#### **Original Article**

### Ambulance calls and prehospital transportation time of emergency patients with cardiovascular events in Osaka City

Tetsuhisa Kitamura,<sup>1</sup> Taku Iwami,<sup>1</sup> Takashi Kawamura,<sup>1</sup> Chika Nishiyama,<sup>1</sup> Tomohiko Sakai,<sup>2</sup> Kayo Tanigawa-Sugihara,<sup>1</sup> Mie Sasaki,<sup>3</sup> Kentaro Kajino,<sup>4</sup> Taro Irisawa,<sup>2</sup> Sumito Hayashida,<sup>5</sup> Tatsuya Nishiuchi,<sup>6</sup> and Atsushi Hiraide<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Service, <sup>3</sup>Nursing Science Division, Department of Human Health Science, Graduate School of Medicine and <sup>7</sup>Center for Medical Education, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto; <sup>2</sup>Department of Traumatology and Acute Critical Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Suita; <sup>4</sup>Emergency and Critical Care Medical Center, Osaka Police Hospital; <sup>5</sup>Osaka Municipal Fire Department, Osaka; and <sup>6</sup>Osaka Prefectural Senshu Critical Care Medical Center, Izumi-Sano, Japan

*Aim:* This study investigated the association between the number of phone calls made to hospitals from ambulances requesting if they can accept prehospital emergency patients with cardiovascular events, and the prehospital transportation time.

*Methods:* Using ambulance records, we retrospectively enrolled adult patients suffering acute myocardial infarction from 1998 to 2007, and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of cardiac origin from 2000 to 2007, transported to medical institutions by the emergency medical service in Osaka City.

**Results:** During the study period, 8,596 patients with acute myocardial infarction without arrest and 9,283 out-of-hospital cardiac arrests of cardiac origin were registered. The hospital arrival time (from patient's call until hospital arrival) increased along with the increasing number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances for patients with acute myocardial infarction (from 23.2 min with one phone call to 39.7 min with  $\geq$ 5 phone calls; *P* for trend <0.001), and for those with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (from 24.4 min with one phone call to 36.6 min with  $\geq$ 5 phone calls; *P* for trend <0.001). In a multivariable analysis, chronological factors such as weekend and night-time were significantly associated with an increment in the phone calls to hospitals from ambulances.

*Conclusions:* From ambulance records in Osaka City, we showed that the increased number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances led to prolongation of the hospital arrival time.

Key words: Acute myocardial infarction, ambulance, hospital arrival time, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest

#### **INTRODUCTION**

**T** RANSPORTATION OF ACUTE myocardial infarction (AMI) and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients to hospitals by emergency medical services (EMS) is a major public health problem in the industrialized world.<sup>1</sup> To improve their chances of survival, fast transportation and treatment of these patients is important.<sup>1</sup>

Ambulance diversion is one of the major problems concerning emergency care in the USA.<sup>2,3</sup> In Japan, according to a report of the Fire and Disaster Management Agency (FDMA), the time from a patient's call until hospital arrival has increased year by year, reaching approximately 38 min in 2011.<sup>4</sup> Although on-scene EMS personnel in Japan telephone hospitals to see if they can accept prehospital emergency patients, the association between the number of such phone calls from ambulances and the prehospital transportation time has not been sufficiently investigated.

The Osaka Municipal Fire Department has been collecting ambulance records on all patients transported to hospitals by EMS in Osaka City, Japan, a metropolitan community with approximately 2.7 million inhabitants.<sup>5,6</sup> In this study, we investigated the association between the number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances, inquiring whether they can accept emergency patients, and what the prehospital transportation time is for those with AMI without arrest, and those with OHCA of cardiac origin.

Corresponding: Taku Iwami, MD, PhD, Kyoto University Health Service, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. E-mail: iwamit@e-mail.jp.

Received 10 Sep, 2013; accepted 7 Jan, 2013; online publication 5 Mar, 2014

#### **METHODS**

#### Study design, population, and setting

T HIS RETROSPECTIVE STUDY was an epidemiological analysis based on the ambulance records in Osaka City. The study period was from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2007 in patients with AMI without arrest, and from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2007 in those with OHCA of cardiac origin. All adult patients aged ≥18 years suffering from AMI without arrest and OHCA of cardiac origin, transported to medical institutions by EMS, were enrolled in this study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine (Kyoto, Japan). The requirement of giving individual informed consent for the reviews of patients' outcomes was waived by the Personal Information Protection Law and the national research ethics guidelines of Japan.

A diagnosis of AMI without arrest was clinically confirmed by the physicians caring for the patients after hospital arrival. Based on the Utstein style,<sup>7</sup> cardiac arrest was defined as the cessation of cardiac mechanical activity as confirmed by the absence of signs of circulation. The arrest was presumed to be of cardiac origin unless it was caused by trauma, drowning, drug overdose, asphyxia, exsanguinations, or any other non-cardiac causes, and was determined by the physicians caring for the patients in collaboration with EMS personnel.

## Emergency medical service system and hospitals in Osaka City

Osaka City, the largest metropolitan community in western Japan, has an area of 222 km<sup>2</sup>, and its population was approximately 2.7 million in 2000 (population density, approximately 12,000 persons/km<sup>2</sup>).<sup>8</sup> The municipal EMS system is basically the same as in the other areas of Osaka Prefecture, as previously described.<sup>5,9,10</sup> The EMS system is operated by the Osaka Municipal Fire Department and is activated by phoning 119. In 2007, there were 25 fire stations (60 ambulances) and one dispatch center in Osaka City.6 Life support is provided 24 h a day. Usually, each ambulance has a crew of three emergency providers including at least one emergency lifesaving technician, a highly-trained prehospital emergency care provider. Osaka City had 194 hospitals (34,209 beds) in 2007.6 Ninety of them, including five critical care centers, can accept life-threatening emergency patients from ambulances. During the study period, emergency dispatchers in Osaka City did not make phone calls to hospitals for acceptance, and ambulances crews at the scene selected appropriate hospitals, including critical care medical centers, for emergency patients.

#### Data collection and quality control

Data were collected using a form that included all core data recommended in the Utstein style reporting guidelines for OHCA,<sup>7</sup> including gender, age, initial cardiac rhythm, witness status, location of arrest, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation, activities of daily living before arrest, chronological factors, and the time-course of resuscitation. For AMI patients without arrest, we collected gender, age, location, systolic blood pressure, heartbeat per minute, chronological factors, and the time-course of transportation based on ambulance records. In addition, we merged these data with the number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances to see whether they could accept emergency patients.

The data form was filled out by EMS personnel in cooperation with the physicians caring for the patient, transferred to the Information Center for Emergency Medical Services of Osaka City, and then checked by the investigators. If the data sheet was incomplete, the relevant EMS personnel were contacted and questioned, and the data sheet was completed.

#### **Statistical analysis**

The age-adjusted annual incidence and 95% confidence interval (CI) of AMI without arrest and OHCA of cardiac origin were calculated with the use of 2000 census data and data from a 1985 Japanese population model.<sup>8,11</sup> Trends in categorical and continuous variables were analyzed with Cochrane-Armitage tests and linear tests. The hospital arrival time was also assessed after dividing the episode into three components: from patient's call to contact with a patient: from contact with a patient to departure at the scene: and from departure at the scene to hospital arrival. Furthermore, the distance from scene to hospital by the number of phone calls was assessed. A multivariate analysis was used to assess the factors with  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances. Potential confounding prehospital factors adjusted for the multivariate analysis included gender, age, witness status (only for OHCA patients), location of the emergency, and chronological factors such as the season, day of the week, and time of day. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS statistical package version 16.0J (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). All tests were two-tailed, and a P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

DURING THE STUDY period, a total of 1,840,784 emergency patients were documented in Osaka City, and the number increased from 153,431 in 1998 to 206,021 in 2007. Of them, 8,596 adult patients with AMI without arrest were transported to hospitals by EMS from prehospital settings, 8,149 of whom (94.8%) were transported to a

	AMI withou	t arrest	OHCA with c	ardiac origin
	1998–2007		2000–2007	
	( <i>n</i> = 8,596)		(n = 9,283)	
Patient age, years, mean (SD)	67.4	(13.0)	71.7	(14.7)
≥65 years, n (%)	5,156	(60.0)	6,661	(71.8)
Men, <i>n</i> (%)	6,025	(70.1)	5,597	(60.3)
Witnessed status, n (%)				
Arrest not witnessed	—	—	4,968	(53.5)
Arrest witnessed by bystanders		—	3,556	(38.3)
Arrest witnessed by EMS		—	759	(8.2)
Location, n (%)				
Home	5,982	(69.6)	6,440	(69.4)
Public place	1,230	(14.3)	969	(10.4)
Work place	231	(2.7)	807	(8.7)
Healthcare facility <sup>†</sup>	378	(4.4)	227	(2.4)
Other	775	(9.0)	840	(9.0)
Good ADL, n (%)			6,313	(68.0)
Bystander CPR, n (%)			2,783	(30.0)
VF. <i>n</i> (%)			1,174	(12.6)
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg, mean (SD)	138.3	(36.2)	·	_
Number of heartbeats per minute, mean (SD)	82.5	(26.2)	_	_
Season		· · ·		
Spring and summer (April–September)	4,023	(46.8)	3,832	(41.3)
Autumn and winter (October–March)	4.573	(53.2)	5.451	(58.7)
Day of the week	,	()	-, -	()
Weekday (Monday–Friday)	6.168	(71.8)	6.709	(72.3)
Weekend (Saturday–Sunday)	2.428	(28.2)	2.574	(27.7)
Time of day	_,	()	_,	()
9.00 AM-5.00 PM	3.271	(38.1)	3.364	(36.2)
5.00 PM-9.00 AM	5.325	(61.9)	5.919	(63.8)
No, of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances $n$ (%)	-,	(2)	-,	()
1	7 222	(84.0)	6 480	(69.8)
2	863	(10,0)	1 637	(17.6)
2	277	(3.2)	583	(63)
1	117	(J.Z) (1 /)	278	(3.0)
>5	117	(1.4)	300	(3.2)
Linknown	0	(1.4)	5	(0.1)
Time interval from nationt's call until CPR by EMS min moan (SD)	_	(0.0)	8.6	(0.1)
Time interval from patient's call until bosnital arrival min, medil (SD)	24.2	(9,0)	25.7	(3.7)
	24.2	(9.0)	23.7	(7.5)

**Table 1.** Prehospital characteristics of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) without arrest and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) of cardiac origin transported by emergency medical service (EMS)

+Includes chronic care facilities and medical clinics. —, not applicable; ADL, activity of daily living; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; SD, standard deviation; VF, ventricular fibrillation.

hospital with a cardiovascular medicine department. In terms of adult patients with OHCAs, 15,556 were registered from January 2000 to December 2007, 9,283 of whom (59.7%) were presumed to be of cardiac origin.

Table 1 shows the prehospital characteristics of patients eligible for the study. The most common place of an emer-

gency was home (69.6%) for these patients. Among patients with AMI without arrest, the mean age was 67.4 years, and males (70.1%) were more frequent. A total of 7,222 (84.0%) patients were transported to a hospital with only one phone call from ambulances, and the mean hospital arrival time (from patients' call until hospital arrival) was 24.2 min.

All without arrest         33.3         33.4         33.6         29.1         30.9		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	P for trend
	AMI without arrest											
	All. n	916	864	802	814	847	891	901	916	790	855	
	Age-adjusted	34.7	32.3	30.3	29.9	31.0	32.8	33.4	33.6	29.1	30.9	0.790
(95% cl)         (32.4-37.0)         (20.1-34.5)	incidence											
	(95% CI)	(32.4–37.0)	(30.1–34.5)	(28.1–32.5)	(27.8–32.0)	(28.9–33.1)	(30.6–35.0)	(31.1–35.7)	(31.4–35.8)	(27.0–31.2)	(28.8–33.0)	
Age adjusted         33.4         52.3         49.1         49.5         49.4         53.4         52.1         53.7         47.0         50.1           nicidence         (95.1)         (49.1-57.6)         (49.1-56.5)         (45.0-53.2)         (45.3-53.5)         (49.1-57.6)         (49.5-57.9)         (43.0-51.0)         (46.0-54.2)           Women, n         291         293         299         299         299         276         231         24           Women, n         291         13.4         12.9         15.2         15.0         16.8         16.1         13.4         145           Women, n         291         13.4         12.9         15.2         15.0         16.8         16.1         13.4         145           More odiusted         17.6         14.8         13.4         12.9         15.2         15.0         16.8         16.1         11.4         145           OHC odiac origin         1(15.5-10.7)         (115.5-10.7)         (115.1-14.7)         (132.1-16.9)         (143-18.8)         (141-18.1)         (116-15.2)         (126-16.4)           OHC odiac origin         =         6.88         746         9.44         42.0         40.2         48.2         50.3         <	Men, <i>n</i>	625	616	570	590	588	632	611	640	559	594	
incidence         incidence         (49.1–57.6)         (48.1–56.5)         (45.0–53.2)         (45.4–53.6)         (45.4–53.6)         (47.9–56.3)         (49.5–57.9)         (43.0–51.0)         (46.0–54.2)         (46.0–54.2)         (46.0–54.2)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (48.1–56.5)         (45.0–53.2)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (49.1–57.6)         (40.0–54.2)         (41.0–14.4)         (11.1–14.4)         (11.1–14.7)	Age-adjusted	53.4	52.3	49.1	49.5	49.4	53.4	52.1	53.7	47.0	50.1	0.810
	incidence											
Women, n291248232224559259290276231261Age-adjusted17.614.813.412.915.215.016.816.113.414.5Incidence(95% CI)(15.5-19.7)(12.9-16.7)(11.6-15.2)(11.1-14.7)(13.2-17.2)(13.1-16.9)(14.8-18.8)(14.1-18.1)(11.6-15.2)(12.6-16.4)OHCA of cardiac origin6887469841,1981,1461,3951,4641,662All, n24.225.934.642.040.248.250.350.356.5All, n24.225.934.642.040.248.250.356.950.3All, n24.235.634.642.040.248.250.356.950.3All, n23.2-32.6.1)(24.2-6.8)(39.5-44.5)(14.2-6-50.8)(47.6-55.0)(47.6-55.0)(53.7-59.3)Men, n22.3-26.1)(24.2-6.8)(39.5-44.5)(37.8-42.6)(47.6-55.0)(47.6-55.0)(53.7-59.3)Men, n22.3-26.13(24.2-6.8)(39.5-44.5)(37.8-42.6)(47.6-55.0)(47.6-55.0)(53.7-59.3)Men, n22.3-26.13(24.2-6.4)(46.2-54.4)(57.9-67.1)(77.6-82.2)(77.3-67.2)Momen, n	(95% CI)	(49.1–57.6)	(48.1–56.5)	(45.0-53.2)	(45.4–53.6)	(45.3-53.5)	(49.1–57.6)	(47.9–56.3)	(49.5–57.9)	(43.0–51.0)	(46.0–54.2)	
Age adjusted17.614.813.412.915.215.016.816.113.414.5incidence(95% Cl)(15.5-19.7)(12.9-16.7)(11.6-15.2)(11.1-14.7)(13.2-17.2)(13.1-16.9)(14.8-18.8)(14.1-18.1)(11.6-15.2)(12.6-16.4)OHCA of cardiac origin6.887469841,1981,1461,3951,4641,662All, n24.225.934.69841,1461,3951,4641,662All, n24.225.934.642.048.256.356.356.3All, n24.225.934.642.048.256.356.356.3All, n24.232.4-36.8)(39.5-44.5)(37.4-26.6)(47.6-53.0)(53.7-59.3)Men, n24.255.9734667834911974Age adjusted23.2-42.4)(46.2-54.4)(57.9-67.1)(52.6-13)(77.0-82.2)(77.3-87.9)Men, n23.0-38.8)(35.2-42.4)(46.2-54.4)(57.9-67.1)(53.8-75.6)(77.0-82.2)(77.3-87.9)Men, n23.0-38.8)(35.2-42.4)(46.2-56.1)(77.0-82.2)(77.3-87.9)Men, n26.826.970.7 <td< td=""><td>Women, n</td><td>291</td><td>248</td><td>232</td><td>224</td><td>259</td><td>259</td><td>290</td><td>276</td><td>231</td><td>261</td><td></td></td<>	Women, n	291	248	232	224	259	259	290	276	231	261	
	Age-adjusted	17.6	14.8	13.4	12.9	15.2	15.0	16.8	16.1	13.4	14.5	0.860
	incidence											
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	(95% CI)	(15.5–19.7)	(12.9–16.7)	(11.6–15.2)	(11.1-14.7)	(13.2–17.2)	(13.1–16.9)	(14.8–18.8)	(14.1 - 18.1)	(11.6–15.2)	(12.6–16.4)	
All, n6887469841,1981,1461,3951,4641,662Age adjusted24.225.934.642.040.248.250.356.5incidence24.225.934.642.040.248.250.356.5(95% Cl)(22.3-26.1)(24.0-27.8)(32.4-36.8)(39.5-44.5)(37.8-42.6)(47.6-53.0)(53.7-59.3)Men, n420462595734667834911974Age adjusted35.438.850.362.556.970.777.182.6Men, n268284389464479561553688Vomen, n14.715.922.324.926.531.030.036.6(95% Cl)14.715.922.324.926.531.030.036.6(95% Cl)14.715.922.324.926.531.030.0(95% Cl)14.715.922.324.926.531.030.0(95% Cl)14.715.922.324.926.531.030.0(95% Cl)14.715.922.324.926.531.030.0(95% Cl)	OHCA of cardiac origin											
Age-adjusted         -         24.2         25.9         34.6         42.0         40.2         48.2         50.3         56.5           incidence         (95% Cl)         -         -         (22.3-26.1)         (24.0-27.8)         (39.5-44.5)         (37.8-42.6)         (47.6-53.0)         (53.7-59.3)           Men, n         -         -         -         420         462         595         734         667         834         911         974           Men, n         -         -         -         35.4         38.8         50.3         62.5         56.9         70.7         77.1         82.6           Men, n         -         -         -         35.4         38.8         50.3         62.5         56.9         70.7         77.1         82.6           Men, n         -         -         -         35.2-42.4)         (46.2-54.4)         (57.9-67.1)         77.1         82.6           Nomen, n         -         -         -         -         33.2         24.4         56.9         70.7         77.1         82.6           Nomen, n         -         -         -         14.7         15.9         27.4.4         57.9-67.1.3)         (55.5-61.3)	All, n			688	746	984	1,198	1,146	1,395	1,464	1,662	
incidence (95% Cl) (22.3-26.1) (24.0-27.8) (32.4-36.8) (39.5-44.5) (37.8-42.6) (45.6-50.8) (47.6-53.0) (53.7-59.3) Men, <i>n</i> 420 462 595 734 667 834 911 974 Age-adjusted 35.4 38.8 50.3 62.5 56.9 70.7 77.1 82.6 incidence (95% Cl) (32.0-38.8) (35.2-42.4) (46.2-54.4) (57.9-67.1) (52.5-61.3) (65.8-75.6) (72.0-82.2) (77.3-87.9) Women, <i>n</i> 14.7 15.9 22.3 24.9 26.5 31.0 30.0 36.6 incidence (95% Cl) (12.8-16.6) (13.9-17.9) (20.2-24.7) (22.5-27.3) (23.9-29.1) (28.2-33.8) (27.3-32.7) (33.6-39.6) (95% Cl) (12.8-16.6) (13.9-17.9) (20.2-24.7) (22.5-27.3) (23.9-29.1) (28.2-33.8) (27.3-32.7) (33.6-39.6)	Age-adjusted			24.2	25.9	34.6	42.0	40.2	48.2	50.3	56.5	<0.001
(95% Cl)        -       (22.3-26.1)       (24.0-27.8)       (39.5-44.5)       (37.8-42.6)       (47.6-53.0)       (53.7-59.3)         Men, n        -       22.3-26.1)       (24.0-27.8)       (32.4-36.8)       (39.5-44.5)       (37.8-42.6)       (47.6-53.0)       (53.7-59.3)         Men, n        -       420       462       595       734       667       834       911       974         Age-adjusted        -       35.4       38.8       50.3       62.5       56.9       70.7       77.1       82.6         incidence       -       -       (32.0-38.8)       (35.2-42.4)       (46.2-54.4)       (57.9-67.1)       (52.5-61.3)       (77.0-82.2)       (77.3-87.9)         Women, n       -       -       268       284       389       464       479       561       553       68         Age-adjusted       -       -       14.7       15.9       22.3       24.9       26.5       31.0       30.0       36.6         incidence       -       -       -       14.7       15.9       22.3       24.9       26.5       31.0       30.0       36.6         (95% Cl)       -       -	incidence											
Men, n         -         420         462         595         734         667         834         911         974           Age-adjusted         -         -         35.4         38.8         50.3         62.5         56.9         70.7         77.1         82.6           incidence         -         -         35.4         38.8         50.3         62.5         56.9         70.7         77.1         82.6           (95% Cl)         -         -         -         (32.0-38.8)         (35.2-42.4)         (46.2-54.4)         (57.9-67.1)         (52.5-61.3)         (65.8-75.6)         (77.3-87.9)           Women, n         -         -         26.8         284         389         464         479         561         553         688           Age-adjusted         -         -         14.7         15.9         22.3         24.9         26.5         31.0         30.0         36.6           incidence         -         -         -         14.7         15.9         22.3         24.9         26.5         31.0         30.0         36.6           (95% Cl)         -         -         -         114.7         15.9         22.3         24.9 <td< td=""><td>(95% CI)</td><td> </td><td> </td><td>(22.3–26.1)</td><td>(24.0–27.8)</td><td>(32.4–36.8)</td><td>(39.5-44.5)</td><td>(37.8–42.6)</td><td>(45.6–50.8)</td><td>(47.6–53.0)</td><td>(53.7–59.3)</td><td></td></td<>	(95% CI)			(22.3–26.1)	(24.0–27.8)	(32.4–36.8)	(39.5-44.5)	(37.8–42.6)	(45.6–50.8)	(47.6–53.0)	(53.7–59.3)	
Age-adjusted         -         35.4         38.8         50.3         62.5         56.9         70.7         77.1         82.6           incidence         (95% Cl)         -         -         (32.0-38.8)         (35.2-42.4)         (46.2-54.4)         (57.9-67.1)         (55.8-75.6)         (72.0-82.2)         (77.3-87.9)           Women, n         -         -         26.8         28.4         389         46.4         479         561         553         688           Age-adjusted         -         -         14.7         15.9         22.3         24.9         26.5         31.0         30.0         36.6           incidence         -         -         -         (12.8-16.6)         (13.9-17.9)         (20.2-24.7)         (22.5-27.3)         (23.9-29.1)         (33.6-33.6)	Men, n			420	462	595	734	667	834	911	974	
incidence (95% Cl) (32.0-38.8) (35.2-42.4) (46.2-54.4) (57.9-67.1) (52.5-61.3) (65.8-75.6) (72.0-82.2) (77.3-87.9) Women, n 268 284 389 464 479 561 553 688 Age-adjusted 14.7 15.9 22.3 24.9 26.5 31.0 30.0 36.6 incidence (95% Cl) (12.8-16.6) (13.9-17.9) (20.2-24.7) (22.5-27.3) (23.9-29.1) (28.2-33.8) (27.3-32.7) (33.6-39.6)	Age-adjusted			35.4	38.8	50.3	62.5	56.9	70.7	77.1	82.6	<0.001
(95% Cl)        (32.0-38.8)       (35.2-42.4)       (46.2-54.4)       (57.9-67.1)       (55.8-75.6)       (72.0-82.2)       (77.3-87.9)         Women, n        -       268       284       389       464       479       561       553       688         Nomen, n        -       268       284       389       464       479       561       553       688         Age-adjusted        -1       14.7       15.9       22.3       24.9       26.5       31.0       30.0       36.6         incidence        -       (12.8-16.6)       (13.9-17.9)       (20.2-24.7)       (22.5-27.3)       (23.9-29.1)       (28.2-33.8)       (27.3-32.7)       (33.6-39.6)	incidence											
Women, n         -         268         284         389         464         479         561         553         688           Age-adjusted         -         -         14.7         15.9         22.3         24.9         26.5         31.0         30.0         36.6           incidence         -         -         -         14.7         15.9         22.3         24.9         26.5         31.0         30.0         36.6           (95% Cl)         -         -         -         (12.8-16.6)         (13.9-17.9)         (20.2-24.7)         (22.5-27.3)         (23.9-29.1)         (23.2-33.8)         (27.3-32.7)         (33.6-39.6)	(95% CI)			(32.0–38.8)	(35.2-42.4)	(46.2–54.4)	(57.9–67.1)	(52.5–61.3)	(65.8–75.6)	(72.0-82.2)	(77.3-87.9)	
Age-adjusted         —         14.7         15.9         22.3         24.9         26.5         31.0         30.0         36.6           incidence         (95% Cl)         —         (12.8–16.6)         (13.9–17.9)         (20.2–24.7)         (22.5–27.3)         (23.9–29.1)         (28.2–33.8)         (23.3–32.7)         (33.6–39.6)	Women, n			268	284	389	464	479	561	553	688	
incidence	Age-adjusted			14.7	15.9	22.3	24.9	26.5	31.0	30.0	36.6	<0.001
(95% Cl) (12.8-16.6) (13.9-17.9) (20.2-24.7) (22.5-27.3) (23.9-29.1) (28.2-33.8) (27.3-32.7) (33.6-39.6)	incidence											
	(95% CI)			(12.8–16.6)	(13.9–17.9)	(20.2-24.7)	(22.5–27.3)	(23.9–29.1)	(28.2–33.8)	(27.3–32.7)	(33.6–39.6)	

**138** T. Kitamura *et al.* 

Among those with OHCA of cardiac origin, the mean age was 71.7 years, and males (60.3%) and no witnesses (53.5%) were more frequent. Of them, 6,480 (69.8%) patients were transported to a hospital within only one phone calls from ambulances, but the remaining 30.3% needed  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances, and the mean hospital arrival time (from patients' call until hospital arrival) was 25.7 min.

Temporal trends in the age-adjusted annual incidence of AMI without arrest, and OHCA of cardiac origin transported to hospitals during the study period, were noted in Table 2. In patients with AMI without arrest, the incidence showed no significant change during the study period (P for trend = 0.79). However, the incidence of OHCA of cardiac origin increased from 24.2 per 100,000 person-years in 2000 to 56.5 per 100,000 person-years in 2007 (P for trend <0.001), and the incidence in both sexes had similarly increasing trends.

Table 3 shows temporal trends in  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances, and the mean hospital arrival time of patients with AMI without arrest and those with OHCA of cardiac origin during the study period. The proportion of  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances increased exceedingly from 11.6% in 1998 to 25.3% in 2007 (*P* for trend <0.001) among AMI patients, and from 25.0% in 2000 to 38.4% in 2007 among OHCA patients (*P* for trend <0.001). The mean hospital arrival time (from patients' call until hospital arrival) gradually increased from 23.5 min in 1998 to 25.8 min in 2007 (*P* for trend <0.001), and from 25.3 min in 2000 to 27.6 min in 2007 (*P* for trend = 0.022).

Table 4 shows the association between the number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances and the hospital arrival time (from patient's call until hospital arrival). The mean hospital arrival time significantly increased with the increasing number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances in patients with AMI without arrest (from 23.2 min with one phone call to 39.7 min with  $\geq$ 5 phone calls; *P* for trend <0.001), and in those with OHCA of cardiac origin (from 24.4 min with one phone call to 36.6 min with  $\geq 5$ phone calls; P for trend <0.001). In patients with AMI without arrest, the mean times from contact with a patient to departure at the scene (from 8.6 min with one phone call to 22.6 min with  $\geq$ 5 phone calls; *P* for trend <0.001) and from departure at the scene to hospital arrival (from 7.6 min with one phone call to 11.9 min with  $\geq 5$  phone calls; *P* for trend <0.001) significantly increased with the increasing number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances. The distance from scene to hospital also significantly increased with the increasing number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances (from 3.7 km with one phone call to 7.6 km with  $\geq$ 5 phone calls; P for trend <0.001). These results were similar among those with OHCA of cardiac origin.

The prehospital demographic and chronological factors associated with  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances are noted in Table 5. Gender, location, and witness status (only for OHCA patients) did not affect the number of phone calls made to hospitals from ambulances. The proportion of  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances among older patients was lower than among younger patients. Chronological factors such as autumn and winter seasons, weekends, and night-time were significantly associated with  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances. In particular, night-time (17:00-09:00) was strongly associated with  $\geq 2$  phone calls to hospitals from ambulances compared with daytime (09:00-17:00) (adjusted odds ratio, 1.67 and 95% CI, 1.47-1.90 in AMI without arrest; adjusted odds ratio, 1.52 and 95% CI, 1.38-1.68 in OHCA of cardiac origin).

#### DISCUSSION

**F** ROM THE EXTENSIVE ambulance records of patients with AMI without arrest and OHCA of cardiac origin transported to hospitals by EMS in Osaka City, this study showed that the increased number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances asking whether they can accept prehospital emergency patients with cardiovascular events led to prolonged prehospital transportation time. In addition, the proportion of multiple phone calls to hospitals from ambulances and the mean hospital arrival time (from patients' call until hospital arrival) increased during the study period year by year. These fundamental data indicate the actual prehospital circumstances among cardiovascular patients transported to hospitals by EMS personnel, and may well provide a valuable clue for improving prehospital care in Japan.

This study showed that the increased number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances was associated with delayed prehospital transportation time, including the time intervals from contact with a patient to departure at the scene and from departure at the scene to hospital arrival. Why did this occur? One reason that hospitals cannot easily accept emergency patients is due to the dramatic increase in the need for emergency transportation in Japan, including Osaka.4,6 In addition, ambulances are most often used for patients with mild disease, not for true emergencies.<sup>12,13</sup> The prolongation of the time interval from contact with a patient to departure at the scene would be explained partially by the increased number of phone calls as well as the introduction of advanced procedures by emergency medical technicians at the scene in the Japanese EMS system.<sup>4</sup> In addition, the lengthening of the time interval from departure at the scene to hospital arrival could be explained by the increment of transportation distance because EMS personnel could not

<b>Table 3.</b> Temporal trends in th myocardial infarction (AMI) wit	he number o hout arrest a	f phone calls ind (OHCA) of	to hospitals f cardiac origi	from ambuli n transporte	ances and th d by emerge	e time from   ncy medical :	oatients' call service	to hospital	arrival amon	ig patients	with acute
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	P for trend
AMI without arrest ≥2 phone calls to hospitals	106 (11.6)	102 (11.8)	92 (11.5)	118 (14.5)	111 (13.1)	137 (15.4)	141 (15.6)	186 (20.3)	165 (20.9)	216 (25.	3) <0.001
Time interval from patient's call until hospital arrival, min, mean (SD)	23.5 (9.8)	23.0 (9.2)	23.0 (8.8)	23.8 (8.9)	23.5 (8.4)	24.2 (8.7)	24.3 (8.3)	25.3 (9.0)	25.4 (9.0)	25.8 (8.7)	<0.001
OHCA of cardiac origin ≥2 phone calls to hospitals	I		172 (25.0)	212 (28.4)	232 (23.6)	308 (25.7)	340 (29.7)	433 (31.0)	462 (31.6)	639 (38.	4) <0.001
Time interval from patient's call until hospital arrival, min, mean (SD)	I	I	25.3 (7.3)	25.2 (6.9)	24.0 (6.9)	24.5 (6.9)	25.5 (7.1)	25.6 (7.0)	26.3 (7.3)	27.6 (7.8)	0.022
—, not applicable; SD, standard	deviation.										
Table 4. Hospital arrival time	and distance	from scene t	o hospital by	the number	of phone cal	ls to hospital	s from ambu	lances			
					No. of pho	ne calls to hc	spitals from	ambulances			
					-	2	ς	4	5 an	d over	P for trend
AMI without arrest, mean (SD) Hospital arrival time (min) (fr	om patient's	call until hos	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	n = 8.359)	23.2 (8.5)	28.3 (9.0)	30.6 (7.	8) 33.8 (9	9.5) 39.2	(6.3)	<0.001
From patient's call until co	ontact with a	patient ( $n = 3$	8,594)		7.2 (2.7)	7.2 (2.5)	7.4 (2.	s) 7.5 (2	2.4) 7.5	(2.9)	0.046
From contact with a patie	nt to departu	ire at the sce	ne (n = 8,588	(	8.6 (4.2)	11.9 (5.1)	14.3 (5.	3) 16.7 (7	7.3) 22.6	(10.6)	<0.001
From departure at the sce	ene to hospit	al arrival ( $n =$	8,359)		7.6 (6.5)	9.4 (7.6)	9.1 (5.	5) 10.2 (5	5.4) 11.9	(6.6)	<0.001
Ulstance Ironi scene to nosp OHCA with cardiac origin, mea	)11.dl (Krri) ( <i>ri =</i> In (SD)	1065,8			3.7 (J.8)	4.2 (2.2)	4.8 (Z.	2) 0.C (2	0.7 /.0	(C:+)	
Hospital arrival time (min) (fr	om patient's	call until hos	pital arrival) (	n = 9,242)	24.4 (6.8)	26.3 (6.5)	29.5 (6.	9) 32.0 (6	5.9) 36.6	(8.4)	<0.001
From patient's call until co	ontact with a	patient ( $n = 0$	9,274)		7.4 (2.7)	7.5 (2.9)	7.5 (2.	8) 7.9 (2	2.9) 7.8	(2.9)	<0.001
From contact with a patie	nt to departu	ure at the sce	ne (n = 9,278	(	11.6 (4.4)	12.7 (4.5)	14.6 (4.	5) 15.9 (5	5.1) 20.1	(9.9)	<0.001
From departure at the sce	ene to hospit	al arrival ( <i>n</i> =	9,242)		5.7 (3.4)	6.4 (3.3)	7.6 (3.	5) 8.4 (3	3.4) 9.0	(4.3)	<0.001
Distance from scene to hosp	oital (km) ( <i>n</i> =	9,278)			2.7 (2.1)	3.1 (2.5)	4.0 (2.	5) 4.6 (2	2.7) 5.1	(3.2)	<0.001

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; SD, standard deviation.

Table 5.         Demographic and chronologi           transported by emergency medical service	ical factors of vice (EMS) acc	acute myocardi ording to the nu	al infarction (AM mber of phone ca	<ol> <li>without arrest alls to hospitals from</li> </ol>	and out-of-hos om ambulance	spital cardiac a s	ırrest (OHCA) with	ı cardiac origin
	AMI without a	irrest	Crude OR	Adjusted OR	OHCA with car	diac origin	Crude OR	Adjusted OR
	No. of phone	calls to hospitals	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	No. of phone c	alls to hospitals	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
	1 (n = 7,222)	2 and over ( <i>n</i> = 1,374)			1 ( <i>n</i> = 6,480)	2 and over ( <i>n</i> = 2,798)		
Sex								
Male	5,052	973	Reference	Reference	3,930	1,665	Reference	Reference
Female	2,170	401	0.96 (0.85–1.09)	1.05 (0.92-1.20)	2,550	1,133	1.05 (0.96-1.15)	1.06 (0.96–1.16)
Age, years								
18-64	2,821	619	Reference	Reference	1,801	821	Reference	Reference
≥65	4,401	755	0.78 (0.70-0.88)	0.79 (0.70-0.90)	4,679	1,977	0.93 (0.84-1.02)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)
Witnessed status								
Arrest not witnessed					3,480	1,483	Reference	Reference
Arrest witnessed by bystanders and EMS			I	I	3,000	1,315	1.03 (0.94–1.12)	1.05 (0.96–1.14)
Location								
Home	5,018	964	Reference	Reference	4,436	1,999	Reference	Reference
Other location	2,204	410	0.97 (0.85-1.10)	1.03 (0.90-1.18)	2,044	799	0.87 (0.79-0.96)	0.92 (0.83-1.02)
Season								
Spring and summer (April–September)	3,407	616	Reference	Reference	2,735	1,094	Reference	Reference
Autumn and winter (October–March)	3,815	758	1.10 (0.98–1.23)	1.12 (0.99–1.26)	3,745	1,704	1.14 (1.04–1.25)	1.14 (1.05–1.25)
Day of the week								
Weekday (Monday–Friday)	5,231	937	Reference	Reference	4,753	1,953	Reference	Reference
Weekend (Saturday–Sunday)	1,991	437	1.23 (1.08–1.34)	1.24 (1.09–1.40)	1,727	845	1.19 (1.08–1.31)	1.19 (1.08–1.30)
Time of day								
9.00 AM-5.00 PM	2,882	389	Reference	Reference	2,537	824	Reference	Reference
5.00 PM-9.00 AM	4,340	985	1.68 (1.48–1.91)	1.67 (1.47–1.90)	3,943	1,974	1.54 (1.40–1.70)	1.52 (1.38–1.68)
	odds ratio.							

© 2014 Japanese Association for Acute Medicine

select appropriate nearby hospitals for AMI and OHCA patients. As a result, delayed prehospital emergency systems, including response, scene, and transportation times for emergency patients with AMI and OHCA, results in poor outcomes.<sup>14–16</sup> To overcome this inappropriate ambulance use, an emergency telephone consultation service triage might be helpful.<sup>17</sup>

The present data underscored that chronological factors were associated with the increased number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances. These results were consistent with those from the report of the FDMA.<sup>18</sup> Generally, most hospitals cannot find a sufficient number of medical staff to work during weekends or at night. Although we did not obtain the reasons for patient rejection from hospitals in this study, the major reasons according to the FDMA report<sup>18</sup> as to why they cannot accept emergency patients were: "untreatable" (22.9%); "all beds occupied" (22.2%); and "patient operation or treatment" (21.0%). Therefore, improvement of hospital systems is needed. One report helpfully showed that active bed control by hospitalists improved ambulance diversion.<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, the linkage between administrative bodies, hospitals, and the general public would be essential to rebuild regional medical systems so that hospitals can always accept emergency patients.

Furthermore, in our multivariate analysis, witness status had no association with the numbers of phone calls in patients with OHCA with cardiac origin in this area. However, unwitnessed OHCA patients might require more phone calls for hospital acceptance than witnessed patients in other areas. The differences in the EMS systems might affect factors associated with the number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances, and further studies to assess the prehospital transportation time by the number of phone calls according to area is also needed.

The present study also showed that the incidence of AMI without arrest remained stable, but that the incidence of OHCA of presumed cardiac origin increased in this large urban community year by year. Considering that approximately one-third to half of AMI patients had a sudden cardiac arrest as a first symptom,<sup>20</sup> and that most OHCAs of cardiac origin were caused by AMI,  $^{21-23}$  the incidence of AMI without arrest transported by EMS personnel should be estimated to increase during the study period. However, we cannot estimate the whole incidence of AMI in this study area because of the lack of data on AMI cases that were not transported by EMS personnel. In western countries such as the UK or North America,<sup>24-27</sup> some published reports have shown that the incidence of AMI has decreased due to primary and secondary prevention since 2000. Although the age-adjusted mortality of cardiovascular events gradually decreased overall in Japan,<sup>28</sup> there are sharp regional differences in AMI incidence across Japan.<sup>29–34</sup> Further study is warranted to investigate trends in the prospective, population-based AMI incidence.

In Japan, there were few comprehensive investigations on AMI and OHCA patients transported to hospitals by EMS personnel, including in-hospital treatments. Because it is well known that shortening the onset-to-treatment time is important to improve outcomes among emergency patients with AMI or OHCA,35,36 we should also assess the association between time to hospital arrival and patient outcomes. Therefore, we need a registry to evaluate the incidence, preand in-hospital treatments, outcomes, as well as reasons why hospitals cannot accept the transportation of AMI and OHCA patients. Such data would contribute to providing detailed information on prehospital cardiovascular patients, shortening their hospital arrival time, and improving their outcomes. At present, we are carrying out a comprehensive investigation including both ambulance records and in-hospital treatments in the designated areas,<sup>37</sup> and will report it in the future.

An important limitation of this study is that we did not obtain information on in-hospital outcomes and treatments, such as percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft, after hospital arrival. In addition, this study lacks data on past history, lifestyles, and medications that would affect AMI and OHCA occurrence in prehospital settings. Another limitation of this study was that we did not identify the reasons why hospitals were not able to accept the transportation of emergency patients with cardiovascular events.

In a large urban community of this kind in Japan, our study established that the increased number of phone calls to hospitals from ambulances, requesting if they can accept prehospital emergency patients, led to prolonging the prehospital transportation time.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

# N<sup>one.</sup>

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W E ARE GREATLY indebted to all of the EMS personnel at the Osaka Municipal Fire Department and the physicians in Osaka City for their indispensable cooperation and support. This study was supported by grants for emergency management scientific research from the Fire Disaster Management Agency (study concerning strategies for applying the results of Utstein report for improvement of emergency service) and from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (Grant no. 25870391).

#### REFERENCES

- American Heart Association. 2010 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. Circulation 2010; 122: S639–946.
- 2 Burt CW, McCaig LF, Valverde RH. Analysis of ambulance transports and diversions among US emergency departments. Ann. Emerg. Med. 2006; 47: 317–26.
- 3 Sun BC, Mohanty SA, Weiss R *et al.* Effects of hospital closures and hospital characteristics on emergency department ambulance diversion, Los Angeles County, 1998 to 2004. Ann. Emerg. Med. 2006; 47: 309–16.
- 4 2012 Effect of First Aid for Cardiopulmonary Arrest [report available on the internet]. Ambulance Service Planning Office of Fire and Disaster Management Agency of Japan, Tokyo. 2012 [cited 9 Sep 2013]. Available from URL: http://www.fdma .go.jp/neuter/topics/kyukyukyujo\_genkyo/h24/01\_kyukyu.pdf. (In Japanese.)
- 5 Sasaki M, Iwami T, Kitamura T *et al*. Incidence and outcome of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with public-access defibrillation: a descriptive epidemiological study in a large urban community. Circ. J. 2011; 75: 2821–6.
- 6 Osaka Municipal Fire Department. 2007 Emergency Annual Statistics. Osaka: Osaka-Shi Shoubo, 2008. (In Japanese.)
- 7 Jacobs I, Nadkarni V, Bahr J *et al.* Cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcome reports: update and simplification of the Utstein templates for resuscitation registries. A statement for healthcare professionals from a task force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. Circulation 2004; 110: 3385–97.
- 8 Japan Statistical Association. 2000 Population Census of Japan. Tokyo: Japan Statistical Association, 2002. (In Japanese.)
- 9 Hayashi Y, Iwami T, Kitamura T *et al*. Impact of early intravenous epinephrine administration for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Circ. J. 2012; 76: 1639–45.
- 10 Tanigawa-Sugihara K, Iwami T, Nishiyama C *et al*. Association between atmospheric conditions and occurrence of out-ofhospital cardiac arrests: a population-based 10-year survey in Osaka. Circ. J. 2013; 77: 2073–8.
- 11 Health and Welfare Statistics Association. Vital statistics of Japan 2005. Tokyo: HWSA, 2007. (in Japanese).
- 12 Camasso-Richardson K, Wilde JA, Petrack EM. Medically unnecessary pediatric ambulance transports: a medical taxi service? Acad. Emerg. Med. 1997; 4: 1137–41.
- 13 Hjälte L, Suserud BO, Herlitz J, Karlberg I. Why are people without medical needs transported by ambulance? Eur. J. Emerg. Med. 2007; 14: 151–6.
- 14 Terkelsen CJ, Sørensen JT, Maeng M et al. System delay and mortality among patients with STEMI treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. JAMA 2010; 304: 763–71.
- 15 Pell JP, Sirel JM, Marsden AK, Ford I, Cobbe SM. Effect of reducing ambulance response times on deaths from out of hospital cardiac arrest: cohort study. BMJ 2001; 322: 1385–8.
- 16 Shin SD, Kitamura T, Hwang SS et al. Association between resuscitation time interval at the scene and neurological

outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in two Asian cities. Resuscitation 2014; 85: 203–10.

- 17 Morimura N, Aruga T, Sakamoto T *et al.* The impact of an emergency telephone consultation service on the use of ambulances in Tokyo. Emerg. Med. J. 2011; 28: 64–70.
- 18 A report on the acceptance of emergency patients by medical institutions [report available on the internet]. Ambulance Service Planning Office of Fire and Disaster Management Agency of Japan and Guidance of Medical Service Division, Health Policy Bureau, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, Tokyo. 2010 [cited 9 Sep 2013]. Available from URL: http://www.fdma.go.jp/neuter/topics/houdou/h22/2203/ 220318\_6houdou.pdf. (In Japanese.)
- 19 Howell E, Bessman E, Kravet S, Kolodner K, Marshall R, Wright S. Active bed management by hospitalists and emergency department throughput. Ann. Intern. Med. 2008; 149: 804–11.
- 20 Myerburg RJ, Castellanos A. Cardiac arrest and sudden cardiac death. In: Braunwald E (ed). Heart Disease: a Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine, 5th edn. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1997; 742–79.
- 21 Garot P, Lefevre T, Eltchaninoff H *et al.* Six-month outcome of emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in resuscitated patients after cardiac arrest complicating ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Circulation 2007; 115: 1354–62.
- 22 Dumas F, Cariou A, Manzo-Silberman S *et al.* Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with better survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: insights from the PROCAT (parisian region out of hospital cardiac arrest) registry. Circ. Carviovasc. Interv. 2010; 3: 200–7.
- 23 Shoji T, Kaneko M, Itoh Y *et al.* Incidence and pathophysiology of acute myocardial infarction in sudden death—postmortem coronary angiographic and pathologic study in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims. Nihon Kyukyu Igakukai Zasshi 1998; 9: 143–57. (In Japanese).
- 24 Pell JP, Haw S, Cobbe S *et al.* Smoke-free legislation and hospitalizations for acute coronary syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 2008; 359: 482–91.
- 25 Yeh RW, Sidney S, Chandra M, Sorel M, Selby JV, Go AS. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010; 362: 2155–65.
- 26 Lightwood JM, Glantz SA. Declines in acute myocardial infarction after smoke-free laws and individual risk attributable to secondhand smoke. Circulation 2009; 120: 1373–9.
- 27 Sims M, Maxwell R, Bauld L, Gilmore A. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. BMJ 2010; 340: c2161.
- 28 Health and Welfare Statistics Association. Journal of Health Welfare Statistics 2010/2011 57(9). Tokyo: Kosei Rodo Tokei Kyokai, 2012. (In Japanese.)
- 29 Nishiyama S, Watanabe T, Arimoto T *et al*. Trends in coronary risk factors among patients with acute myocardial infarction over the last decade: the Yamagata AMI registry. J. Atheroscler. Thromb. 2010; 17: 989–98.
- 30 Kitamura A, Iso H, Iida M et al. Trends in the incidence of coronary heart disease and stroke and the prevalence of

© 2014 Japanese Association for Acute Medicine

cardiovascular risk factors among Japanese men from 1963 to 1994. Am. J. Med. 2002; 112: 104–9.

- 31 Rumana N, Kita Y, Turin TC *et al.* Trends of increase in the incidence of acute myocardial infarction in a Japanese population: Takashima AMI Registry, 1990–2001. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2008; 167: 1358–64.
- 32 Kitamura A, Sato S, Kiyama M *et al.* Trends in the incidence of coronary heart disease and stroke and their risk factors in Japan, 1964 to 2003: the Akita-Osaka study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2008; 52: 71–9.
- 33 Kubo M, Kiyohara Y, Kato I *et al.* Trends in the incidence, mortality, and survival rate of cardiovascular disease in Japanese Community: the Hisayama study. Stroke 2003; 34: 2349–54.
- 34 Takii T, Yasuda S, Takahashi JI *et al*. Trends in acute myocardial infarction incidence and mortality over 30 years in Japan: report from the MIYAGI-AMI registry study. Circ. J. 2010; 74: 93–100.

- 35 Kagawa E, Dote K, Kato M *et al.* Should we emergently revascularize occluded coronaries for cardiac arrest? Rapid-response extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and intra-arrest percutaneous coronary intervention. Circulation 2012; 126: 1605–13.
- 36 Shiomi H, Nakagawa Y, Morimoto T *et al*. Association of onset to balloon and door to balloon time with long term clinical outcome in patients with ST elevation acute myocardial infarction having primary percutaneous coronary intervention: observational study. BMJ 2012; 344: e3257.
- 37 CRITICAL Study Group. UMIN-CTR. UMIN000007528: Comprehensive Registry of Intensive Cares for OHCA Survival (CRITICAL) Study [homepage on the internet]. 2012 [cited 23 Aug 2013]. Available from URL: https://upload.umin.ac.jp/ cgi-open-bin/ctr/ctr.cgi?function=brows&action=brows&type =summary&recptno=R000008833&language=J. (In Japanese.)