
- Iwamoto J, Takeda T, Wakano K. Returning athletes with severe low back pain and spondylolysis to original sporting activities with conservative treatment. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2004;14(6):346–351.
- Lee GW, Lee S-M, Ahn M-W, Kim H-J, Yeom JS. Comparison of surgical treatment with direct repair versus conservative treatment in young patients with spondylolysis: a prospective, comparative, clinical trial. *Spine J*. 2015;15(7):1545–1553.
- Menga EN, Keabaish KM, Jain A, Carrino JA, Sponseller PD. Clinical results and functional outcomes after direct intralaminar screw repair of spondylolysis. *Spine*. 2014;39(1):104–110.
- Park P, Garton HJ, Gala VC, Hoff JT, McGillicuddy JE. Adjacent segment disease after lumbar or lumbosacral fusion: review of the literature. *Spine*. 2004;29(17):1938–1944.
- Cheh G, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, et al. Adjacent segment disease following lumbar/thoracolumbar fusion with pedicle screw instrumentation: a minimum 5-year follow-up. *Spine*. 2007;32(20):2253–2257.
- Buck J. Direct repair of the defect in spondylolisthesis. *Bone Jt J*. 1970;52(3):432–437.
- Morscher E, Gerber B, Fase J. Surgical treatment of spondylolisthesis by bone grafting and direct stabilization of spondylolysis by means of a hook screw. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 1984;103(3):175–178.
- Scott J. The Edinburgh repair of isthmic (Group II) spondylolysis. *J Bone Jt Surg [Br]*. 1987;69:491.
- Louis R. Pars interarticularis reconstruction of spondylolysis using plates and screws with grafting without arthrodesis. Apropos of 78 cases. *Revue de chirurgie orthopedique et reparatrice de l'appareil moteur*. 1987;74(6):549–557.
- Tokuhashi Y, Matsuzaki H. Repair of defects in spondylolysis by segmental pedicular screw hook fixation: a preliminary report. *Spine*. 1996;21(17):2041–2045.
- Ulibarri JA, Anderson PA, Escarcega T, Mann D, Noonan KJ. Biomechanical and clinical evaluation of a novel technique for surgical repair of spondylolysis in adolescents. *Spine*. 2006;31(18):2067–2072.
- Buck J. Further thoughts on direct repair of the defect in spondylolysis. *J Bone Jt Surg [Br]*. 1979;61:123.
- Pedersen A, Hagen R. Spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. Treatment by internal fixation and bone-grafting of the defect. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1988;70(1):15–24 American volume.
- Roca J, Moretta D, Fuster S, Roca A. Direct repair of spondylolysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1989;246:86–91.
- Bonnici A, Koka S, Richards D. Results of Buck screw fusion in grade I spondylolisthesis. *J R Soc Med*. 1991;84(5):270–273.
- Suh PB, Esses SI, Kostuik JP. Repair of pars interarticularis defect: the prognostic value of pars infiltration. *Spine*. 1991;16(8):S449.
- Hardcastle PH. Repair of spondylolysis in young fast bowlers. *Bone Jt J*. 1993;75(3):398–402.
- Jeanneret B. Direct repair of spondylolysis. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1993;64(Suppl. 251):111–115.
- Reitman CA, Esses SI. Direct repair of spondylolytic defects in young competitive athletes. *Spine J*. 2002;2(2):142–144.
- Debnath U, Freeman B, Gregory P, de la Harpe D, Kerslake R, Webb J. Clinical outcome and return to sport after the surgical treatment of spondylolysis in young athletes. *Bone Jt J*. 2003;85(2):244–249.
- Lu J, Ebraheim NA, Biyani A, Yang H. Screw placement in the lumbar vertebral isthmus. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1997;338:227–230.
- Gauzy JSD, Vadier F, Cahuzac J-P. Repair of lumbar spondylolysis using Morscher material: 14 children followed for 1-5 years. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 2000;71(3):292–296.
- Albassir A, Samson I, Hendrickx L. Treatment of painful spondylolysis using Morscher's hook. *Acta Orthop Belg*. 1989;56(2):489–495.
- Hefti F, Seelig W, Morscher E. Repair of lumbar spondylolysis with a hook-screw. *Int Orthop*. 1992;16(1):81–85.
- Pavlović V. Surgical treatment of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis with a hook screw. *Int Orthop*. 1994;18(1):6–9.
- Johnson G, Thompson A. The Scott wiring technique for direct repair of lumbar spondylolysis. *Bone Jt J*. 1992;74(3):426–430.
- Hambly M, Lee CK, Gutteling E, Zimmerman MC, Langrana N, Pyun Y. Tension band wiring-bone grafting for spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis: a clinical and biomechanical study. *Spine*. 1989;14(4):455–460.
- Bradford DS, Iza J. Repair of the defect in spondylolysis or minimal degrees of spondylolisthesis by segmental wire fixation and bone grafting. *Spine*. 1985;10(7):673–679.
- Debuscher F, Troussel S. Direct repair of defects in lumbar spondylolysis with a new pedicle screw hook fixation: clinical, functional and Ct-assessed study. *Eur Spine J*. 2007;16(10):1650–1658.
- Kakiuchi M. Repair of the defect in spondylolysis: durable fixation with pedicle screws and laminar hooks. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1997;79(6):818–825 American volume.
- Roca J, Iborra M, Cavanilles-Walker JM, Alberti G. Direct repair of spondylolysis using a new pedicle screw hook fixation: clinical and CT-assessed study: an analysis of 19 patients. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2005;18:S82–S89.
- Eldin MM. Minimal access direct spondylolysis repair using a pedicle screw-rod system: a case series. *J Med Case Rep*. 2012;6(1):396.
- Fan J, Yu Gr, Liu F, Zhao J, Zhao Wd. A biomechanical study on the direct repair of spondylolysis by different techniques of fixation. *Orthop Surg*. 2010;2(1):46–51.
- Violas P, Lucas G. L5S1 spondylolisthesis in children and adolescents. *Orthop Traumatol: Surg Res*. 2016;102(1):S141–S147.
- Lundine KM, Lewis SJ, Al-Aubaidi Z, Alman B, Howard AW. Patient outcomes in the operative and nonoperative management of high-grade spondylolisthesis in children. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2014;34(5):483–489.
- Harris IE, Weinstein S. Long-term follow-up of patients with grade-III and IV spondylolisthesis. Treatment with and without posterior fusion. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 1987;69(7):960–969 American volume.