

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2018 September ; 27(9): 1075–1082. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0877.

Association of 25-hydroxyvitamin D with liver cancer incidence and chronic liver disease mortality in Finnish male smokers of the ATBC study

Gabriel Y. Lai¹, Jian-Bing Wang⁷, Stephanie J. Weinstein³, Dominick Parisi⁴, Ronald L. Horst⁵, Katherine A. McGlynn³, Satu Männistö⁶, Demetrius Albanes³, and Neal D. Freedman³

¹Environmental Epidemiology Branch, Epidemiology and Genomics Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, 9609 Medical Center Dr., Bethesda, MD 20892 ²Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Cancer Institute/Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, 100021, China ³Metabolic Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, 9609 Medical Center Dr., Bethesda, MD 20892 ⁴Information Management Services, Inc., Rockville, MD ⁵Heartland Assays, Inc., Ames, IA ⁶Department of Chronic Disease Prevention, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland ⁷Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Abstract

Background—Although circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentrations were linked to liver cancer and chronic liver disease (CLD) in laboratory studies, few epidemiologic studies have addressed the associations.

Methods—Within the ATBC Study, we measured 25(OH)D in baseline serum of 202 incident liver cancer cases and 225 CLD deaths that occurred during nearly 25 years of follow-up, and 427 controls. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using unconditional logistic regression. We examined pre-determined clinically defined cut-points, and season-specific and season-standardized quartiles.

Results—Low serum 25(OH)D concentrations were associated with higher risk of liver cancer (<25 nmol/L versus 50 nmol/L: 1.98, 95% CI: 1.22-3.20; p-trend across categories=0.003) and CLD mortality (1.93, 1.23-3.03; p-trend=0.006) in models adjusted for age and date of blood draw. After additional adjustment for BMI, diabetes, smoking, and other potential confounders, the association remained statistically significant for liver cancer (1.91, 1.16-3.15, p-trend=0.008), but

Corresponding author: Gabriel Y. Lai, Environmental Epidemiology Branch, Epidemiology and Genomics Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, 9609 Medical Center Dr., Bethesda, MD 20892, Phone #: 240-276-7201, laigy@mail.nih.gov.

Conflict of Interest We declare no conflicts of interest.

Trial Registration number: The ATBC Study was registered with clinicaltrials.gov (#NCT00342992).

was somewhat attenuated for CLD mortality (1.67, 1.02-2.75; p-trend=0.05). Associations were similar for analyses using season-specific and season-standardized quartiles, and after excluding participants with diabetes, or hepatitis B or C.

Conclusions—Our results suggest a possible preventive role for vitamin D against liver cancer and CLD, although the importance of the liver for vitamin D metabolism and the lack of information about underlying liver disease makes reverse causality a concern.

Impact—Future studies are needed to evaluate associations of vitamin D with liver cancer and liver disease in other populations, particularly those with a different constellation of risk factors.

Keywords

Vitamin D; liver cancer; chronic liver disease; nested case-control; prospective

Introduction

Liver cancer is the sixth most commonly occurring cancer in the world and the second leading cause of cancer death (1). Although a majority of liver cancer occurs in countries where hepatitis B (HBV) and C viruses (HCV) are prevalent, the incidence of liver cancer has dramatically increased in recent years in the US and other Western countries (2,3). This rise corresponds with higher rates of HCV infection among birth cohorts at the peak ages for cancer and higher prevalence of obesity and type-II diabetes in these countries. With a very poor rate of five-year survival after diagnosis, it is critically important to identify prevention strategies.

Vitamin D insufficiency may be related to liver cancer and liver disease. For example, vitamin D appears to demonstrate a number of positive benefits for the liver such as antiproliferative, pro-apoptotic, and anti-inflammatory characteristics in cell lines and animal models (4). Some epidemiologic studies have reported evidence of an inverse association (5,6). However, such associations could reflect reverse causality by undiagnosed underlying fibrosis or cirrhosis. The liver is the main site of synthesis for 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] (7) and the vitamin D binding protein, both of which are essential components of vitamin D signaling.

In order to obtain further insight into the association between vitamin D, liver disease, and liver cancer, we evaluated the association of serum 25(OH)D with incident liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality in a case-control study nested within a cohort of Finnish men. Our study has several advantages, including a prospective design, exclusion of patients with diagnosed cirrhosis at baseline, available data on HBV and HCV and other known liver cancer risk factors, and nearly 25 years of follow-up.

Materials and Methods

Study population

The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention (ATBC) Study was a randomized primary prevention trial of α -tocopherol and β -carotene supplementation that has been described previously (8). In brief, 29,133 Finnish male smokers, aged 50-69, were recruited

from 1985 to 1988 and randomized to daily supplementation of α -tocopherol (50 mg), β carotene (20 mg), both, or placebo. Participants with a previous diagnosis of cirrhosis were excluded from the trial. Supplementation ended in April of 1993 (median 6.1 years) and participants have been under follow-up since that time. The institutional review boards of the US National Cancer Institute and the National Public Health Institute of Finland approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Selection of cases and controls

Cases included men diagnosed with incident liver cancer (n=202; ICD-9=155) or who died from chronic liver disease (n=225; ICD-9=571 and ICD-10=K70, K73, or K74). All liver cancer cases were identified from the Finnish Cancer Registry while all chronic liver disease deaths were identified from the Finnish Register of Causes of Deaths. Both incident liver cancers and chronic liver disease deaths were identified from the time of randomization through December 31, 2009. Alcohol-related liver disease was noted to be the underlying cause of approximately 90% of the chronic liver disease deaths. Each case was matched to a control who was alive and free of cancer at the time of the case's liver cancer diagnosis or death from chronic liver disease. Cases and controls were matched (1:1) on age at randomization (\pm 1 year), date of baseline serum collection (\pm 30 days), and the date of measurement for vitamin D. Case and controls were adjacent in the same batch, in randomized order, with blinded quality control samples interspersed.

Specimen and data collection

At baseline, participants underwent a physical examination by registered nurses to measure height and weight, and to collect an overnight fasting blood sample. Participants also completed a questionnaire detailing their tobacco smoking, diet, lifestyle, and medical history.

Fasting serum samples that were collected at baseline were stored at -70° C. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were measured using a direct, competitive chemiluminescence immunoassay (Heartland Assays, Ames, IA). Most samples for the study were measured at two time points: 175 pairs in January 2008 and 242 pairs in February 2013. The inter- and intrabatch coefficients of variation (CVs) were 7.1% and 10.1%, respectively, for the first assay, and 1.4% and 3.9%, respectively, for the second assay. The remaining serum concentrations (n=13 pairs) were collected and measured from different dates ranging from January 1995 to February 2007. Specific information about the design and methods has been reported previously (9–13). The inter- and intrabatch CVs ranged from 6.3%-8.6% and 9.3%-11.0%, respectively.

HBV and HCV status were measured in baseline serum samples for a majority of cases and controls (167 liver cancer cases, 208 chronic liver disease deaths, and 347 controls). Testing for HBV surface antigen (HBsAg), antibody to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc), and antibody to HCV (anti-HCV) was performed by the NCI-Frederick National Laboratory. HBsAg was tested using enzyme immunoassay from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Redmond, WA), and anti-HBc and anti-HCV were tested using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays from Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics (Raritan, NJ). To evaluate the accuracy of these assays, we

purchased blood samples with known HBV and HCV status from SeraCare (Milford, MA). We used panels of 25 samples each (PHA206, PHE203, and PHV206) to evaluate HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HCV, respectively. Concordance of our testing with the results furnished for these panels by SeraCare were 100%.

Statistical analysis

Baseline descriptive characteristics were calculated as medians (continuous variables) or proportions (categorical variables). Logistic regression models were used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of incident liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality by different 25(OH)D categories. Due to concern about the potential effect of season on vitamin D concentrations, we adjusted for season using three separate approaches. First, we used pre-defined clinical cut-points for 25(OH)D (<25, 25 to <37.5, 37.5 to <50, 50 to <75, and 75 nmol/L) (13–15), adjusting for season in the models. As only 4% of the study participants had concentrations greater than 75 nmol/L, the referent category was defined as 50 nmol/L. Second, we used season-specific 25(OH)D quartiles that were based on the distribution of the controls split by season ("sunnier" months: May to October; "darker" months: November to April). Finally, we used season-standardized 25(OH)D quartiles which were determined by regressing log-transformed values of 25(OH)D concentration against calendar week of blood collection, using a locally weighted polynomial regression method. This was performed only among the two larger sets of data (measured in January 2008 or February 2013), as the smaller sets had insufficient numbers to run the polynomial regression. Quartiles were then created from the residuals (13,16). Results from these later two approaches, season-specific and season-standardized, are presented with the highest quartile as the referent category. Tests for linear trend were conducted by assigning to each category the median value (for clinical cutpoints) or an ordinal value (1-4 for season-specific and -standardized quartiles) and then treating this parameter as a continuous variable. Additional information and rationale on the use of these approaches – a priori clinically defined cutpoints, season-specific cutpoints, and seasonstandardized cutpoints - have been previously discussed (13).

We ran logistic regression models adjusted for age and date of blood draw and additionally adjusted for BMI (calculated as [weight in kg]/[height in m]²), history of diabetes, number of years smoked, and daily intake of alcohol and coffee. Additional adjustment for ATBC intervention arm, education, marital status, number of cigarettes smoked per day, serum α -tocopherol, serum β -carotene, serum retinol, serum total cholesterol, urban residence, physical activity, and measurement batch had little effect on the risk estimates and were not included in the final model. Results were similar for both conditional and unconditional logistic regression. Therefore, we present results from unconditional models due to their tighter confidence intervals.

As described earlier, the majority of the serum samples (97.7%) were measured for 25(OH)D at two time points, January 2008 (175 pairs) and February 2013 (242 pairs). For these two time points, the distribution of vitamin D concentrations among the controls were similar. In the initial measurements, we assessed vitamin D in liver cancer cases and their respective controls. We observed evidence for an association between vitamin D

concentrations and incident liver cancer, but with wide confidence intervals. Therefore, we decided to add additional cases of incident liver cancer and, to consider more fully the possible relationship between vitamin D and the liver, cases of chronic liver disease deaths and their respective controls. These samples were measured in February 2013. We also incorporated data from 13 pairs of cases and controls that had 25(OH)D measured previously. We present results from the pooled set for our main results, as these estimates are the most stable. However, the results from each individual set are shown separately in Supplementary Table 1.

Additional subgroup analyses were performed, i.e. participants who lacked diabetes, participants who tested negative for HBV and HCV, incident liver cancer cases that were classified as hepatocellular carcinoma (ICD-9=155.0), participants with complete data on alcohol and diet, participants drinking above or below the median level of daily alcohol intake in controls, and, for lag analyses, among cases occurring after the first 5, 10, and 15 years of follow-up. Effect modification by alcohol drinking was evaluated by using the likelihood ratio test to compare models with and without the cross-product term of 25(OH)D (categorical) and the dichotomous variable for median alcohol intake. For all subgroup analyses, we present results using season-specific quartiles, as these analyses allowed the largest number of controls in each vitamin D category, whereas, the numbers of controls in clinically defined categories became sparse in some subgroups.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and all P values were 2-sided.

Results

In Table 1, we present the distribution of vitamin D concentrations by baseline characteristics in controls. Participants with their blood collected in the sunnier months (May to October) tended to have higher concentrations of 25(OH)D, as did those having an urban residence, who were more physically active, who ate more vitamin D rich foods, and who used vitamin D supplements.

Liver cancer and chronic liver disease cases, compared with controls, drank more alcohol and less coffee at baseline; in addition, liver cancer cases, compared with controls, had a slightly higher BMI and were more likely to have diabetes at baseline (Table 2). Among those with information on HBV and HCV status, very few participants (1.2%) were HBV positive (positive for HBsAg) and the prevalence of HCV was also low. Just one control was HCV positive, in comparison to 8 (4.8%) of the liver cancer cases and 5 (2.4%) of participants who later died from chronic liver disease. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were lower in men who would later develop incident liver cancer (30.4 nmol/L) or die from chronic liver disease (31.4 nmol/L) relative to their respective controls (35.2 and 37.4 nmol/L).

In unconditional logistic regression models that were adjusted for age and date of blood draw, men with 25(OH)D concentrations less than 25 nmol/L had a statistically significant increased risk for incident liver cancer (OR=1.98, 95% CI=1.22-3.20, p-trend=0.003 across

categories) and for chronic liver disease mortality (OR=1.93, 95% CI=1.23-3.03, ptrend=0.006) compared to men with 25(OH)D concentrations at or above 50 nmol/L. Additional multivariable adjustment for alcohol use, BMI, diabetes, smoking, or coffee drinking at baseline did not alter associations for liver cancer (OR=1.91, 95% CI=1.16-3.15, p-trend=0.008). Although the associations for chronic liver disease mortality were somewhat attenuated (OR=1.67, 95% CI: 1.02-2.75; p-trend=0.05; Table 3), primarily due to coffee and alcohol intake, associations remained statistically significant. Similar associations were observed for analyses using season-specific and season-standardized quartiles. For liver cancer incidence, the multivariable adjusted odds ratios were 1.87 (1.12-3.12; ptrend=0.007) and 1.72 (1.02-2.88; p-trend=0.02) for men in the lowest quartile of vitamin D using season-specific and season-standardized quartiles, respectively, relative to men in the highest quartile. The corresponding odds ratios for chronic liver disease mortality were 1.69 (1.00-2.88; p-trend=0.06) and 1.40 (0.82-2.38; p-trend=0.18). In analyses of clinical cutpoints and season-specific quartiles that were stratified by season, associations were similar, although stronger in the subgroup of men whose blood was drawn in the summer. Similar associations were also observed among samples measured in January 2008 and February 2013 (Supplementary Table 1).

In lag analyses, displayed using season-specific quartiles, generally similar associations were observed in cases occurring five, 10, and 15 years after blood collection (Table 4), although associations were somewhat attenuated among cases occurring many years after blood collection and confidence intervals were wider.

In additional subgroup analyses, we observed similar associations after excluding cases and controls with self-reported diabetes and after excluding the small proportion of HBV and HCV positive participants. For liver cancer, we observed similar associations when limiting the analysis to the 71.8% of cases classified as hepatocellular carcinoma. To address missing data for alcohol and diet in a subset of cases and controls (14 liver cancer cases, 23 chronic liver disease deaths and 20 controls), we restricted the analyses to those with complete information and observed similar associations.

In analyses stratified by alcohol intake (Table 4), associations persisted for liver cancer among participants who drank both below ($OR_{lowest vs. highest quartile}=1.49, 0.65-3.41$) and above ($OR_{lowest vs. highest quartile}=2.13, 1.06-4.28$) the median intake (11.3 g/day) (p-interaction=0.41). For mortality from chronic liver disease, we observed some evidence that associations may vary by alcohol intake (p-interaction=0.03), with a positive association among the heavier drinkers ($OR_{lowest vs. highest quartile}=2.64, 1.32-5.27$) in contrast to no association among lighter drinkers ($OR_{lowest vs. highest quartile}=0.80, 0.32-2.00$). We further stratified our analysis by the 75th percentile of alcohol intake in controls (26.7 g/day) and found similar associations for vitamin D with both liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality in participants who drank 11.3-<26.7 g/day and 26.7 g per day (Supplementary Table 2).

Discussion

In our cohort, men with lower 25(OH)D concentrations had a higher risk of incident liver cancer and mortality from chronic liver disease, although the association with chronic liver disease mortality was somewhat attenuated after multivariable adjustment. The associations persisted among participants without diabetes at baseline, those who were HBV(-) or HCV(-), and among cancer cases occurring more than 15 years after blood collection.

Our results are consistent with a genetic Mendelian randomization study that observed an inverse association between SNPs associated with higher vitamin D concentrations and liver cancer (17) and the results of two previous cohorts that investigated associations between 25(OH)D concentrations and incident liver cancer, one of which also examined mortality from chronic liver disease. The first of these cohort studies, set in the Nutritional Intervention Trials (NIT) of Linxian, China, included 226 incident cases of liver cancer and 282 deaths from chronic liver disease over 22 years of follow-up (5). This study was marked by very low vitamin D concentrations in study participants, regardless of case-control status, with a median level in the controls of 20.1 nmol/L. Although these concentrations are considered to be clinically deficient according to the US Institute of Medicine and the Endocrine Society, typical concentrations of vitamin D in Asian populations are less clear. Nevertheless, even in a context of very low vitamin D concentrations, this study observed evidence for an inverse association between baseline vitamin D concentrations and occurrence of both endpoints during follow-up (quartile 4 versus quartile 1, liver cancer incidence: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.47-1.18; p-trend=0.21; chronic liver disease mortality: 0.34, 95% CI: 0.21-0.55; p-trend<0.001), though we note that the association with incident liver cancer was not statistically significant. In contrast to our case-control study nested within the ATBC cohort, there was very little alcohol intake in this study population. The second cohort study was set in a population with far higher vitamin D concentrations, that of the large multicountry European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) (6), in which controls had a median measured vitamin D level of 49.9 nmol/L. This later study included 138 incident cases of hepatocellular carcinoma that occurred over a mean six years of follow-up and again observed evidence for an inverse association between baseline vitamin D concentrations and subsequent incidence of liver cancer (tertile 3 versus tertile 1: 0.51, 95% CI: 0.26-0.99; p-trend=0.036).

The relative consistency of our present findings and those of two previous studies, set in populations that differ notably in numerous characteristics such as race and dietary/lifestyle factors and HBV and HCV prevalence, supports the plausibility of an association, as do data from *in vitro* and animal studies. As described previously, vitamin D is a hormone that regulates a wide range of signaling pathways and has been shown to have anti-proliferative, anti-inflammatory, and antiangiogenic properties in cell lines, including those originally generated from the liver (18–25). Furthermore, vitamin D has been shown to be protective against liver fibrosis and liver cancer in rodent studies (4,20,21,26,27).

Although these associations are consistent and biologically plausible, non-causal explanations remain possible. One concern is reverse causality. 25(OH)D is formed in the liver and many, although not all, previous studies have observed lower 25(OH)D

concentrations among patients with fibrosis and cirrhosis (28). Thus, observed associations with 25(OH)D in our study could reflect undiagnosed liver disease. We tried to address this possibility in several ways. For example, our study excluded patients with a known diagnosis of cirrhosis or alcohol dependence at baseline. Also, our follow-up was long and associations were of a similar direction among cases that occurred more than 15 years after sample collection, although attenuated with wider-confidence intervals. Similar patterns were observed in the previously mentioned EPIC study where similar estimates were observed after cases diagnosed in the first 2, 4, or 6 years subsequent to baseline were excluded (6).

It is also possible that low vitamin D concentrations are both a marker of underlying liver disease and an etiologic contributor to liver disease progression and carcinogenesis. For chronic liver disease mortality, associations were particularly observed among participants who were heavier drinkers of alcohol suggesting that vitamin D concentrations could possibly potentiate the effects of alcohol on liver disease. However, to resolve this and other questions, future longitudinal studies of liver disease progression that include serial measurements of vitamin D status are needed.

Additionally, as in all observational studies, confounding by another factor is possible. In our study, associations for liver cancer persisted after adjustment and stratification for the major liver cancer risk factors, i.e. HBV, HCV, alcohol, diabetes, obesity, and tobacco smoking. Another potential confounder was sun exposure, which triggers vitamin D production in the skin(29) and varies widely by season. We took several different approaches to account for season in our analysis, including matching by date of blood draw (within 30 days) when we selected controls for each case. In addition to using clinically defined cutpoints for vitamin D, we examined analyses that were stratified by season, and also used season-specific and season-standardized quartiles. We observed generally similar results across each of these approaches, indicating that season of blood draw, a proxy for sun exposure, was not a confounder.

Our study has several strengths including a prospective design and nearly 25 years of followup, allowing assessment of vitamin D status well before cancer diagnosis. We had information on and adjusted for major risk factors for liver cancer in this population, including alcohol, obesity, diabetes, and tobacco smoking as well as HBV and HCV for the majority of the cases and controls. However, our study also had several limitations. We were only able to measure 25(OH)D concentrations in participants at a single point during the lifetime and, as discussed above, lacked information about undiagnosed liver fibrosis or cirrhosis at baseline or during follow-up. Our study also included vitamin D concentrations which were measured on baseline blood samples but at different times. Multiple measurements of vitamin D over the lifetime and repeated assessments of underlying liver disease would allow better classification of vitamin D status and evaluation of reverse causality. Ideally, these types of data will be available in future studies. Set in a population of European male smokers, it is unclear whether our findings should be extrapolated to other populations, such as women, non-smokers, or those with high HBV or HCV burden, although similar findings were observed in two other cohorts that included very different populations.

In conclusion, men with lower serum 25(OH)D concentrations had higher risk of incident liver cancer and, to a lesser degree, chronic liver disease mortality over a period of nearly 25 years of follow-up in the ATBC cohort. Future studies are needed to replicate these findings, in particular among studies with serial sample collection and comprehensive, longitudinal assessment of underlying liver disease.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all individuals for their participation in this study.

Funding

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services and US Public Health Service contracts (N01-CN-45165, N01-RC-45035, and N01-RC-37004).

Role of the sponsor

The sponsor reviewed and approved final submission but had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; and preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C., et al. 12/13 GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. http://globocan.iarc.fr. Accessed 2013 12/13
- McGlynn KA, London WT. The global epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma: present and future. Clin Liver Dis. 2011; 15(2):223–43. vii–x. doi S1089-3261(11)00007-9 [pii]. DOI: 10.1016/ j.cld.2011.03.006 [PubMed: 21689610]
- Center MM, Jemal A. International trends in liver cancer incidence rates. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011; 20(11):2362–8. doi 1055-9965.EPI-11-0643 [pii]. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0643 [PubMed: 21921256]
- 4. Chiang KC, Yeh CN, Chen MF, Chen TC. Hepatocellular carcinoma and vitamin D: a review. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011; 26(11):1597–603. DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2011.06892.x [PubMed: 21880026]
- Wang JB, Abnet CC, Chen W, Dawsey SM, Fan JH, Yin LY, et al. Association between serum 25(OH) vitamin D, incident liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality in the Linxian Nutrition Intervention Trials: a nested case-control study. British journal of cancer. 2013; 109(7):1997–2004. DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2013.546 [PubMed: 24008664]
- Fedirko V, Duarte-Salles T, Bamia C, Trichopoulou A, Aleksandrova K, Trichopoulos D, et al. Prediagnostic circulating vitamin D levels and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in European populations: a nested case-control study. Hepatology. 2014; 60(4):1222–30. DOI: 10.1002/hep. 27079 [PubMed: 24644045]
- Rosen CJ. Clinical practice. Vitamin D insufficiency The New England journal of medicine. 2011; 364(3):248–54. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMcp1009570 [PubMed: 21247315]
- The ATBC Cancer Prevention Study Group. The alpha-tocopherol, beta-carotene lung cancer prevention study: design, methods, participant characteristics, and compliance. Annals of epidemiology. 1994; 4(1):1–10. [PubMed: 8205268]

- Tangrea J, Helzlsouer K, Pietinen P, Taylor P, Hollis B, Virtamo J, et al. Serum levels of vitamin D metabolites and the subsequent risk of colon and rectal cancer in Finnish men. Cancer Causes Control. 1997; 8(4):615–25. [PubMed: 9242478]
- Freedman DM, Tangrea JA, Virtamo J, Albanes D. The effect of beta-carotene supplementation on serum vitamin D metabolite concentrations. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1999; 8(12): 1115–6. [PubMed: 10613346]
- Faupel-Badger JM, Diaw L, Albanes D, Virtamo J, Woodson K, Tangrea JA. Lack of association between serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and the subsequent risk of prostate cancer in Finnish men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2007; 16(12):2784–6. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0672 [PubMed: 18086789]
- Lim U, Freedman DM, Hollis BW, Horst RL, Purdue MP, Chatterjee N, et al. A prospective investigation of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and risk of lymphoid cancers. International journal of cancer. 2009; 124(4):979–86. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.23984 [PubMed: 19035445]
- Gallicchio L, Helzlsouer KJ, Chow WH, Freedman DM, Hankinson SE, Hartge P, et al. Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D and the risk of rarer cancers: Design and methods of the Cohort Consortium Vitamin D Pooling Project of Rarer Cancers. American journal of epidemiology. 2010; 172(1):10– 20. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwq116 [PubMed: 20562188]
- Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. The New England journal of medicine. 2007; 357(3):266–81. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra070553 [PubMed: 17634462]
- Holick MF. Vitamin D status: measurement, interpretation, and clinical application. Annals of epidemiology. 2009; 19(2):73–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2007.12.001 [PubMed: 18329892]
- Borkowf CB, Albert PS, Abnet CC. Using lowess to remove systematic trends over time in predictor variables prior to logistic regression with quantile categories. Statistics in medicine. 2003; 22(9):1477–93. DOI: 10.1002/sim.1507 [PubMed: 12704611]
- Lange CM, Miki D, Ochi H, Nischalke HD, Bojunga J, Bibert S, et al. Genetic analyses reveal a role for vitamin D insufficiency in HCV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma development. PLoS One. 2013; 8(5):e64053.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064053 [PubMed: 23734184]
- Akhter J, Lu Y, Finlay I, Pourgholami MH, Morris DL. 1alpha,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 and its analogues, EB1089 and CB1093, profoundly inhibit the in vitro proliferation of the human hepatoblastoma cell line HepG2. ANZ journal of surgery. 2001; 71(7):414–7. [PubMed: 11450917]
- Deeb KK, Trump DL, Johnson CS. Vitamin D signalling pathways in cancer: potential for anticancer therapeutics. Nat Rev Cancer. 2007; 7(9):684–700. DOI: 10.1038/nrc2196 [PubMed: 17721433]
- 20. Ghous Z, Akhter J, Pourgholami MH, Morris DL. Inhibition of hepatocellular cancer by EB1089: in vitro and in vive study. Anticancer research. 2008; 28(6A):3757–61. [PubMed: 19189661]
- 21. Pourgholami MH, Akhter J, Lu Y, Morris DL. In vitro and in vivo inhibition of liver cancer cells by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Cancer letters. 2000; 151(1):97–102. [PubMed: 10766428]
- 22. Seydel S, Beilfuss A, Kahraman A, Aksoy K, Gerken G, Akkiz H, et al. Vitamin D ameliorates stress ligand expression elicited by free fatty acids in the hepatic stellate cell line LX-2. The Turkish journal of gastroenterology : the official journal of Turkish Society of Gastroenterology. 2011; 22(4):400–7. [PubMed: 21948571]
- Wu FS, Zheng SS, Wu LJ, Teng LS, Ma ZM, Zhao WH, et al. Calcitriol inhibits the growth of MHCC97 heptocellular cell lines by down-modulating c-met and ERK expressions. Liver Int. 2007; 27(5):700–7. DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2007.01487.x [PubMed: 17498257]
- 24. Chiang KC, Yen CL, Yeh CN, Hsu JT, Chen LW, Kuo SF, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma cells express 25(OH)D-1alpha-hydroxylase and are able to convert 25(OH)D to 1alpha,25(OH)(2)D, leading to the 25(OH)D-induced growth inhibition. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology. 2015; 154:47–52. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.06.008 [PubMed: 26170242]
- Guo J, Ma Z, Ma Q, Wu Z, Fan P, Zhou X, et al. 1, 25(OH)(2)D(3) inhibits hepatocellular carcinoma development through reducing secretion of inflammatory cytokines from immunocytes. Curr Med Chem. 2013; 20(33):4131–41. [PubMed: 23992309]

- 26. Pollak PM, Mahapatra S, Kanwal JK, Gillies GT. Novel pericardial access device: design features and in vitro evaluation. J Med Eng Technol. 2011; 35(3–4):179–84. DOI: 10.3109/03091902.2011.558171 [PubMed: 21381874]
- 27. Saha BK, Bishayee A, Kanjilal NB, Chatterjee M. 1Alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 inhibits hepatic chromosomal aberrations, DNA strand breaks and specific DNA adducts during rat hepatocarcinogenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2001; 58(8):1141–9. [PubMed: 11529506]
- 28. Garcia-Alvarez M, Pineda-Tenor D, Jimenez-Sousa MA, Fernandez-Rodriguez A, Guzman-Fulgencio M, Resino S. Relationship of vitamin D status with advanced liver fibrosis and response to hepatitis C virus therapy: a meta-analysis. Hepatology. 2014; 60(5):1541–50. DOI: 10.1002/hep. 27281 [PubMed: 24975775]
- 29. Holick MF. Vitamin D: A millenium perspective. Journal of cellular biochemistry. 2003; 88(2): 296–307. DOI: 10.1002/jcb.10338 [PubMed: 12520530]

Table 1

Selected baseline characteristics across 25(OH) vitamin D season-specific quartiles in controls, ATBC Study (Presented as medians (IQRs) or percentages)

Lai et al.

	Q1 (n=106)	Q2 (n=108)	Q3 (n=105)	Q4 (n=108)	P-value ^a
% Blood collected in winter (November-April)	62.3	62.0	62.9	62.0	1.0
Serum 25(OH)D, nmol/L					
Winter	16.7 (12.9-18.9)	26.8 (23.8-28.6)	36.6 (34.2-39.9)	55.5 (49.9-64.9)	<0.0001
Summer	25.5 (19.6-27.3)	40.2 (37.5-43.0)	52.3 (49.6-56.9)	71.8 (66.4-81.3)	<0.0001
Age, years	57 (53-60)	58 (55-61)	56 (52-59)	58 (56-64)	0.03
BMI, kg/m ²	26.3 (23.6-29.4)	25.6 (24.1-29.5)	25.9 (24.1-28.3)	25.9 (24.4-28.0)	0.94
% Elementary school education or less	77.4	86.1	77.1	69.4	0.07
% Currently married	82.1	75.0	81.0	83.3	0.57
% History of diabetes	3.8	6.5	2.9	2.8	0.52
Years smoking	37 (31-41)	36 (30-40)	35 (30-40)	34 (30-40)	0.12
# cigarettes/day	20 (15–25)	20 (15–25)	20 (12–24)	20 (15–25)	0.32
% Urban residence	54.7	56.5	65.7	66.7	0.03
% Leisure physical activity 1/week	41.5	48.6	59.1	54.6	0.02
Daily dietary intake					
Energy intake, kcal/day	2816 (2350-3144)	2694 (2219-3182)	2710 (2250-3131)	2613 (2240-3191)	0.76
Calcium, mg/day	1412 (1096-1848)	1375 (1144-1650)	1340 (1065-1634)	1290 (947-1637)	0.12
Vitamin D, µg/day	3.7 (2.6-5.2)	4.7 (3.4-6.6)	4.9 (3.2-7.1)	6.2 (4.0-8.0)	<0.0001
Alcohol, g/day	9.9 (1.7-26.0)	11.0 (2.0-30.1)	10.7 (3.0-27.4)	12.7 (4.4-27.2)	06.0
Coffee, g/day	550 (440-880)	550 (340-750)	550 (330-770)	600 (440-770)	0.94
Supplement use					
% Calcium (yes)	2.8	8.3	6.7	19.4	0.0001
% Vitamin D (yes)	0.9	4.6	4.8	16.7	< 0.0001
% $\mathrm{HB}_{\mathrm{SAg}}b$	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.48
% Anti-HBc ^b	7.6	2.3	10.3	6.1	0.17
% Anti-HCV ^b	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.48

Author Manuscript

 $b_{\rm Among~347}$ controls who had information on HBsAG, Anti-HBc and Anti-HCV

Table 2

Selected baseline characteristics of cases and controls, ATBC Study (Presented as medians (IQRs) or percentages)

	Inci	Incident liver cancer		Mortality fi	Mortality from chronic liver disease	ase
	Cases (n = 202)	Controls (n = 202)	P-value ^a	Cases (n = 225)	Controls $(n = 225)$	P-value ^a
Age, years	58 (55-61)	57 (54-61)	Matched	55 (52-57)	55 (51-57)	Matched
% Blood collected in winter (November-April)	67.8	63.9	0.40	59.6	60.9	0.77
BMI, kg/m ²	27.8 (24.5-30.0)	26.1 (24.1-28.9)	0.009	26.5 (23.9-29.3)	25.8 (23.9-29.0)	0.33
% Elementary school education or less	73.3	7.7	0.30	72.0	77.3	0.19
% Currently married	82.2	85.2	0.42	69.8	76.0	0.14
% History of diabetes	11.4	4.5	0.01	4.0	3.6	0.80
Years smoking	38 (34-43)	36 (30-41)	0.03	35 (30-40)	35 (30-39)	0.88
# cigarettes/day	20 (15–25)	20 (13–20)	0.03	23 (15–25)	20 (15–25)	0.02
% Urban residence	60.9	56.4	0.36	76.0	64.9	0.01
% Leisure physical activity 1/week	47.5	54.2	0.18	48.0	50.9	0.92
Daily dietary intake						
Energy intake, kcal/day	2451 (2071-3068)	2683 (2223-3111)	0.04	2546 (2070-3100)	2795 (2262-3200)	0.02
Calcium, mg/day	1221 (883-1606)	1308 (1081-1649)	0.06	1192 (853-1602)	1385 (1081-1693)	0.001
Vitamin D, µg/day	4.7 (3.4-6.5)	4.7 (3.3-6.8)	0.75	4.8 (3.3-7.0)	4.6 (3.2-7.0)	0.86
Alcohol, g/day	13.9 (4.2-29.4)	9.9 (3.4-23.6)	0.001	30.5 (15.3-54.0)	12.8 (2.6-31.1)	<0.0001
Coffee, g/day	450 (300-660)	550 (406-880)	0.06	330 (150-550)	550 (350-770)	<0.0001
Supplement use						
% Calcium (yes)	14.4	9.9	0.17	17.3	8.9	0.008
% Vitamin D (yes)	9.4	7.9	0.60	6.2	5.8	0.84
Serum biomarkers						
25(OH)D, nmol/L	30.4 (21.0-42.6)	35.2 (23.1-50.0)	0.04	31.4 (22.0-45.4)	37.4 (26.4-52.6)	0.002
% HBsAg(+) b	1.2	0.6	1.00	0.5	0.5	1.00
% Anti-HBc(+) ^b	15.0	7.7	0.04	6.3	5.8	0.84
% Anti-HCV $(+)b$	4.8	0.6	0.04	2.4	0.0	0.06
^d Wilcoxon tests for continuous variables; Chi-square tests, or Fisher's exact test where appropriate, for categorical variables	are tests, or Fisher's e	xact test where approp	riate, for cate	gorical variables		
		JJ-L ALLAND AND AND	(

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

^bAmong 167 men diagnosed with liver cancer, 208 men who died from chronic liver disease, and 347 controls who had information on HBsAG, Anti-HBc and Anti-HCV

~

Association of serum 25(OH)D with incident liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality (Presented as ORs and 95% CIs from unconditional logistic

Table 3

		Incident	Incident liver cancer				Chronic liver	Chronic liver disease mortality		
Clinical cutpoints of serum 25(OH)D (nmo/L	m 25(OH)D (mmol/i							•		
Overall	<25	25 - <37.5	37.5 - <50	50	P-trend	-25	25 - <37.5	37.5 - <50	50	P-trend
# of cases/controls	71/106	62/117	31/85	38/119		79/106	61/117	36/85	49/119	
Age and date-adjusted	1.98 (1.22-3.20)	1.67 (1.03-2.71)	1.12 (0.64-1.98)	1.00 (ref)	0.003	1.93 (1.23-3.03)	1.28 (0.81-2.02)	1.03 (0.64-2.04)	1.00 (ref)	0.006
Multivariable-adjusted ^a	1.91 (1.16-3.15)	1.57 (0.95-2.59)	1.24 (0.69-2.20)	1.00 (ref)	0.008	1.67 (1.02-2.75)	1.24 (0.75-2.06)	1.07 (0.61-1.88)	1.00 (ref)	0.05
Summer months										
# of cases/controls	14/19	22/32	9/41	20/69		21/19	23/32	17/41	30/69	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a	2.51 (1.01-6.22)	1.93 (0.87-4.29)	0.80 (0.31-2.04)	1.00 (ref)	0.03	3.04 (1.24-7.45)	1.75 (0.77-3.94)	1.17 (0.51-2.67)	1.00 (ref)	0.02
Winter months										
# of cases/controls	57/87	40/85	22/44	18/50		58/87	38/85	19/44	19/50	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a 1.55 (0.80-3.03)	1.55 (0.80-3.03)	1.24 (0.62-2.49)	1.36 (0.62-2.97)	1.00 (ref)	0.23	1.27 (0.63-2.55)	0.97 (0.48-1.97)	0.99 (0.43-2.25)	1.00 (ref)	0.53
Season-specific quartiles of serum 25(OH)D	of serum 25(OH)D									
Overall	QI	Q2	Q 3	Q4	P-trend	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-trend
# of cases/controls	72/106	56/108	41/105	33/108		72/106	63/108	52/105	38/108	
Age and date-adjusted	2.07 (1.26-3.41)	1.76 (1.05-2.94)	1.23 (0.72-2.10)	1.00 (ref)	0.001	2.01 (1.24-3.24)	1.60 (0.98-2.60)	1.42 (0.86-2.34)	1.00 (ref)	0.004
Multivariable-adjusted ^a	1.87 (1.12-3.12)	1.52 (0.90-2.57)	1.12 (0.64-1.96)	1.00 (ref)	0.007	1.69 (1.00-2.88)	1.27 (0.75-2.17)	1.25 (0.73-2.16)	1.00 (ref)	0.06
Summer months										
# of cases/controls	25/40	20/41	13/39	7/41		35/40	21/41	20/39	15/41	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a	2.86 (1.06-7.77)	2.35 (0.86-6.46)	1.66 (0.57-4.83)	1.00 (ref)	0.03	2.65 (1.12-6.28)	1.17 (0.47-2.91)	1.45 (0.58-3.62)	1.00 (ref)	0.04
Winter months										
# of cases/controls	47/66	36/67	28/66	26/67		37/66	42/67	32/66	23/67	
Multivariable-adjusted ^{a} 1.56 (0.84-2.90)	1.56 (0.84-2.90)	1.21 (0.64-2.31)	1.00 (0.51-1.94)	1.00 (ref)	0.12	1.30 (0.64-2.64)	1.37 (0.69-2.72)	1.19 (0.59-2.39)	1.00 (ref)	0.43
\overline{S} eason-standardized quartiles of serum 25(OH)D (among those whose levels were assayed in January 2008 or February 2013)	rtiles of serum 25(0)	H)D (among those v	whose levels were a.	ssayed in Jan	uary 2008 c	or February 2013)				
Overall	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-trend	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-trend
# of cases/controls	66/105	61/103	39/102	34/104		71/105	58/103	45/102	40/104	
Age and date-adjusted	1.89 (1.14-3.12)	1.90 (1.14-3.15)	1.22 (0.71-2.10)	1.00 (ref)	0.004	1.76 (1.09-2.84)	1.41(0.86-2.30)	1.09 (0.65-1.81)	1.00 (ref)	0.01

		Incident	Incident liver cancer				Chronic liver	Chronic liver disease mortality		
Multivariable-adjusted ^{a} 1.72 (1.02-2.88)	1.72 (1.02-2.88)	1.73 (1.03-2.93)	1.15 (0.65-2.01)	1.00 (ref)	0.02	1.73 (1.03-2.93) 1.15 (0.65-2.01) 1.00 (ref) 0.02 1.40 (0.82-2.38) 1.19 (0.70-2.05) 1.05 (0.60-1.83) 1.00 (ref) 0.18	1.19 (0.70-2.05)	1.05 (0.60-1.83)	1.00 (ref)	0.18
Summer months										
# of cases/controls	18/28	23/44	12/47	11/36		30/28	21/44	20/47	15/36	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a 1.90 (0.73-4.93)	1.90 (0.73-4.93)	1.56 (0.63-3.85)	1.56 (0.63-3.85) 0.88 (0.33-3.37) 1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	0.09	2.60 (1.03-6.58)	1.11 (0.43-2.84)	2.60 (1.03-6.58) 1.11 (0.43-2.84) 1.27 (0.51-3.18) 1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	0.06
Winter months										
# of cases/controls	48/77	38/59	27/55	23/68		41/77	37/59	25/55	25/68	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a 1.61 (0.86-3.01)	1.61 (0.86-3.01)	1.80 (0.93-3.47)	.80 (0.93-3.47) 1.25 (0.62-2.52) 1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	0.10		1.42 (0.71-2.85)	1.10 (0.56-2.17) 1.42 (0.71-2.85) 1.03 (0.50-2.12) 1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	0.64

^aAdjusted for age, date of blood draw, BMI, history of diabetes, number of years smoked, and daily intake of alcohol and coffee

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Association of serum 25(OH)D, using season-specific quartiles, with incident liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality among selected subgroups (Presented as ORs and 95% CIs from unconditional logistic regression models)

Orerall Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 # of cases/controls 72/106 56/108 41/105 33/108 Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.87 (1.12-3.12) 1.52 (0.90-2.57) 1.12 (0.64-1.96) 1.00 (ref) Without diabetes $63/102$ $49/101$ $36/102$ $31/105$ $31/105$ Without diabetes $63/102$ $49/101$ $36/102$ 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.81 ($1.07-3.07$) 1.55 ($0.90-2.66$) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.81 ($1.07-3.07$) 1.55 ($0.90-2.66$) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.81 ($1.07-3.07$) 1.55 ($0.90-2.66$) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.81 ($1.07-3.07$) 1.55 ($0.90-2.66$) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.49 ($0.55-3.41$) 1.93 ($0.55-4.39$) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 1.49 ($0.65-3.41$) 1.93 ($0.85-4.39$) 1.00 (ref) Multivariable-adjusted ^A 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.40 ($0.69-3.09$) 0.87 ($0.$	-	P-trend	10	•••		2	P-trend
72/106 56/108 41/105 a 1.87 (1.12-3.12) 1.52 (0.90-2.57) 1.12 (0.64-1.96) 1 a 1.87 (1.12-3.12) 1.52 (0.90-2.57) 1.112 (0.64-1.96) 1 a 1.81 (1.07-3.07) 1.55 (0.90-2.66) 1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1 a 1.81 (1.07-3.07) 1.55 (0.90-2.66) 1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1 a 1.40 (0.65-3.41) 1.55 (0.90-2.66) 1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.93 (0.85-4.39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1 a 2.13 (1.06-4.28) 1.44 (0.69-3.00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1 a 2.16 (1.14-3.73) 1.74 (0.94-3.22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 1 a 2.06 (1.14-3.73) 1.74 (0.94-3.22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 1			ż	Q 2	03	5	
a 1.87 (1.12-3.12) 1.52 (0.90-2.57) 1.12 (0.64-1.96) 1 a 1.81 (1.07-3.07) 1.55 (0.90-2.66) 1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.55 (0.90-2.66) 1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.93 (0.85-4.39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.93 (0.85-4.39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.93 (0.85-4.39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1 a 2.13 (1.06-4.28) 1.44 (0.69-3.00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1 a 2.13 (1.06-4.28) 1.44 (0.69-3.00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1 a 2.13 (1.06-4.28) 1.44 (0.69-3.20) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1 a 2.16 (1.14-3.73) 1.74 (0.94-3.22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 1 a 2.06 (1.14-3.73)			72/106	63/108	52/105	38/108	
63/102 $49/101$ $36/102$ a 1.81 ($1.07-3.07$) 1.55 ($0.90-2.66$) 1.09 ($0.62-1.93$) 1 a 1.81 ($1.07-3.07$) 1.55 ($0.90-2.66$) 1.09 ($0.62-1.93$) 1 a 1.49 ($0.65-3.41$) 1.93 ($0.854.39$) 1.40 ($0.60-3.28$) 1 a 1.49 ($0.65-3.41$) 1.93 ($0.854.39$) 1.40 ($0.60-3.28$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.93 ($0.854.30$) 0.87 ($0.39-1.93$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.44 ($0.69-3.00$) 0.87 ($0.39-1.93$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.74 ($0.94-3.20$) 0.87 ($0.39-1.93$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.44 ($0.69-3.00$) 0.87 ($0.39-1.93$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.44 ($0.69-3.00$) 0.87 ($0.39-1.93$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.44 ($0.69-3.00$) 0.87 ($0.39-1.93$) 1 a 2.13 ($1.06-4.28$) 1.44 ($0.69-3.00$) 0.87 ($0.92-2.18$) 1 a 2.33 ($1.23-4.41$) 1.81 ($0.93-3.51$) 1.25 ($0.62-2.54$)		0.007	1.69 (1.00-2.88)	1.27 (0.75-2.17)	1.25 (0.73-2.16)	1.00 (ref)	0.06
63/102 $49/101$ $36/102$ a 1.81 $(1.07-3.07)$ 1.55 $(0.90-2.66)$ 1.09 $(0.62-1.93)$ 1 a 1.81 $(1.07-3.07)$ 1.55 $(0.90-2.66)$ 1.09 $(0.62-1.93)$ 1 a 1.40 $(0.65-3.41)$ 1.93 $(0.85-4.39)$ 1.40 $(0.60-3.28)$ 1 a 1.49 $(0.65-3.41)$ 1.93 $(0.85-4.39)$ 1.40 $(0.60-3.28)$ 1 a 1.49 $(0.65-3.41)$ 1.93 $(0.85-4.39)$ 1.40 $(0.60-3.28)$ 1 a 1.49 $(0.65-3.41)$ 1.93 $(0.85-4.39)$ 1.40 $(0.60-3.28)$ 1 a 2.13 $(1.06-4.28)$ 1.44 $(0.69-3.00)$ 0.87 $(0.39-1.93)$ 1 a 2.13 $(1.06-4.28)$ 1.44 $(0.69-3.00)$ 0.87 $(0.39-1.93)$ 1 a 2.13 $(1.0-4.28)$ 1.44 $(0.99-3.20)$ 1.15 $(0.60-2.18)$ 1 a 2.06							
a 1.81 (1.07-3.07) 1.55 (0.90-2.66) 1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.93 (0.85-4.39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1 a 1.49 (0.65-3.41) 1.93 (0.85-4.39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1 a 2.13 (1.06-4.28) 1.94 (0.69-3.00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1 a 2.13 (1.06-4.28) 1.44 (0.69-3.00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1 a 2.16 (1.14-3.73) 1.74 (0.94-3.22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 1 a 2.06 (1.14-3.73) 1.74 (0.94-3.22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 1 a 2.05 (1.14-3.73) 1.81 (0.93-3.51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54) 1			69/102	60/101	51/102	36/105	
$23/53$ $25/51$ $20/53$ a 1.49 $0.65 - 3.41$ 1.93 $0.85 - 4.39$ 1.40 $0.60 - 3.28$ 1 a 1.49 $0.65 - 3.41$ 1.93 $0.85 - 4.39$ 1.40 $0.60 - 3.28$ 1 a 2.13 $1.06 - 4.28$ 1.944 0.87 $0.37 - 1.93$ 1 a 2.13 $1.06 - 4.28$ 1.44 $0.69 - 3.00$ 0.87 $0.39 - 1.93$ 1 a 2.13 $1.06 - 4.28$ 1.44 $0.69 - 3.00$ 0.87 $0.37 - 1.93$ 1 information on hepatitis B and C^d $63/92$ $47/86$ $34/87$ $34/87$ $63/87$ 1.74 $0.94 - 3.22$ 1.15 $0.60 - 2.18$ 1 a 2.06 $1.14 - 3.73$ 1.74 $0.94 - 3.22$ 1.15 $0.60 - 2.18$ 1 a 2.03 1.81 $0.93 - 3.51$ 1.25 $0.62 - 2.54$ 1	1.09 (0.62-1.93) 1.00 (ref)	0.01	1.71 (1.00-2.95)	1.30 (0.75-2.25)	1.26 (0.72-2.21)	1.00 (ref)	0.06
20/53 39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 18/48 00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 34/87 34/87 322) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 221 1.15 (0.62-2.54)	p-interaction= 0.41	on= 0.41				p-interaction=0.03	n=0.03
 39) 1.40 (0.60-3.28) 18/48 00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 34/87 34/87 22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 27/77 51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54) 			13/53	10/51	5/53	14/47	
18/48 00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 34/87 22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 27/77 51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)	1.40 (0.60-3.28) 1.00 (ref)	0.29	0.80 (0.32-2.00)	0.61 (0.23-1.64)	0.31 (0.10-0.98)	1.00	0.86
18/48 00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 34/87 22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 27/77 51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)							
00) 0.87 (0.39-1.93) 34/87 22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 27/77 51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)			51/48	48/49	40/48	21/58	
34/87 32) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) 27/77 51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)	0.87 (0.39-1.93) 1.00 (ref)	0.01	2.64 (1.32-5.27)	2.07 (1.04-4.11)	2.16 (1.07-4.35)	1.00 (ref)	0.01
Is $63/92$ $47/86$ $34/87$ iusted ^a $2.06 (1.14-3.73)$ $1.74 (0.94-3.22)$ $1.15 (0.60-2.18)$ Is $55/85$ $41/83$ $27/77$ Is $55/85$ $41/83$ $27/77$ usted ^a $2.33 (1.23-4.41)$ $1.81 (0.93-3.51)$ $1.25 (0.62-2.54)$							
iusted ^a 2.06 (1.14-3.73) 1.74 (0.94-3.22) 1.15 (0.60-2.18) ls $55/85$ $41/83$ $27/77$ usted ^a $2.33 (1.23-4.41)$ $1.81 (0.93-3.51)$ $1.25 (0.62-2.54)$			65/92	60/86	50/87	33/82	
ls 55/85 41/83 27/77 iusted ^a 2.33 (1.23-4.41) 1.81 (0.93-3.51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)	1.15 (0.60-2.18) 1.00 (ref)	0.005	1.60 (0.89-2.87)	1.38 (0.77-2.47)	1.32 (0.73-2.38)	1.00 (ref)	0.13
55/85 41/83 27/77 sted ^a 2.33 (1.23-4.41) 1.81 (0.93-3.51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)							
2.33 (1.23-4.41) 1.81 (0.93-3.51) 1.25 (0.62-2.54)			62/85	52/83	48/77	31/77	
	1.25 (0.62-2.54) 1.00 (ref)	0.003	1.75 (0.96-3.20)	1.28 (0.69-2.35)	1.48 (0.80-2.75)	1.00 (ref)	0.12
Lag analyses, 5 years							
# of cases/controls 64/104 48/105 36/104 30/104			50/104	50/105	42/104	31/104	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a 1.88 (1.10-3.21) 1.40 (0.81-2.44) 1.06 (0.59-1.89) 1.00 (ref)	1.06 (0.59-1.89) 1.00 (ref)	0.009	1.53 (0.86-2.73)	1.31 (0.74-2.33)	1.29 (0.72-2.31)	1.00 (ref)	0.17
Lag analyses, 10 years							
# of cases/controls 39/90 35/96 29/92 25/100			31/90	34/96	25/92	21/100	

		Incident	Incident liver cancer				Chronic liver	Chronic liver disease mortality		
Overall	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-trend	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-trend
Multivariable-adjusted ^{a} 1.60 (0.87-2.93)	1.60 (0.87-2.93)	1.32 (0.72-2.43)	1.32 (0.72-2.43) 1.22 (0.64-2.30) 1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	0.13	1.58 (0.80-3.12)	1.58 (0.80-3.12) 1.36 (0.70-2.64) 1.29 (0.64-2.59) 1.00 (ref)	1.29 (0.64-2.59)	1.00 (ref)	0.20
Lag analyses, 15 years										
# of cases/controls	21/70	26/83	19/84	19/94		13/70	22/83	14/84	12/94	
Multivariable-adjusted ^a 1.55 (0.75-3.19)	1.55 (0.75-3.19)	1.50 (0.76-2.99)	1.17 (0.57-2.44)	1.00 (ref)	0.17	1.44 (0.58-3.57)	1.50 (0.76-2.99) 1.17 (0.57-2.44) 1.00 (ref) 0.17 1.44 (0.58-3.57) 1.80 (0.79-4.11) 1.32 (0.55-3.19) 1.00 (ref)	1.32 (0.55-3.19)	1.00 (ref)	0.29
^a Adjusted for age, date of blood draw, BMI, history of diabetes, number of years smoked, and daily intake of alcohol and coffee	ood draw, BMI, histo	ry of diabetes, num	oer of years smoked	l, and daily i	ntake of alc	ohol and coffee				
bMedian alcohol intake in controls was 11.3 g/day.	ntrols was 11.3 g/da	y.								
c Alcohol information was not available in 14 liver cancer cases, 23 chronic liver disease deaths and 20 controls.	t available in 14 live	r cancer cases, 23 cl	nronic liver disease	deaths and 2	0 controls.					
ط المصفينية D and C information was available for 167 line and a source 200 about lines discoss danthe and 247 controls	on mos origitable for	1 67 live concerced	oni onendo 200	alionand day	10 bas oft	-[

^e147 men diagnosed with incident liver cancer, 193 men who died from chronic liver disease, and 322 controls were negative for both HBV and HCV

Lai et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript