



Salts of Amoxapine with Improved Solubility for Enhanced Pharmaceutical Applicability

Mayank Joshi and Angshuman Roy Choudhury*

Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81, Knowledge City, S.A.S. Nagar, Manauli PO, Mohali 140306, Punjab, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The objective of pharmaceutical cocrystallization is to create crystalline analogues that have vastly different properties, such as solubility, melting point, stability, and bioavailability from that observed in the pure active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). Amoxapine is a benzoxazepine derivative and exhibits antidepressant properties. Amoxapine has very low solubility in water, so it was cocrystallized with natural acids in a 1:1 ratio in appropriate solvents by the solvent-drop grinding method. Single crystals of cocrystals were grown by the solvent evaporation method in water, ethanol, and methanol. Crystal structures of API salts were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Salts were characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy,



differential scanning calorimetry, and powder X-ray diffraction. Solubility of salts was determined in water by the shake-flask method at 37 °C using UV-vis spectroscopy. Salts of amoxapine with different acids were successfully developed, and their crystal structure was determined. Enhanced solubility was found in the salts of amoxapine for pharmaceutical application in drug formulation.

■ INTRODUCTION

The concept of crystal engineering¹ has emerged since 1989 as an immense tool in designing novel crystalline phases of various combinations of different materials to control and manipulate physical and chemical properties of already existing materials. The application of crystal engineering ranges from designing multicomponent crystalline phases of a combination of two or more compounds² to the formation of large metal-organic frameworks³ and covalent organic frameworks.⁴ The idea of formation of different crystalline phases of various biologically important molecules to enhance their physical properties has led the researchers across the globe to a new area of cocrystallization of drugs with other biologically acceptable molecules.⁵⁻⁸ Cocrystallization and salt formation of various pharmaceutically important molecules have emerged as an expanding field for contemporary research.^{9,10} The FDA proposed that the cocrystals are "solids that are crystalline materials composed of two or more molecules in the same crystal lattice". According to a latest definition,¹⁰ a group of authors considered the classification of ionic species as cocrystals. Cocrystallization of antibacterial agents,¹⁰ antifungal drugs,¹¹ various antidepressants,¹² antitubercular agents,¹³ and various others types of drugs^{14,15} have been reported to have led to better solubility, dissolution rate, thermal and environmental stability, and bioavailability. Our recent efforts on cocrystallization of fluconazole resulted into the generation of new polymorphs of fluconazole under the influence of different

coformers.¹⁶ This study indicated that the crystal engineering approach may apparently fail to generate the targeted cocrystal but eventually result into a new crystalline phase (polymorph) of the native drug. Our experiments of cocrystallization of enrofloxacin resulted into seven novel cocrystals of it with significantly improved solubility and thermal stability.¹⁷ In this manuscript, we intend to demonstrate the scope of cocrystallization of a tricyclic dibenzoxazepine-based antidepressant, amoxapine (AMX; 2-chloro-11-(piperazin-1-yl)dibenzo $[b_i f]$ [1,4]oxazepine), which is widely used for the treatment of depression.¹⁸

Amoxapine became popular in the United States in 1980 as a rapidly acting antidepressant compared with other cyclic antidepressants available in the market at that period of time.¹⁹ The N-desmethyl analogue of loxapine, named as amoxapine²⁰ (Figure 1), had shown neuroleptic action in addition to antidepressant activity,²¹ specially in psychotic depression.²² Various activities of AMX, like noradrenaline reuptake inhibition,²³ 5-HT2 receptor antagonism,²⁴ and dopamine D2 receptor antagonism,²⁵ have been studied. AMX blocks the reuptake of the neurotransmitter norepinephrine, with little effect on serotonin.²⁶ AMX may be an

Received: December 19, 2017 Accepted: February 9, 2018 Published: February 27, 2018



Figure 1. Structure of amoxapine drug molecule.

atypical antipsychotic agent because it antagonizes dopamine D2 receptor.²⁷

AMX has poor water solubility and lower bioavailability.²⁸ These physical problems of AMX can be solved by cocrystallization of AMX with organic acids, which are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) in the list of The Food Safety and Inspection Service regulations.²⁹ We have selected D-(-)-tartaric acid, fumaric acid, D-(+)-tartaric acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, malonic acid, L-malic acid, and adipic acid for our experiments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Amoxapine (p $K_a = 8.83$) is likely to form salts with GRAS organic acids having p K_a ranging from 2 to 5, which are not so strong to cause harm to AMX and the cells. According to the $\Delta p K_a$ rule of 3,^{30–32} salt formation occurs at a least three units $p K_a^{32}$ difference, whereas $\Delta p K_a$ of <1 means it forms a neutral cocrystal. $\Delta p K_a$ in the range 1–3 means this is a gray zone of intermediate proton states. This concept is also observed in the case of AMX (Table 1). Conspicuously, salt formation is detected in the case of all acids that are used as coformers. $p K_a$ calculations were carried out by a ChemAxon calculator.³³

Table 1. pK_a and ΔpK_a Values of AMX and Coformers Used in This Study

SI. no.	name	pK _a	$\Delta p K_{a}$	ratio of AMX and acid
1	amoxapine	8.83		
2	D-(-)-tartaric acid	2.72	6.11	1:1
3	fumaric acid	3.03	5.80	1:1
4	D-(+)-tartaric acid	2.72	6.11	1:1
5	maleic acid	3.05	5.78	1:1
6	succinic acid	3.55	5.28	1:1
7	citric acid	3.05	5.78	1:1
8	malonic acid	2.85	5.98	1:1
9	L-malic acid	3.20	5.63	1:1
10	adipic acid	4.43, 5.41	4.4, 3.42	1:1

Crystal Structure Description. We have produced nine novel cocrystals of AMX and characterized them by powder Xray diffraction (PXRD). Single crystals, suitable for structure determination, could be grown only for four cocrystals. Single crystals of these four salts were grown by a slow evaporation method at 4 °C by using different solvent systems (AMX– fumaric acid crystal in water–methanol mixture; AMX–maleic acid crystal in water–ethanol mixture; AMX–succinic acid and AMX–malonic acid single crystals in an aqueous solution). Crystallographic data and hydrogen bonds are listed in Tables 2 and 3 for salts of AMX with fumaric acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, and malonic acid.

AMX/Fumaric Acid Salt (1:1). The asymmetric unit of the structure (triclinic system, $P\overline{1}$ space group) contained two molecules of AMX⁺ cation, one monofumarate anion and a half molecule of fumarate anion, and two molecules of water (Figure 2a). AMX⁺ is connected to fumarate anion by N6-H6A...O7 hydrogen bond and with water molecule via N6-H6B···O10 hydrogen bond (Figure 2a). The carboxylate anions are also hydrogen-bonded to each other (O4-H4...O8), and the water molecules are hydrogen-bonded to the carboxylate anions (O7…H10B-O10) (Figure 2a) as well. Water molecules are also hydrogen-bonded among them (O10-H10A····O9). Two AMX⁺ ions are arranged antiparallel in the lattice and are connected to each other by weak C-H…Cl hydrogen bond and C-H... π interactions (Figure 2b). Alternate layers of acid-water channel and drug molecules are found in the crystal packing (Figure 2c), where the hydrogen bonds are responsible for the interlayer connectivity. Acid and water molecules are bonded by strong hydrogen bonds, whereas AMX⁺ ions are attached by weak interactions $(C-H\cdots Cl \text{ and } C-H\cdots \pi)$ in the same layer.

AMX/Maleic Acid Salt (1:1). One molecule of each of AMX⁺ cation and maleic acid anion are present in the asymmetric unit (monoclinic, space group P_{2_1}/c) (Figure 3a). AMX⁺ and maleic acid are linked via N3–H3A···O3 and N3–H3B···O4 hydrogen bonds (Figure 3a). Intramolecular hydrogen bond is also present in maleic acid O5–H5···O2 (Table 3). In the same layer of AMX⁺, cations are arranged parallel to *b* axis but alternately rotated by 180 °C, as shown in the Figure 3b, whereas the cations are connected by weak C–H···Cl (Figure 3c) hydrogen bonds along *c* axis. Interlayer interaction of AMX⁺ (Figure 3c) occurs by C–H··· π and π stacking interactions. In this crystal packing, AMX⁺ cations are organized in parallel so the piperazine ring is present in the same side. They formed two layers of AMX⁺, attached by one layer of maleic acid (Figure 3c).

AMX/Succinic Acid Salt (1:1). The asymmetric unit of this crystal has 4 molecules of AMX⁺ cation, 2 molecules of disuccinate anion, and 10 molecules of water (monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ space group) (Figure 4a). AMX⁺ and succinate anions are connected via strong hydrogen bonds (N3–H3A···O5, N6–H6B···O12, N9–H9B···O9, and N12–H12A···O8). AMX⁺ and water molecules are interlinked by N3–H3B···O13, N6–H6A···O14, N9–H9A···O18, and N12–H12B···O19 hydrogen bonds (Table 3). Two AMX⁺ molecules are arranged antiparallel in a layer, and they are interconnected by C–H··· π , C–H···Cl, and C–H···O interactions (Figure 4b). Layers of AMX⁺ and succinic acid–water channel are arranged in an alternate manner in crystal packing because active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) is arranged antiparallel in the same layer (Figure 4c).

AMX/Malonic Acid Salt (1:1). The asymmetric unit is shown, containing two molecules of AMX⁺ cation, one molecule of malonate anion, and three molecules of water (monoclinic, $P2_1/c$) (Figure 5a). AMX⁺ cations are attached to malonate anions by strong hydrogen bonding (Table 3) of N3–H3A···O4, N3–H3A···O6, N3–H3B···O3, N6–H6A···O5, N6–H6A···O6, N6–H6B···O3, and N6–H6B···O4. Water molecules are also interconnected by hydrogen bonds (Table 3). AMX⁺ cations are arranged in a partially parallel pattern in the same layer and connected by C–H··· π and C–H··· π of (Figure 5b), forming a chain of molecules. Two layers of

Table 2. Crystallographic Data of AMX Salts

parameter	AMX/fumaric acid	AMX/maleic acid	AMX/succinic acid	AMX/malonic acid
empirical formula	$2(C_{17}H_{17}ClN_{3}O)^{+}, (C_{4}H_{3}O_{4})^{-}, (C_{2}HO_{2})^{-}, 2(H_{2}O)$	$(C_{17}H_{17}ClN_3O)^+$, $(C_4H_3O_4)^-$	$2(C_{17}H_{17}ClN_{3}O)^{+}, (C_{4}H_{4}O_{4})^{2-}, 5(H_{2}O)$	$2(C_{17}H_{17}ClN_{3}O)^{+}, (C_{3}H_{2}O_{4})^{2^{-}}, 2(H_{2}O)$
CCDC number	1590199	1590200	1590201	1812331
formula weight	429.85	429.85	835.72	767.65
crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	P2 ₁ /c	P2 ₁ /c	$P2_{1}/c$
a (Å)	9.2238(2)	20.5724(6)	15.7210(2)	21.3450(7)
b (Å)	12.4815(2)	9.8586(3)	19.4546(3)	9.9547(3)
c (Å)	17.0725(3)	9.7866(3)	25.7568(4)	17.4738(6)
α (deg)	86.2850(10)	90	90	90
β (deg)	89.375(2)	97.143(3)	97.940(2)	102.057(3)
γ (deg)	82.224(2)	90	90	90
V (Å ³)	1943.33(6)	1969.46(10)	7802.1(2)	3631.0(2)
Ζ	4	4	8	4
$\rho_{\rm calc}~({\rm g/cm^{-3}})$	1.469	1.450	1.423	1.404
temperature (K)	100.0(2)	100.0(2)	100.0(2)	100.0(2)
μ/mm^{-1}	0.237	0.234	0.236	0.241
$2\theta_{\min,\max}$ (deg)	5.062, 65.696	5.746, 65.678	5.078, 65.546	4.928, 65.604
F(000)	896.0	896.0	3520.0	1608.0
$h_{\min,\max}; k_{\min,\max}; l_{\min,\max}$	-14, 14; -18, 17; -25, 25	-30, 31; -14, 14; -14, 14	-23, 23; -28, 29; -38, 38	-32, 31; -14, 14; -26, 26
total no. of reflections	43 941	43 349	183 373	67 443
R _{int}	0.0249	0.0525	0.0528	0.0546
no. of unique reflections	13 758	7218	28 243	12 968
$R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0419	0.0677	0.0699	0.0695
wR ₂ (all data)	0.1190	0.1989	0.2243	0.2009
GooF on F ²	1.063	1.076	1.037	1.035
$\Delta ho_{ m max,min}/{ m e}~{ m \AA}^{-3}$	0.61, -0.29	0.50, -0.37	1.19, -0.60	1.19, -0.51

AMX⁺ are also connected by a different $C-H\cdots\pi$ interaction (Figure 5c). Two layers of AMX⁺ in the lattice are connected by $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions as they are arranged in parallel, which are further connected to malonic acid and water molecules present in the channel by strong hydrogen bonds (Figure 5c).

Powder X-Ray Diffraction. The overlay of calculated patterns from the single crystal on the experimental powder XRD pattern confirms the homogeneity and purity of newly formed salts of AMX with fumaric acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, and malonic acid (Figures S30-S33; Supporting Information). Microcrystalline powder of all salts of AMX were formed by ethanol by grinding 5-6 times. The powder pattern of AMX, the corresponding acid, and salts are shown in Figures S1-S9, Supporting Information. Generation of a new salt through solvent-assisted grinding has been confirmed by the PXRD pattern of the product of the grinding experiment. The PXRD patterns of all of the salts were found to be different from those of the starting materials, and also no peak of the starting material was found as that of the impurity. The comparison of simulated PXRD pattern of the salt from the corresponding single crystal data and the experimental PXRD pattern of the same salt indicated that the hydrated salts (3, 6, and 8) have a different PXRD pattern compared to that of the crude material, whereas the pattern of anhydrous salt (5) found by single-crystal X-ray diffraction matched that of the crude material. The difference in the powder pattern compared to that of AMX and acid indicated the formation of a novel solid form, which is supported by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Figures S33–S42, Supporting Information).

IR Spectroscopy. In AMX salts, proton is transferred from acid to the nitrogen of piperazine ring of AMX. Generally,

COOH group stretching frequency occurs at $1730-1700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and COO⁻ group absorbs around 1600 cm⁻¹ (asymmetric). N–H absorption peak for the salt comes at 3400–3100 cm⁻¹ (stretching) and 1610–1550 cm⁻¹ (bending). C–N absorption peak appears at 1350–1000 cm⁻¹ (Table S1 and Figures S20–S29). By this data, it is understood that new salts of the AMX are formed and proton is transferred to the nitrogen of API.

Thermal Analysis. Amoxapine displayed a sharp endothermic reaction at 183.1 °C without any phase transformation. Salts of AMX with D-(-)-tartaric acid, fumaric acid, D-(+)-tartaric acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, malonic acid, L-malic acid, and adipic acid show melting points at 147.2, 127.2, 147.1, 183.3, 95.8, 209.5, 162.3, 173.0, and 193.0 °C, respectively. Citrate salt of AMX has shown the highest melting point of 209.5 °C, and succinate salt of AMX has displayed the lowest melting point of 95.8 °C. DSC thermograms are given in Supporting Information, Figures S34–S43, and melting point and melting enthalpies are listed in Table 4.

Solubility Study. AMX (a biopharmaceutics classification system class II drug) has poor solubility in water (0.171 mg/mL).³⁴ Hence, it limits the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of API, which exert effect on the intrinsic dissolution rate and bioavailability. Enhancement of solubility by help of crystal engineering of AMX with acids has been observed. A solubility experiment of AMX and its salts was performed in double-distilled water at 37 °C to determine the aqueous solubility. The solubility of each salt after 24 h is measured by plotting a calibration curve using UV–visible spectroscopy (Figures S10–S19). Solubilities of AMX and its salts have been displayed in Table 5. The solubility of AMX has increased by 4.5–60.4 times for its salts, as shown in Figure 6.







Figure 2. (a) Asymmetric unit of AMX/fumaric acid cocrystal. (b) Intralayer interaction between drug molecules. (c) Layers of AMX and fumaric acid–water channel in crystal packing.

The highest solubility is observed in L-malic acid salt of AMX (23.5 mg/mL) and lowest in citrate salt of AMX (1.8 mg/mL).

DISCUSSION

We have been successful in growing single crystals of four salts out of nine and determined their structures. The crystal structures of these four salts have common features in the packing of ions and solvents. AMX/fumaric acid salt and AMX/ succinic acid salt have an alternate arrangement of AMX⁺ layer and acid—water channel due to the antiparallel arrangement of AMX⁺ in the same layer providing binding sites for the respective carboxylate anion or water molecule to form strong hydrogen bonds. Therefore, these salts have lower melting points than those of other salts, as AMX/fumaric acid salt has a melting point 127.2 $^{\circ}$ C, which is higher than that of AMX/ succinic acid (95.8 °C) because AMX layer follows more regularity in AMX/fumaric acid salt. They can be differentiated by melting enthalpies also; AMX/fumaric acid salt has less melting enthalpy (13.9 J/g) than that of AMX/succinic acid salt (23.4 J/g). In the case of AMX/maleic acid salt and AMX/ malonic acid salt, single crystals have different patterns; two layers of AMX are present in between the acid layer in the case of AMX/maleic acid salt. In both cases, the melting point is higher than that of previous salts (Table 4). The melting point difference between these two salts may be due to the additional $\pi-\pi$ stacking present in AMX/maleic acid cocrystal along with C-H··· π interaction between two layers of AMX. However, AMX/malonic acid cocrystal has only C-H··· π interaction. Among these four salts, AMX/maleic acid salt has the lowest solubility,



(b)



(c)

Figure 3. (a) Asymmetric unit of AMX/maleic acid cocrystal. (b) Intralayer interaction of AMX along b axis. (c) Interlayer interactions along c axis and layers of AMX and maleic acid in crystal packing.

2.7 mg/mL, but others have higher solubilities (Table 5). AMX/maleic acid salt is anhydrous, but the other three are hydrated. This may be attributed to the higher solubility of these three (AMX/fumaric acid salt, AMX/succinic acid salt, and AMX/malonic acid salt).

CONCLUSIONS

We have synthesized nine novel salts of amoxapine by a rational use of the $\Delta p K_a$ rule of 3. We have found four single crystals of AMX salt with fumaric acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, and malonic acid, with solved structures by single-crystal XRD. In salt structures, the proton of acid is transferred to the nitrogen of piperazine ring in AMX by ionic N⁺-H···O⁻ hydrogen bond. A solubility experiment was performed in double-distilled water at 37 °C for all salts of AMX and pure API. We have found many-fold increments in solubility, i.e., 4.5- to 60.4-fold. This introductory study encourages us for further experiment of soluble salts of amoxapine for antidepressant activity in vivo and in vitro in future.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Amoxapine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The cocrystal formers were obtained from various commercial suppliers, such as Adipic acid from Sigma-Aldrich; D-(-)-tartaric acid from Spectrochem Pvt. Ltd., India; fumaric acid, maleic acid, and succinic acid from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India; (+)tartaric acid from Qualigens Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., India; and citric acid, malonic acid, and L-malic acid from HIMEDIA Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India. Analytical grade solvents ethanol



(c)



(b)

Figure 4. (a) Asymmetric unit of AMX/succinic acid cocrystal. (b) Intralayer interaction of AMX molecules. (c) Layers of AMX and succinic acid– water channel in crystal packing.

and methanol were obtained from Merck, Millipore Corporation.

Solvent-Drop Grinding Method and Slow Evaporation

Method. The salts were synthesized by the solvent-drop grinding method. An equimolar mixture of the API and a cocrystal former (one among maleic acid, fumaric acid, citric acid, succinic acid, D-(-)-tartaric acid, (+)-tartaric acid, adipic acid, L-malic acid, and malonic acid) was made by accurate weighing. Hundred microliters of ethanol was added to the mixture using a micropipette and was ground in agate mortar

and pestle till free-flowing powder was formed. The same process was followed about 5-6 times till a new single phase was obtained. Powder X-ray diffraction data were recorded after a new single phase was obtained (Figures S1-S9, Supporting Information). Once a new single phase was formed, the resulting mixture was used for Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) (Figures S20-S29, Table S1, Supporting Information) and DSC (Figures S34-S43, Supporting Information) (Table 4); a portion was dissolved in various solvent systems and kept for the growth of the single crystal by a slow evaporation



(b)



Figure 5. (a) Asymmetric unit of AMX/malonic acid salt. (b) Intralayer interaction of AMX. (c) Layers of AMX and malonic acid-water channel in crystal packing.

process and was also used for the determination of saturation solubility.

Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD). PXRD data were recorded on a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer using parallel beam geometry equipped with a Cu K α source, 2.5° primary and secondary solar slits, a 5° in-plane divergence slit with a 10 mm height limit of the slit, a sample rotation stage (120 rpm) attachment, and a DTex Ultra detector. The data were collected

over the 2θ range of $5-50^{\circ}$ with a scanning speed of $2^{\circ}/\text{min}$, with 0.02° steps. PXRD patterns are shown in the Figures S1–S9, Supporting Information.

FTIR Spectroscopy. FTIR spectra of APIs and their complexes were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum Two FTIR spectrometer in the range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Samples were prepared using dry KBr in the form of a pellet, and data

ACS Omega

salts

salts	interactions	D-H (A)	$H \cdots A(A)$	D…A (A)	D–H…A (deg)	symmetry code
AMX/fumaric acid (1:1)	N3-H3A…O6	0.91	1.81	2.703(1)	166	-1 + x, 1 + y, -1 + z
	N3-H3BO5	0.91	1.93	2.821(1)	165	1 - x, -y, 1 - z
	O4–H4A…O8	0.84	1.79	2.623(1)	173	1 - x, -y, 1 - z
	N6-H6A…O7	0.91	1.82	2.717(1)	167	1 - x, -y, 1 - z
	N6-H6B…O10	0.91	1.84	2.712(1)	160	1 - x, -1 - y, 1 - z
	O9–H9A…O8	0.85	1.98	2.817(1)	166	-x, -1 - y, 1 - z
	09-H9B···06	0.85	1.95	2.804(1)	179	$1 - x_1 - 1 - y_1 - z_1$
	O10-H10A····O9	0.85	1.91	2.756(1)	173	$1 - x_1 - 1 - y_1 - z_1$
	O10-H10B…O7	0.85	1.91	2.747(1)	171	$1 - x_1 - 1 - y_1 - z_1$
	C14-H14A····O3	0.99	2.47	3.193(1)	12.9	$x_{1} + y_{2} - 1 + z_{1}$
	C15-H15B…O1	0.99	2.58	3.142(1)	116	$1 - x_1 - y_2 - z_1$
	C16-H16B···O3	0.99	2.57	3.531(1)	163	$-x_{1} - y_{2} - z_{1} - z_{2}$
	C31-H31B····O4	0.99	2.45	3.271(1)	140	$-x_{1} - y_{2} - z_{1} - z_{2}$
	C32-H32B···Cl1	0.99	2.74	3.543(1)	139	x, y, 1 + z
	C37-H37-04	0.95	2.42	2.755(1)	101	x, y, 1 + z
	C17-H17…π	0.99	3 32	20,00(1)	127	•••,), 2 • ~
AMX/maleic acid (1.1)	N3-H3AO3	0.89	2.55	2910(2)	105	$-r = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} - r$
This is a set (1.1)	N3-H3AO3	0.89	1.89	2.910(2) 2.767(2)	167	$x_{y}^{-1/2} + y_{y}^{-3/2} = z$ $x_{y}^{-1/2} + z$
	N3-H3B04	0.89	1.07	2.707(2) 2.793(2)	171	x, 3/2 = y, 1/2 + z x 3/2 = y 1/2 + z
	02-H505	1.36(4)	1.91 1.07(4)	2.775(2) 2.426(2)	171	x, 3/2 = y, 1/2 + z x 3/2 = y 1/2 + z
	C14-H14AO2	0.97	2.58	2.420(2) 3.188(2)	170	x, 3/2 = y, 1/2 + z
	C15-H15AO2	0.97	2.58	3.160(2) 3.150(2)	118	x, 3/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	C15-H15B-05	0.97	2.37	3.130(2) 3.165(2)	110	x, 3/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	C19_H19O3	0.97	2.37	3.103(2) 3.269(2)	162	x, 5/2 - y, 1/2 + z x, 5/2 - y, -1/2 + z
	C16-H16BCl1	0.93	2.37	3.209(2)	102	x, 3/2 - y, -1/2 + 2
	$C10-H10\pi$	0.93	2.30		125	
AMX/succipic acid (1.1)	000H - H00A 010	0.95	1.93	2771(3)	133	$x = \frac{1}{2} - x = \frac{1}{2} + z$
Thirty succinic actu (1.1)	N3_H3A05	0.89	1.95	2.771(3) 2.734(3)	1/1	x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	N3-H3B013	0.89	2.09	2.737(3)	149	x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	N6-H64014	0.89	1.84	2.333(3)	162	$x_{y} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2$
	N6-H6B012	0.89	1.04	2.701(3)	167	2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	NO HOA 018	0.89	1.85	2.099(3)	167	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	N0_H0B00	0.89	1.82	2.031(3)	167	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	N12_H12AO	0.89	1.85	2.709(3)	165	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	N12-H12A····O6	0.89	1.80	2.732(3)	105	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	N12-H12B····019	0.89	2.10	2.90/(3)	130	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	013-H13B····012	0.85	1.99	2.749(2)	140	1 + x, y, z
	$014 - H14C \cdots 020$	0.85	1.95	2.731(3)	157	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	015-H15C…07	0.85	1.98	2.810(3)	107	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	016-H16C…01/	0.85	1.99	2.830(3)	170	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	010-H10D00	0.85	1.97	2.010(3)	172	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	017-H17D 010	0.85	1.93	2.794(3)	1/0	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	$O_{10} H_{101} O_{0}$	0.85	2.02	2.033(3)	107	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	019-H19A09	0.85	1.97	2.739(3)	150	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	020-H20A…011	0.85	1.93	2.779(3)	1//	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	020-H20B-019	0.85	2.11	2.954(3)	1/3	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	021-H2IB…011	0.85	2.04	2.860(3)	163	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	CI/-HI/A···NI	0.97	2.31	2.685(3)	102	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	C31-H31A····O4	0.97	2.57	3.3/(3)	141	1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z
	C34-H34A011	0.97	2.58	3.511(3)	160	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	C34-H34B····N4	0.97	2.35	2.704(3)	101	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	CSI-HSIA-OIU	0.97	2.57	3.510(3)	162	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	CS1-HS1BN/	0.97	2.34	2.092(3)	101	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	C65-H65A···OI	0.97	2.60	3.426(3)	144	x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	C68-H68BN10	0.97	2.31	2.688(3)	102	x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	С49—Н49В… <i>π</i>	0.97		3.037	155	
	С66—Н66В… <i>π</i>	0.97		2.904	152	
AMX/malonic acid (1:1)	N3-H3A····O4	0.89	2.50	3.109(3)	126	1 - x, $1/2 + y$, $3/2 - z$
	N3-H3A····O6	0.89	2.20	2.883(3)	133	1 - x, $1/2 + y$, $3/2 - z$
	N3-H3BO3	0.89	1.86	2.737(3)	166	x, 1 + y, z

N6-H6A…O5

N6-H6A…O6

DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.7b02023 ACS Omega 2018, 3, 2406–2416

x, 1 + y, z

1 - x, -y, 1 - z

1 - x, -y, 1 - z

163

139

2413

1.85

2.56

2.717(3)

3.289(2)

0.89

0.89

Table 3. continued

salts	interactions	D–Н (Å)	H…A (Å)	D…A (Å)	D−H…A (deg)	symmetry code
	N6-H6BO3	0.89	2.49	3.122(3)	128	x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z
	N6-H6B…O4	0.89	1.87	2.753(3)	171	x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z
	O8–H8A…O9	0.85	2.33	2.897(3)	125	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	O8-H8B…O4	0.85	2.51	2.946(3)	112	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	O9-H9A…O6	0.85	2.00	2.837(3)	169	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	O9-H9B…O8	0.85	2.07	2.897(3)	165	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	O9-H9B…O7	0.85	1.93	2.737(11)	158	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	C10-H10····O9	0.93	2.56	3.486(3)	176	x, -1 + y, z
	C12-H12-O2	0.93	2.53	3.238(3)	133	x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z
	C32-H32A…O8	0.97	2.57	3.506(3)	162	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	C32-H32A…O7	0.97	2.55	3.400(11)	146	1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z
	C32-H32B····O3	0.97	2.57	3.069(3)	112	x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z
	С33-Н33А… <i>π</i>	0.97		3.175	145	
	С34-Н34А…π	0.97		3.538	127	

3.369

0.93

Table 4. Melting Point and Melting Enthalpies of AMX and **Its Salts**

С23-Н23…π

name	melting point of API/conformer (°C)	melting point of salt with AMX (°C)	melting enthalpies (J/g)
amoxapine	183.1		114.4
D-(—)-tartaric acid	173	147.2	83.0
fumaric acid	287	127.2	13.9
D-(+)-tartaric acid	206	147.1	45.1
maleic acid	135	183.3	21.8
succinic acid	184	95.8	23.4
citric acid	153	209.5	442.6
malonic acid	135	162.3	11.6
L-malic acid	131	173.0	60.4
adipic acid	152	193.0	96.6

Table 5. Solubility of AMX and Its Salts

\$ 10	compound	solubility (mg/mI)
3. 110.	compound	solubility (ling/line)
1	AMX	0.4
2	AMX/D-(–)-tartaric acid	5.8
3	AMX/fumaric acid	10.7
4	AMX/D-(+)-tartaric acid	6.1
5	AMX/maleic acid	2.7
6	AMX/succinic acid	4.7
7	AMX/citric acid	1.8
8	AMX/malonic acid	9.5
9	AMX/L-malic acid	23.5
10	AMX/adipic acid	4.7



Figure 6. Enhancement in solubility of salts of AMX.

were processed by using Spectrum software.³⁵ Table S1 lists the FTIR peaks indicating the salt formation.

155

Thermal Analysis. The melting points (Table 4) and thermal properties of the complexes were determined by Perkin-Elmer DSC8000. All of the samples (2-5 mg) were heated at a 5 °C/min heating rate in sealed aluminum pans. The melting points and the melting enthalpies of AMX and their salts are reported in the Table 4, and the DSC traces are reported in the Supporting Information (Figure \$34-\$43).

Solubility Analysis Using UV-Vis Spectroscopy. The solubility (at 37 °C) of the salts and of the commercially available API was determined by using UV-vis spectroscopy, as reported by Karanam et al.,¹⁷ by using the approach established by Higuchi and Connors.³⁶ Initially, the calibration curve was drawn by using solutions of AMX of known concentrations of AMX and all salts at $\lambda_{\rm max}$ of 209 nm in water on a Lab India UV3000+ UV-vis spectrophotometer (Figures S10-S19). These absorbances were plotted against concentration, and a linear equation is determined for calculating unknown concentrations. Molar extinction coefficients of AMX and the salts were determined by the slope of the curve. Concurrently saturated solutions of each of the AMX and salts were prepared by stirring an excess amount of the compound/salt in 2 mL of deionized water in 5 mL sealed vials at 37 °C and stirred at 1500 rpm for 24 h. These solutions were then centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 20 min; then, the supernatant solution was diluted 1000 times using double-distilled water. The absorbance of the diluted solution was measured at λ_{max} (209 nm) of the salt, and the concentration of the salt was determined using the calibration curve. The solubility was calculated by multiplying the concentration with a dilution factor. Table 5 lists the solubility of AMX and their novel salts.

Single-Crystal X-Ray Diffraction. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Rigaku XtaLABmini Xray diffractometer equipped with a Mercury charge-coupled device detector with graphite monochromatic Mo K α radiation $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$ at 100.0 (2) K using ω scans. The data were reduced using CrysAlisPro 1.171.38.46, and the space group determination was done using Olex2.37 The crystal structures were solved by using ShelXT³⁸ and were refined using ShelXL³⁹ through Olex2 suite. All of the hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed and refined using the riding model. Absorption correction was done by a multiscan method. Data collection, crystal structure solution, and refinement details for all of the salts are listed in Table 2. All of the packing and interaction diagrams have been generated using Mercury 3.9.⁴⁰ Crystals of 1:1 salt of AMX with fumaric acid were obtained from a water/methanol (1:1) mixture at 4 °C in a refrigerator. Other crystals of AMX with maleic acid in a 1:1 salt were grown in a 1:1 water: ethanol mixture at 4 °C. Crystals of AMX with succinic acid and malonic acid were prepared in water at 4 °C. Good quality single crystals were obtained after 10–15 days.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.7b02023.

PXRD patterns, DSC traces, and FTIR spectra (PDF) Crystallographic data for salts of AMX with fumaric acid, maleic acid, succinic acid, and malonic acid (CIF) (CIF) (CIF) (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: angshurc@iisermohali.ac.in.

ORCID 0

Angshuman Roy Choudhury: 0000-0002-4018-0451 Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank IISER Mohali for funding, infrastructural facility, all of the instrumental facilities, including FTIR, XtaLABmini X-ray diffractometer, PXRD, DSC, computational facility, etc. M.J. thanks DST for INSPIRE fellowship (IF160793).

REFERENCES

(1) Desiraju, G. R. Crystal Engineering: A Holistic View. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8342-8356.

(2) Desiraju, G. R. Crystal Engineering: From Molecule to Crystal. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9952–9967.

(3) Eddaoudi, M.; Moler, D. B.; Li, H.; Chen, B.; Reineke, T. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Modular Chemistry: Secondary Building Units as a Basis for the Design of Highly Porous and Robust Metal-Organic Carboxylate Frameworks. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 319–330.

(4) Jones, J. T. A.; Hasell, T.; Wu, X.; Bacsa, J.; Jelfs, K. E.; Schmidtmann, M.; Chong, S. Y.; Adams, D. J.; Trewin, A.; Schiffman, F.; et al. Modular and Predictable Assembly of Porous Organic Molecular Crystals. *Nature* **2011**, *474*, 367–371.

(5) Salmon, D. J.; et al. Building Co-Crystals with Molecular Sense and Supramolecular Sensibility Highlight. *CrystEngComm* **2005**, *7*, 439–448.

(6) Thomas, J. M. Crystal Engineering: Origins, Early Adventures and Some Current Trends. *CrystEngComm* **2011**, *13*, No. 4304.

(7) Desiraju, G. R. A Bond by Any Other Name. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 52–59.

(8) Hathwar, V. R.; Thakur, T. S.; Guru Row, T. N.; Desiraju, G. R. Transferability of Multipole Charge Density Parameters for Supramolecular Synthons: A New Tool for Quantitative Crystal Engineering. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2011**, *11*, 616–623.

(9) Kastelic, J.; Lah, N.; Kikelj, D.; Leban, I. A 1:1 Cocrystal of Fluconazole with Salicylic Acid. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 2011, 67, 0370–0372.

(10) Aitipamula, S.; Banerjee, R.; Bansal, A. K.; Biradha, K.; Cheney, M. L.; Choudhury, A. R.; Desiraju, G. R.; Dikundwar, A. G.; Dubey, R.; Duggirala, N.; Ghogale, P. P.; Ghosh, S.; Goswami, P. K.; Goud, N. R.; Jetti, R. K. R.; Karpinski, P.; Kaushik, P.; Kumar, D.; Kumar, V.; Moulton, B.; Mukherjee, A.; Mukherjee, G.; Myerson, A. S.; Puri, V.; Ramanan, A.; Rajamannar, T.; Reddy, C. M.; Hornedo, N. R.; Rogers, R. D.; Row, T. N. G.; Sanphui, P.; Shan, N.; Shete, G.; Singh, A.; Sun, C. C.; Swift, J. A.; Thaimattam, R.; Thakur, T. S.; Thaper, R. K.; Thomas, S. P.; Tothadi, S.; Vangala, V. R.; Variankaval, N.; Vishweshwar, P.; Weyna, D. R.; Zaworotko, M. J. Polymorphs, Salts, and Cocrystals: What's in a Name? *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 2147– 2152.

(11) Aitipamula, S.; Vangala, V. R.; Chow, P. S.; Tan, R. B. H. Cocrystal Hydrate of an Antifungal Drug, Griseofulvin, with Promising Physicochemical Properties. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 5858–5863.

(12) Yan, Y.; Chen, J. M.; Geng, N.; Lu, T. B. Improving the Solubility of Agomelatine via Cocrystals. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 2226–2233.

(13) Mannava, M. K. C.; Suresh, K.; Nangia, A. Enhanced Bioavailability in the Oxalate Salt of the Anti-Tuberculosis Drug Ethionamide. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2016**, *16*, 1591–1598.

(14) Clarke, H. D.; Hickey, M. B.; Moulton, B.; Perman, J. A.; Peterson, M. L.; Wojtas, Ł.; Almarsson, Ö.; Zaworotko, M. J. Crystal Engineering of Isostructural Quaternary Multicomponent Crystal Forms of Olanzapine. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 4194–4201.

(15) Thakuria, R.; Nangia, A. Olanzapinium Salts, Isostructural Solvates, and Their Physicochemical Properties. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2013**, *13*, 3672–3680.

(16) Karanam, M.; Dev, S.; Choudhury, A. R. New Polymorphs of Fluconazole: Results from Cocrystallization Experiments. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 240–252.

(17) Karanam, M.; Choudhury, A. R. Structural Landscape of Pure Enrofloxacin and Its Novel Salts: Enhanced Solubility for Better Pharmaceutical Applicability. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2013**, *13*, 1626–1637.

(18) Cohen, B. M.; Harris, P. Q.; Altesman, R. I.; Cole, J. O. Amoxapine: Neuroleptic as Well as Antidepressant? *Am. J. Psychiatry* **1982**, *139*, 1165–1167.

(19) Ban, T. A. Amoxapine and Viloxazine: Review of the Literature with Special Reference to Clinical Studies. *Psychopharmacol. Bull.* **1979**, *15*, 22–25.

(20) Lydiard, R. B.; Gelenberg, A. J. Amoxapine-An Antidepressant With Some Neuroleptic Properties?: A Review of Its Chemistry, Animal Pharmacology and Toxicology, Human Pharmacology, and Clinical Efficacy. *Pharmacotherapy* **1981**, *1*, 163–178.

(21) Stewart, D.; Stavness, C.; King, G.; Antle, B.; Law, M. A critical appraisal of amoxapine. *Phys. Occup. Ther. Pediatr.* **2006**, *26*, 5–24.

(22) Lydiard, R. B.; Gelenberg, A. J. Amoxapine - An Antidepressant With Some Neuroleptic Properties?: A Review of Its Chemistry, Animal Pharmacology and Toxicology, Human Pharmacology, and Clinical Efficacy. *Pharmacotherapy* **1981**, *1*, 163–178.

(23) Kinney, J. L.; Evans, R. L. J. Evaluation of Amoxapine. Clin. Pharmacol. 1982, 1, 417-424.

(24) Wander, T. J.; Nelson, A.; Haruo, O.; Richelson, E. Antagonism by Antidepressants of Serotonin S1 and S2 Receptors of Normal Human Brain in Vitro. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1986**, *132*, 115–121.

(25) Richelson, E.; Nelson, A. Antagonism by Antidepressants of Neurotransmitter Receptors of Normal Human Brain in Vitro. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **1984**, 230, 94–102.

(26) Graveleau, C.; Paust, H.-J.; Schmidt-grimminger, D.; Mukhopadhyay, A. K. Presence of a 5-HT7Receptor Positively Coupled to Adenylate Cyclase Activation in Human Granulosa-Lutein Cells1. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2009, 85, 1277–1286.

(27) Apiquian, R.; Fresan, A.; Ulloa, R. E.; De La Fuente-Sandoval, C.; Herrera-Estrella, M.; Vazquez, A.; Nicolini, H.; Kapur, S. Amoxapine as an Atypical Antipsychotic: A Comparative Study vs Risperidone. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2005**, *30*, 2236–2244.

(28) Calvo, M. B.; Garcia, M. J.; Pedraz, J. L.; Dominguez-Gil, A. Bioavailability of Amoxapine in Experimental Animals. *Boll. Chim. Farm.* **1986**, *125*, 307–311.

(29) Tamblyn, K. C.; Conner, D. E. Bactericidal Activity of Organic Acids against Salmonella Typhimurium Attached to Broiler Chicken Skin. J. Food Prot. **1997**, *60*, 629–633. (30) Aakeröy, C. B.; Fasulo, M. E.; Desper, J. Cocrystal or Salt: Does It Really Matter? *Mol. Pharmaceutics* **2007**, *4*, 317–322.

(31) Sarma, B.; Nath, N. K.; Bhogala, B. R.; Nangia, A. Synthon Competition and Cooperation in Molecular Salts of Hydroxybenzoic Acids and Aminopyridines. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2009**, *9*, 1546–1557.

(32) Cruz-Cabeza, A. J. Acid-base Crystalline Complexes and the pK_a Rule. CrystEngComm 2012, 14, 6362.

(33) Marvin 5.10.1, 2017. http://www.chemaxon.com (accessed Nov 20, 2017).

(34) Tetko, I. V.; Gasteiger, J.; Todeschini, R.; Mauri, A.; Livingstone, D.; Ertl, P.; Palyulin, V. A.; Radchenko, E. V.; Zefirov, N. S.; Makarenko, A. S.; et al. Virtual Computational Chemistry Laboratory - Design and Description. *J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des.* **2005**, *19*, 453–463.

(35) Joshi, M.; Kumar, P.; Kumar, R.; Sharma, G.; Singh, B.; Katare, O. P.; Raza, K. Aminated Carbon-Based "cargo Vehicles" for Improved Delivery of Methotrexate to Breast Cancer Cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, C 2017, 75, 1376–1388.

(36) Higuchi, T. K.; Connors, K. A. Phase Solubility Techniques. Adv. Anal. Chem. Instrum. 1965, 4, 117–212.

(37) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. IUCr. OLEX2: A Complete Structure Solution, Refinement and Analysis Program. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339– 341.

(38) Sheldrick, G. M. IUCr. Crystal Structure Refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3-8.

(39) Sheldrick, G. M. IUCr. A Short History of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 2008, 64, 112–122.

(40) Macrae, C. F.; Bruno, I. J.; Chisholm, J. A.; Edgington, P. R.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Rodriguez-Monge, L.; Taylor, R.; van de Streek, J.; Wood, P. A.; et al. Mercury CSD 2.0 – New Features for the Visualization and Investigation of Crystal Structures. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2008**, *41*, 466–470.