

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Dev Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 October 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Dev Biol. 2018 October 15; 442(2): 236–248. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.07.021.

## **Canonical Wnt signaling regulates patterning, differentiation and nucleogenesis in mouse hypothalamus and prethalamus.**

**Elizabeth A. Newman**1, **Dan Wu**2, **Makoto Mark Taketo**7, **Jiangyang Zhang**8, and **Seth Blackshaw**1,3,4,5,6,\*

<sup>1</sup>Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>2</sup>Radiology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>3</sup>Ophthalmology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>4</sup>Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>5</sup>Center for Human Systems Biology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>6</sup>Institute for Cell Engineering, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA;

<sup>7</sup>Division of Experimental Therapeutics, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan;

<sup>8</sup>Department of Radiology, NYU Langone School of Medicine, New York, NY.

## **Abstract**

The hypothalamus is a small, but anatomically and functionally complex, region of the brain whose development is poorly understood. In this study, we have explored its development by studying the canonical Wnt signalling pathway, generating gain and loss of function mutations of beta-catenin (*Ctnnb1*) in both hypothalamic and prethalamic neuroepithelium. Deletion of *Ctnnb1* resulted in an anteriorized and hypoplastic hypothalamus. Posterior structures were lost or reduced, and anterior structures were expanded. In contrast, overexpression of a constitutively active mutant form of *Ctnnb1* resulted in severe hyperplasia of prethalamus and hypothalamus, and expanded expression of a subset of posterior and premamillary hypothalamic markers. Moderate defects in differentiation of Arx-positive GABAergic neural precursors were observed in both prethalamus and hypothalamus of *Ctnnb1* loss of function mutants, while in gain of function mutants, their differentiation was completely suppressed, although markers of prethalamic

Author contributions

Author information

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: sblack@jhmi.edu (S.B.).

EAN conducted experiments and analyzed all data. DW and JZ performed DTI analysis. MMT provided  $Ctnnb1^{ex3/4}$  mice. EAN and SB wrote the manuscript, with input from all authors.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

progenitors were preserved. Multiple other region-specific markers, including several specific posterior hypothalamic structures, were also suppressed in *Ctnnb1* gain of function mutations. Severe, region-specific defects in hypothalamic nucleogenesis were also observed in both gain and loss of function mutations of Ctnnb1. Finally, both gain and loss of function of Ctnnb1 also produced severe, cell non-autonomous disruptions of pituitary development. These findings demonstrate a central and multifaceted role for canonical Wnt signalling in regulating growth, patterning, differentiation and nucleogenesis in multiple diencephalic regions.

## **1. Introduction:**

The hypothalamus regulates a broad range of homeostatic processes and innate behaviors (Bedont et al., 2014; Burbridge et al., 2016; Caron and Richard, 2017; Herrera et al., 2017; Lechan and Toni, 2000; Lee et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2017; Morrison, 2016). In spite of its great physiological and behavior importance, the development of the hypothalamus is poorly understood, in large part because of its great anatomic and cellular complexity (Braak & Braak, 1992; Flament- Durand,1980; Lechan & Toni, 2000). Although recently some progress has been made in identifying extrinsic and intrinsic factors that control hypothalamic patterning and neurogenesis, much remains unknown (Bedont et al., 2015; Burbridge et al., 2016; Xie and Dorsky, 2017). In particular, we understand little about how classic growth and differentiation factors regulate spatial patterning of hypothalamic neuroepithelium.

In contrast to the cerebral cortex (Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove, 2001,2003; Toyoda et al., 2010) and thalamus (Kiecker and Lumsden, 2004; Scholpp et al., 2006; Vieira et al., 2005), where signaling centers produce spatial gradients of differentiation factors to pattern these areas, such signaling centers have yet to be discovered in the hypothalamus. While several studies have demonstrated the necessity of Shh (Shimogori et al., 2010; Szabo et al., 2009), BMP (Manning et al., 2006; Ohyama et al., 2008), and FGF signaling (Bosco et al., 2013; Pearson et al., 2011) in specification and/or survival of specific hypothalamic cell types, a defined role for these factors in hypothalamic patterning has not been identified.

An additional factor complicating studies of hypothalamic patterning is the lack of consensus about the orientation of the hypothalamus relative to other forebrain structures such as the prethalamus and telencephalon. The prosomere model of forebrain development places the hypothalamus anterior to the prethalamus and ventral to the telencephalon (Ferran et al., 2015; Puelles and Rubenstein, 2015), while columnar models place it ventral to the prethalamus and posterior to the telencephalon (Swanson, 1992, 2012). These models are generated by differing interpretations of developmental gene expression patterns, and disproving either one requires a better understanding of the genes that pattern the hypothalamus and associated structures.

Canonical Wnt signaling is essential for anteroposterior patterning of the vertebrate forebrain (Kiecker and Niehrs, 2001) and is an excellent candidate mechanism for regulating patterning of both the hypothalamus and prethalamus. Multiple sites of Wnt expression are found along the margins of both structures. Prior to the onset of neurogenesis in the mouse, both *Wnt3/3a* and *Wnt8a/b* are produced by cells in the thalamus adjacent to the zona

limitans intrathalamica (ZLl) and hypothalamus, respectively (Fig. 1a) (Braun et al., 2003; Martinez- Ferre et al., 2013). This expression pattern is maintained following the onset of neurogenesis (Fig. 1b ), with the addition of a minor anterior zone of Wnt8b expression at the hypothalamic/telencephalic border (Fig. 1b), and a broad zone of Wnt7a/b expression throughout the prethalamus and in progenitors of GABAergic neurons in the hypothalamus (Shimogori et al., 2010).

Previous work has shown that canonical Wnt signaling induces expression of markers of sensory thalamus while suppressing prethalamic markers (Bluske et al., 2012; Braun et al., 2003), but has not examined its role in later stages of prethalamic development. Studies of the role of the canonical Wnt pathway factors in hypothalamic patterning have primarily been conducted in zebrafish (Lee et al., 2006; McPherson et al., 2016; Russek-Blum et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2017). These studies demonstrated an essential role for Wnt8b and its effector gene Lef1 in regulation of both neurogenesis and differentiation of selected hypothalamic neuronal subtypes, but did not observe an effect on hypothalamic patterning per se. None of these studies, however, studied the global effects of manipulating canonical Wnt signaling by targeting the major signaling component *Ctnnb1*. In this study, we analyze mice in which we have generated selective gain and loss of function mutations of *Ctnnb1* in hypothalamic and prethalamic neuroepithelium.

#### **2 Materials and methods:**

## **2.1 Mouse breeding and embryo collection**

Heterozygous Nkx2.1-Cre: GFP transgenic mice (Nkx2.1-Cre/+) (Brault et al., 2001) or Foxd1-Cre: GFP knock-in mice (Foxd1-Cre/+)(Humphreys et al., 2008) were mated to *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> (Brault et al., 2001) to generate *Nkx2.1-Cre/*+; *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/</sup>+ or *Foxd1-Cre/*+; *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/+</sup> mice which were then crossed back to *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice to generate *Ctnnb1*null mice. The Foxd1-Cre/+ mice were also crossed to Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/ex3</sup>(Harada et al., 1999) mice to generate *Ctnnb1* gain of function mutants, by excising an autoinhibitory domain within the *Ctnnb1* gene. Mice were maintained and euthanized according to protocols approved by Johns Hopkins Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

#### **2.2. In situ hybridization**

Embryos were either embedded fresh frozen in OCT, or post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1xPBS then embedded in gelatin, before sectioning. In situ hybridization on fresh frozen tissue was performed as previously described (Blackshaw et al., 2004). In situ hybridization on post-fixed sections was performed as previously described (Shimogori et al., 2010). A list of probes used, and the number of animals of each mutant genotype examined, is shown in Table S1. An equal number of paired control animals were examined for each mutant genotype tested.

#### **2.3. EdU staining and cell counting**

Pregnant dams were given a 2 hr pulse of 50 mg/kg EdU (20 mg/mL in saline) on embryonic day E12.5 and then sacrificed via cervical dislocation. Embryos were post-fixed in 4% PFA, then cryopreserved in 30% sucrose, before being embedded in OCT and cryostat sectioned.

The Click-IT EdU imaging kit from Invitrogen was used to perform the EdU staining. Slides were coverslipped using Vectashield before being imaged at 40x on a Keyence microscope. Cell counting was conducted using ImageJ on five to six sections per animal. All counting was done blinded and manually.

#### **2.4. TUNEL staining**

Post-fixed and cryopreserved E12.5 embryos were sectioned and dry mounted. TUNEL staining was performed according to the protocol for cryopreserved tissue in the *In Situ* Cell Death Detection Kit-TMR red (Roche). A positive control was used (3000 U/mL DNAse I). Slides were DAPI stained then coverslipped using Vectashield.

#### **2.5. Statistical methods used**

For the EdU cell counting, the number of EdU-positive cells was taken as a fraction of the total area of the hypothalamus measured in  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. The values of the sections were averaged for each individual, and then compared using a two- tailed t-test. Three animals were used for each genotype.

#### **2.6. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)**

MRI was performed on E12.5 control and beta-catenin gain of function mutant embryos. MRI data were acquired on a Bruker 11,7T spectrometer, using a 10 mm volume transceiver coil. High angular resolution diffusion MRI data was acquired using a diffusion-weighted gradient and spin echo sequence (Aggarwal et al., 2010) with the following parameters: field of view  $= 6 \times 7 \times 7.5$  mm, 35 um isotropic resolution, 4 non-diffusion weighted images and diffusion weighted images acquired along 30 diffusion directions, b-value =  $1300 \text{ s/mm}^2$ , 2 signal averages, echo time / repetition time  $= 35/600$  ms, and scan time of 34 hrs. Tract density images (Farquharson et al., 2016) were generated using the MRtrix tool [\(www.mrtrix.org](http://www.mrtrix.org/)) from the diffusion MRI data.

#### **3. Results:**

## **3.1. Loss of function of Ctnnb1 in Nkx2.1-positive posteroventral hypothalamus disrupts Lef1 expression and leads to a reduction in posterior hypothalamic markers**

To investigate the role of canonical Wnt signaling in hypothalamic and prethalamic development in mouse, we used two different genetic strategies to selectively delete Cttnb1. To selectively target posteroventral hypothalamus, we used the *Nkx2.1-Cre* transgene, which is active in this region from E9.5 onward (Shimogori et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2008), but is not active in prethalamus (Fig. 1c). To target both hypothalamic and prethalamic neuroepithelium, we used Foxd1-Cre knock-in mice, in which Cre is active in these areas beginning at E8.0 (Fig. 1d) (Newman et al., 2018). These were then crossed to floxed Ctnnb1 mice (Brault et al., 2001) to generate  $Nkx2.1$ -Cre;Ctnnb1 $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  and Foxd1- $Cre; Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup> animals.$ 

Examining *Nkx2.1-Cre;Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice at E12.5, we observed a substantial reduction in the size of the domains expressing markers of posterior hypothalamus. These include Foxa1 and Irx5, which mark supramammilary (SMM) neuroepithelium (Fig. 2a-d (black

arrowhead), Fig. 2u), as well as  $F(x)$  and  $Sim1$ , which mark the mamillary (MM) region (Fig. 2e-h black arrowhead, Fig. 2u, Fig. S1A-J) (Shimogori et al., 2010). Sim1 is also expressed in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PvN) and the zona limitans intrathalamica (ZLI), both of which are Nkx2.1-negative. However, we did not observe altered expression of Sim1 in either the PvN (Fig. 2h open arrowhead, Fig. S1A-J) or the ZLI (Fig. 2h, red arrowhead; Fig. S1A-J). The expression of Nkx2.1 itself was reduced (Fig. S1K-U).

The Wnt effector gene Lef1 is selectively expressed in premamillary neuroepithelium (PMN), in the posterior prethalamus adjacent to the ZLI (Fig. 2i-j, yellow arrowhead) (Shimogori et al., 2010), the tuberomamillary terminal (TT), (Fig. 2i-j, black arrowhead, Fig. 2u) and in the intrahypothalamic diagonal (ID) (Fig. 2i-j, open arrowhead), a small domain along the hypothalamic-telencephalic boundary the may correspond to the domain of Wnt8b expression (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2i-j, red arrowhead, Fig. 2u). We observed that the majority of  $Left1$ expression was lost, including the ID, most of the PMN and TT, and the anterior hypothalamic expression domain, although the Nkx2.1-negative prethalamic domain is preserved. Another marker of the ID and TT, Lhx6, was maintained in the ID (Fig. 2k-l, S2A-H, black arrowhead) but greatly reduced in the TT (open arrowhead). Isl1 and Arx, which are expressed in contiguous domains that span the prethalamus and hypothalamus, did not display any general change in the shape or size of their expression domains in either structure (Fig. 2m-o), but were both displaced ventrally. This is most likely a result of a reduction in the Nkx2.1-positive, Arx and Isl1-negative hypothalamic domain of the ventral hypothalamus, which is supported by the observed reduction in the size of the Nkx2.1 expression domain here (Fig. S1K-U).

The most anterior region of the *Nkx2.1*-postive hypothalamic domain includes the arcuate nucleus (ArcN) and ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH) (Xu et al., 2008)(Shimogori et al., 2010,). We observed that expression of both the ArcN marker Pome and the VMH marker *Nr5a1* was extended posteriorly in *Nkx2.1- Cre;Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice (Fig. 2Q-U, Fig. S2I-B'). Since the overall size of the Nkx2.1-positive hypothalamic region was reduced in these animals, it is unclear whether this expanded expression domain represents a change in cell fate or simply a posterior migration of *Pomc* and *Nr5a1* -positive cells.

## **3.2. Loss of function of Ctnnb1 in Foxd1-positive prethalamic and hypothalamic neuroepithelium leads to a reduction in posterior hypothalamic markers, and modest reduction in prethalamic markers**

Using the *Nkx2.1-Cre* line enables us to investigate the role of canonical Wnt signaling in regulating the patterning and differentiation of the posteroventral hypothalamus, but does not let us study the patterning of the hypothalamus and prethalamus more broadly. In particular, it does not allow us to determine whether canonical Wnt signaling coordinately regulates expression of genes expressed in contiguous domains across both structures. To study this, we used the Foxd1-CreGFP knock-in line (Humphreys et al., 2010), which we have previously used to generate selective loss of function mutations in hypothalamus and prethalamus (Newman et al., 2018; Salvatierra et al., 2014).

When we compared  $Foxd1-Cre$ ; Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice to control littermates at E12.5, we observed a reduction in the size of both the prethalamic (black arrowhead) and hypothalamic expression domains (open arrowhead) of  $Arx$  and  $Is/1$  (Fig. 3A- D, Fig. 3U, Fig. S3A-L). We observed a near total loss of prethalamic Lef1 expression with the exception of a portion adjacent to the ZLI (black arrowhead), along with a reduction in hypothalamic Lef1 expression (open, red and yellow arrowheads) that closely resembles that seen in Nkx2.1-Cre; Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice (Fig. 3E-F, U). Lhx6 displayed a more severe reduction in expression than in *Nkx2.1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup>* mce, with only low levels detected in the ID (open arrowhead) and none in the TT (black arrowhead) (Fig. 3G-H, U). Lhx9, which is transcribed in precursors of hypocretin neurons in the lateral hypothalamus (black arrowheads), and the thalamic eminence (EmThal) (open arrowheads) (Dalai et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015; Shimogori et al., 2010), showed reduced and displaced hypothalamic expression but a modestly expanded expression domain in the EmThal (Fig. 3I-J, Fig. 3U, Fig. S3M-R).

We next examined expression of  $Otp$  and  $Sim1$ , which both have discrete expression domains in posterior and anterior hypothalamus (Shimogori et al., 2010). In the case of *Otp* -- which is expressed in PvN, ArcN and PMN - we observed a substantial reduction in the size of the PMN expression domain (open arrowhead), a modest reduction in the size of the PvN domain (black arrowhead), and no change in the size of the ArcN domain (red arrowhead) (Fig. 3K-L, U). Likewise, a dramatic reduction in the size of the MM domain of Sim1 was observed (open arrowhead), the PvN domain of Sim1 was moderately reduced (black arrowhead), and the ZLI domain was unaffected (red arrowhead) (Fig. 3M- N, U). A similar reduction in the size of the MM and SMN domain of Pitx2 expression was observed (open arrowhead), while the ZLI domain was also unaffected (black arrowhead) (Fig. 3O-P, U). The posterior basal plate domain of Shh showed reduced expression (open arrowhead), while Shh expression in the ZLI (black arrowhead) and in the pituitary (red arrowhead) was not affected (Fig. 3Q-R, U). Finally, like in *Nkx2.1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup>* mice, we observed a posterior expansion and dispersion of Pomc-expressing ArcN cells (Fig. 3S-U, Fig. S3V-X).

## **3.3. Expression of constitutively active Ctnnb1 in Foxd1-positive prethalamic neuroepithelium blocks expression of Arx and Isl1, but does not affect markers of prethalamic progenitors.**

We next investigated whether gain of function of *Ctnnb1* could produce phenotypes opposite to these seen following Cttnb1 loss of function. To achieve this, we generated Foxd1-*Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>* mice, in which Cre-dependent removal of an autoinhibitory domain in exon 3 of the *Ctnnb1* gene generates a constitutively active mutant form of the protein (Harada et al., 1999). By E12.5, *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>* mice showed strong induction of *Lef1* expression throughout the prethalamus and hypothalamus, but not the EmThal, indicating robust and widespread induction of canonical Wnt signaling compared to littermate controls (Fig. 4A-B). Examination of other markers in constitutively active Ctnnb1 mutants revealed a complex phenotype that is not simply the inverse of the phenotype observed in the loss of function mutants. Foxd1- Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> mice showed reduced expression of a number of prethalamic markers including Arx (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4C-D, U; Fig. S4 A-F), Isl1 (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4E-F, U; Fig. S4G-L), and Lhx1 (red arrowhead) (Fig. 4G-H, U;

Fig. S4M-R). Other markers of prethalamic progenitors, however, displayed only moderately reduced expression or no obvious change, including Gsh2 (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4I-J, U) and  $FgfJ5$  (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4K-L, U), indicating that general prethalamic identity was maintained in these mutants. Consistent with the fact that no induction of Lef1 expression was observed in EmThal, *Lhx1* expression in this structure was maintained (yellow and red arrowheads) (Fig. 4G-H, U; Fig. S4M-R).

## **3.4. Expression of constitutively active Ctnnb1 in Foxd1-positive hypothalamic neuroepithelium leads to expansion of a subset of posterior and premamillary markers, and a loss of expression of GABAergic neural precursor markers.**

Hypothalamic expression of the GABAergic markers Arx, Isl1 and Lhx1 was also severely disrupted. Arx expression in ID and TT was lost (open arrowhead) (Fig. 4C-D, U; Fig. S4A-F), while Isl1 expression was greatly reduced in all hypothalamic regions (open arrowhead) except for ArcN (red arrowhead) (Fig. 4E-F, U; Fig. S4G-L). Lhx1 expression was lost in the anterior ID, and substantially reduced in the posteriorly-located MM (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4E-F, U; Fig. S4G-L). Other markers of MM were also reduced, including Emx2 (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4M-N, U),  $Sim1$  (Fig. 4O-P, U),  $Wnt8b$  (Fig. 4Q-R, U) and  $Foxb1$ (Fig. 4S-T, U). Although the nuclear MM expression domain of  $Foxb1$  was reduced, we observed widespread ectopic Foxbl expression in more anterior hypothalamic regions (open arrowhead), suggesting that these cells may have dispersed from the MM (Fig. 4S-T, U). Interestingly, we also observed either expanded or unchanged expression of other MM and SMN markers, such as  $Lhx5$  (open arrowhead) (Fig. 5A-B, U) and Pitx2 (Fig. 5C-D, U; Fig. S4S-X).

We also observed a complex phenotype in the anterodorsal hypothalamus. Sim1 expression in the anterior PvN was substantially reduced (open arrow), although expression in the ZLI was maintained (Fig. 4O-P, U). Anterior hypothalamic domains of  $Fgf15$  (open arrowhead) (black arrowhead) (Fig. 4K-L) and  $Wnt8b$  (open arrowhead) (Fig. 4Q-R) were reduced and maintained, respectively. Lhx9, which marks precursors of orexinergic neurons (black arrowhead) (Fig. 5E-F, U; Fig. S5A-F) showed dispersed expression in more anterior hypothalamic structures similar to the phenotype seen with Foxb1. However, the EmThal expression domains of both  $Lhx5$  and  $Lhx9$  (Fig. 5A-B, 5E-F, U; Fig. S4S-X) were unaffected, like that of Lhx1.

 $Ntng2$ , which is expressed in PMH where *Lef1* levels are normally high (Shimogori et al., 2010), showed an expanded and anteriorly extended domain of hypothalamic expression (open arrowhead) (Fig. 5G-H, U; Fig. S5G-L). Expression of Bsx, which is detected in both PMN (black arrowhead) and ArcN (open arrowhead), was maintained in PMN but lost in ArcN (open arrowhead) (Fig. S5M-R). In addition to the loss of Arx and Lhx1 in the ID (open arrowhead) and TT (black arrowhead), another marker of GABAergic neurons, Lhx6, was also lost in these structures in mutant animals (Fig. 5I-J, U; Fig. S5S-X). Expression of the ArcN marker Pomc was disrupted, including a reduced nuclear domain and ectopic domains of expression detected throughout the tuberal hypothalamus (Fig. 5I-J, U; Fig. S6A-F).

## **3.5. Regional markers of hypothalamic identity are maintained in constitutively active Ctnnb1 hypothalamus.**

These widespread changes in hypothalamic gene expression raised the question of whether expression of constitutively active *Ctnnb1* disrupted expression of genes required to maintain hypothalamic regional identity. We observed a substantial reduction and dispersal of Rax-positive cells in tuberal hypothalamus (black arrowhead), although expression of Rax in PvN progenitors was maintained (open arrowhead) (Fig. 5M-N, U; Fig. S6G-L). Expression of Nkx2.1 was also reduced in tuberal hypothalamus, and likewise showed disrupted and dispersed expression in posterior hypothalamus (Fig. 5O-P). However, expression of Shh was maintained in the basal plate domain of hypothalamus (Fig. 5Q-R), and no change in the telencephalic expression domain of  $Foxg1$  was observed (Fig. 5S-T). These findings suggest that while overall hypothalamic identity is maintained in Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1 $e^{x}$ <sup>3/+</sup> mice, substantial changes in a subset of broadly expressed hypothalamic markers are observed.

#### **3.6. Constitutively active Ctnnb1 induces hyperproliferation of hypothalamic progenitors.**

To investigate the cellular mechanisms mediating the changes in gene expression detected in both Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1 $h^{k}$ <sup>hx/hx</sup> and Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> mice, we investigated changes in cell proliferation and death at E12.5. We observed a substantial increase in the thickness of both prethalamic and hypothalamic DAPI-positive neuroepithelium in Foxd1-  $Cre; Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> mice (Fig. S7A-E). EdU labeling at E12.5 revealed no significant change in$ proliferation in *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1* $\frac{log x}{log}$  (Fig. S7B,D), but a substantial increase in *Foxd1*-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> mice (Fig. S7C,E). The increase in thickness and overall disorganization of the prethalamic and hypothalamic neuroepithelium is particularly clear in DTI imaging analysis of E12.5 Foxd1-Cre; Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> embryos (Fig. 6). In contrast to control embryos, where the ventricular margin of the neuroepithelium was smooth and radial glial fibers are aligned perpendicular to the ventricles (Fig. 6C),  $Foxd1-Cre$ ; Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> embryos showed incipient foliation of hypothalamic and prethalamic neuroepithelium, along with corresponding disorganization of radial glial fibers (Fig. 6C). This massive disruption in hypothalamic development may contribute to the early death of  $Foxdl$ -Cre;Ctnnb1ex3/+ embryos, which do not survive past E15.

## **3.7. Gain and loss of function mutations in hypothalamic Ctnnb1 both disrupt pituitary development.**

Finally, although neither the *Nkx2.1-Cre* nor the *Foxd1-Cre* lines are active in pituitary, we observed severe disruption of pituitary development following both loss and gain of function of *Ctnnb1* in hypothalamic neuroepithelium. At E12.5 in both *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup>* and  $Nkx2.1-Cre; Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup>$  embryos, we observed that the anterior pituitary intruded into the tuberal hypothalamus, and that no pituitary stalk was observed (Fig. 7A-C). In contrast, in Foxd1- Cre; Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> embryos, the pituitary anlagen remained attached to the oral ectoderm (Fig. 7D-F). Furthermore, pituitary expression of both Pitx2 and Pomc was substantially increased in  $Foxd1-Cre$ ; Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> embryos.

#### **4. Discussion:**

This study demonstrates a central and complex role for canonical Wnt signaling in regulating the patterning and differentiation of mouse prethalamus and hypothalamus. Previous studies have demonstrated that canonical Wnt signaling confers posterior identity during neural development. During early stages of neural development, inhibition of Wnt signaling by Wnt antagonists secreted from the prechordal plate is necessary for forebrain specification (Shinya et al., 2000; Dorsky et al., 2003). Likewise, during early stages of thalamic development, Wnt signaling drives differentiation of the caudally located sensory thalamus, while repressing development of more rostral prethalamus (Bluske et al., 2012; Peng and Westerfield, 2006; Hagemann and Scholpp, 2012).

In this study, which examines the role of canonical Wnt signaling at later stages of prethalamic and hypothalamic development, we also observe phenotypes which are consistent with this pathway playing an important role in regulating anteroposterior patterning in hypothalamus. In both *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup>* and *Nkx2.1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox*</sup> loss of function mutants, we observe a decrease in expression of posterior hypothalamic markers, along with a corresponding expansion of anterior markers into posterior hypothalamus. In the hypothalamus of  $Foxdl-Cre$ ; Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> gain of function mutations, expansion of a subset of posterior and premamillary markers is observed, along with a reduction or disruption in expression of a subset of anterior hypothalamic markers. In prethalamus, a gain of function mutation in Ctnnb1 suppresses expression of GABAergic neuronal markers, while loss of function mutations lead to a much more modest reduction in expression of these markers. These data are consistent with a model in which canonical Wnt signaling acts as a posteriorizing signal in hypothalamic neuroepithelium, while acting to suppress expression of prethalamic markers.

A closer analysis of these results, however, reveals the role of canonical Wnt signaling to be substantially more complex, particularly upon closer examination of the effects of the gain of function mutation. While some posterior hypothalamic markers such as  $Pitx2$  and  $Lhx5$ were expanded, other posterior markers such as *Emx2*, and *Lhx1* were reduced. Genes selectively expressed in the Lef1-positive premamillary hypothalamus, such as Lhx9 and Ntng2, showed expanded expression, as expected, and consistent with studies in zebrafish (Lee et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2017). However expression of the TT marker  $Lhx6$  - which is expressed in GABAergic neurons in the premamillary region -- which shows high expression of Lef1 - was completely lost in these mutants. GABAergic markers expressed in the hypothalamic ID - such as  $LhxI$ ,  $Lhx6$  and  $Arx$  - were also lost. In contrast, other anterior hypothalamic markers expressed in mitotic progenitors or glutamatergic cells - such as Pomc, Fgf15, Otp, Sim1, and the anterior hypothalamic domains of Rax and Wnt8b - were preserved, although often showed reduced or disrupted expression. Moreover, markers of prethalamic progenitors such as *Gsh2* and *Fgf15* were essentially unaltered in Ctnnb1 gain of function mutations, implying that prethalamic regional identity was preserved.

These findings show some major differences, but also important parallels, with previous studies on the role of canonical Wnt signaling in diencephalic development. Previous studies

on the canonical Wnt effector *Lef1* in developing zebrafish hypothalamus, demonstrated that Lef1 loss of function did not affect overall hypothalamic patterning, but blocked expression of Isl1 and  $D/x2a$  in premamillary hypothalamus (Lee et al., 2006). While we do observe a reduction in hypothalamic Arx and Isl1 expression in Ctnnb1 loss of function mutations, we also observe a loss of other posterior hypothalamic markers. Moreover, while zebrafish Lef1 mutants do exhibit a smaller posterior hypothalamus, this results from defects in postembryonic neurogenesis (Wang et al., 2012), in contrast to the defects seen in mouse  $Ctnnb1$  mutants. The phenotype seen in the  $Ctnnb1$  gain of function mutant also in some respects resemble those previously observed following by loss of function of inhibitory regulators of Wnt signaling (Wang et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2011; Paridaen et al., 2009). For example, loss of *ape* (a key negative regulator of canonical Wnt signaling) in zebrafish leads to hyperproliferation (Lin et al., 20011) and an expansion of some posterior hypothalamic markers, including *Wnt8b* and  $Emx3$  (the zebrafish paralog of  $Emx2$ ) (Paridaen et al., 2009). Although the mouse paralogs of these genes were reduced in our gain of function mouse mutants, the fact that other posterior markers were expanded is consistent with an evolutionarily conserved function of canonical Wnt signaling in hypothalamic patterning.

Studies of early thalamic development have demonstrated that canonical Wnt signaling promotes expression of sensory thalamic markers while suppressing expression of prethalamic markers (Bluske et al., 2012; Braun et al., 2003; Martinez-Ferre et al., 2013; Mattes et al., 2012). We observed that  $Lhx9$  and  $Ntng2$ , along with  $Left$  itself, were prominently expressed in both premamillary hypothalamus and sensory thalamus, and that these genes showed similar changes in response to gain and loss of function. This implies that at least a subset of the core beta-catenin-regulated transcription network that is involved in Wnt-mediated patterning of the sensory thalamus may also be used in patterning of premamillary hypothalamus.

Furthermore, we observed that genes that selectively label GABAergic neural precursors in prethalamus -- such as Isl1, Arx and Lhx1 - also showed drastically reduced or absent expression in these animals. Strikingly, hypothalamic Arx expression was also lost, as was Lhx1 expression in GABAergic neuronal precursors of the ID, while both Lhx1 expression in glutamatergic mamillary precursors and hypothalamic Isl1 expression, which is essential for specification of multiple neural subtypes in ArcN (Lee et al., 2016; Nasif et al., 2015), were both dramatically reduced (Shimogori et al., 2010). In sharp contrast, however, expression of the prethalamic progenitor markers *Fgf15* and *Gsh2* was unaffected (Shimogori et al., 2010). Moreover, sensory thalamic markers such as  $Lhx9$  and  $Ntng2$  were not induced in prethalamic neuroepithelium. This demonstrates that constitutively high betacatenin activity does not in fact affect initial specification of prethalamic neuroepithelium, at least when induced after the onset of *Foxd1* expression, but instead potently inhibits generation and/or differentiation of GABAergic neurons of both the prethalamus and hypothalamus.

In addition to these effects on patterning and neuronal differentiation, we observed a massive increase in cell proliferation in *Ctnnb1* gain of function mutants that was not observed in previous studies, which used Olig3-Cre to induce expression of constitutively active betacatenin (Bluske et al., 2012).

Although many markers of prethalamic and hypothalamic neurons are lost in the Foxd1- *Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>* mutant, these animals showed a dramatic increase in proliferation and a large number of ectopic Lef1 -positive cells. The precise identity of these cells is unclear. Lef1-expressing cells were increased to a much greater extent than were markers such as Lhx9 and Ntgn2, implying that it is unlikely that these cells have been respecified to a premamillary identity. Most likely, these cells are simply arrested in an immature state, as suggested by studies in other CNS cell types (Wrobel et al., 2007;Joksimovic et al., 2009). Future studies, particularly those using single-cell RNA-Seq analysis (Picelli, 2017), can resolve this question in an unbiased and high-throughput manner. In contrast, *Ctnnb1* loss of function mutants did not show any obvious changes in proliferation or cell death at E12.5, although we cannot rule out the possibility that neurogenesis is decreased in these mutants, which may account for at least some of the phenotype observed in these mutants. Further analysis of progenitor versus postmitotic markers in the loss of function mutants, as well as lineage analysis of progenitors would help resolve this question.

Finally, genetic manipulation of *Ctnnb1* unexpectedly led to region-specific disruption of cell organization in hypothalamus. Both gain and loss of function mutants of *Ctnnb1* led to Pomc-positive cells being dispersed throughout the anterior and tuberal hypothalamus, and lost the compact nuclear morphology seen in wildtype animals. Moreover, in *Ctnnb1* gain of function mutants,  $Foxb1$ - expressing cells of the MM, as well as  $Lhx9$  and Ntng2-expressing cells of the dorsal PMN, all showed broad dispersion throughout the posterior and tuberal hypothalamus. These phenotypes may be due to disrupted nucleogenesis, resulting either from the loss of *Ctnnb1* in adherens junctions, where non- cytosolic *Ctnnb1* normally resides when not actively involved in Wnt signaling (Hagemann et al., 2014), or as a result of disrupted expression of genes controlling cell adhesion. A similar mechanism regulates cell distribution in the thalamus, where canonical Wnt signaling acts in developing thalamus to control Pedh10b expression, and cells in the caudal and rostral thalamus intermingle when these domains express similar levels of Pedh10b (Peukert et al., 2011).

Taken together, these findings show that canonical Wnt signaling regulates many different aspects of prethalamic and hypothalamic development, including regional patterning, neuronal differentiation, progenitor proliferation, and nucleogenesis. There are some clear similarities in the mechanism of action of this signaling pathway in both prethalamus and hypothalamus. Most notably, *Ctnnb1* gain of function mutants show a loss of expression of common GABAergic neuronal precursor markers such as Arx and Lhx1, while a much more modest reduction in expression of these markers is seen in loss of function mutations. Similar phenotypes are also seen for  $Is/I$  The similarity in the prethalamic and hypothalamic phenotypes of these mutants is less surprising when one considers that these genes are expressed in contiguous and partially overlapping domains in both hypothalamus and prethalamus. Arx-positive GABAergic neurons of the prethalamus form a contiguous domain with hypothalamic ID and TT, and express a large number of common molecular markers (Shimogori et al., 2010). *Isl1-expressing cells likewise comprise a contiguous*, and partially overlapping, zone that includes both GABAergic cells in the prethalamus and a highly diverse assortment of neuronal subtypes in the hypothalamus (Lee et al., 2016; Nasif et al., 2015; Shimogori et al., 2010). These results are the latest in a series of genetic studies (MacDonald et al., 2013; Roy et al., 2013) that cannot readily be accounted for by the

prosomere model of forebrain organization (Puelles and Rubenstein, 2015), and instead indicate that the hypothalamus and prethalamus form a common developmental unit, as predicted by traditional columnar models of brain organization (Swanson, 1992, 2012).

The source and identity of the Wnt ligand controlling beta-catenin activity in hypothalamus and prethalamus is still undetermined. It is likely that  $Wnt8a/b$  derived from mamillary hypothalamus is mediating the patterning of the hypothalamus, consistent with research in zebrafish (Kim et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2006). Although  $Wnt8b^{-/}$ mice were reported to have no discernible defects in patterning in the diencephalon (Fotaki et al., 2010), this study only investigated expression of three diencephalic markers, one of which was /s/1, a gene whose expression domain was displaced ventrally in *Ctnnb1* loss of function mutants, but did not otherwise display a shift in position or expression. It is likely that other Wnts are compensating for the loss of *Wnt8b* in regulating hypothalamic patterning, with the best candidate being *Wnt8a*, which is expressed in a similar pattern to *Wnt8b*. The selective defects in development of prethalamic and hypothalamic GABAergic neurons seen in our mutants may reflect a differential requirement for Wnt signaling in their development. Since this population selectively expresses Wnt7a and Wnt7b (Shimogori et al., 2010), it is possible that these factors may serve as a paracrine signal to control the differentiation of these cells.

Finally, one of the more surprising results of this study was the disruption of the pituitary in all mutant lines examined. Normally, the anterior pituitary forms from the oral ectoderm and migrates to meet the posterior pituitary ventral to the hypothalamus. In both loss of function Ctnnb1 mutants, the migrating pituitary appeared to have failed to receive any 'stop' signal and was invading the hypothalamus itself. In the beta-catenin gain of function mutant, the opposite phenotype occurred. The pituitary failed to migrate at all, and remained stuck to the roof of the mouth. It also exhibited a fate change, as the *Pomc* and  $Pitx2$  expression domains were significantly expanded. The effects on pituitary development are non-cell autonomous, as the Foxd1-Cre is not expressed in the pituitary. Instead, this effect must have been mediated by changes in the hypothalamus, which secretes many important signaling molecules important for pituitary development, including FGFs and BMP7 (Potok et al., 2008). An apoptotic domain on the ventral side of Rathke's pouch is necessary for separation from the oral ectoderm; this domain is most likely lacking in the *Ctnnb1* gain of function mutant, perhaps due to increased expression of prosurvival factors from the hypothalamus (Davis and Camper, 2007). Altered expression of BMPs and BMP antagonists such as noggin may be mediating the expanded marker domains, as this pathway is known to regulate Pomc expression in the pituitary (Davis and Camper, 2007). More research needs to be done to determine the cause of the migratory defect observed in hypothalamic-specific loss of function mutants of *Ctnnb1* and the precise cause of the fate shift seen in gain of function mutants.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## **Acknowledgements:**

We thank Wendy Yap and Thomas Kim for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH R01DK108230, and a Flopkins Synergy Award (to S.B.)

#### **Abbreviations:**



#### **References:**

- Aggarwal M, Mori S, Shimogori T, Blackshaw S, Zhang J, 2010 Three- dimensional diffusion tensor microimaging for anatomical characterization of the mouse brain. Magn Reson Med 64, 249–261. [PubMed: 20577980]
- Bluske KK, Vue TY, Kawakami Y, Taketo MM, Yoshikawa K, Johnson JE, Nakagawa Y, 2012 beta-Catenin signaling specifies progenitor cell identity in parallel with Shh signaling in the developing mammalian thalamus. Development 139, 2692–2702. [PubMed: 22745311]
- Bosco A, Bureau C, Affaticati P, Gaspar P, Bally-Cuif L, Lillesaar C, 2013 Development of hypothalamic serotoninergic neurons requires Fgf signalling via the ETS-domain transcription factor Etv5b. Development 140, 372–384. [PubMed: 23250211]
- Brault V, Moore R, Kutsch S, Ishibashi M, Rowitch DH, McMahon AP, Sommer L, Boussadia O, Kemler R, 2001 Inactivation of the beta-catenin gene by Wnt1-Cre-mediated deletion results in dramatic brain malformation and failure of craniofacial development. Development 128, 1253– 1264. [PubMed: 11262227]
- Braun MM, Etheridge A, Bernard A, Robertson CP, Roelink FI., 2003 Wnt signaling is required at distinct stages of development for the induction of the posterior forebrain. Development 130, 5579– 5587. [PubMed: 14522868]
- Dalai J, Roh JH, Maloney SE, Akuffo A, Shah S, Yuan H, Wamsley B, Jones WB, de Guzman Strong C, Gray PA, Holtzman DM, Heintz N, Dougherty JD, 2013 Translational profiling of hypocretin neurons identifies candidate molecules for sleep regulation. Genes Dev 27, 565–578. [PubMed: 23431030]
- Davis SW, Camper SA, 2007 Noggin regulates Bmp4 activity during pituitary induction. Dev Biol 305, 145–160. [PubMed: 17359964]
- Farquharson S, Tournier JD, Calamante F, Mandelstam S, Burgess R, Schneider ME, Berkovic SF, Scheffer IE, Jackson GD, Connelly A, 2016 Periventricular Nodular Fleterotopia: Detection of Abnormal Microanatomic Fiber Structures with Whole-Brain Diffusion MR Imaging Tractography. Radiology 281,896–906. [PubMed: 27355897]

- Ferran JL, Puelles L, Rubenstein JL, 2015 Molecular codes defining rostrocaudal domains in the embryonic mouse hypothalamus. Front Neuroanat 9, 46. [PubMed: 25941476]
- Fukuchi-Shimogori T, Grove EA, 2001 Neocortex patterning by the secreted signaling molecule FGF8. Science 294, 1071–1074. [PubMed: 11567107]
- Fukuchi-Shimogori T, Grove EA, 2003 Emx2 patterns the neocortex by regulating FGF positional signaling. Nat Neurosci 6, 825–831. [PubMed: 12872126]
- Flagemann AI, Kurz J, Kauffeld S, Chen Q, Reeves PM, Weber S, Schindler S, Davidson G, Kirchhausen T, Scholpp S, 2014 In vivo analysis of formation and endocytosis of the Wnt/pcatenin signaling complex in zebrafish embryos. J Cell Sci 127, 3970–3982. [PubMed: 25074807]
- Harada N, Tamai Y, Ishikawa T, Sauer B, Takaku K, Oshima M, Taketo MM, 1999 Intestinal polyposis in mice with a dominant stable mutation of the beta-catenin gene. EMBO J 18, 5931–5942. [PubMed: 10545105]
- Flumphreys BD, Lin SL, Kobayashi A, Hudson TE, Nowlin BT,Bonventre JV, Valerius MT, McMahon AP, Duffield JS, 2010 Fate tracing reveals the pericyte and not epithelial origin of myofibroblasts in kidney fibrosis. Am J Pathol 176, 85–97. [PubMed: 20008127]
- Kiecker C, Lumsden A, 2004 Hedgehog signaling from the ZLI regulates diencephalic regional identity. Nat Neurosci 7, 1242–1249. [PubMed: 15494730]
- Kiecker C, Niehrs C, 2001 A morphogen gradient of Wnt/beta-catenin signalling regulates anteroposterior neural patterning in Xenopus. Development 128, 4189–4201. [PubMed: 11684656]
- Lee B, Lee S, Lee SK, Lee JW, 2016 The LIM-homeobox transcription factor Isl1 plays crucial roles in the development of multiple arcuate nucleus neurons. Development 143, 3763–3773. [PubMed: 27578785]
- Lee JE, Wu SF, Goering LM, Dorsky RI, 2006 Canonical Wnt signaling through Lef1 is required for hypothalamic neurogenesis. Development 133, 4451–4461. [PubMed: 17050627]
- Lin J, Wang X, Dorsky RI, 2011 Progenitor expansion in ape mutants is mediated by Jak/Stat signaling. BMC Dev Biol 11,73. [PubMed: 22136118]
- Liu J, Merkle FT, Gandhi AV, Gagnon JA, Woods IG, Chiu CN, Shimogori T, Schier AF, Prober DA, 2015 Evolutionarily conserved regulation of hypocretin neuron specification by Lhx9. Development 142, 1110–1124.
- MacDonald RB, Pollack JN, Debiais-Thibaud M, Heude E, Talbot JC, Ekker M, 2013 The ascl1a and dlx genes have a regulatory role in the development of GABAergic interneurons in the zebrafish diencephalon. Dev Biol 381,276–285. [PubMed: 23747543]
- Manning L, Ohyama K, Saeger B, Hatano O, Wilson SA, Logan M, Placzek M, 2006 Regional morphogenesis in the hypothalamus: a BMP-Tbx2 pathway coordinates fate and proliferation through Shh downregulation. Dev Cell 11,873–885. [PubMed: 17141161]
- Martinez-Ferre A, Navarro-Garberi M, Bueno C, Martinez S, 2013 Wnt signal specifies the intrathalamic limit and its organizer properties by regulating Shh induction in the alar plate. J Neurosci 33, 3967–3980. [PubMed: 23447606]
- Mattes B, Weber S, Peres J, Chen Q, Davidson G, Houart C, Scholpp S, 2012 Wnt3 and Wnt3a are required for induction of the mid-diencephalic organizer in the caudal forebrain. Neural Dev 7, 12. [PubMed: 22475147]
- McPherson AD, Barrios JP, Luks-Morgan SJ, Manfredi JP, Bonkowsky JL, Douglass AD, Dorsky RI, 2016 Motor Behavior Mediated by Continuously Generated Dopaminergic Neurons in the Zebrafish Hypothalamus Recovers after Cell Ablation. Curr Biol 26, 263–269. [PubMed: 26774784]
- Nasif S, de Souza FS, Gonzalez LE, Yamashita M, Orquera DP, Low MJ, Rubinstein M, 2015 Islet 1 specifies the identity of hypothalamic melanocortin neurons and is critical for normal food intake and adiposity in adulthood. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112, E1861–1870. [PubMed: 25825735]
- Newman EA, Kim DW, Wan J, Wang J, Qian J, Blackshaw S, 2018 Foxd1 is required for terminal differentiation of anterior hypothalamic neuronal subtypes. Dev Biol 439, 102–111. [PubMed: 29679559]
- Ohyama K, Das R, Placzek M, 2008 Temporal progression of hypothalamic patterning by a dual action of BMP. Development 135, 3325–3331. [PubMed: 18787065]

- Paridaen JTML, Danesin C, Elas AT, van de Water S, Houart C, Zivkovic D, 2009 Apc1 is required for maintenance of local brain organizers and dorsal midbrain survival. Dev Biol 331, 101–112. [PubMed: 19397905]
- Pearson CA, Ohyama K, Manning L, Aghamohammadzadeh S, Sang H, Placzek M, 2011 FGFdependent midline-derived progenitor cells in hypothalamic infundibular development. Development 138, 2613–2624. [PubMed: 21610037]
- Picelli S, 2017 Single-cell RNA-sequencing: The future of genome biology is now. RNA Biol 14, 637– 650. [PubMed: 27442339]
- Potok MA, Cha KB, Hunt A, Brinkmeier ML, Leitges M, Kispert A, Camper SA, 2008 WNT signaling affects gene expression in the ventral diencephalon and pituitary gland growth. Dev Dyn 237, 1006–1020. [PubMed: 18351662]
- Puelles L, Rubenstein JL, 2015 A new scenario of hypothalamic organization: rationale of new hypotheses introduced in the updated prosomeric model. Front Neuroanat 9, 27. [PubMed: 25852489]
- Roy A, de Melo J, Chaturvedi D, Thein T, Cabrera-Socorro A, Houart C, Meyer G, Blackshaw S, Tole S, 2013 LHX2 is necessary for the maintenance of optic identity and for the progression of optic morphogenesis. J Neurosci 33, 6877–6884. [PubMed: 23595746]
- Russek-Blum N, Gutnick A, Nabel-Rosen H, Blechman J, Staudt N, Dorsky RI, Houart C, Levkowitz G, 2008 Dopaminergic neuronal cluster size is determined during early forebrain patterning. Development 135, 3401–3413. [PubMed: 18799544]
- Salvatierra J, Lee DA, Zibetti C, Duran-Moreno M, Yoo S, Newman EA, Wang H, Bedont JL, de Melo J, Miranda-Angulo AL, Gil-Perotin S, Garcia-Verdugo JM, Blackshaw S, 2014 The LIM homeodomain factor Lhx2 is required for hypothalamic tanycyte specification and differentiation. J Neurosci 34, 16809–16820. [PubMed: 25505333]
- Scholpp S, Wolf O, Brand M, Lumsden A, 2006 Hedgehog signalling from the zona limitans intrathalamica orchestrates patterning of the zebrafish diencephalon. Development 133, 855–864. [PubMed: 16452095]
- Shimogori T, Lee DA, Miranda-Angulo A, Yang Y, Wang H, Jiang L, Yoshida AC, Kataoka A, Mashiko H, Avetisyan M, Qi L, Qian J, Blackshaw S, 2010 A genomic atlas of mouse hypothalamic development. Nat Neurosci 13, 767–775. [PubMed: 20436479]
- Swanson LW, 1992 Brain Maps: structure of the rat brain. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Swanson LW, 2012 Brain Architecture: Understanding the Basic Plan, Second ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Szabo NE, Zhao T, Cankaya M, Theil T, Zhou X, Alvarez-Bolado G, 2009 Role of neuroepithelial Sonic hedgehog in hypothalamic patterning. J Neurosci 29, 6989–7002. [PubMed: 19474326]
- Toyoda R, Assimacopoulos S, Wilcoxon J, Taylor A, Feldman P, Suzuki- Hirano A, Shimogori T, Grove EA, 2010 FGF8 acts as a classic diffusible morphogen to pattern the neocortex. Development 137, 3439–3448. [PubMed: 20843859]
- Vieira C, Garda AL, Shimamura K, Martinez S, 2005 Thalamic development induced by Shh in the chick embryo. Dev Biol 284, 351–363. [PubMed: 16026780]
- Wang X, Kopinke D, Lin J, McPherson AD, Duncan RN, Otsuna H, Moro E, Hoshijima K, Grunwald DJ, Argenton F, Chien CB, Murtaugh LC, Dorsky RI, 2012 Wnt signaling regulates postembryonic hypothalamic progenitor differentiation. Dev Cell 23, 624–636. [PubMed: 22975330]
- Xie Y, Kaufmann D, Moulton MJ, Panahi S, Gaynes JA, Watters HN, Zhou D, Xue HH, Fung CM, Levine EM, Letsou A, Brennan KC, Dorsky RI, 2017 Lef1-dependent hypothalamic neurogenesis inhibits anxiety. PLoS Biol 15, e2002257. [PubMed: 28837622]
- Xu Q, Tam M, Anderson SA, 2008 Fate mapping Nkx2.1-lineage cells in the mouse telencephalon. J Comp Neurol 506, 16–29. [PubMed: 17990269]

### **Highlights:**

- **•** Canonical Wnt signalling regulates anteroposterior patterning in the hypothalamus.
- **•** Canonical Wnt signalling regulates differentiation of GABAergic neurons in both prethalamus and hypothalamus.
- **•** Canonical Wnt signalling regulates differentiation and nucleogenesis of multiple hypothalamic neuronal subtypes.
- **•** Canonical Wnt signalling in hypothalamic neuroepithelium regulates pituitary morphogenesis and differentiation.



#### **Figure 1: Wnt expression and Cre activity in the developing hypothalamus.**

A. Wnt3/3a and Wnt8a/8b are expressed in a contiguous stripe across the developing prethalamus and hypothalamus at E9.5. B. At E12.5, Wnt3/3a are expressed in a stripe across the posterior prethalamus and Wnt8a/8b is restricted to the mamillary of the hypothalamus, as well as small domains in the dorsal anterior hypothalamus and thalamic eminence. At this time, Wnt7a/7b is expressed in interneuron progenitors across the hypothalamus. C-D. Schematics showing activity patterns of Nkx2.1-Cre (C) and Foxd1-Cre (D) at E12.5. Nkx2.1-Cre is restricted to a ventral stripe across the anterior-posterior axis and is excluded from the prethalamus. Foxd1-Cre is expressed throughout the whole of the hypothalamus and prethalamus. We used a conditional deletion strategy to either excise a functionally required portion of the *Ctnnb1* gene to generate a *Ctnnb1* -null mouse, or excise an autoinhibitory domain within the third exon to generate a constitutively active form of Ctnnb1.



**Figure 2: Loss of function of beta-catenin results in an antenonzation of the hypothalamus.** A-T: In situ hybridization of hypothalamic markers on E12.5 control (Cre-negative, either Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup> or Ctnnb1<sup>lox/+</sup>) and Nkx2.1- Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>lox/lox</sup> sagittal sections. A-J: Black arrowheads indicate the supramamillary (A-D), mamillary (E-H) and premamillary (I-J), all of which were reduced in the mutant. The PVN was unaffected (open arrowheads, G and H). The ID lost Lef1 expression (open arrowheads, I and J) but not Lhx6 expression (black arrowheads, K and L). The TT was reduced (open arrowheads, K and L). M-P: Black arrowheads indicate the prethalamus, which was intact in mutants. Open arrowheads indicate the hypothalamic stripe domain, which was ventralized in the mutants. Red bar in O represents the Arx-negative hypothalamic domain that was missing in the mutant. Q-T: Anterior structures were expanded into the posterior domain. Q-R: black arrowheads indicate the arcuate. S-T: black arrowheads indicate the VMH. U: Schematic showing wildtype anatomy of the hypothalamus and prethalamus.



**Figure 3: Loss of function of beta-catenin results in a thinning and anteriorization of the hypothalamus but has no effect on the prethalamus: Sagittal.**

A-T: *In situ* hybridization on E12.5 control (Cre-negative, either *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> or *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/+</sup>) and *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/ox</sup> sagittal sections. A-D: Black arrowheads indicate the prethalamus, which was unaffected in the mutants. White arrowheads indicate the thinned hypothalamic domain. The Lef1 domain of the ID was absent in the mutants (red arrowhead, E and F) but the  $Lhx6$  domain was unaffected (open arrowhead, G and H). However the TT domain of *Lhx6* was gone (black arrowheads, G and H). E, F: The premamillary domain of Lef1 was reduced (open arrowheads), as was the prethalamic domain (black arrowheads), and the anterior domain was absent in the mutants (yellow arrowhead). I, J: The thalamic eminence was unaffected (open arrowheads), as were the orexigenic neuron precursors labeled by Lhx9, although this domain was ventralized (black arrowheads). K-N: The PVN was unaffected in the mutant (black arrowheads). Markers encompassing the supramamillary and mamillary were reduced in the mutants (open arrowheads; K-P). The Otp domain of the anterior hypothalamus was unaffected (red arrowheads, K and L). The ZLI was unaffected (red arrowheads, M, N; black arrowheads O-R), as was the basal plate domain of Shh (open arrowheads; Q, R). The pituitary was displaced into the hypothalamic neuroepithelium (red arrowheads, S and T). Pomc in the arcuate was expanded into the posterior domain (black arrowheads, S and T). U: Schematic showing wild-type anatomy of the hypothalamus and prethalamus.





A-T: *In situ* hybridization on E12.5 control (*Foxd1-Cre-negative;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>*) and *Foxd1*-*Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>* sagittal sections. A,B: *Lef1* was expanded throughout the hypothalamus, indicating a successful upregulation in canonical Wnt signaling. (Red arrowheads=prethalamus, black arrowheads=ZLI adjacent, green arrowhead=premamillary, open arrowhead=ID, yellow arrowhead=ventral AH). C-F: Black arrowheads indicate the prethalamus, which was absent except for a tiny sliver in D, and reduced but still present in F. Expression of the stripe marker Isl1 was also reduced in the hypothalamus (open

arrowheads), but not the ventral AH (red arrowheads) (E, F). G-L:  $Lhx1$  expression was completely lost in the prethalamus, but Gsh2 and Fgf15 expression were preserved (red arrowheads).  $Lhx1$  expression was also lost in the ID (red outlined arrowhead), anterior ID (open arrowhead) and heavily reduced in the mamillary (black arrowhead) but preserved in the thalamic eminence (yellow arrowhead) and ZLI (green arrowhead). Fgf15 was also preserved in the dorsal anterior hypothalamus (open arrowheads; K, L). M-T: There were differential effects on mamillary markers in the mutant. *Emx2* was largely preserved, while Sim1 was completely absent (black arrowheads; M-P). The nuclear domains of Wnt8b and Foxb1 were significantly reduced (black arrowheads; Q-T), although there was a migratory population of Foxb1-expressing cells that were expanded into the anterior domain in the GOF mutants (open arrowheads; S, T). Sim1 was preserved in the PVN (open arrowheads) and ZLI (red arrowheads) (Ο, P), while the anterior domain (open arrowhead) and thalamic eminence domain (red arrowhead) of  $Wnt8b$  expression was similarly unaffected (Q, R). U: Schematic showing wild-type anatomy of the hypothalamus and prethalamus.





A-T: *In situ* hybridization on E12.5 control (*Foxd1-Cre*-negative; *Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>*) and *Foxd1*-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>sagittal sections. A-D: Two markers of the posterior hypothalamus were expanded in the mutants:  $Lhx\delta$  in the mamillary (open arrowheads; A, B) and  $Pitx2$  in the SMN and MM (open arrowheads; C, D). ZLI expression was maintained for both of these markers (black arrowheads; A-D). Markers of the thalamic eminence were also preserved (yellow arrowheads; A, B, E, F). Lhx9-expressing orexigenic neurons were expanded in the GOF mutant (black arrowheads, E, F). G-H: The dorsal PMN expression of Ntng2 was also

expanded (open arrowheads). I-J: Lhx6 was completely absent from the ID (open arrowhead) and TT (black arrowhead). K-L: The arcuate (open arrowheads) was severely disrupted in the GOF mutant. M-P: In the mutant, ventral stripe markers were reduced to their posterior domain and were extremely mosaic (black arrowheads) and expression of Rax within the PVN was unaffected (open arrowheads; M, N). Q-R: Expression of Shh was unaffected in the supramamillary/mamillary region (open arrowheads) and ZLI (black arrowheads). S-T: There was no shift in the location of the DTJ (black arrowheads). U: Schematic showing wild-type anatomy of the hypothalamus and



**Figure 6: Hyperplastic hypothalamic neuroepithelium is observed in constitutively active betacatenin mutants.**

A-F: MRI on *Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>* (A-C) and *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>* (D-F) E12.5 embryos. A, D: diffusion-weighted MR images showing the gross neuroanatomy of the E12.5 embryos. Dashed line indicates the level at which the horizontal sections in B, C, E and F were taken. B, E: horizontal diffusion-weighted MR images at the level indicated in A and D. C and F: In the tract-density images, Colored streamlines indicate the orientation of the fiber tracts, which can either represent axons or radial glia, but in this case most likely represents radial glia. The hypothalamic neuroepithelium was significantly disrupted in the GOF mutant.



**Figure 7: The specification and migration of the pituitary is disrupted by gain and loss of function of beta-catenin.**

A-D: In situ hybridization on E12.5 control (Cre-negative, either Ctnnb1 $\frac{log/log}{log}$  or *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/+</sup>) and *Nkx2.1-Cre;Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> sagittal sections. A-B: The hypothalamic expression of  $Nkx2.1$  and  $Nr5a1$  (open arrowheads) was displaced into a wedge shape by the invading pituitary (black arrowheads) in the mutant.  $Nkx2.1$  is also expressed in the anterior pituitary (red arrowhead; A): but this domain could not be seen in the mutant. E-H: In situ hybridization on E12.5 control (*Cre*-negative, either *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/lox</sup> or *Ctnnb1*<sup>lox/+</sup>) and Foxd1-Cre; Ctnnb1 $1<sup>lox/lox</sup>$  coronal sections. The invading pituitary is demarcated with a dashed circle—in this case, the pituitary maintained its shape (black arrowhead: pituitary; red arrowhead: anterior pituitary). *Pomc* and *Isl1* expression in the hypothalamus (open arrowheads) was displaced by the invading pituitary. I-L: In situ hybridization on E12.5 control (*Foxd1-Cre-*negative;*Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup>*) and *Foxd1-Cre;Ctnnb1<sup>ex3/+</sup> sagittal sections.* In constitutively active β-catenin mutant embryos, the pituitary was enlarged and had expanded expression of the anterior pituitary markers *Pomc* (red arrowheads, I, J) and *Pltx2* (black arrowheads, K, L). In at least one mutant, the pituitary remained stuck to the oral ectoderm (junction is indicated by green arrowhead in J). Open arrowheads in I, J indicate arcuate expression of Pome. M: Schematic showing wild-type pituitary anatomy and the pituitary phenotypes observed in each mutant line examined.



**Figure 8: Schematic demonstrating the developmental changes observed in following gain and loss of function of beta-catenin.**

In LOF mutants, the hypothalamus was anteriorized, and thinned resulting in more dorsal structures shifting ventrally. The prethalamus was unaffected. In GOF mutants, the phenotype was much more complex, with some posterior markers expanded but others lost. For the most part, anterior markers were preserved but reduced and often mosaic. The prethalamus was smaller in size and missing expression of some, but not all markers. The fate of the VMH is unknown in these mutants.