



Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2018 November ; 37(11): e269–e271. doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000001952.

The Tracheal Microbiota in Patients with a Tracheostomy Before, During, and After an Acute Respiratory Infection

Marcos Pérez-Losada, PhD^{1,2,3}, Robert J. Graham, MD³, Madeline Coquillotte, MD⁴, Amenah Jafarey⁵, Eduardo Castro-Nallar, PhD⁶, Manuel Aira, PhD⁷, Claire Hoptay, PhD⁵, Robert J. Freishtat, MD, MPH⁵, and Jonathan M. Mansbach, MD, MPH⁴

¹Computational Biology Institute, Milken Institute School of Public Health, George Washington University, Ashburn, VA 20147, USA

²CIBIO-InBIO, Universidade do Porto, Campus Agrário de Vairão, Vairão 4485-661, Portugal

³Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative, and Pain Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA; Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA; Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁵Children's National Health System, Washington, DC 20010, USA

⁶Center for Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Santiago 8370146, Chile

⁷Departamento de Ecoloxía e Bioloxía Animal, Universidade de Vigo, Vigo, E-36310, Spain

Abstract

Examining tracheal microbiota before, during, and after acute respiratory infection (ARI) in patients with a tracheostomy demonstrated large baseline intra-patient microbiota variability and a significant bloom of *Haemophilus* and *Moraxella* on day 1 of ARI symptoms. The tracheal microbiota community composition changed significantly from baseline to 1 month after ARI.

Keywords

longitudinal study; microbiome; acute respiratory infection; tracheal microbiome; tracheostomy

BACKGROUND

Acute respiratory infections (ARI) cause ~5% of all deaths globally ¹ and are particularly problematic in children with a tracheostomy requiring home ventilation ². Although this

Corresponding author: Jonathan M. Mansbach, MD, MPH, Boston Children's Hospital, Main Clinical Building, #9157, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, Phone: 617-355-3191; Fax: 617-730-0884, jonathan.mansbach@childrens.harvard.edu.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

high-risk population group is increasing in number³, there is no consensus about best clinical practices for ARI treatment in this at-risk group, even within the 2016 American Thoracic Society guidelines for the care of children requiring chronic home ventilation⁴. Given the life-threatening potential of ARIs in this population and the lack of clear guidance, many clinicians choose to treat ARIs in these patients with antibiotics based on conventional cultures or to help prevent clinical deterioration⁵. Unfortunately, these current testing and treatment approaches⁵ not only do not distinguish between colonizing bacteria and true pathogens, but also do not account for the variation in intra-patient dynamics of the tracheal microbial communities⁶. More effective treatment of ARIs in this population, and possibly in healthy populations as well, will require a more nuanced understanding of ARIs that helps clinicians move beyond their current reductionist approach of classifying ARIs as viral, bacterial, or secondary bacterial⁷.

One new conceptual model of pneumonia pathogenesis that addresses this need suggests that pneumonia is an emergent phenomenon caused by bacterial blooms arising from the colonizing microbiota⁷. The objective of this study was to extend this complex adaptive system model⁷ to ARIs in patients with a tracheostomy by examining the dynamics of the tracheal microbiota before, during, and after an ARI. We hypothesized that at the beginning of the ARI there would be a “bloom” of at least one genus already present in the airway.

METHODS

The present cohort was a convenience sample of children cared for by the Critical Care, Anesthesia, Perioperative Extension (CAPE) and Home Ventilation Program. Between November 2013 and May 2014, we stored one tracheal aspirate per week per patient. When patients developed symptoms of an ARI, we retrieved the sample from the week prior to infection (day 0, D0) and from the first day of symptoms (D1). Additionally, after ARI onset we collected one sample every week for four weeks (W1 to W4). An ARI was defined as any illness with increased mucus production that required increased oxygen delivery or higher ventilator settings over baseline. We ensured standardized sample collection by observing parents or visiting nurses collecting the first sample in person during a home or clinic visit. The aspirates were stored at 0°C within 15 minutes of collection. The study team retrieved the samples during home visits and subsequently stored them at –80°C. The Institutional Review Board approved this study. See Supplementary Digital Content (SDC) for a description of the detailed methods.

Virology

We used D1 samples and the Luminex xTAG Respiratory Viral Panel FAST v2 multiplex PCR (Toronto, ON, Canada) to test for 18 respiratory viruses.

High-throughput sequencing

We sequenced the V4 region (~250 bp) of the 16S rRNA gene on the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform. Raw sequence files were processed and clustered into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) and normalized as previously reported (see SDC for detailed methods)⁶.

Statistical analyses

The estimation of alpha-diversity, beta-diversity, and dissimilarity between samples and a complete description of the analytic methods are described in the methods SDC. Briefly, linear mixed-effects (LME) models, as implemented in the lmer4 R package, were applied to both alpha-diversity indices and microbial genera abundances (i.e., sum of OTUs sharing a taxonomic genus) while accounting for non-independence of subjects and time. Beta-diversity Unifrac indices were compared using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (adonis). We performed multiple rounds of analysis that included time and the following co-variables: meteorological seasons, age, gender, feeding route (i.e., oral, gastrostomy tube (G-tube), oral + G-tube, gastro-jejunal tube), ventilator use (i.e., none, when sleeping, or continuous), oxygen requirement, tracheostomy change frequency (i.e., more than once per month or not), prophylactic antibiotics, daily inhaled corticosteroids, and antibiotics during ARI. Our preliminary analyses showed that only feeding route had a significant association with microbial diversity and taxon abundance. Hence, our final, most parsimonious LME and adonis models included one predictor (time) and one co-variable (feeding route). Benjamini-Hochberg FDR multiple test correction was applied.

RESULTS

Twenty patients had an ARI during the study period. The median age was 12 years (interquartile range, 4–24 years) and 70% were male. Sixty percent had neuromuscular disorders and the remainder had lung disease, other than cystic fibrosis. Fifteen (75%) received antibiotics for their ARI. Clinical characteristics for the study cohort are presented in supplementary Table SDC1. We collected a total of 92 tracheal samples during the study period. Twenty-eight (23%) tracheal samples were missing due to missed sample collections and two patients dying from their ARI during the study. From the 92 samples, we obtained a total of 1,485,077 sequences ranging from 1,030 to 63,835 sequences per sample (mean=17,070; median=10,076) after quality control analyses and OTU filtering.

Virology

Of the 17 patients with sample available for virology testing on D1, five (29%) had enterovirus/rhinovirus, three (18%) had respiratory syncytial virus, three (18%) had coronavirus, one (6%) had human metapneumovirus, one (6%) had influenza A, and five (29%) had no virus detected. One patient (6%) had viral co-infection.

The taxonomic composition of the tracheal microbiota

The tracheal microbiota across all 20 patients was dominated by the eight genera listed in Table 1. The most abundant genera in the tracheal microbiotas were: *Streptococcus* (21%), *Haemophilus* (10%), *Corynebacterium* (9%), *Neisseria* (9%) and *Moraxella* (8%). The remaining genera each accounted for <3% of the total sequences.

Dynamics of the tracheal microbiota around ARIs

Alpha-diversity varied significantly [$P(>F)<0.05$] over time in three of four indices (Table 1). Compared with the pre-ARI sample (D0), the mean alpha-diversity was significantly

lower in samples from D1, W2 and W4 after the ARI with W2 to W4 having the lowest alpha-diversity.

Beta-diversity unweighted Unifrac distances (uUd) varied significantly ($P=0.045$) from D0 to W4, but weighted Unifrac distances (wUd) did not. uUd distances also varied significantly ($P<0.05$) between D0–W1 samples and D0–W2 samples; all other pairs did not significantly differ.

Among the 8 most abundant bacterial genera, the relative mean proportions of *Haemophilus* and *Moraxella* fluctuated significantly ($P<0.05$) over time (Table 1). Both genera showed significantly ($P<0.05$) higher abundances (i.e., *Haemophilus* increased 274% and *Moraxella* 64%) on D1 of ARI compared with the pre-ARI (D0) sample (Table 1).

Patients' microbiota varied over the one month post-ARI as indicated by the PCoA of uUd (see Figure 1, SDC). Intra-patient microbiomes before ARI (D0) and on D1 were more similar to each other than samples from subsequent weeks. Indeed, after the onset of ARI most tracheal microbiomes appeared unique, as suggested by the lack of overlap (colored dots) in the longitudinal PCoA plots.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the composition and temporal dynamics of microbial communities inhabiting the trachea of children and young adults with a tracheostomy before, during, and after ARI. The results demonstrate lower species richness and evenness during and after ARI as would be expected with a respiratory infection in addition to variation in microbial community composition (as suggested by uUd) over one month, but not significant changes in microbial structure (as suggested by wUd). Moreover, the results confirm that similar to previously observed ecological phenomena⁸, two previously present genera (i.e., *Haemophilus* and *Moraxella*) bloom by day 1 of ARI despite the highly variable baseline microbiota of patients with a tracheostomy⁷.

The traditional reductionist approach of categorizing ARIs as either viral or bacterial may be too simplistic a clinical framework for ARIs in individuals with a tracheostomy, and possibly all people with ARIs⁷. On D1 of ARI, the majority of the current prospective cohort had a virus detected and a “bloom” of already present genera (i.e., *Haemophilus* and *Moraxella*). The tracheal finding of *Haemophilus* and *Moraxella* blooming are consistent with findings from previous studies utilizing nasopharyngeal samples to examine acute respiratory illness outcomes⁹. And although viral-bacterial interactions during ARIs have been described¹⁰, the present results extend previous research by suggesting that these ARIs were not infections due to acquisition of a new bacterial pathogen as Koch's postulates suggest, but rather a bloom of colonizing genera in the context of a viral infection. Conceptualizing ARIs as “blooms” may be more complex to operationalize clinically than the current reductionist approach, but may eventually provide opportunities for novel, targeted treatment methods. Although beyond the scope of these data, ARIs may be best understood as an emergent phenomenon⁷ that 1) is driven by a complex interplay among the

infecting virus, microbiome, and host response⁹ and 2) results in a continuum of ARI severity anchored by pneumonia.

The next step is to better understand the pathobiology of ARI in this high-risk population with variable underlying microbiota in order to develop novel targets for ARI treatment and to provide guidance about when to use antimicrobials and which bacteria to treat. Until this time of improved ARI understanding and clinical guidance, many clinicians will continue to overuse and misuse antimicrobials for ARIs in children with a tracheostomy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

PFunding/Support: This work was supported by a special recognition grant from the Office of Faculty Development at Boston Children’s Hospital to JMM. MP-L was funded in part by a K12 Career Development Program K12HL119994, the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (Award Numbers UL1TR001876 and KL2TR001877), and the Margaret Q. Landenberger Research Foundation. The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences or the National Institutes of Health. ECN was funded by “CONICYT + PAI/CONCURSO NACIONAL APOYO AL RETORNO DE INVESTIGADORES/AS DESDE EL EXTRANJERO, CONVOCATORIA 2014 + FOLIO 82140008”

We thank the GWU Colonial One High Performance Computing Cluster for computational time.

References

1. Mortality GBD, Causes of Death C. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2015; 385(9963):117–171. [PubMed: 25530442]
2. Dosa NP, Boeing NM, Ms N, Kanter RK. Excess risk of severe acute illness in children with chronic health conditions. *Pediatrics*. 2001; 107(3):499–504. [PubMed: 11230589]
3. Zhu H, Das P, Roberson DW, et al. Hospitalizations in children with preexisting tracheostomy: A national perspective. *Laryngoscope*. 2014
4. Sterni LM, Collaco JM, Baker CD, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline: Pediatric Chronic Home Invasive Ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2016; 193(8):e16–35. [PubMed: 27082538]
5. Rusakow LS, Guarin M, Wegner CB, Rice TB, Mischler EH. Suspected respiratory tract infection in the tracheostomized child: the pediatric pulmonologist’s approach. *Chest*. 1998; 113(6):1549–1554. [PubMed: 9631792]
6. Perez-Losada M, Graham RJ, Coquillet M, et al. The temporal dynamics of the tracheal microbiome in tracheostomised patients with and without lower respiratory infections. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(8):e0182520. [PubMed: 28796800]
7. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Huffnagle GB. Towards an ecology of the lung: new conceptual models of pulmonary microbiology and pneumonia pathogenesis. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2014; 2(3): 238–246. [PubMed: 24621685]
8. Yang C, Wang Q, Simon PN, et al. Distinct Network Interactions in Particle-Associated and Free-Living Bacterial Communities during a *Microcystis aeruginosa* Bloom in a Plateau Lake. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2017; 8:1202. [PubMed: 28713340]
9. Hasegawa K, Mansbach JM, Ajami NJ, et al. Association of nasopharyngeal microbiota profiles with bronchiolitis severity in infants hospitalised for bronchiolitis. *Eur Respir J*. 2016; 48(5):1329–1339. [PubMed: 27799386]

10. Mansbach JM, Hasegawa K, Henke DM, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus and rhinovirus severe bronchiolitis are associated with distinct nasopharyngeal microbiota. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016; 137(6):1909–1913. e1904. [PubMed: 27061249]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Mean alpha-diversity indices, beta-diversity indices, and mean relative proportions of dominant genera (>3%) in decreasing order of abundance in a cohort of 20 patients with a tracheostomy

	Total	D0	D1	W1	W2	W3	W4	F	DF	P(>F)
Alpha-diversity index										
ACE	76.7	87.4	65.9	86.3	71.3	77.0	71.5	0.6	78.7	0.435
Shannon	2.1	2.3	2.0*	2.2	1.9*	2.1	2.0*	4.4	75.9	0.042
Fisher	9.3	10.9	8.8*	10.2	7.9*	9.1	8.2*	4.1	77.1	0.045
PD	6.6	7.5	6.2*	7.2	6.2*	6.5	6.2*	4.1	77.1	0.044
Beta-diversity										
Unifrac-uw								1.4	2	0.045
Unifrac-w								0.7	2	0.401
Genus										
<i>Streptococcus</i>	20.8	21.8	19.2	25.3	17.7	16.3	24.8	0.5	90.0	0.477
<i>Haemophilus</i>	10.1	5.4	14.8*	4.5	7.9	5.6	22.5*	5.0	67.8	0.035
<i>Corynebacterium</i>	8.9	6	4.5	9.4	20.8	5.8	6.7	0.5	44.1	0.484
<i>Neisseria</i>	8.5	6.6	6.7	8.8	8.4	10.6	9.9	1.8	80.1	0.183
<i>Moraxella</i>	7.8	6.9	11.3*	5.9	8.6	13.8*	6.6	4.6	119.2	0.046
<i>Stenotrophomonas</i>	4.1	2.7	4.7	3.7	3.7	5.9	4	0.2	77.1	0.667
<i>Prevotella</i>	3.9	3.1	3.7	7.2	4.1	3.2	2	0.05	83.1	0.833
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	3.4	2.4	2.6	3.2	3.7	5.2	3.3	3.9	81.2	0.063

Linear mixed-effects (LME) models results are shown for alpha-diversity indices and taxa proportions, while permutational multivariate analysis of variance (adonis) results are shown for beta-diversity indices. Significance of LME models was estimated using ANOVA type II or III with Satterthwaite approximation. For each test we report the relevant F statistic (F), degrees of freedom (DF) and significance (P>F). Significant associations are indicated in bold. If overall LME tests were significant we also compared the pre-ARI group against each post-ARI group and those pairwise comparisons resulting significant are marked with an * (= P 0.05).

Abbreviations: ARI, acute respiratory infection; D0, day 0 or pre-ARI; D1, day 1 of ARI; W1, week 1 post-ARI; W2–4, week 2–4 post-ARI.