A novel Rtg2p activity regulates nitrogen catabolism in yeast

Michael M. Pierce, Marie-Lise Maddelein, B. Tibor Roberts, and Reed B. Wickner*

Laboratory of Biochemistry and Genetics, National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Building 8, Room 225, 8 Center Drive MSC0830, Bethesda, MD 20892-0830

Contributed by Reed B. Wickner, September 14, 2001

The inactivity of Ure2p, caused by either a *ure2* **mutation or the presence of the [URE3] prion, increases** *DAL5* **transcription and thus enables** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **to take up ureidosuccinate (USA). Rtg2p regulates transcription of glutamate-repressible genes by facilitation of the nuclear entry of the Rtg1 and Rtg3** proteins. We find that *rtg2* Δ cells take up USA even without the presence of [URE3]. Thus, the USA+ phenotype of *rtg2* Δ strains is **not the result generation of the [URE3] prion but is a regulatory effect. Because** *rtg1*- **or** *rtg3*- **mutations or the presence of glutamate do not produce the USA+ phenotype, this is a novel** function of Rtg2p. The USA+ phenotype of *rtg2*∆ strains depends **on** *GLN3***, is caused by overexpression of** *DAL5***, and is blocked by** *mks1*-**, but not by overexpression of Ure2p. These characteristics suggest that Rtg2p acts in the upstream part of the nitrogen catabolism regulation pathway.**

retrograde signaling | DAL5 | [URE3] | prion

In the presence of a good nitrogen source, such as ammonia, yeast blocks the transcription of genes needed for utilization of yeast blocks the transcription of genes needed for utilization of poor nitrogen sources, such as allantoate (reviewed in refs. 1 and 2). This phenomenon is called nitrogen catabolite repression (NCR) and is mediated by the positively acting DNA-binding transcription factors Gln3p and Gat1p/Nil1p (3, 4). Under repressing conditions, Gln3p is hyperphosphorylated and is bound to the cytoplasmic phosphorylated Ure2p, whereas in derepressed conditions, Gln3p and Ure2p phosphorylation decreases, and Gln3p migrates to the nucleus to act (5–8). *DAL5* encodes the permease for allantoate, a poor but usable nitrogen source for yeast (9). Regulation of *DAL5* is believed to be mediated entirely through Ure2p and Gln3p (10) and can be conveniently measured by uptake of the chemically related compound, ureidosuccinate (USA), an intermediate in uracil biosynthesis (ref. 11; Fig. 1).

The Mks1 protein, originally defined as a growth regulator downstream of the cAMP-A kinase system (12), also acts on nitrogen regulation upstream of Ure2p (13). Overexpression of Mks1p derepresses *DAL5* by a mechanism involving Ure2p (Fig. 1). An $mks1\Delta$ strain is further unable to derepress *DAL5* on a poor nitrogen source (13). Genetic experiments place Mks1p upstream of Ure2p in the NCR pathway (Fig. 1). The *TOR* kinases have also been implicated in NCR $(6-8, 14)$ through their phosphorylation of Gln3p and Ure2p.

Ure2p is also of interest because the nonchromosomal gene [URE3] (15) is an altered, inactive, infectious form of the Ure2 protein (a prion) (16). The yeast prion [URE3] is a selfpropagating amyloid form of Ure2p (refs. 17–19; reviewed in ref. 20). Ure2p consists of an N-terminal prion domain (residues 1–80; refs. 21and 22) and a C-terminal domain that functions in regulation of nitrogen catabolism (residues 81–354; refs. 5 and 21). *In vivo*, Ure2p is evenly distributed in the cytoplasm of normal cells but is aggregated in [URE3] prion-containing cells because of its amyloid state (17, 19). [URE3] requires the chaperone Hsp104 for its propagation (23) and is efficiently cured by growth in the presence of 3–5 mM guanidine (16, 24), probably because guanidine inhibits Hsp104 *in vivo* (25, 26).

Fig. 1. NCR. In the presence of a good nitrogen source, such as ammonia, the cytoplasmic protein Ure2p binds to Gln3p and prevents its entrance into the nucleus. Gln3p is a DNA-binding positive transcription regulator required for the expression of multiple genes (such as *DAL5*) involved in transport or assimilation of poor nitrogen sources (such as allantoate). Dal5p, the allantoate transporter, also takes up the chemically similar USA, an intermediate in the uracil biosynthetic pathway. Cells blocked in aspartate transcarbamylase and supplied with USA in place of uracil on ammonia-containing medium can grow only if the NCR pathway is inactive. A *ure2* mutation or the presence of the [URE3] prion results in inactivity of Ure2p and constitutive activity of Gln3p and thus the USA+ phenotype. Mks1p acts as an inhibitor of Ure2p. The role tentatively assigned to Rtg2p in NCR is based on work presented in this study.

In addition to its role in NCR, Mks1p also affects prion generation in that $mks/|\Delta|$ mutants have dramatically reduced rates of *de novo* [URE3] formation (27). Likewise, slightly elevated production of Mks1p increases the frequency with which [URE3] arises (27). The Ras–cAMP pathway negatively regulates Mks1p (12) and through it, [URE3] prion generation (27). Expressing a constitutively active allele of Ras2 nearly eliminates the *de novo* generation of the [URE3] prion. Deletion

Abbreviations: NCR, nitrogen catabolite repression; USA, ureidosuccinate; GFP, green fluorescent protein; YPAD, yeast extract peptone adenine dextrose; USA-, ureidosuccinate uptake (a phenotype).

^{*}To whom reprint requests should be addressed. E-mail: wickner@helix.nih.gov.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

of *MKS1* does not affect [URE3] propagation, thus the effect is specific for prion generation.

Rtg2p is a cytoplasmic protein discovered by Butow and colleagues (28, 29) through its involvement in signaling mitochondrial impairment to the nucleus. Deleting the mitochondrial genome triggers the ''retrograde signaling pathway'' and results in the activation of transcription of *CIT2* (peroxisomal citrate synthase), *DLD3* (D-lactate dehydrogenase), and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle enzyme genes *CIT1*, *ACO1*, *IDH1*, and *IDH2* (28, 30, 31). Rtg2p requires two basic helix–loop–helix leucine zipper proteins, Rtg1p and Rtg3p, for this transcription regulation action, and these regulatory effects are strongly inhibited by glutamate in the medium (28, 30, 32). Induction of the RTG system is accompanied by movement of the Rtg1 and Rtg3 proteins from the cytoplasm into the nucleus and the partial dephosphorylation of the Rtg3 protein (29). The relocation of Rtg1p and Rtg3p requires Rtg2p, as does the dephosphorylation of Rtg3 (29, 33). Like the nitrogen regulation system, the TOR kinases also affect the RTG system (33). The precise mechanism of Rtg2 action is not yet clear, but this protein has substantial homology to a number of bacterial exopolyphosphatases and an ATP-binding site like that in Hsp70s (34).

We isolated mutants of a [URE3] strain that remained able to take up USA after a period of growth in the presence of the prion-curing agent guanidine. We find that $r\textit{tg2}\Delta$ strains are ureidosuccinate uptake (USA-), but that this phenotype was not the result of retention of the [URE3] prion. We show that Rtg2p affects nitrogen catabolite regulation, an effect of Rtg2p that seems to be independent of its action in transcriptional regulation of tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle enzymes through Rtg1p and Rtg3p.

Methods

Media, Strains, and Plasmids. Rich dextrose media [yeast extract peptone adenine dextrose (YPAD)], minimal media [synthetic dextrose (SD)], and glycerol media [yeast extract/peptone/glucose (YPG)] were used as described (35). USA uptake (and indirectly Ure2p activity) was scored in two ways (15). For *ura2* mutants, blocked in the production of USA, ability to grow on SD plates with 33 μ g/ml of USA was scored. Alternatively, uptake of USA and secretion of excess uracil produced on SD with 100 μ g/ml of USA seeded with a lawn of the $ura2/ura2$ diploid strain 1065 allows growth of the lawn of strain 1065 around the streak.

Plasmids pVTG11 and pVTG12 expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) and an N-terminal Ure2p (1–65)-GFP fusion, respectively, have been described (17). Ure2p was overexpressed from the $ADH1$ promoter by using the $LEU2$ 2 μ and *CEN* plasmids, pH14 and pH67, and Ure2p*Apa* was similarly overexpressed from pH438 (13, 17). pMP1, expressing *RTG2* under the control of its native promoter, was constructed as follows. A 3-kb fragment was PCR-amplified from a lambda phage clone (ATCC 70008) by using oligonucleotides $pRS > RTG2pro$ (5-GACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGGAGCTCCACCGCG-GTGGCGGCCGCCTGCAGCAGTTATTCACCC-3) and RTG2>pRS (5'-CAA AAG CTG GGT ACC GGG CCC CCC CTC GAG GTC GAC GGT ATC GAT GAA CAA CAA GAA GGT GCC C-3) containing 45 bp homologous to the vector pRS315 (36) and 15 bases homologous to *RTG*2. Cotransformation of the 3-kb PCR fragment and *Xba*I- and *Hin*dIIIdigested pRS315 into yeast strain 4242 generated vector pMP1 by homologous recombination. A 1.9-kb PCR fragment containing RTG2 was amplified by using oligonucleotides pADH>RTG2 (5'-TTCAAGCTATACCAAGCATACAAT-CAACTCCAAGCTGGATCCCAAATGTCAACACTTAGC-GATAG-3') and RTG2>pADH (5'-ACCTCTGGCGAA-GAAGTCCAAAGCTTCAGCTGCTGCAGGCTCGAGTT-ATTCTTCATAAAATTGCACGCC-3). The amplified fragment was cotransformed with *Bam*HI- and *Not*I-digested pH14 $(2\mu P_{ADHI}URE2)$ or pH67 (*CEN* $P_{ADHI}URE2$) or pH402 (*CEN* P*ADH1HIS3*) to generate the plasmids pMP2, pMP3, and pMP4.

Isolation of Guanidine-Incurable Mutants. The [URE3] strain 4037 (*MATa kar1 ura2 leu2 trp1 ade5* [URE3–1]) was transformed with a bank of yeast DNA mutagenized with a modified Tn3 transposon carrying the yeast *LEU2* gene (37) and plated for Leu+ transformants. Pooled transformants were plated for single colonies on YPAD containing 5 mM guanidine hydrochloride. Colonies were regrown on YPAD without guanidine, replica-plated to $-Leu$, and tested for growth on USA. The USA+ Leu+ clones were restreaked on YPAD with guanidine and retested for both phenotypes. Candidate mutants were crossed with strain 4053 (*MAT*- *leu2 ura2* [ure-o]), and meiotic tetrads were analyzed.

Chromosomal DNA was purified from one of these segregants (4770–7C), cut with *Eco*RI, ligated, and a junction fragment of the Tn3-*LEU2* transposon and yeast DNA was amplified by using primers within the transposon. The sequence showed that the transposon inserted at base 771 from the ATG start of the *RTG2* gene.

Disruption of RTG2 in Strain MP51. Oligonucleotides *RTG2* 5 (5'-CAGCGGCGAGCTCAATAAG-3') and RTG2 3' (5'-CTCAAACCTCACTAGACGAC-3) were used to amplify the *RTG*2::*G418* insertion from strain ATCC 4004619 (38). The amplified product was used to transform the diploid [ure-o] strain MP51. Transformants were selected on $YPAD + 300$ μ g/ml of G418 and one (MP52) was confirmed by PCR amplification of DNA sequences specific to the G418 insertion.

Cytoductions. Transmission of [URE3] is tested by the transfer of cytoplasm (cytoduction) from a donor strain to a ρ^O recipient strain as described (39) by using the [URE3] donor strains 4184 and 4833-3B. These strains were also used as recipients in cytoduction experiments after curing of [URE3] by guanidine and elimination of the mitochondrial genome by ethidium. The propagation of [URE3] in *rtg*2::*G418* strains is tested by using a two-step cytoduction experiment described in the legend of Table 3.

DAL5-lacZ Reporter Assays. *DAL5* expression in *rtg2*, [URE3], and [ure-o] strains was determined by using a *TRP1-ARS1* plasmid (pRR29) expressing the *lacZ* gene from the *DAL5* promoter (40). Strains carrying the plasmid were grown in minimal selective media ($-Trp$) to $\overline{OD}_{550} = 0.6-0.9$. Cells were permeabilized in chloroform and 0.1% SDS, and β -galactosidase activity was determined by measuring the hydrolysis of *O*-nitrophenyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (41).

Results

USA+ Phenotype of *rtg2* Mutants Is Unaffected by Growth in Guani**dine.** In a search for mutants that cannot be cured of [URE3] by growth in the presence of guanidine, we transformed a [URE3] strain with a bank of yeast DNA carrying random insertions of LEU2. Transformants that remained USA+ after growth on rich medium containing 10 mM guanidine were retested and then mated with a [ure-o] strain, and meiotic segregants were examined. For isolate B2/7, most Leu+ USA+ meiotic segregants remained USA+ after growth on rich medium containing 5 mM guanidine. The *LEU2* insert was linked tightly to *ade5* (parental ditypes $= 34$, nonparental ditypes $= 0$, and tetratypes $= 9$). The insert was found at base 771 from the ATG start of the *RTG2* gene, which is closely linked to *ade5* (see *Methods*).

An *rtg2*::*G418* deletion mutant (*ura2*∆ strain 4791-6B) was crossed with the [URE3] strain 1735. All 14 *rtg2*::*G418* USA segregants remained USA+ when streaked to single colonies on

YPAD medium containing 5 mM guanidine, whereas all 9 wild-type USA + segregants were uniformly converted to USA – (cured of [URE3]) by the same treatment.

Both the original *rtg2*::*LEU2* mutant and the *rtg2*::*G418* deletion mutation were associated with a USA+ phenotype unchanged by growth on guanidine. Among possible explanations are (*i*) that *RTG2* is necessary for curing of [URE3]; (*ii*) that an *rtg2* mutation results in altered nitrogen regulation so that *DAL5* is inappropriately expressed; or (*iii*) that an *rtg2* mutation gives rise to *de novo* [URE3] formation at such high frequency that the USA+ phenotype seems incurable.

The USA Phenotype of rtg2- **Mutants Arises De Novo.** In crosses of [URE3] and *rtg2* strains, all *rtg2* segregants grew on USA

Table 2. [URE3] is not present in USA+ *rtg2*∆ strains

Donor	Growth on USA	Recipient	$USA+/total$ cytoductants
MP29 RTG2 [URE3]	$^{+}$	4184 [ure-o]	12/12
MP29 RTG2 [ure-o]		4184 [ure-o]	0/17
3-1C rtg2:: G418	$^{+}$	4184 [ure-o]	0/7
3-4D rtg2:: G418	$^{+}$	4833-3B [ure-o]	0/9
3-6A rtg2: G418	$^{+}$	4833-3B [ure-o]	0/13
3-12D rtg2:: G418	$^{+}$	4184 [ure-o]	0/10

RTG2 control strains and *rtg2*[:] G418 segregants of strain MP51 were used as cytoduction donors to $\rho^{\rm o}$ [ure-o] derivatives of strains 4184 and 4483-3B.

Table 3. *rtg2* Δ does not inhibit [URE3] propagation or curing

Propagation of [URE3] was tested by using a two-stage cytoduction experiment of the type: [URE3] \rightarrow *RTG* + or *rtg2* Δ \rightarrow [ure-o]. In the first cytoduction, cytoplasm was transferred from the [URE3] donor strains 4184 or 4833-3B to ρ° RTG2 and *rtg2* Δ strains. Haploid recipients that acquired a functional mitochondrial genome were grown either in the presence or absence of 5 mM guanidine and then used as donors in a second cytoduction to guanidinecured ρ° derivatives of 4184 or 4833-3B. The number of USA+ cytoductants/ total cytoductants observed in the second cytoduction is shown. The presence of USA- cytoductants from the second cytoduction confirms the ability of donor strains to propagate [URE3].

containing media after 3 days of growth, but *RTG2* segregants exhibited both $USA+$ and $USA-$ phenotypes. To determine whether the USA+ phenotype is a consequence of the *RTG2* deletion, we disrupted one copy of *RTG2* from the [ure-o] diploid strain MP51 (see *Methods*) with a PCR-amplified *rtg2*::*G418* deletion cassette, the heterozygous diploids were sporulated, and 12 tetrads were tested for the ability to grow on USA. As shown in Fig. 2, all 24 *rtg2* spores were USA+ as indicated by the cosegregation of G418 resistance and growth on USA, whereas none of the *RTG2* segregants were USA+. The USA- phenotype of *rtg2* segregants may reflect either an increase in the generation of [URE3] or release of NCR caused by a metabolic effect, but does not simply reflect the stabilization of preexisting [URE3].

Next, *rtg2* segregants were grown in either the presence or absence of 5 mM guanidine to determine whether the USA phenotype was curable. For all *rtg2* strains tested, growth on 5

Fig. 2. *rtg2*∆ strains are USA+. (*Left*) The [ure-o] strains YHE861 and -867 were crossed to generate the [ure-o] diploid strain MP52. One copy of *RTG2* was deleted by transformation with a PCR-amplified *rtg2*::*G418* cassette. $(Right)$ The $rtg2\Delta/+$ diploid was verified by PCR, sporulated, and the segregants were tested for growth on USA. All 24 *rtg2* segregants were able to grow on USA, whereas all of the *RTG2* segregants were USA-.

mM guanidine did not eliminate the USA+ phenotype (data not shown). In contrast to these results, guanidine efficiently cured [URE3] from wild-type strains.

The USA+ Phenotype of *rtg2*∆ Strains Is Not Caused by [URE3]. Several *rtg2*::*G418* USA+ segregants from MP52 (see above) were used as cytoduction donors for the transfer of cytoplasm to wild-type [ure-o] ρ^O recipients (Table 2). None were able to donate [URE3] to the [ure-o] recipients. Because infectivity is the defining characteristic of a prion, this proves that these *rtg2*::*G418* strains were USA- for reasons other than carrying the [URE3] prion.

[URE3] is the result of amyloid formation by Ure2p (17–19), and as a result, Ure2p-GFP is aggregated in [URE3] prioncarrying strains (17). To test whether the *rtg2* mutation was leading to aggregation of Ure2p by a mechanism that might not lead to infectivity, we examined the distribution of Ure2p^{1–65}-GFP in *RTG2*- [URE3], *RTG2*- [ure-o], and *rtg2* strains. Whereas the aggregation was clearly seen in the [URE3] strain, the fusion protein was evenly distributed in both [ure-o] and *rtg2* cells $(Fig. 3)$.

rtg2- **Does Not Preclude [URE3] Propagation or Curing.** We showed previously that none of several *rtg2*::*G418* strains carried [URE3], indicating that the USA+ phenotype was a regulatory effect of the *rtg2* mutation. Into several of these strains, [URE3] was introduced by cytoduction from wild-type [URE3] strains (Table 3). These cytoductant *rtg2*::*G418* strains were then either grown on guanidine or the same medium without guanidine. After this growth period, these cells were used as cytoduction donors to a [ure-o] strain to determine whether they carried [URE3] (Table 3). In each case, the *rtg2*::*G418* cells carried [URE3] after it was introduced from a [URE3] donor, as shown by the fact that they could donate it to a [ure-o] strain. However, if the *rtg2*::*G418* [URE3] strain was grown on medium containing 5 mM guanidine, [URE3] was cured as shown by the fact that it was no longer transmitted to the [ure-o] strain. Thus, *rtg2* cells are USA- despite the absence of [URE3]—a regulatory effect of the *rtg2* mutation. *rtg2*::*G418* cells can carry [URE3], however, and it can be efficiently cured from such a strain.

Although Mks1p affects regulation of nitrogen catabolism, it also affects [URE3] prion generation (27). Because Mks1p and Rtg2p are involved in regulating both the retrograde signaling pathway and nitrogen catabolism (refs. 13 and 42 and this study), it seemed possible that the $rtg2\Delta$ mutation, like mild overproduction of Mks1p (27), might increase the frequency with which [URE3] arises. Because $rtg2\Delta$ strains are already USA+, it was necessary to test this issue indirectly, relying on the dominance of [URE3] and the recessive nature of the $\text{trg2}\Delta$ mutation. Wild-type and $rtg2\Delta$ segregants from MP52 were grown and mated with *RTG2* [ure-o] strains YHE859 and YHE929, and the diploids formed were plated on USA medium to determine the frequency of [URE3]. The *rtg2* Δ segregants averaged 18 USA+ colonies per 10⁶ diploids cells plated, whereas the *RTG2* segregants averaged 20 USA+ colonies per 10⁶ cells plated. Thus, there is no indication that $r \nmid g2\Delta$ increases the generation of [URE3]. Likewise, overproduction of Rtg2p from pMP4 did not inhibit the induction of [URE3] by overexpression of Ure2p*Apa* from pH438 in strain 4848-3B (*MAT***a** *ura2 his3 leu2 trp1*). Ure2pΔ*Apa* is a mutant protein lacking 8 residues in its C-terminal domain, which is particularly active in inducing the appearance of [URE3] (21).

USA+ Phenotype of *rtg2* Mutants Is the Result of a Novel Regulatory **Action.** Rtg2p acts with Rtg1p and Rtg3p to elevate the expression of genes such as *CIT2* and *DLD3* when mitochondrial function is impaired (28, 31, 32). Moreover, glutamate is a potent inhibitor of expression of the *RTG*-regulated genes, an effect that mimics

Fig. 3. Ure2p is not aggregated in *rtg2* strains. Strains (*A*) MP29 (*RTG2* [URE3]), (*B*) MP71 (*RTG2* [ure-o], a guanidine-cured derivative of MP29), and (*C* and *D*) MP46 (*rtg2*) carrying pVTG12, expressing the N-terminal prioninducing domain of Ure2p fused to GFP were examined by fluorescence microscopy as described (17). The *rtg2* Δ strain was treated (*C*) or untreated (*D*) with 5 mM guanidine.

mutation of an *RTG* gene (30). We constructed various *rtg1*::*G418* and *rtg3*::*G418* strains with and without [URE3]. Those carrying [URE3] were efficiently cured by growth on guanidine and became $USA -$. Those without [URE3] were uniformly USA $-$. We also found that the presence of 0.1 or 0.2% glutamate in the medium likewise does not produce a USA+ phenotype, although it has been shown to inhibit expression of the *RTG*-controlled genes. Elimination of the mitochondrial genome, which activates the *RTG* system, does not affect growth of wild-type or [URE3] strains on USA in the presence of ammonia.

DAL5 Expression Is Increased in rtg2 Δ **Strains. To verify that the** uptake of USA by *rtg2* mutants was caused by activation of *DAL5* expression, we measured β -galactosidase activity from a plasmid carrying *lacZ* driven by the *DAL5* promoter (pRR29; ref. 40) in wild-type [ure-o], [URE3], and *rtg2* [ure-o] strains (Table 4). We found that *DAL5* expression was elevated 12-fold in the *rtg2*

Table 4. *DAL5* **expression is increased in** *rtg2*- **strains**

strain compared with the wild-type strain, explaining the USA phenotype. [URE3] strains show a greater increase in *DAL5* expression than do $rtg2\Delta$ mutants, and indeed $rtg2\Delta$ strains grow more slowly on USA than do [URE3] cells.

gln3- **and mks1**- **Are Epistatic to rtg2**-**.** *DAL5* expression normally depends on Gln3p (43), and we found that the USA+ phenotype of *rtg2* strains likewise is eliminated in *rtg2 gln3* double mutants, indicating that Rtg2p acts upstream of Gln3p in controlling *DAL5* (Table 5).

Deletion of *MKS1* makes cells unable to derepress *DAL5* in response to a poor nitrogen source (13). Similarly, $mks1\Delta$ rtg2 Δ double mutants were consistently USA - (e.g., Table 5), indicating that the $mks1\Delta$ mutation is epistatic to the $rtg2\Delta$ mutation, and suggesting that Rtg2p acts upstream of Mks1p in the NCR pathway.

Western blots of extracts of wild-type and isogenic *rtg2* mutants show no change in the size or amount of Ure2p (data not shown), suggesting that it is the activity of Ure2p that is altered rather than its amount. Overproduction of Rtg2p from pMP2 (2 μ m, *LEU2*, *ADH1* promoter) did not affect the USA+ phenotype of $ure2\Delta$ strain 4111, consistent with our suggestion that Rtg2p acts upstream of Ure2p. Also, overproduction of Rtg2p did not cure [URE3] (data not shown). However, overproduction of Ure2p from the *ADH1* promoter on either low- or high-copy plasmids (pH67 or pH14, respectively; ref. 17) did not prevent the USA+ phenotype of an $rtg2\Delta$ mutant (data not shown).

Discussion

A frequent theme in regulation is the interaction of components of what had been thought to be different regulatory pathways. Rtg2p was originally defined in studies by Butow and colleagues (28, 29) as a mediator of the retrograde signaling pathway. Rtg2p acts with Rtg1p and Rtg3p to alter expression of genes in intermediary metabolism in response to disabled mitochondrial metabolism. Although the role of Rtg2p is not completely clear, it is known to be a cytoplasmic protein that facilitates the nuclear entry of the DNA-binding transcription factors, Rtg1p and Rtg3p. The homology of Rtg2p with bacterial exopolyphosphatases suggests a possible role in either altering a signaling nucleotide, degrading cellular polyphosphate, or removing a phosphate group from Rtg1p or Rtg3p.

In searching for genes affecting guanidine-curing of the [URE3] prion, we found that *rtg2* mutants have a USA+ phenotype (like [URE3] strains) but do not affect prion replication, generation, or curing. The *rtg2* mutation leads to derepression of *DAL5*, and this activity requires Gln3p, as with the derepression seen in the presence of a poor nitrogen source. That $\text{trg2}\Delta \text{ mks1}\Delta$ strains were $USA-$ suggests that Mks1p is downstream of Rtg2p in the NCR cascade. This finding would suggest the pathway: $NH_3 \rightarrow Rtg2p -$ Mks1p – Ure2p – Gln3p \rightarrow *DAL5*. Two experiments that might have confirmed this pathway gave unexpected results. (*i*) Overex-

- 1. Cooper, T. G. (1982) in *The Molecular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Metabolism and Gene Expression*, eds. Strathern, J. N., Jones, E. W. & Broach, J. R. (Cold Spring Harbor Lab., Plainview, NY), Vol. 2, pp. 39–99.
- 2. Magasanik, B. (1992) in *The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces*, eds. Jones, E. W., Pringle, J. R. & Broach, J. R. (Cold Spring Harbor Lab., Plainview, NY), Vol. 2, pp. 283–317.

Table 5. *gln3* **and** *mks1* **are epistatic to** *rtg2*

Strain	Genotype	Growth on USA
$4-9B$	GLN3 rtg2 Δ	$^+$
$4-10C$	$qln3\Delta RTG2$	
$4-5A$	gln3 Δ rtg2 Δ	
4852-1C	MKS1 rtg2 Δ	$\overline{+}$
4852-1D	$mks1\Delta RTG2$	
4852-1A	mks1 Δ rtg2 Δ	

pression of Ure2p did not eliminate the USA+ phenotype of rtg2 Δ strains, and (*ii*) a strain overproducing Rtg2p was USA+ on proline media. Neither of these experiments clearly rules out our tentative model; the overproduced Rtg2p may be completely inactive in the absence of a good nitrogen source, and the overproduced Ure2p may not overcome the hyperactive Mks1p in the $\text{trg2}\Delta$ strain.

Although the $rtg2\Delta$ mutation activates the nitrogen-repressed gene *DAL5*, *rtg1* Δ or *rtg3* Δ mutations do not do so. This result is in contrast to the action of Rtg2p in the retrograde signaling pathway, which requires both Rtg1 and Rtg3. Moreover, glutamate inhibits the induction of the retrograde pathway, and thus mimics an $\text{trg2}\Delta$ mutation. But whereas the $rtg2\Delta$ mutation allows growth on USA, the presence of glutamate does not promote growth of a wild type on USA and in fact blocks the growth of a [URE3] or *ure2* strain. Thus, the effect on NCR is a novel activity of Rtg2p. Although the overall effect of Rtg2p is to facilitate the nuclear entry of Rtg1p and Rtg3p, its effect on Gln3p is apparently the opposite. It is the absence of Rtg2p that results in Gln3p entry.

Shamji *et al.* (42) showed by microarray methods that Mks1p is necessary for the induction by rapamycin of genes controlled by the RTG system, such as *CIT2* and *DLD3*. In contrast, our data show that $rtg2\Delta$ strains are derepressed for genes previously shown to be regulated by Mks1p. Together, these results indicate that Rtg2p and Mks1p act together to regulate a wider array of genes. Rtg1p and Rtg3p are not involved in NCR, whereas Ure2p and Gln3p are apparently not involved in the retrograde signaling pathway. Thus, these are downstream factors that carry out the specific instructions of Mks1p and Rtg2p. However, it remains unclear how Rtg2p-Mks1p transmits the nitrogen supply signal to Ure2p-Gln3p and the mitochondrial functional status signal to Rtg1p-Rtg3p.

It is not entirely surprising that the NCR and retrograde signal transduction pathways are connected in this way. The RTG pathway seems to be designed to maintain glutamate levels in the absence of mitochondrial function, and glutamate is a potent repressor of the RTG pathway activity. Glutamate is also a primary intermediate in the nitrogen catabolism pathways and a good repressor of catabolism of many poor nitrogen sources. Aigle (24) found that although [URE3] or *ure2* strains would arise on USA media containing either ammonia or glutamate as nitrogen source, they arose far more rarely on media containing both. This glutamate effect is the opposite of that expected from glutamate inhibition of the RTG system, which should mimic an *rtg2* mutation and cause the USA- phenotype. Thus, glutamate has distinct actions on the two systems, but both involve Rtg2p and Mks1p in a more complex mechanism that remains to be resolved.

We thank Herman Edskes (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health) and Daniel Masison for their thoughtful reading of the manuscript.

- 3. Mitchell, A. P. & Magasanik, B. (1984) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **4,** 2758–2766.
- 4. Coffman, J. A., Rai, R., Cunningham, T., Svetlov, V. & Cooper, T. G. (1996) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16,** 847–858.
- 5. Coschigano, P. W. & Magasanik, B. (1991) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **11,** 822–832.
- 6. Cardenas, M. E., Cutler, N. S., Lorenz, M. C., Di Como, C. J. & Heitman, J. (1999) *Genes Dev.* **13,** 3271–3279.
- 7. Hardwick, J. S., Kuruvilla, F. G., Tong, J. K., Shamji, A. F. & Schreiber, S. L. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96,** 14866–14870.
- 8. Beck, T. & Hall, M. N. (1999) *Nature (London)* **402,** 689–692.
- 9. Rai, R., Genbauffe, F., Lea, H. Z. & Cooper, T. G. (1987) *J. Bacteriol.* **169,** 3521–3524.
- 10. Cooper, T. G. (1996) in *Mycota III*, eds. Marzluf, G. & Bambri, R. (Springer, Berlin), pp. 139–169.
- 11. Turoscy, V. & Cooper, T. G. (1987) *J. Bacteriol.* **169,** 2598–2600.
- 12. Matsuura, A. & Anraku, Y. (1993) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **238,** 6–16.
- 13. Edskes, H. K., Hanover, J. A. & Wickner, R. B. (1999) *Genetics* **153,** 585–594.
- 14. Bertram, P. G., Choi, J. H., Carvalho, J., Ai, W., Zeng, C., Chan, T. F. & Zheng, X. F. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 35727–35733.
- 15. Lacroute, F. (1971) *J. Bacteriol.* **106,** 519–522.
- 16. Wickner, R. B. (1994) *Science* **264,** 566–569.
- 17. Edskes, H. K., Gray, V. T. & Wickner, R. B. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96,** 1498–1503.
- 18. Taylor, K. L., Cheng, N., Williams, R. W., Steven, A. C. & Wickner, R. B. (1999) *Science* **283,** 1339–1343.
- 19. Speransky, V., Taylor, K. L., Edskes, H. K., Wickner, R. B. & Steven, A. (2001) *J. Cell. Biol.* **153,** 1327–1335.
- 20. Wickner, R. B., Taylor, K. L., Edskes, H. K., Maddelein, M.-L., Moriyama, H. & Roberts, B. T. (2000) *J. Struct. Biol.* **130,** 310–322.
- 21. Masison, D. C. & Wickner, R. B. (1995) *Science* **270,** 93–95.
- 22. Maddelein, M.-L. & Wickner, R. B. (1999) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19,** 4516–4524.
- 23. Moriyama, H., Edskes, H. K. & Wickner, R. B. (2000) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20,** 8916–8922.
- 24. Aigle, M. (1979) *Contribution à l'Étude de Hérédité Non-chromosomique de Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Facteur [URE3] et Plasmides Hybrides* (L'Universite Louis Pasteur de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France).
- 25. Jung, G. & Masison, D. C. (2001) *Curr. Microbiol.* **43,** 7–10.
- 26. Ferreira, P. C., Ness, F., Edwards, S. R., Cox, B. S. & Tuite, M. F. (2001) *Mol. Microbiol.* **40,** 1357–1369.
- 27. Edskes, H. K. & Wickner, R. B. (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97,** 6625–6629. (First Published May 23, 2000; 10.1073-pnas.120168697)
- 28. Liao, X. & Butow, R. A. (1993) *Cell* **72,** 61–71.
- 29. Sekito, T., Thornton, J. & Butow, R. A. (2000) *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **11,** 2103–2115.
- 30. Liu, Z. & Butow, R. A. (1999) *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **19,** 6720–6728.
- 31. Chelstowska, A., Liu, Z., Jia, Y., Amberg, D. & Butow, R. A. (1999) *Yeast* **15,** 1377–1391.
- 32. Jia, Y., Rothermel, B., Thornton, J. & Butow, R. A. (1997) *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **17,** 1110–1117.
- 33. Komeili, A., Wedaman, K. P., O'Shea, E. K. & Powers, T. (2000) *J. Cell. Biol.* **151,** 863–878.
- 34. Koonin, E. V. (1994) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **19,** 156–157.
- 35. Sherman, F. (1991) in *Guide to Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology*, eds. Guthrie, C. & Fink, G. R. (Academic, San Diego), Vol. 194, pp. 3–21.
- 36. Sikorski, R. S. & Hieter, P. (1989) *Genetics* **122,** 19–27. 37. Burns, N., Grimwade, B., Ross-Macdonald, P. B., Choi, E. Y., Finberg, K.,
- Roeder, G. S. & Snyder, M. (1994) *Genes Dev.* **8,** 1087–1105.
- 38. Winzeler, E. A., Shoemaker, D. D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Boeke, J. D., Bussey, H., *et al.* (1999) *Science* **285,** 901–906.
- 39. Ridley, S. P., Sommer, S. S. & Wickner, R. B. (1984) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **4,** 761–770.
- 40. Rai, R., Genbauffe, F. S., Sumrada, R. A. & Cooper, T. G. (1989) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **9,** 602–608.
- 41. Guarente, L. (1983) in *Recombinant DNA, Part C*, eds. Wu, R., Grossman, L. & Moldave, K. (Academic, New York), Vol. 101, pp. 181–191.
- 42. Shamji, A. F., Kuruvilla, F. G. & Schreiber, S. L. (2000) *Curr. Biol.* **10,** 1574–1581.
- 43. Cooper, T. G., Ferguson, D., Rai, R. & Bysani, N. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.* **172,** 1014–1018.