



Gamma Delta T Cell Therapy for Cancer: It Is Good to be Local

C. David Pauza^{1*}, Mei-Ling Liou¹, Tyler Lahusen¹, Lingzhi Xiao¹, Rena G. Lapidus², Cristiana Cairo³ and Haishan Li¹

¹American Gene Technologies International Inc., Rockville, MD, United States, ²Department of Medicine, Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, ³Institute of Human Virology, Department of Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Kent Gustafsson,
University College London,
United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Wendy L. Havran,
The Scripps Research Institute,
United States
Karin Schilbach,
Universität Tübingen, Germany

*Correspondence:

C. David Pauza
cdpauza@americangene.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted
to T Cell Biology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 27 February 2018

Accepted: 25 May 2018

Published: 08 June 2018

Citation:

Pauza CD, Liou M-L, Lahusen T,
Xiao L, Lapidus RG, Cairo C and Li H
(2018) Gamma Delta T Cell Therapy
for Cancer: It Is Good to be Local.
Front. Immunol. 9:1305.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01305

Human gamma delta T cells have extraordinary properties including the capacity for tumor cell killing. The major gamma delta T cell subset in human beings is designated V γ 9V δ 2 and is activated by intermediates of isoprenoid biosynthesis or aminobisphosphonate inhibitors of farnesyl diphosphate synthase. Activated cells are potent for killing a broad range of tumor cells and demonstrated the capacity for tumor reduction in murine xenotransplant tumor models. Translating these findings to the clinic produced promising initial results but greater potency is needed. Here, we review the literature on gamma delta T cells in cancer therapy with emphasis on the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset. Our goal was to examine obstacles preventing effective V γ 9V δ 2 T cell therapy and strategies for overcoming them. We focus on the potential for local activation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells within the tumor environment to increase potency and achieve objective responses during cancer therapy. The gamma delta T cells and especially the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset, have the potential to overcome many problems in cancer therapy especially for tumors with no known treatment, lacking tumor-specific antigens for targeting by antibodies and CAR-T, or unresponsive to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Translation of amazing work from many laboratories studying gamma delta T cells is needed to fulfill the promise of effective and safe cancer immunotherapy.

Keywords: gamma delta, T cell, cancer, immuno-oncology, Vdelta2 gamma delta T cells, targeted immunotherapy

INTRODUCTION

Human T cells expressing the V γ 9J γ PV δ 2 T cell receptor [also designated V γ 2J γ 1.2V δ 2 (1, 2)] comprise 1–5% of circulating lymphocytes in healthy adults. Treating peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) with phosphorylated intermediates from the isoprenoid biosynthesis pathway [isopentenylpyrophosphate (IPP) and (E)-4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl pyrophosphate (HMBPP)] (3–5) or aminobisphosphonate inhibitors of farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FDPS) (6) stimulate proliferation, cytokine secretion, and cytotoxic effector function of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. The V γ 9V δ 2 T cell response to phosphorylated compounds (phosphoantigens) or aminobisphosphonate is almost exclusive to V δ 2 cells with a J γ P rearrangement and responding cells frequently use public V γ 9J γ P sequences that are shared widely in the population [reviewed in Ref. (7)]. Because the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell response to phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate is ubiquitous in the healthy human population and the expanded cells have potent effector functions, many investigators are developing immunotherapies based on selective activation of the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset. Increasingly the focus of

$\gamma\delta$ T cell research is on cancer immunotherapy. Here, we review oncology applications for this important component of natural tumor surveillance and discuss obstacles to clinical translation of our basic knowledge about $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

Circulating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are diverse due to length and sequence variation in the CDR3 regions of both γ and δ chains but their uniform activation by phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate gives the appearance of an innate response. Similarly, the circulating adult V γ 9V δ 2 T cell repertoire is shaped by constant positive selection due to the presence of ubiquitous phosphoantigens produced by host cells or resident microbes. Chronic positive selection increases the proportion of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in blood and reduces population diversity due to amplification of T cell clones mostly expressing public V γ 9J γ P chains. With a TCR repertoire altered by chronic positive selection, human and non-human primates along with a few other species (8) maintain a pool of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells that is dominated by central and effector memory phenotype, contains 1 of every 40 memory T cells in the body, and reacts to appropriate stimuli with the speed and uniformity of innate immunity. These features, particularly the uniform response to common stimuli without MHC restriction, make the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset especially attractive for a variety of therapeutic applications in man.

In earlier studies of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells it was discovered that several tumor cell lines directly stimulated the V γ 9V δ 2 subset without exogenous phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate addition. A good example is the Daudi B cell line, which is a selective activator of V γ 9V δ 2 T cell proliferation and effector function (9). The Daudi cell line is unusual because it does not express β_2 -microglobulin, hence fails to MHC class I-related surface glycoproteins. However, other cell lines with MHC class I expression (10) are nonetheless capable of activating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and of being targets for cytotoxicity. Using Daudi B cells to stimulate PBMC lead to expansion of multiple V γ 9V δ 2 T cell clones from healthy individuals and the pattern of responses was similar to the pattern of clonal expansion after IPP stimulation (11).

Multiple agents can be combined to achieve potent stimulation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. The best-known examples of phosphoantigen, aminobisphosphonate, or stimulatory cell lines were described above. In those examples, elevated levels of cellular IPP were critical to cell stimulation and IPP levels must be elevated in a cell that also expresses butyrophilin 3A1 on its surface (12–16). The butyrophilin 3A1 is only one part of a complex regulator of V γ V δ 2 T cell activation and is found within heteromeric complexes that control T cell activation (17). Evidence from physical studies supports a view that phosphoantigen binding to the cytoplasmic B30.2 domain of butyrophilin 3A1 induces a unique conformational change that propagates throughout the molecule (18–20). The idea that conformational change in butyrophilin 3A1 governs recognition by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is consistent with positive selection of the V γ 9J γ P rearrangement; J γ P is the longest J segment and length seems to be crucial for TCR recognition (7, 11). The literature on butyrophilin and $\gamma\delta$ T cell activation has been reviewed recently (18).

A requirement for the combination of IPP plus the human butyrophilin complex explains the lack of xenogeneic stimulation by non-human tumor cells despite their common production of

IPP. Variations of this theme help to explain why cells infected with bacteria that are themselves capable of producing phosphoantigens similar to IPP (21–23) will stimulate V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Combinations of T cell receptor cross-linking *via* antibody treatment plus cytokine or toll-like receptor agonists also stimulate V γ 9V δ 2 T cell proliferation and cytokine production (24).

The signals required to maximize cytotoxic effector activity are less clear, though C-type lectin receptors are known to be important. The NK receptor NKG2D is a potent activator of cytotoxic effector function and is expressed on the majority of stimulated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells (25). A smaller sub-population expresses the inhibitory receptor NKG2A (26, 27), and both subsets may contain activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells expressing the CD16 low affinity Fc receptor, and are capable of being activated by IgG bound to target cells (28).

STRATEGIES FOR $\gamma\delta$ T CELLS IN IMMUNO-ONCOLOGY (I/O)

The challenges to developing a cancer therapy based on activating $\gamma\delta$ T cells are exemplified in the history of intravesical *Bacille Calmette–Guerin* (BCG), a strain of *Mycobacterium bovis* used for treating bladder cancer. Epidemiology studies in the early twentieth century linked tuberculosis with lower cancer incidence and lead to the introduction of BCG as a cancer vaccine in 1935 [reviewed in Ref. (29)]. By the 1970s BCG was becoming accepted for bladder cancer therapy and remains in use for this disease. It was reported that BCG is a potent stimulator for V γ 9V δ 2 T cells (30) and activated cells kill bladder cancer cells *in vitro* (31). These findings suggested a direct relationship between V γ 9V δ 2 T cell activation by locally administered BCG and subsequent destruction of tumors by direct cytotoxicity. Around 40 years later we know that V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are found at higher levels in urine from bladder cancer patients treated with BCG (32) and successful treatment is associated with increased levels of intratumoral CD19 B cells along with CD4, CD8, and $\gamma\delta$ T cells (33). Today, bladder cancer treatment is evolving with the introduction of new immunotherapies despite our poor understanding of immune response triggered by BCG *in situ*, the extent to which $\gamma\delta$ T cells are important for these responses, and the mechanisms of action for tumor reduction. Could we have a better therapy for non-invasive BCG based on a clearer understanding of the immune response? The answer is uncertain, but it is likely that such studies would produce more sophisticated biomarkers, better prognosis, and personalized treatment for bladder cancer. This review of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and cancer therapy seeks to identify similar gaps in our current understanding of $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapy that may slow progress to the development of treatment strategies and clinical products.

Most studies on human $\gamma\delta$ T cells have concentrated on the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset from peripheral blood. This reflects in part, the ease of obtaining primary cells for laboratory studies and the ability to grow large numbers of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells from human PBMC. More importantly, this choice reflects the biology of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells where the TCR repertoire is shaped by strong, positive selection pressure that maintains a circulating, innate-like

T cell population. Collective efforts in many laboratories created a detailed picture of the effector activities for V γ 9V δ 2 cells and outlined the road map for clinical applications.

Unique properties of circulating V δ 1 cells are less defined, although they have been studied for treatment of some neoplastic diseases (34, 35). The majority of V δ 1 cells comprise the intraepithelial lymphocyte population of mucosal epithelia where they react to signals of stress by producing abundant cytokines and chemokines that influence mononuclear cell infiltration into damaged, infected, or malignant epithelium (36–38). Recent progress in expanding V δ 1 cells from blood (39, 40) will undoubtedly increase our knowledge about this subset. The capacity for CD30+ V δ 1 T cells to produce IL-17A and create inflammatory microenvironment (41) suggests this T cell subset may promote or inhibit cancer progression depending on the cell type, location, state of disease, and other factors. Consequently, the role for V δ 1 cells remains unclear, especially in solid tumors, but these cells hold promise for treating a select group of malignancies including leukemia (35).

The V δ 3+ subset has also been considered for therapeutic use but less is known about stimulatory antigens or properties of these cells. The V δ 3+ subset has been implicated in the response to herpesvirus infections including cytomegalovirus and Epstein–Barr virus (42–44). Clinical studies correlated elevated baseline V δ 3 levels with fewer herpesvirus outbreaks after iatrogenic immune suppression such as that employed in the transplant setting (45). However, V δ 3 cells are relatively rare in blood and conditions for expanding these cells *ex vivo* are poorly defined. Cellular recognition of EBV- or CMV-infected cells has also been documented for V δ 1 or V δ 2 cells (42, 46) and in rare cases, the V δ 5+ subset also recognized herpesvirus-infected cells (44).

Our ability to define an I/O strategy based on the biology of $\gamma\delta$ T cells is impacted by many factors including the limited information about how these cells participate in natural tumor surveillance. It is critical to decide whether a focus on the well-known V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset offers more advantages compared to exploring tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte populations, and how can we balance the pro-tumor and anti-tumor roles for V δ 1 cells (47). Can we find unique properties of V δ 3 or other minor subsets that are compelling for cancer therapy? Finally, should we be looking for platform approaches to $\gamma\delta$ T cell I/O or create unique approaches for each type of malignancy? Answers to these questions will help to define pathways for clinical development of $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapies.

IS THERE A ROLE FOR V γ 9V δ 2 T CELLS IN I/O?

There are compelling arguments for I/O strategies based on activating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. This subset is abundant in blood and cells can be expanded *ex vivo* with simple protocols. Cytotoxic killing of many tumor types is well documented for V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and the range of targets is broad. Furthermore, activation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can be accomplished *ex vivo* or *in vivo* through stimulation with mammalian or microbial phosphoantigens, one of several widely used aminobisphosphonate drugs, TCR-cross linking monoclonal antibodies, butyrophilin cross-linking antibodies,

or exposure to stimulatory tumor cells. This highly flexible system provides many opportunities for matching V γ 9V δ 2 T cell stimulation with a specific tumor target and allows for realistic consideration of both passive immunotherapy with *ex vivo* expanded cells, and *in vivo* therapy using direct activation of the V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subset.

By contrast, the list of tumor cell targets for V δ 1 or V δ 3 cells are narrow, but may be expanded in the future, and there is a concern regarding the pro-inflammatory nature of V δ 1 cells because of their propensity to express the cytokines IL-17 or IL-4. For an immediate, near-term I/O program we and others [reviewed in Ref. (48–51)] tend toward focusing on the natural tumor surveillance activities of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells.

Despite our enthusiasm for tumor immunotherapy involving V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, there is always doubt regarding their practical utility for clinical cancer care. We might (and should) ask: if natural tumor immunity is important and potentially useful for oncologic medicine, why does this surveillance system fail to catch and prevent malignant disease in the first place? In other words, do tumors escape immune surveillance or is the demonstrated tumor cytotoxicity of $\gamma\delta$, NK, NKT, MAIT, and other innate-like cells an *in vitro* property with little relevance to practical problems in cancer care? We will overcome these concerns by demonstrating consistent potency, clearly defined mechanisms of action, and objective clinical responses to $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapy.

TUMOR MECHANISMS FOR IMMUNE EVASION

Multiple mechanisms have emerged to explain tumor evasion of MHC-restricted responses. The conventional paradigm argues that innate immune activation precedes activation of antigen-specific T cells, especially CD8+ subsets, and in turn leads to recruitment of effector T cells capable of destroying the tumor [reviewed in Ref. (52)]. Multiple mechanisms at each step may result in tumor escape from T cell surveillance. Tumors may have an immunosuppressive microenvironment that fails to activate innate responses. Tumors may have reduced immunogenicity due to lack of tumor-specific antigens or downregulation of MHC molecules. Tumors may also inhibit the activation of T cell effector mechanisms through overexpression of ligands for immune inhibitory costimulatory molecules (checkpoints). The latter area has received much attention lately due to licensure of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies that prevent receptor:ligand engagement of inhibitory costimulatory receptors and their ligands resulting in activation of tumor-specific T cell responses.

Clinical studies with antibodies that block inhibitory costimulation documented a substantial impact on specific subsets of malignant diseases. For example, Merck's Keytruda product (monoclonal antibody against PD-1) for metastatic melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, and tumors with high expression of PD-L1 has been highly successful in several clinical settings [reviewed in Ref. (53)]. Success with inhibiting PD-1/PD-L1 interactions by blocking PD-1 (Keytruda and Nivolumab) or PD-L1 (Atezolizumab, Avelumab, and Duvalumab), and blocking of CTLA-4 (Ipilimumab) has stimulated interest in blocking several other receptor/ligand interactions with similar mechanisms

of action. The continuing development of new checkpoint inhibitors is especially important because tumor escape from anti-PD-L1 has already been observed and involved upregulation of other inhibitory costimulation molecules (54). Clinical experiences will elucidate mechanisms for escape from checkpoint inhibitor antibody effects and drive the development of new monoclonal antibody drugs alone or in combination with other antibodies or alternate therapeutic modalities.

Whether PD-1 or other checkpoint inhibitors enable tumors to escape V γ 9V δ 2 T cell surveillance is an open question. Expression of PD-1 was increased after V γ 9V δ 2 T cell stimulation but pretreatment of PD-L1 Daudi tumor cells with zoledronic acid was sufficient to render them susceptible to V γ 9V δ 2 T cell killing irrespective of PD-L expression (55). Cytotoxicity was less potent when PD-L was present on tumor cells; some studies noted that tumor cell killing was reduced by up to fivefold in the presence of PD-L (56). Pennington's group (57) identified a CD24 $-$ /CD28 $-$ /CD16 $+$ subset of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, representing about 10% of total V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in blood from healthy donors, that expressed CD57 and had the highest proportion of PD-1 cells (14%) among all V γ 9V δ 2 T cell subsets. Both CD57 and PD-1 are presumed markers of inactivated or "senescent" T cells that have lost the potential for proliferation (58). When double-positive cells accumulated at the tumor site, it was usually taken as a sign of failed tumor immunity (59). However, recent literature suggests that effector function and capacity for proliferation may be differentiated on the basis of CD57 expression. In both CD4 T cells (60) and NK cells (61), CD57 expression identified cells that are potent for cytotoxicity but lack the capacity for expressing immune-suppressing cytokines including IL-10 or IL-21 and will not proliferate in response to stimulation (60). If V γ 9V δ 2 or other $\gamma\delta$ T cells also become potentially cytotoxic without producing regulatory cytokines, PD-1 and possibly CD57 may be markers for tumor effector activity and not signs of a failed immune response.

As noted above, expression of PD-1 increased after phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate stimulation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells (55) and we might infer from studies of NK and CD4 cytotoxic T cells that PD-1 and CD57 identify non-proliferating but potentially cytotoxic cells that do not express suppressive cytokines. It is important to define the conditions for generating such cells, to determine their life span in tumors and to understand whether they contribute meaningfully to tumor reduction. Such studies may also guide decisions about future clinical trials proposing combinations of V γ 9V δ 2-based treatment and checkpoint inhibitor antibodies.

Because the population of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells responds almost uniformly to phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate stimulation, there will be rapid proliferation of stimulated cells and continuing production of the PD-1 $+$ /CD57 $+$ subset. The majority of V γ 9V δ 2 cells will have little or no expression of PD-1 resulting in tumor killing that is not abrogated by PD-L1 or PD-L2 ligands (56). While the impact of PD-1 on V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is still being evaluated, we can make a provisional conclusion that this immune checkpoint is not an obstacle to V γ 9V δ 2 T cell tumor therapy although it may impact potency. Whether other immune checkpoint molecules may be more important is still under study. At this time, it appears that V γ 9V δ 2 cell therapy may differ from

$\alpha\beta$ T cell effector mechanisms in the extent to which they are impacted by immune checkpoints. If this view holds true, it will become an important argument for the uniqueness of V γ 9V δ 2 T cell immunotherapy.

Beyond immune checkpoint regulation, there are complex interactions among T cells, NK cells, dendritic cells, mesenchymal cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and even neutrophils that dictate the tumor microenvironment and benefit or inhibit the capacity for effective tumor immunity. Recent comprehensive reviews addressed many of the key mechanisms for immune suppression including the functions for regulatory $\gamma\delta$ T cells and effects of their cytokines on tumor killing; we refer the reader to these excellent publications (62–66). In addition to the immunosuppressive cytokines, pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-17A often promote tumor growth and may be produced at higher levels when intratumoral T cells are dominated by the V δ 1 subset (41, 67). Normally, V δ 2 T cells dominate peripheral blood in healthy individuals and are >2 -fold more abundant than circulating V δ 1 cells. A study including more than 200 melanoma patients treated with the checkpoint inhibitor antibody ipilimumab (targeting CTLA-4) showed that individuals with an inverted ratio of blood $\gamma\delta$ cell subsets (V δ 1 $>$ V δ 2) had lower overall survival, and poorer outcomes were significantly associated with decreasing V δ 2 T cell levels during ipilimumab therapy (68). A similar relationship between V δ 2 and V δ 1 cells was noted for rectal carcinoma (67).

Inversion of the V δ 2 \div V δ 1 T cell ratio in blood was also observed in HIV disease [reviewed in Ref. (69)], where inversion is due to quantitative depletion of V δ 2 cells and expansion of the V δ 1 subset, similar to the melanoma case cited above. In HIV disease, V δ 2 T cell depletion is due to multiple factors including direct toxicity of the viral envelope glycoprotein (70) and inadequate levels of IL-18 (71). Expansion of the V δ 1 subset was linked to damage of the intestinal epithelium and translocation of stimulatory bacterial products into blood (72, 73). It is of interest that the melanoma study, which included ipilimumab therapy (68) and HIV disease are both characterized by rising V δ 1 cells plus falling V δ 2 T cell levels. Notably, cancer is an important comorbidity of HIV disease with rates greatly exceeding the general population along with increased susceptibility to a broad range of cancer types (74, 75). In future, we hope to apply knowledge from $\gamma\delta$ T cell clinical cancer trials, to understanding and mitigating the increased cancer risk in HIV disease.

We do not yet understand why increased levels of V δ 1 T cells in blood is a risk factor for melanoma or HIV-associated cancer. This is due partly to the complex nature of the V δ 1 T cell subset. While V δ 1 from peripheral blood were cytotoxic for colon cancer cells (40), an IL-17A-producing subset of V δ 1 cells promoted tumor growth (76). Studies using peripheral blood may not reflect the properties of mucosal V δ 1 T cells. Overall, we will need to understand how to expand V δ 1 T cells *in vivo* or *ex vivo*, and to enrich beneficial cells while reducing the growth of regulatory or inflammatory subsets. These strategies must be refined for each tumor target because the tumor response to inflammation is not uniform across tumor types.

We know that many, but not all tumors have the capacity for activating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Perhaps the best-studied example

is the Daudi Burkitt's lymphoma cell. The V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are stimulated by contact with Daudi B cells (9) but not by the related Raji cells (10). Activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells killed Daudi, Raji, and four other Burkitt's lines including HH514, DG75, Ramos, and Wilson, along with freshly isolated primary tumor cells (10). Subsequently, oligodendrocytes, fetal astrocytes, and glial cells were shown to induce V γ 9V δ 2 T cell activation and proliferation (77), and this activity was correlated with cell surface expression of heat shock proteins. An earlier report had noted the stimulatory properties of Daudi cells expressing a GROEL homolog of heat shock protein (9).

The list of tumors killed by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is longer than the list of tumors capable of activating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Perhaps this is telling us that poor activation *in situ* is a mechanism for tumors to evade V γ 9V δ 2 T cell surveillance. Discrepancies in the literature, wherein *in vitro*-activated V γ 9V δ 2 cells kill tumors even if they express PD-1 ligands, may reveal that activation by direct exposure to tumor cells during normal immune surveillance is not strong enough to drive V γ 9V δ 2 T cell eradication of the tumor or to overcome inhibitory costimulation. When V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are activated by phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate and the culture medium includes IL-2, IL-15, or other cytokines, the resulting cells demonstrate potent tumor cell cytotoxicity. To date, we have only limited knowledge about V γ 9V δ 2 T cell activation *in vivo*, with or without stimulatory compounds and cytokines. We also know that some tumors may upregulate expression of FDPS, the enzyme responsible for converting IPP to farnesol (78). Such tumors may have smaller pools of IPP hence lesser capacity for directly activating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Tumors with upregulated FDPS are also insensitive to cytostatic effects of aminobisphosphonates because excess enzyme overcomes the drug's competitive inhibition of FDPS (79).

Importantly, tumors may not always be immunogenic in the conventional sense of activating naïve $\alpha\beta$ T cells but may still be targets for V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Downregulation of MHC is unlikely to affect V γ 9V δ 2 T cell recognition either for activation or as a target for cytolysis and might make tumors more sensitive to killing. Daudi, for example, is a potent activator of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and a sensitive target despite having no detectable MHC class I expression due to a deletion in the beta2-microglobulin gene (9).

We also note the potential for modulating tumor immunity through specific costimulation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. We initially discovered the important role for costimulatory V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in activating tumor cytotoxicity by NK cells (80). Transient expression of 4-1BB (CD137) after phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate stimulation of blood V γ 9V δ 2 T cells upregulated this costimulatory molecule, increased NKG2D expression on NK cells, and increased NK tumor effector function. Subsequently, we discovered that V γ 9V δ 2 T cells also signal NK cells through an ICOS:ICOS-L interaction resulting in increased CD69 and 4-1BB expression on NK cells and increased levels of interferon- γ , TNF- α , MIP-1 β , 1-309, RANTES, and soluble FasL in the culture. Perhaps most importantly, NK cells "educated" through the ICOS:ICOS-L pathway by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells gained the capacity to kill mature dendritic cells (81). Removing these dendritic cells would alter the tumor microenvironment by reducing inflammation. We also

know that NK cells are normally responsible for "licensing" the dendritic cell population primarily by killing immature dendritic cells [reviewed in Ref. (82, 83)]. Both NK and V γ 9V δ 2 T cells interact reciprocally with dendritic cells (84–86) and examples cited earlier (81) showed that activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells educate NK, which then destroy mature dendritic cells that would normally promote inflammation and tumor growth. Dendritic cells infected by *Brucella melitensis* are substantially impaired in their capacity for antigen presentation but the defect was corrected through a contact-dependent interaction with V γ 9V δ 2 T cells (87). This triangle of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells:NK cells:dendritic cells is part of a regulatory network affecting tumor cell cytotoxicity and regulating inflammation in the tumor microenvironment. We might imagine that mesenchymal stem cells, tissue macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and potentially neutrophils or other inflammatory cells have similarly complex interactions that balance the requirement for activating protective immunity with a mechanism to limit destructive inflammation. Understanding these subtle interactions and finding ways to manipulate the regulatory networks may be one key to potent tumor immunotherapies focused on $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

The V γ 9V δ 2 subset of $\gamma\delta$ T cells is uniquely adapted for tumor immunity through: non-reliance on MHC expression, relative insensitivity to PD-1 inhibition, potent and broad tumor cytotoxicity, low contribution to IL-17A production, activation of NK cytotoxicity, and costimulation of NK for killing of mature (inflammatory) dendritic cells. Several of these mechanisms are unique to V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and fill critical gaps in tumor immunotherapy that are not approached through CAR-T cell therapy or use of checkpoint inhibitor antibodies. By careful selection of appropriate tumor types and understanding the critical markers signaling a healthy versus unhealthy balance of $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets, we can exploit the natural properties of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and overcome several well-known mechanisms for tumor evasion of host immunity.

PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL STUDIES OF V γ 9V δ 2 T CELL THERAPY

Activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells kill a broad range of tumor cell lines, often with spectacular potency. Investigators have even observed potent killing with effector to target cell ratios below 1, meaning the effector cells recycle without being killed themselves or soluble death ligands are important contributors to cytotoxicity. Several preclinical and clinical studies have tested whether this level of potency translates to potent therapeutic effects *in vivo*.

The SCID mouse model was used to test the tumor surveillance capacity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Mice were injected with Daudi cells followed by injecting PBMC from healthy adult donors. The Daudi cells were sufficient to stimulate V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, resulting in proliferation and transition to effector memory phenotype, along with suppression of tumor growth and survival of the mice (88). Subsequently, tumor killing by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells was demonstrated in several types of immune-deficient mice and with a variety of tumors including prostate cancer (89), melanoma (90), breast cancer (91, 92), ovarian cancer (93), and lymphoma (56, 94, 95) to name a few examples from this growing list.

Mouse xenograft studies demonstrated the potency of V γ 9V δ 2 therapy *in vivo* and the range of tumors that might be treated. In general, treatments were most successful when V γ 9V δ 2 cells were expanded *ex vivo* prior to injection, when cell treatments coincided with tumor cell implantation or occurred when tumors were first deemed “palpable” (meaning < 100 mm³ volume) and required repeated administration of phosphoantigen or aminobisphosphonate drugs plus cytokine (usually IL-2). The mouse xenograft studies provided some assurance that V γ 9V δ 2 cell therapy might be successful, but solid proof-of-concept data will be difficult to obtain in this system and safety studies needed for regulatory approval will be challenging. Because human V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are exquisitely species-restricted, normal mouse tissues are not recognized and off-target effects may be obscured. The mouse studies mimic a treatment approach based on adoptive cell therapy but are less useful for studying primary V γ 9V δ 2 tumor responses. In this regard, it is important to note that most clinical studies were completed without serious adverse events.

Mouse model studies raised intriguing issues related to V γ 9V δ 2 T cell trafficking and tumor localization. Knowing that the circulating pool of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells contains both central and effector memory cells, it seems reasonable that at least the effector memory subset would be actively attacking tumors. Injecting V γ 9V δ 2 T cells without additional treatment failed to demonstrate tumor-infiltrating cells and failed to reduce tumor volume. Treating myeloma patients with zoledronic acid increased V γ 9V δ 2 T cell migration into the tumor and infiltration depended on IPP secretion (96). An earlier study on mouse V γ 9V δ 2 T cell migration into murine tumors used antibody blocking to show a requirement for T cell receptor in chemotaxis and tumor infiltration (91). By a mechanism that is not yet established, elevated IPP levels and T cell receptor-dependent mechanisms are associated with V γ 9V δ 2 T cell infiltration into tumors, which is exaggerated by aminobisphosphonate treatment and the resulting increases in IPP levels. Such observations are important, but mechanistic insight into tumor infiltration by phosphoantigen-specific V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is still lacking. It is very important to understand $\gamma\delta$ T cell trafficking and mechanisms controlling tumor infiltration.

Human clinical trials have created the greatest promise for V γ 9V δ 2 T cell immunotherapy but also revealed important obstacles to success. The limited potency of $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapy is the most pressing problem. Potency is a critical parameter even early in clinical product development, because V γ 9V δ 2 T cell therapies will be compared to results from CAR-T treatments for lymphoma and myeloma. The spectacular cure rates for CAR-T in selected diseases have raised expectations among scientists, patients, advocates, and funders. Positive results from V γ 9V δ 2 therapy in Hodgkin’s lymphoma or multiple myeloma clinical trials showed significant *in vivo* activation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells among 55% of patients who were pre-screened for high *in vitro* responses to pamidronate/IL-2, along with objective clinical responses among 33% of the pre-screened patients (97). Viewed objectively, these outcomes do not compare favorably with high cure rates for CAR-T in similar diseases (98). Undoubtedly, $\gamma\delta$ -centric immunotherapy is eventually less complex and probably safer than CAR-T because the T cells are not genetically modified, but differences in

potency will impede both research and commercial development of $\gamma\delta$ -centric therapeutics until more data are available.

Tumor immunotherapy with V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, including *in vivo* stimulation, adoptive transfer of expanded cells or combination protocols, may find better purchase in solid tumor treatments where CAR-T is less advanced (98). Immunotherapy based on V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is not limited to tumors with well-defined neoantigens and allogeneic cell products may be possible due to the MHC-unrestricted responses of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Treatments based on V γ 9V δ 2 T cells have been tested for head and neck cancer (99), renal carcinoma (100, 101), prostate cancer (102), neuroblastoma (103), mammary carcinoma (104), and lung cancer (105, 106) among others. In most cases, objective responses were noted but the proportion of complete remissions was low and long-term disease-free survival data are minimal. These clinical studies provided evidence for the clinical utility of therapies aimed at activating the tumor response of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Clinical and basic researchers in this field need to chart a course for improving these therapies in terms of potency and defining the mechanism of action. We need to understand conditions controlling tumor infiltration by $\gamma\delta$ T cells, how cytotoxic and regulatory subsets are regulated, and to understand failures or examples of low potency. This is a complex field with many different approaches and emphases that cannot be covered here in sufficient detail. The reader is encouraged to access several excellent reviews of clinically relevant studies that provide additional examples and important insights into trial outcomes and future directions (48, 49, 51, 107, 108).

FINDING SOLID GROUND

Researchers in this field are searching for ways to achieve more impactful and curative $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapies. If we can realize the full potential of tumor surveillance by these cells, it will be possible to address malignant disease in a broader part of the population than can be reached by other I/O approaches. Several studies are already pointing to more potent strategies. When V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, aminobisphosphonate, and IL-2 were delivered intratumorally in a murine xenotransplant model for glioblastoma, potent tumor reduction was observed (109). Why was intratumoral delivery better than systemic delivery of aminobisphosphonate? Aminobisphosphonate drugs are tremendous for their intended purpose of treating osteoporosis but have unfavorable pharmacokinetics because they complex with calcium and precipitate in the bone matrix. Although the drug may remain in bone for 10 years or more, circulating aminobisphosphonate is eliminated rapidly by renal excretion (110). Rapid clearance of aminobisphosphonates from plasma impedes their use for tumor therapy except in special cases where accumulation in bone was related to the anti-myeloma activity of pamidronate (111). Direct intratumoral injection of aminobisphosphonate avoided the unfavorable pharmacology of this drug class. Poor systemic availability of aminobisphosphonate drugs accounts in part, for differences between extraordinary V γ 9V δ 2 tumor killing *in vitro* where there is no bone to trap the drug, and the lower potencies observed in clinical trials. One of the possible keys to exploiting V γ 9V δ 2 T cells for tumor therapy is to activate them locally and achieve higher potency. In many cases, it may be difficult to

continue repeated injections of cells, aminobisphosphonate, and cytokine as was done for glioblastoma in a murine model (109), but studies of this type are beginning to highlight the potential benefits for local activation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells.

We also know that aminobisphosphonate drugs are competitive inhibitors of FDPS. The FDPS is upregulated in some cancers (112) resulting in resistance to aminobisphosphonate drugs (113). Drug resistance was reversed *in vitro* by transfecting small inhibitory RNA targeting the FDPS mRNA to lower the enzyme levels (113). Thus, we expect an inverse relationship between levels of the stimulatory phosphoantigen IPP and levels of FDPS; reducing FDPS through genetic manipulation is a strategy for increasing the levels of IPP and may be combined with aminobisphosphonate for even higher potency. Lentivirus vector delivery of shRNA targeting FDPS mRNA was tested *in vitro*. The B cell lymphoma line Raji, a poor stimulator of V γ 9V δ 2 cell proliferation or effector function, was transduced with lentivirus vector expressing shRNA against FDPS mRNA. The modified cells were cultured with primary V γ 9V δ 2 T cells to detect changes in phenotype or function. Transduction reduced FDPS levels and markedly activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells cocultured with the modified Raji cells. Raji cells with decreased FDPS also had increased sensitivity to V γ 9V δ 2 cytotoxicity (114).

Locally administered cancer therapies are increasingly of interest for stimulating potent tumor immunity. Peritumoral, intratumoral, and intranodal therapies have already been tested for a variety of cancers. Viral vectors expressing cytokines, tumor targeting antibodies, and checkpoint inhibitor antibodies appear to be more potent when injected into the tumor microenvironment as opposed to systemic delivery. Intratumoral injection of checkpoint inhibitor antibodies was explored as a means for improving potency while reducing the toxicity encountered after systemic administration [reviewed in Ref. (115)]. Simultaneous intranodal administration of the tumor-targeting antibody Rituximab plus autologous dendritic cells plus granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor caused objective clinical responses in 36% of patients with disseminated follicular lymphoma, an aggressive disease with no known cure (116). Intranodal injection of an adenovirus vector expressing CD40L (CD154) caused objective responses to chronic lymphocytic leukemia in 11 of 15 patients treated (117). Intranodal injection may be viewed as a way to localize therapy near a tumor mass, that also allows stimulation of the immune cells outside of the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. These and similar findings encourage us to consider whether potency of V γ 9V δ 2 T cell immunotherapy for cancer has been limited by efforts to stimulate cells through systemic administration of drugs and cytokines, especially when using aminobisphosphonate drugs with unfavorable pharmacokinetics? It seems possible, even likely, that treatment potency will increase dramatically once we achieve potent delivery of stimulating agents to the tumor itself, either by intratumoral or

intranodal delivery. Local activation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells that will infiltrate the tumor, may come closer to realizing the full potential of these innate-like T cells for attacking a broad range of cancers without genetic manipulation of lymphocytes themselves.

SUMMARY

The remarkable $\gamma\delta$ T cells continue to be a focus for the development of new cancer immunotherapies because they are an important component of natural tumor surveillance. The V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are particularly attractive for tumor therapy because they comprise the largest group of memory T cells responding to a single antigen. These phosphoantigen-responsive cells represent about 2% of total T cell memory in the circulating population and respond with the speed and uniformity of innate immunity. Basic and clinical research on V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and other $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets is already demonstrating utility in cancer therapy, but the challenge is to increase potency and understand better the mechanisms of action. The improvements in patient outcomes will come through better definition of the balance between effector and regulatory subsets, the role for inhibitory costimulation pathways, factors governing tumor infiltration, and methods for increasing potency. The keys to potency and tumor elimination may be found in local administration of stimulating agents including chemicals, cytokines, and viral vectors. All of these obstacles pale in comparison to the value of an immunotherapy that attacks a broad range of tumor cell types, does not require identification of tumor-associated antigens, and does not require genetic modification of T cells. Local treatment delivered at the tumor site may be one way to increase V γ 9V δ 2 T cell potency. The promise is to achieve a near universal solution for malignant disease. The challenge is to translate the exquisite science of $\gamma\delta$ T cell biology for the practical goal of cancer immunotherapy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

American Gene Technologies International, Inc. (AGT) is a privately held corporation. RL was funded by a grant from AGT to test gamma delta T cell immunotherapy for cancer in murine models and is supported by the Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center award from the National Cancer Institute (P30 CA134274, Kevin Cullen, MD Principal Investigator). CC is funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease for research on pediatric gamma delta T cells (R01 AI104702, Cristiana Cairo, PhD Principal Investigator and U01 HD092308, Cristiana Cairo, PhD Principal Investigator).

REFERENCES

1. Kaas Q, Ruiz M, Lefranc MP. IMGT/3Dstructure-DB and IMGT/StructuralQuery, a database and a tool for immunoglobulin, T cell receptor and MHC structural data. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2004) 32:D208–10. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh042
2. Giudicelli V, Chaume D, Bodmer J, Muller W, Busin C, Marsh S, et al. IMGT, the international ImMunoGeneTics database. *Nucleic Acids Res* (1997) 25:206–11. doi:10.1093/nar/25.1.206
3. Burk MR, Mori L, De Libero G. Human V gamma 9-V delta 2 cells are stimulated in a cross-reactive fashion by a variety of phosphorylated metabolites. *Eur J Immunol* (1995) 25:2052–8. doi:10.1002/eji.1830250737

4. Hintz M, Reichenberg A, Altincicek B, Bahr U, Gschwind RM, Kollas AK, et al. Identification of (E)-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate as a major activator for human gammadelta T cells in *Escherichia coli*. *FEBS Lett* (2001) 509:317–22. doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(01)03191-X
5. Tanaka Y, Sano S, Nieves E, De Libero G, Rosa D, Modlin RL, et al. Nonpeptide ligands for human gamma delta T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1994) 91:8175–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.17.8175
6. Das H, Wang L, Kamath A, Bukowski JF. Vgamma2Vdelta2 T-cell receptor-mediated recognition of aminobisphosphonates. *Blood* (2001) 98:1616–8. doi:10.1182/blood.V98.5.1616
7. Pauza CD, Cairo C. Evolution and function of the TCR Vgamma9 chain repertoire: it's good to be public. *Cell Immunol* (2015) 296:22–30. doi:10.1016/j.cellimm.2015.02.010
8. Karunakaran MM, Gobel TW, Starick L, Walter L, Herrmann T. Vgamma9 and Vdelta2 T cell antigen receptor genes and butyrophilin 3 (BTN3) emerged with placental mammals and are concomitantly preserved in selected species like alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*). *Immunogenetics* (2014) 66:243–54. doi:10.1007/s00251-014-0763-8
9. Fisch P, Malkovsky M, Kovats S, Sturm E, Braakman E, Klein BS, et al. Recognition by human V gamma 9/V delta 2 T cells of a GroEL homolog on Daudi Burkitt's lymphoma cells. *Science* (1990) 250:1269–73. doi:10.1126/science.1978758
10. Kaur I, de Jong J, Schell K, Hank J, Fisch P, Sondel PM. Human peripheral gamma delta T cells are stimulated by Daudi Burkitt's lymphoma and not by any other Burkitt's lymphoma tested. *Cell Immunol* (1994) 156:54–61. doi:10.1006/cimm.1994.1152
11. Hebbeler AM, Cairo C, Cummings JS, Pauza CD. Individual Vgamma2-Jgamma1.2+ T cells respond to both isopentenyl pyrophosphate and Daudi cell stimulation: generating tumor effectors with low molecular weight phosphoantigens. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2007) 56:819–29. doi:10.1007/s00262-006-0235-6
12. Decaup E, Duault C, Bezombes C, Poupot M, Savina A, Olive D, et al. Phosphoantigens and butyrophilin 3A1 induce similar intracellular activation signaling in human TCRVgamma9+ gammadelta T lymphocytes. *Immunol Lett* (2014) 161:133–7. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2014.05.011
13. Gu S, Nawrocka W, Adams EJ. Sensing of pyrophosphate metabolites by Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:688. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00688
14. Harly C, Guillaume Y, Nedellec S, Peigne CM, Monkkonen H, Monkkonen J, et al. Key implication of CD277/butyrophilin-3 (BTN3A) in cellular stress sensing by a major human gammadelta T-cell subset. *Blood* (2012) 120:2269–79. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-05-430470
15. Palakodeti A, Sandstrom A, Sundaresan L, Harly C, Nedellec S, Olive D, et al. The molecular basis for modulation of human Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell responses by CD277/butyrophilin-3 (BTN3A)-specific antibodies. *J Biol Chem* (2012) 287:32780–90. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.384354
16. Wang H, Morita CT. Sensor function for butyrophilin 3A1 in prenyl pyrophosphate stimulation of human Vgamma2Vdelta2 T cells. *J Immunol* (2015) 195:4583–94. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1500314
17. Vantourout P, Laing A, Woodward MJ, Zlatareva I, Apolonia L, Jones AW, et al. Heteromeric interactions regulate butyrophilin (BTN) and BTN-like molecules governing gammadelta T cell biology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2018) 115:1039–44. doi:10.1073/pnas.1701237115
18. Gu S, Borowska MT, Boughter CT, Adams EJ. Butyrophilin3A proteins and Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell activation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* (2018). doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2018.02.007
19. Salim M, Knowles TJ, Baker AT, Davey MS, Jeeves M, Sridhar P, et al. BTN3A1 discriminates gammadelta T cell phosphoantigens from nonantigenic small molecules via a conformational sensor in its B30.2 domain. *ACS Chem Biol* (2017) 12:2631–43. doi:10.1021/acschembio.7b00694
20. Gu S, Sachleben JR, Boughter CT, Nawrocka WI, Borowska MT, Tarrasch JT, et al. Phosphoantigen-induced conformational change of butyrophilin 3A1 (BTN3A1) and its implication on Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2017) 114:E7311–20. doi:10.1073/pnas.1707547114
21. O'Brien RL, Happ MP, Dallas A, Palmer E, Kubo R, Born WK. Stimulation of a major subset of lymphocytes expressing T cell receptor gamma delta by an antigen derived from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Cell* (1989) 57:667–74. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90135-9
22. Band H, Panchamoorthy G, McLean J, Morita CT, Ishikawa S, Modlin R, et al. Recognition of mycobacterial antigens by gamma delta T cells. *Res Immunol* (1990) 141:645–51. doi:10.1016/0923-2494(90)90075-A
23. Kabelitz D, Bender A, Schondelmaier S, Schoel B, Kaufmann SH. A large fraction of human peripheral blood gamma/delta + T cells is activated by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* but not by its 65-kD heat shock protein. *J Exp Med* (1990) 171:667–79. doi:10.1084/jem.171.3.667
24. Deetz CO, Hebbeler AM, Propp NA, Cairo C, Tikhonov I, Pauza CD. Gamma interferon secretion by human Vgamma2Vdelta2 T cells after stimulation with antibody against the T-cell receptor plus the Toll-Like receptor 2 agonist Pam3Cys. *Infect Immun* (2006) 74:4505–11. doi:10.1128/IAI.00088-06
25. Favier B, Espinosa E, Tabiasco J, Dos Santos C, Bonneville M, Valitutti S, et al. Uncoupling between immunological synapse formation and functional outcome in human gamma delta T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* (2003) 171:5027–33. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.171.10.5027
26. Poccia F, Cipriani B, Vendetti S, Colizzi V, Poquet Y, Battistini L, et al. CD94/ NKG2 inhibitory receptor complex modulates both anti-viral and anti-tumoral responses of polyclonal phosphoantigen-reactive V gamma 9V delta 2 T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* (1997) 159:6009–17.
27. Thedrez A, Harly C, Morice A, Salot S, Bonneville M, Scotet E. IL-21-mediated potentiation of antitumor cytolytic and proinflammatory responses of human V gamma 9V delta 2 T cells for adoptive immunotherapy. *J Immunol* (2009) 182:3423–31. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0803068
28. Angelini DF, Borsellino G, Poupot M, Diamantini A, Poupot R, Bernardi G, et al. FcgammaRIII discriminates between 2 subsets of Vgamma9Vdelta2 effector cells with different responses and activation pathways. *Blood* (2004) 104:1801–7. doi:10.1182/blood-2004-01-0331
29. Alexandroff AB, Jackson AM, O'Donnell MA, James K. BCG immunotherapy of bladder cancer: 20 years on. *Lancet* (1999) 353:1689–94. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(98)07422-4
30. Constant P, Poquet Y, Peyrat MA, Davodeau F, Bonneville M, Fournie JJ. The antituberculous *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccine is an attenuated mycobacterial producer of phosphorylated nonpeptidic antigens for human gamma delta T cells. *Infect Immun* (1995) 63:4628–33.
31. Tanaka Y, Iwasaki M, Murata-Hirai K, Matsumoto K, Hayashi K, Okamura H, et al. Anti-tumor activity and immunotherapeutic potential of a bisphosphonate prodrug. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:5987. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05553-0
32. Alexandroff A, Black J, Bollina P, James K. Differential production of gamma delta T cells in the urine of bladder cancer patients receiving bacillus Calmette Guerin immunotherapy. *Int J Oncol* (1997) 10:387–93.
33. Honda S, Sakamoto Y, Fujime M, Kitagawa R. Immunohistochemical study of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes before and after intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin treatment for superficial bladder cancer. *Int J Urol* (1997) 4:68–73. doi:10.1111/j.1442-2042.1997.tb00143.x
34. Correia DV, Fogli M, Hudspeth K, da Silva MG, Mavilio D, Silva-Santos B. Differentiation of human peripheral blood Vdelta1+ T cells expressing the natural cytotoxicity receptor NKp30 for recognition of lymphoid leukemia cells. *Blood* (2011) 118:992–1001. doi:10.1182/blood-2011-02-339135
35. Meeh PF, King M, O'Brien RL, Muga S, Buckhalts P, Neuberger R, et al. Characterization of the gammadelta T cell response to acute leukemia. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2006) 55:1072–80. doi:10.1007/s00262-005-0094-6
36. Maeurer MJ, Martin D, Walter W, Liu K, Zitvogel L, Halusczyk K, et al. Human intestinal Vdelta1+ lymphocytes recognize tumor cells of epithelial origin. *J Exp Med* (1996) 183:1681–96. doi:10.1084/jem.183.4.1681
37. Groh V, Steinle A, Bauer S, Spies T. Recognition of stress-induced MHC molecules by intestinal epithelial gammadelta T cells. *Science* (1998) 279:1737–40. doi:10.1126/science.279.5357.1737
38. Nishimura H, Yajima T, Kagimoto Y, Ohata M, Watase T, Kishihara K, et al. Intraepithelial gammadelta T cells may bridge a gap between innate immunity and acquired immunity to herpes simplex virus type 2. *J Virol* (2004) 78:4927–30. doi:10.1128/JVI.78.9.4927-4930.2004
39. Deniger DC, Maiti SN, Mi T, Switzer KC, Ramachandran V, Hurton LV, et al. Activating and propagating polyclonal gamma delta T cells with broad specificity for malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res* (2014) 20:5708–19. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3451
40. Wu D, Wu P, Wu X, Ye J, Wang Z, Zhao S, et al. Ex vivo expanded human circulating Vdelta1 gammadelta T cells exhibit favorable therapeutic potential

- for colon cancer. *Oncoimmunology* (2015) 4:e992749. doi:10.4161/2162402X.2014.992749
41. Kimura Y, Nagai N, Tsunekawa N, Sato-Matsushita M, Yoshimoto T, Cua DJ, et al. IL-17A-producing CD30(+) Vdelta1 T cells drive inflammation-induced cancer progression. *Cancer Sci* (2016) 107:1206–14. doi:10.1111/cas.13005
 42. Dechanet J, Merville P, Lim A, Retiere C, Pitard V, Lafarge X, et al. Implication of gammadelta T cells in the human immune response to cytomegalovirus. *J Clin Invest* (1999) 103:1437–49. doi:10.1172/JCI5409
 43. Knight A, Madrigal AJ, Grace S, Sivakumaran J, Kottaridis P, Mackinnon S, et al. The role of Vdelta2-negative gammadelta T cells during cytomegalovirus reactivation in recipients of allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood* (2010) 116:2164–72. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-01-255166
 44. Halary F, Pitard V, Dlubek D, Krzysiek R, de la Salle H, Merville P, et al. Shared reactivity of V{delta}2(neg) {gamma}{delta} T cells against cytomegalovirus-infected cells and tumor intestinal epithelial cells. *J Exp Med* (2005) 201:1567–78. doi:10.1084/jem.20041851
 45. Fujishima N, Hirokawa M, Fujishima M, Yamashita J, Saitoh H, Ichikawa Y, et al. Skewed T cell receptor repertoire of Vdelta1(+) gammadelta T lymphocytes after human allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and the potential role for Epstein-Barr virus-infected B cells in clonal restriction. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2007) 149:70–9. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2249.2007.03388.x
 46. Futagibi G, Welbeck JE, Tetteh JK, Hviid L, Akanmori BD. Selective activation of TCR-gammadelta+ cells in endemic Burkitt's lymphoma. *Malar J* (2007) 6:69. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-6-69
 47. Rei M, Pennington DJ, Silva-Santos B. The emerging protumor role of gammadelta T lymphocytes: implications for cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Res* (2015) 75:798–802. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-3228
 48. Fournie JJ, Sicard H, Poupot M, Bezombes C, Blanc A, Romagne F, et al. What lessons can be learned from gammadelta T cell-based cancer immunotherapy trials? *Cell Mol Immunol* (2013) 10:35–41. doi:10.1038/cmi.2012.39
 49. Fisher JP, Heuvelink J, Yan M, Gustafsson K, Anderson J. gammadelta T cells for cancer immunotherapy: a systematic review of clinical trials. *Oncoimmunology* (2014) 3:e27572. doi:10.4161/onci.27572
 50. Fleming C, Morrissey S, Cai Y, Yan J. gammadelta T Cells: unexpected regulators of cancer development and progression. *Trends Cancer* (2017) 3:561–70. doi:10.1016/j.trecan.2017.06.003
 51. Lo Presti E, Pizzoloto G, Gulotta E, Cocorullo G, Gulotta G, Dieli F, et al. Current advances in gammadelta T cell-based tumor immunotherapy. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1401. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01401
 52. Spranger S. Mechanisms of tumor escape in the context of the T-cell-inflamed and the non-T-cell-inflamed tumor microenvironment. *Int Immunol* (2016) 28:383–91. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxw014
 53. Kudo M. Immuno-oncology in hepatocellular carcinoma: 2017 update. *Oncology* (2017) 93(Suppl 1):147–59. doi:10.1159/000481245
 54. Shayan G, Srivastava R, Li J, Schmitt N, Kane LP, Ferris RL. Adaptive resistance to anti-PD1 therapy by Tim-3 upregulation is mediated by the PI3K-Akt pathway in head and neck cancer. *Oncoimmunology* (2017) 6:e1261779. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2016.1261779
 55. Iwasaki M, Tanaka Y, Kobayashi H, Murata-Hirai K, Miyabe H, Sugie T, et al. Expression and function of PD-1 in human gammadelta T cells that recognize phosphoantigens. *Eur J Immunol* (2011) 41:345–55. doi:10.1002/eji.201040959
 56. Zumwalde NA, Sharma A, Xu X, Ma S, Schneider CL, Romero-Masters JC, et al. Adoptively transferred Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells show potent antitumor effects in a preclinical B cell lymphomagenesis model. *JCI Insight* (2017) 2. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.93179
 57. Ryan PL, Sumaria N, Holland CJ, Bradford CM, Izotova N, Grandjean CL, et al. Heterogeneous yet stable Vdelta2(+) T-cell profiles define distinct cytotoxic effector potentials in healthy human individuals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2016) 113:14378–83. doi:10.1073/pnas.1611098113
 58. Brenchley JM, Karandikar NJ, Betts MR, Ambrozak DR, Hill BJ, Crotty LE, et al. Expression of CD57 defines replicative senescence and antigen-induced apoptotic death of CD8+ T cells. *Blood* (2003) 101:2711–20. doi:10.1182/blood-2002-07-2103
 59. Zelle-Rieser C, Thangavadeivel S, Biedermann R, Brunner A, Stoitzner P, Willenbacher E, et al. T cells in multiple myeloma display features of exhaustion and senescence at the tumor site. *J Hematol Oncol* (2016) 9:116. doi:10.1186/s13045-016-0345-3
 60. Alshekaili J, Chand R, Lee CE, Corley S, Kwong K, Papa I, et al. STAT3 regulates cytotoxicity of human CD57+ CD4+ T cells in blood and lymphoid follicles. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8:3529. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21389-8
 61. Kared H, Martelli S, Ng TP, Pender SL, Larbi A. CD57 in human natural killer cells and T-lymphocytes. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2016) 65:441–52. doi:10.1007/s00262-016-1803-z
 62. Paul S, Lal G. Regulatory and effector functions of gamma-delta (gammadelta) T cells and their therapeutic potential in adoptive cellular therapy for cancer. *Int J Cancer* (2016) 139:976–85. doi:10.1002/ijc.30109
 63. Wu D, Wu P, Qiu F, Wei Q, Huang J. Human gammadeltaT-cell subsets and their involvement in tumor immunity. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2017) 14:245–53. doi:10.1038/cmi.2016.55
 64. Wesch D, Peters C, Siegers GM. Human gamma delta T regulatory cells in cancer: fact or fiction? *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:598. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00598
 65. Zou C, Zhao P, Xiao Z, Han X, Fu F, Fu L. gammadelta T cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8:8900–9. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.13051
 66. Zhao Y, Niu C, Cui J. Gamma-delta (gammadelta) T cells: friend or foe in cancer development? *J Transl Med* (2018) 16:3. doi:10.1186/s12967-018-1491-x
 67. Rong L, Li K, Li R, Liu HM, Sun R, Liu XY. Analysis of tumor-infiltrating gamma delta T cells in rectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* (2016) 22:3573–80. doi:10.3748/wjg.v22.i13.3573
 68. Wistuba-Hamprecht K, Martens A, Haehnel K, Geukes Foppen M, Yuan J, Postow MA, et al. Proportions of blood-borne Vdelta1+ and Vdelta2+ T-cells are associated with overall survival of melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab. *Eur J Cancer* (2016) 64:116–26. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2016.06.001
 69. Pauza CD, Poonia B, Li H, Cairo C, Chaudhry S. gammadelta T cells in HIV disease: past, present, and future. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:687. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00687
 70. Li H, Pauza CD. Rapamycin increases the yield and effector function of human gammadelta T cells stimulated in vitro. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2011) 60:361–70. doi:10.1007/s00262-010-0945-7
 71. Murday AS, Chaudhry S, Pauza CD. Interleukin-18 activates Vgamma9Vdelta2(+) T cells from HIV-positive individuals: recovering the response to phosphoantigen. *Immunology* (2017) 151:385–94. doi:10.1111/imm.12735
 72. Fenoglio D, Poggi A, Catellani S, Battaglia F, Ferrera A, Setti M, et al. Vdelta1 T lymphocytes producing IFN-gamma and IL-17 are expanded in HIV-1-infected patients and respond to *Candida albicans*. *Blood* (2009) 113:6611–8. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-01-198028
 73. Harris LD, Klatt NR, Vinton C, Briant JA, Tabb B, Ladell K, et al. Mechanisms underlying gammadelta T-cell subset perturbations in SIV-infected Asian rhesus macaques. *Blood* (2010) 116:4148–57. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-05-283549
 74. Grulich AE, van Leeuwen MT, Falster MO, Vajdic CM. Incidence of cancers in people with HIV/AIDS compared with immunosuppressed transplant recipients: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* (2007) 370:59–67. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61050-2
 75. Park LS, Tate JP, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Rimland D, Goetz MB, Gibert C, et al. Cancer incidence in HIV-infected versus uninfected veterans: comparison of cancer registry and ICD-9 code diagnoses. *J AIDS Clin Res* (2014) 5:1000318. doi:10.4172/2155-6113.1000318
 76. Wu P, Wu D, Ni C, Ye J, Chen W, Hu G, et al. gammadeltaT17 cells promote the accumulation and expansion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in human colorectal cancer. *Immunity* (2014) 40:785–800. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.03.013
 77. Freedman MS, D'Souza S, Antel JP. gamma delta T-cell-human glial cell interactions. I. In vitro induction of gammadelta T-cell expansion by human glial cells. *J Neuroimmunol* (1997) 74:135–42. doi:10.1016/S0165-5728(96)00217-2
 78. Lopez-Delgado L, Riancho-Zarrabeitia L, Riancho JA. Genetic and acquired factors influencing the effectiveness and toxicity of drug therapy in osteoporosis. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* (2016) 12:389–98. doi:10.1517/17425255.2016.1154533
 79. Perez-Castrillon JL, Zarrabeitia MT, Abad L, Vega G, Ruiz-Mambrilla M, Gonzalez-Sagredo M, et al. Polymorphisms of the farnesyl diphosphate synthase gene modulate bone changes in response to atorvastatin. *Rheumatol Int* (2014) 34:1073–7. doi:10.1007/s00296-013-2914-x

80. Maniar A, Zhang X, Lin W, Gastman BR, Pauza CD, Strome SE, et al. Human gammadelta T lymphocytes induce robust NK cell-mediated antitumor cytotoxicity through CD137 engagement. *Blood* (2010) 116:1726–33. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-07-234211
81. Cairo C, Surendran N, Harris KM, Mazan-Mamczarz K, Sakoda Y, Diaz-Mendez F, et al. Vgamma2Vdelta2 T cell costimulation increases NK cell killing of monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *Immunology* (2014) 144: 422–430. doi:10.1111/imm.12386
82. Ferlazzo G, Morandi B. Cross-talks between natural killer cells and distinct subsets of dendritic cells. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:159. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00159
83. Ferlazzo G, Moretta L. Dendritic cell editing by natural killer cells. *Crit Rev Oncog* (2014) 19:67–75. doi:10.1615/CritRevOncog.2014010827
84. Devilder MC, Maillet S, Bouyge-Moreau I, Donnadieu E, Bonneville M, Scotet E. Potentiation of antigen-stimulated V gamma 9V delta 2 T cell cytokine production by immature dendritic cells (DC) and reciprocal effect on DC maturation. *J Immunol* (2006) 176:1386–93. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.176.3.1386
85. Moretta L, Ferlazzo G, Bottino C, Vitale M, Pende D, Mingari MC, et al. Effector and regulatory events during natural killer-dendritic cell interactions. *Immunol Rev* (2006) 214:219–28. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065X.2006.00450.x
86. Morvan MG, Champsaur M, Reizis B, Lanier LL. Chronic in vivo interaction of dendritic cells expressing the ligand Rae-1epsilon with NK cells impacts NKG2D expression and function. *ImmunoHorizons* (2017) 1:10–9. doi:10.4049/immunohorizons.1700004
87. Ni M, Martire D, Scotet E, Bonneville M, Sanchez F, Lafont V. Full restoration of *Brucella*-infected dendritic cell functionality through Vgamma9Vdelta2 T helper type 1 crosstalk. *PLoS One* (2012) 7:e43613. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043613
88. Malkovska V, Cigel FK, Armstrong N, Storer BE, Hong R. Antilymphoma activity of human gamma delta T-cells in mice with severe combined immune deficiency. *Cancer Res* (1992) 52:5610–6.
89. Santolaria T, Robard M, Leger A, Catros V, Bonneville M, Scotet E. Repeated systemic administrations of both aminobisphosphonates and human Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells efficiently control tumor development in vivo. *J Immunol* (2013) 191:1993–2000. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1300255
90. Lozupone F, Pende D, Burgio VL, Castelli C, Spada M, Venditti M, et al. Effect of human natural killer and gammadelta T cells on the growth of human autologous melanoma xenografts in SCID mice. *Cancer Res* (2004) 64:378–85. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-1501
91. Beck BH, Kim HG, Kim H, Samuel S, Liu Z, Shrestha R, et al. Adoptively transferred ex vivo expanded gammadelta-T cells mediate in vivo antitumor activity in preclinical mouse models of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2010) 122:135–44. doi:10.1007/s10549-009-0527-6
92. Sasaki A, Boyce BF, Story B, Wright KR, Chapman M, Boyce R, et al. Bisphosphonate risedronate reduces metastatic human breast cancer burden in bone in nude mice. *Cancer Res* (1995) 55:3551–7.
93. Parente-Pereira AC, Shmeeda H, Whilding LM, Zambirinis CP, Foster J, van der Stegen SJ, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy of epithelial ovarian cancer with Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells, potentiated by liposomal alendronate. *J Immunol* (2014) 193:5557–66. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1402200
94. Duault C, Betous D, Bezombes C, Roga S, Cayrol C, Girard JP, et al. IL-33-expanded human Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells have anti-lymphoma effect in a mouse tumor model. *Eur J Immunol* (2017) 47:2137–41. doi:10.1002/eji.201747093
95. Street SE, Hayakawa Y, Zhan Y, Lew AM, MacGregor D, Jamieson AM, et al. Innate immune surveillance of spontaneous B cell lymphomas by natural killer cells and gammadelta T cells. *J Exp Med* (2004) 199:879–84. doi:10.1084/jem.20031981
96. Ashihara E, Munaka T, Kimura S, Nakagawa S, Nakagawa Y, Kanai M, et al. Isopentenyl pyrophosphate secreted from zoledronate-stimulated myeloma cells, activates the chemotaxis of gammadeltaT cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2015) 463:650–5. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.05.118
97. Wilhelm M, Kunzmann V, Eckstein S, Reimer P, Weissinger F, Ruediger T, et al. Gammadelta T cells for immune therapy of patients with lymphoid malignancies. *Blood* (2003) 102:200–6. doi:10.1182/blood-2002-12-3665
98. Hartmann J, Schussler-Lenz M, Bondanza A, Buchholz CJ. Clinical development of CAR T cells-challenges and opportunities in translating innovative treatment concepts. *EMBO Mol Med* (2017) 9:1183–97. doi:10.15252/emmm.201607485
99. To WC, Wood BG, Krauss JC, Strome M, Esclamado RM, Lavertu P, et al. Systemic adoptive T-cell immunotherapy in recurrent and metastatic carcinoma of the head and neck: a phase I study. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* (2000) 126:1225–31. doi:10.1001/archotol.126.10.1225
100. Bennouna J, Bompas E, Neidhardt EM, Rolland F, Philip I, Galea C, et al. Phase-I study of Innacell gammadelta, an autologous cell-therapy product highly enriched in gamma9delta2 T lymphocytes, in combination with IL-2, in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2008) 57:1599–609. doi:10.1007/s00262-008-0491-8
101. Lang JM, Kaikobad MR, Wallace M, Staab MJ, Horvath DL, Wilding G, et al. Pilot trial of interleukin-2 and zoledronic acid to augment gammadelta T cells as treatment for patients with refractory renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2011) 60:1447–60. doi:10.1007/s00262-011-1049-8
102. Dieli F, Vermijlen D, Fulfaro F, Caccamo N, Meraviglia S, Cicero G, et al. Targeting human {gamma}delta T cells with zoledronate and interleukin-2 for immunotherapy of hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67:7450–7. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0199
103. Otto M, Barfield RC, Martin WJ, Iyengar R, Leung W, Leimig T, et al. Combination immunotherapy with clinical-scale enriched human gamma-delta T cells, hu14.18 antibody, and the immunocytokine Fc-IL7 in disseminated neuroblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res* (2005) 11:8486–91. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-1184
104. Meraviglia S, Eberl M, Vermijlen D, Todaro M, Buccheri S, Cicero G, et al. In vivo manipulation of Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells with zoledronate and low-dose interleukin-2 for immunotherapy of advanced breast cancer patients. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2010) 161:290–7. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2249.2010.04167.x
105. Kakimi K, Matsushita H, Murakawa T, Nakajima J. gammadelta T cell therapy for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* (2014) 3:23–33. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2218-6751.2013.11.01
106. Kang N, Zhou J, Zhang T, Wang L, Lu F, Cui Y, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy of lung cancer with immobilized anti-TCRgammadelta antibody-expanded human gammadelta T-cells in peripheral blood. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2009) 8:1540–9. doi:10.4161/cbt.8.16.8950
107. Bonneville M, O'Brien RL, Born WK. Gammadelta T cell effector functions: a blend of innate programming and acquired plasticity. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2010) 10:467–78. doi:10.1038/nri2781
108. Meraviglia S, Caccamo N, Guggino G, Tolomeo M, Siragusa S, Stassi G, et al. Optimizing tumor-reactive gammadelta T cells for antibody-based cancer immunotherapy. *Curr Mol Med* (2010) 10:719–26. doi:10.2174/156652410793384150
109. Jarry U, Chauvin C, Joalland N, Leger A, Minault S, Robard M, et al. Stereotoxic administrations of allogeneic human Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells efficiently control the development of human glioblastoma brain tumors. *Oncimmunology* (2016) 5:e1168554. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2016.1168554
110. Lin JH, Russell G, Gertz B. Pharmacokinetics of alendronate: an overview. *Int J Clin Pract Suppl* (1999) 101:18–26.
111. Dhodapkar MV, Singh J, Mehta J, Fassas A, Desikan KR, Perlman M, et al. Anti-myeloma activity of pamidronate in vivo. *Br J Haematol* (1998) 103:530–2. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2141.1998.00976.x
112. Notarnicola M, Messa C, Cavallini A, Bifulco M, Tecce MF, Eletto D, et al. Higher farnesyl diphosphate synthase activity in human colorectal cancer inhibition of cellular apoptosis. *Oncology* (2004) 67:351–8. doi:10.1159/000082918
113. Ory B, Moriceau G, Trichet V, Blanchard F, Berreur M, Redini F, et al. Farnesyl diphosphate synthase is involved in the resistance to zoledronic acid of osteosarcoma cells. *J Cell Mol Med* (2008) 12:928–41. doi:10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00141.x
114. Li J, Herold MJ, Kimmel B, Muller I, Rincon-Orozco B, Kunzmann V, et al. Reduced expression of the mevalonate pathway enzyme farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase unveils recognition of tumor cells by Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells. *J Immunol* (2009) 182:8118–24. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0900101
115. Marabelle A, Tselikas L, de Baere T, Houot R. Intratumoral immunotherapy: using the tumor as the remedy. *Ann Oncol* (2017) 28:xii33–43. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdx683
116. Kolstad A, Kumari S, Walczak M, Madsbu U, Hagtvedt T, Bogsrud TV, et al. Sequential intranodal immunotherapy induces antitumor immunity and correlated regression of disseminated follicular lymphoma. *Blood* (2015) 125:82–9. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-07-592162

117. Castro JE, Melo-Cardenas J, Urquiza M, Barajas-Gamboa JS, Pakbaz RS, Kipps TJ. Gene immunotherapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a phase I study of intranodally injected adenovirus expressing a chimeric CD154 molecule. *Cancer Res* (2012) 72:2937–48. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3368

Conflict of Interest Statement: CP, M-LL, TL, LX, and HL are full time employees of American Gene Technologies Intl. (AGT), Inc. in Rockville, MD. AGT has early stage research and development programs in gamma delta T cell immunotherapy for cancer focusing on the use of lentiviral vectors for manipulating tumor cells. AGT has received a patent on viral vector modification of cancer cells for activating Vg9Vd2 T cells (US 9,834,790) and is supported by private investment related partly to the immuno-oncology program. CC, PhD and RL, PhD are full time employees of the University of Maryland School of Medicine. CC is independently funded (NIH/NIAID) for research on pediatric gamma delta T cells in infectious disease.

RL is Director of Translational Sciences in the Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Maryland School of Medicine. She is funded through a grant from AGT to perform cancer therapy studies in mouse models. RL receives no personal remuneration from AGT including no personal fees and no stock grants. She does not share in intellectual property of the company and is a co-author of this review because of her deep understanding of animal models for cancer therapy.

Copyright © 2018 Pauza, Liou, Lahusen, Xiao, Lapidus, Cairo and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.