ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION



Oprm1 A112G, a single nucleotide polymorphism, alters expression of stress-responsive genes in multiple brain regions in male and female mice

Devon Collins 1 · Matthew Randesi 1 · Joel Correa da Rosa 2 · Yong Zhang 1 · Mary Jeanne Kreek 1

Received: 12 February 2018 / Accepted: 2 July 2018 / Published online: 19 July 2018 © The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Background OPRM1 A118G, a functional human mu-opioid receptor (MOR) polymorphism, is associated with drug dependence and altered stress responsivity in humans as well as altered MOR signaling. MOR signaling can regulate many cellular processes, including gene expression, and many of the long-term, stable effects of drugs and stress may stem from changes in gene expression in diverse brain regions. A mouse model bearing an equivalent polymorphism (Oprm1 A112G) was previously generated and studied. Mice homozygous for the G112 allele show differences in opioid- and stress-related phenotypes.

Approach The current study examines the expression of 24 genes related to drug and stress responsivity in the caudoputamen, nucleus accumbens, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala of drug-naïve, stress-minimized, male and female mice homozygous for either the G112 variant allele or the wild-type A112 allele.

Results We detected nominal genotype-dependent changes in gene expression of multiple genes. We also detected nominal sex-dependent as well as sex-by-genotype interaction effects on gene expression. Of these, four genotype-dependent differences survived correction for multiple testing: *Avp* and *Gal* in the hypothalamus and *Oprl1* and *Cnr1* in the hippocampus.

Conclusions Changes in the regulation of these genes by mu-opioid receptors encoded by the G112 allele may be involved in some of the behavioral and molecular consequences of this polymorphism observed in mice.

Keywords Oprm1 A112G · Mu-opioid receptor · Physiological and behavioral responses · Gene expression

Introduction

Mu opioid receptors are involved in diverse neurobiological functions including drug reward, analgesia, and stress responsivity. The mu-opioid receptor (MOR) is the primary site of action of many of the endogenous opioids, including β -endorphin and met-enkephalin (Akil et al. 1984; Champion et al. 1997; Hughes et al. 1975) and many clinically important opioid therapeutics. Physiological and behavioral responses to opioids can vary from individual to individual (Smith 2008),

□ Devon Collins dcollins@rockefeller.edu

and the heritability of complex, drug-induced phenotypes suggests a critical role of genetic influences (Kreek et al. 2005, 2012; Al-Hasani and Bruchas 2011).

A common single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the human mu-opioid receptor gene (*OPRM1*), A118G, is associated with drug dependence in humans (Bart et al. 2004, 2006; Bond et al. 1998; Nishizawa et al. 2006), although some studies have found no association (Bergen et al. 1997; Coller et al. 2009), and others have suggested that it may be associated with drug dependence in some populations but protective in others (Schwantes-An et al. 2016). A118G is the most common coding region variant in the *OPRM1* gene. Its overall allelic frequency is estimated to be 22%, although its distribution shows significant variation by ancestry: 1% in Africans, 20% in indigenous Americans, 39% in East Asians, 42% in South Asians, and 16% in Europeans (Aken et al. 2016; LaForge et al. 2000).

The G118 allele is associated with greater daily drug intake in heroin users (Shi et al. 2002), greater automatic approach tendencies for alcohol and appetitive cues (Wiers



The Laboratory of the Biology of Addictive Diseases, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA

² Laboratory of Investigative Dermatology, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA

et al. 2009), and increased neuronal activity in response to alcohol cues in cortical and striatal regions as shown by BOLD (blood oxygenation level dependent) imaging (Filbey et al. 2008). The G118 allele also significantly alters stress responsivity and HPA axis regulation in healthy humans. G118 carriers show genotype-dependent differences in response to endocrine challenge by metyrapone (Ducat et al. 2013) as well as reductions in cortisol response to acute psychological stressors and MOR blockade by naltrexone or naloxone (Chong et al. 2006; Hernandez-Avila et al. 2003; Lovallo et al. 2015; Wand et al. 2002).

OPRM1 A118G has been demonstrated to produce a number of biochemical and molecular alterations (Kroslak et al. 2007). The G118 allele is associated with reduced dynorphin and enkephalin gene expression and increased peptide levels in heroin users (Drakenberg et al. 2006). In vitro studies in AV12 cells expressing the G118 MOR variant revealed a three-fold increase in β-endorphin binding affinity as well as a three-fold increase in β-endorphin potency as measured by activation of G protein-coupled inward rectifying potassium channels (Bond et al. 1998).

Mice bearing an equivalent SNP, A112G, in the mouse MOR gene (*Oprm1*) were generated, characterized, and studied (Mague et al. 2009). The *Oprm1* A112G mouse exhibits genotype-dependent molecular alterations, including reductions in MOR mRNA and protein expression (Huang et al. 2012; Mague et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2014) as well as behavioral alterations, including reduced morphine (Huang et al. 2012; Mague et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2014) and buprenorphine (Browne et al. 2017) analgesia, and increased opioid self-administration (Zhang et al. 2015).

MOR activation leads to a series of downstream cellular effects (Al-Hasani and Bruchas 2011), which may include changes in gene expression. Many of the long-term changes in brain and behavior that characterize addiction are likely underpinned by changes in gene expression (Nestler 2004a; Nestler and Aghajanian 1997). As the A118G variant alters MOR function, it may cause changes in MOR-modulated gene expression that contribute to the behavioral, molecular, and neurochemical alterations observed in humans and rodents bearing the G allele (Kreek et al. 2005; Mura et al. 2013).

In the current study, we have profiled the expression of an array genes that (1) are associated with mu opioid dependence in humans (Bart et al. 2004; Levran et al. 2008) or (2) have been demonstrated to be related to responses to stress or drugs of abuse in rodents (Bale et al. 2000; Caputi et al. 2014; Deroche-Gamonet et al. 2003; Edwards et al. 2012; McClung et al. 2005; Spangler et al. 1993; Uhl et al. 1988; Valenza et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2001). Animal and human studies show that addiction involves diverse circuits widely distributed across the

brain including striatal, limbic, and hypothalamic regions (Koob and Volkow 2010). Here, we measure the baseline mRNA expression of these genes in the caudoputamen, nucleus accumbens, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala in minimally handled, drug-naïve, male, and female mice homozygous for either the A112 (AA) or G112 (GG) allele. This is the first measurement of the concurrent expression of multiple genes in multiple brain regions in this mouse model, and, to our knowledge, one of very few studies examining the effects of a single human SNP—successfully translated into a rodent model—on the expression of multiple genes in multiple brain regions.

Materials and methods

Animals

Heterozygous A112G mice (Mague et al. 2009) were mated to obtain homozygous (112AA or 112GG; AA or GG) offspring. Heterozygous mice (112AG) were also produced, but only homozygous mice of both sexes are examined in the present study. Mice were genotyped by PCR using genomic DNA obtained by tail biopsy (forward primer, 5'-GCTCCA TCTTGGATCCCCTTT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GAGC TACCCAGCAATTCCAGA-3'). Ten- to twelve-week-old mice were housed in groups of four to five with free access to food and water in a light- (12:12 h light/dark cycle, lights on at 0900, lights off at 2100) and temperature- (25 °C) controlled room. Animal care and experimental procedures were conducted according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council (US) Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 2011). The experimental protocols used were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Rockefeller University.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Mice were sacrificed by rapid decapitation between 2 and 3 h following lights on (1100–1200). Whole brains were removed and the caudoputamen, nucleus accumbens, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala from each were dissected. Tissues were homogenized in QIAzol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Total RNA was isolated from homogenates using miRNeasy kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA quality and quantity for each sample were determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Genomic DNA was removed and cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA using RT² HT First Strand kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).



Custom RT² Profiler™ PCR Array

Our custom RT² ProfilerTM PCR Array (plate build CAPM13405E, Qiagen) used for the present study measures the expression of 24 genes related to drug and stress responsivity (for complete list, see Table 1). Real-time PCR was performed by the SYBR Green detection method. Individual reactions had a total volume of 10 μL and comprised cDNA diluted in 2× SuperArray RT² Real-TimeTM SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen) and ultrapure water.

The real-time PCR reactions were carried out in an ABI Prism 7900 HT Sequence Detection System using the following program: 10 min at 95 °C (15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C) \times 40 cycles, 15 s at 95 °C, 15 s at 60 °C, and 15 s at 95 °C. The ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection System was used to calculate the Ct value for each well. The profiler array includes five reference genes (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, *Gapdh*; β -glucuronidase, *Gusb*; heat shock protein 90 alpha (cytosolic), class B member 1, *Hsp90ab1*;

Reference genes

Gapdh

Tbp

Gusb

Ppia

Hsp90ab1

Table 1 Genes comprising the custom RT2 Profiler™ PCR Array

was found to be significant, post hoc nested comparisons were Group Gene symbol Gene name Opioid Oprm1 Mu opioid receptor Pomc Proopiomelanocortin Oprk1 Kappa opioid receptor Preprodynorphin Pdyn Oprd1 Delta opioid receptor Penk Preproenkephalin Oprl1 Opioid-related nociceptin receptor Pnoc Prepronociceptin Stress Avpr1b Arginine vasopressin receptor 1B AvpArginine vasopressin Corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 1 Crhr1 CrhCorticotropin releasing hormone Nr3c1 Glucocorticoid receptor Nr3c2 Mineralocorticoid receptor Mc2rMelanocortin receptor 2 Cnr1 Cannabinoid receptor 1 Cnr2 Cannabinoid receptor 2 Faah Fatty acid amide hydroxylase Fkbp5 FK506 binding protein 5 Galr1 Galanin receptor GalGalanin Oxytocin receptor Oxtr OxtOxytocin Csnk1e Casein kinase 1 epsilon

peptidylprolyl isomerase A, *Ppia*; TATA binding protein, *Tbp*). Of these five reference genes, *Gusb* was stably expressed across groups in caudoputamen and *Tbp* was stably expressed across groups in nucleus accumbens, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala. Ct values were normalized by calculating the difference between the target gene and the most stable reference gene according to brain region.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis focused on effects of sex and genotype on the expression of genes of interest in each brain region. A sample of n = 20 mice (representing approximately 9 litters) was allocated in four different groups (male AA, male GG, female AA, female GG; N = 5/group) according to a 2 × 2 factorial design. Normalized RT-PCR gene expressions were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with main effects of sex and genotype as well as their interaction. Whenever the interaction was found to be significant, post hoc nested comparisons were

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

Peptidylprolyl isomerase A (cyclophilin A)

TATA box binding protein

Glucuronidase, beta

Heat shock protein 90 alpha (cytosolic), class B member 1



Table 2 Genes differing by *Oprm1* genotype

Region	Gene	Direction of change	Ratio ^a	Nominal p val.	Corrected p val.b
Caudoputamen	ı		,		
	Oprm1	\downarrow	0.88	0.02	0.26
	Pdyn	\downarrow	0.82	0.01	0.26
N. accumbens					
	Gal	\downarrow	0.46	0.03	0.60
Hypothalamus					
	Oprk1	\downarrow	0.85	0.04	0.16
	Pdyn	\downarrow	0.82	0.03	0.16
	Penk	\downarrow	0.80	0.03	0.16
	Avp	\downarrow	0.60	0.0002	0.004*
	Gal	\downarrow	0.76	0.002	0.03*
	Oxt	\downarrow	0.68	0.008	0.07
Hippocampus					
	Oprl1	\downarrow	0.72	0.002	0.03*
	Cnr1	\downarrow	0.70	0.001	0.03*
	Fkbp5	\downarrow	0.82	0.04	0.23
	Oxtr	\downarrow	0.66	0.049	0.23
Amygdala	_	_	_	_	_

^a GG vs AA (prototype)

carried out (e.g., difference between females and males within genotype or difference between genotypes within sex). If no interaction was found to be significant, significant differences on the main effects were reported. Genes showing significant effects with nominal p values are reported in Tables 2, 3, and 4. The Benjamini-Hochberg method (false discovery rate; 5%) was used to identify differences in gene expression remaining significant after correction for multiple hypothesis testing (see Tables 2, 3, and 4).

Results

Oprm1 A112G mice

We have observed no differences in size, health, or mortality between AA and GG mice of either sex (data not shown). GG mouse birth rates are slightly lower than the expected Mendelian rates as has been previously described (Mague et al. 2009); however, mice carrying the

Table 3 Genes differing by sex

Region	Gene	Direction of change ^a	Ratiob	Nominal p val.	Corrected p val.c
Caudoputamen			,		
	Pnoc	↑	1.38	0.04	0.23
	Avp	↑	3.39	0.003	0.08
	Crh	↑	1.30	0.02	0.20
	Gal	↑	1.96	0.03	0.20
	Oxtr	↑	1.47	0.047	0.23
N. Accumbens	_	-	-	_	_
Hypothalamus					
	Gal	↑	1.21	0.03	0.62
Hippocampus	Oxtr	↑	1.60	0.03	0.50
Amygdala		_	-	_	_

^a Female vs male



^b False discovery rate (5%)

^{*}Remains significant after adjustment for multiple testing (FDR = 5%)

^b Female/male

^c False discovery rate (5%)

Table 4 Genes showing a genotype-by-sex interaction

Region	Gene	Effect (contrasts nested)	Direction of change	Ratio	Nominal p val.	Corrected p val. ^a
Caudoputamen	Pdyn	Overall			0.03	0.14
		within males (GG vs AA)	\downarrow	0.68	0.002	
	Cnr1	Overall			0.009	0.56
		within males (GG vs AA)	\downarrow	0.72	0.008	
		within AA (F vs M)	\downarrow	0.75	0.01	
	Nr3c2	Overall			0.03	0.54
		within males (GG vs AA)	\downarrow	0.83	0.06	
N. accumbens	Cnr2	Overall			0.02	0.54
		within males (GG vs AA)	\downarrow	0.35	0.004	
Hypothalamus	Oprk1	Overall			0.006	0.14
		within females (GG vs AA)	\downarrow	0.68	0.00	
		within AA (F vs M)	\uparrow	1.27	0.03	
	Pdyn	Overall			0.01	0.14
		within females (GG vs AA)	\downarrow	0.65	0.002	
		within AA (F vs M)	\uparrow	1.46	0.005	
Hippocampus	_	=	_	_	_	_
Amygdala	Gal	Overall			0.01	0.43
		within males (GG vs AA)	1	3.19	0.01	
		within AA (F vs M)	↑	2.58	0.04	

^a False discovery rate (5%)

112G allele are fertile and produce litters of normal size. We used both male and female homozygous mice (AA or GG) in the current study.

Our primary aim was to identify genes that were differentially expressed in GG versus AA mice in each brain region. We also sought to identify genes included in our array that are differentially expressed in females and males, as the expression of some of the target genes included in our custom array might differ by sex, and because some studies have found sex-dependent differences in behavioral and molecular measures in *Oprm1* A112G mice (Mague et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2014). Finally, we examined the interaction of sex and genotype. Findings are summarized in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

Basal gene expression in Oprm1 A112G mice

Our initial analyses detected genotype- and sex-dependent differences in the expression of multiple genes in the brain regions examined. Many of these findings did not survive correction for multiplicity of testing; however, we report them here for exploratory purposes. Differences in expression remaining significant after correction for multiple testing (FDR; 5%) are reported below.

Effect of genotype on basal gene expression

We detected nominally significant genotype-dependent differences in expression of two genes in caudoputamen, one gene in nucleus accumbens, six genes in hypothalamus, and four genes in hippocampus. In amygdala, we did not observe any significant differences in gene expression between AA and GG mice. Following correction for multiple testing, two genes differing by genotype in hypothalamus, *Avp* and *Gal*, and two genes differing by genotype in hippocampus, *Cnr1* and *Opr1*, remained significantly different between AA and GG mice (Table 2).

Effect of sex on basal gene expression

We detected nominally significant sex-dependent differences in the expression of five genes in caudoputamen, one gene in hypothalamus, and one gene in hippocampus. We did not observe any significant main effects of



sex on the expression of any genes in nucleus accumbens or amygdala. None of these sex-dependent differences in gene expression survived correction for multiple testing; however, the nominally significant findings are reported in Table 3.

Interaction of genotype and sex

We observed nominally significant interaction effects of genotype and sex on the expression of three genes in caudoputamen, one gene in nucleus accumbens, two genes in hypothalamus, and one gene in amygdala. We did not observe any interaction effects in gene expression in hippocampus. None of these nominally significant interactions survived correction for multiplicity of testing; however, we report them in gene (Table 4) in amygdala.

Discussion

Alterations in gene expression likely contribute to long-term, stable changes and variability in drug- and stress-mediated behavior (Gray et al. 2014; Kreek et al. 2005, 2012; Nestler 2004b; Nestler and Aghajanian 1997); these differences in gene expression may then contribute to alterations in drug- and stress-related behaviors in *Oprm1* A112G mice. In the current study, we examined the basal expression of 24 genes that have been demonstrated to be regulated by exposure to major drugs of abuse or stress (by our own group or others). Although we found nominally significant genotype- and sex-dependent differences in the basal expression of a number of these genes in multiple regions, only genotype-dependent differences in the expression of Avp and Gal in the hypothalamus, as well as Oprl1 and Cnr1 in the hippocampus survived corrections for multiplicity of testing (Tables 2, 3, and 4). This is likely due to the relatively small sample size used in this study. Future studies with greater statistical power may reveal other genotypedependent differences as well as sex-dependent differences in gene expression in male and female AA and GG mice.

The hypothalamus plays a large role in feeding, stress, and homeostatic behavior, but it may also modulate reward-related behaviors (DiLeone et al. 2003; Le Merrer et al. 2009). Interestingly, injection of an enkephalin analog into the hypothalamus can produce conditioned place preference (Agmo and Gómez 1991), suggesting that mu opioid receptor signaling in the hypothalamus is involved in conditioned reward. We detected genotype-dependent differences in hypothalamic expression of the genes encoding the non-opioid neuropeptides arginine vasopressin and galanin. *Avp* and *Gal* expressions were reduced in GG mice. These neuropeptides are involved in diverse functions including stress responsivity, motivational effects of opioids and psychostimulants, processing

of appetitive stimuli, and emotional regulation (Bali et al. 2015; Bisagno and Cadet 2014; Zhou et al. 2008).

AVP in the central nervous system is involved in stress responsivity, drug dependence, and emotional behavior. In the neurohypophyseal system, AVP acts as an adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) secretagogue Aguilera et al. 1994; Griebel et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2008) and, along with corticotropin releasing hormone, AVP is involved in regulating hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal (HPA) axis function. There is evidence that AVP also participates in the effects of opioids on HPA activity. Precipitated morphine withdrawal can lead to alterations in Avp expression (Nunez et al. 2007) and naloxone can reduce hypothalamic AVP mRNA levels, suggesting regulation by endogenous opioids (Zhou et al. 2005). Hypothalamic galanin is implicated in stress resiliency (Juhasz et al. 2014; Wrenn and Holmes 2006) and altered galanin expression in this region has been associated with multiple pathological states, including depression and alcoholism (Davidson et al. 2011). Both chronic morphine and morphine withdrawal have been demonstrated to alter galanin gene expression in multiple brain regions, implicating MORs in regulation of *Gal* expression (McClung et al. 2005).

The hippocampus is critically involved in learning and memory, but may also be involved in motivated behavior and drug reinforcement (Ito et al. 2008; Koob and Volkow 2010; Le Merrer et al. 2009; Sharifzadeh et al. 2006; Tracy et al. 2001). We found reduced hippocampal expression of *Oprl1*, *Cnr1* in GG mice. There is evidence that these are involved in hippocampal function. Hippocampal infusions of nociceptin can impair spatial learning (Sandin et al. 1997). Intracerebroventricular nociceptin can abolish morphine conditioned place preference (Ciccocioppo et al. 2000), which involves the hippocampus (Huston et al. 2013). Cannabinoid receptor signaling, including CB₁ signaling, can regulate hippocampal function, presumably by regulating neurotransmitter release and synaptic responses (Davies et al. 2002).

The hippocampus plays a role in feedback inhibition of the HPA axis (Jacobson and Sapolsky 1991). Healthy human G118 carriers have altered HPA axis function Chong et al. 2006; Ducat et al. 2013; Hernandez-Avila et al. 2003; Lovallo et al. 2015; Wand et al. 2002) and GG mice show resilience to social stress (Briand et al. 2015), which may, in part, reflect altered hippocampal regulation of the HPA axis.

Interestingly, a study of hippocampal function in A112G mice has shown that wild-type mice have increased excitatory hippocampal activity in response to morphine. This effect is attenuated in GG mice and may be due to a MOR loss of function in hippocampus (Mague et al. 2015). These changes may result from a reduction in direct effects of MORs on hippocampal neurons as well as indirect effects through nociceptin or cannabinoid signaling.

Sex differences in the expression of drug of abuse- and stress-responsive genes in male and female mice are not routinely studied. Genotype-by-sex effects on behavior have been



observed in A112G mice. For example, Mague and colleagues found that female GG mice fail to develop a significant morphine conditioned place preference, while AA males, AA females, and GG males did develop a significant morphine conditioned place preference. In the same study, GG females also showed reduced naloxone-precipitated morphine withdrawal compared to AA females (Mague et al. 2009). Further, GG mice also show brain-region and sex-specific alterations in DAMGO-stimulated GTP_{\gamma}S binding (Wang et al. 2014). We observed several nominally significant sex-dependent differences in gene expression and genotype-by-sex interactions; however, none of these findings survived correction for multiple testing. Interestingly, for all of these nominally significant sex-dependent differences in gene expression, female mice showed greater expression levels compared to males regardless of genotype (Table 3). It is unclear why this may be the case; however, it has been demonstrated that mu opioid effects and mu opioid receptor signaling can vary between male and female rodents (Craft 2008). Both gene expression (Beato 1989) and opioid receptor availability can be modulated by hormonal status in at least some brain regions (Zubieta et al. 1999). To date, there have been no studies of the effects of hormonal status in Oprm1 A112G mice. Studies involving the manipulation of gonadal steroids in these mice will be required to investigate their involvement in the sexdependent differences observed in A112G mice.

Potential mechanisms of regulation of gene expression by MORs

MORs are poised to regulate a number of transcriptional pathways by regulating the activity of several downstream effectors, including adenylate cyclase, ion channels, and, of note, multiple classes of kinases (Ho et al. 2009). Many of the signaling pathways that MORs modulate ultimately act to regulate the activity of well-characterized transcriptional regulators such as NF-kB, CREB, and Fos proteins (Al-Hasani and Bruchas 2011; Deb et al. 2010; Goldsmith et al. 2011; Ho et al. 2009), although the ultimate transcriptional consequences depend upon cellular context. For example, acute morphine can increase NF-kB activity, subsequently altering the expression of opioid receptors and peptides, while chronic morphine can lead to a reduction in NF-kB activity (Chen et al. 2006). In mice, CREB signaling increases in the ventral tegmental area in response to nicotine rewardassociated environments and this increase requires MORs (Walters et al. 2005). In A112G mice, compared to wild-type AA homozygotes, GG mice show increased c-Fos immunoreactivity in multiple brain regions in following social stress (Briand et al. 2015). Interestingly, in the current study, for all genes showing a main effect of genotype, the G112 allele led to a reduction in gene expression. This suggests reduced MOR-dependent positive transcriptional regulation by MORs encoded by the variant G112 allele compared to the prototype A112 allele.

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that the basal expression of genes encoding key components of drug of abuse- and stress-responsive systems are altered in male and female *Oprm1* A112G mice. These data provide evidence that the effects of the A118G SNP likely involve differential regulation of multiple, interacting neuropeptide systems. Future investigation of the downstream signaling consequences of MORs in A112G mice will be valuable to understanding the behavioral and molecular changes caused by the G allele in rodent models and in humans.

Compliance with ethical standards

Animal care and experimental procedures were conducted according to the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources Commission on Life Sciences, 1996). The experimental protocols used were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Rockefeller University.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Agmo A, Gómez M (1991) Conditioned place preference produced by infusion of met-enkephalin into the medial preoptic area. Brain Res 550:343–346
- Aguilera G, Pham Q, Rabadan-Diehl C (1994) Regulation of pituitary vasopressin receptors during chronic stress: relationship to corticotroph responsiveness. J Neuroendocrinol 6:299–304
- Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, Clarke L, Curwen V, Fairley S, et al (2016). The Ensembl gene annotation system. Database (Oxford). https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baw093
- Akil H, Watson SJ, Young E, Lewis ME, Khachaturian H, Walker JM (1984) Endogenous opioids: biology and function. Annu Rev Neurosci 7:223–255
- Al-Hasani R, Bruchas MR (2011) Molecular mechanisms of opioid receptor-dependent signaling and behavior. Anesthesiology 115: 1363–1381
- Bale TL, Contarino A, Smith GW, Chan R, Gold LH, Sawchenko PE (2000) Mice deficient for corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor-2 display anxiety-like behaviour and are hypersensitive to stress. Nat Genet 24:410–414
- Bali A, Randhawa PK, Jaggi AS (2015) Stress and opioids: role of opioids in modulating stress-related behavior and effect of stress on morphine conditioned place preference. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 51:138–150
- Bart G, Heilig M, LaForge KS, Pollak L, Leal SM, Ott J (2004) Substantial attributable risk related to a functional mu-opioid receptor gene polymorphism in association with heroin addiction in central Sweden. Mol Psychiatry 9:547–549



- Bart G, LaForge KS, Borg L, Lilly C, Ho A, Kreek MJ (2006) Altered levels of basal cortisol in healthy subjects with a 118G allele in exon 1 of the mu opioid receptor gene. Neuropsychopharmacology 31: 2313–2317
- Beato M (1989) Gene regulation by steroid hormones. Cell 56:335–344
- Bergen AW, Kokoszka J, Peterson R, Long JC, Virkkunen M, Linnoila M (1997) Mu opioid receptor gene variants: lack of association with alcohol dependence. Mol Psychiatry 2:490–494
- Bisagno V, Cadet JL (2014) Stress, sex, and addiction: potential roles of corticotropin-releasing factor, oxytocin, and arginine-vasopressin. Behav Pharmacol 25:445–457
- Bond C, LaForge KS, Tian M, Melia D, Zhang S, Borg L (1998) Single-nucleotide polymorphism in the human mu opioid receptor gene alters beta-endorphin binding and activity: possible implications for opiate addiction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:9608–9613
- Briand LA, Hilario M, Dow HC, Brodkin ES, Blendy JA, Berton O (2015) Mouse model of OPRM1 (A118G) polymorphism increases sociability and dominance and confers resilience to social defeat. J Neurosci 35:3582–3590
- Browne CA, Erickson RL, Blendy JA, Lucki I (2017) Genetic variation in the behavioral effects of buprenorphine in female mice derived from a murine model of the OPRM1 A118G polymorphism. Neuropharmacology 117:401–407
- Caputi FF, Di Benedetto M, Carretta D, Bastias del Carmen Candia S, D'Addario C, Cavina C (2014) Dynorphin/KOP and nociceptin/ NOP gene expression and epigenetic changes by cocaine in rat striatum and nucleus accumbens. Prog Neuro-Psychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 49:36–46
- Champion HC, Zadina JE, Kastin AJ, Hackler L, Ge LJ, Kadowitz PJ (1997) Endomorphin 1 and 2, endogenous ligands for the mu-opioid receptor, decrease cardiac output, and total peripheral resistance in the rat. Peptides 18:1393–1397
- Chen YL, Law P-Y, Loh HH (2006) Nuclear factor kappaB signaling in opioid functions and receptor gene expression. J NeuroImmune Pharmacol 1:270–279
- Chong RY, Oswald L, Yang X, Uhart M, Lin P-I, Wand GS (2006) The mu-opioid receptor polymorphism A118G predicts cortisol responses to naloxone and stress. Neuropsychopharmacology 31: 204–211
- Ciccocioppo R, Angeletti S, Sanna PP, Weiss F, Massi M (2000) Effect of nociceptin/orphanin FQ on the rewarding properties of morphine. Eur J Pharmacol 404:153–159
- Coller JK, Beardsley J, Bignold J, Li Y, Merg F, Sullivan T et al (2009) Lack of association between the A118G polymorphism of the mu opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) and opioid dependence: A metaanalysis. Pharmgenomics Pers Med 2:9–19
- Craft RM (2008) Sex differences in analgesic, reinforcing, discriminative, and motoric effects of opioids. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol 16:376–385
- Davidson S, Lear M, Shanley L, Hing B, Baizan-Edge A, Herwig A (2011) Differential activity by polymorphic variants of a remote enhancer that supports galanin expression in the hypothalamus and amygdala: implications for obesity, depression and alcoholism. Neuropsychopharmacology 36:2211–2221
- Davies SN, Pertwee RG, Riedel G (2002) Functions of cannabinoid receptors in the hippocampus. Neuropharmacology 42:993–1007
- Deb I, Chakraborty J, Gangopadhyay PK, Choudhury SR, Das S (2010) Single-nucleotide polymorphism (A118G) in exon 1 of OPRM1 gene causes alteration in downstream signaling by mu-opioid receptor and may contribute to the genetic risk for addiction. J Neurochem 112:486–496
- Deroche-Gamonet V, Sillaber I, Aouizerate B, Izawa R, Jaber M, Ghozland S (2003) The glucocorticoid receptor as a potential target to reduce cocaine abuse. J Neurosci 23:4785–4790
- DiLeone RJ, Georgescu D, Nestler EJ (2003) Lateral hypothalamic neuropeptides in reward and drug addiction. Life Sci 73:759–768

- Drakenberg K, Nikoshkov A, Horváth MC, Fagergren P, Gharibyan A, Saarelainen K (2006) Mu opioid receptor A118G polymorphism in association with striatal opioid neuropeptide gene expression in heroin abusers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:7883–7888
- Ducat E, Ray B, Bart G, Umemura Y, Varon J, Ho A (2013) Mu-opioid receptor A118G polymorphism in healthy volunteers affects hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis adrenocorticotropic hormone stress response to metyrapone. Addict Biol 18:325–331
- Edwards S, Guerrero M, Ghoneim OM, Roberts E, Koob GF (2012) Evidence that vasopressin V1b receptors mediate the transition to excessive drinking in ethanol-dependent rats. Addict Biol 17:76–85
- Filbey FM, Ray L, Smolen A, Claus ED, Audette A, Hutchison KE (2008) Differential neural response to alcohol priming and alcohol taste cues is associated with DRD4 VNTR and OPRM1 genotypes. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 32:1113–1123
- Goldsmith JR, Uronis JM, Jobin C (2011) Mu opioid signaling protects against acute murine intestinal injury in a manner involving Stat3 signaling. Am J Pathol 179:673–683
- Gray JD, Rubin TG, Hunter RG, McEwen BS (2014) Hippocampal gene expression changes underlying stress sensitization and recovery. Mol Psychiatry 19:1171–1178
- Griebel G, Simiand J, Serradeil-Le Gal C, Wagnon J, Pascal M, Scatton B (2002) Anxiolytic- and antidepressant-like effects of the non-peptide vasopressin V1b receptor antagonist, SSR149415, suggest an innovative approach for the treatment of stress-related disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:6370–6375
- Hernandez-Avila CA, Wand G, Luo X, Gelernter J, Kranzler HR (2003) Association between the cortisol response to opioid blockade and the Asn40Asp polymorphism at the mu-opioid receptor locus (OPRM1). Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 118B:60–65
- Ho MKC, Su Y, Yeung WWS, Wong YH (2009) Regulation of transcription factors by heterotrimeric G proteins. Curr Mol Pharmacol 2:19–31
- Huang P, Chen C, Mague SD, Blendy JA, Liu-Chen L-Y (2012) A common single nucleotide polymorphism A118G of the μ opioid receptor alters its N-glycosylation and protein stability. Biochem J 441: 379–386
- Hughes J, Smith TW, Kosterlitz HW, Fothergill LA, Morgan BA, Morris HR (1975) Identification of two related pentapeptides from the brain with potent opiate agonist activity. Nature 258:577–580
- Huston JP, Silva MA de S, Topic B, Müller CP (2013) What's conditioned in conditioned place preference? Trends Pharmacol Sci 34: 162–166
- Ito R, Robbins TW, Pennartz CM, Everitt BJ (2008) Functional interaction between the hippocampus and nucleus accumbens shell is necessary for the acquisition of appetitive spatial context conditioning. J Neurosci 28:6950–6959
- Jacobson L, Sapolsky R (1991) The role of the hippocampus in feedback regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. Endocr Rev 12:118–134
- Juhasz G, Hullam G, Eszlari N, Gonda X, Antal P, Anderson IM (2014) Brain galanin system genes interact with life stresses in depressionrelated phenotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:E1666–E1673
- Koob GF, Volkow ND (2010) Neurocircuitry of addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:217–238
- Kreek MJ, Bart G, Lilly C, LaForge KS, Nielsen DA (2005) Pharmacogenetics and human molecular genetics of opiate and cocaine addictions and their treatments. Pharmacol Rev 57:1–26
- Kreek MJ, Levran O, Reed B, Schlussman SD, Zhou Y, Butelman ER (2012) Opiate addiction and cocaine addiction: underlying molecular neurobiology and genetics. J Clin Invest 122:3387–3393
- Kroslak T, Laforge KS, Gianotti RJ, Ho A, Nielsen DA, Kreek MJ (2007) The single nucleotide polymorphism A118G alters functional properties of the human mu opioid receptor. J Neurochem 103:77–87
- LaForge KS, Yuferov V, Kreek MJ (2000) Opioid receptor and peptide gene polymorphisms: potential implications for addictions. Eur J Pharmacol 410:249–268



- Le Merrer J, Becker JAJ, Befort K, Kieffer BL (2009) Reward processing by the opioid system in the brain. Physiol Rev 89:1379–1412
- Levran O, Londono D, O'Hara K, Nielsen DA, Peles E, Rotrosen J (2008) Genetic susceptibility to heroin addiction: a candidate gene association study. Genes Brain Behav 7:720–729
- Lovallo WR, Enoch M-A, Acheson A, Cohoon AJ, Sorocco KH, Hodgkinson CA (2015) Cortisol Stress Response in Men and Women Modulated Differentially by the Mu-Opioid Receptor Gene Polymorphism OPRM1 A118G. Neuropsychopharmacology 40:2546–2554
- Mague SD, Isiegas C, Huang P, Liu-Chen L-Y, Lerman C, Blendy JA (2009) Mouse model of OPRM1 (A118G) polymorphism has sexspecific effects on drug-mediated behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:10847–10852
- Mague SD, Port RG, McMullen ME, Carlson GC, Turner JR (2015) Mouse model of OPRM1 (A118G) polymorphism has altered hippocampal function. Neuropharmacology 97:426–435
- McClung CA, Nestler EJ, Zachariou V (2005) Regulation of gene expression by chronic morphine and morphine withdrawal in the locus ceruleus and ventral tegmental area. J Neurosci 25:6005–6015
- Mura E, Govoni S, Racchi M, Carossa V, Ranzani GN, Allegri M (2013) Consequences of the 118A>G polymorphism in the OPRM1 gene: translation from bench to bedside? J Pain Res 6:331–353
- National Research Council (US) Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (2011) Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. National Academies Press (US), Washington. https://doi.org/10.17226/12910
- Nestler EJ (2004a) Molecular mechanisms of drug addiction. Neuropharmacology 47(Suppl 1):24–32
- Nestler EJ (2004b) Historical review: molecular and cellular mechanisms of opiate and cocaine addiction. Trends Pharmacol Sci 25:210–218
- Nestler EJ, Aghajanian GK (1997) Molecular and cellular basis of addiction. Science 278:58–63
- Nishizawa D, Han W, Hasegawa J, Ishida T, Numata Y, Sato T (2006) Association of mu-opioid receptor gene polymorphism A118G with alcohol dependence in a Japanese population. Neuropsychobiology 53:137–141
- Nunez C, Földes A, Laorden ML, Milanes MV, Kovács KJ (2007) Activation of stress-related hypothalamic neuropeptide gene expression during morphine withdrawal. J Neurochem 101:1060–1071
- Sandin J, Georgieva J, Schött PA, Ogren SO, Terenius L (1997) Nociceptin/orphanin FQ microinjected into hippocampus impairs spatial learning in rats. Eur J Neurosci 9:194–197
- Schwantes-An T-H, Zhang J, Chen L-S, Hartz SM, Culverhouse RC, Chen X (2016) Association of the OPRM1 Variant rs1799971 (A118G) with Non-Specific Liability to Substance Dependence in a Collaborative de novo Meta-Analysis of European-Ancestry Cohorts. Behav Genet 46:151–169
- Sharifzadeh M, Haghighat A, Tahsili-Fahadan P, Khalaj S, Zarrindast M-R, Zamanian A-R (2006) Intra-hippocampal inhibition of protein

- kinase AII attenuates morphine-induced conditioned place preference. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 85:705–712
- Shi J, Hui L, Xu Y, Wang F, Huang W, Hu G (2002) Sequence variations in the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) associated with human addiction to heroin. Hum Mutat 19:459–460
- Smith HS (2008) Variations in opioid responsiveness. Pain Physician 11: 237–248
- Spangler R, Unterwald EM, Kreek MJ (1993) "Binge" cocaine administration induces a sustained increase of prodynorphin mRNA in rat caudate-putamen. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 19:323–327
- Tracy AL, Jarrard LE, Davidson TL (2001) The hippocampus and motivation revisited: appetite and activity. Behav Brain Res 127:13–23
- Uhl GR, Ryan JP, Schwartz JP (1988) Morphine alters preproenkephalin gene expression. Brain Res 459:391–397
- Valenza M, Picetti R, Yuferov V, Butelman ER, Kreek MJ (2016) Strain and cocaine-induced differential opioid gene expression may predispose Lewis but not Fischer rats to escalate cocaine self-administration. Neuropharmacology 105:639–650
- Walters CL, Cleck JN, Kuo Y, Blendy JA (2005) Mu-opioid receptor and CREB activation are required for nicotine reward. Neuron 46:933–943
- Wand GS, McCaul M, Yang X, Reynolds J, Gotjen D, Lee S (2002) The muopioid receptor gene polymorphism (A118G) alters HPA axis activation induced by opioid receptor blockade. Neuropsychopharmacology 26: 106–114
- Wang Y-J, Huang P, Blendy JA, Liu-Chen L-Y (2014) Brain region- and sex-specific alterations in DAMGO-stimulated [(35) S]GTPγS binding in mice with Oprm1 A112G. Addict Biol 19:354–361
- Wiers RW, Rinck M, Dictus M, van den WE (2009) Relatively strong automatic appetitive action-tendencies in male carriers of the OPRMI G-allele. Genes Brain Behav 8:101–106
- Wrenn CC, Holmes A (2006) The role of galanin in modulating stressrelated neural pathways. Drug News Perspect 19:461–467
- Zhang Y, Picetti R, Butelman ER, Ho A, Blendy JA, Kreek MJ (2015) Mouse model of the OPRM1 (A118G) polymorphism: differential heroin self-administration behavior compared with wild-type mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 40:1091–1100
- Zhou Y, Unterwald EM, Ho A, LaForge KS, Yuferov VP, Kreuter J (2001) Ablation of pituitary pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) cells produces alterations in hypothalamic POMC mRNA levels and midbrain mu opioid receptor binding in a conditional transgenic mouse model. J Neuroendocrinol 13:808–817
- Zhou Y, Bendor JT, Yuferov V, Schlussman SD, Ho A, Kreek MJ (2005) Amygdalar vasopressin mRNA increases in acute cocaine withdrawal: evidence for opioid receptor modulation. Neuroscience 134:1391–1397
- Zhou Y, Leri F, Cummins E, Hoeschele M, Kreek MJ (2008) Involvement of arginine vasopressin and V1b receptor in heroin withdrawal and heroin seeking precipitated by stress and by heroin. Neuropsychopharmacology 33:226–236
- Zubieta JK, Dannals RF, Frost JJ (1999) Gender and age influences on human brain mu-opioid receptor binding measured by PET. Am J Psychiatry 156:842–848

