m⁶A RNA Degradation Products Are Catabolized by an Evolutionarily Conserved N⁶-Methyl-AMP Deaminase in Plant and Mammalian Cells

Mingjia Chen,^a Mounashree J. Urs,^a Ismael Sánchez-González,^b Monilola A. Olayioye,^b Marco Herde,^a and Claus-Peter Witte^{a,1}

^aDepartment of Molecular Nutrition and Biochemistry of Plants, Institute of Plant Nutrition, Leibniz University Hannover, 30419 Hannover, Germany

^bInstitute of Cell Biology and Immunology, University of Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-0943-3991 (M.C.); 0000-0002-5630-8886 (M.J.U.); 0000-0001-8539-3648 (I.S.-G.); 0000-0003-1093-263X (M.A.O.); 0000-0003-2804-0613 (M.H.); 0000-0002-3617-7807 (C.-P.W.)

N⁶-methylated adenine (m⁶A) is the most frequent posttranscriptional modification in eukaryotic mRNA. Turnover of RNA generates N⁶-methylated AMP (N⁶-mAMP), which has an unclear metabolic fate. We show that *Arabidopsis thaliana* and human cells require an N⁶-mAMP deaminase (ADAL, renamed MAPDA) to catabolize N⁶-mAMP to inosine monophosphate in vivo by hydrolytically removing the aminomethyl group. A phylogenetic, structural, and biochemical analysis revealed that many fungi partially or fully lack MAPDA, which coincides with a minor role of N⁶A-RNA methylation in these organisms. MAPDA likely protects RNA from m⁶A misincorporation. This is required because eukaryotic RNA polymerase can use N⁶-mATP as a substrate. Upon abrogation of *MAPDA*, root growth is slightly reduced, and the N⁶-methyladenosine, N⁶-mAMP, and N⁶-mATP concentrations are increased in Arabidopsis. Although this will potentially lead to m⁶A misincorporation into RNA, we show that the frequency is too low to be reliably detected in vivo. Since N⁶-mAMP was severalfold more abundant than N⁶-mATP in *MAPDA* mutants, we speculate that additional molecular filters suppress the generation of N⁶-mAMP phosphorylation. We conclude that a multilayer molecular protection system is in place preventing N⁶-mAMP accumulation and salvage.

INTRODUCTION

Over 100 chemical modifications, mostly methylations, have been identified in eukaryotic RNA. N⁶-methylated adenine (m⁶A) is the most prevalent modification in mRNA but also occurs in other RNA species. In the nucleus, m⁶A is installed at specific sites in unspliced mRNA by the RNA methyltransferase complex comprising the active methyltransferase METTL3 as well as other proteins (Zhong et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2014; Ping et al., 2014; Meyer and Jaffrey, 2017; Růžička et al., 2017). The methylation pattern may be altered in the nucleus through partial demethylation by the RNA demethylase ALKBH5 (Zheng et al., 2013), although this process affects only a few sites and its purpose is still unclear (Meyer and Jaffrey, 2017). Interestingly, FTO, another demethylase involved in m⁶A demethylation (Jia et al., 2011; Su et al., 2018), was recently shown to also demethylate cap-structure-associated Nº-2'-O-dimethyladenosine (Mauer et al., 2017). In the nucleus, some m⁶A marks are decoded by the nuclear m⁶A reader YTHDC1, which regulates mRNA splicing (Xiao et al., 2016). Mature mRNA, containing the bulk of m⁶A sites (Ke et al., 2017), is exported to the cytoplasm and the methylated sites are recognized by YTHDF1 (Wang et al., 2015), YTHDF2 (Wang et al., 2014), YTHDF3 (Li et al., 2017;

¹Address correspondence to cpwitte@pflern.uni-hannover.de.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Claus-Peter Witte (cpwitte@pflern.uni-hannover.de).

www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.18.00236

Shi et al., 2017), and the eukaryotic initiation factor eIF3 (Meyer et al., 2015), thereby influencing various biological processes, such as mRNA translation efficiency and stability. Quantitatively little methylation or demethylation on mRNA occurs in the cytosol (Ke et al., 2017). Therefore, upon turnover of the mRNA, Nº-methyl-AMP (Nº-mAMP) will be released together with other mononucleotides. What is the metabolic fate of N6-mAMP, and how does the cell prevent its reuse as an RNA building block and random insertion into newly synthesized RNA species? This report describes how N6-mAMP is catabolized by a conserved mechanism in plants and mammals using Arabidopsis thaliana and the human cervical cancer cell line HeLa as model systems. An enzyme (ADAL, renamed MAPDA) that catabolizes N⁶-mAMP to inosine monophosphate (IMP) was identified, and its phylogenetic spread and possible specificity determinants for N⁶-mAMP were analyzed. We also investigated if MAPDA protects RNA from random misincorporation of N6-methylated A during RNA biosynthesis and which other molecular filters might be involved in this task.

RESULTS

Identification of N⁶-mAMP Deaminase

N⁶-mAMP might accumulate in aging tissue if it is not catabolized. However, we did not observe marked N⁶-mAMP accumulation with increasing leaf age in Arabidopsis (Figure 1; Supplemental Table 3), indicating that the plant does not simply accumulate N⁶-mAMP, but it might instead be able to degrade it.

IN A NUTSHELL

Background: RNA possesses over 100 distinct posttranscriptional modifications in eukaryotic species. N⁶methyladenosine (m⁶A) is the most abundant internal modification in messenger RNAs. It modulates mRNA characteristics influencing RNA processing, translation, and RNA decay. The m⁶A patterns in mRNA are dynamically controlled by "writer" and "eraser" proteins in the nucleus, which act on specific sites of the mRNA. Quantitatively little methylation or demethylation on m⁶A mRNA occurs in the cytosol. Therefore, upon mRNA turnover in the cytosol, N⁶methyl-AMP (N⁶-mAMP) will be released together with other mononucleotides.

Question: What is the metabolic fate of N⁶-mAMP resulting from RNA turnover? How does the cell prevent N⁶-mAMP from being recycled, as this may lead to misincorporation of m⁶A into newly forming RNA?

Findings: We identified an evolutionarily conserved N⁶-mAMP deaminase (MAPDA), which hydrolyzes free N⁶mAMP to inosine monophosphate in Arabidopsis and human cells. Upon abrogation of *MAPDA*, N⁶-methylated adenine derivatives accumulate in vivo, and plant root growth is slightly reduced. Together with adenylate kinases, which selectively phosphorylate AMP and have only low activity with N⁶-mAMP, MAPDA represents a molecular safeguard preventing the formation of N⁶-mATP. This is required because eukaryotic RNA polymerase **II** accepts N⁶mATP as a substrate to a certain extent. Therefore, the accumulation of this compound may lead to random nonspecific incorporation of m⁶A marks into new RNA. However, in MAPDA mutants, this effect was too small to be detected directly in the RNA, and it is conceivable that MAPDA has been conserved in most eukaryotes also because it protects other cellular processes from N⁶-methylated adenylates.

Next steps: Mutation of MAPDA also leads to N⁶-methyl adenosine accumulation. Which phosphatase catalyzes this reaction and are adenosine kinases selective against methylated adenosine? How are other modified nucleosides processed after RNA degradation? Do they accumulate or are they catabolized? Which enzymes are involved and what happens if they are abrogated?

Arabidopsis and many other eukaryotes possess an enzyme, which is annotated as Adenosine/AMP Deaminase Like (ADAL) protein (UniProt Q8LPL7, locus At4g04880 for Arabidopsis). ADAL is structurally most similar to eukaryotic adenosine deaminases (ADAs) but is phylogenetically clearly distinct from these proteins (Figure 2: Maier et al., 2005), Radiotracer experiments have shown that plants do not possess ADA (Stasolla et al., 2003), and mutants of the only known Arabidopsis AMP deaminase (EMBRYONIC FACTOR1 [FAC1]) are embryo lethal (Xu et al., 2005), indicating that ADAL is neither an adenosine deaminase nor an AMP deaminase able to compensate for the lack of FAC1. In the context of screening for enzymes that structurally alter modified nucleosides or nucleotides used as prodrugs in cancer therapy, human ADAL (Uniprot Q6DHV7-1) was found to catalyze the hydrolysis of many N6- or O6-alkylated purine mononucleotides in vitro, including N6-mAMP (Schinkmanová et al., 2006; Murakami et al., 2011), but the physiological substrate and the physiological roles of this enzyme have not been investigated. We hypothesized that ADAL might catalyze the hydrolysis of N⁶-mAMP to IMP and methylamine in vivo, thereby converting a rare methylated nucleotide into a canonical non-methylated nucleotide (Figure 3A). This notion is additionally supported by the cytosolic subcellular location of ADAL (Figure 4), since its putative substrate, N⁶-mAMP, is generated in the cytosol.

AtADAL, which was affinity purified after transient expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana*, indeed catalyzed N⁶-mAMP hydrolysis, yielding IMP with a catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) of 66 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Figure 3B, Table 1; Supplemental Figure 1), a value slightly lower than the reported catalytic efficiency of 126 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ of the human ADAL homolog (*Hs*ADAL) for N⁶-mAMP (Murakami et al., 2011). Neither adenosine and AMP nor N⁶-methyladenosine and N⁶-mATP are substrates of *At*ADAL.

Therefore, we decided to rename ADAL as N⁶-mAMP deaminase (MAPDA).

MAPDA Is Required for N⁶-mAMP Catabolism in Vivo

To investigate the in vivo role of *At*MAPDA, we quantified N⁶- or O⁶-methylated purine nucleosides by liquid chromatography coupled to quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) in phosphatase-treated leaf extracts of two independent T-DNA insertion mutants (*mapda-1* and *mapda-2*; Supplemental Figure 2A) and the wild type. Both mutant extracts contained elevated amounts of N⁶-methyladenosine but not O⁶-methylguanosine



Figure 1. Absolute Quantification of N⁶-mAMP in Leaves of Different Ages.

Young leaves (top 9 rosette leaves), middle-aged leaves (leaf position 20 to 22), and old leaves (leaf position 26 to 30) from 33-d-old plants were used. Statistical evaluation with ANOVA indicated a difference at P = 0.0338, but there is no tendency toward increased N⁶-mAMP content with leaf age. Error bars are sp (n = 3 independent plants).



Figure 2. Phylogenetic Analysis of the Eukaryotic Adenyl Deaminase Family Including MAPDA (ADAL), ADE, ADA, and the Adenosine Deaminase-Related Growth Factor.

An unrooted maximum likelihood tree was constructed with MEGA 7 focusing on major model species representing a wide taxonomic range. The tree with the best log score is displayed, with branch lengths indicating the number of substitutions per site (legend). Numbers at branches indicate the percentage of trees in which associated taxa clustered together during bootstrap analysis (1000 bootstraps). Values below 70 are not shown. See Methods for details. CECR1/ADGF, adenosine deaminase-related growth factor.

(Figure 3C). The corresponding deoxynucleosides were not detectable, indicating that they did not accumulate significantly. The levels of N⁴-acetylcytidine, a N-modified cytidine conserved in tRNA (Johansson and Byström, 2004; Ikeuchi et al., 2008), were also unchanged between the wild type and the mutants. Because MAPDA has no activity for N⁶-methyladenosine, the results indicate that N⁶-mAMP is the main in vivo substrate of *At*MAPDA.

To confirm this finding, we quantified N⁶-mAMP and N⁶methyladenosine directly (without dephosphorylation) in leaf extracts of the wild type, the two mutants, and a complementation line expressing an *AtMAPDA-strep* cDNA in the *mapda-1* mutant background (Supplemental Figure 2B). AMP and adenosine were used as internal references. The N⁶-mAMP/AMP and N⁶-methyladenosine/adenosine ratios were ~10 and 4 times higher, respectively, in 7-d-old seedlings of the *AtMAPDA* mutants compared with the wild type. In the complementation line, both ratios were similar to those of the wild type (Figures 5A and 5B). Comparable results were obtained later in development with 33-d-old plants for leaves of different ages (Supplemental Figure 3). These results demonstrate that *At*MAPDA is required for N^6 -mAMP catabolism in vivo and that N^6 -mAMP is not completely stable but is in part dephosphorylated to N^6 -methyladenosine, especially in the absence of MAPDA.

To assess the phylogenetic conservation of MAPDA function, we extended our analysis to HeLa cells, in which the human homolog HsMAPDA (Figure 2) was downregulated by RNA interference. Transient transfection of two independent small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) directed against HsMAPDA (siMAPDA-1 and siMAPDA-2) resulted in a close to 90% reduction in mRNA levels compared with cells transfected with a nonsilencing control siRNA (Supplemental Figure 4). Although HsMAPDA depletion was only short term (3 d), a significant increase of ~1.6-fold in the N⁶-mAMP/AMP ratio and of 5.5-fold in the N⁶-methyladenosine/ adenosine ratio was observed in the knockdown cells compared with the control cells (Figures 5C and 5D). As in Arabidopsis, N⁶-methyladenosine accumulated in addition to N⁶-mAMP, although the former is not a substrate of HsMAPDA (Murakami et al., 2011), indicating that N6-mAMP is readily dephosphorylated to the nucleoside after the release from RNA in the absence of MAPDA.



Figure 3. Enzymatic Reaction of AtMAPDA (AtADAL).

(A) Reaction scheme.

(B) Purity after affinity purification of *At*MAPDA-Strep shown on a Coomassie-stained gel (left panel) and determination of kinetic constants using this enzyme preparation (right panel). The kinetic data were fitted with the Michaelis-Menten equation (red) or by linear regression for the Hanes plot (S/V over S; blue). Error bars are sp (n = 3 independent reactions).

(C) Concentrations of potential *At*MAPDA substrates (after dephosphorylation) in leaf extracts of 7-d-old plants of the wild type and two independent *AtMAPDA* mutants. Detection limit of 0.0067 ng g⁻¹ for N⁶-methyl deoxyadenosine and 0.56 ng g⁻¹ for O⁶-methyl deoxyguanosine. Statistical evaluation with ANOVA followed by Tukey's post test (relevant P values for the pairwise comparisons between Col-0 and the *MAPDA* mutants are shown on the columns; ***P < 0.001). Error bars are sp (*n* = 3 biological replicates, which are three pools containing several seedlings derived from seeds of three independent parental plants per genotype).

Does MAPDA Help Protect RNA from Random N^e-A Methylation?

MAPDA function is conserved between Arabidopsis and human, and MAPDA enzymes are widespread in the eukaryotes, forming a clade distinct from related eukaryotic enzymes such as ADAs and adenine deaminases (ADEs) (Figure 2). This suggests that many eukaryotes, including fungi, insects, fish, reptiles, birds, mammals, as well as algae and plants, require MAPDA function. We speculated that MAPDA might protect organisms against the undesired salvage of N⁶-mAMP to N⁶-mATP, which could result in random incorporation of m⁶A into RNA, leading to a higher m⁶A content. Indeed, N⁶-mATP concentrations were significantly elevated in Arabidopsis seedlings of the two AtMAPDA mutants compared with the wild type and the complementation line (Figure 6A). However, the m⁶A/A ratios in the tRNA + rRNA and in the highly purified mRNA pools (Figure 6B) of the respective genotypes were not significantly different (Figure 6C). In HeLa cells, the m⁶A/A ratio of RNA was not altered by MAPDA knockdown (Figure 6D), but one needs to bear in mind that in this experimental setup, residual MAPDA expression cannot be ruled out. These results demonstrate that MAPDA contributes to the molecular filter preventing the generation of N⁶-mATP from RNA breakdown products, but MAPDA loss does not result in marked changes in the m⁶A content of RNA.

Using an in vitro transcription assay employing HeLa cell extracts, we investigated the selectivity of a eukaryotic RNA polymerase II for ATP versus N6-mATP. Although the RNA polymerase preferred ATP as a substrate, N6-mATP was used as well (Figure 6E). At an N⁶-mATP/ATP ratio of 2%, which is close to the ratio occurring in the AtMAPDA mutants $(1.49\% \pm 0.93\%$ for madpa-1; $1.52\% \pm 0.93\%$ for mapda-2) (Figure 6A), the increase in RNA methylation in vitro was $0.12\% \pm 0.17\%$ (Figure 6E), which is too little and too variable to be reliably detected above the wild-type background of RNA methylation of $0.39\% \pm 0.10\%$ in vivo (Figure 6C). This could explain why a possible effect of the MAPDA mutation on the m⁶A/A ratios in the mRNA is very difficult to demonstrate directly. In any case, the effect would be small. Indirectly, however, our data suggest that the MAPDA mutation will result in some random incorporation of m⁶A into newly synthesized RNA because the N⁶-mATP concentrations are elevated in this genetic background, and N⁶-mATP is a substrate of RNA polymerase II.

Adenylate Kinases Are Strong Selectivity Filters against N⁶-mAMP Phosphorylation

Seedlings of the MAPDA mutants contain more than 15 times more N⁶-mAMP than N⁶-mATP (Supplemental Table 1), indicating that an efficient conversion into the trinucleotide does not occur. Adenylate kinases are prime candidates for molecular filters preventing N⁶-mAMP phosphorylation, as they catalyze the conversion of AMP and ATP to two molecules of ADP. To test whether adenylate kinases efficiently prevent N⁶-mAMP phosphorylation, we cloned the two cytosolic adenylate kinase genes (*AMPK3*, At5g50370; *AMPK4*, At5g63400) (Lange et al., 2008) of Arabidopsis, expressed them in planta, and affinity purified the proteins. Indeed, AMPK3 and AMPK4 had over 13,700and 5360-fold higher catalytic efficiencies (k_{cat}/K_m), respectively, for AMP compared with N⁶-mAMP (Table 1; Supplemental Figure 5). Considering that the AMP concentration in Arabidopsis leaf cells exceeds the N⁶-mAMP concentration by ~300-fold in



Figure 4. Subcellular Localization of AtMAPDA (AtADAL).

(A) to (D) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of cells at the lower leaf epidermis of *N. benthamiana* transiently coexpressing *At*MAPDA C-terminally tagged with YFP (*At*MAPDA-YFP) and cytosolic β -ureidopropionase C-terminally tagged with CFP (β -UP-CFP). YFP (A), CFP (B), autofluorescence of chloroplasts (C), and YFP, CFP, and autofluorescence detection (D). Bars = 40 µm.

(E) Stability test of the AtMAPDA-YFP and β -UP-CFP fusion proteins analyzed by immunoblot developed with a GFP-specific antibody. The negative control was generated from leaves infiltrated only with the helper Agrobacterium tumefaciens carrying the silencing inhibitor construct.

seedlings (Figure 5A; Supplemental Table 1) and over 3000-fold in fully grown rosettes (Supplemental Figure 3), it is clear that the AMPKs represent effective molecular filters preventing N⁶-mAMP phosphorylation. However, without MAPDA, this AMPK filter does not completely abolish the formation of N⁶-mATP (Figure 6A). Unfortunately, unlike MAPDA, the adenylate kinase filter cannot be removed to study the effect of a compromised filter on m⁶A-RNA methylation in vivo because these enzymes are central for ATP production in all organisms.

Elucidating Specificity Determinants of MAPDA Suggests That Fungal MAPDAs Might Have Acquired a Different Function

Finally, we investigated the molecular basis for the selectivity of *At*MAPDA for N⁶-methylated AMP. We aligned conserved regions of MAPDA, ADA, and ADE from a selection of phylogenetically distant eukaryotic organisms, highlighting amino acids characteristically conserved in the respective enzyme classes (Supplemental Figure 6). Additionally, we constructed a homology model of *At*MAPDA using *Hs*ADA as a template and docked N⁶-mAMP to the putative active site (Figure 7; Supplemental Figure 7). These analyses suggested that Val-57 and Phe-58 of AtMAPDA create a hydrophobic pocket to accommodate the aminomethyl group of the tetrahedral reaction intermediate generated after the addition of an OH group to carbon 6 of N6-mAMP during catalysis (Wilson and Quiocho, 1993). This size of this pocket is reduced in HsADA because the enzyme possesses a bulky phenylalanine (Phe-61) at the position equivalent to Val-57 in AtMAPDA (Figure 7; Supplemental Figure 6). Curiously, a phenylalanine at this position is also found in the putative MAPDA from the filamentous fungus Aspergillus nidulans (Supplemental Figure 6) and is widely conserved in many fungi. Based on this in silico analysis, we hypothesized that the enzyme from A. nidulans might not efficiently catalyze N⁶-mAMP deamination. This was confirmed experimentally by showing that the catalytic efficiency of AnMAPDA was 8.5- and 16.2-fold lower than that of the Arabidopsis and human enzyme, respectively (Table 1; Supplemental Figure 8). A mutant variant of MAPDA from Arabidopsis in which Val-57 was exchanged for Phe also showed a drastic reduction in catalytic efficiency (20.6-fold lower than the wild type). These diminished efficiencies of MAPDA-F variants were due to lower turnover numbers (k_{cat}) , which was predicted by the model (Figure 7) because phenylalanine at this position

 Table 1. Kinetic Constants of MAPDA from Arabidopsis, A. nidulans, and Homo sapiens and of the Cytosolic Adenylate Kinases AMPK3 and

 AMPK4 from Arabidopsis

	N ⁶ -mAMP			AMP		
		k _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	k_{cat}/K_{m} (mM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	<i>K</i> _m (μM)	k _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	k _{cat} /K _m (mM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
AtMAPDA	3.17 ± 0.31^{a}	0.21 ± 0.002	66	n.d. ^b	n.d.	n.d.
AtMAPDA V57F	3.77 ± 0.79	0.012 ± 0.0014	3.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
<i>An</i> MAPDA	2.70 ± 0.54	0.021 ± 0.0025	7.8	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
<i>H</i> sMAPDA [°]	12.5 ± 1.7	1.58 ± 0.12	126	32.8 ± 7.5	0.055 ± 0.004	1.7
AMPK3	3220 ± 600	0.0088 ± 0.0004	0.27 × 10 ⁻²	99 ± 27	3.66 ± 0.91	37
AMPK4	7080 ± 1620	0.0081 ± 0.0017	0.11 × 10 ⁻²	620 ± 110	3.65 ± 1.11	5.9

^aErrors are sp (n = 3 independent enzymatic reactions).

bn.d., not detectable.

^cKinetic constants determined by Murakami et al. (2011).



Figure 5. Relative N⁶-mAMP and N⁶-Methyladenosine Accumulation in Arabidopsis Leaves and Human HeLa Cells Varying in MAPDA (ADAL) Expression.

(A) N⁶-mAMP/AMP ratios of 7-d-old plants of Arabidopsis wild type (Col-0; white), the two *AtMAPDA* mutants (light blue), and a complementation line (dark blue). Error bars are sp (n = 4 biological replicates as defined in Figure 3C).

(B) As in (A) but showing N⁶-methyladenosine/adenosine ratios (N⁶-mAdo/Ado).

(C) N⁶-mAMP/AMP ratios in HeLa cells 3 d after transfection with either a control siRNA (siControl; white) or with two anti-*HsMAPDA* siRNAs (siMAPDA-1 and siMAPDA-2; light blue). Error bars are sp (n = 3 biological replicates from three independent transfections; "x" in contact with a data point of a biological replicate (circle) indicates technical replicates for this data point).

(D) As in (C) but showing N⁶-methyladenosine/adenosine ratios.

Statistical evaluation with ANOVA followed by Tukey's post test. Probability values for pairwise comparisons to Col-0 or the siControl, respectively, are shown at the respective bars. Same letters label data that are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

likely reduces the stabilization of the reaction intermediate by partially filling the cavity for the aminomethyl leaving group. Why is this phenylalanine conserved in fungal enzymes if it is unfavorable for MAPDA activity? A possible explanation is that these fungal MAPDA-F variants have an additional unknown substrate that requires this phenylalanine.

MAPDA Mutation Causes a Slight Reduction in Root Growth

The root growth of *mapda-1* and *mapda-2* showed a slight but significant reduction compared with the wild type and the complementation line (Figures 8A and 8B), particularly at early growth stages. Rosette growth was not compromised by *MAPDA*

mutation (Figure 8C; Supplemental Figure 9). No further mutant phenotypes were observed.

DISCUSSION

Our work highlights the notion that the events downstream of RNA degradation might be important for the fidelity of the RNA modification pattern, although we cannot show this directly due to experimental constraints. Random reentry of noncanonical nucleotides stemming from degraded RNA into newly synthesized RNA is likely prevented by the catabolism of such nucleotides by specialized enzymes such as MAPDA and by concerted enzymatic selectivity for the canonical nucleotides, as we have shown for the cytosolic adenylate kinases and for RNA polymerase II (Figure 9).

Although the MAPDA mutation leads to an increase in N⁶-mATP concentration in vivo, a possible aberrant m⁶A introduction into RNA in this mutant background is too small to be measured reliably (Figures 6C and 6D). Therefore, it is possible that the selective pressure that has led to the conservation of MAPDA in most eukaryotes (Figure 2) did not only arise due to the requirement for proper RNA modification. MAPDA might also be needed to protect other cellular processes from excess N⁶-mAMP. For example, AMP deaminase, which is required to maintain the proper energy charge, is competitively inhibited by N⁶-mAMP (Merkler and Schramm, 1993). However, there is a considerable excess of AMP versus N6-mAMP in vivo (Supplemental Table 1), which will likely prevent drastic inhibition of AMP deaminase. Interestingly, we found that ATP concentrations in MAPDA mutants appear to be lower than those in the wild type or in our complementation line (without reaching statistical significance at the P < 0.05 level; Supplemental Table 1). Phenotypically the MAPDA mutants are very similar to the wild type under laboratory growth conditions, except for a slight reduction in root growth (Figure 8). By which molecular mechanism the excess N⁶-mAMP and N⁶-mATP accumulation in the mapda background causes a root growth defect remains to be elucidated.

In many fungi, such as A. nidulans, a MAPDA variant (MAPDA-F) might have acquired an additional or an alternative function to N⁶-mAMP deamination. Interestingly, some fungi, for example the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, do not even possess a MAPDA gene. In S. cerevisiae, m⁶A methylation of mRNA occurs only during meiosis, and its abrogation is not lethal as in other eukaryotes (Yue et al., 2015). This also indicates that in yeast, the turnover of N⁶-mAMP is of minor importance. Additionally, ADE, which is widespread in fungi but absent in other eukaryotes (Ribard et al., 2003) (Figure 2), can hydrolyze N⁶ substituted adenines to some extent (Pospísilová et al., 2008), possibly reducing the requirement for MAPDA in fungi that have ADE. In agreement with this hypothesis, the Basidiomycete Ustilago maydis, which lacks ADE, possesses a consensus MAPDA, whereas many fungi, e.g., A. nidulans, contain ADE and MAPDA-F.

MAPDA is a eukaryotic enzyme that is required to remove modified RNA catabolites. It will be interesting to investigate whether other modified nucleotides derived from RNA breakdown, such as pseudouridine monophosphate and 5-methylcytosine



Figure 6. Relative N⁶-mATP Accumulation and m⁶A Frequency in Different RNA Species in Arabidopsis Leaves and HeLa Cells Varying in MAPDA (ADAL) Expression and Selectivity of RNA Polymerase II for ATP versus N⁶-mATP.

(A) N⁶-mATP/ATP ratio of 7-d-old seedlings of Arabidopsis wild type (Col-0), the two AtMAPDA mutants (light blue), and a complementation line. Error bars are sp (n = 4 biological replicates as defined in Figure 3C).

(B) The integrity of the purified RNA samples and the efficient removal of rRNA from mRNA was analyzed using a Bioanalyzer 2100. The level of rRNA contamination in the mRNA was estimated to be 1.3%.

(C) m⁶A frequency relative to A in total RNA, nonpolyadenylated RNA (rRNA and tRNA), and mRNA of 7-d-old seedlings of wild type (Col-0; white), the two *AtMAPDA* mutants (light blue), and the complementation line (dark blue). Error bars are sp (n = 4; two independent RNA extractions and RNA isolations, each RNA digested twice). Statistical evaluation with ANOVA showed no significant (P < 0.05) differences between the respective RNA species from the distinct genotypes.

(**D**) m⁶A frequency relative to A in total RNA, nonpolyadenylated RNA (rRNA and tRNA), and mRNA from HeLa cells transfected either with control siRNA (white) or two independent siRNAs directed against *HsMAPDA* (light blue). Error bars are sp (n = 6 independent digestions from one RNA isolation). Statistical evaluation with ANOVA showed no significant (P < 0.05) differences between the genotypes.

(E) Relative incorporation of m^6A per total A into mRNA (output) synthesized in vitro by RNA polymerase II using a commercial HeLa cell transcription assay at varying input ratios of N⁶-mATP/ATP. Error bars are sp (n = 4 independent transcription reactions).

monophosphate, also require specialized enzymes for their removal or whether they might be allowed to accumulate during plant development and perhaps be stored in the vacuole.

METHODS

Plant Materials and Cultivation

T-DNA insertion mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* from the SALK collection (SALK_144851, *mapda-1*; SALK_010573, *mapda-2*) (Alonso et al.,

2003) were ordered from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre. Wild type (Col-0) plants were obtained from the offspring of the segregating mutants.

Arabidopsis and *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were cultivated under the same conditions described before (Witte et al., 2005). Agar plates were prepared with half-strength Murashige and Skoog nutrients (Duchefa Biochemie). The plates were incubated in a controlled growth chamber (Binder KBFW 720 with Osram Lumilux lights; 16 h light of 55 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 22°C day, 20°C night). For metabolic profiling, 7-d-old plants were grown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog agar plates, and older plants were grown in soil with full nutrients.



Figure 7. Models of Substrate Binding to ADA and MAPDA.

(A) Binding of the A-face side of the 1-deaza-adenine ring of 1-deazaadenosine to the hydrophobic residues between Leu-58 and Phe-65 of human ADA (PDB accession 1ADD). Encircled A and B indicate the A- and B-faces of the purine ring, respectively.

(B) Hypothetical binding of the A-face of the N⁶-methyladenine ring of N⁶-mAMP to the hydrophobic residues between Leu-54 and Phe-61 of the Arabidopsis MAPDA protein model. The black arrows in **(A)** and **(B)** indicate that during catalysis, the addition of water occurs from the B-face side of the purine ring. This leads to a tetrahydral configuration on C⁶ moving the amino or methyl-amino group above the A-face side of the ring (red arrow) in the reaction intermediate. Note that in the MAPDA model, a putative hydrophobic pocket is formed by Val-57 and Phe-58, which can potentially accommodate the methyl-amino group.

Rosette leaf area was quantified from photographs using ImageJ 1.47 software.

HeLa Cell Culture and Transfection

HeLa cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (PAA Laboratories). The cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37°C and transfected with siRNAs at a final concentration of 5 nM, using RNAiMax (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The Silencer Select siRNAs targeting *HsMAPDA* (#1, s46296; #2, s46298) and the negative control (catalog no. 4390843) were obtained from Life Technologies.

RNA Extraction, Cloning, and Quantitative RT-PCR Analysis

For Arabidopsis, total RNA was isolated and cDNA was prepared according to a previous study (Chen et al., 2016). The following primers were employed for PCR: for *AtMAPDA*, 1901 and 1902; for *AMPK3*, P780 and P781; and for *AMPK4*, P782 and P783. All primers are listed in Supplemental Table 2. The resulting PCR products and the vector V69 (Myrach et al., 2017) were digested with *Eco*RI and *Xma*I and ligated. To express the *MAPDA* candidate from *Aspergillus nidulans* (CBF89034.1), a codon-optimized version of the gene for plant expression including an *Eco*RI site and a triple A sequence upstream of the start codon as well as an *Xma*I site replacing the stop codon was designed. The gene fragment was synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies) and an *Eco*RI/*Xma*I fragment was cloned as described for *AtMAPDA*. Site-directed mutagenesis of *AtMAPDA* (V57F) was performed using P959 and P961 and a Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Arabidopsis homozygous mutant lines were screened from a segregating population by PCR using the primers N59 and N60 as well as N59 and N61 for *mapda-1* and the primers N59 and N60 as well as N61 and N60 for *mapda-2*. The PCR products from the mutants were cloned and sequenced to map the exact positions of the insertions. To determine the amount of gene-specific mRNA in the mutants, cDNA from seedlings was prepared. The PCR employed the primers N59 and N60, giving rise to a product of 773 bp from the wild-type allele. In both mutant lines, the T-DNA insertions are flanked by these primers. To amplify *ACTIN2* as a control, the primers 2531 and 2532 were used.

For HeLa cells, total RNA was isolated from 5×10^5 to 10^6 cells using an RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Primers used for *HsMAPDA* detection were S1 and S2. QuantiTect Primer assays (Qiagen) were used to amplify the reference genes *Peptidylprolyl Isomerase* (*PPIA*; Hs_PPIA_4_SG) and *Beta Actin* (*ACTB*; Hs_ACTB_1_SG). qRT-PCR was performed with a Cfx96 device (Bio-Rad) using a Power SYBR Green RNA-to-Ct 1-Step kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Changes in the relative expression levels were determined using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method (Bio-Rad CFX Manager software 3.1.).

MRNA and nonpolyadenylated RNA were isolated from total RNA for digestion using the PolyATract mRNA Isolation Systems III (Promega). For mRNA isolation, two rounds of purification were used. The RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop photometer, and the integrity and purity were assessed by applying 100 ng of RNA per sample on a 2100 Bio-analyzer (Agilent).

Protein Purification, Enzymatic Assays, and Immunoblots

StrepII-tagged *At*MAPDA, *At*AMPK3, and *At*AMPK4 were affinity purified after 3 d of transient expression in *N. benthamiana* as described before (Werner et al., 2008). Purified protein was quantified using the Bradford reagent from Serva using BSA as a standard.

For the deamination assay, deamination activity was assessed by quantifying the conversion of N⁶-mAMP to IMP using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (UV-2700; Shimadzu; 1-cm path length; set at 240 nm). IMP dissolved in reaction buffer (0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, and 10 μ M) was used as a standard. The enzymatic reaction was conducted at 30°C in a 0.3 mL reaction mixture containing 50 mM Bis-Tris propane buffer, pH 6.8, 2 mM DTT, 100 mM NaCl, and varying concentrations of the substrates. The reaction was started by adding 10 μ L (0.58 μ g) of purified enzyme. The kinetic constants were determined at 0.5, 0.75, 2, 2.5, 5, 20, 40, and 80 μ M N⁶-mAMP. Kinetic curves were recorded in three replicates, and kinetic constants were determined by fitting the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation using Graph Pad Prism software.

AMP kinase activity was assessed by quantifying the conversion of ATP to ADP by HPLC analysis according to a previous study (Schroeder et al., 2018). The kinetic constants for the AMPKs were determined using the substrate AMP at concentrations of 0.01, 0.04, 0.1, 0.4, 1, and 4 mM and the substrate N⁶-mAMP at concentrations of 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, and 18 mM. Each measurement was repeated three times. To start the reaction toward AMP as the substrate, 20 µL (0.18 µg) of purified enzyme was added to 80 μL reaction buffer containing 8 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.4 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM KCl, 0.2 mM ATP, and varying amounts of AMP. The reaction was incubated at 30°C for 0, 3, and 6 min. For the reaction toward N⁶-mAMP as the substrate, 20 μL (5.52 μg) of purified enzyme was added to the above reaction buffer and incubated at 30°C for 0, 15, and 30 min. The reaction (90 μ L) was stopped by adding 45 μ L HClO₄ (1.2 M) and subsequently 18.5 µL alkaline solution (5 M KOH and 2 M K₂CO₂). After centrifuging at 20,000g for 15 min, 20 µL supernatant was injected into the HPLC. The kinetic constants were determined as described above.

Monoclonal anti-GFP antibody from mouse (Roche; clones 7.1 and 13.1; 1:5000 diluted) were used together with anti-mouse IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich A3562; 1:20,000 diluted) to detect YFP and CFP on immunoblots.

Subcellular Localization

AtMAPDA, C-terminally fused to eYFP, was transiently coexpressed with the cytosolic marker protein β -ureidopropionase fused to CFP (β -UP-CFP) in *N. benthamiana* (Dahncke and Witte, 2013). The samples



Figure 8. Comparison of the Root Length and Rosette Leaf Area of the Wild Type, the *MAPDA* Mutants, and a Complementation Line.

(A) Representative images of the root development observed for the respective genotypes grown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog plates under long-day conditions (16 h light). Bar = 10 mm. d.a.i., days after imbibition.

(B) Root length analysis from plants grown as shown in **(A)**. Statistical evaluation with ANOVA followed by Tukey's post test. Significance levels of P < 0.05, P < 0.01, and P < 0.001 is indicated in the figure by single,

were analyzed using a Leica True Confocal Scanner SP8 microscope equipped with an HC PL APO CS2 40× 1.10 water immersion objective (Leica). Acquired images were processed using Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence software (Leica Microsystems).

Metabolite Analyses

Metabolites were quantified using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system coupled to an Agilent 6460 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (LC-MS/ MS) according to the methods described before (Schroeder et al., 2018). For extraction, the plant material was frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground in a mixer mill (Mixer Mill MM400; Retsch) for 4 min at a frequency of 30 Hz. Homogenized plant material (100 mg) was extracted with 1 mL methanol:acetonitrile:water at a ratio of 2:2:1 containing freshly added internal standards (ISTDs; 1000 ng mL-1 15N5 13C10-AMP and 500 ng mL^{-1 13}C5-adenosine). HeLa cells (2 × 10⁻⁶) were harvested and washed three times with 10 mM HEPES buffer containing 150 mM NaCl. Frozen cell pellets were resuspended in 0.6 mL extraction buffer as described above. The suspension was slowly forced through a 0.4-mm gauge syringe needle for 10 strokes to ensure complete cell lysis. The extracts were vortexed, incubated on ice for 10 min, and centrifuged at 15,000g at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant (0.5 mL) was concentrated in a freeze dryer (Alpha 1-2 LDplus) and resuspended in 0.1 mL 5% acetonitrile and 95% water. For bulk dephosphorylation, clarified leaf extracts (88 µL) were mixed with 10 µL 10-fold-concentrated Cut-Smart buffer (NEB) and 2 μ L Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (1 U μ L⁻¹; NEB) for 2 h. Bulk dephosphorylation was employed because (1) nucleosides could be resolved and detected with higher reproducibility than nucleotides on our LC-MS/MS setup and (2) commercial heavy atom-labeled internal standards were available for most nucleosides but generally not for nucleotides. After dephosphorylation, the solution was filtered in ultrafiltration tubes (3-kD molecular mass cutoff; Pall). To quantify purine nucleoside triphosphates, the samples were extracted as described above, and the extracts were directly applied to the LC-MS/MS after centrifuging at 15,000g at 4°C for 15 min. A sample volume of 20 µL was used for analysis. Nucleotides were separated on a Hypercarb column (50 × 4.6 mm, particle size 5 µm; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and nucleosides were separated on a Polaris 5 C18A column (50 × 4.6 mm, particle size 5 µm; Agilent) at a flow rate of 0.65 mL min⁻¹. Solvent A was 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 9.5), and solvent B was acetonitrile for the Hypercarb column and methanol for the C18A column. The gradient on the Hypercarb column was 0 min, 10% B; 9 min, 100% B; 10.4 min, 100% B; 10.6 min, 10% B; and 21 min, 10% B. The gradient on the C18A column was 0 min, 5% B; 2 min, 5% B; 5.5 min, 15% B; 9.5 min, 85% B; 11 min, 85% B; 11.1 min, 5% B; and 20 min, 5% B. The following mass transitions were monitored: m/z 348.07 to 136 (AMP), m/z 363.07 to 146 (15N5 13C10-AMP), m/z 362.09 to 150 (Nº-mAMP), m/z 348.9 to 137 (IMP), m/z 268.1 to 136 (adenosine), m/z 273.1 to 136 (13C5-adenosine), m/z 282.12 to 150 (N⁶-methyladenosine), m/z 266.13 to 150 (N⁶-methyl deoxyadenosine), m/z 298.12 to 166 (O6-methylguanosine), m/z 282.12 to 166 (O⁶-methyl deoxyguanosine), and *m/z* 286.2 to 154.1 (N⁴-acetylcytidine). AMP and adenosine were quantified against their ISTDs. The other compounds were quantified using external standards (ESTDs) added

double, and triple asterisks, respectively. Error bars are sp (n = 25 independent plants per genotype grown on five plates).

(C) Quantification of rosette leave area for plants grown in soil under long-day conditions (16 h light). According to statistical evaluation with ANOVA, there are no significant (P < 0.05) differences between the genotypes. Error bar are sp (n = 10 independent plants per genotype grown as shown in Supplemental Figure 9).



Figure 9. A Model of Adenine Nucleotide Conversions.

The phosphorylation of ADP to ATP is catalyzed by ATP synthase. By contrast, the phosphorylation of N⁶-mADP to N⁶-mATP is probably catalyzed by nucleoside diphosphate kinases, which use ATP to phosphorylate a broad range of purine and pyrimidine dinucleotides and deoxydinucleotides.

to Col-0 extracts from the corresponding growth conditions as the matrix. N⁶-methyladenosine (0, 0.5, 2.5, 5, 25, and 50 ng mL⁻¹), O⁶-methylguanosine (0, 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 ng mL⁻¹), N⁴-acetylcytidine (0, 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 ng mL⁻¹), and N⁶-mAMP (0, 0.5, 2.5, 5, 25, and 50 ng mL⁻¹) were employed as ESTDs. To test whether N⁶-mAMP might be dephosphorylated during the extraction, 150 ng mL⁻¹ external N⁶-mAMP was added while preparing a Col-0 leaf extract. An increase in N⁶-methyladenosine was not observed, showing that N⁶-mAMP was stable during sample preparation.

Quantitative Analysis of the m⁶A/A Ratio in RNA by LC-MS/MS

RNA samples were digested into single nucleosides according to a previous study (Su et al., 2014) with minor modifications. One hundred nanograms of mRNA or 1 µg of total RNA or nonpolyadenylated RNA was digested by benzonase (1 U; Sigma-Aldrich) and phosphodiesterase I (4 × 10⁻³ U; Sigma-Aldrich) and dephosphorylated by shrimp alkaline phosphatase (1 U; NEB) in 50 µL of buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ BSA. After an incubation at 37°C for 6 h, the samples were filtered in ultrafiltration tubes (3-kD cutoff; Pall), and 10-µL aliquots were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The nucleosides were separated and identified as described above. Standard solutions of adenosine (5, 25, 50, 250, 500, and 1000 ng µL⁻¹) and N⁶-methyladenosine (0.5, 2.5, 5, 25, 50, and 100 ng µL⁻¹) were used for the quantification. The ratio of N⁶-methyladenosine to adenosine was calculated based on the calibrated concentrations.

The ability of eukaryotic RNA polymerase II to use N⁶-mATP as substrate was evaluated using the HeLa Scribe nuclear extract in vitro transcription system (Promega). The experiment was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions with minor modifications: N⁶-mATP and ATP were used in different ratios (2:98, 5:95, 10:90, 15:85, and 20:80). Additionally ratios of 0:100 and 100:0 were used to assess the background of the system for m^6A and A (from preformed RNA), respectively. After incubation of 1 h, RNA was isolated, digested, and the m^6A/A ratio determined by LC-MS/MS as described above.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Eukarvotic members of the adenvl deaminase family were identified by BLASTp analysis at the Phytozome V12.1 website for plants and algae (phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html) and at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) for all nonplant organisms, focusing on major model species representing a wide taxonomic range (blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). MAPDA from Arabidopsis (At4g04880.1) and human (NP_001311295.1) were used at NCBI as queries for the refseq protein data set employing the substitution matrix BLOSUM45 and recovering all hits with E-values < E-04 (<0.004 for Xenopus laevis). Redundant sequences were removed. A multiple alignment was generated using MUSCLE at the European Bioinformatics Institute (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/ msa/muscle/). A maximum likelihood tree was constructed with MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016). All positions with less than 95% site coverage were eliminated, resulting in 316 positions for analysis. Initial trees were generated by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was employed to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter = 2.8565)), while some sites were treated as evolutionarily invariable ([+I], 1.44% sites). Numbers at branches (indicating the percentage of trees in which associated taxa clustered together) were calculated by bootstrap analysis (1000 bootstraps). The sequences used in this analysis are listed in the Accession Numbers section. The following sequences were not included because they contained significant nonconsensus insertions and deletions: Xela_CECR1C, XP_018109923.1; Xela_ADA4, OCT60113.1; Asni_ADAL2, XP_658266.1; and Soly_ADE, Solyc00g031040.1.1 (adenine deaminase gene with nonconsensus sequence alteration from horizontal gene transfer into tomato).

Protein Modeling and Docking

A protein model of *At*MAPDA was created using SWISS-MODEL with human ADA as template (PDB: 3AIR). N⁶-mAMP was docked into the model using AutoDock Vina (Trott and Olson, 2010). Residues involved in Zn binding and in the catalytic process are conserved between MAPDA and ADA (Supplemental Figure 6) (Wilson et al., 1991). Therefore, the only docking position in which the purine ring overlaid strongly with the ring of the substrate analog 1-deaza adenosine in the structure of human ADA (PDB: 1ADD) (Wilson and Quiocho, 1993) was selected because we assumed that the catalytic mechanism is identical in both enzymes, which constrains the position of the purine ring and the methyl-amino leaving group. USCF Chimera (http://www.rbvi.ucsf.edu/chimera/) (Pettersen et al., 2004) was used for structural inspection, manual adjustments of the model, and analyses.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference test were performed in R for statistical evaluation. Different letters represent differences at the P < 0.05 significance level.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: for Arabidopsis, *AtMAPDA* (At4g04880), *AtAMPK3* (At5g50370), *AtAMPK4* (At5g63400), *ACTIN2* (At3g18780); *MAPDA* from *A. nidulans* (CBF89034.1), and *MAPDA* from

Homo sapiens (NP_001311295.1). The following sequence was used in phylogenetic analysis (Phytozome V12.1 locus identifiers for plant and algae sequences and NCBI locus identifiers for nonplant sequences are given): Arth_ADAL (Arabidopsis, At4g04880.1), Cusa_ADAL (Cucumis sativus, Cucsa.045330.1), Frve_ADAL(Fragaria vesca, mrna19578.1-v1.0hybrid), Thca_ADAL (Theobroma cacao, Thecc1EG030190t1), Potr_ADAL (Populus trichocarpa, Potri.011G000100.1), Eugr_ADAL (Eucalyptus grandis, Eucgr.D01304.1), Soly_ADAL (Solanum lycopersicum, Solyc10g005310.2.1), Agco ADAL (Aquilegia coerulea, Agcoe4G236300.1), Sobi_ADAL (Sorghum bicolor, Sobic.002G405200.1), Orsa_ADAL (Oryza sativa, Os07g46630.1), Anco_ADAL (Ananas comosus, Aco002363.1), Mapo_ADAL (Marchantia polymorpha, Mapoly0023s0135.1), Phpa_ ADAL (Physcomitrella patens, Pp3c11_7970V3.1), Voca_ADAL (Volvox cateri, Vocar.0005s0203.1), Cosu_ADAL (Coccomyxa subellipsoidea C-169, 22,155), Hosa ADAL (H. sapiens, NP 001311295.1), Hosa ADA (H. sapiens, NP_000013.2), Hosa_ADGF (H. sapiens, NP_001269154.1), Susc_ADAL (Sus scrofa, XP_005659746.1), Susc_ADA (Sus scrofa, XP_020933637.1), Susc_ADGF (S. scrofa, NP_999181.1), Gaga_ADAL (Gallus gallus, XP_015134377.1), Gaga_ADA (G. gallus, NP_001006290.1), Gaga_ADGF (G. gallus, XP_015141316.1), Dare_ADAL (Danio rerio, NP 001028916.1), Dare ADA (D. rerio, NP 001002646.1), Dare ADGF1 (D. rerio, XP_687719.2), Dare_ADGF2 (D. rerio, NP_001028889.2), Cael_ ADAL (Caenorhabditis elegans, NP_871955.2), Cael_ADA (C. elegans, NP_872091.1), Xela_ADAL1 (X. laevis, NP_001085299.1), Xela_ADAL2 (X. laevis, XP_018109785.1), Xela_ADA1 (X. laevis, NP_001085740.1), Xela_ ADA2 (X. laevis, OCT62325.1), Xela_ADA3 (X. laevis, NP_001087740.1), Xela_ADGF1 (X. laevis, NP_001090531.1), Xela_ADGF2 (X. laevis, NP_001089165.1), Drme_ADAL(Drosophilamelanogaster, NP_649866.1), Drme_ADGF1 (Drosophila, NP_649006.2), Drme_ADGF2 (Drosophila, NP_524130.1), Drme_ADGF3 (Drosophila, NP_610977.1), Drme_ADGF4 (Drosophila, NP_650308.3), Sace_ADE (Saccharomyces cerevisiae [strain ATCC 204508/S288c], NP_014258.1), Scpo_ADE (Schizosaccharomyces pombe 972h, NP_595071.1), Scpo_ADE2 (S. pombe 972h, NP_595058.1), Asni_ADAL (A. nidulans, CBF89034.1), Asni_ADE (A. nidulans, XP_663682.1), Boci_ADAL (Botrytis cinerea B05.10, XP_001553511.1), Boci_ADE (B. cinerea B05.10, XP_001559454.1), Usma_ADAL (Ustilago maydis [strain 521/FGSC 9021], XP_011386395.1), and Spsc_ADAL (Sporisorium scitamineum, CDU23211.1).

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Figure 1. Detailed analysis of the *At*MAPDA (*At*ADAL) reaction products.

Supplemental Figure 2. Characterization of *At*MAPDA knockout and complementation lines.

Supplemental Figure 3. Relative N⁶-mAMP and N⁶-methyladenosine accumulation in the leaves of 33-d-old Arabidopsis plants.

Supplemental Figure 4. Transient *HsMAPDA* knockdown in HeLa cells.

Supplemental Figure 5. Purification and determination of the kinetic constants of the cytosolic Arabidopsis adenylate kinases AMPK3 and AMPK4.

Supplemental Figure 6. Comparative sequence analysis of N⁶-mAMP deaminase, adenosine deaminase, and adenine deaminase.

Supplemental Figure 7. 2D models of substrate binding to ADA and MAPDA.

Supplemental Figure 8. Purification and determination of kinetic constants of MAPDA from *Aspergillus nidulans* and *At*MAPDA mutV57F.

Supplemental Figure 9. Experimental setup and representative images for the phenotypic comparison of rosettes of Col-0, *mapda-1*, *mapda-2*, and the complementation line before bolting.

Supplemental Table 1. Metabolites quantified in 7-d-old Arabidopsis seedlings.

Supplemental Table 2. Primers used in this study.

Supplemental Table 3. ANOVA analyses.

Supplemental File 1. Alignment used to produce the phylogenetic tree shown in Figure 2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank André Specht, Hildegard Thölke, and Philipp Rüter for technical support, Xiaoye Liu for help with the statistical analyses, Anting Zhu for assistance with mass spectrometry, and Markus Niehaus for his help with root phenotype analysis. We also thank Rico M. Hartmann, Marian Uhe, and Helge Küster for support with the bioanalyzer. This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grants WI3411/2-1, WI3411/4-1, and HE 5949/3-1) and the Leibniz University Hannover (Wege in die Forschung II to M.C.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.-P.W. conceived the research and performed the bioinformatics analyses. M.C. designed and performed most experiments. M.J.U. carried out cloning and MAPDA kinetics. I.S.-G. prepared the human cell samples. M.A.O. designed the experiments for the human cell lines. M.H. analyzed the MAPDA-like from *A. nidulans*. M.C., M.A.O., and C.-P.W. analyzed the data. M.C. and C.-P.W. wrote the article.

Received March 22, 2018; revised May 25, 2018; accepted June 8, 2018; published June 8, 2018.

REFERENCES

- Alonso, J.M., et al. (2003). Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 301: 653–657.
- Chen, M., Herde, M., and Witte, C.-P. (2016). Of the nine cytidine deaminase-like genes in Arabidopsis, eight are pseudogenes and only one is required to maintain pyrimidine homeostasis *in vivo*. Plant Physiol. **171**: 799–809.
- Dahncke, K., and Witte, C.-P. (2013). Plant purine nucleoside catabolism employs a guanosine deaminase required for the generation of xanthosine in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25: 4101–4109.
- Ikeuchi, Y., Kitahara, K., and Suzuki, T. (2008). The RNA acetyltransferase driven by ATP hydrolysis synthesizes N4-acetylcytidine of tRNA anticodon. EMBO J. 27: 2194–2203.
- Jia, G., Fu, Y., Zhao, X., Dai, Q., Zheng, G., Yang, Y., Yi, C., Lindahl, T., Pan, T., Yang, Y.-G., and He, C. (2011). N6-methyladenosine in nuclear RNA is a major substrate of the obesity-associated FTO. Nat. Chem. Biol. 7: 885–887.
- Johansson, M.J.O., and Byström, A.S. (2004). The Saccharomyces cerevisiae TAN1 gene is required for N4-acetylcytidine formation in tRNA. RNA 10: 712–719.
- Ke, S., Pandya-Jones, A., Saito, Y., Fak, J.J., Vågbø, C.B., Geula, S., Hanna, J.H., Black, D.L., Darnell, J.E., Jr., and Darnell, R.B. (2017). m6A mRNA modifications are deposited in nascent pre-mRNA and are not required for splicing but do specify cytoplasmic turnover. Genes Dev. **31**: 990–1006.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33: 1870–1874.
- Lange, P.R., Geserick, C., Tischendorf, G., and Zrenner, R. (2008). Functions of chloroplastic adenylate kinases in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 146: 492–504.

- Li, A., et al. (2017). Cytoplasmic m6A reader YTHDF3 promotes mRNA translation. Cell Res. 27: 444–447.
- Liu, J., et al. (2014). A METTL3-METTL14 complex mediates mammalian nuclear RNA N6-adenosine methylation. Nat. Chem. Biol. 10: 93–95.
- Maier, S.A., Galellis, J.R., and McDermid, H.E. (2005). Phylogenetic analysis reveals a novel protein family closely related to adenosine deaminase. J. Mol. Evol. 61: 776–794.
- Mauer, J., et al. (2017). Reversible methylation of m6Am in the 5' cap controls mRNA stability. Nature 541: 371–375.
- Merkler, D.J., and Schramm, V.L. (1993). Catalytic mechanism of yeast adenosine 5'-monophosphate deaminase. Zinc content, substrate specificity, pH studies, and solvent isotope effects. Biochemistry 32: 5792–5799.
- Meyer, K.D., and Jaffrey, S.R. (2017). Rethinking m6A readers, writers, and erasers. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 33: 319–342.
- Meyer, K.D., Patil, D.P., Zhou, J., Zinoviev, A., Skabkin, M.A., Elemento, O., Pestova, T.V., Qian, S.-B., and Jaffrey, S.R. (2015). 5' UTR m6A promotes cap-independent translation. Cell **163**: 999–1010.
- Murakami, E., Bao, H., Mosley, R.T., Du, J., Sofia, M.J., and Furman, P.A. (2011). Adenosine deaminase-like protein 1 (ADAL1): characterization and substrate specificity in the hydrolysis of N(6)- or O(6)substituted purine or 2-aminopurine nucleoside monophosphates. J. Med. Chem. 54: 5902–5914.
- Myrach, T., Zhu, A., and Witte, C.-P. (2017). The assembly of the plant urease activation complex and the essential role of the urease accessory protein G (UreG) in delivery of nickel to urease. J. Biol. Chem. 292: 14556–14565.
- Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25: 1605–1612.
- Ping, X.-L., et al. (2014). Mammalian WTAP is a regulatory subunit of the RNA N6-methyladenosine methyltransferase. Cell Res. 24: 177–189.
- Pospísilová, H., Sebela, M., Novák, O., and Frébort, I. (2008). Hydrolytic cleavage of N6-substituted adenine derivatives by eukaryotic adenine and adenosine deaminases. Biosci. Rep. 28: 335–347.
- Ribard, C., Rochet, M., Labedan, B., Daignan-Fornier, B., Alzari, P., Scazzocchio, C., and Oestreicher, N. (2003). Sub-families of alpha/ beta barrel enzymes: a new adenine deaminase family. J. Mol. Biol. 334: 1117–1131.
- Růžička, K., et al. (2017). Identification of factors required for m6 A mRNA methylation in Arabidopsis reveals a role for the conserved E3 ubiquitin ligase HAKAI. New Phytol. 215: 157–172.
- Schinkmanová, M., Votruba, I., and Holý, A. (2006). N6-methyl-AMP aminohydrolase activates N6-substituted purine acyclic nucleoside phosphonates. Biochem. Pharmacol. 71: 1370–1376.
- Schroeder, R.Y., Zhu, A., Eubel, H., Dahncke, K., and Witte, C.-P. (2018). The ribokinases of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are required for ribose recycling from nucleotide catabolism, which in plants is not essential to survive prolonged dark stress. New Phytol. 217: 233–244.

- Shi, H., Wang, X., Lu, Z., Zhao, B.S., Ma, H., Hsu, P.J., Liu, C., and He, C. (2017). YTHDF3 facilitatestranslation and decay of N6-methyladenosinemodified RNA. Cell Res. 27: 315–328.
- Stasolla, C., Katahira, R., Thorpe, T.A., and Ashihara, H. (2003). Purine and pyrimidine nucleotide metabolism in higher plants. J. Plant Physiol. 160: 1271–1295.
- Su, R., et al. (2018). R-2HG exhibits anti-tumor activity by targeting FTO/ m6A/MYC/CEBPA signaling. Cell 172: 90–105.
- Su, D., Chan, C.T.Y., Gu, C., Lim, K.S., Chionh, Y.H., McBee, M.E., Russell, B.S., Babu, I.R., Begley, T.J., and Dedon, P.C. (2014). Quantitative analysis of ribonucleoside modifications in tRNA by HPLC-coupled mass spectrometry. Nat. Protoc. 9: 828–841.
- Trott, O., and Olson, A.J. (2010). AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J. Comput. Chem. 31: 455–461.
- Wang, X., et al. (2014). N6-methyladenosine-dependent regulation of messenger RNA stability. Nature 505: 117–120.
- Wang, X., Zhao, B.S., Roundtree, I.A., Lu, Z., Han, D., Ma, H., Weng, X., Chen, K., Shi, H., and He, C. (2015). N6-methyladenosine modulates messenger RNA translation efficiency. Cell 161: 1388– 1399.
- Werner, A.K., Sparkes, I.A., Romeis, T., and Witte, C.-P. (2008). Identification, biochemical characterization, and subcellular localization of allantoate amidohydrolases from Arabidopsis and soybean. Plant Physiol. 146: 418–430.
- Wilson, D.K., and Quiocho, F.A. (1993). A pre-transition-state mimic of an enzyme: X-ray structure of adenosine deaminase with bound 1-deazaadenosine and zinc-activated water. Biochemistry 32: 1689– 1694.
- Wilson, D.K., Rudolph, F.B., and Quiocho, F.A. (1991). Atomic structure of adenosine deaminase complexed with a transition-state analog: understanding catalysis and immunodeficiency mutations. Science 252: 1278–1284.
- Witte, C.P., Rosso, M.G., and Romeis, T. (2005). Identification of three urease accessory proteins that are required for urease activation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **139**: 1155–1162.
- Xiao, W., et al. (2016). Nuclear m6A reader YTHDC1 regulates mRNA splicing. Mol. Cell 61: 507–519.
- Xu, J., Zhang, H.-Y., Xie, C.-H., Xue, H.-W., Dijkhuis, P., and Liu, C.-M. (2005). EMBRYONIC FACTOR 1 encodes an AMP deaminase and is essential for the zygote to embryo transition in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 42: 743–756.
- Yue, Y., Liu, J., and He, C. (2015). RNA N6-methyladenosine methylation in post-transcriptional gene expression regulation. Genes Dev. 29: 1343–1355.
- Zheng, G., et al. (2013). ALKBH5 is a mammalian RNA demethylase that impacts RNA metabolism and mouse fertility. Mol. Cell 49: 18–29.
- Zhong, S., Li, H., Bodi, Z., Button, J., Vespa, L., Herzog, M., and Fray, R.G. (2008). MTA is an Arabidopsis messenger RNA adenosine methylase and interacts with a homolog of a sex-specific splicing factor. Plant Cell 20: 1278–1288.