



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Med Genet A. 2018 May ; 176(5): 1128–1136. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.38672.

Williams-Beuren Syndrome in Diverse Populations

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) is a common microdeletion syndrome characterized by a 1.5Mb deletion in 7q11.23. The phenotype of WBS has been well described in populations of European descent with not as much attention given to other ethnicities. In this study, individuals with WBS from diverse populations were assessed clinically and by facial analysis technology. Clinical data and images from 137 individuals with WBS were found in 19 countries with an average age of 11 years and female gender of 45%. The most common clinical phenotype elements were periorbital fullness and intellectual disability which were present in greater than 90% of our cohort. Additionally, 75% or greater of all individuals with WBS had malar flattening, long philtrum, wide mouth, and small jaw. Using facial analysis technology, we compared 286 Asian, African, Caucasian, and Latin American individuals with WBS with 286 gender and age matched controls and found that the accuracy to discriminate between WBS and controls was 0.90 when the entire cohort was evaluated concurrently. The test accuracy of the facial recognition technology increased significantly when the cohort was analyzed by specific ethnic population (P -value < 0.001 for all comparisons), with accuracies for Caucasian, African, Asian, and Latin American groups of 0.92, 0.96, 0.92, and 0.93, respectively. In summary, we present consistent clinical findings from global populations with WBS and demonstrate how facial analysis technology can support clinicians in making accurate WBS diagnoses.

Keywords

Williams-Beuren; Williams; syndrome; diverse populations; Asia; Africa; Latin America; Middle East; facial analysis technology

INTRODUCTION

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) was first characterized as a syndrome with dysmorphic facial features, supra-aortic stenosis, and cognitive impairment in the early 1960's (Beuren, Apitz, & Harmjanz, 1962; Williams, Barratt-Boyes, & Lowe, 1961). WBS is one of the common microdeletion syndromes occurring in roughly 1:7500 (Stromme, Bjornstad, & Ramstad, 2002) and caused by a 1.5Mb deletion in 7q11.23 which includes 26-28 genes. Individuals with WBS present with intellectual disability, hypersocial behavior, distinctive facies, cardiovascular disease (supra-aortic stenosis and peripheral pulmonary stenosis), short stature, connective tissue anomalies and endocrine abnormalities such as hypercalcemia (Morris, 1993, 2010; Sindhar et al., 2016). Facial characteristics include

*Corresponding authors.

broad forehead, bitemporal narrowing, periorbital fullness, a stellate iris appearance, short nose, malar flattening, long philtrum, thick upper and lower lip vermillion, wide mouth, and large ear lobes (Morris, 1993, 2010).

The diagnosis of WBS is made based on dysmorphic features and intellectual and behavioral findings. Diagnosis is confirmed with molecular testing. Most studies have focused on Caucasians, which can be explained by a concentration of clinical geneticists in developed countries (Limwongse, 2017) and the absence of genetics services in areas such as sub-Saharan Africa (Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). The American Academy of Pediatrics has outlined clinical diagnostic criteria (Committee on, 2001), which places emphasis on both facial features and echocardiography; however, these criteria may be difficult to apply to diverse populations such as sub-Saharan patients given the variation in facial features and difficulty obtaining echocardiograms (Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). A few small studies have been conducted in diverse populations. Tekeno-Ngongang et al. presented three individuals with WBS from Cameroon in sub-Saharan Africa and noted that the facial features were not different from many unaffected sub-Saharan African individuals (Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). Additionally, Lumaka et al. reported one case of WBS in a resource limited area of central Africa and these authors remind us that most cases in sub-Saharan Africa are undiagnosed based on insufficient training in the field of dysmorphology and scarcity of genetic resources (Lumaka et al., 2016).

Although we know of at least one comparison of different ethnicities and WBS, where Zitzer-Comfort et al. compared global sociability between Japanese and United States individuals with WBS (Zitzer-Comfort, Doyle, Masataka, Korenberg, & Bellugi, 2007), we are unaware of a dysmorphology and diagnostic comparison. In line with other publications on genetic syndromes in diverse populations, we explore the phenotype of WBS in different ancestral populations using both clinical exam and facial analysis technology (Kruszka, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Sobering, et al., 2017; Muenke, Adeyemo, & Kruszka, 2016).

METHODS

Review of Medical Literature

A Medline search was conducted with the following terms: Williams-Beuren syndrome, Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East, diverse populations, and facial analysis technology. Reference lists of journal studies were used to find further relevant journal articles. After obtaining journal permissions, photos of individuals with WBS were used to supplement study participants described below (Delgado et al., 2013; Honjo et al., 2015; Jiang & Liu, 2015; Lumaka et al., 2016; Mazumdar, Sarkar, Badveli, & Majumder, 2016; Morris, 1993, 2010; Patil, Madhusudhan, Shah, & Suresh, 2012; Sakhuja, Whyte, Kamath, Martin, & Chitayat, 2015; Smoot, Zhang, Klaiman, Schultz, & Pober, 2005; Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014; van Kogelenberg et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2002).

Patients

Individuals with Williams-Beuren syndrome were evaluated from 19 countries. All participants (Supplementary Table I) had Williams-Beuren syndrome diagnosed by both clinical evaluation and/or molecular diagnosis. In a few cases molecular diagnosis was not done secondary to resource limitations. Geographic area of origin or ethnicity (African and African American, Asian, Latin American, and Middle Eastern) was used to categorize patients. Local clinical geneticists examined patients for established clinical features found in WBS (Committee on, 2001).

Consent was obtained by local institutional review boards and the Personalized Genomics protocol at the National Institutes of Health (11-HG-0093). Exam findings from the current study and those from the medical literature (Patil et al., 2012; Perez Jurado, Peoples, Kaplan, Hamel, & Francke, 1996) are recorded in Table I.

Facial Analysis Technology

As described in our previous studies (Kruszka, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Sobering, et al., 2017), digital facial analysis technology (Cerrolaza et al., 2016; Zhao, Okada, et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao, Werghe, et al., 2014) evaluated 286 frontal images of individuals with WBS, and 286 healthy controls (matched for ethnicity, gender, and age) from our previously described database (Zhao, Okada, et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao, Werghe, et al., 2014). The 286 individuals with WBS used for facial analysis technology included individuals from Supplementary Table I and additional archival images of individuals with WBS. A Caucasian ethnic group was identified in addition to African, Asian and Latin American groups for the purpose of facial analysis. In Table II, we show ages, gender, and ethnicity of the facial analysis technology cohort.

With feature extraction, feature selection and classification as output variables, our algorithms analyzed study participants' images. From a set of 44 landmarks placed on the frontal face images, a total of 126 facial features, including both geometric and texture biomarkers, were isolated. Figure 1 shows the landmark locations and the geometric features extracted. The geometric biomarkers are distances and angles calculated between the different inner facial landmarks. Texture patterns (Cerrolaza et al., 2016) were calculated at each of the 33 inner facial landmarks to quantify texture information (Figure 1). Using the method proposed previously (Cai, Zhang, & He, 2010), from the collection of geometric and texture features, the most significant ones were selected. For each feature set, a support vector machine classifier (Cortes & Vapnik, 1995) was trained using a leave-one-out cross-validation strategy (Elisseff & Pontil, 2003). The optimal number of features was selected as the minimum number for which the classification accuracy converged to its maximum; Supplementary Figures 1–5 graphically demonstrate how the addition of features improves the measures of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. The *P*-value of each feature was also estimated using the Student's *t*-test as an estimator of the individual discriminant power of each feature selected. We evaluated the improvements of using classification models trained specifically for each ethnicity to detect WBS compared to using one single classification

model trained using all the cases available from all ethnicities. The statistical significance of their differences was assessed using Fisher's exact test.

RESULTS

Clinical information (Table I) was collected on 137 individuals and images (Figure II–V; Supplementary Table I) on 128 individuals (17 individuals were obtained from the medical literature). The participants were from 19 countries, average age was 11.0 years (range newborn to 42 years), and 45% were females (Table I). Individuals of African descent are shown in Figure 2, Asian in Figure 3, Latin American in Figure 4, and Middle Eastern patients in Figure 5. Table I does not show individuals from Middle East due to insufficient clinical information.

From the medical literature in Table I, we show facial and other phenotype elements from two studies that each evaluated over 25 participants from diverse backgrounds (Patil et al., 2012; Perez Jurado et al., 1996). We compared unpublished patients from the present study with the above-mentioned studies from the medical literature (Table I). The most common phenotype element in both the present study and the medical literature was periorbital fullness and intellectual disability which was present in greater than 90% of our cohort (Table I). In all studies in Table I, 75% or greater of all individuals with WBS had malar flattening, long philtrum, wide mouth, and small jaw (wide mouth and small jaw not reported in Pérez Jurado et. al).

As seen in Table I, the majority of clinical exam findings in the present study were consistent between the different population groups with the following exam elements differing statistically amongst groups: wide mouth, malar flattening, epicanthal folds, widely spaced teeth, stellate iris, strabismus, and growth abnormalities ($P < 0.05$; χ^2 test).

As a more objective measure of phenotype, facial analysis technology was applied to 286 individuals (Caucasian, African, Asian, and Latin American) with results shown in Table III. The accuracy to discriminate between WBS and controls was 0.90 when the entire cohort was evaluated concurrently. The test accuracy of the facial recognition technology increased significantly when the cohort was analyzed by specific ethnic population (P -value < 0.001 for all comparisons), with accuracies for Caucasian, African, Asian, and Latin American groups of 0.92, 0.96, 0.92, and 0.93, respectively (Table III). Supplementary Tables II–VI show the geometric and texture feature comparisons between individuals with WBS and unaffected individuals. Interestingly, the angle at the nose root is the most significant geographic discriminator between WBS and controls across all ethnicities.

DISCUSSION

Williams-Beuren syndrome is a common microdeletion syndrome that has recognizable facial characteristics, intellectual disability, a characteristic friendly personality, and often cardiovascular disease. Given the well characterized phenotype of WBS, there is still a paucity of cases of Williams-Beuren syndrome from developing countries in the medical literature (Lumaka et al., 2016; Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). The first goal of this study was to assemble and characterize a cohort of individuals with WBS from diverse

populations. Table I lists the clinical phenotype of 137 individuals from Latin American, Asian, and African ancestry and Figures 2–5 show 128 facial images of individuals from diverse populations. Although there are some statistically significant differences in phenotype elements across population groups, there are multiple well-known characteristics that are present in 75% or more of all groups, including periorbital fullness, wide mouth, malar flattening, small jaw, long philtrum, and intellectual disability (Table I). In addition to this study, we have also made a publically available database that shows images of individuals with WBS and syndromes in diverse populations (www.genome.gov/atlas) (Koretzky et al., 2016; Muenke et al., 2016).

The second goal of this study was to test whether a diagnosis was more difficult in different ethnicities as has been suggested (Patil et al., 2012; Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). To answer this question, we used the objectivity of facial analysis technology. The facial analysis technology accurately discriminated between individuals with WBS and controls with accuracy above 92% in all population groups (Table III). The test accuracy of the facial recognition technology increased significantly when the cohort was analyzed by specific ethnic population (p -value < 0.001 for all comparisons; Fisher's Test), in other words, when the computer was trained on an ethnic specific data set, the accuracy improved.

Some of the characteristic features of WBS in the global population determined by facial analysis technology are: wide mouth, short nose, and texture of eyelids/epicanthic folds, which were also noted in the clinical evaluation of most of the cases. We would like to make special mention of the angle of the nose root. As noted in the results, the angle at the nose root is the most significant geographic discriminator between WBS and controls across all ethnicities (Supplementary Tables II–VI). The angle at the nose root is not typically measured by clinicians; however the angle at the nose root increases for shorter noses, which is a well-known feature in patients with Williams syndrome as seen in Table I. Interestingly, the only population group for which the width of the mouth was not depicted as a top feature of WBS by our technology was the African group.

The study has several limitations. We acknowledge that ascertainment bias exists with only the most severe phenotypes or those with severe congenital heart disease seeking medical attention. Thus, the milder cases of WBS are most likely missed. Due to relatively small sample sizes, this study grouped populations by large geographical areas. For example, individuals from India, Thailand, and China are grouped into the category "Asia." In the future, we plan to narrow this geographic constraint. Another limitation is that much of the clinical data is subjective and based on provider judgement. We have attempted to address this issue with the use of objective measurements using digital face analysis technology.

We conclude by acknowledging that Williams-Beuren syndrome can be a difficult diagnosis to make (average age of diagnosis of WBS is 3.7-5.3 years in developed countries) (Ferrero et al., 2007; Huang, Sadler, O'Riordan, & Robin, 2002). This study and similar reports (Kruszka, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Sobering, et al., 2017) and our recently created website, www.genome.gov/atlas are designed to have widespread clinical significance for the diagnosis of individuals with WBS,

especially in countries without access to genetic services or genetic testing where the simplicity of facial analysis technology may be a useful asset.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Paul Kruszka^{1,*}, Antonio R. Porras², Deise Helena de Souza³, Angélica Moresco⁴, Victoria Huckstadt⁴, Ashleigh D. Gill¹, Alec P. Boyle², Tommy Hu¹, Yonit A. Addissie¹, Gary TK Mok⁵, Cedrik Tekendo-Ngongang⁶, Karen Fieggen⁶, E.J. Prijoles⁷, Pranoot Tanpaiboon⁸, Engela Honey⁹, Luk Ho-Ming¹⁰, Ivan FM Lo¹⁰, Meow-Keong Thong¹¹, Premala Muthukumarasamy¹¹, Kelly L. Jones¹², Khadija Belhassan^{1,13}, Karim Ouldin¹³, Ihssane El Bouchikhi^{13,14}, Laila Bouguenouch¹³, Anju Shukla¹⁵, Katta M. Girisha¹⁵, Nirmala D. Sirisena¹⁶, Vajira H.W. Dissanayake¹⁶, C. Sampath Paththinige¹⁶, Rupesh Mishra¹⁶, Monisha S. Kisling⁸, Carlos R. Ferreira⁸, María Beatriz de Herreros¹⁷, Ni-Chung Lee¹⁸, Saumya S. Jamuar¹⁹, Angeline Lai¹⁹, Tan Ee Shien¹⁹, Jiin Ying Lim¹⁹, Cham Breana Wen-Min¹⁹, Neerja Gupta²⁰, Stephanie Lotz-Esquivel²¹, Ramsés Badilla-Porras²², Dalia Farouk Hussen²³, Mona O. El Ruby²⁴, Engy A. Ashaat²⁴, Siddaramappa J. Patil²⁵, Leah Dowsett²⁶, Alison Eaton²⁷, A. Micheil Innes²⁷, Vorasuk Shotelersuk²⁸, Eben Badoe²⁹, Ambroise Wonkam⁶, María Gabriela Obregon⁴, Brian H.Y. Chung⁵, Milana Trubnykova³⁰, Jorge La Serna³⁰, Bertha Elena Gallardo Jugo³⁰, Miguel Chávez Pastor³⁰, Hugo Hernán Abarca Barriga³⁰, Andre Megarbane³¹, Beth A. Kozel³², Mieke M. van Haelst³³, Roger E. Stevenson⁷, Marshall Summar⁸, Adeyemo A. Adebowale³⁴, Colleen A. Morris³⁵, Danilo Moretti-Ferreira³, Marius George Linguraru², and Maximilian Muenke^{1,*}

Affiliations

¹Medical Genetics Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, The National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland ²Sheikh Zayed Institute for Pediatric Surgical Innovation, Children's National Health System, Washington, D.C ³Department of Genetics, Institute of Biosciences, Sao Paulo State University – UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil ⁴Servicio de Genética, Hospital de Pediatría Garrahan, Buenos Aires, Argentina ⁵Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China ⁶Division of Human Genetics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa ⁷Greenwood Genetic Center, Greenwood, South Carolina ⁸Rare Disease Institute, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, D.C ⁹Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa ¹⁰Clinical Genetic Service, Department of Health, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China ¹¹Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ¹²Division of Medical Genetics and Metabolism, Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Norfolk, Virginia ¹³Medical Genetics and Oncogenetics Unit, Hassan II University Hospital, Fez, Morocco ¹⁴Laboratory of

Microbial Biotechnology, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University of Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, Fez, Morocco ¹⁵Department of Medical Genetics, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, India ¹⁶Human Genetics Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka ¹⁷National Secretariat for the Rights of People with Disabilities (SENADIS), Fernando de la Mora, Paraguay ¹⁸Department of Pediatrics and Medical Genetics, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taiwan ¹⁹Genetics Service, Department of Paediatrics, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore ²⁰Division of Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India ²¹Research Department, Hospital San Juan de Dios (CCSS), San José, Costa Rica ²²Medical Genetics and Metabolism Department, Hospital Nacional de Niños (CCSS), San José, Costa Rica ²³Department of Human Cytogenetics - the National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt ²⁴Clinical Genetics Department, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt ²⁵Mazumdar Shaw Medical Center, Narayana Health City, Bangalore, India ²⁶Kapi'olani Medical Center for Women and Children, Honolulu, Hawaii ²⁷Department of Medical Genetics and Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta ²⁸Center of Excellence for Medical Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand ²⁹School of Medicine and Dentistry, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana ³⁰Instituto Nacional de Salud del Niño, Lima, Peru ³¹Institut Jérôme Lejeune, Paris, France ³²National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland; Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri ³³Department of Genetics, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands ³⁴Center for Research on Genomics and Global Health, National Human Genome Research Institute, The National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland ³⁵Department of Pediatrics (Genetics Division), University of Nevada School of Medicine, Las Vegas, Nevada

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the individuals and their families who participated in our study. P.K., Y.A.A, A.D.G., T.H., A.A.A., and M.M. are supported by the Division of Intramural Research at the National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH. Partial funding of this project was from a philanthropic gift from the Government of Abu Dhabi to the Children's National Health System. V.S. is supported by the Chulalongkorn Academic Advancement Into Its 2nd Century Project.

References

- Beuren AJ, Apitz J, Harmjanz D. Supravalvular aortic stenosis in association with mental retardation and a certain facial appearance. *Circulation*. 1962; 26:1235–1240. [PubMed: 13967885]
- Cai D, Zhang C, He X. Unsupervised feature selection for multi-cluster data. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. 2010
- Cerrolaza JJ, Porras AR, Mansoor A, Zhao Q, Summar M, Linguraru MG. Identification of dysmorphic syndromes using landmark-specific local texture descriptors. Paper presented at the Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 2016 IEEE 13th International Symposium on. 2016

- Committee on, G. American Academy of Pediatrics: Health care supervision for children with Williams syndrome. *Pediatrics*. 2001; 107(5):1192–1204. [PubMed: 11331709]
- Cortes C, Vapnik V. Support-vector networks. *Machine learning*. 1995; 20(3):273–297.
- Delgado LM, Gutierrez M, Augello B, Fusco C, Micale L, Merla G, Pastene EA. A 1.3-mb 7q11.23 atypical deletion identified in a cohort of patients with Williams-Beuren syndrome. *Mol Syndromol*. 2013; 4(3):143–147. DOI: 10.1159/000347167 [PubMed: 23653586]
- Elisseeff A, Pontil M. Leave-one-out error and stability of learning algorithms with applications. *NATO Science Series Sub Series III Computer and Systems Sciences*. 2003; 190:111–130.
- Ferrero GB, Biamino E, Sorasio L, Banaudi E, Peruzzi L, Forzano S, Silengo MC. Presenting phenotype and clinical evaluation in a cohort of 22 Williams-Beuren syndrome patients. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2007; 50(5):327–337. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2007.05.005 [PubMed: 17625998]
- Honjo RS, Dutra RL, Furusawa EA, Zanardo EA, Costa LS, Kulikowski LD, Kim CA. Williams-Beuren Syndrome: A Clinical Study of 55 Brazilian Patients and the Diagnostic Use of MLPA. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015; 2015:903175.doi: 10.1155/2015/903175 [PubMed: 26090456]
- Huang L, Sadler L, O’Riordan MA, Robin NH. Delay in diagnosis of Williams syndrome. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2002; 41(4):257–261. DOI: 10.1177/000992280204100410 [PubMed: 12041723]
- Jiang M, Liu L. Williams-Beuren Syndrome: A Case Confirmed by Array-CGH Method. *Iran J Pediatr*. 2015; 25(1):e247.doi: 10.5812/ijp.247 [PubMed: 26199689]
- Koretzky M, Bonham VL, Berkman BE, Kruszka P, Adeyemo A, Muenke M, Hull SC. Towards a more representative morphology: clinical and ethical considerations for including diverse populations in diagnostic genetic atlases. *Genet Med*. 2016; 18(11):1069–1074. DOI: 10.1038/gim.2016.7 [PubMed: 26963283]
- Kruszka P, Addissie YA, McGinn DE, Porras AR, Biggs E, Share M, Muenke M. 22q11.2 deletion syndrome in diverse populations. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2017; 173(4):879–888. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.a.38199 [PubMed: 28328118]
- Kruszka P, Porras AR, Addissie YA, Moresco A, Medrano S, Mok GTK, Muenke M. Noonan syndrome in diverse populations. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2017; 173(9):2323–2334. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.a.38362 [PubMed: 28748642]
- Kruszka P, Porras AR, Sobering AK, Ikolo FA, La Qua S, Shotelersuk V, Muenke M. Down syndrome in diverse populations. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2017; 173(1):42–53. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.a.38043 [PubMed: 27991738]
- Limwongse C. Medical Genetic Services in a Developing Country: Lesson from Thailand. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2017; doi: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000544
- Lumaka A, Lukoo R, Mubungu G, Lumbala P, Mbayabo G, Mupuala A, Devriendt K. Williams-Beuren syndrome: pitfalls for diagnosis in limited resources setting. *Clin Case Rep*. 2016; 4(3):294–297. DOI: 10.1002/ccr3.476 [PubMed: 27014455]
- Mazumdar J, Sarkar R, Badveli A, Majumder B. Double chamber right ventricle in Williams syndrome: a rare cardiac anomaly reported. *Springerplus*. 2016; 5:275.doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-1897-y [PubMed: 27006883]
- Morris, CA. Williams Syndrome. In: Adam, MP, Ardinger, HH, Pagon, RA, Wallace, SE, Bean, LJH, Mefford, HC, Stephens, K, Amemiya, A., Ledbetter, N., editors. *GeneReviews(R)*. Seattle (WA): 1993.
- Morris CA. Introduction: Williams syndrome. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*. 2010; 154C(2):203–208. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.c.30266 [PubMed: 20425781]
- Muenke M, Adeyemo A, Kruszka P. An Electronic Atlas of Human Malformation Syndromes in Diverse Populations. *Genet Med*. 2016; doi: 10.1038/gim.2016.3
- Patil SJ, Madhusudhan BG, Shah S, Suresh PV. Facial phenotype at different ages and cardiovascular malformations in children with Williams-Beuren syndrome: a study from India. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2012; 158A(7):1729–1734. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.a.35443 [PubMed: 22628065]
- Perez Jurado LA, Peoples R, Kaplan P, Hamel BC, Francke U. Molecular definition of the chromosome 7 deletion in Williams syndrome and parent-of-origin effects on growth. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1996; 59(4):781–792. [PubMed: 8808592]

- Sakhuja P, Whyte H, Kamath B, Martin N, Chitayat D. Williams syndrome presenting with findings consistent with Alagille syndrome. *Clin Case Rep*. 2015; 3(1):24–28. DOI: 10.1002/ccr3.138 [PubMed: 25678968]
- Sindhar S, Lugo M, Levin MD, Danback JR, Brink BD, Yu E, Kozel BA. Hypercalcemia in Patients with Williams-Beuren Syndrome. *J Pediatr*. 2016; 178:254–260 e254. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.08.027 [PubMed: 27574996]
- Smoot L, Zhang H, Klaiman C, Schultz R, Pober B. Medical overview and genetics of Williams-Beuren syndrome. *Progress in Pediatric Cardiology*. 2005; 20(2):195–205. DOI: 10.1016/j.ppedcard.2005.04.010
- Stromme P, Bjornstad PG, Ramstad K. Prevalence estimation of Williams syndrome. *J Child Neurol*. 2002; 17(4):269–271. DOI: 10.1177/088307380201700406 [PubMed: 12088082]
- Tekendo-Ngongang C, Dahoun S, Nguetack S, Gimelli S, Sloan-Bena F, Wonkam A. Challenges in clinical diagnosis of Williams-Beuren syndrome in sub-Saharan Africans: case reports from Cameroon. *Mol Syndromol*. 2014; 5(6):287–292. DOI: 10.1159/000369421 [PubMed: 25565928]
- van Kogelenberg M, Ghedia S, McGillivray G, Bruno D, Leventer R, Macdermot K, Robertson SP. Periventricular heterotopia in common microdeletion syndromes. *Mol Syndromol*. 2010; 1(1):35–41. DOI: 10.1159/000274491 [PubMed: 20648244]
- Williams JC, Barratt-Boyes BG, Lowe JB. Supravalvular aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. 1961; 24:1311–1318. [PubMed: 14007182]
- Wu YQ, Bejjani BA, Tsui LC, Mandel A, Osborne LR, Shaffer LG. Refinement of the genomic structure of STX1A and mutation analysis in nondeletion Williams syndrome patients. *Am J Med Genet*. 2002; 109(2):121–124. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.10321 [PubMed: 11977160]
- Yaoita M, Niihori T, Mizuno S, Okamoto N, Hayashi S, Watanabe A, Aoki Y. Spectrum of mutations and genotype-phenotype analysis in Noonan syndrome patients with RIT1 mutations. *Hum Genet*. 2016; 135(2):209–222. DOI: 10.1007/s00439-015-1627-5 [PubMed: 26714497]
- Zhao Q, Okada K, Rosenbaum K, Kehoe L, Zand DJ, Sze R, Linguraru MG. Digital facial dysmorphology for genetic screening: Hierarchical constrained local model using ICA. *Med Image Anal*. 2014; 18(5):699–710. DOI: 10.1016/j.media.2014.04.002 [PubMed: 24835178]
- Zhao Q, Okada K, Rosenbaum K, Zand DJ, Sze R, Summar M, Linguraru MG. Hierarchical constrained local model using ICA and its application to Down syndrome detection. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*. 2013; 16(Pt 2):222–229. [PubMed: 24579144]
- Zhao Q, Werghi N, Okada K, Rosenbaum K, Summar M, Linguraru MG. Ensemble learning for the detection of facial dysmorphology. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2014; 2014:754–757. DOI: 10.1109/EMBC.2014.6943700 [PubMed: 25570068]
- Zitser-Comfort C, Doyle T, Masataka N, Korenberg J, Bellugi U. Nature and nurture: Williams syndrome across cultures. *Dev Sci*. 2007; 10(6):755–762. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00626.x [PubMed: 17973792]



Figure 1. Facial landmarks on three patients with WBS. Inner facial landmarks are represented in red, while external landmarks are represented in blue. Blue lines indicate the calculated distances. Green circles represent the corners of the calculated angles. Texture features are extracted only from the inner facial landmarks.



Figure 2. Frontal and lateral facial profiles of individuals of African descent with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supplementary Table I.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



Figure 3. Frontal and lateral facial profiles of Asian individuals with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supplementary Table I.



Figure 4. Frontal and lateral facial profiles of Latin Americans with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supplementary Table I.



Figure 5. Frontal and lateral facial profiles of individuals from the Middle East with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supplementary Table I

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1
 Summary of clinical exam findings of individuals with Williams-Beuren syndrome from diverse backgrounds

Present Study	Latin American		Asian		African		P-values		Pérez Jurado et al. (1996)		Patil et al. (2012)	
	n=105	n=24	n=8	n=8	n=65	n=27	African-American, Asian, Caucasian, Latin American	Indian				
Average age (years)	11.9	8.1	7.7						5.5			
Male gender	55%	50%	75%						74%			
Molecular diagnosis	100%	96%	75%						94% (56/59)		100%	
Cardiovascular disease	73%	71%	88%						50% (24/48)*		63%*	
Wide mouth	91%	78% (18/23)	88%						100%		100%	
Short nose	74%	75%	88%						90% (37/41)		100%	
Periorbital fullness	95%	92%	100%						96% (42/44)		100%	
Malar flattening	99%	75%	100%						100% (43/43)		85%	
Small jaw	82%	75%	75%						na		85%	
Long philtrum	93%	79%	88%						83% (35/42)		85%	
Epicanthic folds	73%	63%	13%						71% (27/38)		52%	
Malocclusion	59% (55/94)	47% (8/17)	38%						81% (25/31)		44%	
Widely spaced teeth	47% (35/74)	93% (15/16)	71% (5/7)						67% (22/33)**		41%	
Broad eyebrow	63%	58%	63%								37%	
Stellate iris	85% (82/97)	12% (2/16)	14% (1/7)								15%	
Strabismus	57% (59/104)	6% (1/17)	25%								11%	
Intellectual disability	100% (103/103)	95% (18/19)	100% (7/7)						91% (42/46)***			
Growth abnormalities	91% (93/102)	53% (9/17)	25%						18% (8/44)****			

* supraventricular aortic stenosis

** described as medial eyebrow flare in Perez Jurado et al. 1996

*** IQ 75

**** weight < 3rd centile

Table II

Population data used in facial analysis technology, which includes 286 individuals with Williams-Beuren syndrome.

Age	Williams-Beuren		Controls	
	Number	%	Number	%
< 30 days	0	0%	0	0%
1-24 months	49	17%	49	17%
25-60 months	47	16%	47	16%
5-12 years	71	25%	71	25%
13-18 years	28	10%	28	10%
>18 years	91	32%	91	32%
Total	286		286	
Ethnicity	Williams-Beuren		Controls	
	Number	%	Number	%
African Descent	28	10%	28	10%
Asian	26	9%	26	9%
Caucasian	121	42%	121	42%
Latino	111	39%	111	39%
Total	286		286	
Gender	Williams-Beuren		Controls	
	Number	%	Number	%
Male	150	52%	150	52%
Female	136	48%	136	48%
Total	286		286	

Table III

	Number of Features	AUC	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity
Global	17	0.95	0.90	0.92	0.88
Caucasian	15	0.97	0.92	0.89	0.95
African and African American	9	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Asian	8	0.95	0.92	0.96	0.88
Latin American	15	0.97	0.93	0.95	0.92

* AUC - area under the receiver operating characteristic curve