



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 10.

Published in final edited form as:

ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2018 January 10; 10(1): 75–82. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b12614.

Atomically Precise Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ Nanoclusters: Rapid Single-Step Synthesis and Application in Photothermal Therapy

Sai Krishna Katla[†], Jie Zhang[†], Edison Castro[†], Ricardo A. Bernal[†], and XiuJun Li^{*,†,‡,§,||}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 West University Avenue, El Paso, Texas 79968, United States

[‡]Biomedical Engineering, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 West University Avenue, El Paso, Texas 79968, United States

[§]Border Biomedical Research Center, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 West University Avenue, El Paso, Texas 79968, United States

^{||}Environmental Science and Engineering, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 West University Avenue, El Paso, Texas 79968, United States

Abstract

Remarkable recent advances on Au₂₅(SR)₁₈ nanoclusters have led to significant applications in catalysis, sensing, and magnetism. However, the existing synthetic routes are complicated, particularly for the water-soluble Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters. Here, we report a single-step concentration and temperature-controlled method for rapid synthesis of the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters in as little as 2 h without the need for low-temperature reaction or even stirring. A systematic time-based investigation was carried out to study the effects of volume, concentration, and temperature on the synthesis of these nanoclusters. Further, we discovered for the first time that the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters exhibit excellent photothermal activities in achieving 100% cell death for MDAMB-231 breast cancer cells at a power of 10 W/cm² using an 808 nm laser source, demonstrating applications toward photothermal therapy.

Abstract


*Corresponding Author: xli4@utep.edu.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsami.7b12614. TEM images, NMR spectra, figures of volume-based study, photothermal experiments at different laser powers, and control experiments (PDF)



Keywords

atomically precise nanoclusters; Au₂₅(SG)₁₈; glutathione; synthesis; photothermal therapy

1. INTRODUCTION

Thiol-stabilized atomically precise gold nanoclusters are gaining a lot of importance due to their intriguing properties and applications, such as catalysis, toxic metal-ion sensing, and magnetism.^{1–5} Among different sizes of atomically precise gold nanoclusters, $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters are extensively investigated for their high thermodynamic stability and ease of synthesis.^{6–8} Recently, the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters have been widely explored for novel synthetic methods, formation mechanism, and postsynthesis functionalization.^{9–11} In the past, most reported methods for the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ synthesis were either performed at 0 °C and/or inert conditions.^{12–15} Recently, new synthesis techniques have been developed that simplify the process to be able to perform it under room-temperature conditions.⁶ However, majority of these synthesis methods focus on the organically soluble $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters (where SR = aryl group such as phenylethylthiol).⁶

Considering that most gold nanoparticle-based bioapplications^{16,17} require water solubility, there has been an increasing focus on water-soluble $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters. Toward this direction, there are recent reports on the synthesis of water-soluble $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters (where R = glutathione, cysteine, and captopril).^{18–23} However, most of these synthesis methods use a complicated polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)-based nanoparticle separation technique. Recently, Jin and co-workers have reported a “size-focusing” method for the synthesis of $\text{Au}_{25}\text{SG}_{18}$ nanoclusters that eliminated the PAGE-based separation processes albeit with a prolonged synthesis time (114 h).^{14,24} To reduce the synthesis time, the same research group have also reported a high-temperature synthesis method, where the nanoclusters were heated to 45 °C to reduce the time taken for the size-focusing step. However, the method still required 10 h of time.¹⁴ Later, Jin and co-workers have also reported on a simpler one-pot synthesis of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$, with 2 and 4 nm gold nanoparticles.²⁵ However, the method still requires an initial low-temperature nucleation step. The size-focusing method has been predominantly utilized by other research groups for the synthesis of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters.^{26,27} However, most of the reported works still suffer from being multistep processes. In view of the complexities involved currently in the synthesis of water-soluble $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters, we have developed a simpler single-step and cost-effective method without the need for any complicated steps (not even stirring). Our synthesis method

involves just mixing of optimal concentration of reagents at 60 °C to form Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters in as little as 2 h.

Further, due to the biocompatible nature of the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters, we have for the first time demonstrated their application for photothermal therapy (PTT) using MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. The Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters were extensively studied for various applications including sensing²⁸ and cancer radiation therapy²⁹ but have not been explored for photothermal therapy. Here, in this report, we have systematically investigated the rapid single-step synthesis and the photothermal therapy application of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials.

Tetrachloroauric(III) acid (HAuCl₄·3H₂O, >99.9% metals basis, Aldrich), L-glutathione reduced (>98%, Aldrich), tetraoctylammonium bromide (98%, Aldrich), sodium borohydride (>98%, Alfa Aesar), and toluene (high-performance liquid chromatography grade, 99.9%). All chemicals were used without any purification. Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium was from Corning (Manassas, VA). Trypsin-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid was from Gibco (Grand Island, NY). The Live/Dead assay kit (Calcein-AM/EthD-1) was obtained from Invitrogen (CA). Purified water from Millipore Milli-Q system (18.2 MΩ·cm) was used in all our experiments.

2.2. Synthesis of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ Nanoclusters.

In a typical synthesis, 5 mg of HAuCl₄ (0.013 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of Milli-Q water. To this solution, 16 mg of L-glutathione reduced (0.052 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of Milli-Q water was added. The solution turned into cloudy white color within seconds, indicating the reduction of Au(III) to Au(I). To this mixture, 5 mg of NaBH₄ (0.132 mmol) freshly dissolved in 10 mL of Milli-Q water was added successively. The solution turned brown instantaneously, indicating the reduction of Au(I) to Au(0) along with effervescence from the borohydride reduction. The solution vial was placed in a water bath at 60 °C for 2 h for the completion of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters formation (alternatively, it can also be aged at room temperature for 24 h for the completion of nanoclusters formation). The solvent was then evaporated using a rotavapor at 50 °C and low pressure to concentrate the solution to 2 mL. To this solution, 10 mL of methanol was added and centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 15 min to precipitate the nanoclusters. The dark brown precipitate was then dispersed in fresh Milli-Q water, and the methanol washing was repeated. The fresh precipitate thus obtained was then redispersed in Milli-Q water and used for further characterization.

2.3. Synthesis Variations.

To investigate the effects of volume, concentration, and temperature on the synthesis of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters, the synthetic process was carried out with different variations. For the volume dependence study, the process was scaled up to 5 times in volume (i.e., 25 mg of HAuCl₄ in 50 mL + 80 mg of L-glutathione reduced in 50 mL + 25 mg of NaBH₄ in 50 mL). For the concentration dependence study, the process was carried out with 5 times the

concentration of reactants (i.e., 25 mg of HAuCl_4 in 10 mL + 80 mg of L-glutathione reduced in 10 mL + 25 mg of NaBH_4 in 10 mL), and for the temperature dependence study, the process was carried out at room temperature (25 °C), 45 and 60 °C. The UV-visible (vis) absorption spectra of the samples were obtained at different time intervals in all of the three different variation studies.

2.4. Cell Culture.

MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured with RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 U/mL streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37 °C. The cells were trypsinized and reseeded every 2–3 days. For photothermal experiments, MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 0.05% trypsin to detach from the bottom, collected and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min, and then resuspended to the density of $10^6/\text{mL}$ before seeding to a 96-well plate. The cells were incubated for 1 day to allow the cells to attach on to the bottom.

2.5. Photothermal Experiment.

After 1 day of cell culture, the photothermal experiment was carried out. First, the culture medium for MDA-MB-231 cells was replaced by culture medium including 0.75 mg/mL $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters (50 μL for each well) and further incubated for 6 h. The photothermal treatment was carried out by using an 808 nm diode laser, which was focused on to the cells within the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanocluster medium. The laser powers used for the photothermal study were 5, 6.25, 7.5, 8.75, and 10 W/cm^2 , and each of these powers were tested on the cells for time periods of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 min. Further, the laser irradiation on the cells was carried out in triplicate to calculate the error bars. After laser irradiation, the cells were incubated for 12 h before detection. Cell Live/Dead assay kit (Calcein-AM, EthD-1) was used for cell staining, and then the sample was observed by a Nikon Ti-E fluorescent microscope to detect the vitality of the cells. Control experiments were also performed to ensure the accuracy of the PTT effect on cells. To analyze the influence of nanoclusters on the cells, they were incubated with different concentrations (0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 0.10 mg/mL) of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters and incubated for 18 h without laser irradiation before the cell vitality assay. Further, to validate the influence of the laser irradiation on cells, the cells without the presence of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters were irradiated by laser with the same conditions of the experimental group as those of the blank control.

2.6. Sample Characterization.

The optical absorbance of the as-prepared $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters was recorded using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices SpectraMax M3), and the size of the nanoclusters was examined using a transmission electron microscope (JEOL JEM 3200FS with an accelerating voltage at 300 kV). The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) of the nanoclusters was recorded using JEOL 600 MHz NMR Instrument. The matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra were acquired on a Bruker Microflex LRF mass spectrometer, using the 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene (TPB) matrix in negative mode.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters (charge state = -1) was carried out at room temperature and ambient conditions. The synthesis involves mixing 5 mL each of HAuCl₄, glutathione, and NaBH₄ with a molar ratio of 1:4:5 for 24 h. This long duration of 24 h for the formation of nanoclusters is due to the size-focusing process. Given this is a thermodynamically controlled process, temperature can have a significant effect on the synthesis process. By investigating the temperature effect, we found that the temperature has a proportionality effect on the time of synthesis of the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters. For instance, the nanoclusters synthesized at 45 °C took 6 h for their completion. When the same synthesis process was carried out at 60 °C, the nanoclusters were obtained in just 2 h by enhancing the rate of the size-focusing step. The yield of the nanoclusters obtained was ~40% (Au atom basis), which is comparable to that of the literature.¹³ The UV-visible absorption spectra of the as-synthesized nanoclusters at these temperatures are shown in Figure 1. The spectra show absorption bands at $\lambda = 400, 450, \text{ and } 670 \text{ nm}$, which are characteristic absorption bands for Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters and are in good agreement with the literature,²⁵ confirming the formation of the nanoclusters. However, the UV-vis spectrum of the nanoclusters does not match to the UV-vis spectrum of pure Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters reported in the literature,¹⁴ therefore suggesting the presence of impurities in the form of larger-sized nanoparticles. A systematic study of the UV-visible absorption spectra of the nanoclusters synthesized at different temperatures over different times are shown in Figure 2. The spectra obtained for nanoclusters synthesized at room temperature at a 6 h interval (Figure 2a) show a gradual emergence of the peaks at 400, 450, and 670 over a period of 24 h indicative of the size-focusing process with time. Figure 2b,c shows a faster emergence of the same peaks with time for the spectra obtained for nanoclusters synthesized at 45 °C for 6 h (with 2 h interval) and 60 °C for 2 h (with 1 h interval), respectively. This comparison clearly shows that the size-focusing process can be enhanced by raising the reaction temperature.

The matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra of the nanoclusters were analyzed to ascertain their size. Figure 3a,b shows the MALDI-TOF mass spectra obtained for the nanoclusters synthesized at room temperature for 24 h and 60 °C for 2 h, respectively, in the negative mode using the 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene (TPB) matrix. At lower laser power (50%), we could not observe any fragmentation of the nanoclusters, but at high power (>95%), we observed several fragments of the nanoclusters corresponding to different mass fractions. Further, the fragmentation pattern for both samples (at room temperature and 60 °C) were similar. The most intense peak observed was at 4460 Da corresponding to Au₂₁S₁₀. Several previously published reports observed the 21 atom Au nanocluster, Au₂₁(SR)₁₄, as the major mass fragment during the mass spectral fragmentation of Au₂₅(SR)₁₈ nanoclusters (where R = C₂H₄Ph).^{30–32} Other fragments observed were [Au₁₉S₁₀]⁻, [Au₂₂S₁₄]⁻, [Au₂₁S₁₄]⁻, [Au₂₀S₁₁]⁻, [Au₂₄S₁₂]⁻, [Au₂₅S]⁻ - 12, [Au₁₈S]⁻ 12, and [Au₁₇S₁₂] that correspond to the regular loss of Au and S atoms. For glutathione-capped Au₂₅ nanoclusters, some of the published reports have observed [Au₂₅S₁₂]⁻ (corresponding mass of 5308 Da) as the major mass fragment using α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) or without matrix (laser desorption

ionization, LDI).^{33–35} However, we did not observe $[\text{Au}_{25}\text{S}_{12}]^-$ as the major peak probably due to the impurities in the form of larger-sized nanoparticles present in the sample and also because of the use of different matrix or the high intensity of the laser power that we used for acquiring the mass spectra.

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the nanoclusters shows a uniform size distribution with an average size of ~ 2 nm (Figure S1, Supporting Information), which corresponds well to the size of the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters, as reported in the literature.³⁴ However, the core size of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters is little over 1 nm and the observed size is larger due to e-beam-caused aggregation. In addition, the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrum of the as-synthesized $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters was obtained to ascertain the Au_{25} core structure and surface thiolate ligand distribution (Figure S2a, Supporting Information). In the ^1H NMR of the nanoclusters, the signals at ~ 4.5 , ~ 3.6 , ~ 3 , ~ 2.5 , and ~ 2 ppm correspond to the similar signals in the NMR spectrum of the pure glutathione molecules (Figure S2b).³⁴ The spectrum for $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters however slightly differs from that reported in the literature,³⁴ with respect to the presence of the peak for the proton of -SH group, whereas this peak was not observed in the aforementioned literature. This is probably due to the presence of excess unbound glutathione on the Au_{25} core in our experiments.

The synthesis time was improved by carrying out the reaction at a higher temperature. However, it was not affected by scaling-up of the volume of reactants. Figure S3 (Supporting Information) shows the UV-visible absorption spectra of the nanoclusters synthesized using the standard volume, scaled-up volume of 2 times ($2\times$) and 5 times ($5\times$). When the synthesis was carried out with double ($2\times$) or five times ($5\times$) the original volume of the reactants, similar results were obtained after a 24 h reaction. This result signifies the scalability potential of the synthesis protocol to achieve industrial scale production. Nevertheless, this size-focusing method of synthesis was influenced by the concentration of the reactants. A time-based analysis of the effect of different concentrations on the UV-visible absorption spectra of the products is shown in Figure 4. With an increase in concentration of the reactants, the size-focusing time for the formation of nanoclusters increased. For instance, when the reactant concentrations were doubled, the size-focusing time to form the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters at room temperature was doubled to 48 h. This trend, however, did not continue when the concentration was increased further. When the concentration was increased up to 3 and above (up to 5) times, the size-focusing process did not occur even after 5 days and the reaction instead showed a broad absorption band at ~ 520 nm, indicating the formation of bigger-sized plasmonic nanoparticles. It was clear from the analysis that at higher concentrations, there was formation of plasmonic nanoparticles at concentrations greater than 2 times. The probable reason for this could be due to the kinetics of formation of the nanoclusters dominating their thermodynamic stability. The availability of excess reactants in the medium resulted in the rapid growth of nanoparticles that increased their size spontaneously. In the case of double concentration, the thermodynamic stability achieved through the size-focusing step was slow. This was probably due to the increased number of mixed-size nanoparticles resulted from the higher concentration, thus making the size-focusing step require more time to form $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters.²⁵

The Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters were biocompatible due to the presence of glutathione ligand.²⁹ The UV-visible absorbance of the nanoclusters showed a λ_{\max} at 670 nm, which also extended into the near-infrared (NIR) region (Figure 1). We took advantage of the NIR absorption capability of the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters and investigated the photothermal properties of the nanoclusters using a 808 nm diode laser (Figure S4, Supporting Information). As the nanoclusters exhibited good photothermal activity, we used them for in vitro photothermal therapy (PTT) of breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231). Recently, the nanomaterial-mediated photothermal effect has gained increasing attention for biomedical applications due to its unique noninvasive light-to-heat photophysical conversion properties.^{36,37} Our results showed that the nanoclusters exhibited excellent photothermal effect (Figure 5). A majority of cell deaths were observed from the laser power of 7.5 W/cm² for 4 min, and 100% cell death was achieved at a laser power of 10 W/cm² for 5 min of irradiation time. This result was significantly better in terms of the laser power used to achieve 100% cell death when compared to other literature work using gold-based plasmonic nanomaterials for PTT.^{38–40} This could be attributed to the higher surface-to-volume ratio of the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters compared to that of plasmonic nanomaterials, which helped in increased heat dissipation to surroundings. We had systematically investigated the PTT of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells using Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nano-clusters at different laser powers and irradiation times. Initially, a cell viability study was performed to determine the optimal concentration of the nanoclusters for PTT to be 0.75 mg/mL (Figure S9). The cells were then incubated for 6 h with the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters (0.75 mg/mL), and PTT was carried out with laser powers of 5, 6.25, 7.5, 8.75, and 10 W/cm² and irradiation times of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 min separately. Figure 5a–j shows the fluorescence images of the cells irradiated with a laser power of 10 W/cm² for irradiation times of 1–5 min, respectively. The cells were stained with Calcein-AM to observe the number of live cells (Figure 5a–e) and with EthD-1 to observe the number of dead cells (Figures 5f–j) after the laser irradiation process. With an increase of the radiation time, increased cell deaths were observed due to stronger photothermal effects from the nanoclusters. Figures S5–S8 (Supporting Information) show the fluorescence images of cells irradiated with all other laser powers (i.e., 8.75, 7.5, 6.25, and 5 W/cm²) and irradiation times. Further, Figure 5k–r summarizes the cell viability statistics for different laser powers and irradiation times used for the PTT. Control experiments without laser treatment, without nanoclusters, and without both were also carried out to understand the cytotoxicity of nanoclusters and the laser irradiation only on the cells (Figures 5k–m and S10). The cell viability remained over 96% in all of these three control experiments, indicating the robustness of the cells in the presence of laser or the nanoclusters. However, when the laser and nanoclusters were used together, a maximum cell mortality of 100% (0% cell viability) was observed for the irradiation with a laser power of 10 W/cm² for 5 min. When the minimum laser power of 5 W/cm² was used, the cells were least affected and the cell viability remained over 90% even after the irradiation up to 5 min (Figure 5n). The cell viability still maintained over 90% even when the laser power was increased to 6.25 W/cm² and the irradiation time up to 5 min (Figure 5o). When the laser power was increased further to 7.5 W/cm², the cell viability decreased with an increase in the irradiation time and the maximum cell viability of 93.3% was observed for 1 min and maximum cell mortality of 71.73% was observed for 5 min (Figure 5p). This trend continued when the laser power was further increased to 8.75 W/cm², where the cell

viability decreased from 70.52 to 2.07% over the 5 min time duration, resulting in a maximum cell mortality of 97.93% at 5 min irradiation time (Figure 5q). When the laser power was increased to a maximum of 10 W/cm², we observed the cell viability dropped from 78.85% at 1 min to 0% at 5 min irradiation time (Figure 5r). In summary, the cells were not affected when the laser powers of 5 or 6.25 W/cm² were used even up to 5 min of irradiation time and a gradual decrease in cell viability with the increase in irradiation time was observed for the laser powers of 7.5, 8.75, and 10 W/cm². A maximum of 100% cell mortality was observed for 10 W/cm² at 5 min. The mechanism of cell death here was similar to that of the hyperthermia-induced apoptosis reported for other nano-particle systems.^{41–44} The photothermal heating of cancer cells is comparable to traditional hyperthermia therapy. The cancer cell death from hyperthermia-induced apoptosis generally occurs through the damage of cell membrane, denaturation of intracellular proteins, deterioration of DNA and RNA synthesis, and changes in gene expression.⁴² It was reported earlier that higher laser powers or use of pulsed lasers generally result in necrosis whereas lower laser powers and use of continuous wave lasers result in apoptosis.⁴⁵ Therefore, given the use of lower laser power/continuous wave lasers in our experiments, the mechanism of cell death in our photothermal experiments is expected to be due to apoptosis.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, atomically precise Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters were successfully synthesized using a single-step concentration and temperature-controlled synthesis technique within a short span of 2 h. Time-based investigations were carried out to study the effects of volume, concentration, and temperature on the formation of the nanoclusters. This high-temperature method decreases the synthesis time for the nanoclusters by over 10-fold than the currently existing procedures and does not need an inert atmosphere, low temperature (e.g., 0 °C), or stirring, making it an extremely simple and cost-effective process. Furthermore, the Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters were applied in the study of photothermal therapy using MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and they exhibited excellent photothermal activities in achieving 100% cell death at a power of 10 W/cm² using an 808 nm laser source, indicating great potential of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters for cancer phototherapy. This discovery of photothermal applications of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters is significant, considering limited reported applications of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters, although the nanoclusters were discovered more than 10 years ago.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the financial support from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease of the NIH (R21AI107415), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the NIH (SC2GM105584), and the U.S. NSFPREM program (DMR 1205302). Financial support from the NIH RCMI Pilot grant, Emily Koenig Meningitis Fund and Emily's Dash Foundation, the Medical Center of the Americas Foundation, the NIH BUILDing Scholar Summer Sabbatical Award (NIGMS Award Numbers RL5GM118969, TL4GM118971, and UL1GM11897), the University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP) for the IDR Program, and University of Texas (UT) System for the STARS award is also greatly acknowledged. We also thank Drs. Luis Echegoyen and Amala Dass for help with the mass spectrometry.

REFERENCES

- (1). Jin R Atomically Precise Metal Nanoclusters: Stable Sizes and Optical Properties. *Nanoscale* 2015, 7, 1549–1565. [PubMed: 25532730]
- (2). Li G; Jin R Atomically Precise Gold Nanoclusters as New Model Catalysts. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2013, 46, 1749–1758. [PubMed: 23534692]
- (3). Krishna KS; Liu J; Tarakeshwar P; Mujica V; Spivey JJ; Kumar CSSR Atomically Precise Gold Catalysis Atomically-Precise Methods for Synthesis of Solid Catalysts; Hermans S, de Bocarme TV, Eds.; RSC Publications, 2015; Chapter 4.
- (4). Liu J; Krishna KS; Losovyj YB; Chattopadhyay S; Lozova N; Miller JT; Spivey JJ; Kumar CSSR Ligand-Stabilized and Atomically Precise Gold Nanocluster Catalysis: A Case Study for Correlating Fundamental Electronic Properties with Catalysis. *Chem. - Eur. J* 2013, 19, 10201–10208. [PubMed: 23788381]
- (5). Krishna KS; Tarakeshwar P; Mujica V; Kumar CS S. R. Chemically Induced Magnetism in Atomically Precise Gold Clusters. *Small* 2014, 10, 907–911. [PubMed: 24150895]
- (6). Parker JF; Fields-Zinna CA; Murray RW The Story of a Monodisperse Gold Nanoparticle: Au₂₅L₁₈. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2010, 43, 1289–1296. [PubMed: 20597498]
- (7). Shichibu Y; Negishi Y; Tsunoyama H; Kanehara M; Teranishi T; Tsukuda T Extremely High Stability of Glutathione-Protected Au₂₅ Clusters Against Core Etching. *Small* 2007, 3, 835–839. [PubMed: 17352431]
- (8). Qian H; Zhu M; Wu Z; Jin R Quantum Sized Gold Nanoclusters with Atomic Precision. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2012, 45, 1470–1479. [PubMed: 22720781]
- (9). Goswami N; Yao Q; Chen T; Xie J Mechanistic exploration and controlled synthesis of precise thiolate-gold nanoclusters. *Coord. Chem. Rev* 2016, 329, 1–15.
- (10). Luo Z; Nachammai V; Zhang B; Yan N; Leong DT; Jiang D.-e.; Xie J Toward Understanding the Growth Mechanism: Tracing All Stable Intermediate Species from Reduction of Au(I)-Thiolate Complexes to Evolution of Au₂₅ Nanoclusters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2014, 136, 10577–10580. [PubMed: 25014336]
- (11). Yao Q; Yuan X; Yu Y; Yu Y; Xie J; Lee JY Introducing Amphiphilicity to Noble Metal Nanoclusters via Phase-Transfer Driven Ion-Pairing Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2015, 137, 2128. [PubMed: 25584784]
- (12). Negishi Y; Chaki NK; Shichibu Y; Whetten RL; Tsukuda T Origin of Magic Stability of Thiolated Gold Clusters: A Case Study on Au₂₅(SC₆H₁₃)₁₈. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007, 129, 11322–11323. [PubMed: 17715923]
- (13). Zhu M; Lanni E; Garg N; Bier ME; Jin R Kinetically Controlled, High-Yield Synthesis of Au₂₅ Clusters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2008, 130, 1138–1139. [PubMed: 18183983]
- (14). Wu Z; Suhan J; Jin R One-Pot Synthesis of Atomically Monodisperse, Thiol-Functionalized Au₂₅ Nanoclusters. *J. Mater. Chem* 2009, 19, 622–626.
- (15). Parker JF; Weaver JEF; McCallum F; Fields-Zinna CA; Murray RW Synthesis of Monodisperse [Oct₄ N⁺][Au₂₅(SR)₁₈⁻] Nanoparticles, with Some Mechanistic Observations. *Langmuir* 2010, 26, 13650–13654. [PubMed: 20695616]
- (16). Zhao Y; Chen Z; Chen Y; Xu J; Li J; Jiang X Synergy of Non-antibiotic Drugs and Pyrimidinethiol on Gold Nanoparticles against Superbugs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2013, 135, 12940–12943. [PubMed: 23957534]
- (17). Liu Y; He J; Yang K; Yi C; Liu Y; Nie L; Khashab NM; Chen X; Nie Z Folding Up of Gold Nanoparticle Strings into Plasmonic Vesicles for Enhanced Photoacoustic Imaging. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2015, 54, 15809–15812.
- (18). Schaaff TG; Knight G; Shafiqullin MN; Borkman RF; Whetten RL Isolation and Selected Properties of a 10.4 kDa Gold:Glutathione Cluster Compound. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1998, 102, 10643–10646.
- (19). Schaaff TG; Whetten RL Giant Gold-Glutathione Cluster Compounds: Intense Optical Activity in Metal-Based Transitions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2000, 104, 2630–2641.

- (20). Negishi Y; Takasugi Y; Sato S; Yao H; Kimura K; Tsukuda T Magic-Numbered Au_n Clusters Protected by Glutathione Monolayers (n = 18, 21, 25, 28, 32, 39): Isolation and Spectroscopic Characterization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004, 126, 6518–6519. [PubMed: 15161256]
- (21). Negishi Y; Nobusada K; Tsukuda T Glutathione-Protected Gold Clusters Revisited: Bridging the Gap between Gold(I)-Thiolate Complexes and Thiolate-Protected Gold Nanocrystals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005, 127, 5261–5270. [PubMed: 15810862]
- (22). Shichibu Y; Negishi Y; Tsukuda T; Teranishi T Large-Scale Synthesis of Thiolated Au₂₅ Clusters via Ligand Exchange Reactions of Phosphine-Stabilized Au₁₁ Clusters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005, 127, 13464–13465. [PubMed: 16190687]
- (23). Kumar S; Jin R Water-Soluble Au₂₅(Capt)₁₈ Nanoclusters: Synthesis, Thermal Stability, and Optical Properties. *Nanoscale* 2012, 4, 4222–4227. [PubMed: 22706688]
- (24). Jin R; Qian H; Wu Z; Zhu Y; Zhu M; Mohanty A; Garg N Size Focusing: A Methodology for Synthesizing Atomically Precise Gold Nanoclusters. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett* 2010, 1, 2903–2910.
- (25). Wu Z; Chen J; Jin R One-Pot Synthesis of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ 2- and 4-nm Gold Nanoparticles and Comparison of Their Size-Dependent Properties. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2011, 21, 177–183.
- (26). Yu Y; Luo Z; Yu Y; Lee JY; Xie J Observation of Cluster Size Growth in CO-Directed Synthesis of Au₂₅(SR)₁₈ Nanoclusters. *ACS Nano* 2012, 6, 7920–7927. [PubMed: 22913667]
- (27). Yuan X; Yu Y; Yao Q; Zhang Q; Xie J Fast Synthesis of Thiolated Au₂₅ Nanoclusters via Protection-Deprotection Method. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett* 2012, 3, 2310–2314. [PubMed: 26292108]
- (28). Wu Z; Wang M; Yang J; Zheng X; Cai W; Meng G; Qian H; Wang H; Jin R Well-Defined Nanoclusters as Fluorescent Nanosensors: A Case Study on Au₂₅(SG)₁₈. *Small* 2012, 8, 2028–2035. [PubMed: 22488747]
- (29). Zhang X-D; Chen J; Luo Z; Wu D; Shen X; Song S-S; Sun Y-M; Liu P-X; Zhao J; Huo S; Fan S; Fan F; Liang X-J; Xie J Enhanced Tumor Accumulation of Sub-2 nm Gold Nano-clusters for Cancer Radiation Therapy. *Adv. Healthcare Mater.* 2014, 3, 133–141.
- (30). Dass A; Stevenson A; Dubay GR; Tracy JB; Murray RW Nanoparticle MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry without Fragmentation: Au₂₅(SCH₂CH₂Ph)₁₈ and Mixed Monolayer Au₂₅(SCH₂CH₂Ph)_{18-x}(L)_x. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2008, 130, 5940–5946. [PubMed: 18393500]
- (31). Angel LA; Majors LT; Dharmaratne AC; Dass A Ion Mobility Mass Spectrometry of Au₂₅(SCH₂CH₂Ph)₁₈ Nanoclusters. *ACS Nano* 2010, 4, 4691–4700. [PubMed: 20731448]
- (32). Krishna KS; He M; Bruce DA; Kumar CSSR The Enigma of Au₂₁(SC₂H₄Ph)₁₄ Nanocluster: A Synthetic Challenge. *Nanotechnol. Rev* 2014, 3, 311–317.
- (33). Kouchi H; Kawasaki H; Arakawa R A New Matrix of MALDI-TOF MS for the Analysis of Thiolate-Protected Gold Clusters. *Anal. Methods* 2012, 4, 3600–3603.
- (34). Wu Z; Gayathri C; Gil RR; Jin R Probing the Structure and Charge State of Glutathione-Capped Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ Clusters by NMR and Mass Spectrometry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009, 131, 6535–6542. [PubMed: 19379012]
- (35). Wu Z; Jin R Stability of the Two Au-S Binding Modes in Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ Nanoclusters Probed by NMR and Optical Spectroscopy. *ACS Nano* 2009, 3, 2036–2042. [PubMed: 19548695]
- (36). Fu G; Sanjay ST; Dou M; Li X Nanoparticle-Mediated Photothermal Effect Enables a New Method for Quantitative Biochemical Analysis Using a Thermometer. *Nanoscale* 2016, 8, 5422–5427. [PubMed: 26838516]
- (37). Fu G; Sanjay ST; Li X Cost-Effective And Sensitive Colorimetric Immunosensing Using an Iron Oxide-To-Prussian Blue Nanoparticle Conversion Strategy. *Analyst* 2016, 141, 3883–3889. [PubMed: 27140740]
- (38). Huang X; El-Sayed IH; Qian W; El-Sayed MA Cancer Cell Imaging and Photothermal Therapy in the Near-Infrared Region by Using Gold Nanorods. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006, 128, 2115–2120. [PubMed: 16464114]
- (39). Huang X; El-Sayed MA Gold nanoparticles: Optical Properties and Implementations in Cancer Diagnosis and Photo-thermal Therapy. *J. Adv. Res* 2010, 1, 13–28.
- (40). Cole JR; Mirin NA; Knight MW; Goodrich GP; Halas NJ Photothermal Efficiencies of Nanoshells and Nanorods for Clinical Therapeutic Applications. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, 113, 12090–12094.

- (41). Jaque D; Maestro LM; del Rosal B; Haro-Gonzalez P; Benayas A; Plaza JL; Rodríguez EM; Solé JG Nanoparticles for Photothermal Therapies. *Nanoscale* 2014, 6, 9494–9530. [PubMed: 25030381]
- (42). Hildebrandt B; Wust P; Ahlers O; Dieing A; Sreenivasa G; Kerner T; Felix R; Riess H The Cellular and Molecular Basis of Hyperthermia. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 2002, 43, 33–56. [PubMed: 12098606]
- (43). Fisher JW; Sarkar S; Buchanan CF; Szot CS; Whitney J; Hatcher HC; Torti SV; Rylander CG; Rylander MN Photothermal Response of Human and Murine Cancer Cells to Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes after Laser Irradiation. *Cancer Res* 2010, 70, 9855–9864. [PubMed: 21098701]
- (44). Takahashi H; Niidome T; Nariai A; Niidome Y; Yamada S Photothermal Reshaping of Gold Nanorods Prevents Further Cell Death. *Nanotechnology* 2006, 17, 4431–4435.
- (45). Abadeer NS; Murphy CJ Recent Progress in Cancer Thermal Therapy Using Gold Nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2016, 120, 4691–4716.




Figure 1. UV-visible absorption spectrum of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters formed at different temperatures (room temperature, 45 and 60 °C). The spectra are vertically offset to distinguish the absorption peaks of each individual spectrum.




Figure 2. Time-based UV-visible absorption spectra of Au₂₅(SG)₁₈ nanoclusters synthesized at different temperatures (a) 25 °C, (b) 45 °C, and (c) 60 °C.




Figure 3. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters synthesized at (a) room temperature for 24 h and (b) 60 °C for 2 h using TPB matrix in the negative mode.




Figure 4. Time-based UV-visible absorption spectra of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters synthesized using different concentrations of the reactants ($\text{HAuCl}_4/\text{SG}/\text{NaBH}_4$): (A) 0.006:0.024:0.06 mmol, (B) 0.012:0.048:0.12 mmol, (C) 0.018:0.072:0.18 mmol, (D) 0.024:0.096:0.24 mmol, and (E) 0.030:0.12:0.30 mmol.




Figure 5.

Photothermal therapy study of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters. MDA-MB-231 cells stained with (a-e) calcein-AM and (f-j) EthD-1 after laser irradiation (power = 10 W/cm^2) for a time duration of 1–5 min (time increment, 1 min), respectively. Cell viability histograms: (k) after 5 min laser irradiation at 10 W/cm^2 without the addition of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}$ nanoclusters; (l) with the addition of 0.75 mg/mL of nanoclusters but without the laser treatment; (m) without the addition of nanoclusters and without laser treatment; (n-r) with the addition of 0.75 mg/mL of nanoclusters and laser irradiation for 1–5 min at 5 W/cm^2 (n), 6.25 W/cm^2 (o), 7.5 W/cm^2 (p), 8.75 W/cm^2 (q), and 10 W/cm^2 (r), respectively. Data were analyzed by Student's *t*-test, and * in (p), (q), and (r) indicates $P < 0.05$.