



Published in final edited form as:

J Dev Orig Health Dis. 2018 August ; 9(4): 467–472. doi:10.1017/S2040174418000223.

Prenatal lead exposure in relation to age at menarche: results from a longitudinal study in Mexico City

Erica C. Jansen^{a,f}, Ling Zhou^{b,f}, Peter X. K. Song^b, Brisa N. Sanchez^b, Adriana Mercado^c, Howard Hu^d, Maritsa Solano^c, Karen E. Peterson^{a,e}, and Martha Maria Tellez-Rojo^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Nutritional Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

^bDepartment of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

^cCenter for Nutrition and Health Research, National Institute of Public Health, Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico

^dOccupational and Environmental Health, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

^eCenter for Human Growth and Development, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Abstract

Animal and cross-sectional epidemiological studies suggest that prenatal lead exposure is related to delayed menarche, but this has not been confirmed in longitudinal studies. We analyzed this association among 200 girls from Mexico City who were followed since the first trimester of gestation. Maternal blood lead levels were analyzed once during each trimester of pregnancy, and daughters were asked about their first menstrual cycle at a visit between the ages of 9.8 and 18.1 y. We estimated hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for probability of menarche over the follow-up period using interval-censored Cox models, comparing those with prenatal blood lead level ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ to those with prenatal blood lead <5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$. We also estimated HRs and 95% CI with conventional Cox regression models, which utilized the self-reported age at menarche. In adjusted analyses, we accounted for maternal age, maternal parity, maternal education, and prenatal calcium treatment status. Across trimesters, 36%–47% of mothers had blood lead levels ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$. Using interval-censored models, we found that during the 2nd trimester only, girls with ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ prenatal blood lead had a later age at menarche compared to girls with prenatal blood lead levels <5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ (confounder-adjusted HR= 0.59, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.90; P=0.05). Associations were in a similar direction, although not statistically significant, in the conventional Cox regression models, potentially indicating measurement error in the self-recalled age at menarche. In summary, higher prenatal lead exposure during the 2nd trimester could be related to later onset of sexual maturation.

Correspondence to: Karen E. Peterson.

^fCo-first author

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

ETHICAL STANDARDS

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008, and has been approved by the institutional review boards of the National Institute of Public Health in Mexico and of the University of Michigan. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Keywords

prenatal; lead; toxicant; menarche; sexual maturation

INTRODUCTION

Lead is a ubiquitous environmental heavy metal known to have deleterious effects on health, even at relatively low concentrations.¹ Nonetheless, it remains uncertain whether prenatal lead exposure is related to timing of sexual maturation in the offspring. Whereas animal studies have consistently shown that prenatal exposure to lead is related to later onset of puberty,² findings in human populations are mixed. In line with animal studies, cross-sectional surveys from the US, Poland, and South Africa have reported that higher blood lead concentration in adolescence is related to delayed pubertal status.^{3–6} However, causal inference is hindered since lead measurements and pubertal assessments were taken at the same time; and pubertal status could potentially affect current blood lead levels. Furthermore, lead concentration during adolescence is most likely not a valid proxy for prenatal lead exposure.⁷ In contrast to findings from cross-sectional studies, the only longitudinal study examining prenatal lead and menarche thus far reported a null association. Study authors found that UK girls whose mother's blood lead concentration was $5 \mu\text{g/dL}$ during pregnancy (measured at a median 11 weeks) did not have a delayed age at menarche compared to girls from mothers with $<5 \mu\text{g/dL}$ lead concentration.⁸ One limitation of using age at menarche as a marker of puberty that could potentially explain the null findings in the UK study is the reliance on accurate recall. Although studies have found that recall of menarcheal age is highly accurate within a year of its occurrence,⁹ this accuracy diminishes quite rapidly. For example, a recent analysis among Brazilian girls estimated that after about 3 years, the event could not be recalled with fair accuracy.¹⁰ This measurement error can result in loss of precision and/or bias of the estimates.

Using a longitudinal sample of 200 Mexican girls followed since prenatal life, we aimed to assess whether higher maternal blood lead, measured at the first, second, and third trimesters, was associated with later age at menarche. In this study sample, a considerable proportion (31%) of girls were older than 14.5 y of age at the time of the interview (which coincides with approximately 3 years after the reported average age of menarche in this population¹¹). Thus, in order to properly address the impact of potential measurement error in self-reported age at menarche, we used a current status time-to-event modelling strategy as our primary analytic technique. We contrasted the obtained estimates with those from the conventional time-to-event Cox regression approach.

METHODS

Study Population

The study population comprises a subset of participants from the Early Life Exposure in Mexico to Environmental Toxicants (ELEMENT) project, three sequentially enrolled cohorts of pregnant women and their offspring recruited from maternity hospitals in Mexico City. Our analysis includes mother/child pairs from the second and third of cohorts recruited

from 1997 to 2004 during the first trimester of pregnancy (n=646 pairs at baseline, 321 were mother/daughter pairs).^{12, 13} Mothers were recruited from prenatal clinics of the Mexican Social Security Institute in Mexico City, which serves low- to middle-income populations formally employed in the private sector. Exclusion criteria included planning to leave the area within 5 years; a history of infertility, diabetes, or psychosis; consuming alcoholic beverages daily during pregnancy; addiction to illegal drugs; diagnosis of a high-risk pregnancy; or being pregnant with multiples. During the baseline clinic visit, mothers provided household and demographic information including age, education, and number of previous pregnancies. Beginning in 2015, 549 child participants (52% were girls) from the original cohorts were re-contacted to participate in a follow-up study. The institutional review boards at the Mexico National Institute of Public Health and the University of Michigan approved research protocols, and informed consent was obtained for all participants.

Blood lead measurements

Blood samples were collected at three points during pregnancy- at the initial visit during the first trimester and again at 2 follow-up visits during the second and third trimesters. Trained personnel performed all sample collections at the Center for Environmental Health Research of the American British Cowdray Medical Center (ABC) in Mexico City. Maternal venous whole blood was collected into metal-free tubes (Vacutainer, B-D 367734; Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometry (model 3000; PerkinElmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) was used to quantify blood lead according to previously described techniques.^{14, 15} Measurements were performed at the ABC Hospital Trace Metal Laboratory, which participated in the CDC blood lead proficiency testing program administered by the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene (Madison, WI). The limit of detection was 0.1 µg/dL. Six samples (three samples of trimester 1 and three samples of trimester 2) were below the limit of detection; their value was imputed as 0.1 µg/dL. In accordance with the CDC reference level for pregnant women and to facilitate comparisons with other studies, we categorized lead level as a dichotomous variable into <5 µg/dL and ≥ 5 µg/dL.¹⁶ In supplemental analyses, we also considered blood lead as a continuous variable.

Sexual maturation

Girls were asked about menarche during the follow-up visit. They were asked whether or not menarche had occurred (Yes, no, or don't know/refused) and, if so, to recall the age (in years and months) it occurred.

Data Analysis

The study sample included 200 girls who were asked about menarche and also had blood lead measurements from their mothers during trimester 2. Due to some missing lead measurements, the sample sizes were 195 and 187 for trimesters 1 and 3, respectively. The analytic sample did not differ from the original baseline cohorts according to covariates listed in Table 1 (Supplemental Table 1). To assess potential confounding, we estimated median maternal blood lead levels during each trimester according to maternal sociodemographic characteristics. Because associations did not differ by trimester and

because there were a few missing lead measurements in trimesters 1 (n=5) and 3 (n=13), we showed estimates from trimester 2 (Table 1). We tested for trends in the association between sociodemographic characteristics and trimester 2 lead levels by treating each sociodemographic variable as ordinal in a regression model.

To analyze the occurrence of menarche we utilized time-to-event techniques, which appropriately account for censored data.¹⁷ In these models, a hazard ratio >1 is indicative of an earlier age at menarche in the exposure group compared to the reference group; in contrast, a hazard ratio <1 indicates a later age at menarche. Our primary analytic strategy used interval-censored Cox regression models to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), comparing the hazard of menarche among girls with prenatal maternal blood lead ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g/dL}$ to those with prenatal maternal blood lead level <5 $\mu\text{g/dL}$. With this approach, it is assumed that the exact timing of menarche is not known, but only whether or not it occurred within a time window. The time window we considered was birth through the age of the sexual maturation interview. Twenty percent of the girls in the analytic sample had not experienced menarche and were considered censored observations in the analysis. For censored observations, we used the age at the interview as the observation time. Separate models were run for each trimester of lead measurement. We performed unadjusted analyses as well as analyses adjusted for maternal age, parity, and education, variables deemed as potential confounders a priori. We also took into consideration the fact that the study sample was assembled from different ELEMENT cohorts. In particular, we adjusted for prenatal calcium treatment status, because 71(36%) mothers were part of a calcium intervention trial during pregnancy, which affected the lead exposure levels of the mothers and could potentially affect age at menarche.¹⁸ We did not adjust for body mass index or height because they occurred after the exposure and could be intermediates on the causal pathway.¹⁹ In supplemental analyses, we ran all models in the same manner, with the exception that prenatal lead levels were considered as a continuous variable rather than dichotomous.

Since age at menarche can naturally be thought of as a time to event outcome, we also estimated HRs and 95% CI with conventional Cox regression models using self-reported age at menarche as the time to event. However, since Cox regression results are likely to be biased due to error in self-reported age at menarche, we also ran these models in a restricted sample of girls <14.5 y of age at the time of the interview (girls who would theoretically be able to recall age at menarche with greater accuracy). All analyses were performed using R Statistical Software R 3.2.2; the conventional Cox regression model was implemented in R package *survival*, and the code for the interval-censored Cox model is available upon request.

RESULTS

The mean age \pm SD of mothers at the child's birth was 28 ± 5.6 y. The median (IQR) blood lead levels ($\mu\text{g/dL}$) of the mothers during trimesters 1, 2, and 3 were 4.8 (2.9 to 7.1), 4 (2.5 to 6.4), and 4.5 (2.9 to 6.6), respectively. The proportion of mothers with blood lead ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g/dL}$ during trimesters 1, 2, and 3 were 47%, 36%, and 43%, respectively. Maternal

characteristics including age, parity, and education were not related to blood lead levels during any trimester of pregnancy (Table 1, trimester 2).

The mean age \pm SD of girls at the follow-up interview was 13.8 ± 2.0 y. The estimated median age at menarche according to self-reported age (IQR) was 11.7 (11.0 to 12.7) y.

Interval-censored Cox regression results

In bivariate analysis, girls whose mothers had ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ blood lead during the second trimester had a lower probability of menarche at a given point in time compared to girls whose mothers had <5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ blood lead (Table 2), signifying a delayed onset of menarche. After adjustment for maternal age, maternal parity, maternal education, and prenatal calcium treatment status, girls whose mothers had ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ blood lead during the second trimester had a 41% lower probability of menarche compared to girls whose mothers had <5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ blood lead (HR= 0.59; 95% CI 10% to 72%, P=0.05). Using these parameters, the estimated median age at menarche among girls with prenatal lead <5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ was 11.46 years and for girls with ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ was 12.07 years (approximately 7 month difference). Maternal blood lead levels during trimesters 1 and 3 were not statistically significantly related to daughters' age at menarche, although they were in the same direction as the 2nd trimester estimate. In supplemental analyses, continuous maternal blood lead levels were not related to age at menarche in either unadjusted or adjusted (Supplemental Table 2).

Conventional Cox regression results

There were no statistically significant differences in the age at menarche during any trimester according to level of maternal blood level, although estimates were all in the same direction as estimates from the current status models (Table 2). In sensitivity analyses restricting to girls <14.5 y of age at the time of interview, the hazard ratio during the second trimester approached statistical significance and was in the expected direction (HR= 0.64 with 95% CI 0.38 to 1.09; P-value= 0.10). Continuous maternal blood lead levels were not related to age at menarche in adjusted or unadjusted analysis (Supplemental Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Lead exposure in Mexico and in other populations worldwide continues to be a public health concern. A recent meta-analysis estimated that the average blood lead level among adult Mexican women was 9.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$,²⁰ notably higher than the CDC currently recommended toxicity threshold of 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ for women of reproductive age.¹⁶ While lead exposure during pregnancy is known to affect cognitive outcomes in the offspring,²¹ whether it is related to sexual maturation timing has been less clear. In this longitudinal analysis of 200 Mexican girls, there was evidence to suggest that higher maternal lead levels during the second trimester may be related to delayed menarche.

A positive association between maternal blood lead and menarcheal age is supported by several lines of evidence. In one animal study, pregnant Fisher rats in the experimental group were given a 12 mg daily dose of lead acetate solution (comparable to low-level lead toxicity in humans) during pregnancy. Female offspring of these rats had significant delays in the timing of vaginal opening and first diestrus compared to offspring in the control group.²

Similarly, epidemiological cross-sectional studies among South African, Polish, and US adolescent girls have found that higher concurrent blood lead levels are related to markers of delayed puberty, including older age at menarche, less advanced Tanner stages for pubic hair and breast development, and lower levels of inhibin B and luteinizing hormone.³⁻⁶ Different methodological approaches make it challenging to directly compare effect sizes, although our trimester 2 findings are fairly similar to cross-sectional results among African Americans in the NHANES study, which reported a 3.6 month delay in menarche among girls with 3 ug/dL blood lead compared to girls with 1 ug/dL. Nonetheless, one longitudinal examination of prenatal lead exposure and age at menarche among girls in the ALSPAC study did not find any differences in the timing of sexual maturation according to prenatal lead exposure.⁸ In addition to the potential for measurement error in self-recall of menarche, another difference between this study and ours is the timing of blood lead assessment. The UK study only had one measurement from each mother as opposed to three, and over half of the blood samples were collected during the 1st trimester. Thus, it is possible that this study missed the sensitive window of lead exposure; which, according to our findings, may be trimester 2. There could also be population differences to consider. In the UK study, only 15% of mothers had blood lead levels $\leq 5 \mu\text{g/dL}$, whereas over 30% of our population crossed this threshold. Thus, exposure levels in the UK population may not have been high enough to produce discernible differences in sexual maturation timing.

Speculations on mechanisms for this association rely mostly on the animal studies. A prevailing theory is that early exposure to lead alters the programming of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, which in turn affects the amount of IGF-1 secretion during peri-puberty. Lower IGF-1 levels during the peri-pubertal period have been related to delays in puberty.²² In support of this mechanism, one experimental study showed that adolescent rats that were prenatally exposed to lead did not experience delays in sexual maturation if they were injected with IGF-1 during peri-puberty compared to control rats that were exposed to lead but not injected with IGF-1.² One novel aspect related to the mechanism that is highlighted by our study is the potential for trimester-specific effects. Previous animal studies have not examined this, but the second trimester has plausibility as a sensitive time period because this is when the hypothalamus undergoes sex differentiation.²³

The paper introduces a novel analysis technique to the area of menarche studies. We used interval censored models, which do not rely on participants to recall the age of the first menstrual period, an indicator that can prove quite challenging to remember accurately. Indeed, our study showed indirect evidence for measurement error in the self-recalled age at menarche. This limitation has been cited in the literature as a concern for many years,^{9, 10, 24, 25} although the nature and extent of the potential bias remains unclear. A recent study in a Brazilian population found that the reported age of menarche was reliable for only up to about 3 years after the event.¹⁰ Whether this length of time holds for other populations, including the Mexican population, is unknown. However, when we restricted the sample to include only girls who were within approximately 3 years of menarche, we noted a shift in the estimates, particularly those from trimester 2, such that the estimates from the restricted sample were remarkably consistent with the estimates from the interval-censored model. This observation provides us with indirect evidence that there may have been recall error in the age at menarche among the oldest girls in the sample, resulting in

biased estimates in the conventional Cox models. This could have occurred if girls with high prenatal and/or childhood lead exposure also had cognitive impairments²⁶ that made it increasingly difficult for them to accurately recall their menarcheal age. Another possibility is that embarrassment or stigma of being a late maturer caused older-maturing girls to report an earlier age at menarche.²⁷ Random measurement error in the recall of age at menarche also cannot be discounted, and it may explain a lack of precision in the conventional Cox model estimates.

The clinical relevance of these findings is an important consideration. Although much of the menarche literature focuses on the public health impacts of earlier puberty, delayed puberty may also be associated with detrimental sequelae including poor psychosocial outcomes, low bone mineral density and adverse cardiometabolic health in later life.²⁸ Nonetheless, it is unknown if the delay in menarche that we reported here may be associated with any of these outcomes later in adolescence or early adulthood; this is a question that should be followed-up in future studies.

This study has several strengths. One is that lead was measured at 3 time points during pregnancy, which allowed us to observe trimester-specific associations. Another strength is the longitudinal study design, which allowed us to establish clear temporality between the exposure to lead and sexual maturation timing. There are also limitations to consider. First is the small sample size, which may have limited power to detect associations during the first and third trimesters. Second is that we had to rely on maternal blood lead as a proxy for fetal exposure to lead. Another is the potential for unmeasured confounding from substances associated with (but not caused by) prenatal lead exposure that are also independent factors of sexual maturation timing, such as other heavy metals or dietary exposures. Although some information on other toxicants has been collected in these cohorts, the sample size of participants with multiple metal measures was too limited. Finally, although our original study question was focused on prenatal lead exposure, it remains a possibility that postnatal exposures to lead during childhood could modify the associations of in utero exposure and sexual maturation.

In conclusion, using longitudinal data from a Mexican population, we found suggestive evidence that prenatal exposure to lead during the second trimester is related to delayed sexual maturation. A closer examination of trimester-specific associations in larger-powered datasets is warranted to more fully understand the underlying mechanism and discern windows of vulnerability.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the American British Cowdray Hospital in Mexico City for providing access to research facilities.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was supported by U.S. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) grants R01-ES007821, R01 ES014930, R01, P42-ES05947, K23ES000381, P01ES022844; by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnologia (ES013744CONACyT) Grant 4150M9405; by US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) grant RD83543601; by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01ES024732 and 5T32DK071212-12; and by CONSERVA, Department of Federal District, Mexico. Funding sources were not involved in the study design, analyses, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Wu X, Cobbina SJ, Mao G, Xu H, Zhang Z, Yang L. A review of toxicity and mechanisms of individual and mixtures of heavy metals in the environment. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2016; 23:8244–59. [PubMed: 26965280]
2. Dearth RK, Hiney JK, Srivastava V, Burdick SB, Bratton GR, Dees WL. Effects of lead (Pb) exposure during gestation and lactation on female pubertal development in the rat. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2002; 16:343–52. [PubMed: 12220594]
3. Naicker N, Norris SA, Mathee A, Becker P, Richter L. Lead exposure is associated with a delay in the onset of puberty in South African adolescent females: findings from the Birth to Twenty cohort. *Sci Total Environ*. 2010; 408:4949–54. [PubMed: 20692685]
4. Schell LM, Gallo MV. Relationships of putative endocrine disruptors to human sexual maturation and thyroid activity in youth. *Physiol Behav*. 2010; 99:246–53. [PubMed: 19800354]
5. Slawinska T, Ignasiak Z, Little BB, Malina RM. Short-term secular variation in menarche and blood lead concentration in school girls in the Copper Basin of southwestern Poland: 1995 and 2007. *Am J Hum Biol*. 2012; 24:587–94. [PubMed: 22552905]
6. Selevan SG, Rice DC, Hogan KA, Euling SY, Pfahles-Hutchens A, Bethel J. Blood lead concentration and delayed puberty in girls. *N Engl J Med*. 2003; 348:1527–36. [PubMed: 12700372]
7. Wright JP, Dietrich KN, Ris MD, et al. Association of prenatal and childhood blood lead concentrations with criminal arrests in early adulthood. *PLoS Med*. 2008; 5:e101. [PubMed: 18507497]
8. Maisonet M, Jaakkola JJ, Taylor CM, Marcus M, Golding J. Prenatal lead exposure and puberty timing in girls. *Epidemiology*. 2014; 25:153–5. [PubMed: 24296930]
9. Koo MM, Rohan TE. Accuracy of short-term recall of age at menarche. *Ann Hum Biol*. 1997; 24:61–4. [PubMed: 9022907]
10. Castilho SD, Nucci LB, Assuino SR, Hansen LO. The importance of memory bias in obtaining age of menarche by recall method in Brazilian adolescents. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol*. 2014; 58:394–7. [PubMed: 24936735]
11. Marvan ML, Catillo-Lopez RL, Alcalá-Herrera V, Callejo DD. The Decreasing Age at Menarche in Mexico. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2016; 29:454–7. [PubMed: 26915923]
12. Hu H, Tellez-Rojo MM, Bellinger D, et al. Fetal lead exposure at each stage of pregnancy as a predictor of infant mental development. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2006; 114:1730–5. [PubMed: 17107860]
13. Surkan PJ, Schnaas L, Wright RJ, et al. Maternal self-esteem, exposure to lead, and child neurodevelopment. *Neurotoxicology*. 2008; 29:278–85. [PubMed: 18261800]
14. Miller DT, Paschal DC, Gunter EW, Stroud PE, D'Angelo J. Determination of lead in blood using electrothermal atomisation atomic absorption spectrometry with a L'vov platform and matrix modifier. *Analyst*. 1987; 112:1701–4. [PubMed: 3445938]
15. Ettinger AS, Roy A, Amarasiriwardena CJ, et al. Maternal blood, plasma, and breast milk lead: lactational transfer and contribution to infant exposure. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014; 122:87–92. [PubMed: 24184948]
16. Guidelines for the Identification and Management of Lead Exposure in Pregnant and Lactating Women. Atlanta, GA: Center for Disease Control and Prevention; 2010
17. KleinbaumD, KleinM. *Survival Analysis: A Self-Learning Text*3. New York, New York: Springer Science and Business Media; 2012

18. Ramezani Tehrani F, Mirmiran P, Gholami R, Moslehi N, Azizi F. Factors influencing menarcheal age: results from the cohort of tehran lipid and glucose study. *Int J Endocrinol Metab.* 2014; 12:e16130. [PubMed: 25237321]
19. German A, Shmoish M, Hochberg Z. Predicting pubertal development by infantile and childhood height, BMI, and adiposity rebound. *Pediatr Res.* 2015; 78:445–50. [PubMed: 26151490]
20. Caravanos J, Dowling R, Tellez-Rojo MM, et al. Blood lead levels in Mexico and pediatric burden of disease implications. *Ann Glob Health.* 2014; 80:269–77. [PubMed: 25459328]
21. Wasserman GA, Liu X, Popovac D, et al. The Yugoslavia Prospective Lead Study: contributions of prenatal and postnatal lead exposure to early intelligence. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2000; 22:811–8. [PubMed: 11120386]
22. Thankamony A, Ong KK, Ahmed ML, Ness AR, Holly JM, Dunger DB. Higher levels of IGF-I and adrenal androgens at age 8 years are associated with earlier age at menarche in girls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012; 97:E786–90. [PubMed: 22419724]
23. Savic I, Garcia-Falgueras A, Swaab DF. Sexual differentiation of the human brain in relation to gender identity and sexual orientation. *Prog Brain Res.* 2010; 186:41–62. [PubMed: 21094885]
24. Cooper R, Blell M, Hardy R, et al. Validity of age at menarche self-reported in adulthood. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2006; 60:993–7. [PubMed: 17053289]
25. Bergsten-Brucefors A. A note on the accuracy of recalled age at menarche. *Ann Hum Biol.* 1976; 3:71–3. [PubMed: 945036]
26. Canfield RL, Jusko TA, Kordas K. Environmental lead exposure and children's cognitive function. *Riv Ital Pediatr.* 2005; 31:293–300. [PubMed: 26660292]
27. Knaul FM. *Linking Health, Nutrition and Wages: The Evolution of Age at Menarche and Labor Earnings Among Adult Mexican Women* Washington, DC: Inter-American Development Bank; 1999
28. Zhu J, Chan YM. Adult Consequences of Self-Limited Delayed Puberty. *Pediatrics.* 2017:139.

Table 1

Maternal blood lead (Pb) concentrations during 2nd trimester of 200 Mexican schoolgirls, according to sociodemographic characteristics

Maternal sociodemographic characteristics	N	Median Pb concentration at 2 nd trimester, µg/dL (IQR)	Proportion with Pb concentration at 2 nd trimester ≥ 5 µg/dL, %
Maternal age at 2 nd trimester lead assessment, y			
<25	64	3.9 (2.2, 6.5)	34.4
25 to <30	72	4.0 (2.3, 5.8)	34.7
30 to <35	35	4.2 (2.8, 7.5)	34.3
≥ 35	29	4.3 (2.8, 7.3)	44.8
P, trend		0.45	0.43
Maternal parity, number of previous pregnancies			
0 or 1	77	4.0 (2.2, 5.7)	31.2
2	67	4.5 (2.8, 6.4)	44.8
3	56	3.9 (2.6, 6.7)	32.1
P, trend		0.52	0.78
Maternal education, y			
Did not complete secondary (<9)	29	4.8 (3.8, 7.9)	48.3
Completed some high school (9 to <12)	78	3.9 (2.3, 5.7)	34.6
Completed high school (12)	65	4.5 (2.6, 6.2)	36.9
Higher education (>12)	28	3.5 (1.9, 5.0)	25.0
P, trend		0.16	0.14

Table 2
Probability of menarche among Mexican school-age girls aged 9.8–18.1 y according to prenatal lead exposure

Maternal blood lead	Current status model (Interval-censored)		Age at menarche model (Conventional Cox)		
	Hazard ratio (95% CI) ¹	Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) ^{1,2}	Hazard ratio (95% CI) ³	Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) ^{2,3}	Adjusted hazard ratio, <14.5 y at interview (95% CI) ^{2,3,4}
1st trimester, n=195					
<5 µg/dL	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
5 µg/dL	0.88(0.50, 1.25)	0.85 (0.46, 1.24)	0.89 (0.65, 1.23)	0.92 (0.65, 1.29)	0.80 (0.52, 1.25)
P value	0.55	0.49	0.48	0.62	0.33
2nd trimester, n=200					
<5 µg/dL	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
5 µg/dL	0.65 (0.34, 0.96)	0.59 (0.28, 0.90)	0.84 (0.61, 1.15)	0.91(0.65, 1.27)	0.64 (0.38, 1.09)
P value	0.08	0.05	0.27	0.58	0.10
3rd trimester, n=187					
<5 µg/dL	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
5 µg/dL	0.83 (0.45, 1.20)	0.85 (0.42, 1.27)	0.94 (0.68, 1.31)	0.97 (0.69, 1.37)	0.89 (0.56, 1.41)
P value	0.42	0.51	0.72	0.88	0.62

¹ From interval-censored Cox regression models, with status of menarche from birth through age during sexual maturation interview as the outcome

² Adjusted for maternal age, maternal parity, maternal education, and prenatal calcium treatment status

³ From Cox regression models with self-reported age at menarche as the outcome

⁴ Restricted sample sizes are 141, 138, and 133 for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd trimesters, respectively