SPECIAL REPORT

Uses and Misuses of Patient- and Neighborhood-level Social Determinants of Health Data

Laura M Gottlieb, MD, MPH: Damon E Francis, MD: Andrew F Beck, MD

Perm J 2018:22:18-078

E-pub: 09/13/2018

https://doi.org/10.7812/TPP/18-078

ABSTRACT

Health care leaders in the US are actively exploring strategies to identify and address patients' social and economic hardships as part of high-quality clinical care. The result has been a proliferation of screening tools and interventions related to patients' social determinants of health, but little guidance on effective strategies to implement them. Some of these tools rely on patient- or household-level screening data collected from patients during medical encounters. Other tools rely on data available at the neighborhood-level that can be used to characterize the environment in which patients live or to approximate patients' social or economic risks. Four case examples were selected from different health care organizations to illustrate strengths and limitations of using patient- or neighborhood-level social and economic needs data to inform a range of interventions. This work can guide health care investments in this rapidly evolving arena.

INTRODUCTION

It is increasingly clear that social and economic contexts are integral determinants of both child and adult health and well-being. ¹⁻⁹ Emerging literature reveals how social determinants of health (SDH) ¹⁰ may affect health outcomes and health care costs. ¹¹⁻³⁹ As a result, there is growing consensus from professional medical organizations that in collaboration with patients and communities, the health care sector should consider new roles for itself around identifying and strengthening SDH as one part of a comprehensive strategy for improving population health. ⁴⁰⁻⁴² Despite mounting interest and experimentation, no clear consensus has emerged about what strategies health care systems should assume in this arena. Risk and strength assessment and interventions around patients' social and economic contexts vary widely across organizations, often dependent on institutional leadership, resources, and patient populations. ^{21,22,33,38,39,43-52}

To identify SDH affecting patients, some health care settings have systematized the collection of SDH data by using standardized social screening questionnaires, including those endorsed by organizations such as the National Academy of Medicine, the National Association of Community Health Centers, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation. ⁵³⁻⁵⁵ Obstacles to patient-level screening include logistic barriers (eg, cost/time) to adding screening activities in busy clinics ^{2,56,57} and concerns about whether and how identified needs can subsequently be addressed. ⁵⁸⁻⁶⁴

As an understanding of the feasibility, actionability, and potential returns of patient-level social screening evolves and best practices

emerge in this area,⁶⁵⁻⁶⁹ other health care organizations are also exploring ways to use neighborhood-level data to characterize patients' social and economic contexts.⁷⁰ In these instances, area-level data are being used as proxies for individual social and economic status while also being potentially reflective of unique contextual risk factors. To inform future efforts to incorporate SDH data into health care decision making, we describe specific examples in which delivery systems have opted to use patient- or neighborhood-level SDH data to guide intervention investments; we also discuss the strengths and limitations of these different approaches.

METHODS

We selected 4 examples from practices across the US to highlight different approaches to SDH data collection and application. These examples may help to inform decisions by clinical and population health leaders as they explore ways to more systematically incorporate patients' SDH information into care delivery. The first 2 examples highlight different ways in which *patient-level* data can inform social intervention development and deployment. These examples differ in that the first involves a program specifically designed to collect patient SDH data by adding new responsibilities to the health care team; the resulting intervention is directed at the patient level. The second example relies on existing patient-level data in the electronic health record collected for other purposes; the data then contribute to shaping a neighborhood-level intervention.

An additional 2 examples highlight interventions in which health care organizations use *neighborhood-level* social and economic data to tailor work around SDH. These cases highlight how a surge in the availability of area-level information—such as the availability of supermarkets, the number of liquor stores, or the prevalence of violent crime—and a growing capacity to integrate data sources create new opportunities to identify populations that may benefit from either patient- or neighborhood-level interventions.

Examples: Social Determinants of Health Data Uses Patient-Level Data Inform Patient-Level Interventions

Health Leads is a national nonprofit organization in Boston, MA, that advises health care systems across the country on approaches to SDH screening and navigation, with the goal of connecting patients and caregivers with community resources. Some health care systems have elected to work with partners like Health Leads to facilitate patient-level screening and interventions. Although approaches vary from centralized call centers to clinic-based programs, S7,47 most begin with health care system staff

gathering information on social and economic hardships through patient-level screening, which can help uncover challenging patient or household circumstances related to topics such as threatened eviction, food insecurity, or limited transportation access. Staff review screening responses with patients or caregivers, collaboratively select which needs to address, and develop an action plan. Staff offer support and facilitate connections to relevant community resources (patient-level intervention), and track referral status and patient-reported progress toward relevant goals. ^{37,73} For example, staff may support patients to connect with free legal services, to obtain food from a local food pantry, or to obtain discounted public transportation passes. Studies on the effectiveness of the program model have demonstrated both social hardship and health effects. ^{37,73}

Patient-Level Data Inform Neighborhood-Level Interventions

Between 2009 and 2010, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center in Cincinnati, OH, and their partners at the Legal Aid Society of Greater Cincinnati aggregated addresses of patients hospitalized with asthma (patient-level data) from 2 primary care practices. The process led to identifying 16 housing units in 6 local building complexes with a common owner where children were experiencing disproportionately high rates of asthma-related morbidity. At Once the cluster was verified quantitatively, the team worked with individual tenants and a collective tenants' association from the housing complex to advocate for buildingwide repairs (neighborhood-level intervention). These activities lowered the numbers of asthma triggers (eg, mold, cockroaches) for those patients that initially prompted cluster identification. Activities also extended across the building complexes, resulting in complexwide repairs.

Neighborhood-level Data Inform Patient-level Interventions

As part of Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center's commitment to decreasing health inequities, the hospital has selected 2 local neighborhoods in which to focus disparity-reducing activities. Neighborhoods were chosen on the basis of census and other area-level data showing disproportionately high rates of both all-cause morbidity and underlying risks related to poverty, such as housing instability and poor transportation access (neighborhoodlevel data). Each morning, a multidisciplinary team of physicians, nurses, social workers, and community engagement consultants receives an alert from the electronic health record identifying any child hospitalized from these high-risk neighborhoods. This prompts in-depth chart review and a bedside huddle focused on the potential preventability of the hospitalization, identifiable care gaps (eg, need for vaccinations, overdue for primary care follow-up), and transition needs. When appropriate, patients are connected with additional supports during the hospitalization (eg, social work consultation, connection to a community health worker) and/or specialized transition-related service delivery such as postdischarge nurse home visits, medication delivery, or school-based outreach programs (patient-level intervention).

Neighborhood-level Data Inform Neighborhood-level Interventions

Kokua Kalihi Valley Comprehensive Family Services runs 9 federally qualified community health centers in Honolulu, HI.⁷⁵ The organization's mission involves serving all community residents, not only clinic patients. As a result, new program development is based on the needs and strengths of the entire community. Neighborhood-level data on food security, safety, and employment help inform these neighborhood-level interventions.

Health data	Patient-level interventions	Neighborhood-level interventions
Patient-level data	Strengths:	Strengths:
	Screening data collected directly from patients are likely more sensitive and specific to condition.	Using a patient lens may increase the health care system's engagement in upstream activities.
	Screening and intervention are both in context of shared clinical decision making, so can more closely tie interventions to patients' priority needs.	Data may be more quickly accessible and aggregated.
	Limitations:	Limitations:
	Cost of screening entire clinical population.	Sampling bias and social desirability bias may affect
	Sampling bias and social desirability bias may affect patients' responses to health	patients' responses to health care practitioners.
	care practitioners.	Subject to "exception fallacy": Patients from health
	High cost of intervening at individual level to address neighborhood-level issues (eg, housing inadequacy, food deserts).	care system may not reflect neighborhood population adequately.
Neighborhood- level data	Strengths:	Strengths:
	Increases health care system's engagement in upstream, neighborhood-level activities. Potential to focus on entire population facing health consequences, which could	Uses a population-level lens; may be more "objective."
	enhance value of interventions.	More capacity to affect population-level change.
	Limitations:	Limitations:
	Subject to "ecological fallacy": Some patients in this neighborhood may not be at higher risk.	Can use only social determinants of health data that are available (practitioner has less control over how
	Lack of timely and detailed data limits depth of understanding.	data are collected).
	Potential to increase stigma. Potential to reinforce inequity across factors other than neighborhood (ie, easier to	May not have a direct impact on health system's catchment population.
	intervene on behalf of relatively healthier individuals in same neighborhood).	Lack of timely data limits ability to monitor and adjust interventions.

For example, the clinical organization leases and operates the Kalihi Valley Nature Preserve, which it maintains as a strategy for producing healthier food and encouraging physical activity for all residents (neighborhood-level intervention).

DISCUSSION: VALIDITY THREATS

Each of these 4 approaches to collecting and using data depends on the interest and capacity of both the health care organization and the surrounding community. We highlight them to demonstrate a range of ways that health care organizations can incorporate information about patient- or neighborhood-level SDH into decisions about relevant patient- or neighborhood-level interventions. Table 1 summarizes strengths and limitations of the different data applications.

Two quadrants of Table 1 are worth special highlight—those that use patient-level data to guide neighborhood interventions and those that rely on neighborhood-level data to guide patientlevel interventions. There may be compelling reasons to use aggregated patient-level data to inform neighborhood-level activities, especially when neighborhood surveillance data are difficult or impossible to obtain, lack sufficient granularity, or are collected/ reported too infrequently to meaningfully guide interventions. A primary threat to validity when using patient-level data to guide neighborhood-level interventions, however, is when patients are not representative of the neighborhood's population. This can lead to the exception fallacy, which is when conclusions about a group are formed on the basis of nonrepresentative cases. ⁷⁶ For instance, using data on the health impacts of local food pantries only from sick patients referred from a hospital overlooks the potential impacts of pantries on many other beneficiaries. This could lead to changes in hospital investments that could have substantial unintended consequences on other populations. To limit the effects of this bias, health care organizations can work with relevant local stakeholders to use additional data sources that are more representative of the neighborhood to inform neighborhood-level interventions.

Similarly, the use of neighborhood-level data to inform patientlevel interventions may make sense when universal patient-level screening is infeasible. In this case, neighborhood-level data can help to initiate risk-stratification and to target screening resources toward populations most likely to benefit. However, using neighborhood-level data to guide patient-level interventions presents a threat known as the ecological fallacy, or the possibility of making incorrect assumptions about individuals on the basis of the profile of a group.⁷⁷ For instance, low-income patients who live in high-income areas may not be captured by clinical intervention programs triggered by neighborhood-level risk algorithms, yet those patients may experience higher stress or other negative health outcomes. Alternatively, patients may be subject to stigma from processes such as automated referrals to resources associated with lower socioeconomic status. Future work in this area should deepen our understanding of the overlap and differences in patients captured using individual level measures (eg, financial strain or reported income) vs neighborhood-level measures (eg, mean area-level poverty).78 Meanwhile, to limit these unintended consequences, health care systems that stratify patients by neighborhood-level characteristics to target patient-level interventions

should validate and refine assessments with patient-level data whenever possible and collaboratively select interventions in the context of shared clinical decision making.

CONCLUSION

The health care sector has experienced a steadily growing interest in identifying and incorporating information on patients' SDH in the context of care delivery. This stems from both increased awareness about the health effects of SDH and new valuebased payment models that incentivize prevention. Despite this enthusiasm and experimentation, little guidance has existed to date for health care providers about how best to translate interest into action. Moreover, health care organizations of different sizes (and with different degrees of community connectedness) are likely to differ in their readiness and capacity to incorporate these new data.^{79,80} Early adopters illustrate wide variation in both data collection approaches, instruments, and interventions. With this range of applications, weighing the strengths and limitations of different kinds of data is and will continue to be increasingly important, especially in light of the growth in big data-based predictive analytics that help to make both patient and population-level data more accessible.81

Beyond employing the right data in the right context, systems that aim to increase capacity to interpret and apply SDH data should also bring diverse perspectives to the explanation of trends and more creativity to the design of interventions. To do so, they might consider undertaking SDH analyses and investments in the context of partnerships with patients, families, and neighborhood organizations. Incorporating community perspectives into health care systems' interpretation and use of SDH data is part of the design of the alignment track in the new Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation Accountable Health Communities demonstration project, 82 although these findings are still many years out.

Finally, we recognize that the health care sector's activities in this area are only a small part of reversing longstanding resource allocation decisions through power differentials on the basis of race, wealth, or other factors that have perpetuated health inequities. 83-86 At the same time, the sector is expanding previous efforts to recognize the influence of social and economic factors on health. and to act on that information. For now, health care systems investing in addressing SDH must avoid basic threats to validity in translating data into specific interventions, ensuring that data being applied are maximally relevant to the proposed level of intervention. •

^a Some emerging research suggests that despite concerns about cost and time, clinic-level capacity to address patients' social needs may protect against practitioner burnout. Insofar as reduced burnout saves health systems money, it may be that the practitioner burnout benefits of social screening could be added to other potential savings.

Disclosure Statement

The author(s) have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the Kaiser Permanente Family Foundation. CRN-5374-7544-15320.

Kathleen Louden, ELS, of Louden Heatlh Communications provided editorial assistance.

How to Cite this Article

Gottlieb LM, Francis DE, Beck AF. Uses and misuses of patient- and neighborhood-level social determinants of health data. Perm J 2018;22:18-078. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7812/TPP/18-078

References

- Braveman P, Barclay C. Health disparities beginning in childhood: A life-course perspective. Pediatrics 2009 Nov;124 Suppl 3:S163-75. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/ peds.2009-1100d.
- National Scientific Council on the Developing Child; National Forum on Early Childhood Policy and Programs. The foundations of lifelong health are built in early childhood [Internet]. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, Center on the Developing Child; 2010 [cited 2018 Mar 18]. Available from: http://developingchild.harvard.edu/ wp-content/uploads/2010/05/Foundations-of-Lifelong-Health.pdf.
- Shonkoff JP, Garner AS; Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health; Committee on Early Childhood, Adoption, and Dependent Care; Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. Pediatrics 2012 Jan;129(1):e232-46. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1542/peds.2011-2663.
- Link BG, Phelan J. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. J Health Soc Behav 1995; Spec No:80-94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/2626958.
- McGinnis JM, Foege WH. Actual causes of death in the United States. JAMA 1993 Nov 10;270(18):2207-12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1993.03510180077038.
- Adler NE, Stewart J. Health disparities across the lifespan: Meaning, methods, and mechanisms. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2010 Feb;1186:5-23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1749-6632.2009.05337.x.
- McGinnis JM, Williams-Russo P, Knickman JR. The case for more active policy attention to health promotion. Health Aff (Millwood) 2002 Mar-Apr;21(2):78-93. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.21.2.78.
- Mackenbach JP, Stronks K, Kunst AE. The contribution of medical care to inequalities in health: Differences between socio-economic groups in decline of mortality from conditions amenable to medical intervention. Soc Sci Med 1989;29(3):369-76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(89)90285-2.
- Galea S, Tracy M, Hoggatt KJ, Dimaggio C, Karpati A. Estimated deaths attributable to social factors in the United States. Am J Public Health 2011 Aug;101(8):1456-65. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2010.300086.
- Social determinants of health [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2018 [cited 2018 Jun 20. Available from: www.who.int/social_ determinants/en/.
- Black MM, Cutts DB, Frank DA, et al; Children's Sentinel Nutritional Assessment Program Study Group. Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children participation and infants' growth and health: A multisite surveillance study. Pediatrics 2004 Jul;114(1):169-76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/ peds.114.1.169.
- Wood PR, Smith LA, Romero D, Bradshaw P, Wise PH, Chavkin W. Relationships between welfare status, health insurance status, and health and medical care among children with asthma. Am J Public Health 2002 Sep;92(9):1446-52. DOI: https://doi. org/10.2105/ajph.92.9.1446.
- Cook JT, Frank DA, Berkowitz C, et al. Welfare reform and the health of young children: A sentinel survey in 6 US cities. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2002 Jul;156(7):678-84. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.156.7.678.
- Fieldston ES, Zaniletti I, Hall M, et al. Community household income and resource utilization for common inpatient pediatric conditions. Pediatrics 2013 Dec;132(6):e1592-601. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-0619.
- Bauman LJ, Silver EJ, Stein RE. Cumulative social disadvantage and child health. Pediatrics 2006 Apr;117(4):1321-8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-1647.
- Secrest AM, Costacou T, Gutelius B, Miller RG, Songer TJ, Orchard TJ. Associations between socioeconomic status and major complications in type 1 diabetes: The Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complication (EDC) Study. Ann Epidemiol 2011 May;21(5):374-81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2011.02.007.
- Colvin JD, Zaniletti I, Fieldston ES, et al. Socioeconomic status and in-hospital pediatric mortality. Pediatrics 2013 Jan;131(1):e182-90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/ peds.2012-1215.
- Wood DL, Valdez RB, Hayashi T, Shen A. Health of homeless children and housed, poor children. Pediatrics 1990 Dec;86(6):858-66.
- Alaimo K, Olson CM, Frongillo EA Jr. Food insufficiency and American school-aged children's cognitive, academic, and psychosocial development. Pediatrics 2001 Jul:108(1):44-53.
- Tomita A, Herman DB. The impact of critical time intervention in reducing psychiatric rehospitalization after hospital discharge. Psychiatr Serv 2012 Sep 1;63(9):935-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201100468.
- 21. Krieger JW, Takaro TK, Song L, Weaver M. The Seattle-King County Healthy Homes Project: A randomized, controlled trial of a community health worker intervention

- to decrease exposure to indoor asthma triggers. Am J Public Health 2005 Apr; 95(4):652-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.042994.
- Williams SG, Brown CM, Falter KH, et al. Does a multifaceted environmental intervention alter the impact of asthma on inner-city children? J Natl Med Assoc 2006 Feb;98(2):249-60. Erratum in: J Natl Med Assoc 2009 Feb;101(2):99.
- Beck AF, Henize AW, Kahn RS, Reiber KL, Young JJ, Klein MD. Forging a pediatric primary care-community partnership to support food-insecure families. Pediatrics 2014 Aug;134(2):e564-71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-3845.
- Cohen AJ, Richardson CR, Heisler M, et al. Increasing use of a healthy food incentive: A waiting room intervention among low-income patients. Am J Prev Med 2017 Feb;52(2):154-62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.11.008.
- Morales ME, Epstein MH, Marable DE, Oo SA, Berkowitz SA. Food insecurity and cardiovascular health in pregnant women: Results from the Food for Families program, Chelsea, Massachusetts, 2013-2015. Prev Chronic Dis 2016 Nov 3;13:E152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd13.160212.
- Seligman HK, Lyles C, Marshall MB, et al. A pilot food bank intervention featuring diabetes-appropriate food improved glycemic control among clients in three states. Health Aff (Millwood) 2015 Nov;34(11):1956-63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1377/ hlthaff.2015.0641.
- Klevens J, Kee R, Trick W, et al. Effect of screening for partner violence on women's quality of life: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2012 Aug 15;308(7):681-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.6434.
- Sinnott PL, Joyce V, Su P, Ottomanelli L, Goetz LL, Wagner TH. Cost-effectiveness of supported employment for veterans with spinal cord injuries. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2014 Jul;95(7):1254-61. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.01.010.
- O'Sullivan MM, Brandfield J, Hoskote SS, et al. Environmental improvements brought by the legal interventions in the homes of poorly controlled inner-city adult asthmatic patients: A proof-of-concept study. J Asthma 2012 Nov;49(9):911-7. DOI: https://doi.or a/10.3109/02770903.2012.724131.
- Pettignano R, Caley SB, McLaren S. The health law partnership: Adding a lawyer to the health care team reduces system costs and improves provider satisfaction. J Public Health Manag Pract 2012 Jul-Aug;18(4):E1-3. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/ PHH.0b013e31823991a9.
- Rodabaugh KJ, Hammond M, Myszka D, Sandel M. A medical-legal partnership as a component of a palliative care model. J Palliat Med 2010 Jan;13(1):15-8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2009.0203.
- Ryan AM, Kutob RM, Suther E, Hansen M, Sandel M. Pilot study of impact of medical-legal partnership services on patients' perceived stress and wellbeing. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2012 Nov;23(4):1536-46. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1353/hpu.2012.0179.
- Weintraub D, Rodgers MA, Botcheva L, et al. Pilot study of medical-legal partnership to address social and legal needs of patients. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2010 May;21(2 Suppl):157-68. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.0.0311.
- Becker MG, Hall JS, Ursic CM, Jain S, Calhoun D. Caught in the crossfire: The effects of a peer-based intervention program for violently injured youth. J Adolesc Health 2004 Mar;34(3):177-83. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2003.04.001.
- Kangovi S, Mitra N, Grande D, et al. Patient-centered community health worker intervention to improve posthospital outcomes: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med 2014 Apr;174(4):535-43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamainternmed.2013.14327.
- Juillard C, Smith R, Anaya N, Garcia A, Kahn JG, Dicker RA. Saving lives and saving money: Hospital-based violence intervention is cost-effective. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2015 Feb;78(2):252-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000000527.
- Berkowitz SA, Hulberg AC, Standish S, Reznor G, Atlas SJ. Addressing unmet basic resource needs as part of chronic cardiometabolic disease management. JAMA Intern Med 2017 Feb 1;177(2):244-52. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamainternmed.2016.7691.
- Sege R, Preer G, Morton SJ, et al. Medical-legal strategies to improve infant health care: A randomized trial. Pediatrics 2015 Jul;136(1):97-106. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1542/peds.2014-2955.
- Gottlieb LM, Hessler D, Long D, et al. Effects of social needs screening and in-person service navigation on child health: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr 2016 Nov 7;170(11):e162521. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.2521.
- 40. APA Task Force on Childhood Poverty. A strategic road-map: Committed to bringing the voice of pediatricians to the most important problem facing children in the US today [Internet]. Itasca, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2013 Apr 30 [cited 2018 Jun 26]. Available from: www.academicpeds.org/public_policy/pdf/APA_Task_Force_ Strategic_Road_Mapver3.pdf.
- Medical Home Initiatives for Children with Special Needs Project Advisory Committee; American Academy of Pediatrics. The medical home. Pediatrics 2002 Jul;110(1 Pt 1): 184-6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.110.1.184.
- Rushton FE Jr; American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Community Health Services. The pediatrician's role in community pediatrics. Pediatrics 2005 Apr;115(4):1092-4. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2004-2680.

- Fleegler EW, Lieu TA, Wise PH, Muret-Wagstaff S. Families' health-related social problems and missed referral opportunities. Pediatrics 2007 Jun;119(6):e1332-41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-1505.
- Beck AF, Klein MD, Schaffzin JK, Tallent V, Gillam M, Kahn RS. Identifying and treating a substandard housing cluster using a medical-legal partnership. Pediatrics 2012 Nov;130(5):831-8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-0769.
- Klein MD, Beck AF, Henize AW, Parrish DS, Fink EE, Kahn RS. Doctors and lawyers collaborating to HeLP children—outcomes from a successful partnership between professions. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2013 Aug;24(3):1063-73. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2013.0147.
- Garg A, Toy S, Tripodis Y, Silverstein M, Freeman E. Addressing social determinants of health at well child care visits: A cluster RCT. Pediatrics 2015 Feb;135(2):e296-304. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-2888.
- Garg A, Marino M, Vikani AR, Solomon BS. Addressing families' unmet social needs within pediatric primary care: The health leads model. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2012 Dec;51(12):1191-3. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922812437930.
- Campbell JD, Brooks M, Hosokawa P, Robinson J, Song L, Krieger J. Community health worker home visits for Medicaid-enrolled children with asthma: Effects on asthma outcomes and costs. Am J Public Health 2015 Nov;105(11):2366-72. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302685.
- Silverstein M, Mack C, Reavis N, Koepsell TD, Gross GS, Grossman DC. Effect of a clinic-based referral system to head start: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004 Aug 25;292(8):968-71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.8.968.
- Mendelsohn AL, Huberman HS, Berkule SB, Brockmeyer CA, Morrow LM, Dreyer BP. Primary care strategies for promoting parent-child interactions and school readiness in at-risk families: The Bellevue Project for Early Language, Literacy, and Education Success. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2011 Jan;165(1):33-41. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2010.254.
- Dubowitz H, Feigelman S, Lane W, Kim J. Pediatric primary care to help prevent child maltreatment: The Safe Environment for Every Kid (SEEK) Model. Pediatrics 2009 Mar;123(3):858-64. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2008-1376.
- Feigelman S, Dubowitz H, Lane W, Grube L, Kim J. Training pediatric residents in a primary care clinic to help address psychosocial problems and prevent child maltreatment. Acad Pediatr 2011 Nov-Dec;11(6):474-80. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.acap.2011.07.005.
- PRAPARE [Internet]. Bethesda, MD: National Association of Community Health Centers; 2017 [cited 2018 Jun 25]. Available from: www.nachc.org/research-and-data/ prapare/.
- GrantSolutions. Accountable Health Communities [Internet]. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services; 2016 [cited 2018 Jun 25]. Available from: www.grantsolutions.gov/gs/preaward/previewPublicAnnouncement.do?id=55237.
- 55. Committee on the Recommended Social and Behavioral Domains and Measures for Electronic Health Records; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. Capturing social and behavioral domains in electronic health records: Phase 2. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2014.
- Olayiwola JN, Knox M, Willard-Grace R, Hessler D, Gottlieb L, Grumbach K. Who addresses social determinants of health in primary care? Proceedings of the Society for Academic Primary Care 45th Annual Scientific Meeting; 2016 Jul 6-8; Dublin, Ireland. Oxon, UK: Society for Academic Primary Care; 2016.
- De Marchis EH, Knox M, Hessler D, et al. Perceived clinic capacity to address patients' social needs and family physician burnout. J Am Board Fam Med 2018; In press, accepted for publication.
- Lofters AK, Shankardass K, Kirst M, Quiñonez C. Sociodemographic data collection in healthcare settings: An examination of public opinions. Med Care 2011 Feb;49(2):193-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181f81edb.
- Palakshappa D, Doupnik S, Vasan A, et al. Suburban families' experience with food insecurity screening in primary care practices. Pediatrics 2017 Jul;140(1). pii: e20170320. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-0320.
- O'Toole TP, Roberts CB, Johnson EE. Screening for food insecurity in six Veterans Administration clinics for the homeless, June-December 2015. Prev Chronic Dis 2017 Jan 12;14:E04. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd14.160375.
- Hoisington AT, Braverman MT, Hargunani DE, Adams EJ, Alto CL. Health care providers' attention to food insecurity in households with children. Prev Med 2012 Sep;55(3):219-22. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.06.007.
- Barnidge E, LaBarge G, Krupsky K, Arthur J. Screening for food insecurity in pediatric clinical settings: Opportunities and barriers. J Community Health 2017 Feb;42(1):51-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-016-0229-z.
- Hassan A, Blood EA, Pikcilingis A, et al. Youths' health-related social problems: Concerns often overlooked during the medical visit. J Adolesc Health 2013 Aug;53(2):265-71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.02.024.
- Adams E, Hargunani D, Hoffmann L, Blaschke G, Helm J, Koehler A. Screening for food insecurity in pediatric primary care: A clinic's positive implementation experiences. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2017;28(1):24-9. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1353/hpu.2017.0004.

- Kahn RS, Iyer SB, Kotagal UR. Development of a child health learning network to improve population health outcomes; presented in honor of Dr Robert Haggerty. Acad Pediatr 2017 Aug;17(6):607-13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2017.04.024.
- Tong ST, Liaw WR, Kashiri PL, et al. Clinician experiences with screening for social needs in primary care. J Am Board Fam Med 2018 May-Jun;31(3):351-63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2018.03.170419.
- Kusnoor SV, Koonce TY, Hurley ST, et al. Collection of social determinants of health in the community clinic setting: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2018 Apr 24;18(1):550. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5453-2.
- Knowles M, Khan S, Palakshappa D, et al. Successes, challenges, and considerations for integrating referral into food insecurity screening in pediatric settings. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2018;29(1):181-91. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1353/hpu.2018.0012.
- LaForge K, Gold R, Cottrell E, et al. How 6 organizations developed tools and processes for social determinants of health screening in primary care: An overview. J Ambul Care Manage 2018 Jan/Mar;41(1):2-14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/ JAC.000000000000221.
- Bazemore AW, Cottrell EK, Gold R, et al. "Community vital signs": Incorporating geocoded social determinants into electronic records to promote patient and population health. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2016 Mar;23(2):407-12. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1093/jamia/ocv088.
- Health Leads: Better health. One connection at a time [Internet]. Boston, MA: Health Leads; 2014 [cited 2014 Jan 13]. Available from: https://healthleadsusa.org/.
- Shah NR, Rogers AJ, Kanter MH. Health care that targets unmet social needs [Internet]. Boston, MA: NEJM Catalyst; 2016 Apr 13 [cited 2018 Jun 25]. Available from: https://catalyst.nejm.org/health-care-that-targets-unmet-social-needs/.
- Garg A, Sarkar S, Marino M, Onie R, Solomon BS. Linking urban families to community resources in the context of pediatric primary care. Patient Educ Couns 2010 May;79(2):251-4. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2009.10.011.
- Beck AF, Sandel MT, Ryan PH, Kahn RS. Mapping neighborhood health geomarkers to clinical care decisions to promote equity in child health. Health Aff (Millwood) 2017 Jun 1;36(6):999-1005. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2016.1425.
- Kokua Kalihi Valley Comprehensive Family Services: Services and activities [Internet]. Honolulu, HI: Kokua Kalihi Valley; c2018 [cited 2017 Nov 2]. Available from: www.kkv.net/index.php/services-and-activities.
- Trochim WM. Two research fallacies [Internet]. Web Center for Social Research Methods; 2006 Oct 20 [cited 2017 Nov 2]. Available from: www.socialresearchmethods. net/kb/fallacv.php.
- Garg A, Boynton-Jarrett R, Dworkin PH. Avoiding the unintended consequences of screening for social determinants of health. JAMA 2016 Aug 23-30;316(8):813-4. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.9282.
- Auger KA, Kahn RS, Simmons JM, et al. Using address information to identify hardships reported by families of children hospitalized with asthma. Acad Pediatr 2017 Jan-Feb;17(1):79-87. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2016.07.003.
- Weiner BJ. A theory of organizational readiness for change. Implement Sci 2009 Oct 19;4:67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-4-67.
- Egener B, McDonald W, Rosof B, Gullen D. Perspective: Organizational professionalism: Relevant competencies and behaviors. Acad Med 2012 May;87(5):668-74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31824d4b67.
- Amarasingham R, Audet AM, Bates DW, et al. Consensus statement on electronic health predictive analytics: A guiding framework to address challenges. EGEMS (Wash DC) 2016 Mar 7;4(1):1163. DOI: https://doi.org/10.13063/2327-9214.1163.
- CMS.gov. Accountable health communities model [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; 2018 May 3 [cited 2018 Jun 25]. Available from: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/ahcm/.
- Fiscella K, Williams DR. Health disparities based on socioeconomic inequities: Implications for urban health care. Acad Med 2004 Dec;79(12):1139-47.
- Williams DR, Jackson PB. Social sources of racial disparities in health. Health Aff (Millwood) 2005 Mar-Apr;24(2):325-34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.24.2.325.
- Braveman P. What are health disparities and health equity? We need to be clear. Public Health Rep 2014 Jan-Feb;129 Suppl 2:5-8. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1177/00333549141291S203.
- Woolf SH, Braveman P. Where health disparities begin: The role of social and economic determinants—and why current policies may make matters worse. Health Aff (Millwood) 2011 Oct;30(10):1852-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0685.
- Think Cultural Health. National culturally and linguistically appropriate services standards [Internet]. Washington, DC: The US Department of Health and Human Services; 2018 [cited 2018 Jun 24]. Available from: www.thinkculturalhealth.hhs.gov/ clas/standards.

Keywords: social determinants of health, social needs, social screening tools, social interventions