RESEARCH PAPER



Leaves, not roots or floral tissue, are the main site of rapid, external pressure-induced ABA biosynthesis in angiosperms

Feng-Ping Zhang¹, Frances Sussmilch^{2,3}, David S. Nichols⁴, Amanda A. Cardoso^{3,5}, Timothy J. Brodribb³ and Scott A.M. McAdam^{6,*}

¹ Key Laboratory of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China

² Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology and Biophysics, University of Würzburg, D-97082 Würzburg, Germany

³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

⁴ Central Science Laboratory, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

⁵ Departamento de Biologia Vegetal, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Campus Universitário, Viçosa, MG, Brasil

⁶ Department of Botany and Plant Pathology and Purdue Center for Plant Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47906, USA

* Correspondence: smcadam@purdue.edu

Received 21 September 2017; Editorial decision 1 December 2017; Accepted 6 December 2017

Editor: Christine Raines, University of Essex, UK

Abstract

Rapid biosynthesis of abscisic acid (ABA) in the leaf, triggered by a decrease in cell volume, is essential for a functional stomatal response. However, it is not known whether rapid biosynthesis of ABA is also triggered in other plant tissues. Through the application of external pressure to flower, root, and leaf tissues, we test whether a reduction in cell volume can trigger rapid increases in ABA levels across the plant body in two species, *Solanum lycopersicum* and *Passiflora tarminiana*. Our results show that, in contrast to rapid ABA synthesis in the leaf, flower and root tissue did not show a significant, increase in ABA level in response to a drop in cell volume over a short time frame, suggesting that rapid ABA biosynthesis occurs only in leaf, not in flower or root tissues. A gene encoding the key, rate-limiting carotenoid cleavage enzyme (9-*cis*-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase, NCED) in the ABA biosynthetic pathway in *S. lycopersicum*, *NCED1*, was upregulated to a lesser degree in flowers and roots compared with leaves in response to applied pressure. In both species, floral tissues contained substantially lower levels of the NCED substrate 9'-*cis*-neoxanthin than leaves, and this ABA precursor could not be detected in roots. Slow and minimal ABA biosynthesis was detected after 2 h in petals, indicating that floral tissue is capable of synthesizing ABA in response to sustained water deficit. Our results indicate that rapid ABA biosynthesis predominantly occurs in the leaves, and not in other tissues.

Keywords: Abscisic acid, cell volume, 9'-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase, flowers, roots, stomata.

Introduction

The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays a critical role during many phases of the plant life cycle, regulating responses to various environmental signals as well as endogenous cues including water limitation (Wright and Hiron, 1969; Pierce and Raschke, 1980; Henson 1982; McAdam and Brodribb, 2015), seed development and dormancy (Zeevaart and Creelman, 1988), and sex determination (Hickok *et al.*, 1995; McAdam *et al.*, 2016*a*). The processes regulated by ABA signaling in flowering plants are highly distinct in terms of target organ and functional role, including the regulation of

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

leaf transpiration (Li *et al.*, 2000). In the leaves of seed plants, ABA regulates transpiration by activating anion channels in the guard cells that flank the stomatal pore, causing cell turgor loss and stomatal closure (Schroeder *et al.*, 2001; Geiger *et al.*, 2009). In angiosperms, this regulation of stomatal aperture by ABA is an essential means by which these plants regulate diurnal gas exchange, with changes in ABA levels driving stomatal responses to leaf-to-air vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (Bauerle *et al.*, 2007). In order to regulate stomatal response during changes in VPD, angiosperms have evolved a mechanism for rapid ABA biosynthesis (Bauerle *et al.*, 2004; McAdam and Brodribb, 2015; McAdam and Brodribb).

Recently, studies have shown that foliar ABA biosynthesis is actively up-regulated in response to a drop in cell volume, similar to what occurs when a leaf is exposed to an increase in VPD, rapidly triggering stomatal closure (McAdam and Brodribb, 2016; McAdam *et al.*, 2016b; Sack *et al.*, 2017; Sussmilch *et al.*, 2017). ABA in plants is produced via oxidative cleavage of epoxycarotenoids. The 9-*cis*-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED) enzyme cleaves C40 9-*cis*-epoxycarotenoids stored within plastids to release xanthoxin, a C15 intermediate. This step is the rate-limiting step in the ABA biosynthetic pathway and, at high VPD, or when leaves are briefly exposed to a drop in leaf turgor, there is a rapid, significant increase in the expression of at least one key *NCED* gene encoding this enzyme (Bauer *et al.*, 2013*a*; Pantin *et al.*, 2013; Sussmilch *et al.*, 2017).

While the essential role of ABA in regulating diurnal stomatal aperture in angiosperms requires a rapid means of up-regulating ABA biosynthesis, it remains unclear whether ABA can be synthesized in other tissues at similar speeds. Two key organs that require investigation are the flowers, the survival of which is essential for plant reproduction, and the roots, which have been at the center of a long-running and extensive debate about the biosynthetic origin of ABA particularly for stomatal regulation (Zhang *et al.*, 1987; Holbrook *et al.*, 2002; McAdam *et al.*, 2016*c*).

Flowers are relatively short-lived, and they do not assimilate substantial amounts of carbon, lessening the need for atmospheric gas exchange and thus high rates of transpiration (Roddy and Dawson, 2012; Teixido and Valladares, 2014). Because flowers often face desiccating conditions that would lead to wilting, they must maintain a positive balance between water supply and loss, as well as high turgor throughout flowing. In addition, it appears that flowers may be more hydraulically vulnerable than leaves, which places even more selective pressure on flowers to maintain minimal water loss rates compared with leaves (Zhang and Brodribb, 2017). Flowers are developmentally independent from leaves, and they also appear to follow different evolutionary trajectories in water transport and vein density (Roddy et al., 2013). Thus, flowers and leaves may experience different turgor pressures and water balances, and, given the limited gas exchange in flowers compared with leaves, there may be no selective pressure to maintain a rapid cell volume-triggered ABA synthesis pathway in floral tissues.

Early experiments on ABA biosynthesis using bench-dried whole plants revealed an accumulation of ABA in both the leaves and root tips (Zhang et al., 1987). This observation of ABA accumulation in roots tips, combined with later studies showing stomatal closure in split-root drought experiments that apparently could not be explained by reduced leaf water status (Davies and Zhang, 1991), led to the root-sourced ABA theory. This theory gained widespread acceptance (Tardieu and Davies 1993) until reciprocal grafting studies with ABA biosynthetic mutants revealed that stomatal aperture is predominantly regulated by leaf-sourced ABA (Holbrook et al., 2002; Christmann et al., 2007; McAdam et al., 2016b). Subsequently a number of studies have demonstrated that not only are leaves the primary source of ABA biosynthesis during water limitation, but roots lack the endogenous carotenoid precursors required for substantial ABA biosynthesis during drought (Manzi et al., 2016). While the root-sourced ABA theory cannot explain ABA accumulation in leaves during water limitation, localized synthesis in roots may occur to a small degree (Manzi et al., 2016). Whether this localized synthesis is triggered by a reduction in cell volume similar to the leaf remains to be tested.

In this study, we investigated whether rapid accumulation of ABA is triggered by a reduction in cell volume across all plant tissues by the application of external pressure in two angiosperm species, Solanum lycopersicum and Passiflora tarminiana. We specifically tested whether flower and root cell volume provides a quantitative regulatory signal for ABA biosynthesis over a short time frame as in leaves in S. lycopersicum and P. tarminiana. We used precise physicochemical quantification of ABA levels to detect changes in flower, root, and leaf ABA when the volume of cells from the respective tissues was reduced for a short period of time, by the application of external pressure. In addition, we measured changes in the expression of the ratelimiting ABA biosynthetic gene, *NCED1*, in leaves, flowers, and roots in S. lycopersicum following this change in cell volume.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Two angiosperm species, S. lycopersicum and P. tarminiana, were selected for this experiment. Solanum lycopersicum was chosen in particular because we could monitor the expression of the key gene responsible for catalyzing the rate-limiting step in the ABA biosynthetic pathway, in this case NCED1 (characterized by the classical ABA biosynthetic mutant, *notabilis*) (Burbidge et al., 1999). All experiments were conducted between 11.00 h and 14.00 h. Individual S. lycopersicum plants were grown in 14 cm slim-line pots in potting mix, in controlled-environment growth cabinets. Conditions in the growth cabinet were regulated at 25 °C/16 °C day/night temperature with a 16 h photoperiod, provided by mixed incandescent and fluorescent lights, ensuring a minimum of 300 μ mol guanta m⁻² s⁻¹ at the pot surface. All plants were watered daily and received weekly applications of liquid fertilizer (Aquasol, Hortico Ltd, Padstow, NSW, Australia). Passiflora tarminiana was grown outside in the gardens of the University of Tasmania.

Leaves and flowers were collected simultaneously and transported to the laboratory for microscopic analysis. Approximately 10 mm² sections were taken from midway between the leaf midrib and margin. We collected multiple 1 cm² sections from all parts of bracts, petals, and sepals. For structures of sepals and petals of *S. lycopersicum* that were smaller, we sampled the entire petal and sepal. Sections were then bleached in commercial household bleach (50 g l⁻¹ sodium hypochlorite and 13 g l⁻¹ sodium hydroxide) until clear. Bleach was removed by washing, and sections were stained in 1% toluidine blue for 30 s to color the lignin-rich veins. Sections were mounted in phenol glycerine jelly and photographed with a Nikon Digital Sight DS-L1 camera (Melville, NY, USA) mounted on a Leica DM 1000 microscope (Nussloch, Germany). Stomatal density was measured from these paradermal sections.

Assessing ABA synthetic capacity across tissues

Branches or compound leaves, flowers, and roots were excised from fully hydrated plants that had not been exposed to a VPD higher than 1.0 kPa for at least 24 h. Samples of tissue adjacent to the manipulated tissue were taken for ABA quantification to act as a control. Tissue was then wrapped in a damp paper towel to prevent dehydration by transpiration and enclosed in a Scholander pressure chamber with the excised end emerging from the chamber. A positive pressure provided by compressed air was applied to the samples to a prescribed MPa (0.5, 1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 MPa for both P. tarminiana and S. lvcopersicum; in addition to 1.0 MPa for S. lvcopersicum only) for 30 min. For S. lycopersicum, a positive pressure provided by compressed air was applied to leaf, flower, and root samples to 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 2.0, and 2.5 MPa for 30 min. Increases and decreases in pressure were made gradually (<0.1 bar s⁻¹). Control samples were also included where tissue was enclosed in the chamber without pressurization. Following pressurization, a sample was again taken for ABA quantification. A microscope was used to monitor the excised end of the leaf, flower, or root during the experiment to ensure that tissue in the chamber did not desiccate to a water potential below the pressure applied.

ABA and carotenoid extraction, purification, and quantification

ABA was extracted, purified, and physicochemically quantified by the high precision method of ultra-performance LC-tandem MS with an added internal standard according to the method of McAdam (2015). The levels of the carotenoid ABA precursors (including 9'-cis-neoxanthin and 9-cis-violaxanthin) were extracted from similar tissue harvested for ABA analysis but covered instead in 1-2 ml of cold (-20 °C) acetone. Tissue was then homogenized as per samples for ABA analysis and allowed to extract overnight, in the dark, at 4 °C. Samples were then centrifuged at 10 000 g, after which the supernatant was removed and the volume was measured. Sufficient water was then added so that carotenoids were suspended in an 80% acetone solution in water (v/v). Carotenoid levels were analyzed using a Waters Acquity H-Class UPLC instrument coupled to a Waters photodiode array (PDA) Detector. A Waters Acquity UPLC beh C₁₈ column (2.1 mm×100 mm×1.7 µm) was used. The mobile phase consisted of three solvents: water (solvent A), acetonitrile (solvent B), and methanol:hexane (80:20, v/v; solvent C). The UPLC program was initially 19%A: 81%B: 0%C which was held for 3.2 min before a gradient to 0%A: 50%B: 50%C at 10 min, and this was followed by immediate re-equilibration to starting conditions for 3 min. The flow rate was 0.45 ml min⁻¹, the column was held at 35 °C, and the sample compartment was at 6 °C.

The PDA detector acquired three-dimensional data over the wavelength range 230–500 nm with a resolution of 1.2 nm at five points per second. Single wavelength channels were also acquired at 497, 440, and 440 nm background corrected under similar

conditions. Data were analyzed and processed using MassLynx software. The identification of 9'-cis-neoxanthin and 9-cis-violaxanthin was achieved by comparison of the experimentally obtained UV spectrum (230-500 nm) with the published literature and a freshly obtained plant leaf acetone extract. Likewise, the purity of the chromatographically resolved peaks in samples was assessed by the reproducibility of the experimentally obtained UV spectrum (230-500 nm). Levels of 9'-cis-neoxanthin were quantified by comparing the peak areas of this compound with the peak area of a standard of 400 ng of β -carotene. A relative response factor for the difference in molar extinction coefficients between 9'-cis-neoxanthin and 9-cisviolaxanthin with β -carotene was calculated from the literature values of molar extinction coefficients for each compound. Beer's Law was then applied to calculate the concentration of 9'-cis-neoxanthin and 9-cis-violaxanthin, based on the response of the β -carotene standard solution (Goericke and Repta, 1993). No 9-cis-violaxanthin was detected in any tissues.

Gene expression analysis

Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analysis was used to determine the expression of NCED1 in S. lycopersicum, in tissue that was exposed to 1.5 MPa for 30 min relative to controls. After exposure to external pressure, tissue was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70 °C until further processing. RNA was extracted using the Agilent Plant RNA Isolation Mini Kit (Agilent Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA), and RNA concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Reverse transcription was conducted in 20 µl with 1 µg of total RNA using the Tetro cDNA synthesis kit (Bioline, London, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcriptase-negative (no enzyme) controls were performed to monitor for contamination with genomic DNA. First-strand cDNA was diluted five times, and 2 µl was used in each PCR. Reactions using SYBR green chemistry (SensiFAST, Bioline) were set up with a CAS-1200N robotic liquid handling system (Corbett Research, Mortlake, NSW, Australia) and run for 50 cycles in a Rotor-Gene Q (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Three to four biological replicates and two technical replicates were performed for each sample. Transcript levels for the NCED1 gene were evaluated against a previously identified housekeeping gene that encodes a TIP41like family protein (SGN-U584254/Siktc10g049850.1.1) (Dekkers et al., 2012) with primers CATGCCTAGTGGTTGGTTCC and AGACAAGGCCTGAAATGTGG. This TIP41-like gene was found to be stably expressed. Primer details for NCED1 are as described in McAdam et al. (2016b).

Results

When the cell volume of leaves, flowers, and roots of *S. lycopersicum* and *P. tarminiana* was lowered after short-term exposure to external pressure, only leaves showed a substantial increase in ABA levels (Fig. 1). In both species, a clear threshold trigger point for ABA biosynthesis was apparent (Fig. 1). In *S. lycopersicum*, 2.0 MPa of external pressure was required to trigger foliar ABA biosynthesis in 30 min, with ABA levels in leaves exposed to this external pressure increasing by >200 ng g⁻¹ FW (Fig. 1A). Similarly, in *P. tarminiana*, 2.0 MPa of external pressure was required to trigger foliar ABA biosynthesis, with levels increasing by \sim 150 ng g⁻¹ FW (Fig. 1B). In *S. lycopersicum* flowers and roots, and in *P. tarminiana* roots, ABA levels did not increase significantly within this time frame, following the application of external pressure and the reduction in turgor (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. The mean change in ABA level (*n*=3, ±SE) for leaves, roots, and flowers, including floral parts, of *Solanum lycopersicum* (A) and *Passiflora tarminiana* (B) after 30 min of applied external pressure.

In *P. tarminiana* flowers, ABA levels in all tissues, including bracts, sepals, and petals, increased slightly (by $<30 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$ FW) at the same trigger point as found in leaves, namely 2.0 MPa (Fig. 1B).

The application of 2.0 MPa external pressure to leaves, petals, and roots trigged a significant upregulation of the expression of the *NCED1* gene in each of these tissues in *S. lycopersicum* (Fig. 2). However, major differences in the degree of transcriptional upregulation of this gene were evident between tissues. In the leaves, *NCED1* expression increased >40-fold, whereas in the petals and roots, *NCED1* expression increased <10-fold after 30 min of applied external pressure and reduction in cell turgor (Fig. 2).

Major differences between tissues in the pool of the key ABA biosynthetic carotenoid precursor 9'-cis-neoxanthin were found between tissues in both S. lvcopersicum and P. tarminiana (Fig. 3). In both species, leaves contained by far the highest levels of 9'-cis-neoxanthin, with S. lycopersicum leaves containing ~320 μ g g⁻¹ FW and *P. tarminiana* leaves containing ~620 μ g g⁻¹ FW (Fig. 3). In both species, floral tissues contained substantially less 9'-cis-neoxanthin, with very low levels (only 6.88 μ g g⁻¹ FW) detected in *S. lycopersicum* petals (Fig. 3A). In P. tarminiana, 9'-cis-neoxanthin levels decreased through the orders of floral tissues, with bracts containing around a fifth of the foliar level of 9'-cis-neoxanthin, declining to 5.08 μ g g⁻¹ FW in petals (Fig. 3B). In both species, 9'-cis-neoxanthin was not detectable in roots (Fig. 3). The alternative precursor 9-cis-violaxanthin was not detectable in any tissues.

While rapid ABA biosynthesis following a reduction in petal cell volume was not detected in either species (Fig. 1), slow ABA biosynthesis over many hours did occur in the petals of *P. tarminiana* (Fig. 4). After drying excised flowers and leaves on the bench for at least 3 h, ABA levels were found to increase after 2 h and to a lesser level in petals compared with leaves (Fig. 4A), despite an apparently similar leaf water potential trigger for ABA biosynthesis (Fig. 4B).



Fig. 2. The fold change in relative expression of the *NCED1* gene in leaf, petal, and root of *Solanum lycopersicum* after 30 min of applied pressure ($n=4, \pm SE$). Different letters denote significant differences between means.

Discussion

Leaves are a primary source of ABA following short-term reductions in turgor

In leaves it is widely accepted that ABA levels increase during water stress, playing a major role in closing stomata as leaves lose turgor (Wright and Hiron, 1969; Pierce and Raschke, 1980; Henson, 1982; McAdam and Brodribb, 2015). Here, using a recently described technique of modifying cell volume by applying external pressure (McAdam and Brodribb, 2016; Sack *et al.*, 2017), we found that leaves display the most substantial increases in ABA levels in response to a reduction in cell volume over a 30 min time frame. The substantial increases in foliar ABA level following a reduction in leaf cell volume occurred at the same time as a corresponding increase in the expression of a key gene encoding the rate-limiting enzyme in the ABA biosynthetic



Fig. 3. The mean levels of the carotenoid 9'-*cis*-neoxanthin (*n*=3 ±SE) in leaves, roots, and flowers, including floral parts, of Solanum lycopersicum (A) and Passiflora tarminiana (B). Different letters denote significant differences between means. n.d., not detectable.



Fig. 4. Evidence of limited and slow ABA biosynthesis in the flowers of Passiflora tarminiana (n=3 ±SE in A and n=3 in B).

pathway, NCED1, in S. lycopersicum in these tissues. As expression of this gene is a major determinant of ABA levels in S. lycopersicum (Burbidge et al., 1999), these results indicate that the increases in ABA levels observed here are due to increased ABA biosynthesis as opposed to release of ABA from fettered stored pools (Lee et al., 2006). This conclusion is also supported by the previous finding that the stores of ABA-glucose ester increase, rather than decrease, under rapid VPD transitions, and increased ABA biosynthesis, facilitated by increased NCED1 expression, instead accounts for the rapid increase in ABA level under these conditions (McAdam et al., 2016b). In contrast to leaves, flowers displayed either no rapid increase in ABA levels in S. lycopersicum or extremely minimal increases in ABA level in P. tarminiana after a 30 min reduction in cell volume (Fig. 1). Roots did not show an increase in ABA levels after this reduction in cell volume. These results raise the possibility that there may be tissue-specific differences in activity of the long hypothesized, but as yet uncharacterized, sensor that activates ABA biosynthesis (Pierce and Raschke, 1980; Creelman and Mullet, 1991; Sussmilch et al., 2017), recently shown to detect a decrease in cellular volume (Sack

et al., 2017). In addition to tissue specificity, there may also be considerable differences across species in the sensitivity of the cell volume sensor for ABA biosynthesis (McAdam and Brodribb, 2016); whether this difference drives iso- or anisohydric variation in stomatal responses to water deficit remains to be tested.

All tissues have a cell volume sensor that can trigger the expression of a key gene encoding the rate-limiting ABA biosynthetic enzyme

Despite major differences in the synthesis of ABA across tissues, an analysis of the expression profile of a key, ratelimiting gene in the ABA biosynthetic pathway, *NCED1*, in *S. lycopersicum* revealed significant increases in expression in all tissues after 30 min of reduced cell volume. This result suggests that all tissues have a cell volume-sensing pathway including a transcriptional regulator that is essential for regulating ABA levels. However, compared with petals and roots, leaves displayed a significantly higher level of transcriptional induction of *NCED1* after 30 min, which does suggest some degree of tissue specificity in this pathway.

1266 | Zhang *et al*.

Differences in the spatial pattern of ABA level accumulation mirror the spatial pattern of carotenoid precursors

An increase in *NCED* expression in roots during drought has been observed in a number of species including citrus (Manzi et al., 2016). In this study, an increase in the expression of NCED1 in tissues other than leaves of S. lycopersicum did not result in a rapid increase in ABA levels. In citrus, Manzi et al. (2016) recently demonstrated that roots lack sufficient levels of key carotenoid precursors for substantial localized ABA biosynthesis. In agreement with these recent studies, we found major differences in the pools of 9'-cis-neoxanthin between tissues, with extremely low levels found in the roots. This limited pool of 9'-cis-neoxanthin in flowers and especially roots mirrored a lack of substantial ABA biosynthesis in these tissues after a short-term reduction in cell volume in both species. Our results further add to a growing body of evidence that has suggested that ABA is not substantially synthesized in roots (Kudoyarova et al., 2011), challenging a long-held dogma that roots are the primary source for the synthesis of ABA (Zhang et al. 1987).

Unlike S. lycopersicum, ABA levels did accumulate over both a short and longer period of time in the flowers of P. tarminiana, albeit to a lesser extent than in leaves. This probably reflects reduced but not absent levels of 9'-cis-neoxanthin in these tissues. Whether or not this minimal, yet clear, floral accumulation of ABA is functionally relevant for stomatal control in this species remains to be tested; however, it should be noted that P. tarminiana does possess a very low density of stomata in all floral organs (see Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online). It is unlikely that the reduced levels of ABA in the flowers of this species were a direct result of a reduced number of stomata (although guard cells have been suggested to be a main site of ABA biosynthesis in the plant; Bauer et al., 2013b), because S. lycopersicum also has stomata on petals (Supplementary Fig. S1) yet does not show a floral increase in ABA levels.

Conclusion

We find that ABA levels increase most substantially in leaves following a short-term reduction in cell volume generated by the application of external pressure. This major increase in ABA levels in leaves compared with other tissues including flowers and roots is likely to be the result of both increased levels of ABA carotenoid precursor and enhanced upregulation of expression of a gene encoding the rate-limiting enzyme in the ABA biosynthetic pathway. We find that all tissues possess the capacity to upregulate the expression of this critical gene, but that pools of ABA precursors vary dramatically across tissues, mirroring the differences in the degree of rapid ABA synthesis.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Fig. S1. The stomatal density of flower and leaf of *Solanum lycopersicum* (A) and *Passiflora tarminiana* (B).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31670415), a visiting scholarship of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, the Australian Research Council, and the Brazilian agency FAPEMIG. We would like to thank John Ross for his continued emeritus support in quantifying ABA.

References

Bauer H, Ache P, Lautner S, et al. 2013a. The stomatal response to reduced relative humidity requires guard cell-autonomous ABA synthesis. Current Biology **23**, 53–57.

Bauer H, Ache P, Wohlfart F, Al-Rasheid KA, Sonnewald S, Sonnewald U, Kneitz S, Hetherington AM, Hedrich R. 2013b. How do stomata sense reductions in atmospheric relative humidity? Molecular Plant 6, 1703–1706.

Bauerle WL, Whitlow TH, Setter TL, Vermeylen FM. 2004. Abscisic acid synthesis in *Acer rubrum* L. leaves — a vapor-pressure deficit-mediated response. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science **129**, 182–187.

Buckley TN. 2016. Stomatal responses to humidity: has the 'black box' finally been opened? Plant, Cell and Environment **39**, 482–484.

Burbidge A, Grieve TM, Jackson A, Thompson A, McCarty DR, Taylor IB. 1999. Characterization of the ABA-deficient tomato mutant *notabilis* and its relationship with maize Vp14. The Plant Journal **17**, 427–431.

Christmann A, Weiler EW, Steudle E, Grill E. 2007. A hydraulic signal in root-to-shoot signalling of water shortage. The Plant Journal **52**, 167–174.

Creelman RA, Mullet JE. 1991. Abscisic acid accumulates at positive turgor potential in excised soybean seedling growing zones. Plant Physiology **95**, 1209–1213.

Davies WJ, Zhang J. 1991. Root signals and the regulation of growth and development of plants in drying soil. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **42**, 55–76.

Dekkers BJ, Willems L, Bassel GW, van Bolderen-Veldkamp RP, Ligterink W, Hilhorst HW, Bentsink L. 2012. Identification of reference genes for RT–qPCR expression analysis in Arabidopsis and tomato seeds. Plant and Cell Physiology **53**, 28–37.

Geiger D, Scherzer S, Mumm P, et al. 2009. Activity of guard cell anion channel SLAC1 is controlled by drought-stress signalling kinase– phosphatase pair. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **106,** 2145–21430.

Goericke R, Repta DJ. 1993. Chlorophylls *a* and *b* and divinyl chlorophylls *a* and *b* in the open subtropical North Atlantic Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series **101**, 307–313.

Henson IE. 1982. Abscisic acid and water relations of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): sequential responses to water stress in the leaf. Annals of Botany **50**, 9–24.

Hickok LG, Warne TR, Fribourg RS. 1995. The biology of the fern Ceratopteris and its use as a model system. International Journal of Plant Sciences **15**, 332–345.

Holbrook NM, Shashidhar VR, James RA, Munns R. 2002. Stomatal control in tomato with ABA-deficient roots: response of grafted plants to soil drying. Journal of Experimental Botany **53**, 1503–1514.

Kudoyarova G, Veselova S, Hartung W, Farhutdinov R, Veselov D, Sharipova G. 2011. Involvement of root ABA and hydraulic conductivity in the control of water relations in wheat plants exposed to increased evaporative demand. Planta **233**, 87–94.

Lee KH, Piao HL, Kim HY, Choi SM, Jiang F, Hartung W, Hwang I, Kwak JM, Lee IJ, Hwang I. 2006. Activation of glucosidase via stressinduced polymerization rapidly increases active pools of abscisic acid. Cell **126**, 1109–1120.

Li J, Wang XQ, Watson MB, Assmann SM. 2000. Regulation of abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure and anion channels by guard cell AAPK kinase. Science **287**, 300–303.

Manzi M, Lado J, Rodrigo MJ, Arbona V, Gómez-Cadenas A. 2016. ABA accumulation in water-stressed Citrus roots does not rely on carotenoid content in this organ. Plant Science **252**, 151–161. **McAdam SAM.** 2015. Physicochemical quantification of abscisic acid levels in plant tissues with an added internal standard by ultra-performance liquid chromatography. Bio-Protocol **5**, e1599.

McAdam SA, Brodribb TJ. 2015. The evolution of mechanisms driving the stomatal response to vapor pressure deficit. Plant Physiology **167**, 833–843.

McAdam SA, Brodribb TJ. 2016. Linking turgor with ABA biosynthesis: implications for stomatal responses to vapor pressure deficit across land plants. Plant Physiology **171**, 2008–2016.

McAdam SAM, Brodribb TJ, Banks JA, et al. 2016a. Abscisic acid controlled sex before transpiration in vascular plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **113**, 12862–12867.

McAdam SA, Sussmilch FC, Brodribb TJ. 2016b. Stomatal responses to vapour pressure deficit are regulated by high speed gene expression in angiosperms. Plant, Cell and Environment **39**, 485–491.

McAdam SA, Manzi M, Ross JJ, Brodribb TJ, Gómez-Cadenas A. 2016c. Uprooting an abscisic acid paradigm: shoots are the primary source. Plant Signaling and Behavior **11**, e1169359.

Pantin F, Renaud J, Barbier F, et al. 2013. Developmental priming of stomatal sensitivity to abscisic acid by leaf microclimate. Current Biology 23, 1805–1811.

Pierce M, Raschke K. 1980. Correlation between loss of turgor and accumulation of abscisic acid in detached leaves. Planta **148**, 174–182.

Qiu C, Ethier G, Pepin S, Dubé P, Desjardins Y, Gosselin A. 2017. Persistent negative temperature response of mesophyll conductance in red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) leaves under both high and low vapour pressure deficits: a role for abscisic acid? Plant, Cell and Environment **40**, 1940–1959.

Roddy AB, Dawson TE. 2012. Determining the water dynamics of flowering using miniature sap flow sensors. Acta Horticulturae **951**, 47–53.

Roddy AB, Guilliams CM, Lilittham T, Farmer J, Wormser V, Pham T, Fine PV, Feild TS, Dawson TE. 2013. Uncorrelated evolution of leaf and petal venation patterns across the angiosperm phylogeny. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 4081–4088.

Sack L, John GP, Buckley TN. 2017. ABA accumulation in dehydrating leaves is associated with decline in cell volume not turgor pressure. Plant Physiology (in press). doi: 10.1104/pp.17.01097.

Schroeder JI, Allen GJ, Hugouvieux V, Kwak JM, Waner D. 2001. Guard cell signal transduction. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **52**, 627–658.

Sussmilch FC, Brodribb TJ, McAdam SA. 2017. What are the evolutionary origins of stomatal responses to abscisic acid in land plants? Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **59**, 240–260.

Tardieu F, Davies WJ. 1993. Integration of hydraulic and chemical signaling in the control of stomatal conductance and water status of droughted plants. Plant, Cell and Environment **16**, 341–349.

Teixido AL,Valladares F. 2014. Disproportionate carbon and water maintenance costs of large corollas in hot Mediterranean ecosystems. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics **16**, 83–92.

Wright STC, Hiron RWP. 1969. (+)-Abscisic acid, the growth inhibitor induced in detached wheat leaves by a period of wilting. Nature **224,** 719–720.

Zeevaart JAD, Creelman RA. 1988. Metabolism and physiology of abscisic acid. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **39**, 439–473.

Zhang FP, Brodribb TJ. 2017. Are flowers vulnerable to xylem cavitation during drought? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **284,** 20162642.

Zhang J, Schurr U, Davies WJ. 1987. Control of stomatal behaviour by abscisic acid which apparently originates in the roots. Journal of Experimental Botany **38**, 1174–1181.