



Published in final edited form as:

Acad Pediatr. 2018 August ; 18(6): 603–604. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2018.01.016.

Prenatal Material Hardship and the Internal Locus of Control over the Prevention of Child Obesity: A Progress Report

Rachel S. Gross, MD, MS^a, Alan L. Mendelsohn, MD^b, and Mary Jo Messito, MD^a

^aDivision of General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, New York University School of Medicine, Bellevue Hospital Center, 462 First Avenue, New York, NY, USA, 10016

^bDivision of Developmental-Behavioral Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, New York University School of Medicine, Bellevue Hospital Center, 462 First Avenue, New York, NY, USA, 10016

Keywords

Social Determinants of Health; Pregnancy; Infancy; Child Obesity; Prevention

Poverty-related disparities in early child obesity have substantial public health consequences, including adverse effects on child well-being and increased later obesity. Determining the best ways to help families to engage in healthy behaviors required to prevent obesity is key to reducing disparities in early child obesity. Social determinants of health (SDH), which include access to adequate food, energy and housing, contribute to a family's ability to establish healthy behaviors. Pregnancy is a unique time of transition, and can increase a woman's vulnerability to hardships. Therefore, it is critical to address material hardships during pregnancy and infancy. Understanding how hardships affect parenting attitudes and behaviors is vital for developing obesity prevention strategies in high-risk communities.

In the June 2016 issue of *Academic Pediatrics*, we used data from the Starting Early Trial,¹ a primary care-based early child obesity prevention intervention designed for low-income Hispanic families beginning in pregnancy, to examine associations between prenatal material hardships and locus of control over the prevention of child obesity.² We found that hardships were associated with lower prenatal internal locus of control. Internal locus of control or one's sense of personal control over life outcomes, is a potential key mediator related to later obesity-promoting behaviors. We found that prenatal food insecurity was independently associated with a lower sense of control and that experiencing greater hardships was associated in a dose-dependent manner.

Corresponding Author: Rachel S. Gross, MD, MS, Department of Pediatrics, New York University School of Medicine, Bellevue Hospital Center, 462 First Avenue, New York, NY, USA, 10016, (212) 263-8974, Rachel.Gross@nyumc.org.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

We are now submitting a progress report regarding effort made in the field since we completed our study.² Our findings represent one potential mechanism for how SDH may contribute to poverty-related disparities in early obesity. Since our study, researchers using the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, a United Kingdom pre-birth cohort, found that higher prenatal internal locus of control was associated with healthier child eating, sleeping and behavior.³ This study supports that prenatal attitudes effect later parenting and child behaviors. However, this study did not focus on low-income families with material hardships. Since accounting for hardships may be critical for obesity prevention, prospective studies are needed among high-risk groups.

Addressing SDH during pregnancy and infancy both broadly to improve health outcomes and specifically in the context of obesity prevention, could be facilitated by primary care through interventions designed to buffer the adverse effects of poverty-related risks. Since our study, researchers have highlighted how primary care could serve as a platform for addressing health consequences of poverty-related SDH. Benefits include: 1) frequent prenatal and pediatric visits, which provide regular access to pregnant women and infants on a population scale; 2) ability to build on pre-existing provider relationships; 3) using existing infrastructure to lower cost and decrease need for additional transportation; 4) frequent contact with difficult to reach populations.⁴

Two approaches exist to address the child health outcomes of poverty-related SDH: 1) primary prevention approaches, which are applied universally to promote optimal growth and development and prevent problems before they arise; and 2) secondary/tertiary prevention approaches for families with risk factors or problems identified by screening. The traditional way of managing SDH is secondary/tertiary prevention. Since our report, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists⁵ and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published new recommendations to incorporate SDH screening into standard care. In response, some primary care sites are screening for SDH; those with identified needs are referred for assistance.⁶ The AAP has focused on mitigating the adverse impact of food insecurity by recommending screening, and calling for interventions to help food insecure families obtain community services and cope with existing hardships.⁷

A key question in incorporating screening and interventions is how to best account for heterogeneity in risk and resilience factors in low-income populations. One approach has been the integration of primary and secondary/tertiary prevention. For example, Healthy Steps integrates behavioral health specialists into pediatric primary care to offer universal developmental screenings, counseling and referrals. Since our study, some Healthy Steps programs have been adapted to provide maternal mental health services for those with identified depression while providing the standard program for all families regardless of risk.⁸ Another new initiative, Smart Beginnings, is a tiered-intervention model.⁴ The foundational components are Read Out and Read and the Video Interaction Project which are delivered universally through primary prevention of adverse developmental outcomes. As a second level, families screening positive for SDH or emerging behavioral problems receive additional home-based services through the Family Check-up Program. In prenatal care, group-visit models providing primary prevention have improved social support and perinatal outcomes for low-income women,⁹ and can be readily adapted to a tiered-model in

which women with identified hardships are connected to additional services. No programs to our knowledge have created a tiered-model of primary and secondary/tertiary prevention to address poverty-related disparities in child obesity.

Our Starting Early Trial focused on primary prevention of child obesity through a group-based intervention coordinated with well-child visits. Group content incorporated social learning theory important for adult behavior change, which included interactive demonstrations and active practicing of skills.¹ Intervention mother-infant pairs have higher exclusive breastfeeding, greater infant physical activity, and less early introduction of complementary foods than controls receiving standard care.^{1,10} Our data linking hardships and locus of control suggest that given relationships between SDH and key potential mediators of child obesity, integrated models combining primary and secondary/tertiary prevention are needed. Child obesity prevention will likely need to combine health care programs with public health efforts to address the complex, multifactorial challenges faced by low-income families. Programs like Starting Early could provide an infrastructure for helping promote healthy infant feeding in the context of food insecurity and decreasing food insecurity by linking families to community services, including the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program for Women, Infant and Children (WIC) and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). Subsequent adaptations are exploring the feasibility and impact of these modifications. Group interventions beginning during pregnancy that incorporate primary and secondary/tertiary prevention, by providing support of healthy habits for all families and tailoring the intervention and adding services for those that screen positive for SDH, can optimize population-level outcomes and greatly reduce morbidity related to early child obesity.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, US Department of Agriculture, under award number 2011-68001-30207. Funding was also provided by the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NIH/NICHHD) through a K23 Mentored Patient-Oriented Research Career Development Award (K23HD081077; PI Gross).

References

1. Gross RS, Mendelsohn AL, Gross M, Scheinmann R, Messito MJ. Randomized controlled trial of a primary care-based child obesity prevention intervention on infant feeding practices. *J Pediatr.* 2016; 174:171–177. [PubMed: 27113376]
2. Gross RS, Mendelsohn AL, Gross M, Scheinmann R, Messito MJ. Material hardship and internal locus of control over the prevention of child obesity in low-income Hispanic pregnant women. *Acad Pediatr.* 2016; 16(5):468–474. [PubMed: 26861931]
3. Nowicki S, Iles-Caven Y, Gregory S, Ellis G, Golding J. The impact of prenatal parental locus of control on children's psychological outcomes in infancy and early childhood: a prospective 5 year study. *Front Psychol.* 2017; 8:546.doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00546 [PubMed: 28446887]
4. Cates CB, Weisleder A, Mendelsohn AL. Mitigating the effects of family poverty on early child development through parenting interventions in primary care. *Acad Pediatr.* 2016; 16(3 Suppl):S112–20. [PubMed: 27044688]
5. Committee on Healthcare for Underserved Women, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Importance of social determinants of health and cultural awareness in the delivery of reproductive care. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018; 131(1):e43–48. [PubMed: 29266079]

6. Garg A, Toy S, Tripodis Y, Silverstein M, Freeman E. Addressing social determinants of health at well child care visits: A cluster RCT. *Pediatrics*. 2015; 135(2):e296–304. [PubMed: 25560448]
7. Council on Community Pediatrics, Committee on Nutrition. Promoting food security for all children. *Pediatrics*. 2015; 136(5):e1431–8. [PubMed: 26498462]
8. [Accessed January 15, 2018] Healthy Steps for Young Children. Available at: <https://www.healthysteps.org/the-model>
9. Chae SY, Chae MH, Kandula S, Winter RO. Promoting improved social support and quality of life with the Centering Pregnancy group model of prenatal care. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 2017; 20(1):209–220. [PubMed: 27988822]
10. Gross R, Mendelsohn A, Yin H, et al. Randomized controlled trial of an early child obesity prevention intervention: Impacts on infant tummy time. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2017; 25(5):920–927. [PubMed: 28332324]

What's new

Prenatal social determinants of health are associated with potential key mediators related to child obesity-promoting behaviors. Innovative primary care-based interventions that combine primary and secondary/tertiary prevention may be needed to address the heterogeneity of risk that exists in low-income communities.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript