

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Med Clin (Barc).* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 June 27.

Published in final edited form as: *Med Clin (Barc).* 2018 May 23; 150(10): 398–402. doi:10.1016/j.medcli.2017.11.030.

Statins: pros and cons

lago Pinal-Fernandez^{a,b,*}, Maria Casal-Dominguez^{a,b}, and Andrew L. Mammen^{a,b}

^aNational Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, United States

^bJohns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, United States

Abstract

Statins inhibit the critical step of cholesterol synthesis in which 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMGC) is transformed to mevalonate by the enzyme HMGC reductase. By doing so, they have a potent lipid-lowering effect that reduces cardiovascular risk and decreases mortality. Since the mevalonate pathway also influences endothelial function, the inflammatory response, and coagulation, the effects of statins reach well beyond their cholesterol lowering properties. As with all drugs, statins may have adverse effects; these include musculoskeletal symptoms, increased risk of diabetes, and higher rates of hemorrhagic stroke. However, the frequency of adverse effects is extremely low and, in selected patient populations, the benefits of statins considerably outweigh the potential risks.

Keywords

Statins; Myositis; Diabetes; Stroke; Adverse effects

In 1976, the Japanese microbiologist Akira Endo discovered the first statin as a product of the fungus *Penicillium citrinum* that inhibited the activity of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR); this first molecule was named compactin.¹ Subsequently, researchers at Merck Research Laboratory discovered another HMGCR inhibitor derived from *Aspergillus terrus* that was originally named mevinolin and later known as lovastatin.² This molecule was the first statin to be approved by the FDA. Since then, other drugs from the same family have been synthesized, revolutionizing the management of cardiovascular diseases.

Statins block the rate limiting step of cholesterol synthesis in which 3-hydroxy-3methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMGC) is transformed to mevalonate by the enzyme HMGCR. However, the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway is also involved in the synthesis of dolichols, the production of ubiquinone (coenzyme Q10), and in the process of prenylation, an important post-transcriptional protein modification. Of note, dolichols are required for glycoprotein synthesis³ and ubiquinone plays an important role in muscle cell energy

^{*}Corresponding author. iago.pinalfernandez@nih.gov (I. Pinal-Fernandez).

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

production.⁴ Furthermore, prenylation is required for activation of numerous proteins, including members of the GTPase family of molecular switches (e.g. CDC42, RAC or RHO), which have crucial roles in controlling multiple signaling pathways.⁵

While lowering cholesterol levels is the main therapeutic effect desired with statin administration, decreased production of other downstream products of the mevalonate pathway may explain other effects, both beneficial and deleterious, of these drugs. In this review, we will discuss the available evidence regarding the pros and cons of statins.

Pros of statins

Lipid lowering effect

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is currently one of the most important health problems in the world, causing one-third of all global deaths (17.3 million deaths per year).⁶

In the early 20th century, cholesterol plaques were found within the coronary arteries of patients who had died after suffering from angina pectoris.⁷ Coincidentally, in the period from 1900 to 1960, the percentage of deaths due to coronary heart disease (CHD) increased from 10% to 40%. However, little was known at that time about the pathogenesis or risk factors of the disease.⁸ For this reason, in 1948, a massive cohort study was initiated in Framingham, Massachusetts that was designed to define the risk factors for CHD. This study revealed that increased LDL levels are one of the most important risk factors in the development of CHD.⁹

Before statins were commercialized, there were clinical trials showing that diverse methods to lower the cholesterol levels, such as bypass surgery, cholesterol-lowering diets or drugs, could reduce the rates of myocardial infarction and coronary death. Moreover, the magnitude of this reduction was proportional to the degree of cholesterol lowering.^{10,11}

Statins were markedly more efficacious in lowering LDL levels than previously available methods and the beneficial effects of lowering cholesterol levels with statins were confirmed in studies evaluating primary and secondary prevention of CVD. In fact, meta-analysis including previous clinical trials on the cardiovascular effects of statins found similar proportional reductions in the risk of developing new major vascular or coronary events in patients regardless of their age, sex, cholesterol levels, presence of diabetes, hypertension, previous myocardial infarction or other coronary heart diseases.¹²

Thus, it is estimated that each mmol/L of LDL reduction decreases by 22% the rate of major vascular events, by 14% the vascular mortality and by 10% the all-cause mortality per year. As an example, 40 mg of atorvastatin may decrease LDL levels more than 50% (e.g. from >4 mmol/L to \approx 2 mmol/L). Thus, lowering LDL cholesterol by 2 mmol/L for 5 years in 10,000 patients would reduce the rate of major vascular events by 10% in secondary prevention (in patients at high risk of recurrent stroke or heart attack) and by 5% in primary prevention (patients at lower risk).¹³

Different statins have distinct properties, with pravastatin and simvastatin providing less LDL-lowering power (25–35% reduction at 20 mg dosing) than newer statins, like atorvastatin and rosuvastatin (40–50% reduction at 20 mg dosing).¹⁴

The reduction of LDL levels is independent of the patient characteristics and, on average, doubling the dose of any given statin reduces the LDL levels by about 6 percentage points. 14-16

Statins confer cardiovascular protection not only by reducing the cholesterol levels but also by decreasing LDL-cholesterol oxidation, promoting the stabilization of the atheroma plaque, inhibiting endothelial dysfunction and vascular smooth muscle proliferation, and reducing platelet activity.

Pleiotropic effects

Atherosclerosis is a complex pathogenic process in which endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and clot formation all play a role. As mentioned before, in addition to lowering cholesterol levels, statins inhibit other downstream products of the mevalonate pathway, causing the so-called pleiotropic effects. By way of these pleiotropic effects, statins modulate virtually all known mechanisms of atherosclerosis and show beneficial effects beyond the cardiovascular system.

Improvement of endothelial function

Endothelial dysfunction represents one of the first steps in pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and can be caused by known risk factors for cardiovascular disease. For example, hypertension, smoking, and high blood sugar levels can all impair normal vasodilatation, which is mediated by nitric oxide (NO). Statins inhibit the prenylation of Rac and Rho proteins¹⁷ which, in turn, leads to increased expression of endothelium-derived nitric oxide synthetase (eNOS). With increased eNOS expression, nitric oxide production in the endothelium is increased and vasodilatation is promoted.¹⁸

Anti-inflammatory effects

After the endothelium is injured, the atherosclerotic plaque is infiltrated by inflammatory cells. Statins can inhibit inflammation through their demonstrated ability to reduce the production of inflammatory markers like the C-reactive protein (CRP) or serum amyloid A (SAA), interleukins, and adhesion molecules such as intracellular adhesion molecule (ICAM-I); each of these have been associated with the development and recurrence of cardiovascular events.^{19,20}

Interestingly, pravastatin provides even greater risk reduction in patients with high risk of coronary events and elevated SAA and CRP than it does in patients with the same cardiovascular risk but normal levels of inflammatory markers.¹⁹ This observation supports the notion that statins reduce risk not only by lowering cholesterol, but also by inhibiting inflammation. In this regard, it is noteworthy that both atorvastatin and simvastatin can reduce CRP levels,²¹ even in patients without hyperlipidemia,²² suggesting that statins may be useful in patients with normal LDL but elevated inflammatory markers.

Immunomodulatory effects

There is anecdotal evidence suggesting that statins may show anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory activity in cardiac transplant rejection and several autoimmune diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, lupus, vasculitis or systemic sclerosis, among others.²³

Immunomodulatory properties of statins are multifactorial. In the first place, statins may decrease antigen presentation and T cell activation by restricting expression of the major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC-II) as well as reducing the cell-surface expression of other immunoregulatory molecules, including CD3, CD4, CD8, CD28, CD40, CD80 and CD54. Secondly, in vitro and in vivo studies support that statins may impair T-lymphocyte and natural-killer cell proliferation and cytotoxicity. Finally, statins decrease the expression of cellular adhesion molecules on leukocytes and endothelial cells leading to an impairment on cell adhesion and migration to the inflamed areas.²³

Anti-thrombotic effect

Finally, the last step in atherosclerosis occurs when the endothelium is disrupted and a blood clot is formed, impairing blood flow. Statins may impair blood clot formation by reducing the expression of tissue factor and platelet aggregation, diminishing the creation of thrombin and the expression of its receptor on the platelet surface. Furthermore, the levels and activity of procoagulant factors, including fibrinogen, and factors V, VII and XIII, decrease as well during statin treatment.

In addition to blocking clot formation, statins promote clot destruction by decreasing plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PA1-1)^{24,25} levels and promoting the fibrinolytic enzyme plasminogen. The anti-coagulant properties of statins were demonstrated in the JUPITER study, which revealed a decreased rate if peripheral venous thromboembolism in patients taking atorvastatin.²⁶ Subsequently, a meta-analysis showed a 30–40% decrease of venous and pulmonary thromboembolism in patients taking statins.²⁷

Also, related to both the anti-thrombotic and also the anti-inflammatory effects of statins, there is in vitro and in vivo evidence suggesting that statins may decrease the risk of thrombosis in patients with the antiphospholipid syndrome.^{28,29}

Other beneficial effects attributed to statin therapy

In addition to their known cardiovascular and anti-inflammatory effects, statins have been credited with having other beneficial properties. For example, small randomized trials have suggested that statins may reduce the rate of postoperative atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery by as much as 50%. Furthermore, observational studies have suggested that statins may have improve outcomes in patients with a wide range of conditions, including chronic obstructive lung disease, acute respiratory distress syndrome and pneumonia. However, the causal role of statins in achieving these benefits remains to be proven.¹⁶

Cons of statins

Notwithstanding the unquestionable benefits of statins in patients at risk for cardiovascular events, they also have the potential to cause side effects. The two best documented side effects in observational studies and clinical trials are an increased risk of myopathy and an increased incidence of diabetes. There is also some reliable evidence that statins increase the risk of hemorrhagic stroke. Other side effects, such as the potential to impair memory and cognition, promote cataract formation, and/or compromise kidney outcomes have been proposed, but not convincingly demonstrated. In the following pages, we will summarize the evidence that statins may be associated with each of these side effects.

Myopathy

Evidence to date indicates that statins can cause either self-limited myotoxicity, presumably due to the direct effect of statins in the muscle, or an autoimmune myopathy associated with autoantibodies targeting HMGCR.

Direct myotoxicity is a rare condition with an estimated accumulated incidence of about 10–20 cases per 10,000 statin-treated patients per year.³⁰ It is characterized by its resolution after stopping statin treatment, it is dose-dependent, and it can be of variable severity, ranging from isolated muscle pain to severe rhabdomyolysis leading to renal failure.

The pathological mechanisms underlying the myotoxicity of statins are not well understood. However, it has been suggested that statins could cause muscle damage by decreasing the production of ubiquinone, a protein in charge of stabilizing the cell membrane that also plays an important role in the mitochondrial respiratory chain³¹; increasing levels of sterols in the muscle fibers, which can increase the toxic effects of statins in the muscle³² or related with the overexpression of artrogin-1, a key gen involved in skeletal muscle atrophy.³³

The prevalence of musculoskeletal pain has been reported in observational studies to be 3–33% higher in patients taking statins.^{34,35} However, further meta-analyses from randomized clinical trials have shown that these rates are closer to an absolute excess of 0.3% or, alternatively, a range from zero to 20 cases per 10,000 years of treatment, concluding that most cases of statin myalgia found in observational studies were not causally associated with statin treatment.³⁰ This is important to be taken into account in clinical practice when facing patients on statin treatment with musculoskeletal pain and no elevations of the CK levels.

Just around 1 case in 10,000 patients treated with statins each year will develop substantial elevations in creatine kinase (CK) levels and just about 2–3 per 100,000 patients will develop rhabdomyolysis with extremely high CK levels, myoglobinemia, myoglobinuria and acute renal failure.³⁰ However, the risk of statin myotoxicity may increase substantially when statins are used in combination with other drugs that affect their metabolism, specially inhibitors of cytochrome P450. Also, there are populations (people from Asian origin), and individuals (those with functional variation in the SLCO1B1 gene) that may be at a higher risk of developing this adverse effect.^{36–38}

Alternatively, it has been recently found that a very small fraction of patients taking statins, approximately 2–3 per 100,000 patients treated per year, may develop autoimmune myopathy.³⁹ This myopathy is characterized by proximal muscle weakness, evidence of muscle-cell necrosis on the muscle biopsy and the presence of autoantibodies against HMGCR.^{40,41} Characteristically, this type of myopathy does not revert after stopping statin administration, requiring treatment with immunosuppressive therapy. Anti-HMGCR associated myopathy is more common in patients exposed to prescription statins (92% of them in some studies), but can also happen in statin-unexposed patients.⁴² To date, it is unknown if the disease in statin-unexposed patients is triggered by an unrelated environmental factor or by statins coming from non-prescribed sources of statins, such as some types of fungus (like the oyster mushroom), red yeast rice, or pu-erh tea. Of note, the class II HLA allele DRB1*11:01 is a potent immunogenetic risk factor associated with developing statin-associated autoimmune myopathy.⁴³

Diabetes

Large randomized clinical trials have demonstrated an increased risk of developing diabetes mellitus in patients taking statins. The attributable excess risk of developing diabetes has been estimated to be about 10–20 per 10,000 patients treated per year, similar to the risk of developing a significant myopathy.⁴⁴

The risk of diabetes is proportional to the dose of statins used and it appears soon after starting statin therapy, stabilizing afterwards. It occurs mainly among patients with other risk factors to develop diabetes (elevated body–mass index, impaired fasting glucose or high HbA_{1c}).⁴⁴

The pathogenesis of statins causing diabetes is unknown. However, it has been hypothesized that this side effect might be related to the lowering of LDL cholesterol⁴⁵ or that the increasing number of LDL receptors induced by statins may enable more cholesterol to enter the pancreatic cells, damaging them.⁴⁶

Notwithstanding this, the reduction of the cardiovascular risk induced by statin administration compensates any increase in diabetes-related morbidity.

Hemorrhagic stroke

Observational studies suggested that patients treated with statins may have an increased risk of hemorrhagic stroke. Blood cholesterol levels are negatively associated with the rates of hemorrhagic stroke, especially in patients with concomitant high blood pressure.⁴⁷ In randomized trials and meta-analyses, even though the risk of ischemic stroke was reduced, the risk of developing an hemorrhagic stroke was increased by using statins.⁴⁸ It has been estimated that there is an increased risk of 21% in the rate of this complication, which means an excess of 5–10 cases per 10,000 patients treated for 5 years.⁴⁸ This risk is greater in patients with previous cerebrovascular disease and populations with a high risk of hemorrhagic stroke, like Asian people.

However, as with diabetes, the reduction in the rate of ischemic strokes clearly outweighs the increased risk of developing a hemorrhagic stroke.⁴⁸

Other side effects

Although statins have been reported to increase serum levels of liver enzymes, statin administration is only rarely associated with serious liver injury. It has been estimated in post-marketing studies that the risk of liver injury may be around 1 case per 100,000 users.⁴⁹ However, its causal association with statins has not been proved yet. It is also important to consider that the "liver enzymes" aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase are also present in skeletal muscle. Thus, muscle toxicity could lead to increased levels of "liver enzymes". Determination of creatine kinase and gamma-glutamyl transferase may be helpful to distinguish muscle from liver involvement in these patients, as creatine kinase elevation indicates muscle damage, while an increase in the gamma-glutamyl transferase is associated with liver damage.

Post-marketing reports of individuals with cognitive impairment that improved after statin withdrawal has suggested that statins may lead to memory loss.⁵⁰ In fact, since 2012 the FDA required to add cognitive side-effects to the drug label of all statins. However, large randomized trials and subsequent assessment of the FDA surveillance databases found similar rates of cognition-associated adverse events in patients taking and not taking statins. ⁵⁰ Overall, it remains in question whether statins have any cognitive side effects.

Interestingly, even if an observational study analyzing the records of more than 2 million people suggested that statins may increase the risk of developing cataract,⁵¹ later randomized clinical trials refuted this finding.⁵² Moreover, there is no evidence that eye-related microvascular complications increase with statin therapy, despite the increased risk of diabetes.⁵³ Paradoxically, statin therapy has been suggested to reduce the progression of age-related macular degeneration, but, as with the negative visual side effects, this observation is not backed-up by the results of randomized trials.⁵⁴

Considering the increased risk of diabetes in patients taking statins, it would be reasonable to consider whether these drugs to impact kidney function. However, randomized trials have reassuringly failed to prove any deleterious effect of statins on kidney function.^{55,56}

Data regarding cancer risk associated with statin treatment is somewhat conflicting. A large database analysis showed a reduction in the rate of cancer mortality⁵⁷ but some clinical trials reported small increases in the incidence of breast cancer⁵⁸ and all-site cancer.⁵⁹ Of note, a meta-analysis on randomized clinical trials concluded that statin treatment was not associated with cancer at all.⁶⁰

Finally, several other side effects have been attributed to statins, including quality of life, sleep disturbances, suicidal behaviors, neuropathy, erectile dysfunction of aggression, but, as many of the previous ones, these claims do not have enough supportive evidence backing them up.¹⁶

Conclusion

In conclusion, statins are highly effective drugs that have the ability to reduce the risk of major cardiovascular events up to 10% in primary prevention and 5% in secondary

prevention over 5 years. However, about 0.5–1% patients over this same period of time may develop side effects, most of them mild. Nevertheless, given the great number of patients under statin treatment, these adverse effects will be common in clinical practice and thus, must be well-known by the medical community in order to be promptly diagnosed and correctly managed.

References

- Endo A. A historical perspective on the discovery of statins. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci. 2010; 86:484–93.
- Alberts AW, Chen J, Kuron G, Hunt V, Huff J, Hoffman C, et al. Mevinolin: a highly potent competitive inhibitor of hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase and a cholesterol-lowering agent. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A I. 1980; 77:3957–61.
- Lennarz WJ. Lipid linked sugars in glycoprotein synthesis. Science. 1975; 188:986–91. [PubMed: 167438]
- 4. Marcoff L, Thompson PD. The role of coenzyme Q10 in statin-associated myopathy: a systematic review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007; 49:2231–7. [PubMed: 17560286]
- Greenwood J, Steinman L, Zamvil SS. Statin therapy and autoimmune disease: from protein prenylation to immunomodulation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006; 6:358–70. [PubMed: 16639429]
- Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017; 135:e146– 603. [PubMed: 28122885]
- Herrick JB. Landmark article (JAMA 1912). Clinical features of sudden obstruction of the coronary arteries. By James B. Herrick. JAMA. 1983; 250:1757–65. [PubMed: 6350634]
- Wong ND, Levy D. Legacy of the framingham heart study: rationale, design, initial findings, and implications. Glob Heart. 2013; 8:3–9. [PubMed: 25690260]
- 9. Castelli WP, Anderson K, Wilson PW, Levy D. Lipids and risk of coronary heart disease. The Framingham Study. Ann Epidemiol. 1992; 2:23–8. [PubMed: 1342260]
- Law MR, Wald NJ, Thompson SG. By how much and how quickly does reduction in serum cholesterol concentration lower risk of ischaemic heart disease? BMJ. 1994; 308:367–72. [PubMed: 8043072]
- Muldoon MF, Manuck SB, Matthews KA. Lowering cholesterol concentrations and mortality: a quantitative review of primary prevention trials. BMJ. 1990; 301:309–14. [PubMed: 2144195]
- Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, Blackwell L, Buck G, Pollicino C, et al. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. Lancet. 2005; 366:1267–78. [PubMed: 16214597]
- Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, Holland LE, Reith C, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists C. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. Lancet. 2010; 376:1670–81. [PubMed: 21067804]
- Law MR, Wald NJ, Rudnicka AR. Quantifying effect of statins on low density lipoprotein cholesterol, ischaemic heart disease, and stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2003; 326:1423. [PubMed: 12829554]
- 15. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, Bairey Merz CN, Blum CB, Eckel RH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014; 129(Suppl 2):S1–45. [PubMed: 24222016]
- Collins R, Reith C, Emberson J, Armitage J, Baigent C, Blackwell L, et al. Interpretation of the evidence for the efficacy and safety of statin therapy. Lancet. 2016:2532–61. [PubMed: 27616593]
- 17. Gupta SC, Hevia D, Patchva S, Park B, Koh W, Aggarwal BB. Upsides and downsides of reactive oxygen species for cancer: the roles of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis, prevention, and therapy. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2012; 16:1295–322. [PubMed: 22117137]

- Ota H, Eto M, Kano MR, Kahyo T, Setou M, Ogawa S, et al. Induction of endothelial nitric oxide synthase SIRT1, and catalase by statins inhibits endothelial senescence through the Akt pathway. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2010; 30:2205–11. [PubMed: 20705918]
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, Sacks FM, Moye LA, Goldman S, et al. Inflammation, pravastatin, and the risk of coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) Investigators. Circulation. 1998; 98:839–44. [PubMed: 9738637]
- 20. Lindahl B, Toss H, Siegbahn A, Venge P, Wallentin L. Markers of myocardial damage and inflammation in relation to long-term mortality in unstable coronary artery disease. FRISC Study Group. Fragmin during Instability in Coronary Artery Disease. N Engl J Med. 2000; 343:1139–47. [PubMed: 11036119]
- 21. Strandberg TE, Vanhanen H, Tikkanen MJ. Effect of statins on C-reactive protein in patients with coronary artery disease. Lancet. 1999; 353:118–9. [PubMed: 10023901]
- 22. Ridker PM, Group JS. Rosuvastatin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease among patients with low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and elevated high-sensitivity Creactive protein: rationale and design of the JUPITER trial. Circulation. 2003; 108:2292–7. [PubMed: 14609996]
- Jain MK, Ridker PM. Anti-inflammatory effects of statins: clinical evidence and basic mechanisms. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2005; 4:977–87. [PubMed: 16341063]
- 24. Sahebkar A, Catena C, Ray KK, Vallejo-Vaz AJ, Reiner Ž, Sechi LA, et al. Impact of statin therapy on plasma levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Thromb Haemost. 2016; 116:162–71. [PubMed: 27009446]
- Stanley FM, Linder KM, Cardozo TJ. Statins increase plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 gene transcription through a pregnane X receptor regulated element. PLOS ONE. 2015; 10:e0138097. [PubMed: 26379245]
- 26. Glynn RJ, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM Jr, Kastelein JJ, et al. A randomized trial of rosuvastatin in the prevention of venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360:1851–61. [PubMed: 19329822]
- Agarwal V, Phung OJ, Tongbram V, Bhardwaj A, Coleman CI. Statin use and the prevention of venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Pract. 2010; 64:1375–83. [PubMed: 20716146]
- Ferrara DE, Liu X, Espinola RG, Meroni PL, Abukhalaf I, Harris EN, et al. Inhibition of the thrombogenic and inflammatory properties of antiphospholipid antibodies by fluvastatin in an in vivo animal model. Arthritis Rheum. 2003; 48:3272–9. [PubMed: 14613293]
- Lopez-Pedrera C, Ruiz-Limon P, Aguirre MA, Barbarroja N, Pérez-Sánchez C, Buendía P, et al. Global effects of fluvastatin on the prothrombotic status of patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011; 70:675–82. [PubMed: 21173016]
- Ganga HV, Slim HB, Thompson PD. A systematic review of statin-induced muscle problems in clinical trials. Am Heart J. 2014; 168:6–15. [PubMed: 24952854]
- Ghirlanda G, Oradei A, Manto A, Lippa S, Uccioli L, Caputo S, et al. Evidence of plasma CoQ10lowering effect by HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Pharmacol. 1993:226–9. [PubMed: 8463436]
- Paiva H, Thelen KM, Van Coster R, Smet J, De Paepe B, Mattila KM, et al. High-dose statins and skeletal muscle metabolism in humans: a randomized, controlled trial. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2005; 78:60–8. [PubMed: 16003294]
- Hanai J, Cao P, Tanksale P, Koshimizu E, Zhao J, Kishi S, et al. The muscle-specific ubiquitin ligase atrogin-1/MAFbx mediates statin-induced muscle toxicity. J Clin Invest. 2007; 117:3940– 51. [PubMed: 17992259]
- Buettner C, Rippberger MJ, Smith JK, Leveille SG, Davis RB, Mittleman MA. Statin use and musculoskeletal pain among adults with and without arthritis. Am J Med. 2012; 125:176–82. [PubMed: 22269621]
- 35. Mansi I, Frei CR, Pugh MJ, Makris U, Mortensen EM. Statins and musculoskeletal conditions, arthropathies, and injuries. JAMA Intern Med. 2013; 173:1–10. [PubMed: 23877079]

- Armitage J. The safety of statins in clinical practice. Lancet. 2007; 370:1781–90. [PubMed: 17559928]
- 37. Law M, Rudnicka AR. Statin safety: a systematic review. Am J Cardiol. 2006; 97:52C-60C.
- 38. Group HTC. HPS2-THRIVE randomized placebo-controlled trial in 25 673 high-risk patients of ER niacin/laropiprant: trial design, pre-specified muscle and liver outcomes, and reasons for stopping study treatment. Eur Heart J. 2013; 34:1279–91. [PubMed: 23444397]
- Mammen AL. Statin-associated autoimmune myopathy. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374:664–9. [PubMed: 26886523]
- 40. Christopher-Stine L, Casciola-Rosen LA, Hong G, Chung T, Corse AM, Mammen AL. A novel autoantibody recognizing 200-kd and 100-kd proteins is associated with an immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy. Arthritis Rheum. 2010; 62:2757–66. [PubMed: 20496415]
- Mammen AL, Chung T, Christopher-Stine L, Rosen P, Rosen A, Doering KR, et al. Autoantibodies against 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase in patients with statin-associated autoimmune myopathy. Arthritis Rheum. 2011; 63:713–21. [PubMed: 21360500]
- Werner JL, Christopher-Stine L, Ghazarian SR, Pak KS, Kus JE, Daya NR, et al. Antibody levels correlate with creatine kinase levels and strength in anti-3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase-associated autoimmune myopathy. Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 64:4087–93. [PubMed: 22933019]
- 43. Mammen AL, Gaudet D, Brisson D, Christopher-Stine L, Lloyd TE, Leffell MS, et al. Increased frequency of DRB1*11:01 in anti-hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase-associated autoimmune myopathy. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2012; 64:1233–7. [PubMed: 22422616]
- Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, Welsh P, Buckley BM, de Craen AJ, et al. Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomised statin trials. Lancet. 2010; 375:735– 42. [PubMed: 20167359]
- White J, Swerdlow DI, Preiss D, Fairhurst-Hunter Z, Keating BJ, Asselbergs FW, et al. Association of lipid fractions with risks for coronary artery disease and diabetes. JAMA Cardiol. 2016; 1:692– 9. [PubMed: 27487401]
- Besseling J, Kastelein JJ, Defesche JC, Hutten BA, Hovingh GK. Association between familial hypercholesterolemia and prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus. JAMA. 2015; 313:1029–36. [PubMed: 25756439]
- 47. Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, Sherliker P, Emberson J, et al. Prospective Studies C. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. Lancet. 2007; 370:1829–39. [PubMed: 18061058]
- 48. Mihaylova B, Emberson J, Blackwell L, Keech A, Simes J, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists C. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with statin therapy in people at low risk of vascular disease: meta-analysis of individual data from 27 randomised trials. Lancet. 2012; 380:581–90. [PubMed: 22607822]
- Bjornsson E, Jacobsen EI, Kalaitzakis E. Hepatotoxicity associated with statins: reports of idiosyncratic liver injury post-marketing. J Hepatol. 2012; 56:374–80. [PubMed: 21889469]
- 50. Richardson K, Schoen M, French B, Umscheid CA, Mitchell MD, Arnold SE, et al. Statins and cognitive function: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2013; 159:688–97. [PubMed: 24247674]
- 51. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database. BMJ. 2010; 340:c2197. [PubMed: 20488911]
- Yusuf S, Bosch J, Dagenais G, Zhu J, Xavier D, Liu L, et al. Cholesterol lowering in intermediaterisk persons without cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374:2021–31. [PubMed: 27040132]
- Ueshima K, Itoh H, Kanazawa N, Komuro I, Nagai R, Takeuchi M, et al. Rationale and design of the standard versus intensive statin therapy for hypercholesterolemic patients with diabetic retinopathy (EMPATHY) study: a randomized controlled trial. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2016; 23:976–90. [PubMed: 26961114]

- Guymer RH, Baird PN, Varsamidis M, Busija L, Dimitrov PN, Aung KZ, et al. Proof of concept, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the effect of simvastatin on the course of age-related macular degeneration. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8:e83759. [PubMed: 24391822]
- 55. Hsia J, MacFadyen JG, Monyak J, Ridker PM. Cardiovascular event reduction and adverse events among subjects attaining low-density lipoprotein cholesterol <50 mg/dl with rosuvastatin. The JUPITER trial (Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011; 57:1666–75. [PubMed: 21492764]
- 56. Haynes R, Lewis D, Emberson J, Reith C, Agodoa L, Cass A, et al. Effects of lowering LDL cholesterol on progression of kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014; 25:1825–33. [PubMed: 24790178]
- Nielsen SF, Nordestgaard BG, Bojesen SE. Statin use and reduced cancer-related mortality. N Engl J Med. 2012; 367:1792–802. [PubMed: 23134381]
- 58. Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, Rouleau JL, Rutherford JD, Cole TG, et al. The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events Trial investigators. N Engl J Med. 1996; 335:1001–9. [PubMed: 8801446]
- Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, Bollen EL, Buckley BM, Cobbe SM, et al. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002; 360:1623–30. [PubMed: 12457784]
- 60. Fulcher J, O'Connell R, Voysey M, Emberson J, Blackwell L, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists C. Efficacy and safety of LDL-lowering therapy among men and women: meta-analysis of individual data from 174,000 participants in 27 randomised trials. Lancet. 2015; 385:1397–405. [PubMed: 25579834]