Gating currents indicate complex gating of voltage-gated proton channels

Thomas E. DeCoursey^{a,1}

The voltage-gated proton channel (H_V 1) is a unique molecule that resides at the interface between ion channels and bioenergetic molecules that use proton gradients to store or transduce energy. H_V1 plays key roles in the health and disease of diverse tissues and species (1). Important information regarding the physical components of ion-channel gating (opening and closing, which in turn activate or terminate flow of ionic current through the pore) can be obtained by measuring the size, kinetics, and voltage dependence of gating currents. Fig. 1 illustrates different mechanisms by which gating currents might be generated. In their landmark voltage-clamp studies of sodium and potassium channels in squid axons in the mid-20th century, Hodgkin and Huxley (2) deduced that (i) ionic current flowed through discreet sites (channels) in the membrane; (ii) these permeation pathways were gated, opening and closing in response to changes in membrane potential; and (iii) this process most likely involved the movement of charged groups across part or all of the transmembrane electric field. They recognized that the movement of charges within the electric field should generate a small capacitive current, but it was smaller than they could detect. The predicted gating currents were measured in sodium channels two decades later (3-6). Sodium-channel gating currents were \sim 300 times smaller than the ionic current and were mostly over in 1 ms (3). Several properties of proton channels frustrated attempts to measure their gating currents until recently. Carmona et al. (7) report gating currents in H_V1 from the sea squirt Ciona intestinalis. A contemporaneous study by De La Rosa and Ramsey (8) reports gating currents in human H_V1 . The clever experimental approaches devised by these groups to overcome the intransigence of H_v1 and their conclusions are discussed below. Both studies advance our understanding of the gating of this unique channel. The most surprising result of the Carmona et al. study was that a Cole-Moore effect was observed in both ionic and gating currents from monomeric constructs.

Strategies for Measuring Gating Currents from $\ensuremath{\mathsf{H_V}}\xspace1$

Measurement of gating currents in H_V1 did not occur until a decade after the gene was identified (9, 10). Gating currents are measured in other voltage-gated ion channels by first eliminating ionic currents either by blocking the current or by eliminating the permeant ion. Gating currents reflect the repositioning of a small number of charges within the protein each time the channel opens or closes, and thus would be dwarfed by the much larger ionic currents that flow at a high rate as long as the channel is open. No effective inhibitors of H_V1 act by simple pore occlusion (block). The most potent inhibitor, Zn²⁺, profoundly alters the voltage dependence and kinetics of gating (11), the very subjects about which gating currents are meant to enlighten us. It is impossible to eliminate the permeant ion, H⁺. Reducing its concentration strongly shifts the voltage at which channel gating occurs (12), and extremely high pH exerts deleterious effects on channel and membrane integrity (personal observation). Both groups (7, 8) eliminated most outward ionic current by introducing an Asn→Arg mutation into the S4 transmembrane helix (N264R or N214R). Because inward ionic currents are still observable, this mutation permits indirect determination of the voltage dependence of gating via ionic "tail currents" seen after repolarization. This information is vitally important, because most mutations to H_V1 alter the gating kinetics and/or voltage dependence of its ionic currents (13), and gating currents are meaningful only if we know the details of gating in the mutant studied.

A second problem in detecting gating currents in H_v1 is that these channels open very slowly in most species, especially mammals (14). Not only are gating currents intrinsically small, but for H_v1 these currents are distributed over a timescale of seconds. For example, human eosinophils have the highest level of H_v1 expression in any native cell of 100–200 pA/pF (15, 16). A whole-cell proton conductance of 1.5 nS at pH_i 6.5, given a single-channel conductance at pH_i 6.5 of 38 fS (17), reflects 40,000 channels per

Author contributions: T.E.D. wrote the paper. The author declares no conflict of interest. Published under the PNAS license. See companion article on page 9240. ¹Email: tdecours@rush.edu. Published online August 22, 2018.

^aDepartment of Physiology & Biophysics, Rush University, Chicago, IL 60612



Fig. 1. Three physical representations of how gating currents might arise. The cartoons depict channels in a membrane viewed from the side. In each pair, the resting state is on the *Left*, and an activated state leading to current flow is on the *Right*. (A) Positively charged groups (blue hexagons) within the protein physically move outward. If most of the membrane potential drops across a short central "hydrophobic gasket," then the charges need only move from the internal to the external aqueous vestibule to effectively transfer the charge across the entire membrane electric field. (B) Charges within the protein need not themselves move at all if the protein and its electric field are rearranged, for example, if the accessibility of charged groups switches between internal and external. (C) A proton (green star) might move from the bulk internal solution through a crevice where it could protonate an acidic group (red pentagon). If the crevice is narrow, the proton would cross part of the membrane electric field to reach the protonation site. A contribution of proton movement to gating currents has been proposed for K⁺ channels (32) as well as for H_v1 (12, 18).

eosinophil. If each channel dimer moves six electronic charges (e₀) across the membrane during opening (18), 3.8×10^{-14} C will move, and distributed over 1 s, the gating current will average just 38 fA, far too small to detect reliably. Carmona et al. solved this problem by studying H_v1 as a monomer, rather than the dimer as occurs in most species (19–21). Monomeric constructs open substantially faster than dimers (19, 21, 22), and consequently gating currents could be detected in the monomer but not in the dimer. De La Rosa and Ramsey (8) used dimeric channels but introduced a second mutation (W207A) that accelerates channel opening by 100-fold (23). One property of H_v1 facilitates gating current measurements, namely the small unitary conductance of ionic currents, two to three orders of magnitude smaller than most voltage-gated ion channels (17), which reduces the amplitude of ionic currents relative to gating currents.

What Are the Features of H_v1 Gating Currents?

Gating currents should exhibit kinetics appropriate to those of current turn-on. They should saturate with large depolarizations (5). The currents reported by Carmona et al. meet these criteria. Equality of the integrals of ON and OFF gating currents measured during depolarization and repolarization is a strong criterion that shows the gating currents are genuine, because the same charges that rearrange to open the channel should return to their resting position upon repolarization (4). Because the mutation introduced eliminated outward but not inward ionic currents, comparing ON and OFF gating charge was not feasible. Carmona et al. did manage to detect OFF gating currents using short pulses, but these unexpectedly were only 1.4% of the magnitude of the ON currents (7). Almost all of the OFF gating current was trapped, most likely due to slowly reversible occlusion of the pore by the side chain of Arg that replaced Asn. The full gating charge was eventually recovered after prolonged hyperpolarization (7). Changes in pH shifted the voltage dependence of both ionic and gating currents (8). Replacing the first of three Arg in the S4 helix with Ala reduced the measured gating currents (8), as expected (24). Finally, the gating charge was decreased in the monomer compared with the dimer (8), although by much less than the 50% reported in studies in which gating charge was estimated by the limiting slope of the $g_{\rm H}$ -V relationship (24, 25). Taken together, the evidence supports that genuine gating currents for H_V1 have been demonstrated. This represents a major achievement that advances the field.

Unfortunately, the difficulty in determining the number of channels due to their minuscule unitary conductance (17) precludes accurate estimates of the quantity of gating charge transferred by each channel during opening. Another limitation of both studies results from the Asn \rightarrow Arg mutation used to eliminate outward current. Replacing a neutral amino acid with a charged one inevitably alters the electric field within the protein, and thus the information gained applies strictly to the mutant channel. Heisenberg's uncertainty principle aside, most global functions and properties of the mutant channels appear normal, and the new class of information obtained is extremely welcome.

Cole-Moore Effect

Hodgkin and Huxley (2) described the sigmoid onset of sodium and potassium currents upon depolarization using a mathematical model that can be envisioned to mean that channel opening occurs only after multiple identical subunits undergo a conformational change. Potassium channel opening was described by n^4 , where the parameter *n* increased from 0 to 1 exponentially with time. Decades later, the potassium channel was determined to be a homotetramer (26). Cole and Moore (27) showed that the delay in the onset of potassium current increased with increasingly negative prepulses, describable by an n^{25} model. No one imagines there are 25 subunits, but a general conclusion from the "Cole-Moore effect" is that each channel must undergo multiple voltagedependent transitions between closed states before it opens (28). H_V1 display distinctly sigmoidal activation kinetics in most species. The only quantitative model of voltage- and pHdependent gating of H_V1 postulated two protomers (12). Furthermore, H_V1 exists as a dimer with cooperative gating, suggesting that both monomers must undergo a conformational change before either conducts (29, 30). In fact, the sigmoidal onset of current fits n^2 kinetics rather nicely (29). Finally, when H_V1 is forced into a monomeric lifestyle by truncating the C terminus, the current turns on faster and exponentially (22, 29). The interpretation has been that the sigmoidal turn-on of proton current reflects cooperative gating of the dimer. It is

thus surprising that Carmona et al. (7) find that both ionic and gating currents of their monomeric construct exhibited a Cole–Moore effect. This result indicates that multiple closed states are traversed within each monomer and raises doubts whether the sigmoid turn-on of H⁺ current is due exclusively to cooperative gating of the dimer. Recently, a snail H_v1 was identified that appears to exist as a dimer yet activates exponentially (31). The gating of H_V1 is evidently more complicated than we imagined.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NIH Grants GM121462 and GM126902.

- 1 DeCoursey TE (2013) Voltage-gated proton channels: Molecular biology, physiology, and pathophysiology of the H_v family. *Physiol Rev* 93:599–652.
- 2 Hodgkin AL, Huxley AF (1952) A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. J Physiol 117:500–544.
- **3** Armstrong CM, Bezanilla F (1973) Currents related to movement of the gating particles of the sodium channels. *Nature* 242:459–461.
- 4 Armstrong CM, Bezanilla F (1974) Charge movement associated with the opening and closing of the activation gates of the Na channels. J Gen Physiol 63:533–552.
- 5 Keynes RD, Rojas E (1974) Kinetics and steady-state properties of the charged system controlling sodium conductance in the squid giant axon. J Physiol 239:393–434.
- 6 Schneider MF, Chandler WK (1973) Voltage dependent charge movement of skeletal muscle: A possible step in excitation-contraction coupling. Nature 242:244–246.
- 7 Carmona EM, et al. (2018) Gating charge displacement in a monomeric voltage-gated proton (H_v1) channel. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:9240–9245.
- 8 De La Rosa V, Ramsey IS (2018) Gating currents in Hv1 proton channels. Biophys J 114:2844–2854.
- 9 Sasaki M, Takagi M, Okamura Y (2006) A voltage sensor-domain protein is a voltage-gated proton channel. Science 312:589-592.
- 10 Ramsey IS, Moran MM, Chong JA, Clapham DE (2006) A voltage-gated proton-selective channel lacking the pore domain. Nature 440:1213–1216.
- 11 Cherny VV, DeCoursey TE (1999) pH-dependent inhibition of voltage-gated H⁺ currents in rat alveolar epithelial cells by Zn²⁺ and other divalent cations. J Gen Physiol 114:819–838.
- 12 Cherny VV, Markin VS, DeCoursey TE (1995) The voltage-activated hydrogen ion conductance in rat alveolar epithelial cells is determined by the pH gradient. J Gen Physiol 105:861–896.
- 13 DeCoursey TE, Morgan D, Musset B, Cherny W (2016) Insights into the structure and function of H_v1 from a meta-analysis of mutation studies. J Gen Physiol 148:97–118.
- 14 DeCoursey TE (2003) Voltage-gated proton channels and other proton transfer pathways. Physiol Rev 83:475–579.
- 15 Gordienko DV, et al. (1996) Voltage-activated proton current in eosinophils from human blood. J Physiol 496:299-316.
- 16 Schrenzel J, Lew DP, Krause KH (1996) Proton currents in human eosinophils. Am J Physiol 271:C1861–C1871.
- 17 Cherny VV, Murphy R, Sokolov V, Levis RA, DeCoursey TE (2003) Properties of single voltage-gated proton channels in human eosinophils estimated by noise analysis and by direct measurement. J Gen Physiol 121:615–628.
- 18 DeCoursey TE (2018) Voltage and pH sensing by the voltage-gated proton channel, Hv1. J R Soc Interface 15:20180108.
- **19** Koch HP, et al. (2008) Multimeric nature of voltage-gated proton channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:9111–9116.
- 20 Lee SY, Letts JA, Mackinnon R (2008) Dimeric subunit stoichiometry of the human voltage-dependent proton channel Hv1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:7692–7695.
- 21 Tombola F, Ulbrich MH, Isacoff EY (2008) The voltage-gated proton channel Hv1 has two pores, each controlled by one voltage sensor. Neuron 58:546–556.
- 22 Musset B, et al. (2010) Zinc inhibition of monomeric and dimeric proton channels suggests cooperative gating. J Physiol 588:1435–1449.
- 23 Cherny VV, et al. (2015) Tryptophan 207 is crucial to the unique properties of the human voltage-gated proton channel, hH_v1. J Gen Physiol 146:343–356.
- 24 Gonzalez C, Rebolledo S, Perez ME, Larsson HP (2013) Molecular mechanism of voltage sensing in voltage-gated proton channels. J Gen Physiol 141:275-285.
- 25 Fujiwara Y, et al. (2012) The cytoplasmic coiled-coil mediates cooperative gating temperature sensitivity in the voltage-gated H⁺ channel Hv1. Nat Commun 3:816.
- 26 MacKinnon R (1991) Determination of the subunit stoichiometry of a voltage-activated potassium channel. Nature 350:232-235.
- 27 Cole KS, Moore JW (1960) Potassium ion current in the squid giant axon: Dynamic characteristic. Biophys J 1:1-14.
- 28 Zagotta WN, Hoshi T, Aldrich RW (1994) Shaker potassium channel gating. III: Evaluation of kinetic models for activation. J Gen Physiol 103:321–362.
- 29 Gonzalez C, Koch HP, Drum BM, Larsson HP (2010) Strong cooperativity between subunits in voltage-gated proton channels. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17:51–56.
- 30 Tombola F, Ulbrich MH, Kohout SC, Isacoff EY (2010) The opening of the two pores of the Hv1 voltage-gated proton channel is tuned by cooperativity. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17:44–50.
- 31 Thomas S, et al. (2018) Exotic properties of a voltage-gated proton channel from the snail Helisoma trivolvis. J Gen Physiol 150:835-850.
- 32 Kariev AM, Green ME (2012) Voltage gated ion channel function: Gating, conduction, and the role of water and protons. Int J Mol Sci 13:1680–1709.