Toxicology Research



View Article Online

PAPER



Cite this: Toxicol. Res., 2016, 5, 1585

Assessment of synergistic thyroid disrupting effects of a mixture of EDCs in ovariectomized rats using factorial analysis and dose addition[†]

Hao Chen,^{a,b} Zhaoping Liu,*^a Xiaopeng Zhang,^a Xudong Jia,^a Qian Li,^c Qing Su^d and Wei Wang^a

Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) have been implicated in a broad spectrum of health problems related to reproduction, thyroid function, neurodevelopment, and metabolism. In many cases, EDCs in the environment are at extremely low concentrations which rarely induce health problems alone, however, a mixture of these EDCs may interact and induce potential additive and synergistic effects. Many mixture studies on EDCs were conducted in terms of high doses with the direct effect addition method, which didn't comply with the dose-response relationship of toxicants in the "S" or "U" shaped curves. In the present study, the thyroid disrupting effects of a mixture of three EDCs, propylthiouracil (PTU), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and ammonium perchlorate (AP), were measured in an ovariectomized rat model. Sixty female SD rats were ovariectomized bilaterally and randomly assigned to ovariectomization (OVX) control, PTU + PCBs, PTU + AP, PCBs + AP and PTU + PCBs + AP groups treated with doses at lowest observed adverse effect levels (LOAELs) or benchmark dose lower limits (BMDLs) obtained from our previous dose-response relationship studies. OVX control animals were treated with vehicle control while all other animals were treated with different combinations of EDCs by gavage for 8 days. The body weight change, serum total thyroxine (tT_4), triiodothyroxine (tT_3), the thyroid/body weight ratio, and thyroid histopathological endpoints were measured and analyzed using factorial analysis and dose addition. All EDC treated groups showed a marked change compared to vehicle control in serum tT_4 , the thyroid/body weight ratio, and the thyroid epithelium/colloid ratio. Both factorial analysis and dose addition analysis showed a synergistic effect on thyroid function by PTU, PCBs and AP together, but the modes of interaction varied when either two were mixed at LOAELs. To conclude, a mixture of PTU, PCBs, and AP mainly acted synergistically on thyroid function and induced a significant health effect.

Received 9th May 2016, Accepted 18th September 2016 DOI: 10.1039/c6tx00193a

www.rsc.org/toxicology

Introduction

Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) are defined as exogenous agents that can interfere with the synthesis, secretion, transport, metabolism, binding action, or elimination of natural blood borne hormones in the body, which are responsible for homeostasis, reproduction, and developmental processes.¹ A WHO report indicates that about 800 chemicals

^dSouthwest University, Chongqing, 400716, China

being used in daily life possess endocrine disrupting properties.² With extensive application and long-time bio-accumulation and bio-magnification, EDCs are not only restricted to environmental presence such as in water, soil, air, and food, but routinely discovered in human and animal tissues.³ These chemicals are from very heterogeneous groups that function as synthetic chemicals, plasticizers, pesticides, fungicides, and even some drugs,⁴ causing health problems such as diabetes, obesity, reproductive abnormalities, neoplasm, cardiovascular disease, and thyroid malfunction.¹

Traditional toxicological studies are single-chemical oriented; statistics shows that only 5% are focused on the toxicity of chemical mixtures.⁵ Such scarcity of mixture studies also applies to EDCs; a PubMed search of "EDCs mixture" consists of only 5.6% of all results searched in the keyword "EDCs". EDCs presented in the environment are in the form of low-dose mixtures, and thus toxicology research studies on

^aKey Laboratory of Food Safety Risk Assessment, Ministry of Health (China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment), 100022 Beijing, China.

E-mail: liuzhaoping@cfsa.net.cn; Fax: +86 010 52165559; Tel: +86 010 52165559 ^bChinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 100021 Beijing, China ^cSichuan University, Sichuan, 610041, China

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c6tx00193a

Paper

single EDCs of high-dose exposure cannot reflect the real environmental exposure scenario.⁶ Besides, the mixture of EDCs in the environment may act additively or synergistically to induce greater toxicity than single EDC exposure due to their similarities in the mode of action and targets.⁷ Buha and colleagues found that co-exposure to cadmium and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) for 28 days induced synergistic effects on thyroid function in male Wistar rats.8 Crofton discovered that exposure to a mixture of TCDD-like PCBs, dioxins, and PBDEs resulted in additive effects on thyroid function at low doses, while acting synergistically when exposed to these chemicals at high levels.9 Another study introduced a zebrafish model to research on a mixture of EDCs, finding that the mixture acts either synergistically or additively on thyroid function and the concentration addition method can be extended to chemicals with different modes of action.¹⁰ But little was known about how these EDCs with different modes of action would behave together in a mammalian model.

Most EDC mixture studies used the direct effect addition method by simply adding the effect of several individual chemicals to obtain a combined toxicity. However, the method was problematic, since it failed to consider the non-monotonic feature of "S" or "U"-shaped dose-response curves.11,12 Taking these features into consideration, mixture studies have been carried out for decades based on more sound methods, such as factorial analysis and the dose/concentration addition method.¹³ The factorial design is a classical statistical tool to study the interaction effect between factors using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and it is an efficient way to investigate several factors with adequate power.¹⁴ Dose addition indicated that chemicals can induce the same toxicity only with differences in their potency; thus, the dose of chemical A can be transferred into chemical B and the cumulative effect will be that of the summed dose of B.15 By assuming that EDCs of interest have the same mode of action, the expected effect can be computed and compared with the observed effect to determine whether the mode of the combined effect is synergistic, antagonistic, or additive. One popular example is the toxic equivalent factor (TEF) method of transferring doses of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins (TCDDs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) into doses of one reference chemical and determining the combined toxicity based on the summed dose of the reference chemical.¹⁶

Here, we will present a case study of using both factorial analysis and dose addition to examine the modes of interaction of co-exposure of animals to three EDCs at relatively low doses with minimum thyroid effects. Propylthiouracil (PTU), PCBs, and ammonium perchlorates (APs) were used as modeled chemicals. Though not regularly found in the environment, PTU was a clinical medicine¹⁷ and was widely used as a proven thyroidal function inhibitor in experimental settings.¹⁸ PCBs were industrial chemicals persistently existing in the environment and not biologically degradable.^{4,19} AP was an industrial material applied in rockets and missiles and there were reasonable concerns on occupational exposure.¹⁴ All three chemicals were well-established EDCs that possess the ability to disrupt normal thyroid function.^{17,19,20}

Previous studies in our lab had laid ground to further carry out a mixture study of these EDCs. Several thyroid-function related endpoints were screened and appropriate exposure times were confirmed in an ovariectomized (OVX) rat model.²¹ The dose-response relationships of all three chemicals had been established and their toxicological reference doses such as benchmark dose lower limits (BMDLs) and lowest observed adverse effect levels (LOAELs) were obtained^{22,23} (ESI Table 1†). Both factorial analysis and the dose addition method would be applied to determine the mixture effect mode and the merits of each method would be compared based on the results.

Materials and methods

Procurement and maintenance of animals

Sixty female Sprague Dawley (SD) albino rats (body weight: 230-250 g) free of specific pathogens were purchased from Beijing Vital River Co. Ltd (Beijing, China). The animals were housed singly in cages under conditions of controlled temperature (20-26 °C) and relative humidity (50%-65%), a 12 hour light/12 hour dark cycle and air change of 10 times per h. All animals were fed a soy- and alfalfa-free diet (Hua Fu Kang Bioscience, Beijing, China) and provided with unlimited purified water throughout the study. All experiments are carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of the Animals Management Rules of the Ministry Health of the People's Republic of China (Documentation No. 55, 2001, China). The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment. During the experiment, all animals were treated humanely and maximum care was taken to minimize animal sufferings.

Chemicals

PTU (\geq 99.0% purity) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). PCB (Aroclor 1254; \geq 99.0% purity) was obtained from AccuStandard Inc. (New Haven, CT, USA). AP (\geq 99.0% purity) was purchased from Xiya Reagent (Chengdu, China). Non-transgenic corn oil was purchased from COFCO Ltd (Beijing, China).

Experimental design

After acclimation to the housing environment for 7 days, animals were randomly assigned to 6 groups (n = 10 per group) and received bilateral ovariectomy. Following a 12-day recovery phase, all animals received EDC exposure based on the dosimetry in Table 1 *via* gavage for 8 consecutive days. As indicated earlier, the doses used in the present study were obtained from our previous dose–response studies on PCBs, PTU, and AP^{22,23} (ESI Table 1†). All the previous studies used the same experimental model, methods, and conditions. Briefly, LOAELs were determined based on the lowest dose at which a signifi-

Table 1 Co-treatment designs for PTU, PCBs, and AP

	10	Corn oli + water
PTU + PCBs	10	0.1 + 0.1
PTU + AP	10	0.1 + 50.0
PCBs + AP	10	0.1 + 50.0
PTU + PCBs + AP	10	0.1 + 0.1 + 50.0
PTU + PCBs + AP	10	0.02 + 0.02 + 28.0
	PTU + PCBs PTU + AP PCBs + AP PTU + PCBs + AP PTU + PCBs + AP	PTU + PCBs 10 PTU + AP 10 PCBs + AP 10 PTU + PCBs + AP 10 PTU + PCBs + AP 10 PTU + PCBs + AP 10

cant change was observed for each chemical respectively and the lowest doses among different endpoints for each EDC would be the chosen ones. Thus LOAELs for PCBs, PTU, and AP were 0.1, 0.1, and 50 mg per kg bw respectively (ESI Table 1†). While BMDLs were obtained based on the doseresponse models using "Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS), version 2.4" (Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C., USA). Please refer to supplementary data† and previous studies^{22,23} for detailed information on model selection and BMDL data on each parameter of individual chemicals. To maintain the consistency of using the same model for all three chemicals, the Hill model proved suitable for all tT₄ datasets and the BMDLs for tT₄ are 0.02, 0.02, and 28 mg per kg bw for PCBs, PTU, and AP respectively.

Solutions of PTU and PCBs were made by dissolving in corn oil while AP solution was prepared in water. To maintain consistent exposure levels of vehicle control, animals in AP treatment groups received corn oil based on their weight. All rats were observed daily for clinical signs of impairment and recorded for body weight every two days. After the last treatment, rats were fasted overnight and euthanized by overdose of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg per kg bw) anesthesia. Blood from abdomen aorta was collected in pro-coagulation tubes and centrifuged (4 °C, 3000 rpm) for 15 min, followed by serum collection and stored at -80 °C until use. Thyroids of individual animals were dissected and immediately weighed before being preserved in 4% formaldehyde solution.

Determination of serum thyroid hormone concentrations

Serum tT_3 and tT_4 concentrations were measured using commercially available radioimmunoassay kits (Beijing North Biotechnology Institute, Beijing, China). All experiments were carried out according to manufacturer's instructions. The concentrations were calculated from the calibration curves established by standard T_3 and T_4 . The lowest concentration detectable (sensitivity) was 0.2 ng ml⁻¹ and 3 ng ml⁻¹ respectively for T_3 and T_4 . For both kits, the mean intra-assay and inter-assay variations were 10% and 15% accordingly.

Histopathology and morphometry

Thyroid tissues were paraffin embedded and cut into $4-6 \ \mu m$ transverse sections. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and were described with abnormalities/ lesions. H&E stained histological sections were analyzed by the Leica Q500MC image analysis and pictures were taken. After transformation of the binary image, areas of the epithelium and colloid were measured and calculated for the ratio of the follicular epithelium area *versus* the colloid area as described before.²²

Statistical analysis

The "Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS), version 20.0" (IBM Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software was employed to compare the statistical differences between groups and conduct factorial analysis.

Factorial analysis

If the equal variance ($\beta = 0.10$) was assumed for the dataset, factorial analysis can be applied; if not, equal variance must be achieved by transforming data before factorial analysis. For three EDCs, please refer Table 2 for dosimetry design. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to test the statistically significant difference for each effect (p < 0.05). For the main effect, p < 0.05 indicated that the effect of individual chemicals was statistically significant; each chemical can significantly induce the thyroid disrupting effect in our case. For interactions, p < 0.05 indicated that there were interactions among tested EDCs and the chemicals cast their effect dependently; the thyroid disrupting effect for one chemical is different based on the level of the other. After interaction, the addition of the effects (change from the control of the thyroid disrupting endpoints) of single chemicals (expected effect) was compared with the effect of the mixture exposure (observed effect). If the expected effect was greater than the observed one, the interaction would be categorized as antagonistic; if the expected effect was smaller than the observed one, the interaction would be synergistic.

Dose addition analysis

Based on the results from the previous studies^{22,23} (ESI†), dose-response curves for serum tT_4 datasets for each EDC can be modeled with the Hill equation. By using the same model, we assume that the shapes are similar, indicating that the three EDCs can induce the same effect on thyroid function but only with different potency. With this assumption, the dose addition method can be applied to calculate the expected effect of the mixture of EDCs.

The Hill model for the parameter serum tT_4 from BMD analysis was the most suitable according to previous experiments. The dose–response equations generated by the Hill model

Table 2 Factorial design of 3 factors with 2 levels

	B1		B2		
	C1	C2	C1	C2	
41 42	A1 + B1 + C1 A2 + B1 + C1	$\begin{array}{c} A1 + B1 + C2 \\ A2 + B1 + C2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A1 + B2 + C1 \\ A2 + B2 + C1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A1 + B2 + C2 \\ A2 + B2 + C2 \end{array}$	

A, B, and C indicated PTU, PCBs, and AP respectively. 1 indicated LOAELs (0.1, 0.1, 50 mg per kg bw for PCBs, PTU, and AP respectively); 2 indicated 0 mg per kg bw of each EDC.

were obtained for all three chemicals. Generally, the equation for the Hill model was

$$\mu(d) = \gamma + V \cdot d^n / (k^n + d^n) \tag{1}$$

Here "*d*" indicated the dose of the chemical, " $\mu(d)$ " indicated the effect induced by the chemical at "*d*" dose; " γ " indicated the intercept, which represented the background effect. "*V*" was the maximum effect; "*k*" represented the dose that 50% of the test subjects produced a significant effect; *n* was the power exponent (when *n* was restricted, $1 \le n \le 18$).²⁴

In our study, we used PTU as the indicating chemical. Thus, to complete the Hill equation with all parameters, we used parameters obtained from BMD analysis on serum tT_4 of PTU. The Hill equation for PTU was presented in eqn (2). By transferring eqn (2), we can calculate PTU doses corresponding to a given effect, as shown in eqn (3). Thus, we used eqn (3) to calculate "PTU" doses respectively for PCBs and AP based on their serum tT_4 effect. So the total "PTU" dose present in the organisms was calculated as shown in eqn (4). Now with the mixed dose (d_{mix}), we can calculate the expected effects of the mixture dose of PTU based on eqn (2).

$$\mu(d)_{\rm PTU} = 79.63 + (-44.71) \frac{d^1}{(0.11^1 + d^1)} \tag{2}$$

$$d^{1} = \frac{[\mu(d)_{x} - 79.63]0.11^{1}}{-44.71 - \mu(d)_{x} + 79.63}$$
(3)

$$d_{\rm mix} = d_{\rm PTU} + d_{\rm PCBs \to PTU} + d_{\rm AP \to PTU} \tag{4}$$

After the calculation, serum tT_4 levels in the mixture exposure (observed effect) were compared with the calculated expected effect. We conducted a single sample *t*-test to compare if there was significant difference between the observed effect and expected effect, and the significant level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. When no statistically significant difference was found, it indicated that there was no interaction among the three EDCs on serum tT_4 levels and the mode of interaction was dose addition; when there was a significantly different result, the effect would be antagonistic when the expected effect was greater than the observed effect, otherwise it would be synergistic.

Results

Clinical observation and body weight

After ovariectomy, several rats in different groups showed redness of wounds and lethargy. The symptoms disappeared with the injection of 20 000 units of penicillin for 3 consecutive days. No other clinical changes were observed in the overall appearance, body position, co-ordination or gait, activity, lacrimation and vocalization. The average body weight before surgery, during the dose, and before sacrifice showed no significant difference among different groups, as indicated in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 PTU, PCBs, and AP on the body weight change in ovariectomized rats. *Note*: A: OVX. Control; B: PTU + PCBs (LOAEL); C: PTU + AP (LOAEL); D: PCBs + AP (LOAEL); E: PTU + PCBs + AP (LOAEL); F: PTU + PCBs + AP (BMDL). Mean body weight and weight change were graphed. One-way ANOVA was used to test the significant differences (p< 0.05) of each weight parameter among different groups.

Serum tT₄ and tT₃ levels

The serum tT_3 levels of the mixture groups were lower than that in the OVX control, but the differences were significant except for the PCB + AP group (P < 0.05). The serum tT_4 levels of all mixture groups were significantly lower than those of the OVX control (P < 0.05). See Table 3.

Histopathology and morphometry

The histopathological changes of thyroid tissue by different mixtures of EDCs were observed at the microscopic level. Among OVX control animals, thyroid follicular cells were round in shape with basophilic cytoplasm and the follicles were relatively similar in size and shape (mostly spherical or oval) and filled with gel-like colloids with light pink color (Fig. 2F). Co-exposure of PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw) and PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw) causes hypertrophy of follicular epithelial cells and deciduous epithelium in the follicles (Fig. 2A). Coexposure of PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw) and AP (50 mg per kg bw) induced hypertrophy of the epithelial cells, reduced volume and faded color in the colloid, and congestion in the capillary vessels (Fig. 2B). Animals exposed to PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw) and AP (50 mg per kg bw) showed obvious hypertrophy of epithelium and decreased the colloid volume (Fig. 2C). With all PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw), PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw), and AP (50 mg per kg bw) co-exposure, animals showed more severe histological damage such as hypertrophy of follicular epithelial cells accompanying the deformed and deciduous cells; the colloid volume was reduced to a great extent, and even disappeared. In addition, severe congestion of capillary vessels was also observed with infiltration of red blood cells (Fig. 2D). Animals exposed to PTU, PCBs, and AP at BMDLs showed hypertrophy of epithelial cells, decreased colloid volume, and congestion in the capillary vessels to a small extent (Fig. 2E).

Quantitative measurements for histopathological changes indicated that all mixture exposures of EDCs led to an increased thyroid/body weight ratio and an epithelium/colloid ratio compared with OVX control rats. These differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 3.

Paper

Table 3	Single exposure or	mixture exposure to P	TU, PCBs, and AP	on thyroidal functior	n parameters in ovariectomized rats
---------	--------------------	-----------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------

	Groups	Ν	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Serum } tT_3 \\ (\text{ng } \text{ml}^{-1}) \end{array}$	Serum tT_4 (ng ml ⁻¹)	Thyroid/body weight ratio (1/10 ⁵)	Follicular epithelium/ colloid ratio
	OVX control	10	0.73 ± 0.19	60.34 ± 27.93	6.47 ± 1.21	0.61 ± 0.12
LOAEL	PTU	10	0.66 ± 0.11	55.09 ± 6.64	7.76 ± 2.08	1.06 ± 0.23
	PCBs	10	0.95 ± 0.16	51.54 ± 15.52	6.52 ± 1.15	0.64 ± 0.14
	AP	10	0.69 ± 0.14	62.11 ± 16.78	7.58 ± 1.13	1.48 ± 0.46
	PTU + PCBs	10	$0.51 \pm 0.06^{\mathrm{a}}$	29.14 ± 7.89^{a}	$9.04 \pm 0.94^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.85 \pm 0.19^{\rm a}$
	PTU + AP	10	0.49 ± 0.06^{a}	33.29 ± 7.03^{a}	11.33 ± 1.89^{a}	$1.09 \pm 0.42^{\mathrm{a}}$
	PCBs + AP	10	0.53 ± 0.07	33.34 ± 5.82^{a}	$9.38 \pm 0.86^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.98 \pm 0.20^{\mathrm{a}}$
	PTU + PCBs + AP	10	0.48 ± 0.06^{a}	26.44 ± 4.31^{a}	$13.23 \pm 2.88^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.70 \pm 0.44^{\mathrm{a}}$
BMDL	PTU + PCBs + AP	10	0.50 ± 0.08^a	29.28 ± 9.50^a	10.24 ± 3.09^a	1.34 ± 0.35^a

Data of individual chemical exposure were obtained from our previous dose–response studies^{22,23} (ESI Table 1). One-way ANOVA was used to examine the significant difference among different exposure groups. "a" indicated the statistical significant difference compared with OVX control, P < 0.05.



Fig. 2 The combined effect of PTU, PCBs, and AP on thyroid histopathology of OVX rats. *Note*: \uparrow : reduced or disappeared colloids in thyroid folicles. \triangle : congestion of capillary vessels between thyroid follicles. \blacktriangle : deformed, deciduous and necrotic epithelial cells of the thyroid follicles. (A) The thyroid section of animals treated with PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw) + PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw). (B) The thyroid section of animals treated with PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw) + PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw). (B) The thyroid section of animals treated with PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw) + AP (50.0 mg per kg bw) + AP (50.0 mg per kg bw). (C) The thyroid section of animals treated with PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw) + AP (50.0 mg per kg bw). (D) The thyroid section of animals treated with PTU (0.1 mg per kg bw) + PCBs (0.1 mg per kg bw) + AP (50.0 mg per kg bw). (E) The thyroid section of animals treated with PTU (0.2 mg per kg bw) + PCBs (0.02 mg per kg bw) + AP (28.0 mg per kg bw). (OVX CTL.) The thyroid section of animals from the control group.

Table 4	Test results of homogeneity of variances (P values) for each endpoint
---------	---

		Serum tT ₃	Serum tT ₄	Thyroid/body weight ratio	Follicular epithelium/colloid ratio
Homogeneity of variance	Original data	<0.001 ^a	<0.001 ^a	0.008 ^a	0.001 ^a
	Log-transformed data	0.49	0.17	0.27	0.859

Levene's test was used to test the homogeneity of each individual parameter. "a" indicated homogeneity of variance which was not assumed for the dataset, P < 0.05.

Table 5	Results of factorial ana	ysis for thyroid end	points of OVX rat tre	ated with PTU, PCBs, and AP
---------	--------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------

		Serum tT ₃		Serum tT	Thyroid/bodySerum tT4weight ratio		body ttio	Follicular epithelium/ colloid ratio	
Variance source		F	Р	F	Р	F	Р	F	Р
Main effect	PTU	44.93	<0.001 ^a	54.30	<0.001 ^a	65.21	<0.001 ^a	23.59	<0.001 ^a
	PCBs	0.15	0.70	67.71	<0.001 ^a	13.17	$< 0.001^{a}$	0.28	0.60
	AP	31.76	<0.001 ^a	26.82	<0.001 ^a	72.82	$< 0.001^{a}$	81.94	<0.001 ^a
Interaction	PTU*PCBs	12.99	0.001^{b}	1.12	0.295	0.38	0.54	7.45	0.008^{b}
	PTU*AP	0.14	0.71	0.33	0.57	2.12	0.15	7.62	$0.007^{\rm b}$
	PCBs*AP	12.95	0.001^{b}	2.56	0.11	1.33	0.25	1.21	0.27
	PTU*PCBs*AP	44.52	$<0.001^{b}$	8.50	0.005	2.48	0.12	26.46	<0.001 ^b

ANOVA was used to test the statistical significance for the main effect and interaction. "a" indicated statistically significant main effect for individual EDCs, P < 0.05; "b" indicated statistically significant interactions among EDCs, P < 0.05.

Factorial analysis results

In the present study, datasets of serum tT_3 and tT_4 , the thyroid/body weight ratio, and the thyroid follicular epithelium/colloid ratio for individual EDC exposures (from previous studies) and mixture exposures (current studies) are summarized in Table 3. Equality of variance tests indicated that all four parameters required data transformation. After logarithm transformation, all datasets reached the homogeneity of variances, see Table 4.

The main effect tests indicated significant changes of serum tT_3 and tT_4 , the thyroid/body weight ratio, and the thyroid follicular epithelium/colloid ratio caused by PTU and AP (P < 0.001). But PCBs only induced significant changes in serum tT_4 and the thyroid/body weight ratio (P < 0.001), which was consistent with our analysis in the published paper.²² For interactions, PTU and PCBs synergistically increased the serum tT_3 level (P = 0.001) and antagonistically increased the thyroid follicular/epithelium ratio (P = 0.008). No interaction between PTU and PCBs was found on serum tT_4 and the thyroid/body weight ratio (P > 0.05). No interaction between PTU and AP on serum tT_3 and tT_4 , and the thyroid/body weight ratio (P > 0.0.5) was found, and the absence of inter-

action indicated that the mode of mixture toxicity was addition. PTU and AP antagonistically affected the thyroid follicular epithelium/colloid ratio (P = 0.007). PCBs and AP synergistically decreased serum tT₃ (P < 0.001), but additively decreased serum tT₄, the thyroid/body weight ratio, and the thyroid follicular epithelium/colloid ratio (P > 0.05). The mixture exposure of PTU, PCBs, and AP induced a synergistical effect on serum tT₃ (P < 0.001) and tT₄ (P = 0.005) and an antagonistic effect on the thyroid follicular epithelium/colloid ratio (P < 0.005) and an antagonistic effect on the thyroid follicular epithelium/colloid ratio, see Table 5.

Dose addition analysis results

By applying eqn (3), LOAELs and BMDLs of PCBs and AP were transformed to the doses of PTU correspondingly. After calculation, LOAELs of PCBs and AP were 0.19 and 0.07 mg per kg bw as the doses of PTU respectively. BMDLs of PCBs and AP were both 0.02 mg per kg bw as the dose of PTU. See Table 6.

By using eqn (4), we calculated the total dose in the form of PTU for each combination of EDCs. Applying the new combined PTU doses to eqn (2), we calculated the expected serum tT_4 levels (Table 7). The *t*-test indicated a significant difference

Table V The doses of the based of the RTT method and thill equally	Table 6	6 The doses of PCBs and AP based on the RPF method a	and Hill equation	n
--	---------	--	-------------------	---

Combined doses	PCBs (mg per kg bw)		AP (mg per kg bw)		
	Pre-transformation	Post- transformation (as in PTU)	Pre- transformation	Post- transformation (as in PTU)	
LOAEL BMDL	0.1 0.02	0.19 0.02	50.0 28.0	0.07 0.02	

Paper

Table 7	Comparisons between	predicted observed	I effects on the serum tT_4	Ievel induced by PTU, PCBs, and AP
---------	---------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------

Combined doses	Groups	Combined doses as in PTU (mg per kg bw)	Expected serum tT_4 levels (ng ml ⁻¹)	Observed serum tT_4 levels (ng ml ⁻¹)
LOAEL	PTU + PCBs	0.29	51.46	29.14 ± 7.89^{a}
	PTU + AP	0.17	63.12	33.29 ± 7.03^{a}
	PCBs + AP	0.26	54.38	$33.34 \pm 5.82^{\mathrm{a}}$
	PTU + PCBs + AP	0.36	44.66	26.44 ± 4.31^{a}
BMDL	PTU + PCBs + AP	0.06	73.80	$29.28\pm9.50^{\mathrm{a}}$

The doses of PTU, PCBs, and AP were all presented as in the form of PTU. One sample *t*-test was used compare the statistical difference between the expected and the observed serum tT_4 ; "a" indicated statistically significant differences between the average of observed values and the expected value for each mixture group, P < 0.05.

between the expected value and the observed values of serum tT_4 , and since all the expected effects (difference from OVX control) were less than those of the observed effects, the interaction modes among PTU, PCBs, and AP were synergistic, see Table 7.

Discussion

Though the health concerns of mixture toxicants have been recognized for decades, studies on the mixture still remain a big challenge.⁷ Chemical mixtures possess two characteristics: producing a different toxicity profile compared with those induced by a single high-dose exposure in most experimental scenarios; mixtures at low doses in the environment may induce toxicity and adverse health effects when individual exposure at the same low dose may not cause any effect.⁷ Moreover, a complex cocktail of chemicals may induce greater toxicity compared with single chemicals due to interactions, especially synergism.¹² Thus, studying mixture toxicity requires consideration of co-exposure to multiple chemicals at relatively low doses. Our current study tested the mode of interaction among three EDCs based on the low doses derived from their dose-response relationship in an OVX rat model. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the mixture effects on the thyroid function of PTU, PCBs, and AP in vivo by using LOAELs or BMDLs, which mimic the mixture toxicity at low exposure with minimal biological effects.

To reduce the possibility of interference from fluctuated estrogen hormones, the OVX model was introduced to study thyroid hormone disruptors and was recommended to be included into the first-tier of screening tests.²⁵ OVX rats lost the ability to produce sufficient estrogen, which could bind estrogen receptors present ubiquitously in the hypothalamuspituitary-thyroid (HPT) axis and induce feedback effects on thyroids.²⁶ The model had been used consistently in our studies and proven effective.^{21–23} Due to the disrupted metabolism after the inhibition of estrogen hormones, rats underwent ovariectomy and showed fast increase of body weight in a short period of time. The overall body weight of OVX rats in the present study increased to 75–78 g, which was consistent with our previous studies.^{21–23}

Factorial design was a classic statistical method which not only can distinguish differences among predicting factors, but can also prove if there was any interaction between different factors.14 Factorial design had several advantages such as quick designing, easy application, and independent of the toxicological points such as ED₅₀ and BMDLs.¹⁴ Besides, the results of factorial analysis were easy to read and interpret; the change of one factor would induce the effect of change on the related factor. However, factorial analysis only roughly estimated the interaction between variables and it would be hard to decide the sources of the main effects and interactions when multiple factors were present.¹⁴ In factorial analysis, the sample size, dose, and sensitivity of endpoints may affect the interpretation of interaction modes; these may explain the inconsistent interaction results in our findings. The inconsistency of interaction from factorial analysis of EDCs was also found in other studies. Zhan et al. applied factorial analysis to study the anti-androgen toxicity of phoxim and fenvalerate on rats and showed additive interactions at low doses but antagonistic effects at high doses.²⁷ Sekeroğlu et al., by using a factorial study, also found that the cocktail exposure of deltamethrin and thiacloprid synergistically affected T_3 and T_4 , but additively induced a change in the TSH level.²⁸

Direct effect addition was widely employed to investigate the mixture toxicity of chemicals, but paradoxical in toxicology as indicated earlier.²⁹ Dose addition, which took the doseresponse relationship into consideration, was a more sound method based on the assumption that chemicals had similar mechanisms and targets to induce toxic effects with only difference in potency.³⁰ The additive toxicity was due to similar chemical structures, properties, or the same toxicological modes of action. For example, these groups of chemicals, pesticides, TCDDs, PBDEs, and PCBs, respectively act on similar molecular targets and their effective dose can be transcribed to similar chemicals in the same group.^{10,16,31}

However, problems remained that most mixtures tested were with similar modes of action, but the low-level presence of various kinds of chemicals with different mechanisms were more likely the case in the environment. Will dose addition also be applicable in our case? The modeled chemicals here had slightly different mechanisms of inducing thyroid disruption, but all with the same effect targets – thyroid hormones. PTU can affect thyroid function by inhibiting the activity of thyroid peroxidase (TPO) which downregulates the synthesis of thyroglobulin, an essential component of T₄.¹⁷. PCBs can impair thyroid function either by mimicking T₄ to bind to thyroid hormone receptors due to their similarity in chemical structures³² or by involving with aromatic receptors (AhR)¹⁶ and inhibiting liver chromosome enzymes.33 AP possessed strong oxidative ability and disrupting thyroid function through inhibiting the function of a sodium-iodide symporter, which are essential to transport iodide ions into thyroid follicles to synthesize T₄.²⁰ All EDCs will finally target the HPT axis to induce increased secretion of TSH and histological changes of thyroid tissues.³⁴ Besides, application of the dose addition method largely relied on the shapes of the doseresponse relationship for each individual chemical. The doseresponse relationship curves for serum tT₄ from the Hill model for each individual chemical indicated similar shapes.^{22,23} All interaction results were consistent with different combinations of EDCs (synergism) from the dose addition method, which seemed more reliable than the results from factorial analysis. However, other studies also indicated that these differences in assessing interactions between chemicals in the mixture largely depended on the concepts and methods used.35

Conclusions

Our present study examined the mixture toxicity of different combined exposures of PTU, PCBs, and AP on the thyroid function of OVX rats by using both factorial analysis and dose addition methods. The results indicated by using factorial analysis, PTU, PCBs, and AP at LOAELs induced different profiles of interaction modes. Difficulties remained to infer how these three chemicals interact with each other by using factorial design. Dose addition analysis showed consistent results of mode of interaction, as at both LOAELs and BMDLs of doses, the three EDCs synergistically posed their effect on thyroid function related parameters. However, further research studies are required to improve the dose addition method used in our case. First, the exposure levels of these EDCs in the environment can be used in the study to mimic the real case scenario of low dose exposure. Second, using a more profound dose addition method for the design, such as the isobole method.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China [81273081 to Z. Liu] and we express our gratitude to the National Scientific Fund Committee of China for financial support.

References

- 1 E. Diamanti-Kandarakis, J. P. Bourguignon, L. C. Giudice, R. Hauser, G. S. Prins, A. M. Soto, R. T. Zoeller and A. C. Gore, Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: an Endocrine Society scientific statement, *Endocr. Rev.*, 2009, **30**, 293– 342.
- 2 WHO-UNEP, State of the Science of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals – 2012 Summary for Decision-Makers, ed.
 A. Bergman, J. J. Heindel, S. Jobling, K. A. Kidd and R. T. Zoeller, Available at: http://www.who.int/ceh/publications/endocrine/en/index.html.
- 3 K. Nakai, K. Suzuki, T. Oka, K. Murata, M. Sakamoto, K. Okamura, T. Hosokawa, T. Sakai, T. Nakamura and Y. Saito, The Tohoku Study of Child Development: a cohort study of effects of perinatal exposures to methylmercury and environmentally persistent organic pollutants on neurobehavioral development in Japanese children, *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.*, 2004, **202**, 227–237.
- 4 L. H. Duntas, Chemical contamination and the thyroid, *Endocrine*, 2015, **48**, 53–64.
- 5 R. S. Yang, Toxicology of chemical mixtures: case studies, mechanisms, and novel approaches, Elsevier, 2013.
- 6 C. A. Frye, E. Bo, G. Calamandrei, L. Calza, F. Dessi-Fulgheri, M. Fernandez, L. Fusani, O. Kah, M. Kajta, Y. Le Page, H. B. Patisaul, A. Venerosi, A. K. Wojtowicz and G. C. Panzica, Endocrine disrupters: a review of some sources, effects, and mechanisms of actions on behaviour and neuroendocrine systems, *J. Neuroendocrinol.*, 2012, 24, 144–159.
- 7 A. Kortenkamp, Low dose mixture effects of endocrine disrupters: implications for risk assessment and epidemiology, *Int. J. Androl.*, 2008, **31**, 233–240.
- 8 A. Buha, B. Antonijevic, Z. Bulat, V. Jacevic, V. Milovanovic and V. Matovic, The impact of prolonged cadmium exposure and co-exposure with polychlorinated biphenyls on thyroid function in rats, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 2013, 221, 83–90.
- 9 K. M. Crofton, E. S. Craft, J. M. Hedge, C. Gennings, J. E. Simmons, R. A. Carchman, W. H. Carter and M. J. DeVito Jr., Thyroid-hormone-disrupting chemicals: evidence for dose-dependent additivity or synergism, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2005, **113**, 1549–1554.
- 10 B. Thienpont, C. Barata and D. Raldua, Modeling mixtures of thyroid gland function disruptors in a vertebrate alternative model, the zebrafish eleutheroembryo, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 2013, **269**, 169–175.
- 11 M. C. Berenbaum, What is synergy?, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 1989, **41**, 93–141.
- 12 A. Kortenkamp and R. Altenburger, Synergisms with mixtures of xenoestrogens: a reevaluation using the method of isoboles, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 1998, **221**, 59–73.
- 13 W. R. Greco, G. Bravo and J. C. Parsons, The search for synergy: a critical review from a response surface perspective, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 1995, **47**, 331–385.

- 14 L. M. Collins, J. J. Dziak, K. C. Kugler and J. B. Trail, Factorial experiments: efficient tools for evaluation of intervention components, *Am. J. Prev. Med.*, 2014, **47**, 498–504.
- 15 S. Bosgra, J. C. van Eijkeren and W. Slob, Dose addition and the isobole method as approaches for predicting the cumulative effect of non-interacting chemicals: a critical evaluation, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2009, **39**, 418–426.
- 16 M. Van den Berg, L. Birnbaum, A. T. Bosveld, B. Brunstrom, P. Cook, M. Feeley, J. P. Giesy, A. Hanberg, R. Hasegawa, S. W. Kennedy, T. Kubiak, J. C. Larsen, F. X. van Leeuwen, A. K. Liem, C. Nolt, R. E. Peterson, L. Poellinger, S. Safe, D. Schrenk, D. Tillitt, M. Tysklind, M. Younes, F. Waern and T. Zacharewski, Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for PCBs, PCDDs, PCDFs for humans and wildlife, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 1998, **106**, 775–792.
- 17 A. Taurog, The mechanism of action of the thioureylene antithyroid drugs, *Endocrinology*, 1976, **98**, 1031–1046.
- 18 W. Mellert, K. Deckardt, J. Walter, S. Gfatter and B. van Ravenzwaay, Detection of endocrine-modulating effects of the antithyroid acting drug 6-propyl-2-thiouracil in rats, based on the "Enhanced OECD Test Guideline 407", *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2003, 38, 368–377.
- 19 B. Ulbrich and R. Stahlmann, Developmental toxicity of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): a systematic review of experimental data, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 2004, **78**, 252–268.
- 20 B. De Groef, B. R. Decallonne, S. Van der Geyten, V. M. Darras and R. Bouillon, Perchlorate versus other environmental sodium/iodide symporter inhibitors: potential thyroid-related health effects, *Eur. J. Endocrinol.*, 2006, 155, 17–25.
- 21 H. Chen, X. Zhang, Q. Li, Q. Su, X. Jia and Z. Liu, Study on time-effect relationship of propylthiouracil interfering with thyroid function in ovariectomized rats, *Chin. J. Food Hyg.*, 2014, 26, 5.
- 22 H. Chen, X. Zhang, X. Jia, Q. Li, Q. Su, W. Wang and Z. Liu, Thyroid disrupting effects of polychlorinated biphenyls in ovariectomized rats: A benchmark dose analysis, *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2015, 40, 733–740.
- 23 W. Mao, H. Chen, H. Bao, Y. Song, H. Sui and Z. Liu, The effects of ammonium perchlorate on thyroid function in ovariectomized rats, *J. Toxicol.*, 2016, **30**, 91–95.
- 24 B. W. Gutting, A. Rukhin, D. Marchette, R. S. Mackie and B. Thran, Dose-Response Modeling for Inhalational

Anthrax in Rabbits Following Single or Multiple Exposures, *Risk Anal.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1111/risa.12564, n/a-n/a.

- 25 J. C. O'Connor, S. R. Frame, L. G. Davis and J. C. Cook, Detection of thyroid toxicants in a tier I screening battery and alterations in thyroid endpoints over 28 days of exposure, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 1999, **51**, 54–70.
- 26 S. A. Arain, M. H. Shah, S. A. Meo and Q. Jamal, Estrogen receptors in human thyroid gland. An immunohisto-chemical study, *Saudi Med. J.*, 2003, 24, 174–178.
- 27 N. Zhan, X. Wang and S. Wang, Institute of Applied Toxicology, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China; Joint toxic action of phoxim and fenvalerate on the testis of male rats [J], *Chin. J. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Dis.*, 2001, 4, 261–264.
- 28 V. Şekeroğlu, Z. A. Şekeroğlu and E. Demirhan, Effects of commercial formulations of deltamethrin and/or thiacloprid on thyroid hormone levels in rat serum, *Toxicol. Ind. Health*, 2014, **30**, 40–46.
- 29 R. J. Tallarida, Quantitative methods for assessing drug synergism, *Genes Cancer*, 2011, 2, 1003–1008.
- 30 S. Loewe, Effect of combinations: mathematical basis of problem, *Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharmakol.*, 1926, **114**, 313–326.
- 31 A. M. Soto, K. L. Chung and C. Sonnenschein, The pesticides endosulfan, toxaphene, and dieldrin have estrogenic effects on human estrogen-sensitive cells, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 1994, **102**, 380.
- 32 K. R. Chauhan, P. R. Kodavanti and J. D. McKinney, Assessing the role of ortho-substitution on polychlorinated biphenyl binding to transthyretin, a thyroxine transport protein, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 2000, **162**, 10–21.
- 33 Y. Kato, S. Ikushiro, K. Haraguchi, T. Yamazaki, Y. Ito, H. Suzuki, R. Kimura, S. Yamada, T. Inoue and M. Degawa, A possible mechanism for decrease in serum thyroxine level by polychlorinated biphenyls in Wistar and Gunn rats, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2004, 81, 309–315.
- 34 J. C. O'Connor, S. R. Frame and G. S. Ladics, Evaluation of a 15-day screening assay using intact male rats for identifying steroid biosynthesis inhibitors and thyroid modulators, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2002, **69**, 79–91.
- 35 M. Curcic, A. Buha, S. Stankovic, V. Milovanovic, Z. Bulat, D. Đukić-Ćosic, E. Antonijević, S. Vučinić, V. Matović and B. Antonijevic, Interactions between cadmium and decabrominated diphenyl ether on blood cells count in rats—Multiple factorial regression analysis, *Toxicology*, 2016, DOI: 10.1016/j.tox.2016.05.011.