

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 June 27.

Published in final edited form as: Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2017 June 01; 27(11): 2459–2464. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.04.010.

Computer-Aided Discovery of Two Novel Chalcone-Like Compounds Active and Selective Against Leishmania infantum

Marcelo N. Gomes†, **Laura M. Alcântara**□, **Bruno J. Neves**†, **Cleber C. Melo-Filho**†, **Lucio H. Freitas-Junior**□,¶ , **Carolina B. Moraes**□, **Rui Ma**‡, **Scott G. Franzblau**‡, **Eugene N. Muratov**#,£, ¥, and **Carolina Horta Andrade**†,*

†LabMol – Laboratory for Molecular Modeling and Drug Design, Faculdade de Farmacia, Universidade Federal de Goias, Rua 240, Qd.87, Setor Leste Universitário, Goiania, Goias 74605-510, Brazil

 \Box LNBio – Brazilian Biosciences National Laboratory, National Center of Research in Energy and Materials (CNPEM), Campinas, 13083-970, Sao Paulo, Brazil

¶ Instituto Butantan –Sao Paulo, 05503-900, Sao Paulo, Brazil

‡ Institute for Tuberculosis Research, University of Illinois at Chicago, 833 South Wood Street, Chicago, Illinois 60612, United States

#Laboratory for Molecular Modeling, Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States

£Department of Chemical Technology, Odessa National Polytechnic University, Odessa, 65000, Ukraine

¥Currently Visiting Professor in Universidade Federal de Goias, Goiania, Brazil

Abstract

Leishmaniasis are infectious diseases caused by parasites of genus *Leishmania* that affects 12 million people in 98 countries mainly in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. Effective treatments for this disease are urgently needed. In this study, we present a computer-aided approach to investigate a set of 32 recently synthesized chalcones and chalcone-like compounds to act as anti-leishmanial agents. As a result, nine most promising compounds and three potentially inactive compounds were experimentally evaluated against Leishmania infantum amastigotes and mammalian cells. Four compounds exhibited EC_{50} in the range of 6.2–10.98 μM. In addition, two compounds, **LabMol-65** and **LabMol-73**, exhibited cytotoxicity in macrophages >50 μM that resulted in better selectivity than Amphotericin B. These two compounds also demonstrated low cytotoxicity and high selectivity towards Vero cells. The results of target fishing followed by homology modeling

Conflict of Interest

Supplementary Material

^{*}Corresponding Author: LabMol, Laboratory for Molecular Modeling and Drug Design, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Rua 240, Qd.87, Setor Leste Universitário, Goiânia – GO 74605-170, Brazil. Tel: + 55 62 3209-6451; Fax: +55 62 3209-6037; carolhandrade@gmail.com or carolina@ufg.br.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [https://www.journals.elsevier.com/bioorganic](https://www.journals.elsevier.com/bioorganic-and-medicinal-chemistry-letters)[and-medicinal-chemistry-letters](https://www.journals.elsevier.com/bioorganic-and-medicinal-chemistry-letters).

TOC image

Keywords

Antileishmanial agents; Nitroheterocycle chalcones; Selectivity; Molecular modeling; Target fishing

> Endemic in 88 countries, leishmaniasis are infectious diseases caused by parasites of genus Leishmania.^{1,2} According to World Health Organization (WHO), around 1.3 million new cases occur per year.³ Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is the most severe form, in which vital organs are affected causing chronic fever, liver issues, spleen enlargement, anemia, and other blood problems.4,5

> The first-line drugs for treatment of leishmaniasis are the pentavalent antimonials, meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime®) and sodium stibogluconate (Pentosan®). If they fail, second-line drugs such as pentamidine, amphotericin B and miltefosine are used.² However, the treatment is lengthy, toxic and painful for patients. Moreover, the resistance against the available drugs has increased over the years. Additionally, the high cost of some therapies has limited their use. Thus, there is an urgent need for the discovery of new drugs and targets for this neglected disease.⁴

> Recent advances in genomics have triggered a shift in drug discovery from the paradigm of focusing on strong single-target interaction to more global and comparative analysis of multi-targets network.^{6,7} In silico methods, including target- and ligand-based strategies, are widely used in industry and academia complementary to experimental techniques.⁸ For instance, in silico target fishing can enable the discovery of a number of putative targets for a given set of small molecules with known biological effects.⁹

> Chalcones are biologically classified as secondary metabolites of low molecular weight. In medicinal chemistry, they are considered privileged structures for research and development of new drugs, due to the diversity of substituents that can be linked to conjugated system scaffold.¹⁰ Chemically, chalcones are classified as 1,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ones and possess a broad spectrum^{11–24} of properties including antileishmanial activity.²⁵

> The goal of this study was to identify novel antileishmanial compounds among 32 previously synthesized chalcones and chalcone-like compounds²⁶. The general workflow is shown in Figure 1. Initially, 32 compounds have been submitted to a target fishing approach using pharmacophore modelling, then the 3D structures of selected targets were obtained by

homology modeling and we performed molecular docking between the 32 chalcones and the selected targets. Finally, the *in vitro* biological activity on *Leishmania infantum*, cytotoxicity on macrophages and Vero cells and selectivity of promising compounds were evaluated experimentally.

On the search of potential targets for the antileishmanial hits, we used the PharmMapper server, 7.27 a database that is backed up by a large, in-house repertoire of pharmacophore information extracted from all the targets available in TargetBank, DrugBank, BindingDB, and PDTD (Potential Drug Target Database). The original dataset of 32 chalcones and chalcones-like were submitted to the web server, generating a list of targets and a maximum of 300 conformations for each ligand, which were ranked by the fit score to the pharmacophore model. These results are presented on Table S1 (Supporting Information). Then, all targets were aligned on BLAST server²⁸. As a result, 7 sequences were identified as potential targets for L . infantum hits (Table 1), all presenting high primary sequence identity $(>30\%)$.

Based on these results, homology models of these seven proteins were built on Swissmodel server²⁹ (Table 2), by comparing target sequences with sequences of other proteins with available 3D structures, which were used as templates. The quality of the models was evaluated in PROCHECK 30 , and the quality of dihedral angles (phi and psi) was analyzed. Furthermore, GalaxyWEB31 was used to refine loop and terminus regions of the best template of each target. The results are presented on Table 2 and Supplementary Figures S1 (A-G). It can be observed that 89.1 to 94.7% of residues from the modeled proteins are on the most favored regions (red), 5.2 to 9.3% on the allowed regions (yellow), 0.0 to 1.6% on the generously allowed regions (beige) and just 0.0 to 1.0% on the disallowed regions (white).

The residues in the disallowed regions were located in regions far from the binding sites, and therefore, did not affect the quality of the models. Therefore, the generated homology models could be used for the estimation of the binding modes and affinity of ligands to the proteins by docking.

After building, selection, and analysis of the homology models, they were used to perform molecular docking of chalcone-like compounds. Chemical structures were carefully curated following the protocols developed by Fourches et al. $32-34$. Based on the results of docking (Supplemental Table S2), we have selected nine promising compounds (**LabMol-69**, **73**, **65**, **67**, **70**, **76**, **86**, **90**, and **72**) and potentially inactive compounds (**LabMol-82**, **92**, and **78**) as negative controls.

Twelve selected chalcone-like compounds and Amphotericin B, used as positive control, were tested against L. infantum amastigotes and differentiated THP-1 macrophages (Table 3). Three out of nine selected compounds (**LabMol-65**, **LabMol-72**, and **LabMol-73**) possess reasonably high activity $(6.32 \times EC_{50} \times 10.98 \,\mu\text{M})$. Other six compounds were inactive. Amphotericin B exhibits EC_{50} of 1.9 μ M. Among negative controls, **LabMol-72**, and LabMol-73 were expectedly inactive, while LabMol-92 has demonstrated EC_{50} of 9.31 μM. Among four active compounds, during cytotoxicity testing on macrophages, only

LabMol-65 and **Labmol-73** showed $CC_{50} > 50 \mu M$ that resulted in SI > 5.2 and 7.9, respectively (see Table 3). Amphotericin B exhibits CC_{50} of 9.8 μ M and SI of 5.2; therefore, **LabMol-65** exhibited SI higher than the control and **Labmol-73** showed selectivity index (SI) similar to or higher than the control. All active compounds were also tested against Vero cells²⁶ (Table 3). **LabMol-65** and **Labmol-73** demonstrated SI's in the range 55–243 and 4– 10, respectively, that make them promising anti-leishmanial agents. All the details regarding conducted experiments and the complete table with biologic evaluation (Table S3) are available in Supplemental Information.

Based on the experimental results, we derived the SAR rules to reveal the structural fragments responsible for anti-leishmanial activity (Figure 2). On the aryl ring B, independently of substituent positions on ring A, nitro group in position R_9 and nitro-, dimethylamino-, and methoxy- groups in position R_{10} decrease the activity. The substitution of aryl ring B by furan and 5-nitrothiophene are also unfavorable. However, the substitution of aryl ring B by 5-nitrofuran is favorable to biological activity. Bulky groups and electron donors on R4 position of aryl ring A, e.g., piperidine, pyrrolidine, piperazine, methylthiole, imidazole, and cyclohexyl are favorable for anti-leishmanial activity, while methyl, t-buthyl, buthyl, phenyl, morpholine and halogens atoms are unfavorable. The hydrophobic substituents and halogen atoms tested in positions R_2 and R_3 also demonstrated negative contribution to antileishmanial activity.

The results of target fishing approach, followed by homology modeling and molecular docking allowed us to rationalize the mode of action of four active compounds (**LabMol-65**, **LabMol-72**, **LabMol-73**, and **LabMol-92**). They may interact with the cysteine protease procathepsin L, demonstrating its potential for blocking the replication and differentiation of Leishmania in vitro and in vivo. These analyses revealed that the exploration of modifications on scaffolds of chalcones identified here could afford new potent leads against L. infantum and suggest that the mode of action of these compounds could be by inhibition of cysteine proteases of the parasite.

Cysteine proteases constitute an important class of enzymes responsible for virulence factors, essential to parasite survival and are potential drug targets^{35–37}. Figures 3A and 3B show the obtained docked poses for **LabMol-72** and its molecular interactions in the active site of procathepsin L. As we can see, hydrophobic interactions and the hydrogen bond are showed. The analysis of the hits in the active site cavity reveals that the hydrophobic pocket is important for interaction between four hits and procathepsin L, Trp151 plays a significant role by performing a hydrogen bond with the carboxyl group of chalcones (see SI Figures S2, S3, S4 and Table S4 on supplementary data).

To summarize, the set of 32 recently synthesized²⁶ chalcones and chalcone-like compounds was evaluated by computational approaches to verify their potential anti-leishmanial activity. By results of this *in silico* evaluation, nine potentially active and three potentially inactive compounds were experimentally tested against L . infantum. Four compounds showed EC_{50} < 11μM. Among them, two compounds, **LabMol-65** and **LabMol-73**, exhibited cytotoxicity in macrophages $>50 \mu M$ that resulted in better selectivity than Amphotericin B. These two compounds also demonstrated low cytotoxicity and high selectivity towards Vero cells.

Based on modeling results, we suggested that activity of our compounds is caused by their interaction with cysteine proteases. We also conducted SAR analysis to derive structural recommendations useful for molecular design of new chalcones or chalcone-like compounds with antileishmanial activity. For instance, the substitution of aryl ring B by 5-nitrofuran is favorable.

The other nitrofuran analogues, nitrothiophenes, aromatic rings, pyrrole, and furan analogues were inactive against amastigotes of L. infantum (see supplementary Table S3). These results corroborates with other studies which demonstrated that chalcones^{5,25} and nitroheterocycle³⁸ compounds are active against *Leishmania* species.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Brazilian funding agencies, CNPq, CAPES and FAPEG for financial support and fellowships. E.M. acknowledge NIH (grant 1U01CA207160), and CNPq (grant 400760/2014-2) for partial financial support. C.H.A. is productivity fellow of CNPq. We are grateful to OpenEye Scientific Software, Inc. and ChemAxon for providing academic license of their software.

References and notes

- 1. Capriles PVSZ, Baptista LPR, Guedes IA, Guimaraes ACR, Custodio FL, Alves-Ferreira M, Dardenne LE. J Mol Graph Model. 2015; 55:134–147. [PubMed: 25528729]
- 2. dos Santos MS, Oliveira MLV, Bernardino AMR, de Léo RM, Amaral VF, de Carvalho FT, Leon LL, Canto-Cavalheiro MM. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2011; 21:7451–7454. [PubMed: 22055204]
- 3. World Health Organization Leishmaniasis Fact sheet N° 375. 2016.
- 4. Moreno MA, Alonso A, Alcolea PJ, Abramov A, de Lacoba MG, Abendroth J, Zhang S, Edwards T, Lorimer D, Myler PJ, Larraga V. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2014; 4:347–354. [PubMed: 25516846]
- 5. Ogungbe IV, Erwin WR, Setzer WN. J Mol Graph Model. 2014; 48:105–117. [PubMed: 24463105]
- 6. Rognan D. Br J Pharmacol. 2007; 152:38–52. [PubMed: 17533416]
- 7. Liu X, Ouyang S, Yu B, Liu Y, Huang K, Gong J, Zheng S, Li Z, Li H, Jiang H. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38:5–7.
- 8. Ekins S, Williams AJ, Krasowski MD, Freundlich JS. Drug Discov Today. 2011; 16:298–310. [PubMed: 21376136]
- 9. Eri S, Ke S, Barata T, Solmajer T, Anti Stankovi J, Jurani Z, Savi V, Zloh M. Bioorganic Med Chem. 2012; 20:5220–5228.
- 10. Wermuth, CG. The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry. 2008. 3^o
- 11. Syam S, Abdelwahab SI, Al-Mamary MA, Mohan S. Molecules. 2012; 17:6179–95. [PubMed: 22634834]
- 12. Aoki N, Muko M, Ohta E, Ohta S. J Nat Prod. 2008; 71:1308–1310. [PubMed: 18558745]
- 13. Chen YH, Wang WH, Wang YH, Lin ZY, Wen CC, Chern CY. Molecules. 2013; 18:2052–2060. [PubMed: 23385341]
- 14. Mahapatra DK, Bharti SK, Asati V. Eur J Med Chem. 2015; 98:69–114. [PubMed: 26005917]
- 15. Rizvi SUF, Siddiqui HL, Johns M, Detorio M, Schinazi RF. Med Chem Res. 2012; 21:3741–3749.
- 16. Hans RH, Guantai EM, Lategan C, Smith PJ, Wan B, Franzblau SG, Gut J, Rosenthal PJ, Chibale K. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2010; 20:942–4. [PubMed: 20045640]

- 17. Chen M, Zhai L, Christensen SB, Theander TG, Kharazmi A. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001; 45:2023–2029. [PubMed: 11408218]
- 18. Ouattara, M.; Sissouma, D.; Koné, M. W.; Hervé, E.; Touré, S. A.; Ouattara, L. 2011, 10, 767–775.
- 19. López SN, Castelli MV, Zacchino Sa, Domínguez JN, Lobo G, Charris-Charris J, Cortés JC, Ribas JC, Devia C, Rodríguez AM, Enriz RD. Bioorg Med Chem. 2001; 9:1999–2013. [PubMed: 11504637]
- 20. Avila-Villarreal G, Hernández-Abreu O, Hidalgo-Figueroa S, Navarrete-Vázquez G, Escalante-Erosa F, Peña-Rodríguez LM, Villalobos-Molina R, Estrada-Soto S. Phytomedicine. 2013
- 21. Yamamoto T, Yoshimura M, Yamaguchi F, Kouchi T, Tsuji R, Saito M, Obata A, Kikuchi M. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2004; 68:1706–1711. [PubMed: 15322354]
- 22. Jamal H, Ansari WH, Rizvi SJ. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2008; 22:673–681. [PubMed: 19049672]
- 23. Lam KW, Uddin R, Liew CY, Tham CL, Israf D a, Syahida A, Rahman MBA, Ul-Haq Z, Lajis NH. Med Chem Res. 2011; 21:1953–1966.
- 24. Sato Y, He JX, Nagai H, Tani T, Akao T. Biol Pharm Bull. 2007; 30:145–149. [PubMed: 17202675]
- 25. Passalacqua TG, Torres FAE, Nogueira CT, de Almeida L, Del Cistia ML, Dos Santos MB, Regasini LO, Graminha MAS, Marchetto R, Zottis A. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2015; 25:3564–8. [PubMed: 26169126]
- 26. Gomes MN, Braga RC, Grzelak EM, Neves BJ, Muratov EN, Ma R, Klein LK, Cho S, Oliveira GR, Franzblau SG, Andrade CH. QSAR-driven Design, Synthesis and Discovery of Potent and Selective Chalcone Derivatives with Antitubercular Activity. 2017
- 27. Liu X. PharmMapper.
- 28. NCBI BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. 2016.
- 29. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, Kiefer F, Cassarino TG, Bertoni M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42:W252–W258. [PubMed: 24782522]
- 30. Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM. J Appl Crystallogr. 1993; 26:283–291.
- 31. Ko J, Park H, Heo L, Seok C. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:294–297.
- 32. Fourches D, Muratov EN, Tropsha A. J Chem Inf Model. 2016; doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.6b00129
- 33. Fourches D, Muratov E, Tropsha A. J Chem Inf Model. 2010; 50:1189–1204. [PubMed: 20572635]
- 34. Fourches D, Muratov E, Tropsha A. Nat Chem Biol. 2015; 11:535–535. [PubMed: 26196763]
- 35. Caffrey CR, Steverding D. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009; 167:12–19. [PubMed: 19409421]
- 36. Ascenzi P, Bocedi A, Visca P, Antonini G, Gradoni L. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2003; 309:659–665. [PubMed: 12963041]
- 37. Ascenzi P, Salvati L, Bolognesi M, Colasanti M, Polticelli F, Venturini G. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2001; 2:137–53. [PubMed: 12370021]
- 38. Petri E Silva SCS, Palace-Berl F, Tavares LC, Soares SRC, Lindoso JAL. Exp Parasitol. 2016; 163:68–75. [PubMed: 26795261]

Figure 1.

Computer-aided approach to discovery new chalcones with antileishmanial activity.

Figure 2.

Derived SAR rules highlighting structural moieties favorable and unfavorable to the antileishmanial activity. Red boxes are unfavorable groups and blue boxes are favorable groups.

Figure 3.

3D (**A**) and 2D (**B**) visualization of interactions of **LabMol-72** within the binding site of procathepsin L, obtained by docking.

Table 1

Results obtained from sequence alignment on BLAST. Results obtained from sequence alignment on BLAST.

Dhfr-ts: Diidrofolate reductase; GG3PD: Glycosomal glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase Dhfr-ts: Diidrofolate reductase; GG3PD: Glycosomal glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Summary of statistics of obtained 3D models of L. infantum proteins Summary of statistics of obtained 3D models of L. infantum proteins

CK2: Creatine kinase 2; Cov.: coverage; Seq. 1d.: Sequence Identity; Temp.: Template; MFR: Most Favored Regions; AAR: Additional Allowed Regions; GAR: Generously Allowed Regions; DR:
Disallowed Regions. CK2: Creatine kinase 2; Cov.: coverage; Seq. Id.: Sequence Identity; Temp.: Template; MFR: Most Favored Regions; AAR: Additional Allowed Regions; GAR: Generously Allowed Regions; DR: Disallowed Regions.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

In vitro antileishmanial activity EC₅₀ (µM), toxicity (CC₅₀ µM) and selectivity index (SI) in macrophages and Vero cells of chalcones and chalcones-In vitro antileishmanial activity **EC50 (μM)**, toxicity (**CC50 μM)** and selectivity index (SI) in macrophages and Vero cells of chalcones and chalcones-

 $*$ $-$ Macrophage,

** Vero cells

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 June 27.

 Author ManuscriptAuthor Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript