EDITORIAL

Evolving treatment strategies in CML – moving from early and deep molecular responses to TKI discontinuation and treatment-free remission: is there a need for longer-term trial outcomes?

Correspondence Ahmet Emre Eşkazan, Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Fatih, Istanbul, Turkey. Tel.: +90 533 722 73 76; Fax: +90 212 589 79 34; E-mail: emreeskazan@hotmail.com

Received 21 April 2018; Revised 30 April 2018; Accepted 4 May 2018

Ahmet Emre Eşkazan 🗓

Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Keywords chronic myeloid leukaemia, deep molecular response, discontinuation, early molecular response, treatment-free remission, tyrosine kinase inhibitor

The management of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) evolved dramatically with the introduction of BCR-ABL1 tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Imatinib is the first TKI utilized in CML treatment, which was approved after the initial published data of the International Randomized Study of Interferon and STI571 (IRIS) trial, where imatinib was compared to combination of interferon alpha and low-dose cytarabine in patients with newly diagnosed chronic phase CML (CML-CP) [1]. Complete cytogenetic response and rate of progression to advanced disease was superior in the imatinib arm, and the responses to imatinib remained durable, as shown in the most recent update of the IRIS study with an estimated overall survival (OS) rate of 83.3% at 10 years within the imatinib group [2]. Nowadays, patients with CML-CP usually live near-normal life spans [3], and in a single-centre retrospective analysis, patients with CML-CP who were treated with TKIs in clinical trials had a 5-year OS estimated at 94.7% relative to the general population of the United States (US) within the era of TKIs [4].

During TKI treatment, response monitoring is essential, and molecular response (MR) is the most sensitive measure

of response. MR classification is based on BCR-ABL1 to control gene transcript ratios, expressed on the international reporting scale (IS), where major molecular response (MMR) or MR³ is defined as $BCR-ABL1^{IS} \le 0.1\%$, MR⁴; $BCR-ABL1^{IS}$ \leq 0.01%, and MR^{4.5}; BCR-ABL1^{IS} \leq 0.0032%. Early molecular response (EMR) is defined as $BCR-ABL1^{IS} \le 10\%$ at 3 or 6 months of TKI treatment, and deep molecular response (DMR) is referred to MR⁴ or MR^{4.5} [5].

Nilotinib and dasatinib are second-generation TKIs (2G-TKIs), and they are more potent than imatinib with lower rates of transformation to advanced disease. These two TKIs were shown to be superior to imatinib including the speed and the depth of responses, and they are approved in the frontline treatment of patients with CML-CP in some countries following 2 phase III prospective, randomized, company-sponsored trials [6, 7]. Although more patients achieve EMR and DMR under 2G-TKIs than with imatinib, these drugs did not demonstrate a significant benefit in the long-term outcomes including progression-free survival and OS over imatinib, when used in the upfront setting in patients with CML-CP [8, 9].



Bosutinib is another 2G-TKI, and this drug was proven to be efficient among imatinib resistant or intolerant cases. However, bosutinib 500 mg daily failed to demonstrate superior outcomes over imatinib in the BELA (Bosutinib Efficacy and Safety in Newly Diagnosed CML) trial, in which the primary endpoint was complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) at 12 months [10]. And consequently, bosutinib was not approved as a frontline treatment option for patients with CML-CP. BFORE (Bosutinib Trial in First-Line Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia Treatment) study, which is another multicentre phase III trial comparing frontline bosutinib 400 mg day with imatinib 400 mg daily was recently published [11]. Receiving a lower daily dose of bosutinib than that of BELA trial, patients on bosutinib had significantly higher rates of MMR and CCvR at 12 months and achieved responses faster than those on imatinib [11]. And the results of this trial led bosutinib to be approved as the fourth treatment option for newly diagnosed patients with CML-CP in the US.

In addition to these 2G-TKIs, **ponatinib** is a pan-*BCR-ABL1* inhibitor, which has potent activity against native and mutant *BCR-ABL1* including T315I. After the successful results in the salvage setting [12], ponatinib was tested as a frontline agent in newly diagnosed CML-CP patients [13]. In EPIC (Ponatinib in Newly Diagnosed Chronic Myeloid Leukemia) trial, patients were randomized to receive either ponatinib 45 mg daily or imatinib 400 mg daily; however, the study was terminated after a median follow-up of 5 months due to mainly arterial thrombotic events [13].

Treatment with TKIs should be continued as long as clinical benefit is observed or until unacceptable toxicity occurs, and although generally well tolerated, 2G-TKIs have been associated with potentially serious (grades 3–4) adverse events (AEs) [14], which might result in permanent discontinuation of TKIs [15]. In addition to that, many patients experience low-grade (grades 1–2) AEs that might have a negative impact on quality of life [16], and adherence to novel oral therapies can be problematic in patients with cancer including cases with CML [17].

In patients receiving TKI therapy, drug-drug interactions should always be taken into consideration [18] and frequent monitoring for the detection of these potential interactions can be both inconvenient and challenging for the patients. So the possibility of safe TKI discontinuation may be beneficial among such patients, and sustained DMR may give patients an opportunity to temporarily discontinue TKI treatment (e.g. in female patients who want to get pregnant).

Furthermore, the economic impact of life-long TKI therapy is quite significant, and although the price of imatinib is expected to fall with the introduction of the generic formulations [19], long-term TKI therapy still puts a large financial burden to both patients and the health care systems [20].

Putting all these together, there are multiple potential motivators for achieving treatment-free remission (TFR) in CML patients with sustained DMR; however, in a recent survey, approximately 60% of the patients did not want to quit TKI therapy due to worries about disease recurrence, and the same analysis showed that the most common reasons for TKI discontinuation were AEs (40%), economic problems (30%), and reduction in inconvenience (26%) [21].

Other than CML, in which TKI therapy should be continued indefinitely in daily clinical practice, there are chronic conditions where patients need to receive long-term treatments. As TKIs can be discontinued in CML patients with sustained DMR in the context of clinical trials, antiepileptic drugs [22] or etanercept in rheumatoid arthritis [23] can also be discontinued safely at least in a subgroup of patients. When TFR is a treatment goal in CML, achievement of sustained (i.e. for ≥24 months) DMR is the key point. Since more patients achieve DMR with 2G-TKIs than with imatinib, choosing 2G-TKIs over imatinib may be beneficial in patients whom TFR is aimed. Results from the STop IMatinib 1 (STIM1) and TWISTER studies demonstrated that imatinib discontinuation may be feasible in CML patients who achieved sustained DMR. In STIM1, approximately 40% of patients maintained DMR at 1 year after discontinuing imatinib. These studies established achievement and maintenance of DMR as a prerequisite of successful discontinuation [24, 25]. Following these studies, many others were performed including patients receiving 2G-TKIs (nilotinib and dasatinib) both in the upfront and salvage settings [26]. And these studies showed that approximately 50% of the patients remained molecular relapse free. Thus, it is generally accepted that prior TKI therapy of 5 years and stable DMR (\geq MR⁴) of 2 years or more constitutes reasonable minimal criteria for stopping TKIs.

If happens, molecular relapses typically occur within the first 6 months of discontinuation, and patients quickly regain their prior depth of MR upon rechallenging the same TKI [27]. In TFR trials, usually monthly real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction monitoring for the first 6 to 12 months are required, with a gradual reduction in frequency thereafter [28]. Up to date, clinical progression of CML reported in a TFR study has been observed only once [29], although fear of progression could limit patient participation in trials as stated before.

Nonetheless, until the end of last year, TKI discontinuation was not recommended outside clinical trials, and on December 22, 2017, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the inclusion of TFR data in the nilotinib (Tasigna®) US product label [30, 31]. Adult patients with newly diagnosed CML-CP who were treated with nilotinib for $\geq \! 3$ years and who have achieved DMR (MR $^{4.5}$) and CML-CP patients who received frontline imatinib and who has been switched to nilotinib due to resistance or intolerance and received nilotinib for $\geq \! 3$ years and have achieved DMR (MR $^{4.5}$) may be eligible for treatment discontinuation.

This new approval was based on two single-arm trials, ENESTfreedom (NCT01784068) and ENESTop (NCT01698905) [32, 33]. ENESTfreedom were conducted in 190 newly diagnosed CML-CP patients who discontinued nilotinib after receiving it for ≥ 3 years [32]. Of the one-hundred and ninety patients, 51.6% and 48.9% remained in the TFR phase after 48 and 96 weeks, respectively. At the 96-week data cut-off, in cases with TKI rechallenge due to loss of MR, 98.9% and 92% regained MMR and MR $^{4.5}$, respectively [32]. In ENESTop, where one hundred and twenty-six patients who discontinued nilotinib after ≥ 3 years after switching from imatinib were recruited, 57.9% and 53.2% of the patients remained in the TFR phase after 48 and 96 weeks,



respectively [33]. At the 96-week data cut-off, among cases who restarted TKI treatment due to loss of MR, 92.9% regained DMR (MR⁴ or MR^{4.5}). No patient in either trial progressed to advanced disease during TFR.

Currently, CML is a highly controllable disease in the era of TKIs, and TFR is a new therapeutic goal for the eligible patients. Although the data from the TFR trials suggest that a subset of patients with sustained DMR may safely discontinue TKI therapy and even after the recent FDA approval, as data from TFR studies continue to mature, the longer-term outcomes of these trials are still needed.

Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY [34], and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18.

Competing Interests

A.E.E. has received honoraria for advisory board membership from Novartis and has received lecture fees from Novartis and Bristol-Myers Squibb. A.E.E. is a member of the BJCP Editorial

A.E.E. would like to thank retired Prof. Nuran Akman for influencing him in choosing hematology.

References

- 1 O'Brien SG, Guilhot F, Larson RA, Gathmann I, Baccarani M, Cervantes F, et al. IRIS Investigators. Imatinib compared with interferon and low-dose cytarabine for newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2003; 348: 994-1004.
- 2 Hochhaus A, Larson RA, Guilhot F, Radich JP, Branford S, Hughes TP, et al. IRIS Investigators. Long-term outcomes of imatinib treatment for chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2017; 376: 917-27.
- 3 Bower H, Björkholm M, Dickman PW, Höglund M, Lambert PC, Andersson TML. Life expectancy of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia approaches the life expectancy of the general population. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34: 2851-7.
- **4** Sasaki K, Strom SS, O'Brien S, et al. Relative survival in patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukaemia in the tyrosinekinase inhibitor era: analysis of patient data from six prospective clinical trials. Lancet Haematol 2015; 2: e186-e193.
- 5 Dulucq S, Mahon FX. Deep molecular responses for treatmentfree remission in chronic myeloid leukemia. Cancer Med 2016; 5: 2398-411.
- **6** Saglio G, Kim DW, Issaragrisil S, le Coutre P, Etienne G, Lobo C, et al. Nilotinib versus imatinib for newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 2251-9.
- 7 Kantarjian H, Shah NP, Hochhaus A, Cortes J, Shah S, Ayala M, et al. Dasatinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronicphase chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 2260-70.

- 8 Hochhaus A, Saglio G, Hughes TP, Larson RA, Kim DW, Issaragrisil S, et al. Long-term benefits and risks of frontline nilotinib vs imatinib for chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase: 5-year update of the randomized ENESTnd trial. Leukemia 2016; 30: 1044-54.
- 9 Cortes JE, Saglio G, Kantarjian HM, Baccarani M, Mayer J, Boqué C, et al. Final 5-year study results of DASISION: the dasatinib versus imatinib study in treatment-naive chronic myeloid leukemia patients trial. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34: 2333-40.
- 10 Cortes IE, Kim DW, Kantarjian HM, Brümmendorf TH, Dyagil I. Griskevicius L, et al. Bosutinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia: results from the BELA trial. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 3486-92.
- 11 Cortes JE, Gambacorti-Passerini C, Deininger MW, Mauro MJ, Chuah C, Kim DW, et al. Bosutinib versus imatinib for newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia; results from the randomized BFORE trial. J Clin Oncol 2018; 36: 231-7.
- 12 Cortes JE, Kim DW, Pinilla-Ibarz J, et al. A phase 2 trial of ponatinib in Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemias. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 1783-96.
- 13 Lipton JH, Chuah C, Guerci-Bresler A, Gianantonio Rosti, David Simpson, Sarit Assouline, et al. Epic: a phase 3 trial of ponatinib compared with imatinib in patients with newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase (CP-CML). Blood 2014;124:519. [abstract].
- 14 Steegmann JL, Baccarani M, Breccia M, Casado LF, García-Gutiérrez V, Hochhaus A, et al. European LeukemiaNet recommendations for the management and avoidance of adverse events of treatment in chronic myeloid leukaemia. Leukemia 2016; 30: 1648-71.
- 15 Eskazan AE, Ozmen D. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy for newly-diagnosed patients with chronic myeloid leukemia: focusing on TKI discontinuation due to adverse events - is better always good? Expert Rev Hematol 2017; 10: 583-6.
- 16 Kirkizlar O, Eskazan AE. Adverse events of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and their impact on quality of life in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. Expert Rev Qual Life Cancer Care 2016; 1: 353-9.
- 17 Barillet M, Prevost V, Joly F, Clarisse B. Oral antineoplastic agents: how do we care about adherence? Br J Clin Pharmacol 2015; 80: 1289-302.
- 18 Haouala A, Widmer N, Duchosal MA, Montemurro M, Buclin T, Decosterd LA. Drug interactions with the tyrosine kinase inhibitors imatinib, dasatinib, and nilotinib. Blood 2011; 117: e75-87.
- 19 Soysal T, Eskazan AE, Ar MC. Generics in chronic myeloid leukemia: current arguments for and against and the established evidence. Expert Rev Hematol 2014; 7: 697-9.
- 20 Experts in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. The price of drugs for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a reflection of the unsustainable prices of cancer drugs: from the perspective of a large group of CML experts. Blood 2013; 121: 4439-42.
- 21 Goldberg S, Hamarman S. Patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia may not want to discontinue tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. Blood 2015;126:1584 [abstract].
- 22 Guld AT, Sabers A, Kjaer TW. Drug taper during long-term video-EEG monitoring: efficiency and safety. Acta Neurol Scand 2017; 135: 302-7.

A.E. Eşkazan



- 23 Miyamura T, Sonomoto K, Nakamura M, Horai Y, Takahama S, Ando H, et al. Discontinuation of etanercept in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who were in clinical remission. Clin Rheumatol 2010: 29: 87-90.
- **24** Mahon FX, Rea D, Guilhot J, Guilhot F, Huguet F, Nicolini F, et al. Discontinuation of imatinib in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia who have maintained complete molecular remission for at least 2 years: the prospective multicentre Stop Imatinib trial. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 1029-35.
- 25 Ross DM, Branford S, Seymour JF, Schwarer AP, Arthur C, Yeung DT, et al. Safety and efficacy of imatinib cessation for CML patients with stable undetectable minimal residual disease: results from the TWISTER study. Blood 2013; 122: 515-22.
- 26 Laneuville P. When to Stop Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors for the Treatment of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2018; 19: 15.
- 27 Rea D, Mahon FX. How I manage relapse of chronic myeloid leukaemia after stopping tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. Br J Haematol 2018; 180: 24-32.
- 28 Goldberg SL, Savona M, Mauro MJ, Considerations for successful treatment-free remission in chronic myeloid leukemia. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2018; 18: 98-105.
- 29 Rousselot P, Charbonnier A, Cony-Makhoul P, Agape P, Nicolini FE, Varet B, et al. Loss of major molecular response as a trigger for restarting tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy in patients with

- chronic-phase chronic myelogenous leukemia who have stopped imatinib after durable undetectable disease. J Clin Oncol 2014; 32: 424-30.
- **30** US Food and Drug Administration. Nilotinib label update to include treatment discontinuation recommendations for CML with sustained molecular responses. Available at https://www. fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ApprovedDrugs/ ucm590523.htm (last assessed 20 April 2018).
- **31** The US product label of nilotinib (Tasigna®) (last assessed 20 April 2018) https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/ label/2017/022068s026lbl.pdf
- 32 Hochhaus A, Masszi T, Giles FJ, Radich JP, Ross DM, Gómez Casares MT, et al. Treatment-free remission following frontline nilotinib in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase; results from the ENESTfreedom study. Leukemia 2017; 31: 1525-31.
- 33 Mahon FX, Boquimpani C, Kim DW, Benyamini N, Clementino NCD, Shuvaev V, et al. Treatment-free remission after second-line nilotinib treatment in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase: results from a single-group, phase 2, open-label study. Ann Intern Med 2018; 168: 461-70.
- 34 Harding SD, Sharman JL, Faccenda E, Southan C, Pawson AJ, Ireland S, et al. The IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY in 2018: updates and expansion to encompass the new guide to IMMUNOPHARMACOLOGY. Nucl Acids Res 2018; 46: D1091-106.