



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Occup Environ Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Occup Environ Med. 2018 September ; 60(9): e470–e475. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000001398.

Occupational Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Risk Factors Profile in the Adult Population of the Southern Cone of Latin America. Results from the CESCAS I Study

Rosana Poggio, MD, MSc, PhD, Santiago Melendi, MD, Laura Gutierrez, MSc, Natalia Elorriaga, MSc, and Vilma Irazola, MD, MSc

Institute for Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Abstract

Objective: We explore the association between occupational physical activity (OPA) and cardiovascular risk factors in 4 cities of the Southern Cone.

Methods: Robust multivariable linear regression models were used to examine the associations

Results: The working population was constituted by 1,868 men and 1,672 women. Men performing high levels of OPA showed higher levels of HDL (mean adj. diff.= 2.24 mg/dL; $p=0.004$), lower levels of triglycerides (-24.59 mg/dL; $p=0.006$) and TC/HDL ratio values (-0.21 ; $p=0.015$) compared to reference. Women in the highest category of OPA had higher levels of HDL (2.85 mg/dL; $p=0.006$), lower TC/HDL (0.27; $p=0.001$) and LDL/HDL ratios (-0.18 ; $p=0.003$) compared to sedentary activities.

Conclusions: Individuals who performed high levels of OPA did not exhibit a worse cardiovascular risk profile and an improvement on selected biomarkers was observed when compared to those performing sedentary activities.

Introduction

Abundant data has described the inverse associations between physical activity (PA) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk¹, however, occupational PA (OPA) might have different impact than leisure time physical activity (LTPA). A meta-analysis showed that those who engaged in moderate levels of LTPA reduced the CVD risk in 20–30%, while high levels of OPA increased it by 24%². Nevertheless, several studies showed variable impact of OPA on CVD when accounting for LTPA. Some studies showed that high levels of OPA had: a) no effect³, b) beneficial effect^{4–7} or c) detrimental effect^{8–10} on CVD risk. When this association was analyzed by strata of LTPA, high OPA increased CVD risk only in those who performed low LTPA^{8, 10}. Similarly, other studies that accounted for fitness in their analyses found that high levels of OPA increased CVD risk only in those with low levels of fitness^{11–14}. Furthermore, most of the aforementioned studies did not include women and have not considered important potential confounders associated to CVD risk such as

Correspondence to: Rosana Poggio, rpoggio@iecs.org.ar. Emilio Ravnani 2024 (C1414CPV), Buenos Aires, Argentina. Phone: + 54-11-4777-8767. Fax: + 54-11-4777-8767-int-27.

Conflicts of interest (COI) from all the Authors: "None Declared"

working hours¹⁵, stress, depression or type of PA at work^{16–18}. Petersen et al. found that only lifting activities were associated with higher CVD risk (RR 1.52), while non-lifting activities were associated with lower risk (RR 0.50)¹⁹. All these studies were conducted in developed populations in which psychological, environmental and social factors may independently influence both behavioral and cardiometabolic risk factors^{20, 21}. For example, in the Southern Cone of Latin America the lower income population engaged more in active transportation than in developed populations²².

Opposing to the large evidence demonstrating the benefits provided by PA in health, the role of occupational PA on CVD, remains to be clarified. There is no published data from Latin America populations regarding this multifaceted topic. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to evaluate the association between occupational PA and behavioral and clinical risk factors by gender in a representative sample of four cities from Argentina, Chile and Uruguay using baseline data of CESCAS I study.

Material and Methods

Sample design and study population

Briefly, “The CESCAS I is a population-based prospective cohort study that used 4-stage multistage random sample to include 7,524 adults aged 35–74 years from four mid-sized cities in Argentina (Bariloche and Marcos Paz), Chile (Temuco) and Uruguay (Pando-Barros Blancos)”²³. For the current analysis we used baseline data, excluding participants who were unemployed (n=542), retired (n=2,150), housewives (n=1,040) or had history of CVD at baseline (n=252). The final working population was constituted by 1,868 men and 1,672 women.

Data collection

The exposure to risk factors and prevalence of CVD was collected between 2011 and 2013 by trained interviewers in participant’s household. “Data was collected using cross-culturally adapted questionnaires from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL). Once the survey was completed, each participant was scheduled for a clinical exam to obtain physical measurements (blood pressure, weight and height). Information about smoking status was assessed using the Global Adult Tobacco Survey. Nutritional information was collected using a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) adapted from the National Cancer Institute Diet History Questionnaire, which has been validated by our research team for use in Argentina, Chile and Uruguay²⁴. Depression was assessed by the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9). During the clinical examination, blood pressure and anthropometric measurements were obtained by trained and certified observers using standard protocols and techniques. Overnight fasting blood specimens were obtained to measure blood glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, Apo B, Apo A1 and ultrasensitive CRP, using standard methods with commercially available reagents. LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald equation for participants with triglycerides <400 mg/dL”^{23, 25}.

Physical activity assessment

“Physical activity data was assessed using the transcultural adaptation of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire long form (IPAQ) used in the HCHS/SOL study. The questionnaire asked about frequency (days/week) and duration (minutes/day) of moderate and vigorous intensity activities performed during a typical week in three different domains: occupational, leisure time and active transportation (walking and bicycling). We estimated energy expended on the assessed PA in metabolic equivalents (MET). One MET was defined as the energy it takes to sit quietly, which is about one calorie per every kilogram (2.2 pounds) of body weight per hour for an average adult (i.e. 1 MET = 1 kcal/kg/hour). The values used in this study were 8 METs for vigorous intensity activities and 4 METs for moderate intensity activities following the IPAQ’s guidelines for data processing and analysis. Only activities performed for 10 or more minutes were included in the calculation of the PA scores. If a subject reported participating in any activity for more than 180 minutes, the value was truncated at 180 minutes”.²² Total occupational PA (OPA) per week was calculated as the sum of energy that each participant expended in moderate and vigorous intensity activities in occupational domain, and was expressed in total MET-minutes/week. Energy expended in moderate and vigorous occupational PA was calculated as the sum of energy expended in the corresponding intensity for the occupational domain. Total occupational PA was categorized separately for men and women as low (those who reported no moderate or vigorous activities at work, percentile < 50), moderate (those who reported moderate or vigorous activities at work and were classified between percentiles 50 to 74.99) and high (subjects between percentiles 75 to 100).

Type of labor was classified as sedentary labor, light/mobility or heavy according to the participant’s reported occupation. Sufficient level of PA in leisure time (LTPA) and active transportation (AT) was defined as those subjects who engaged in 600 MET-minutes/week in the respective domains, according to the current “World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for PA”.²⁶

Potential confounders

For the present analysis, we evaluated a comprehensive number of independent variables to determine their potential confounding role. We assessed 5 sociodemographic characteristics (age, sex, educational level, working hours and type of labor performed), 7 behavioral risk factors (smoking status, diet, alcohol consumption, sleeping hours per day, TV watching hours per day, LTPA and active transportation), obesity or overweight, major depressive episode (MDE), medical treatment for hypertension, diabetes and/or dyslipidemia. Overweight and obesity, were excluded as covariates when the dependent variable was BMI.

“Educational level was defined as primary school or lower, middle/high school or university according to the highest level reported. Hypertension was defined as a mean systolic blood pressure 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure 90 mmHg, and/or self-reports of current use of antihypertensive medications. Body mass index was calculated as body weight divided by the square of height (kg/m^2), and WHO guidelines definitions for overweight ($25.0\text{--}29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and obesity ($\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were used. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol 240 mg/dL and/or LDL cholesterol 160 mg/dL and/or HDL-cholesterol <40

mg/dL and/or triglyceride ≥ 200 mg/dL and/or use of lipid-lowering medication. Diabetes was defined as fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/dl or self-reported history of diabetes²⁵. Medication for hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia was defined as receiving any drug for lowering blood pressure, glucose or lipids, respectively. Alcohol consumption was expressed in grams of ethanol for 2000 kilocalories. “Major depressive episode was defined as ≥ 8 of the PHQ-9 score, based on the calibration of this instrument by our group²⁷. We used the “Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) Score” (ranged from 8 to 40) to assesses the adherence to a healthy diet. The score considered 8 different components: high intake of fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes, whole grains, low-fat dairy products and low intake of sodium, sweetened beverages and meats (red and processed).²⁸

Statistical analyses

To examine the univariate associations among OPA categories with socio-demographic, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors for CVD, we used χ^2 for categorical variables and non-parametric tests (Mann Whitney or Kruskal–Wallis, according to the number of groups) for continuous variables.

We used robust multivariable linear regression models to examine the associations between categories of OPA and the following dependent variables: plasma levels of Apo A1, Apo B, hs-CRP, total cholesterol (TC), HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC/HDL ratio, LDL/HDL ratio, triglycerides, blood pressure and BMI.

All potential confounders were initially tested into bivariate analysis for each dependent variable using a p value ≤ 0.1 to determine the inclusion of a variable into the multivariate analysis. All analyses were stratified by women and men due to the different OPA pattern of each gender and the variable magnitude of association between PA and CVD demonstrated in other studies.^{22, 29}

Statistical interactions were examined between OPA and overweight or obesity (categorical), age (as continuous), LTPA and active transportation PA by adding product terms to the multivariable models. “All tests were two sided. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA)³⁰.”

Ethics

“The study protocol has been approved by IRBs in all participating institutes in Argentina, Chile, Uruguay and the US. All study participants provided written informed consent²⁵.”

Results

Characteristics of the study population

The baseline characteristics of the study population and physical activity features are respectively depicted in table 1 and 2. Overall, 1,868 (52.8%) men and 1,672 (47.2%) women were included in the present analysis, with a mean age of 50 and 49 years respectively.

There were noticeable differences in baseline characteristics by sex. Men, were slightly older, had lower education level, and worse risk factors profile as demonstrated by higher prevalence of overweight or obesity, hypertension and dyslipidemia than women. Additionally, men presented lower DASH-style diet score, implying low adherence to healthy eating behaviors mostly at expenses of higher intake of sugar sweetened beverages, red meat, processed meat and lower intake of vegetables. Regarding lifestyles, men slept less hours per day, had higher prevalence of current smoking, and three-fold higher consumption of ethanol than women, but engaged in more LTPA. At work, men spent more hours per week, more energy in both moderate and vigorous intensity activities (median of energy expenditure: 8640 METs/minutes/week) and performed in similar proportions sedentary, light/ mobility and heavy labors.

Women had better risk factors profile since showed lower prevalence cardiometabolic risk factors, although presented significant higher prevalence of major depressive episode. They showed higher adherence to healthier behaviors reflected in the higher DASH-style diet score, lower prevalence of current smoking, lower ethanol consumption. Additionally, women slept more hours per day and engaged in more active transportation than men. At work, women spent less hours per week and less energy (median of energy expenditure: 5040 METs/minutes/week) in moderate intensity activities and in light or mobility labors.

Characteristics of the study population according to categories of occupational physical activity

When analyzed the characteristics of the study population by categories of OPA, we observed that men in the highest category of OPA had lower proportion of university education (10.9 vs. 26.9%; $p < 0.001$), overweight or obesity (75.5 vs. 82.9%; $p < 0.001$), and dyslipidemia (64.2 vs. 71.4%; $p < 0.010$) while showed higher prevalence of heavy labor (58.9 vs. 19.0 %; $p < 0.001$) and active transportation (62.5 vs. 51.9%; $p < 0.001$) compared to those who engaged in lower levels of OPA.

Women in the highest category of OPA had also lower proportion of university education (20.4% vs. 29.5%; $p=0.008$), higher prevalence of major depressive episode (16.9% vs. 11.5%; $p=0.003$), spent more time at work (35 hours/week 62.2% vs. 52.5%; $p=0.005$) and slept less hours per day (7.4 vs. 7.7 hours/day; $p=0.021$) compared to women engaged in lower levels of OPA.

Nutritional variables varied among men but no statistical differences were seen in women. Men in the highest category of OPA, had a lower mean diet DASH score (21.4 vs 22.5; $p=0.002$), mostly at expenses of higher sugar sweetened beverages consumption (360.1 vs 230.6 mL/2000 Kcal; $p=0.015$) and higher red meat consumption (95.7 vs. 83.2 g/2000 Kcal; $p=0.046$).

Table 3 describes the mean biomarkers and clinical values by categories of occupational physical activity and stratified by sex. Compared to those in the lowest category of OPA, men in the highest category had higher HDL plasma levels (mean adj. diff. = 2.24 mg/dL; $p=0.004$), lower plasma levels of triglycerides (mean adj. diff. = -24.59 mg/dL; $p=0.006$) and TC/HDL ratio values (mean adj. diff. = -0.21; $p=0.015$), while men with moderate

category of OPA had lower BMI (mean adj. diff. = -0.80 kg/m^2 ; $p=0.012$). Moderate and high OPA was associated with higher plasma levels of HDL compared with those in the lowest category (mean adj. diff. = 1.89 mg/dL ; $p=0.012$ and 2.24 mg/dL ; $p=0.004$, respectively). Women in the highest category of OPA had higher HDL plasma levels (mean adj. diff. = 2.85 mg/dL ; $p=0.006$), lower TC/HDL and LDL/HDL ratio values (mean adj. diff. = -0.27 ; $p=0.001$ and -0.18 ; $p=0.003$, respectively) compared to reference, with no other significant variation among the biomarkers and clinical variables.

Discussion

This is the first study that evaluated the association between OPA and cardiovascular risk factors in a representative sample of the adult population of four mid-sized cities from Argentina, Chile and Uruguay, taking into account a large array of potential confounders.

We found that men and women who performed high levels of OPA did not exhibit a worse cardiovascular risk profile, and an improvement on selected biomarkers was observed compared to those performing sedentary activities.

These results are robust given that important confounders for BMI, lipoproteins and blood pressure (dependent variables) such as diet, medical treatments, LTPA and active transportation, which is highly prevalent in this region, were taken into account in the analyses²⁰.

The improvements in cardiovascular risk profile were more pronounced in men. One possible explanation for this finding is that men expended more energy than women in both moderate and vigorous intensity activities and performed more mobility and heavy labors. It is important to note that heavy labor (31% of the workers) is not a synonym of heavy lifting activities, since PA categories included a wide array of occupational type of activities.

A large array of published studies that investigated the effect of OPA on incident CVD reported diverse results. Some showed that high levels of OPA had no effect³ on CVD risk, others beneficial effect⁴⁻⁷ and others detrimental effect⁸⁻¹⁰. The latest studies published on this topic found only increased CVD risk in those performing high level of OPA and low LTPA^{8, 10} or that have low level of fitness¹¹⁻¹⁴.

These varieties of results could be attributed to several reasons: I) Heterogeneity of the exposure variable (OPA). Some studies used simple questions for assessing the type of activity (sitting, walking, heavy lifting-, climbing stairs, manual work)^{4, 8} not accounting for the total amount of PA (frequency and duration), some used broader occupational categories as proxy of occupational PA (manual, professional, blue collar)^{10, 31}, others expressed in METs the total amount of physical activity in the occupational domain^{3, 32} (absolute measure) without considering the type of activity and two studies used relative measures constructed with fitness level (relative aerobic workload: RAS)^{13, 14}. II) The covariates used for adjustment among studies were very diverse and most of them did not included important potential confounders associated with increased CVD risk, such as dietary habits, working hours¹⁵, stress, work environment³³, nor depression¹⁶⁻¹⁸, therefore the residual

confusion effect could not have been completely excluded and could affect the estimations made.

III) More than half of the studies with positive results (OPA increased CVD risk) did not include women^{8, 10–14}.

Several published data showed the benefits of engaging in certain activities performed at work. A landmark study published in 1953 by Morris et al. found that the more active bus conductors and postman (those who go up or down stairs or walk) had lower coronary heart disease risk compared to those more inactive (sedentary activities).^{34, 35} More currently, two studies clearly described that only lifting activities increased CVD risk whereas aerobic activities reduced it^{19, 34}.

The type of physical activity performed at work matters since it is well known that aerobic activities reduces blood pressure, cholesterol, triglycerides, glycosylated hemoglobin³⁵ whereas heavy lifting activities produce an acute cardiovascular strain³⁶.

After the abundant evidence published in relation to the benefits provided by physical activity on health³⁵, it is complex to hypostatize that expending energy in aerobic or mobility activities at work increases CVD risk compared to sedentary activities. A person who delivers food on bicycle, a postman, a garbage collector or a person who performs heavy lifting activities will report similar energy expenditure at work; therefore, discriminating the type of physical activity performed at work is essential to understand whether the increment of CVD risk is attributed to the activity itself or to the residual and unmeasured confounding effect. To analyze the total OPA without taking into account the type of OPA might lead us to wrong conclusions.

This study has several strengths. The sampling method and the response rate (73.4%) reduced the possibility of selection bias; therefore the results represent the characteristics of the working population of 4 cities of the Southern Cone. The assessment of physical activity was conducted by trained interviewers which improve the description of occupational physical activity patterns and reduce the potential recall bias.²² Finally, this is the first study that provides data on several behavioral risk factors such as the level of adherence to the DASH Score, ethanol consumption, sleep hours, and TV watching time in the working population of the Southern Cone.

“Some limitations of the present study must be underscored. First, although validation studies in Latin America³⁷ suggested that the IPAQ had acceptable validity and reliability in comparison with accelerometers, responses to the IPAQ tend to overestimate occupation. In this context, the lack of validation of self-report using activity monitors is a major limitation of the current study. Nevertheless, this questionnaire is the most frequent tool used for assessing PA at population level because it is intended to quantify PA behaviors over a longer duration of time and thus incorporates elements of psychosocial and environmental context.³⁸ Second, METs are not an equivalent of fitness; thus, a moderate intensity PA based on MET may actually be vigorous for some people”.²² Third, we did not assess type of OPA, we only define type of labor according to the participant’s reported occupation. Fourth, we only analyzed an absolute measure of OPA (total MET/min/week in

occupational). Relative measures (fitness level) better discriminate persons who spend high levels of energy in occupation at higher risk.^{13, 14} Fifth, this is a cross-sectional analysis between OPA and mediators' variables (risk factors), therefore we are not able to determine causal relationships with incident CVD events.

There is a need to discriminate the type of activity in occupational since isometric vs. dynamic movements have demonstrated different impact on CVD risk. The addition of simple questions regarding different types of activities performed in occupational is vital to answer this research question, since longitudinal studies conducted in developing populations are not able to assess fitness data due to the high cost.

Future public health campaigns and programs for CVD prevention in the Southern Cone should distinguish between occupational or leisure time physical activity. The prevention strategies for the working populations should take into account the type PA performed at work since the assessment of fitness status is too expensive for many developing countries. To provide education in the less educated working population about the importance of adhering to healthier diets and engaging in moderate intensity LTPA should be a priority to combat the significant burden of disease that crosses the region.

Acknowledgement of funding

This work was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under contract #268200900029C.

References

1. Shiroma EJ and Lee IM. Physical activity and cardiovascular health: lessons learned from epidemiological studies across age, gender, and race/ethnicity. *Circulation*. 2010; 122: 743–52. [PubMed: 20713909]
2. Li J and Siegrist J. Physical activity and risk of cardiovascular disease--a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2012; 9: 391–407. [PubMed: 22470299]
3. Huerta JM, Chirlaque MD, Tormo MJ, et al. Physical activity and risk of cerebrovascular disease in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition-Spain study. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation*. 2013; 44: 111–8.
4. Hu G, Eriksson J, Barengo NC, et al. Occupational, commuting, and leisure-time physical activity in relation to total and cardiovascular mortality among Finnish subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Circulation*. 2004; 110: 666–73. [PubMed: 15277321]
5. Hu G, Jousilahti P, Antikainen R and Tuomilehto J. Occupational, commuting, and leisure-time physical activity in relation to cardiovascular mortality among finnish subjects with hypertension. *American journal of hypertension*. 2007; 20: 1242–50. [PubMed: 18047912]
6. Salonen JT, Slater JS, Tuomilehto J and Rauramaa R. Leisure time and occupational physical activity: risk of death from ischemic heart disease. *American journal of epidemiology*. 1988; 127: 87–94. [PubMed: 3337078]
7. Khaw KT, Jakes R, Bingham S, et al. Work and leisure time physical activity assessed using a simple, pragmatic, validated questionnaire and incident cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women: The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer in Norfolk prospective population study. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2006; 35: 1034–43. [PubMed: 16709620]

8. Holtermann A, Marott JL, Gyntelberg F, et al. Occupational and leisure time physical activity: risk of all-cause mortality and myocardial infarction in the Copenhagen City Heart Study. A prospective cohort study. *BMJ open*. 2012; 2: e000556.
9. Hu GC, Chien KL, Hsieh SF, Chen CY, Tsai WH and Su TC. Occupational versus leisure-time physical activity in reducing cardiovascular risks and mortality among ethnic Chinese adults in Taiwan. *Asia-Pacific journal of public health*. 2014; 26: 604–13. [PubMed: 23343645]
10. Harari G, Green MS and Zelber-Sagi S. Combined association of occupational and leisure-time physical activity with all-cause and coronary heart disease mortality among a cohort of men followed-up for 22 years. *Occupational and environmental medicine*. 2015; 72: 617–24. [PubMed: 25805756]
11. Holtermann A, Mortensen OS, Burr H, Sogaard K, Gyntelberg F and Suadicani P. Physical demands at work, physical fitness, and 30-year ischaemic heart disease and all-cause mortality in the Copenhagen Male Study. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2010; 36: 357–65.
12. Clays E, Lidegaard M, De Bacquer D, et al. The combined relationship of occupational and leisure-time physical activity with all-cause mortality among men, accounting for physical fitness. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2014; 179: 559–66. [PubMed: 24305575]
13. Krause N, Brand RJ, Arah OA and Kauhanen J. Occupational physical activity and 20-year incidence of acute myocardial infarction: results from the Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2015; 41: 124–39.
14. Wang A, Arah OA, Kauhanen J and Krause N. Effects of leisure-time and occupational physical activities on 20-year incidence of acute myocardial infarction: mediation and interaction. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2016; 42: 423–34.
15. Conway SH, Pompeii LA, Roberts RE, Follis JL and Gimeno D. Dose-Response Relation Between Work Hours and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: Findings From the Panel Study of Income Dynamics. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine / American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2016; 58: 221–6.
16. Virtanen M, Stansfeld SA, Fuhrer R, Ferrie JE and Kivimaki M. Overtime work as a predictor of major depressive episode: a 5-year follow-up of the Whitehall II study. *PloS one*. 2012; 7: e30719. [PubMed: 22295106]
17. Kato R, Haruyama Y, Endo M, Tsutsumi A and Muto T. Heavy overtime work and depressive disorder among male workers. *Occupational medicine*. 2014; 64: 622–8. [PubMed: 25258107]
18. Maruyama S and Morimoto K. Effects of long workhours on life-style, stress and quality of life among intermediate Japanese managers. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 1996; 22: 353–9.
19. Petersen CB, Eriksen L, Tolstrup JS, Sogaard K, Gronbaek M and Holtermann A. Occupational heavy lifting and risk of ischemic heart disease and all-cause mortality. *BMC public health*. 2012; 12: 1070. [PubMed: 23231790]
20. King AC, Blair SN, Bild DE, et al. Determinants of physical activity and interventions in adults. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 1992; 24: S221–36. [PubMed: 1625548]
21. Burton NW and Turrell G. Occupation, hours worked, and leisure-time physical activity. *Preventive medicine*. 2000; 31: 673–81. [PubMed: 11133334]
22. Poggio R, Seron P, Calandrelli M, et al. Prevalence, Patterns, and Correlates of Physical Activity Among the Adult Population in Latin America: Cross-Sectional Results from the CESCAS I Study. *Global heart*. 2016; 11: 81–8 e1. [PubMed: 27102025]
23. Rubinstein AL, Irazola VE, Poggio R, et al. Detection and follow-up of cardiovascular disease and risk factors in the Southern Cone of Latin America: the CESCAS I study. *BMJ open*. 2011; 1: e000126.
24. Elorriaga N, Irazola VE, Defago MD, et al. Validation of a self-administered FFQ in adults in Argentina, Chile and Uruguay. *Public health nutrition*. 2015; 18: 59–67. [PubMed: 24476763]
25. Rubinstein AL, Irazola VE, Calandrelli M, et al. Multiple cardiometabolic risk factors in the Southern Cone of Latin America: A population-based study in Argentina, Chile, and Uruguay. *International journal of cardiology*. 2015; 183: 82–8. [PubMed: 25662056]
26. Organization WH. *Global recommendations on physical activity for health*. 2010.

27. Daray FM, Rubinstein AL, Gutierrez L, et al. Determinants and geographical variation in the distribution of depression in the Southern cone of Latin America: A population-based survey in four cities in Argentina, Chile and Uruguay. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2017; 220: 15–23. [PubMed: 28575715]
28. Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML, Rexrode KM, Logroscino G and Hu FB. Adherence to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2008; 168: 713–20. [PubMed: 18413553]
29. Sattelmair J, Pertman J, Ding EL, Kohl HW, 3rd, Haskell W and Lee IM. Dose response between physical activity and risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2011; 124: 789–95. [PubMed: 21810663]
30. Poggio R, Elorriaga N, Gutierrez L, Irazola V, Rubinstein A and Danaei G. Associations between dietary patterns and serum lipids, apo and C-reactive protein in an adult population: evidence from a multi-city cohort in South America. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2017; 117: 548–55. [PubMed: 28382894]
31. Bennett S Socioeconomic inequalities in coronary heart disease and stroke mortality among Australian men, 1979–1993. *International journal of epidemiology*. 1996; 25: 266–75. [PubMed: 9119551]
32. Autenrieth CS, Baumert J, Baumeister SE, et al. Association between domains of physical activity and all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality. *European journal of epidemiology*. 2011; 26: 91–9. [PubMed: 21153912]
33. Kristensen TS. Cardiovascular diseases and the work environment. A critical review of the epidemiologic literature on nonchemical factors. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 1989; 15: 165–79.
34. Fransson E, De Faire U, Ahlbom A, Reuterwall C, Hallqvist J and Alfredsson L. The risk of acute myocardial infarction: interactions of types of physical activity. *Epidemiology*. 2004; 15: 573–82. [PubMed: 15308957]
35. Fiuza-Luces C, Garatachea N, Berger NA and Lucia A. Exercise is the real polypill. *Physiology*. 2013; 28: 330–58. [PubMed: 23997192]
36. Steenland K Epidemiology of occupation and coronary heart disease: research agenda. *American journal of industrial medicine*. 1996; 30: 495–9. [PubMed: 8892556]
37. Hallal PC, Gomez LF, Parra DC, et al. Lessons learned after 10 years of IPAQ use in Brazil and Colombia. *Journal of physical activity & health*. 2010; 7 Suppl 2: S259–64. [PubMed: 20702914]
38. Troiano RP, McClain JJ, Brychta RJ and Chen KY. Evolution of accelerometer methods for physical activity research. *British journal of sports medicine*. 2014; 48: 1019–23. [PubMed: 24782483]

Table 1. General characteristics of the study population by category of occupational physical activity

Characteristics	Men (n=1868)				Women (n=1672)				p ¹	p ²
	Overall	Low (n=873)	Moderate (n=528)	High (n=467)	Overall	Low (n=1019)	Moderate (n=376)	High (n=277)		
Population, %	52.8%	46.7%	28.3%	25.0%	47.2%	60.9%	22.5%	16.6%		
Age, years (SD)	50.0 (8.6)	50.2 (8.8)	50.5 (8.3)	49.0 (8.5)	0.012	49.0 (7.9)	49.5 (8.1)	48.8 (7.8)	0.337	0.001
Educational level, %										
Primary school or lower	37.7	29.9	37.1	53.0	32.2	30.1	36.0	34.6		
Middle or high school	41.1	43.2	42.2	36.1	41.2	40.3	40.8	45.1		
University	21.2	29.9	20.6	10.9	26.6	29.5	23.2	20.4	0.008	<0.001
Risk Factors, %										
Current smoker	34.6	34.6	32.6	38.8	0.371	29.0	31.4	31.4	0.567	0.003
Overweight/obesity	79.2	82.9	76.3	75.5	0.001	68.0	69.2	70.4	0.723	<0.001
Diabetes	8.7	10.0	8.1	6.8	0.124	8.7	7.3	10.2	0.419	0.946
Hypertension	42.6	43.5	41.9	41.8	0.764	29.6	30.4	34.3	0.321	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	67.9	71.4	65.3	64.2	0.010	44.8	46.6	40.9	0.336	<0.001
Major depressive episode, %	6.7	6.1	7.1	7.5	0.566	13.8	17.8	16.9	0.003	<0.001
Work hours (35 hours/week), %	78.8	78.8	77.2	80.5	0.450	53.7	51.1	62.5	0.005	<0.001
Sleeping hours (hours/day), mean	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.4	0.748	7.6	7.6	7.4	0.021	<0.001
TV watching 2 hours/day, %	71.5	70.5	74.2	70.1	0.313	69.7	66.8	71.5	0.390	0.294
DASH-style diet adherence score, mean	22.0	22.5	21.7	21.4	0.002	24.1	24.2	24.1	0.989	<0.001
Sugar sweetened beverages (mL/2000 Kcal), median	273.5	230.6	274.9	360.1	0.015	245.3	217.9	227.1	0.169	0.030
Fruits (g/2000 Kcal), median	88.9	93.1	73.8	94.3	0.021	126.2	135.7	121.9	0.170	0.354
Vegetables (g/2000 Kcal), median	141.6	153.4	138.6	148.8	0.318	198.3	199.6	193.1	0.469	<0.001
Red meat (g/2000 Kcal), median	87.7	83.2	91.3	95.7	0.046	77.4	73.1	79.3	0.606	<0.001
Processed meat, median	19.7	20.4	18.9	18.2	0.622	14.9	15.1	15.5	0.646	<0.001
Ethanol consumption, g/2000 Kcal, mean	9.0	9.1	8.6	9.2	0.849	2.8	2.9	2.7	0.872	<0.001
Energy (Kilocalories per day), mean	2102	2053	2171	2112	0.131	1852	1814	1963	0.037	<0.001

Current smoker: currently smoking at the time of the interview and has smoked 100 cigarettes in his or her lifetime; Overweight: body-mass index ≥ 25 and b30 kg/m²; obesity: body-mass index ≥ 30 kg/m²; diabetes: fasting glucose ≥ 126mg/dL or self-reported history of diabetes; hypertension: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mm Hg and/or use of antihypertensive medication; dyslipidemia: total cholesterol ≥ 240mg/dL and/or LDL-cholesterol ≥ 160mg/dL and/or HDL-cholesterol < 40mg/dL and/or triglyceride ≥ 200mg/dL and/or use of lipid-lowering medication;

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Major depressive episode: Score 8 of the PHQ-9 score. DASH-style diet adherence score range: 0–40. p^1 : Comparison between each OPA groups. Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables and χ^2 for categorical variables. p^2 : Overall comparison men and women. Mann Whitney test for continuous variables and χ^2 for categorical variables

Table 2. Physical activity features of the study population by category of occupational physical activity

Features	Men (n=1868)						Women (n=1672)					
	Overall	Low (n=873)	Moderate (n=528)	High (n=467)	p ¹	p ²	Overall	Low (n=1019)	Moderate (n=376)	High (n=277)	p ¹	p ²
Energy spent in occupational, METs/minutes/week												
Total per week, median	1440	0	3600	8640	<0.001	<0.001	0	0	3360	5040	<0.001	<0.001
Vigorous intensity, median	0	0	0	8640	<0.001	<0.001	0	0	0	0	<0.001	<0.001
Moderate intensity, median	0	0	3600	0	<0.001	<0.001	0	0	3360	4320	<0.001	0.988
Participation in leisure time PA												
Prevalence, %	29.6	30.0	31.4	26.6	0.222	0.222	21.8	20.0	26.9	21.3	0.022	<0.001
600 METs/min/week in leisure, %	23.5	24.2	24.1	21.6	0.544	0.544	16.2	14.8	20.5	15.5	0.037	<0.001
Active transportation												
Prevalence, %	55.6	51.9	55.5	62.5	0.001	0.001	66.5	66.2	70.2	62.5	0.111	<0.001
600 METs in active transportation, %	39.9	35.6	39.2	48.8	<0.001	<0.001	44.8	46.4	42.0	42.6	0.247	0.003
Type of labor												
Sedentary, %	33.1	47.3	25.6	15.3			26.3	31.8	20.1	14.6		
Light/ mobility, %	35.5	33.7	47.1	25.8			70.7	65.9	76.7	79.8		
Heavy, %	31.4	19.0	27.3	58.9	<0.001	<0.001	3.0	2.3	3.2	5.5	<0.001	<0.001

OPA categories: Low (no moderate or vigorous activities at work; (percentile < 50); moderate (those who reported moderate or vigorous activities at work and were classified between percentiles 50 to 74.99) and high (subjects between percentiles 75 to 100). Energy spent in occupational: median of the total METs/min/week in occupational. Vigorous intensity: median of the total METs/min/week spent in vigorous activities in occupational. Moderate intensity: median of the total METs/min/week spent in moderate activities in occupational. p¹: Comparison between each OPA groups. Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables and Chi² for categorical variables. p²: Overall comparison men and women. Mann Whitney test for continuous variables and Chi² for categorical variables.

Table 3.

Mean biomarkers and clinical values by categories of occupational physical activity

Dependent variables	Men					Women				
	Low	Moderate	High	P1	P2	Low	Moderate	High	P1	P2
BMI (kg/m²), mean	28.9	28.1	28.3	0.005	0.003	28.4	28.7	28.2	0.687	0.930
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-0.80	-0.58	0.012	0.120	Ref.	0.18	-0.10	0.658	0.839
SBP (mmHg), mean	129.7	128.1	128.7	0.581	0.407	122.1	122.6	123.0	0.951	0.352
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-1.23	0.43	0.224	0.698	Ref.	0.30	1.71	0.781	0.192
DBP(mmHg), mean	85.0	84.7	84.3	0.554	0.650	79.6	80.3	80.9	0.197	0.044
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	0.44	0.20	0.522	0.781	Ref.	1.06	1.33	0.089	0.093
Glucose (mg/dL), mean	100.2	97.0	98.5	0.108	0.977	92.7	92.9	91.7	0.685	0.016
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-2.01	-1.53	0.179	0.348	Ref.	0.70	-0.20	0.619	0.910
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean	203.8	203.4	204.0	0.713	0.795	202.2	203.5	201.5	0.665	0.821
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	1.15	2.35	0.672	0.426	Ref.	-0.36	-1.07	0.898	0.732
LDL (mg/dL), mean^a	128.1	129.4	130.5	0.886	0.874	126.7	127.0	126.5	0.796	0.916
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	1.98	4.69	0.387	0.069	Ref.	-1.51	-0.84	0.513	0.751
HDL(mg/dL), mean	41.2	43.3	43.8	0.007	0.000	49.0	49.4	51.7	0.864	0.022
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	1.89	2.24	0.012	0.004	Ref.	-0.55	2.85	0.539	0.006
TC/HDL ratio, mean	5.2	5.0	4.9	0.008	0.002	4.2	4.3	4.1	0.189	0.026
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-0.15	-0.21	0.097	0.015	Ref.	0.07	-0.27	0.403	0.001
LDL/HDL ratio, mean	3.2	3.1	3.1	0.184	0.049	2.7	2.7	2.5	0.689	0.031
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-0.51	-0.21	0.411	0.751	Ref.	-0.01	-0.18	0.826	0.003
Triglycerides(mg/dL), mean	190.0	166.9	166.2	0.026	0.001	130.0	137.4	122.2	0.831	0.132
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-14.91	-24.59	0.106	0.006	Ref.	9.44	-11.58	0.260	0.086
Apo A(mg/L), mean^b	106.4	115.7	109.4	0.047	0.360	125.5	125.1	132.4	0.685	0.099
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	8.97	2.47	0.108	0.405	Ref.	-0.40	7.57	0.919	0.088

Dependent variables	Men						Women					
	Low	Moderate	High	p ₁	p ₂		Low	Moderate	High	p ₁	p ₂	
Apo B(mg/L), mean^b	91.2	85.0	90.0	0.132	0.928		81.2	84.6	86.8	0.262	0.369	
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-4.73	-0.77	0.196	0.854	Ref.	2.48	4.45	0.337			
Hs-CRP(mg/L), mean^b	3.4	3.0	4.0	0.658	0.249		3.2	3.4	2.4	0.937	0.376	
Mean adjusted diff.	Ref.	-0.59	0.47	0.492	0.627	Ref.	0.22	-1.21	0.069			

BMI: Body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein. a: calculated for participants who fasted > 9 hr and triglyceride concentrations < 400 mg/dL according to Friedwald's equation. b: Assessed in 539 participants. Robust multivariable linear models showing the mean adjusted difference (β coefficients) of the outcome variables. All models adjusted for age; educational level; overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²) or obesity (BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m²); current smoking; alcohol consumption; DASH diet score; treatment for diabetes, hypertension or dyslipidemia, active transportation (< 600 METs/min/week) and leisure time physical activity (< 600 METs/min/week). BMI additionally adjusted for total daily energy intake in both men and women. p₁: t-test comparing moderate vs. low occupational PA. p₂: t-test comparing high vs. low occupational PA.