



Published in final edited form as:

J Head Neck Spine Surg. 2017 ; 1(4): . doi:10.19080/JHNSS.2017.01.555569.

Intervertebral Disc Aging, Degeneration, and Associated Potential Molecular Mechanisms

Qiuqian Wu and Jason H Huang

Department of Neurosurgery, Baylor Scott and White Health, 5701 Airport Road, Temple, TX 76502, Phone: 254-724-3757 Fax: 254-215-9601

Abstract

Intervertebral disc degeneration is a major cause of neck and back pain, a very common clinical problem. However, no effective treatment is available, which is largely due to the lack of understanding of molecular mechanisms underlying disc degeneration. Here, we briefly described the process of intervertebral disc aging and degeneration and summarized major findings in molecular signaling pathways implicated in disc aging and degeneration.

Keywords

Intervertebral disc degeneration; aging; senescence; neck and back pain

An intervertebral disc consists of an annulus fibrosus ring, a nucleus pulposus core, and two cartilaginous superior and inferior endplates. The outer annulus is made up of highly ordered collagen lamellae in which type I collagen fibers are aligned with elongated fibroblasts (1,2). Relative to the outer annulus, the inner annulus is more like cartilage, containing spherical chondrocyte-like cells, and greater amount of type II collagen and proteoglycans (3). The central nucleus, a highly hydrated gelatinous tissue, is predominantly composed of proteoglycans produced by large notochordal cells (4). The annulus, the nucleus, and the endplates are interconnected to form the most important part of the motion segment of the spine, allowing the intervertebral disc to function as a shock absorber and to resist tensile and torsional forces. Human disc degeneration starts during childhood. As notochordal cells diminish rapidly after birth and are gradually replaced by much smaller chondrocytes, the nucleus becomes dehydrated and cartilage-like by adulthood (5). In the early stage of disc degeneration, clefts and tears occur in the nucleus and the inner annulus, and chondrocyte-like cells in the inner annulus proliferate (cloning) and produce matrix in the vicinity of the structural defects (6). However, the regenerated tissue cannot withstand the daily loading of the spine, leading to structural defect progression. As disc degeneration advances, clefts/tears extend into the outer annulus, and are filled with granular material; fibroblasts in the outer annulus differentiate into chondrocyte-like cells, and deposit matrix; chondrocyte-like cells in the inner annulus and endplates form large clones and migrate into the nucleus (6,7). In the late stage of disc degeneration, collagen content and cross linking increase throughout

the disc; the distinction between the anatomic regions is no longer possible; and the entire disc becomes fibrotic and scar-like (6,8).

As described above, disc degeneration is an age-related process. Thus, it is difficult to distinguish the physiologic process of disc aging from that of disc degeneration. In general, when a disc with structural failure is combined with accelerated or advanced signs of aging, it is considered to be a degenerate disc (8,9). Given that the process of disc aging is affected by many risk factors such as genetic inheritance, excessive mechanical loading, obesity, trauma, nutrition, smoking, and inflammation, as well as catabolic cytokines and proteases, disc degeneration occurs in every population worldwide (7). It affects almost all individuals by sixth and seventh decade of life. As disc degeneration is a major cause of neck and back pain, a leading cause of disability in people aged less than 45 years, an effective treatment is required (10,11). Currently, this disease is firstly treated with conservative measures for pain relief. If pain persists, surgical therapies include decompression, spinal fusion and disc replacement will be performed. However, all these treatment methods are not curative because none of them can prevent, reverse or slow down the process of disc generation. The lack of drugs that can effectively treat the neck and back pain patients beyond pain relief is largely due to the lack of understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying disc degeneration.

Senescent cell accumulation in discs plays a central role in disc aging and degeneration, because most risk factors are senescence-inducing stresses and some are consequences of senescent cells (12,13). Senescent cells cease proliferation, but remain metabolically active and exhibit altered gene expression (14). Since in human adult discs, blood vessels are normally restricted to the outmost layers of the annulus, and the inner annulus and entire nucleus are avascular tissue, disc cells resident in these regions experience a limited nutrition supply, hypoxia, anaerobic metabolism, and associated increase in acidity. Accumulating evidence supports the view that disc cells can tolerate this condition, otherwise the cells die or become senescent. For example, when rat or bovine disc cells were cultured at low oxygen (0-5% O₂) levels, the cells were viable, underwent proliferation and produced significant amount of proteoglycans, whereas the normoxia (20-21% O₂) level caused decreased cell survival rate, reduced proteoglycan synthesis, and enhanced expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (15,16). Disc cells are more sensitive to the concentrations of nutrients than O₂. Bovine disc cells would die or underwent senescence without glucose, but enhanced proliferation and matrix synthesis in low glucose cultures (15,17). However, if the cells were cultured under high glucose, a glucose-mediated oxidative stress was generated and induced senescence (18). Although permeability and metabolite transport decrease in an aging disc due to low water content in the nucleus and fibrotic feature of entire disc, they increase again when the aging disc is herniated or injured due to trauma or repetitive over-loading (19), which presumably leads to an aberrant increase in concentrations of nutrients in the microenvironment adjacent to the structural defects, because cell cloning, senescent cells, and structural defect extension are frequently detected in the areas adjacent to structural defects (20-22; 9). These phenotypic changes imply a correlation between cell proliferation, cell senescence, and matrix breakdown during disc degeneration progression. Consistently, senescent cell number in human degenerative

discs increases with advancing disc degenerative grade and positively correlates with the expression levels of matrix-degrading enzyme MMP-13 and aggrecanase ADAMTS-5 (23).

Cell senescence transition in human discs is most likely induced via p53-p21-Rb pathway. Several lines of evidence suggest that with advancing disc degenerative grade, senescent cell number is increased, telomere length is shortened, and p53-p21-Rb pathway is actively maintained (24,21,23). When disc cells were cultured *in vitro*, p16-Rb pathway was activated once the cells entered senescence program (25). Although the risk factors for disc degeneration such as excessive loading, trauma, nutrition, and smoking, etc. often induce acute senescence transition in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models via p16-Rb pathway (26,18,15,16), they may exert an effect individually or cumulatively on disc cells in human beings via affecting the telomere-shortening-rate.

Smad ubiquitin regulatory factor (Smurf) 2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, was highly detected in human degenerated articular cartilage, and overexpression of Smurf2 under the control of type II collagen alpha 1 promoter (*Col2a1*) induces osteoarthritis in *Col2a1-Smurf2* transgenic mice (27). We have recently shown that *Col2a1-Smurf2* transgenic mice also exhibit accelerated age-related intervertebral disc degeneration (9). During development of the disc degeneration in these transgenic mice, many phenotypic changes such as fibroblast-to-chondrocyte differentiation, chondrocyte-like cell cloning, migration, and fibrosis, were similar to those occurring in humans and reflected connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) function during wound healing and scleroderma (28). Indeed, CTGF expression and secretion is increased in the chondrocyte-like cells that are prone to degenerate in *Col2a1-Smurf2* transgenic mouse discs, indicating that Smurf2-mediated disc degeneration is via upregulation of CTGF (9). Because discs possess a limited ability to repair when they are disrupted, tears/clefts in discs are never healed and could cause a persistence of CTGF expression by the cells adjacent to the structural defects due to continuous production and release of TGF- β , an inducer of CTGF expression, by these cells as a cellular response to repetitive excessive deformation of disrupted matrix (29,8,30) (Wu et al., unpublished data). Notably, TGF- β induces Smurf2 expression in chondrocytes *in vitro* (31). Thus, it is possible that in an aging disc, TGF- β activity is increased in the microenvironment adjacent to structural defects, activates Smurf2 gene expression by the local cells. Smurf2, in turn, induces disc degeneration via upregulation of CTGF.

While Smurf2 was originally found to be an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which targets the TGF- β receptor and receptor-regulated Smads for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (32,33), it was reported to induce cell senescence in cultured proliferating fibroblasts via activation of p53 pathway (34). As the senescence associated secretory phenotype accompanies disc aging and degeneration, we are testing a hypothesis that in *Col2a1-Smurf2* transgenic mice, the disc chondrocyte-like cells that overexpress Smurf2 could become senescent, and secrete CTGF, leading to disc degeneration and progression.

Acknowledgments

This manuscript was supported by NIH/NINDS RO1 NS067435 (JH).

References

1. Postacchini F, Bellocchi M, Massobrio M. Morphologic changes in annulus fibrosus during aging. An ultrastructural study in rats. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1984; 9(6):596–603. [PubMed: 6495029]
2. Hayes AJ, Benjamin M, Ralphs JR. Role of actin stress fibres in the development of the intervertebral disc: cytoskeletal control of extracellular matrix assembly. *Dev Dyn*. 1999; 215(3): 179–89. [PubMed: 10398529]
3. Bruehlmann SB, Rattner JB, Matyas JR, Duncan NA. Regional variations in the cellular matrix of the annulus fibrosus of the intervertebral disc. *J Anat*. 2002; 201(2):159–71. [PubMed: 12220124]
4. Oegema TR Jr. The role of disc cell heterogeneity in determining disc biochemistry: a speculation. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2002; 30(Pt 6):839–44. [PubMed: 12440929]
5. Alini M, Eisenstein SM, Ito K, Little C, Kettler AA, et al. Are animal models useful for studying human disc disorders/degeneration? *Eur Spine J*. 2008; 17(1):2–19. [PubMed: 17632738]
6. Boos N, Weissbach S, Rohrbach H, Weiler C, Spratt KF, et al. Classification of age-related changes in lumbar intervertebral discs: 2002 Volvo Award in basic science. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002; 27(23):2631–44. [PubMed: 12461389]
7. Chan WC, Sze KL, Samartzis D, Leung VY, Chan D. Structure and biology of the intervertebral disk in health and disease. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 2011; 42(4):447–64. [PubMed: 21944583]
8. Adams MA, Roughley PJ. What is intervertebral disc degeneration, and what causes it? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2006; 31(18):2151–61. [PubMed: 16915105]
9. Wu Q, Huang JH. Ectopic expression of Smurf2 and acceleration of age-related intervertebral disc degeneration in a mouse model. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2017:1–11.
10. Cheung KM, Karppinen J, Chan D, Ho DW, Song YQ, et al. Prevalence and pattern of lumbar magnetic resonance imaging changes in a population study of one thousand forty-three individuals. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009; 34(9):934–40. [PubMed: 19532001]
11. Takatalo J, Karppinen J, Niinimäki J, Taimela S, Näyhä S, et al. Does lumbar disc degeneration on magnetic resonance imaging associate with low back symptom severity in young Finnish adults? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011; 36(25):2180–9. [PubMed: 21358475]
12. Wang F, Cai F, Shi R, Wang XH, Wu XT. Aging and age related stresses: a senescence mechanism of intervertebral disc degeneration. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2016; 24(3):398–408. [PubMed: 26455958]
13. Feng C, Liu H, Yang M, Zhang Y, Huang B, et al. Disc cell senescence in intervertebral disc degeneration: causes and molecular pathways. *Cell Cycle*. 2016; 15(13):1674–84. [PubMed: 27192096]
14. Acosta JC, O’Loughlen A, Banito A, Guizarro MV, Augert A, et al. Chemokine signaling via the CXCR2 receptor reinforces senescence. *Cell*. 2008; 133(6):1006–18. [PubMed: 18555777]
15. Horner HA, Urban JP. 2001 Volvo Award Winner in Basic Science Studies: Effect of nutrient supply on the viability of cells from the nucleus pulposus of the intervertebral disc. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2001; 26(23):2543–9. [PubMed: 11725234]
16. Nasto LA, Robinson AR, Ngo K, Clauson CL, Dong Q, et al. Mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a causal role in aging-related intervertebral disc degeneration. *J Orthop Res*. 2013; 31(7):1150–7. [PubMed: 23389888]
17. Johnson WE, Eisenstein SM, Roberts S. Cell cluster formation in degenerate lumbar intervertebral discs is associated with increased disc cell proliferation. *Connect Tissue Res*. 2001; 42(3):197–207. [PubMed: 11913491]
18. Park JS, Park JB, Park IJ, Park EY. Accelerated premature stress-induced senescence of young annulus fibrosus cells of rats by high glucose-induced oxidative stress. *Int Orthop*. 2014; 38(6): 1311–20. [PubMed: 24535573]
19. Rajasekaran S, Babu JN, Arun R, Armstrong BR, Shetty AP, et al. ISSLS prize winner: A study of diffusion in human lumbar discs: a serial magnetic resonance imaging study documenting the influence of the endplate on diffusion in normal and degenerate discs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2004; 29(23):2654–67. [PubMed: 15564914]
20. Roberts S, Evans H, Trivedi J, Menage J. Histology and pathology of the human intervertebral disc. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2006; 88(Suppl 2):10–4.

21. Gruber HE, Ingram JA, Norton HJ, Hanley EN Jr. Senescence in cells of the aging and degenerating intervertebral disc: immunolocalization of senescence-associated beta-galactosidase in human and sand rat discs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007; 32(3):321–7. [PubMed: 17268263]
22. Gruber HE, Ingram JA, Hoelscher GL, Zinchenko N, Norton HJ, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase 28, a novel matrix metalloproteinase, is constitutively expressed in human intervertebral disc tissue and is present in matrix of more degenerated discs. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2009; 11(6):R184. [PubMed: 20003223]
23. Le Maitre CL, Freemont AJ, Hoyland JA. Accelerated cellular senescence in degenerate intervertebral discs: a possible role in the pathogenesis of intervertebral disc degeneration. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2007; 9(3):R45. [PubMed: 17498290]
24. Kim KW, Chung HN, Ha KY, Lee JS, Kim YY. Senescence mechanisms of nucleus pulposus chondrocytes in human intervertebral discs. *Spine J*. 2009; 9(8):658–66. [PubMed: 19540815]
25. Jeong SW, Lee JS, Kim KW. In vitro lifespan and senescence mechanisms of human nucleus pulposus chondrocytes. *Spine J*. 2014; 14(3):499–504. [PubMed: 24345469]
26. Wang D, Nasto LA, Roughley P, Leme AS, Houghton AM, et al. Spine degeneration in a murine model of chronic human tobacco smokers. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2012; 20(8):896–905. [PubMed: 22531458]
27. Wu Q, Kim KO, Sampson ER, Chen D, Awad H, et al. Induction of an osteoarthritis-like phenotype and degradation of phosphorylated Smad3 by Smurf2 in transgenic mice. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008; 58(10):3132–44. [PubMed: 18821706]
28. Igarashi A, Nashiro K, Kikuchi K, Sato S, Ihn H, et al. Significant correlation between connective tissue growth factor gene expression and skin sclerosis in tissue sections from patients with systemic sclerosis. *J Invest Dermatol*. 1995; 105(2):280–4. [PubMed: 7636314]
29. Adams MA, Freeman BJ, Morrison HP, Nelson IW, Dolan P. Mechanical initiation of intervertebral disc degeneration. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000; 25(13):1625–36. [PubMed: 10870137]
30. Riser BL, Cortes P, Heilig C, Grondin J, Ladson-Wofford S, et al. Cyclic stretching force selectively up-regulates transforming growth factor-beta isoforms in cultured rat mesangial cells. *Am J Pathol*. 1996; 148(6):1915–23. [PubMed: 8669477]
31. Wu Q, Wang M, Zuscik MJ, Chen D, O’Keefe RJ, et al. Regulation of embryonic endochondral ossification by Smurf2. *J Orthop Res*. 2008; 26(5):704–12. [PubMed: 18176945]
32. Kavsak P, Rasmussen RK, Causing CG, Bonni S, Zhu H, et al. Smad7 binds to Smurf2 to form an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets the TGF beta receptor for degradation. *Mol Cell*. 2000; 6(6):1365–75. [PubMed: 11163210]
33. Lin X, Liang M, Feng XH. Smurf2 is a ubiquitin E3 ligase mediating proteasome-dependent degradation of Smad2 in transforming growth factor-beta signaling. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275(47):36818–22. [PubMed: 11016919]
34. Zhang H, Cohen SN. Smurf2 up-regulation activates telomere-dependent senescence. *Genes Dev*. 2004; 18(24):3028–40. [PubMed: 15574587]