Cite this article as: Mokhles S, Macbeth F, Treasure T, Younes RN, Rintoul RC, Fiorentino F *et al.* Corrigendum to 'Systematic lymphadenectomy versus sampling of ipsilateral mediastinal lymph-nodes during lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review of randomized trials and a meta-analysis'. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2018;54:795.

Corrigendum to 'Systematic lymphadenectomy versus sampling of ipsilateral mediastinal lymph-nodes during lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review of randomized trials and a meta-analysis' [Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2017;51:1149-1156][†]

Sahar Mokhles^a, Fergus Macbeth^b, Tom Treasure^{c,*}, Riad N. Younes^d, Robert C. Rintoul^e, Francesca Fiorentino^f, Ad J.J.C. Bogers^a and Johanna J.M. Takkenberg^a

^a Department of Cardio-thoracic surgery, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

^b Wales Cancer Trials Unit, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

^c Clinical Operational Research Unit, University College London, London, UK

^d Hospital Alemao Oswaldo Cruz, Sao Paulo, Brazil

^e Department of Thoracic Oncology, Papworth Hospital, Cambridge, UK

f Imperial College Trials Unit & Division of Surgery, Imperial College London, London, UK

* Corresponding author. Clinical Operational Research Unit UCL, 4 Taviton Street, WC1H 0BT London, UK. Tel: +44-01233-740378; e-mail: tom.treasure@gmail.com (T. Treasure).

The number of events in ACOSOG Z0031 trial regarding local and distant recurrence forest plots are reversed in our publication [local recurrence: 24 in mediastinal lymph node sampling (MLNS) arm vs 30 in mediastinal lymph node dissection (MLND) arm; distant recurrence 111 in MLNS arm vs 114 in MLND arm]. We have corrected the numbers in parts C and D of Figure 2 as follows:

• local recurrence OR would be 0.92 instead of 0.74

• distant recurrence OR would be 0.85 instead of 0.82

We regret the error and we are relieved that this makes no difference to our conclusion and will not have misled practice.

;	MLN	D	MLN	MLNS		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI Year	r.	M-H, Random, 95% CI		
Izbicki et al	22	76	32	93	33.6%	0.78 [0.40, 1.49] 1998	3			
Sugi et al	2	59	2	56	3.6%	0.95 [0.13, 6.97] 1998	3			
Wu et al	7	240	11	231	15.4%	0.60 [0.23, 1.58] 2002	2			
ACOSOG Z0031 trial	30	525	24	498	47.4%	1.20 [0.69, 2.08] 2011	l.	-		
Total (95% CI)		900		878	100.0%	0.92 [0.63, 1.35]		+		
Total events	61		69							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ²	= 1.88,	df = 3 (P	= 0.60); l ² = 0%		L		100	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.42 (F	P = 0.68	3)				0.01	0.1 1 10 Favours MLND Favours MLNS	100	
0	MLN	п	MLN	\$		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events			1200 10000	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI Year	8	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Izbicki et al	20	76	29	93	10.9%	0.79 [0.40, 1.55] 1998	5			
Sugi et al	6	59	5	56	3.2%	1.15 [0.33, 4.02] 1998	1			
Wu et al	54	240	71	231	29.2%	0.65 [0.43, 0.99] 2002	2			
ACOSOG Z0031 trial	114	525	111	498	56.7%	0.97 [0.72, 1.30] 2011		+		
Total (95% CI)		900		878	100.0%	0.85 [0.68, 1.06]		•		
Total events	194		216							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.00; Chi ²	= 2.56,	df = 3 (P	= 0.46); l ² = 0%		-		400	
Test for overall effect: 2			100 Contractor 100 Co		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.01	0.1 1 10 Favours MLND Favours MLNS	100	

Figure 2: Forest plots of comparison in meta-analysis. (C) Local recurrence odds ratio. (D) Distant recurrence odds ratio.

[†]Doi of original article: 10.1093/ejcts/ezw439

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. All rights reserved.