Research Paper ■

Analysis of the Practice Guidelines of the Dutch College of General Practitioners with Respect to the Use of Blood Tests

Marc A. M. van Wijk, MD, Arthur M. Bohnen, MD, PhD,
Johan van der Lei, MD, PhD

A b s t r a c t   **Objective:** To determine the consistency among the practice guidelines of the Dutch College of General Practitioners with respect to the use of blood tests.

Methods: The authors evaluated 64 practice guidelines of the Dutch College of General Practitioners. For each guideline, they analyzed each sentence that contained a reference to a blood test to determine the clinical situation in which the test should be performed (*the indication*) and to determine the tests that should be performed in that situation (*the recommended test*). An *incomplete recommendation* refers to a guideline that mentioned a blood test but did not identify the indication for that test. An *inconsistency* refers to the situation in which one guideline recommended a certain test for a given indication whereas another guideline mentioned the same indication but did not recommend the same test.

Results: Twenty-seven practice guidelines mentioned blood tests. Of these, three explicitly recommended *not* to request blood tests. Five guidelines contained incomplete recommendations, and the authors encountered two inconsistencies among the guidelines. Twenty-three guidelines mentioned blood tests and allowed the authors to identify indications and recommended tests.

Conclusion: The identification of indications and recommended tests allows evaluation of consistency among practice guidelines. Although some incomplete recommendations and inconsistencies were discovered, the majority of the guidelines provide clear and unambiguous recommendations for blood-test ordering in primary care.

■ **JAMIA.** 1999;6:322–331.

To deal with the rapidly expanding amount of medical knowledge, guidelines are viewed increasingly as a mechanism for distributing knowledge to practitioners.[1,2] Governmental agencies and professional organizations are developing clinical practice guidelines. In the Netherlands, the Dutch College of General Practitioners issues guidelines for the general practitioner.

Affiliations of the authors: General practice, Delft (MAMvW), Erasmus University, Rotterdam (AMB, JvdL), The Netherlands.

Correspondence and reprints: Marc A. M. van Wijk, MD, Grabijnhof 2A, 2625 LL Delft, The Netherlands. e-mail: ⟨mvanwyk@casema.net⟩.

These guidelines are published regularly in "Huisarts en Wetenschap," the journal of the college. These guidelines assist general practitioners in dealing with specific clinical conditions in a primary care setting.

A number of studies have shown that the existence of guidelines does not necessarily lead to the use of these guidelines by physicians. Even when authoritative guidelines are available, changing the behavior of physicians has proved difficult.[3,4] Investigators acknowledge that the implementation of guidelines constitutes an important research area that has to be addressed.[5]

One mechanism for implementing guidelines is using information technology to develop decision support

systems based on guidelines. Decision support systems based on guidelines may focus on supporting a single guideline for a particular disease, e.g., heart failure, asthma, or diabetes. The objective of the system is to help the practitioner in the management of a particular disease using the appropriate guideline. Such a system typically covers several aspects of care, providing recommendations for diagnostic investigations, selection of treatment, and follow-up. In this approach, the paper-based guidelines are replaced by electronic guidelines. Experience, however, has shown that researchers developing decision support systems based on a guideline may encounter significant problems such as inconsistencies in the guideline, inaccurate or incomplete descriptions of terms, ambiguity, or incompleteness.[6–11] This change from paper guidelines to a decision support system, therefore, requires an extensive analysis of the content of the guidelines.

Unlike systems that focus on a single guideline, we focus on the collection of guidelines issued by the Dutch College of General Practitioners. Discrepancies and inconsistencies among different guidelines that are dealing with similar issues may further aggravate the problems encountered by developers of systems based on individual guidelines. Several guidelines, for example, may refer to the same diagnostic investigation, disease, or treatment. The guidelines, however, do not necessarily agree on the recommend course of action. Given the procedures by which these guidelines are developed, such inconsistencies are possible; the development of a guideline is not just a scientific endeavor, but the human factor plays an important role.[12]

The procedure of creating a guideline consists of four stages.[12] The first stage involves the selection of appropriate topics for new guidelines by an independent advisory board. The guidelines are intended for use by general practitioners; the topics selected and the level of detail thus reflect practice in primary care. Although criteria for selecting topics are articulated, the process of selecting topics is partly subjective. In the second stage, a small task force consisting of four to eight general practitioners with special interest and expertise in the topic of that guideline prepare a draft. This draft is based on a review of the available literature and current medical practice. As a result, the draft reflects not only scientific evidence, but also the consensus of the task force with respect to appropriate medical practice in primary care. In the third stage, this draft is peer-reviewed by a random sample of 50 Dutch general practitioners and a number of specialists. The fourth and final stage involves the authorization of the guideline by a board consisting of leading general practitioners including the chairs of the university departments of general practice. After authorization, the guideline is published in the journal of the Dutch general practitioners. This publication consists of three parts: a brief, algorithmic summary of the guideline that focuses on the decisions the general practitioner has to make; a more detailed description of the guideline itself; and a scientific justification of the guideline. The brief, algorithmic summary of the guideline is also distributed as separate card that can be used during consultations. In addition, teaching material is prepared that can be used for continuing medical education.

Although the available scientific evidence plays an important role, the Dutch College of General Practitioners acknowledges that each guideline is, to varying degrees, dependent on the subjective opinions of the individuals involved in the creation of that guideline.[12] Each guideline is based on arguments of the individual members of the task force and subsequent reviewers. For each guideline, however, different general practitioners participate in the task force. The process of developing guidelines, therefore, does not guarantee consistency. To develop a decision support system that provides the general practitioner with recommendations based on all the available guidelines, these guidelines need to be analyzed and evaluated for inconsistencies within an individual guideline and for inconsistencies among guidelines. In order to focus the analysis, we restrict ourselves to the recommendations for blood tests. The choice for recommendations for blood tests is based on previous Dutch research.

Requesting blood tests is an important aspect of the health care delivered by the general practitioner in the Netherlands. Although this proportion is lower than in many other European countries,[13] about 4 percent of patients' encounters with Dutch general practitioners result in the physician requesting blood tests.[14] Physicians' use of blood tests, however, is not always appropriate.[1,15–19] Dutch investigators report a lack of general practitioners' knowledge concerning the indications for blood tests leading to inappropriate and inadequate use of diagnostic tests.[20] The need to improve the use of blood tests, however, is not limited to the Netherlands. Other investigators argue that improving the quality of blood test ordering deserves attention.[21–23]

The objective of this study is to evaluate the guidelines of the Dutch College of General Practitioners with respect to the ordering of blood tests. We want to determine whether these guidelines provide a consistent base for the development of a decision support system for blood test ordering.

Methods

Up to January 1, 1998, the Dutch College of General Practitioners had published 64 guidelines. The college regularly updates the guidelines. We analyzed the most recent version of each guideline that was available on January 1, 1998. Changes in the guidelines after this date are not included in this study.

For each of the guidelines, we analyzed each sentence to determine whether that sentence contained a reference to blood tests. If the sentence contained a reference to blood tests, we determined the clinical situation in which the test should be performed (*the indication*) and determined the tests that should be performed in that situation (*the recommended test*). An *incomplete recommendation* refers to a guideline that mentioned a blood test, but did not identify in the guideline the indication for that test, or a guideline that mentioned an indication for blood tests but did not provide a further specification of the recommended blood tests. The notion of an incomplete recommendation is restricted to particular recommendations; we do not determine whether the total set of recommendations is "complete" in the sense that the set covers all indications in primary care.

After we identified the indications and recommended tests in all guidelines, we checked—for each indication in each guideline—whether another guideline recommended another test for the same indication. A *inconsistency* refers to the situation in which one guideline recommended a certain test for a given indication whereas another guideline mentioned the same indication but did not recommend the same test.

Results

Of the 64 guidelines, 27 contained at least one sentence that included a reference to blood tests. Of the 27 guidelines that mentioned blood tests, 3 explicitly recommended *not* to request blood tests. The guideline "Sinusitis" states that sinusitis itself is not an indication for measurement of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR),[24] the guideline "Depression" states that depression itself is not an indication for measurement of thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) or thyroxine (T4),[25] and the guideline "Blood tests and liver disease" states that infectious mononucleosis is not itself an indication for liver function tests.[26]

Incomplete Recommendations

Of the 27 guidelines containing at least one sentence that included a reference to blood tests, 5 guidelines contained incomplete recommendations; that is, a guideline mentioned a blood test but did not describe the indication. The guideline "Imminent miscarriage" mentions a possible hemoglobin test but does not specify which patients are eligible.[27] The guideline "Children with fever" states that blood tests are seldom indicated; when blood tests are indicated, and which tests should be requested, however, are not specified.[28] The guideline "Problematic alcohol consumption" identifies abnormal values of glutamyltransferase (gamma-GT), aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT), and alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) as possible indicators of alcohol abuse but does not describe if and when these tests should be performed.[29] The guideline "Intrauterine device" mentions the possibility of elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and leukocytosis but does not specify if and when tests for these should be performed.[30] The guideline "Acne vulgaris" states that, prior to treatment with isotretinoin, liver and kidney functions should be evaluated; the guideline, however, provides no further specification of which tests should be done.[31]

Indications and Advised Tests

Of the 64 guidelines, 23 mentioned blood tests and allowed us to identify the indication for those tests.[26,31–52] We distinguish five different categories of indications. The first category of indications describes clinical situations in which the general practitioner considers a diagnosis, the *working diagnosis*. This working diagnosis is the most probable diagnosis based on the patient's medical history or physical findings, or both. The physician subsequently uses the laboratory tests to support or refute that diagnosis. In total, the guidelines mention 18 working diagnoses. Table 1 shows the working diagnosis, the recommended tests, and the guideline that makes the recommendation. In some cases, abnormal results of initial tests should be followed by additional investigations; for example, an abnormal value for TSH should be followed by a test for free T4.

The second category of indications describes clinical situations in which the general practitioner has established a diagnosis and uses the laboratory to investigate the *underlying pathology* that could cause the disease. The crucial difference with the category working diagnosis is that in case of underlying pathology the guideline requires that the physician has already established the presence of a specific diagnosis. Given the presence of this specific diagnosis, the guideline specifies the evaluation of possible causes. In total, the guidelines mention ten diagnoses in which underlying pathology needs to be explored. Table 2 shows the diagnoses, the suspected underlying pathology, the recommended tests, and the guideline that makes that recommendation. For example, when the diagnosis

Table 1 ■

Recommended Tests for Each Working Diagnosis

Working Diagnosis	Recommended tests	Guideline
Alcohol-induced hepatitis	ALAT, gamma-GT	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Allergic asthma (adults)	Phadiatop,*,† RAST‡	Geijer et al., 1997[40]
Allergic asthma (children)	Phadiatop,§ RAST‖	Dirksen et al., 1998[39]
Allergic rhinitis	Phadiatop†	Crobach et al., 1995[34]
Diabetes mellitus	Glucose	Cromme et al., 1989[35]
Drug-induced liver damage	ALAT, gamma-GT	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Hepatitis A	Anti-HAV-IgM, anti-HAV-IgG	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Hepatitis B	HBsAg, ALAT, HBcAsg	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Hepatitis C	HCV	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Hypercholesterolemia	Cholesterol	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Hyperthyroidism	TSH¶	Pop et al., 1993[45]
Hypothyroidism	TSH¶	Pop et al., 1993[45]
Infectious mononucleosis	WBC count, WBC differentiation#	Balder et al., 1990[33]
Cirrhosis of the liver	Albumin	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Pelvic inflammatory disease	ESR	Dekker et al., 1995[37]
Prostate cancer	PSA	Klomp et al., 1994[41]
Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid factors	Schuurman et al., 1994[47]
Septic arthritis	ESR	Bakker et al., 1990[32]

NOTE: ALAT indicates alanine aminotransferase; gamma-GT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; RAST, radioallergosorbent test; anti-HAV-IgM/IgG, antibody to hepatitis A virus immunoglobulin M or immunoglobulin G; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBcAg, hepatitis B core antigen; HCV, hepatitis C virus; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; WBC, white blood cell (leukocyte) count; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.
*Phadiatop is a blood test for screening the most common inhalation allergens.
†If Phadiatop results are positive, RAST-dustmite and, if cat or dog is present as a domestic animal, RAST-cat or RAST-dog.
‡If medical history indicates, RAST-horse or RAST-rodent.
§If Phadiatop results are positive, RAST-dustmite and, if cat, dog, or horse is present as a domestic animal, RAST-cat, RAST-dog, or RAST-horse.
‖If medical history indicates, RAST-rodent.
¶If TSH results are positive, free thyroxine (T4) measurement.
#If WBC findings and differentiation are positive, Paul Bunnell (a test to determine the presence of infectious mononucleosis).

transient ischemic attack (TIA) has been established, the guideline TIA recommends that tests for ESR and glucose be requested to explore arteritis temporalis and diabetes mellitus as underlying causes of the TIA.

The third category involves *monitoring the course of a disease*. The physician has established the diagnosis and is monitoring the progression of the disease. In total, six guidelines mention diagnoses that can be monitored. Table 3 shows the established diagnosis, the tests advised to monitor that condition, and the guideline that makes that recommendation. For example, to monitor diabetes mellitus, the guideline "diabetes mellitus" recommends that the physician obtain a glucose measurement every three months, and cholesterol and creatinine measurements annually.

The fourth category of indications describes situations in which blood tests are used to *select appropriate treatment*. In these situations, the physician has established the diagnosis, and the blood tests are used to identify factors that have a direct bearing on the choice of subsequent treatment. Based on the results of these blood tests, the guideline specifies the treatment of choice. In total, seven guidelines identify blood tests that are used to select treatment. Table 4 shows the established diagnosis, the factor that is identified, the recommended tests, and the guideline that makes the recommendation. For example, the guideline "Cholesterol" recommends in case of hypercholesterolemia the measurement of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides to identify lipid metabolism disorder, in order to select the right therapy.

Table 2 ■

Recommended Tests for the Underlying Disease, for Each Diagnosis

Diagnosis	Underlying Disease	Recommended Tests	Guideline
Angina pectoris	Anemia	Hb	Rutten et al., 1994[46]
	Hyperthyroidism	TSH	Rutten et al., 1994[46]
	Diabetes mellitus	Glucose	Rutten et al., 1994[46]
	Hypercholesterolemia	Cholesterol	Rutten et al., 1994[46]
Dementia	Infectious diseases	ESR	De Bruyne et al., 1991[36]
	Anemia	Hb, MCV, Ht	De Bruyne et al., 1991[36]
	Kidney dysfunction	Creatinine	De Bruyne et al., 1991[36]
	Thyroid disorder	TSH	De Bruyne et al., 1991[36]
Heart failure	Anemia	Hb	Walma et al., 1995[51]
	Hyperthyroidism	TSH	Walma et al., 1995[51]
Hypercholesterolemia	Hypothyroidism	TSH	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
	Diabetes mellitus	Glucose	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
	Alcohol abuse	ALAT, gamma-GT	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
	Liver disease	ALAT, gamma-GT	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Hypertension	Primary hyperaldosteronism	K	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Icterus	Prehepatic/posthepatic icterus	ALAT, total bilirubin, gamma-GT	Zaat et al. 1992[26]
	Hemolytic anemia	ALAT, total bilirubin, gamma-GT, Hb	Zaat et al. 1992[26]
Icterus gravis neonatorum	Pathologic neonatal icterus	Total bilirubin	Zaat et al. 1992[26]
Iron therapy-resistant anemia	Hemoglobinopathia in Negroid, Mediterranean and Southeast Asian women	Hb, MCV, serum ferritin	Oldenziel et al., 1993[44]
TIA	Arteriitis temporalis	ESR	Van Binsbergen et al., 1995[50]
	Hypercholesterolemia	Cholesterol	Van Binsbergen et al., 1995[50]
	Diabetes mellitus	Glucose	Van Binsbergen et al., 1995[50]
Ulcus cruris	Diabetes mellitus	Glucose	Schweitzer et al., 1991[48]
Vague complaints	Infectious diseases	ESR	Dinant et al., 1994[38]
	Anemia	Hb	Dinant et al., 1994[38]
	Diabetes mellitus	Glucose	Dinant et al., 1994[38]
	Hyperthyroidism	TSH	Dinant et al., 1994[38]

NOTE: Hb indicates hemoglobin; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MCV, mean cell volume; Ht, hematocrit; ALAT, alanine aminotransferase; gamma-GT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; K, potassium.

The fifth category of indications describes situations in which blood tests are used to *monitor the side effects of drugs*. The guidelines state that for certain drugs, the physician should monitor the patient for potential side effects. In some instances, this requires periodic blood tests. The results of the blood tests might lead to modification of prescribe dosages or termination of treatment with that drug. In total, four guidelines identify blood tests that need to be performed to monitor side effects of drugs. Table 5 shows the drugs involved, the side effects to be monitored, the recommended tests, the frequency of performing these tests, and the guideline that makes the recommendation. For example, the guideline "Acne vulgaris" states that cholesterol and triglycerides need to be measured one month after isotretinoin treatment is started and subsequently every three months.

Inconsistencies

We encountered two inconsistencies among the guidelines. The guideline "Angina pectoris"[46] showed an inconsistency with the guideline "Disorder of the thyroid gland."[45] The guideline "Shoulder complaints"[32] showed an inconsistency with the guideline "Rheumatoid arthritis."[47]

Table 3 ■

Recommended Tests for Monitoring the Course of Disease, for Each Diagnosis

Diagnosis	Recommended tests	Guideline
Diabetes mellitus	Glucose, cholesterol, creatinine*	Cromme et al., 1989[35]
Hepatitis A	ALAT†	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Hepatitis B	ALAT, HBsAg, HBeAg‡	Zaat et al., 1992[26]
Hypercholesterolemia	Cholesterol§	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Hyperthyroidism	Free T4‖ TSH, Free T4¶	Pop et al., 1993[45] Pop et al., 1993[45]
Pregnancy	Hb, blood type, TPHA, HBsAg, IgG antirubella#	Oldenziel et al., 1993[44]
Rheumatoid arthritis	Hb, MCV**	Schuurman et al., 1994[47]

NOTE: ALAT indicates alanine aminotransferase; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B early antigen; T4, thyroxine; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; Hb, hemoglobin; TPHA, *Treponema pallidum* hemagglutination; IgG, immunoglobulin G; MCV, mean cell volume.

*Fasting glucose measurement every three months; cholesterol and creatinine measurements once a year.

†ALAT measurement every three weeks.

‡ALAT measurement every three weeks; HBsAg and HBeAg measurements after four and eight weeks.

§Cholesterol measurement six months after the start of therapy, followed by yearly evaluation.

‖Free T4 measurement every six weeks until euthyroidism is achieved; subsequently, every three months.

¶Free T4 measurement six weeks after every change of medication; if euthyroidism is achieved, every three months during the first year; subsequently, once a year.

#IgG antirubella measurement at the first pregnancy; Hb, HBsAg, and TPHA measurements every pregnancy.

**Hb measurements twice a year; MCV measurement only in case of positive Hb results.

Table 4 ■

Recommended Tests for Selecting Appropriate Treatment, for Each Diagnosis

Diagnosis	Factor	Recommended Tests	Guideline
Constitutional eczema	Food allergy	RAST-foodmix	Lucassen et al., 1995[42]
Food hypersensitivity in infants	Serious reaction at food provocation	RAST-5	Lucassen et al., 1995[42]
Hypercholesterolemia	Elevated triglycerides Elevated HDL	Triglycerides HDL-cholesterol	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49] Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Hypertension	With risk factors	Glucose, cholesterol, creatinine	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Impeded urination in elderly men	Kidney dysfunction	Creatinine	Klomp et al., 1994[41]
Vaginal bleeding	Anemia	Hb	Meijer et al., 1992[43]

NOTE: RAST indicates radioallergosorbent test; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; Hb, hemoglobin.

According to the guideline ''Angina pectoris,'' the general practitioner should, in case of angina pectoris in combination with tachycardia, request TSH testing to evaluate hyperthyroidism. The guideline ''Disorder of the thyroid gland'' describes when a TSH value should be obtained; patients with angina pectoris and tachycardia, however, are not mentioned.

The guideline ''Shoulder complaints'' states that insufficient effect of initial treatment is a reason for blood tests; an elevated ESR is an indicator for rheumatoid or septic arthritis. In the guideline ''Rheumatoid arthritis,'' however, the list of advised tests for excluding or confirming rheumatoid arthritis does not include ESR.

Table 5 ◼

Recommended Tests for Measuring Side Effects of Therapy

Therapy	Side Effect	Recommended Tests	Guideline
Isotretinoin	Hyperlipidemia	Cholesterol, triglycerides*	Blom et al., 1991[31]
HMG-coenzyme inhibitors	Liver dysfunction	ALAT†	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
	Muscular pain	CK‡	Van Binsbergen et al., 1991[49]
Ace-inhibitors, digoxine, diuretics	Not specified	K, Creatinine, Na§	Walma et al., 1995[51]
Sulfasalazine	Anemia	Hb‖	Schuurman et al., 1994[47]
	Liver dysfunction	ALAT, gamma-GT‖	Schuurman et al., 1994[47]
	Agranulocytosis	Thrombocytes, WBC, WBC-differentiation‖	Schuurman et al., 1994[47]
	Not specified	Creatinine‖	Schuurman et al., 1994[47]

NOTE: HMG-coenzyme inhibitors indicates hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibitors; ALAT, alanine aminotransferase; CK, creatine kinase; K, potassium; Na, sodium; Hb, hemoglobin; gamma-GT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; WBC, white blood cell (leukocyte) count.
*Baseline measurement of triglycerides at the start of treatment; subsequently, after four weeks, followed by evaluation every three months.
†Baseline measurement of ALAT at the start of treatment; subsequently, after four weeks.
‡Creatine kinase measurement after four weeks of therapy (only when the patient complains of muscular pain).
§Sodium measurement once every six months.
‖Hemoglobin measurement every two weeks during the first three months of therapy; subsequently, every month.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to identify in all guidelines issued by Dutch College of General Practitioners the specific recommendations for using the laboratory and to analyze these recommendations for inconsistencies. The underlying reason for such an analysis was the desire to build a decision support system that would help general practitioners in using these guidelines.

Guidelines

Our study shows that the guidelines contain specific and detailed recommendations for ordering blood tests. Given the fact that previous studies have reported a lack of general practitioners' knowledge concerning indications for tests,[18,20] the guidelines could provide needed support. These recommendations, however, are scattered throughout many different guidelines (a total of 27 of the 64 practice guidelines). In addition, the guidelines may overlap. For example, the guideline ''Problematic alcohol consumption'' states that increased levels of gamma-GT, ASAT, and ALAT are possible indicators of excessive alcohol abuse; the guideline does not describe if or when tests for these substances should be performed. The guideline ''Blood tests and liver disease'' specifies that if the practitioner suspects alcohol-induced hepatitis, ALAT and gamma-GT tests should be performed; the ASAT is in this guideline considered redundant. We conclude that the currently available paper-based guidelines require the general practitioner to spend time and effort locating and interpreting the recommendations for blood tests.

Two inconsistencies were found among the guidelines with respect to the use of the laboratory. A possible explanation for these inconsistencies could be the fact that, although the guidelines are revised regularly, not all guidelines are revised at the same time. As a result, one guideline may already reflect changed medical understanding, whereas another, possibly due for revision in the near future, does not yet reflect this change. Given that inconsistencies were found, we recommend that organizations that maintain a set of guidelines should make available to physicians a list of known inconsistencies among those guidelines.

Our analysis shows that, with respect to the use of the laboratory tests, five guidelines contained incomplete recommendations. Ambiguity or lack of clarity in guidelines could create uncertainty on the part of the general practitioner that in turn could stimulate the ordering of unnecessary blood tests. Guideline developers should, therefore, avoid incomplete recommendations in guidelines. Twenty-three guidelines, however, did contain well-defined and specific recommendations for the use of the laboratory. Given that other investigators have reported a lack of knowledge about test ordering, we believe that applying the guidelines in general practice would result in improved test ordering by general practitioners.

Decision Support System

From the perspective of medical informatics, the objective of our study was to identify the specific recommendations for using the laboratory, and analyze them for inconsistencies. From this perspective we conclude that the guidelines contain concrete and specific recommendations and that only few inconsistencies were found. Moreover, the study shows that identifying the indication for requesting blood test is one possible method for analyzing the guidelines. The indication for blood tests is the specific question of the physician to which obtaining the test will provide a (partial) answer. We conclude that the recommendations for blood tests in the guidelines of the Dutch College of General Practitioners are focused on describing what tests are necessary in the context of a given indication.

For researchers in medical informatics, this notion of the indication as the physician's question has significant consequences for designing a decision support system. Given the concept of the indication, the designer faces a choice. The first alternative is, given the patient's symptoms, that the system identifies the indication for blood tests. The second alternative is, given the indication, the system selects the appropriate tests. For the general practitioner, these two approaches result in two very different systems. If the objective is to support the identification of the indication, the decision support system will request detailed information about the patient's condition. Based on these findings, the system will generate possible indications, select among these, and prepare a recommendation. If the objective of the system is to select the appropriate test given the indication, the system will ask the physician questions about the indication; the translation of the patient's condition to a specific indication is left to the general practitioner. The system builder thus has to determine whether decision support is based on the patient's symptoms or on the physician's indications.

We have decided to build a system that requests from the physician the indication. As a result, the system is driven by questions related to the objectives of the physician. The system does not ask detailed questions about the symptoms or complaints of the patient. The downside of this approach is that the system does not support the physician in establishing the appropriate indication based on the complaints of the patient. This decision to leave the identification of the initial working diagnosis to the general practitioner is based partly on the fact that the guidelines provide recommendations on the level of indication. It is also based partly on our assertion that physicians especially are able to translate the often-complex presentation of patients' complaints into well-defined indications. In addition, a general practitioner in the Netherlands sees a very different patient population when compared with a specialist working in a hospital, since the prevalence of diseases is different. The patient's complaints presented in general practice might well result in selection of a different working diagnosis than in a hospital setting. Computers are able to deal only with those parts of the patient–physician encounter that can be expressed as objective facts and numbers; as a result, decision support systems can only deal with only a very limited segment of reality. Other investigators have noted that decision support systems tend to ignore the intellect of physicians[53] and leave the practitioner with a sense of losing control.[54] We believe that in the initial interpretation of a patient's symptoms, the role of a decision support system should be very limited.

Further research is needed to show whether decision support based on the guidelines is acceptable and effective.

References ■

1. Wong ET, Lincoln TL. Ready! Fire! . . . Aim. JAMA. 1983;250(18):2510–3.
2. Kelly JT. Role of clinical practice guidelines and clinical profiling in facilitating optimal laboratory use. Clin Chem. 1995;41:1234–6.
3. Lomas J, Anderson GM, Domnick-Pierre K, Vayda E, Enkin MW, Hannah WJ. Do practice guidelines guide practice? The effect of a consensus statement on the practice of physicians. N Engl J Med. 1989;321:1311–5.
4. Grimshaw JM, Russell IT. Effect of clinical guidelines on medical practice: a systematic review of rigorous evaluations. Lancet. 1993;342:1317–22.
5. Lomas J. Words without action? The production, dissemination and impact of consensus recommendations. Annu Rev Public Health. 1991;12:41–65.
6. Tierney WM, Overhage JM, Takesue BY, et al. Computerizing guidelines to improve care and patient outcomes: the example of heart failure. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 1995;2:316–22.
7. Tierney WM, Miller MD, McDonald CJ. The effect on test ordering of informing physicians of the charges for outpatient diagnostic tests. N Engl J Med. 1990;322:1499–504.
8. Musen MA, Rohn JA, Fagan LM, Shortliffe EH. Knowledge engineering for a clinical trial advice system: uncovering errors in protocol specification. Bull Cancer. 1987;74:291–6.
9. McDonald CJ, Overhage JM. Guidelines you can follow and trust: an ideal and an example. JAMA. 1994;271:872–3.
10. Shiffman RN. Toward effective implementation of a pediatric asthma guideline: Integration of decision support and clinical workflow support. Proc 18th Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care. 1994:797–801.
11. Shiffman RN. Clinical guidelines in medical practice. J Med Pract Manage. 1993;9:70–4.
12. Thomas S. Standard setting in the Netherlands: impact of

the human factor on guideline development. Br J Gen Pract. 1994;44:242–3.

13. Leurquin P, Van Casteren V, De Maeseneer J. Use of blood tests in general practice: a collaborative study in eight European countries. Br J Gen Pract. 1995;45:21–5.

14. Kluijt I, Zaat JOM, Van der Velden J, van Eijk JTM, Schellevis FG. Voor een prikje: huisarts en bloedonderzoek [The general practitioner and blood tests] Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1991;34:67–71.

15. Kassirer JP. Diagnostic reasoning. Ann Intern Med. 1989; 110:893–900.

16. Kassirer JP. Our stubborn quest for diagnostic certainty. N Engl J Med. 1989;320(22):1489–91.

17. Ornstein SM, Markert GP, Johnson AH, Rust PF, Afrin LB. The effect of physician personality on laboratory test ordering for hypertensive patients. Med Care. 1988;26(6):536–43.

18. Axt-Adam P, Van der Wouden JC, Van der Does E. Influencing behavior of physicians ordering laboratory tests: a literature study. Med Care. 1993;31:784–94.

19. Eisenberg JM, Nicklin D. Use of diagnostic services by physicians in community practice. Med Care. 1981;19(3):297–309.

20. Zaat JOM. De Macht der Gewoonten: Over de Huisarts en Zijn Laboratorium Onderzoek [On the General Practitioner and his laboratory test ordering]. Amsterdam: Free University Amsterdam, 1991.

21. Van Walraven C, Naylor CD. Do we know what inappropriate laboratory utilization is? A systematic review of laboratory clinical audits. JAMA. 1998;280:550–8.

22. Van Walraven C, Goel V, Chan B. Effect of population-based interventions on laboratory utilization. JAMA. 1998;280: 2028–33.

23. Solomon DH, Hashimoto H, Daltroy L, Liang MH. Techniques to improve physicians' use of diagnostic tests. JAMA. 1998;280:2020–7.

24. De Bock GH, Van Duijn NP, Dagnelie CF, et al. NHG. Standaard Sinusitis [Dutch College of General Practitioners' Guideline "Sinusitis"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1993;36: 255–7.

25. Van Marwijk HWJ, Grundmeijer HGLM, Brueren MM, et al. NHG Standaard depressie. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Depression"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1994;37:482–90.

26. Zaat JOM, Van Bavel PC, De Bruin HJ, et al. NHG Standaard bloedonderzoek bij verdenking op leveraandoeningen [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Blood test and liver disease"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1992;35: 78–82.

27. Flikweert S, Meijer LJ, De Haan M, Wiersma T. NHG Standaard miskraam, eerste herziening. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Miscarriage," first revision]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1997;40:661–70.

28. Van der Meulen P, Uitewaal PJM, Boomsma LJ, et al. NHG Standaard kinderen met koorts. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Children with fever"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1992;35:512–7.

29. Van Zutphen W, Van Olst EJ, Cornel M, Willink AE, Hoeksema HL. NHG Standaard problematisch alcoholgebruik. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Alcohol abuse"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1990;33:280–5.

30. Dukkers van Embden DM, Smeenk RCJ, Treffers JJ, Verblackt HWJ, Westerveld MC, Wibaut P. NHG Standaard het spiraaltje. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Intra-uterine device"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1991;34: 89–94.

31. Blom JJ, Brouwer A, Bruinsma M, Dewachter JEJK, Mesker PJR. NHG Standaard acne vulgaris. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Acne vulgaris"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1991;33:323–6.

32. Bakker JF, De Jonhg L, Jonquiere M, et al. NHG Standaard schouderklachten. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Shoulder complaints"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1990;33:196–202.

33. Balder FA, Dagnelie CF, De Jong LJ, Kootte H. NHG Standaard acute keelpijn. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Acute sore throat"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1990;33:323–6.

34. Crobach MJJS, Jung HP, Toorenburg-Beijer B, et al. NHG Standaard allergische en hyperreactieve rhinitis. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Allergic and hyperreactive rhinitis."]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1995;38: 216–27.

35. Cromme PVM, Mulder JD, Rutten GEHM, Zuidweg J, Thomas S. NHG Standaard diabetes mellitus type II. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Diabetes mellitus type II"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1989;32:7–13.

36. De Bruyne GA, Meyboom-de Jong B, Muskens JB, Veltman MTM, Weijtens JTNM, Wind AW. NHG Standaard dementiesyndroom. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Dementia"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1991;34: 598–607.

37. Dekker JH, Veehof LJG, Heeres PH, Hinloopen RJ, Van den Berg G, Burgers JS. NHG Standaard pelvic inflammatory disease. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Pelvic inflammatory disease"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1995;38:310–6.

38. Dinant GJ, Van Wijk MAM, Janssens HJEM, et al. NHG Standaard Bloedonderzoek: algemene principes en uitvoering in eigen beheer. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Blood tests: principles"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1994;37:202–11.

39. Dirksen WJ, Geijer RMM, De Haan M, De Koning G, Flikweert S, Kolnaar BGM. NHG Standaard astma bij kinderen, eerste herziening. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Asthma in children," first revision]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1998;41:130–43.

40. Geijer RMM, Thiadens HA, Smeele IJM, et al. NHG Standaard COPD en astma bij volwassenen: Diagnostiek. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "COPD and asthma in adults: diagnosis"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1997;40:416–29.

41. Klomp MLF, Gercama AJ, De Jonge-Wubben JGM, et al. NHG Standaard bemoeilijkte mictie bij oudere mannen, eerste herziening. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Impeded urination in older male," first revision]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1997;40:114–24.

42. Lucassen PLBJ, De Vries-van Oostveen AS, Niebuur HKM, et al. NHG Standaard voedselovergevoeligheid bij zuigelingen. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Food Allergy in infants"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1995; 38:178–84.

43. Meijer LJ, Zwart S, Westerveld MC, et al. NHG Standaard vaginaal bloedverlies. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Vaginal bleeding"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1992;35:475–81.

44. Oldenziel JH, Flikweert S, Giesen PHJ, et al. NHG-Standaard zwangerschap en kraambed. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Pregnancy"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1993;36:186–96.

45. Pop V, Boer AM, Winants Y, et al. NHG Standaard functiestoornissen van de schildklier. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Thyroid disorder"]. Huisarts en

Wetenschap. 1993;36:143–9.

46. Rutten FH, Bohnen AM, Hufman P, et al. NHG Standaard angina pectoris. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Angina pectoris"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1994;37:398–406.

47. Schuurman W, Van Alphen JM, Van den Bosch WJHM, et al. NHG Standaard reumatoide artritis. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Rheumatoid arthritis"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1994;37:248–59.

48. Schweitzer BPM, Doorenbosch J, Glotzbach R, Barnhoorn K, Breure DP. NHG-Standaard ulcus cruris venosum. [Dutch College of General Practitioners guideline "Ulcus Cruris"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1991; 34:270–5.

49. Van Binsbergen JJ, Brouwer A, Van Drenth BB, Haverkort AFM, Prins A, Van der Weijden T. NHG Standaard cholesterol. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Cholesterol"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1991;34:551–7.

50. Van Binsbergen JJ, Gelpke JEH, Van Bentum STB, et al. NHG Standaard TIA. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Transient ischemic attack"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1995;38:15–25.

51. Walma EP, Bakx HCA, Besselink RAM, et al. NHG Standaard hartfalen. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Heart failure"]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1995; 38:471–87.

52. Walma EP, Grundmeijer HGLM, Thomas S, Prins A, Van den Hoogen JPH, Van der Laan JR. NHG Standaard hypertensie, eerste herziening. [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline "Hypertension," first revision]. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 1997;40(12):598–617.

53. Miller RA, Masarie FE. The demise of the Greek oracle model for medical diagnosis systems. Methods Inform Med. 1990;29:1–2.

54. Taylor TR. The computer and clinical decision-support systems in primary care. J Fam Pract. 1990;30(2):137–40.